Accepted Manuscript



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this Accepted Manuscript with the edited and formatted Advance Article as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the **Information for Authors**.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard <u>Terms & Conditions</u> and the <u>Ethical guidelines</u> still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



www.rsc.org/chemcomm

COMMUNICATION

The molecular and electronic structures of a thioaroyl cation formed by borinium ion-mediated C=S double bond cleavage of CS₂

Yoshiaki Shoji, *^a Naoki Tanaka,^a Daisuke Hashizume^b and Takanori Fukushima^a

Accepted 00th January 20xx DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

Received 00th January 20xx,

www.rsc.org/

The first crystallographic and electron density distribution analyses of a thioaroyl cation unveil its true structural perspective; this chemical species can be mainly expressed as the combination of the thioketene form (II) and sulfonium form (III) with a $C=S^+$ triple bond character (Fig. 1).

Thioaroyl cation, formulated as [ArCS]⁺, has scarcely been studied, in contrast to its oxygen congener (aroyl cation, [ArCO]⁺), which is widely recognized as the intermediate in Friedel-Crafts type reactions.¹ To date, only two examples have reported the synthesis and spectroscopic characterization of thioaroyl cations.^{2,3} In 1968, Lindner and Karmann achieved the first synthesis of a thioaroyl cation ([PhCS]⁺[SbF₆]⁻) by reacting thiobenzoyl chloride and According to this report, the C-S vibration of AgSbF₆.² $\left[\text{PhCS}\right]^{+}\!\left[\text{SbF}_{6}\right]^{-}$ appears at 1332 cm^{-1} in the infrared spectrum.^2 $\,$ In 1980, Olah and coworkers showed that thiocarbonyl and aromatic carbons in a series of [ArCS]⁺ salts are significantly deshielded in ¹³C NMR spectroscopy,³ and concluded that two resonance forms, thioaroyl cation (I) and thioketene (II) (Fig. 1a), mainly contribute to the electronic structure of [ArCS]^{+,3} This structural perspective of [ArCS]⁺ contrasts sharply with that of [ArCO]⁺, where the contribution of the oxonium ion form $(ArC=O^{+})$, corresponding to III (Fig. 1a), is significantly large.³ Nevertheless, the true structural perspective of thioaroyl cation remains obscure due to the lack of X-ray crystallographic data.

As a part of our study on the chemistry of a two-coordinate boron cation, *i.e.*, diarylborinium ion $[Mes_2B^+; Mes (mesityl) = 2,4,6-trimethylphenyl],⁴ we investigated the reaction of <math>Mes_2B^+[(C_6F_5)_4B]^-$ (**1**, Scheme 1) and carbon disulfide (CS₂), which affords thioaroyl cation salt $[MesCS]^+[(C_6F_5)_4B]^-$ (**2**, Scheme 1) in moderate yield. In the course of this study, we successfully obtained high-quality single crystals of **2** suitable for X-ray crystallography, allowing us to unveil (a) Conventional Structural Perspective of Thioaroyl Cations [ArCS]*
Large Contributions Negligible



(b) Updated Structural Perspective of [ArCS]+ (Ar = Mes, This Work)



Analytical Methods : X-ray Crystallography, Experimental Electron Density Distribution Analysis and ¹³C NMR Spectroscopy

Fig. 1. (a) Conventional and (b) updated structural perspectives of [ArCS]⁺ expressed by three resonance forms of thioaroyl cation form I, thioketene form II and sulfonium form III.



Scheme 1. Synthesis of thioaroyl cation salt $[MesCS]^{+}[(C_6F_5)_4B]^{-}$ (* through the C=S double bond cleavage of CS₂ mediated by boriniu ion salt $Mes_2B^{+}[(C_6F_5)_4B]^{-}$ (1).

the true structural perspective of $[ArCS]^{+}$. Here we show that, contrary to the previous understanding, form II and sulfonium (rm III, rather than form I, mainly contribute to the electronic structule) of $[MesCS]^{+}$ (Fig. 1b).

Very recently, we reported the first isolation and scalable synthes of diarylborinium ion (Mes_2B^+) salts using chemically inert ar weakly nucleophilic counterions, such as the undecachlorocarborane anion $([HCB_{11}Cl_{11}]^-)$ and tetrak (pentafluorophenyl)borate $([(C_6F_5)_4B]^-)$.^{5,6} Since Mes_2B^+ is devoid of lone-pair donation from heteroatoms, the boron center extremely electron deficient, causing unusual C=O double bond

Chem. Commun., 2015, 00, 1-3 | 1

^{a.} Chemical Resources Laboratory, Tokyo Institute of Technology, 4259 Nagatsuta, Midori-ku, Yokohama 226-8503, Japan. E-mail: yshoji@res.titech.ac.jp

^b Materials Characterization Support Unit, RIKEN Center for Emergent Matter Science, 2-1 Hirosawa, Wako, Saitama 351-0198, Japan.

[†] Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: Experimental and crystallographic details, analytical data and theoretical calculations. CCDC 1063986 and 1063987. For ESI and crystallographic data in CIF or other electronic format, see DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

COMMUNICATION

cleavage of CO_2 to yield aroyl cation $[MesCO]^+[(C_6F_5)_4B]^{-4}$ We extended this finding to the activation of CS₂ and found that C=S double bond cleavage likewise occurs to give $[MesCS]^{+}[(C_6F_5)_4B]^{-}(2)$. Typically, $Mes_2B^+[(C_6F_5)_4B]^-$ (1, Scheme 1) was added at 25 °C to an o-dichlorobenzene (ODCB) solution of CS₂ (one equivalent to 1), and the mixture was stirred for 5 minutes. Upon slow diffusion of hexane vapour into the reaction mixture, yellow block-like crystals of 2 were obtained in 46% yield (ESI⁺). Although thioaroyl cation salt 2 decomposed immediately upon exposure to air, its crystalline sample showed no sign of decomposition after left standing for at least one year under argon at ambient temperatures. The formation of [MesCS]⁺ likely proceeds via a mechanism similar to that which yields $[MesCO]^+$ by the reaction of CO_2 with Mes_2B^+ . Although a few transition metal complexes have been reported to cleave C=S double bond of CS₂,⁷ to the best of our knowledge, there is no example of CS transfer reaction from CS2 mediated by nontransition-metal chemical species.

Single-crystal X-ray crystallography provides great insights into the structure of **2** (Fig. 2 and ESI^{\dagger}). In the [MesCS]^{\dagger} moiety, the thiocarbonyl (CS) group has a linear geometry $[\angle C_{ipso}-C-S =$ 179.93(5)°], as expected for an sp-hybridized carbon. The C_{inso} -C(S) bond length [1.3785(7) Å] is much shorter than the typical C-C single bond length (1.507 \pm 0.039 Å),^{8,9} reflecting the C_{ipso}=C(S) double bond character through the π -donation from the aromatic ring to the CS moiety. Consistent with this, the mean length of the $C_{\textit{ipso}}$ - $C_{\textit{ortho}}$ bonds [1.4290(7) Å] in the mesityl group is longer than those of the other C-C bonds in the aromatic ring [1.3833(8) and 1.4051(9) Å for $C_{ortho}-C_{meta}$ and $C_{meta}-C_{para}$ bonds, respectively]. These structural features of [MesCS]⁺ are similar to those of the previously reported aroyl cations^{4,10} and indicate that the aromatic ring has a quinoid character, *i.e.*, form II (Fig. 1b). Importantly, the C-S bond length of 2 [1.5039(5) Å] is much shorter than a typical C=S double bond (1.63 Å)^{9,11} and even those of the CS groups in transition metal complexes (1.57 Å).^{12,13} These observations strongly suggest the large contributions of the thioketene (II) as well as sulfonium (III) forms (Fig. 1b). This perspective is very different from that proposed previously, where thioaroyl cations lack the contribution of form III (Fig. 1a).³

Experimental electron density distribution analysis using a multipole expansion method¹⁴ further confirms the above structural perspective of [MesCS]⁺ (ESI⁺). A 3D plot of the static model density of 2 (Fig. S1, ESI⁺) shows that all the covalent bonds, except for the C1–C7–S1 moiety, are characterized by normal σ - and π bonds. Fig. 3 illustrates cross sections of the static model density map of 2 viewed along the in-plane and out-of-plane directions relative to the mesitylene ring. The largely delocalized bonding electrons between C7 and S1 atoms are clearly visualized both in the in-plane and out-of-plane directions relative to the mesitylene ring. This observation indicates the $C=S^+$ triple bond character and thus the significant contribution of form III to [MesCS]⁺. Although the ellipticity¹⁵ of the C–S bond (0.21, Fig. S2, ESI[†]) deviates from that expected for an undistorted triple bond (0.0), this is reasonable because form II also contributes to [MesCS]⁺, as represented by the presence of π -bonding electrons on C1–C7 (C_{ipso}–CS) (Fig. 3b). Meanwhile, the contour level of the vacant 2p orbital on C7 is much shallower than expected for thioaroyl cation form I (Fig. 3b). Furthermore, the Stockholder population analysis¹⁶ shows that C7





Fig. 2. Crystal structure of **2** (50% probability ellipsoids). Hydrogen atoms are not shown for clarity. Boron, carbon, fluorine and sulfur atoms are colored in magenta, gray, yellow-green and orang, respectively. Selected bond lengths (Å) and angle (°) for **2**: C1–C2 1.4278(7), C1–C6 = 1.4303(6), C1–C7 = 1.3785(7), C2–C3 = 1.3857(7, C3–C4 = 1.4071(8), C4–C5 = 1.4031(9), C5–C6 = 1.3809(8), C7–S1 = 1.5039(5), C1–C7–S1 = 179.93(5).



Fig. 3. Experimentally obtained electron density distribution of . Cross sections of the static model density map of **2** viewed alor the in-plane (a) and out-of-plane (b) directions relative to the mesitylene ring. Red and blue curves represent positive and negative electron densities, respectively. The contours are drav 1 at a 0.05 eÅ⁻³ interval. Bond critical points (BCPs) and a ring critical point (RCP) are depicted as yellow-green and pink circle , respectively.

(+0.138) is charged only moderately, while a large positive charge is located on S1 (+0.300) (Fig. S3). Hence, the contribution of thioaroyl cation form I to the electronic structure of $[MesCS]^+$ is considered much smaller than those of forms II and III.

We also performed DFT calculations on counterion-free cation [MesCS]⁺ (a singlet state in vacuum) at the B3LYP/6-31G+(d,p) level of theory (ESI[†]).¹⁷ Fig. 4 (see also Fig. S5, ESI[†]) shows the optimized structure of $\left[\text{MesCS}\right]^{+}$. The bond lengths and angles are consistent with those observed in the crystal structure of 2 (Fig. 2), except that the calculated C-S bond length (1.523 Å) is slightly longer than the experimental value [1.5039(5) Å]. The LUMO and LUMO+1 of [MesCS]^{\dagger} involve the π^* -type orbitals at the CS moiety, while the HOMO is localized exclusively on the Mes group (Fig. 4). The HOMO-1 reflects the π -donation from the mesityl ring to the carbon atom of the CS group, while the HOMO-2 and HOMO-3 represent the two π -type orbitals at the CS moiety (Fig. 4). Importantly, the natural population analysis (NPA)¹⁸ charge distribution indicates that the charge on the carbon atom of the CS group is very small (+0.021, Fig. S6, ESI⁺). Instead, the positive charges are predominantly located on the sulfur (+0.541), Cortho (+0.102) and C_{para} (+0.119) atoms (Fig. S6, ESI⁺). Atoms in Molecules (AIM) analysis¹⁸ of [MesCS]⁺ confirms that the calculated electron density at the bond critical points (Fig. S7, ESI⁺) agree well with the experimental results (Figs. 3 and S4, ESI⁺). All the above structural features in the theoretical model are consistent with those obatined in the X-ray crystal structure of 2 and its Stockholder population analysis (Figs. S3 and S4, ESI⁺), supporting our conclusion that thioketene form II and sulfonium form III, rather than form I, mainly contribute to the electronic structure of [MesCS]⁺ (Fig. 1b).



Fig. 4. Molecular orbitals of $[MesCS]^+$ in the optimized geometry [B3LYP/6-31G+(d,p)]. Energy levels (eV) are given in parentheses.

COMMUNICATION

Analogous to $Mes_2B^{+,4}$ [MesCS]⁺ in ODCB- d_4 at 25 °C is hard subject to the coordination of $[(C_6F_5)_4B]^-$ anion. Thus, ¹¹B, ¹³C ar ¹⁹F NMR spectroscopy (Figs. S9–S11, ESI⁺) of **2** show that the chemical shifts of the signals due to $[(C_6F_5)_4B]^-$ are identical to t observed for **1**.⁴ Note that the ¹³C NMR signals of C_{ortho} and C_{para} the $[MesCS]^+$ moiety of **2** (157.0 and 161.2 ppm, Fig. S9, ESI⁺) are more downfield than those of the corresponding carbons f $[MesCO]^{\dagger}[(C_6F_5)_4B]^{-}$ [154.9 (C_{ortho}) and 160.3 (C_{para}) ppm].⁴ This means that the degree of π -donation from the mesityl ring to the (S group in [MesCS]⁺ is stronger than that to the CO group in [MesCO] The ¹³C NMR signal due to the CS group of [MesCS]⁺ appears at the downfield region (204.0 ppm), which is consistent with the previous report on thioaroyl cations (195.1 to 198.3 ppm at -65 °C in SO2 Olah and coworkers ascribed the large deshielding of the CS group in several thioaroyl cations to the contribution of form I as well the paramagnetic term of the cations.^{3,20} However, as describe above, the experimentally obtained and calculated charges on carbon atom of the CS group of [MesCS]⁺ are small (+0.138 and +0.021, respectively, Figs. S3 and S6, ESI⁺). Furthermore, the C calculation on [MesCS]⁺ at the B3LYP/6-311+G(2d,p) level virtually reproduces the ¹³C NMR chemical shift (228 ppm in vacu observed for the CS group (204.0 ppm in ODCB- d_4 , Fig. S9, ESI[†] Therefore, the significant deshielding of the CS groups in the ¹³C NMR spectroscopy of thioaroyl cations is better explained by the paramagnetic term,²⁰ rather than the contribution of thioarcyl cation form I.

In conclusion, X-ray crystallographic studies and experiment a electron density distribution analysis, along with theoretic... calculations, on $[MesCS]^{+}[(C_{6}F_{5})_{4}B]^{-}$ (2, Scheme 1) unveiled the electronic structure of thioaroyl cation; this chemical species can be mainly expressed as the combination of thioketene form II are sulfonium form III, while the contribution of thioaroyl cation form is small (Fig. 1b). Accordingly, the structural perspective athioaroyl cation is now updated. This work also highlights the remarkable reactivity of diarylborinium ion Mes_2B^{+} to induce C=S double bond cleavage of CS₂ (Scheme 1). The highly Lewis at and chalcogenophilic nature of Mes_2B^{+} should be useful for exploring new chemical transformations.

This work was supported by KAKENHI (Nos. 26708004, 261020(1 and 25109543) of the Japan Society for the Promo-tion of Scien - (JSPS). The authors thank Material Analysis Suzukake-dai Cente., Technical Department, Tokyo Institute of Technology, for the support of NMR measurements. N.T. thanks JSPS Young Scientif Fellowship (15J11698).

Notes and references

- 1 G. A. Olah, Friedel-Crafts Chemistry, Wiley-Interscience, N:w York, 1973.
- 2 E. Lindner and H.-G. Karmann, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* 1968, **7**, 548.
- 3 G. A. Olah, G. K. Surya Prakash and T. Nakajima, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 1980, **19**, 812.
- 4 Y. Shoji, N. Tanaka, K. Mikami, M. Uchiyama and T. Fukushima, T. *Nature Chem.* 2014, **6**, 498.
- 5 C. Knapp. *Comprehensive Inorganic Chemistry II*, ed. J. Reedijk and K. Poeppelmeier, Elsevier Ltd., 2013, vol. 1, 651 679.
- 6 C. A. Reed, Acc. Chem. Res. 2010, 43, 121.

COMMUNICATION

- 7 (a) A. Martin, M. J. Mays, P. R. Raithby and G. A. Solan, *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.* 1993, 1789; (b) L. J. J. Wang, S.-J. You, S.-L. Huang, Y.-L. Yang, Y.-C. Lin, G.-H. Lee and S.-M. Peng, *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.* 1999, 2243; (c) G. Hogarth, M. H. Lavender and K. Shukri, *J. Organomet. Chem.* 2000, 595, 134.
- MOGUL, version 1.6.1. See: I. J. Bruno, J. C. Cole, M. Kessler, J. Luo, W. D. S. Motherwell, L. H. Purkis, B. R. Smith, R. Taylor, R. I. Cooper, S. E. Harris and A. G. Orpen, *J. Chem. Inf. Comput. Sci.* 2004, 44, 2133.
- 9 The mean lengths of C–C bond (1.507 \pm 0.039 Å) and C=S double bond (1.632 \pm 0.030 Å) were estimated by the MOGUL bond analysis on the C_{ipso}–CH₃ bond of a PhCH₃ fragment (12987 examples) and on the C=S double bond of a PhC=S fragment (13 examples), respectively.
- 10 M. G. Davlieva, S. V. Lindeman, L. S. Neretin and J. K. Kochi, *New J. Chem.* 2004, 28, 1568.
- 11 For examples, see: (a) N. Tokitoh, N. Takeda and R. Okazaki, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1994, **116**, 7907; (b) A. Ishii, T. Ishida, N. Kumon, N. Fukuda, H. Oyama, N. Inamoto, F. Iwasaki, and R. Okazaki, Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 1996, **69**, 709; (c) N. Takeda, N. Tokitoh, and R. Okazaki, Chem. Eur. J. 1997, **3**, 62.
- 12 Cambridge Structural Database, version 5.31. See: F. H. Allen, Acta Crystallogr., Sect. B: Struct. Sci. 2002, **58**, 380.
- 13 For examples, see: (a) W. R. Scheidt and D. K. Geiger, *Inorg. Chem.* 1982, **21**, 1208; (b) P. H. Bird, A. A. Ismail and I. S. Butler, *Inorg. Chem.* 1985, **24**, 2911; (c) M. Ebner, H. Otto, and H. Werner, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* 1985, **24**, 518; (d) B. Mailvaganam, C. S. Frampton, S. Top, B. G. Sayer and M. J. McGlinchey, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1991, **113**, 1177; (e) R. B. Bedford, A. F. Hill, A. J. P. White, and D. J. Williams, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* 1996, **35**, 95; (f) S. R. Caskey, M. H. Stewart, J. E. Kivela, J. R. Sootsman, M. J. A. Johnson, and J. W. Kampf, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2005, **127**, 16750.
- N. K. Hansen and P. Coppens, Acta Crystallogr., Sect. A 1978, 34, 90.
- 15 The ellipticity (ε) is defined as $\varepsilon = \lambda_1/\lambda_2 1$, where λ_1 and λ_2 are the Hessian eigenvalues of the electron density at the bond critical point.
- 16 F. L. Hirshfeld, Theor. Chim. Acta 1977, 44, 129.
- 17 M. J. Frisch, Gaussian 03, revision E. 01, Gaussian Inc., Wallingford, CT, 2004.
- A. E. Reed, L. A. Curtiss, and F. Weinhold, *Chem. Rev.* 1988, 88, 899.
- 19 R. F. W. Bader, Chem. Rev. 1991, 91, 893.
- 20 (a) H.-O. Kalinowski, and H. Kessler, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 1974, **13**, 90; (b) G. A. Olah, T. Nakajima, and G. K. Surya Prakash, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 1980, **19**, 811.

Page 4 of 4