ChemComm

Accepted Manuscript



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this Accepted Manuscript with the edited and formatted Advance Article as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the **Information for Authors**.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard <u>Terms & Conditions</u> and the <u>Ethical guidelines</u> still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



www.rsc.org/chemcomm

Journal Name

COMMUNICATION

COYAL SOCIETY OF CHEMISTRY

New Aspect of Cyclopentadithiophene Based Polymers: Narrow Band Gap Polymer upon Protonation

Received 00th January 20xx, Accepted 00th January 20xx

DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/

Tao Tang^{a, c}, Tingting Lin^c, FuKe Wang^{*b, c}, and Chaobin He^{*a, c}

A new aspect of cyclopentadithiophene (CPDT) based conjugated polymers was reported. CPDT units in conjugated polymers can be facilely protonated with a significant optical and electronic properties change. The protonation was confirmed by NMR spectra studies and the remarkable decrease of energy band-gap was attributed to charge separation upon protonation.

Electroactive cyclopentadithiophene (CPDT) derivatives have attracted tremendous interests recently due to their semiconducting and unique electronic characteristics, which were used for constructing high performance organic electronic and optical materials.¹⁻³ The fused rings, rigid and planar structures of CPDT lead to a more extended conjugation length in the ground state, a lower HOMO-LUMO energy separation and easy π - π^* intermolecular charge transport.^{4,5} The rigid fused rings structure of CPDT also lower the reorganization energy, a parameter that strongly affects the rate of intermolecular hopping and hence the charge carrier mobility in organic semiconductors.⁶ As a result, CPDT and its derivatives have been extensively employed as building blocks in preparation of electroactive conjugated polymers, especially the narrow band-gap π -conjugated polymers, as electronic donor or π -conjugated spacer. Most of these **CPDT**s based conjugated polymers showed excellent electronic and optical properties, which have been exploited for application in organic semiconducting devices such as organic filed effect transistors (OFETs),⁷⁻¹² photovoltaic devices (PVDs),¹³⁻¹⁷ light emitting diodes (LEDs)^{18,19} and electrochromic devices (ECDs).^{20,21}

Because **CPDTs** based materials display a wide range of interesting physical properties such as low band gaps and high

conductivities, many new **CPDT**s based conjugated polymers have been reported recently.²²⁻²⁷ The most common used methods are to design and synthesize of new polymer by modifying the side chains of existing polymer or by building a totally new polymer structures.²⁸ For instance, **CPDT** can be functionalized at the α positions of thiophenes and/or at the bridgehead position.^{27,28} However, in most cases, tedious synthetic steps are required, and the complicated new structures are limited by their low yields and high cost. Furthermore, enormous synthetic effort often leads to minor tuning of their physical properties.^{31,32} Thus, new and simple approaches to significantly modulate the optical and electronic properties of **CPDT** based polymers are greatly desired.

Here we report a new and interesting aspect of the **CPDT** based conjugated polymers. We show that **CPDT** units in the polymers can be protonated by organic acid with significant optical and electronic properties changes. A significant decrease of the energy bandgap (> 1.5 eV) can be achieved simply by protonation. The **CPDT** based conjugated polymers showed ultrasensitive response to acid with a remarkable optical and electronic properties change. With the help of DFT calculation, the remarkable decrease of HOMO-LUMO band-gap was attributed to charge separation upon protonation. To the best of our knowledge, for the first time, it is demonstrated that **CPDTs** based polymers can be protonated with significant tunable optical and electronic properties, and thus a new series of polyaniline-like conducting polymers was developed.



Scheme 1 Synthesis of polymers P1-P3.

To demonstrate the new interesting properties of **CPDT**s based conjugated polymers, three **CPDT**-containing conjugated polymers were prepared in high yields by coupling of **CPDT** derivatives with other aromatic units such as fluorene and biphenyl through Suzuki

^{a.} Department of Materials Science and Engineering, National University of Singapore, 9 Engineering Drive 1, Singapore 117576

^{b.} Department of Chemistry, National University of Singapore, 3 Science Drive 3, Singapore

^c Institute of Materials Research and Engineering, A*STAR (Agency for Science, Technology and Research), 3 Research Link, Singapore 117602 Email: wangf@imre.a-star.edu.sg; msehc@nus.edu.sg or cb-he@imre.a-

star.edu.sg.
† Footnotes relating to the title and/or authors should appear here.

Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: Polymers synthesis and

characterization, thermal analysis and optical studies of polymer **P1** and **P2**. See DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

COMMUNICATION

Journal Name

coupling (Scheme 1). The obtained polymers could be readily dissolved in common solvents such as THF, chloroform, toluene and dichloromethane. In addition, these polymers showed good thermal stability with decomposition temperatures (T_d) between 291-390 °C (Fig. S1, ESI[†]).



Fig. 1 UV-vis-NIR spectra of the polymer **P3** (a) in chloroform solution as a function of TFA concentration (ppm) (Insets show the color cahnge of **P3** solution after protonation); (b) as-cast film on quartz substrates upon different treatments (the prinstine neutral polymer film, film exposed to TFA vapor, and neutralization of the protonated film by TEA vapor).

Their optical properties were studied by using UV-vis-NIR spectrometer. All three **CPDT**-containing polymers in chloroform exhibit absorption maxima in the range of 463 and 472 nm, similar to the reported **CPDT**s based polymers (Fig. S2, ESI†).¹³ However, significant optical behavior was observed when these polymers were treated with trifluoroacetic acid (TFA), and **P3** is here used as an example to show the optical properties change upon protonation (Fig. 1). At very low concentration of TFA (0.0067 % (V), ~ 60 ppm), two new absorption bands at 800 and 1401 nm were emerged. Further addition of TFA leads to the continuing increase of the newly appeared broad absorption bands with the bleaching of π - π * transition at 463 nm. An isosbestic point observed at 542 nm is consistent with two inter-converting optically different

phases being present in the solution. Finally, the spectra exhibits little change when the TFA concentration was beyond 0.6% (V), suggesting an acidic saturation is reached at this TFA concentration. Other **CPDT** based polymers such as **P1** and **P2** showed similar response to the acid protonation (Fig. S3, ESI[†]). It is interesting to note that, the acid-mediated optical changes of **CPDT** based polymers are reversible in solution, with the original spectral characteristics being recovered after neutralization of the protonated species. For instance, treatment of **P3** dissolved in chloroform containing 1% TFA with equivalent triethylamine (TEA) results in the recurrence of identical absorption profiles to the neutral **P3** prior to protonation. Most interestingly, this protonation-deprotonation process in solution could be cycled for many times (Fig. S3, ESI[†]).

The TFA induced near IR absorption and reversible optical properties upon protonation and deprotonation were also observed in the solid state of CPDT based polymers. As shown in Fig. 1b, polymer P3 film casted from solution showed the same maximum absorption as in solution (chloroform), except the λ_{onset} value was red-shifted (~36 nm). Upon exposure to TFA vapor, the yellow polymer film was changed to grey in seconds. From the corresponding UV-vis-NIR spectra, we can see the emergence of absorbance bands at 793 and 1443 nm with the decreased intensity of π - π * transition after the TFA vapor treatment, which is quite close to the spectra change as in solution. Similarly, the protonated film can be neutralized with TEA vapor, to nearly recover the original neutral polymer film spectra, indicating the majority of P3 are deprotonated. Therefore, the protonation-deprotonation process for the CPDT based polymers is reversible and can be repeated for many times, both in solution and at solid states, $^{\rm 33-36}$ indicating their highly potential applications in chemical sensors.



Fig. 2 Aromatic regions of the ¹H NMR spectra of **CPDT** as a function of trifluoroacetic acid-d concentration from 0 to 20 μ l at 300 K. All spectra were recorded in CDCl_{3.}

Journal Name



Fig. 3 Optimized structures of frontier molecular orbitals for model compounds **M1** in their neutral and protonated states.

Table 1 The corrected Zero Point Vibrational Energies (ZPE) and Proton Affinities (PA) calculated by G09 and DMOL calculated Proton Affinities (PA) at different sites of **M1**.

Entry	G09: scaled ZPE (au)	G09: PA (kcal/mol)	DMOL: PA (kcal/mol)
Site 1	0.5487	211.8	220.7
Site 2	0.5504	236.8	240.3
Site 3	0.5503	233.6	235.9
Site 4	0.5498	222.4	227.4
Site 5	0.5491	205.4	214.0

Further insight into the reaction between TFA and CPDT was examined via ¹H NMR spectroscopic studies. The ¹H NMR spectrum of CPDT in CDCl₃ shows two doublet peaks at δ 6.94 (β position) and 7.15 (α position). Upon the addition of trifluoroacetic acid-d (TFAd), a new singlet peak appeared at low field with the decreased intensity of peak at δ 7.15 and unchanged peak intensity at δ 6.94. In addition, doublet peak at α position changed to multiplet peak due to the extra deuterated proton at α position. With the increase of TFA-d concentration, the intensity of doublet peak at α position continued decreasing, accompanied by the rising intensity of the newly formed singlet peak at low field. Moreover, the overall integration ratio of protons at β position (6.94 ppm) to the protons at both α position (7.15 ppm) and newly occurred singlet peak (8-11 ppm) was remained at 1:1. The NMR behavior validates the protonation occurs at the α position of **CPDT** by TFA. The NMR spectra of P3 upon protonation was also investigated and similar chemical shifts change was observed (Fig. S4, ESI⁺). Upon addition of TFA-d into P3 solution, a large deshielding of α -H (δ , 8-10.5) indicates that the positive charge was brought into the thiophene ring by the captured proton. All these results suggest that CPDT

COMMUNICATION

and \mbox{CPDT} based polymers can be protonated by TFA at their α positions.

Density functional theory (DFT) is used to calculate the optimal protonation site via proton affinity (PA). The geometry optimisation and energy calculations were performed with Gaussian 09 software suite using B3LYP/6-31+G(d,p) (Table 1, Scheme 2, Fig. 3).³⁷ M1 is used the representative monomer for P3. For simplification, the long alkyl chains were replaced with methyl groups in M1. DFT calculated from the optimized structure shows that protonation at site 2/3 (α position of CPDT) is more preferable by the highest relative zero-point vibrational energies (ZPE) and PA. DMol optimized by PW91-dspp/dnp was also calculated to find protonation site. Results obtained by DMol exhibits the same trend as that of G09. These results are consistent with our NMR results that the pronounced affinity of proton to α position of CPDT is caused by the electron-rich property of α position and high basicity of CPDT.³⁶ Meanwhile, molecular orbital (MO) calculations for M1 in the neutral and protonated states were carried out using B3LYP functional with 6-31+G(d,p) basis set. For the neutral monomer, both HOMO and LUMO are nearly delocalized on the whole backbone of M1. However, protonation of CPDT leads to significant changes in the distribution of HOMO/LUMO orbitals (Scheme S1, Table S1, ESI⁺). HOMO now is mainly located on fluorene unit, while LUMO is delocalized on CPDT unit. The dipole moment of protonated M1 (17.14 D) is almost 40 times higher than that of neutral M1 (0.43 D), which should be responsible for imposing charge separation of protonated M1. Therefore, the protonation induced NIR absorption can be attributed to the effective charge separation and related significant intramolecular charge transfer (ICT) upon protonation.³⁹⁻⁴¹ When the **CPDT** based polymers were protonated, protonated CPDT units were changed from electronic donors or π -spacer to strong electron acceptor, which lead strong ICT effect in the polymer backbone and finally extends the absorption into the NIR region. This conclusion was further confirmed by comparing the acid response of P1and P2 with P3. Compared with P1 and P2, P3 shows much higher intensity of NIR absorption under the same TFA concentration, which is due to a more efficient intramolecular charge transfer by the presence of π spacer.

In this study, a simple and effective approach to modulate optical and electronic properties of **CPDT** based polymers is reported for the first time. The synthesis, optical properties and structural characterizations demonstrate an easy and sensitive protonation process of polymers upon the exposure to TFA. The proton NMR spectroscopy and DFT calculation validate that the most preferable protonation site is the α position of **CPDT** due to its high ZPE and PA. This study provides a new approach to remarkably tune optical and electronic properties of existing **CPDT**s based conjugated polymers, to greatly broaden their applications in chemcial sensors and optical devices.

Notes and references

1 H. Sirringhaus, R. H. Friend, X. C. Li, S. C. Moratti, A. B. Holmes and N. Feeder, *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, 1997, **71**, 3871.

- X.-C. Li, H. Sirringhaus, F. Garnier, A. B. Holmes, S. C. Moratti, N. Feeder, W. Clegg, S. J. Teat and R. H. Friend, *J. Am. Chem.* Soc., 1998, **120**, 2206.
- 3 B. C. Popere, A. M. Della Pelle, A. Poe, G. Balaji and S. Thayumanavan, *Chem. Sci.*, 2012, **3**, 3093.
- 4 P. Coppo and M. L. Turner, J. Mater. Chem., 2005, 15, 1123.
- 5 I. H. Jung, J. Yu, E. Jeong, J. Kim, S. Kwon, H. Kong, K. Lee, H.
- Y. Woo and H.-K. Shim, *Chem. Eur. J.*, 2010, **16**, 3743.
 M. Zhang, H. N. Tsao, W. Pisula, C. Yang, A. K. Mishra and K. Müllen, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2007, **129**, 3472.
- 7 L.-L. Chua, J. Zaumseil, J.-F. Chang, E. C. W. Ou, P. K. H. Ho, H. Sirringhaus and R. H. Friend, *Nature*, 2005, **434**, 194.
- I. McCulloch, M. Heeney, C. Bailey, K. Genevicius, I. MacDonald, M. Shkunov, D. Sparrowe, S. Tierney, R. Wagner, W. Zhang, M. L. Chabinyc, R. J. Kline, M. D. McGehee and M. F. Toney, *Nat Mater*, 2006, 5, 328.
- 9 S. Allard, M. Forster, B. Souharce, H. Thiem and U. Scherf, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2008, 47, 4070.
- 10 S. Xiao, S. J. Kang, Y. Zhong, S. Zhang, A. M. Scott, A. Moscatelli, N. J. Turro, M. L. Steigerwald, H. Li and C. Nuckolls, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2013, 52, 4558.
- 11 X. Zhan, J. Zhang, S. Tang, Y. Lin, M. Zhao, J. Yang, H.-L. Zhang, Q. Peng, G. Yu and Z. Li, *Chem. Commun.*, 2015, **51**, 7156.
- 12 J. C. Ribierre, L. Zhao, S. Furukawa, T. Kikitsu, D. Inoue, A. Muranaka, K. Takaishi, T. Muto, S. Matsumoto, D. Hashizume, M. Uchiyama, P. Andre, C. Adachi and T. Aoyama, *Chem. Commun.*, 2015, **51**, 5836.
- 13 B. Pal, W.-C. Yen, J.-S. Yang, C.-Y. Chao, Y.-C. Hung, S.-T. Lin, C.-H. Chuang, C.-W. Chen and W.-F. Su, *Macromolecules*, 2008, **41**, 6664-6671.
- 14 J. Hou, Z. a. Tan, Y. Yan, Y. He, C. Yang and Y. Li, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2006, **128**, 4911
- 15 M. Xu, M. Zhang, M. Pastore, R. Li, F. De Angelis and P. Wang, *Chem. Sci.*, 2012, **3**, 976.
- 16 H. Padhy, D. Sahu, D. Patra, M. K. Pola, J.-H. Huang, C.-W. Chu, K.-H. Wei and H.-C. Lin, J. Polym. Sci., Part A: Polym. Chem., 2011, 49, 3417.
- 17 J.-M. Jiang, P.-A. Yang, C.-M. Yu, H.-K. Lin, K.-C. Huang and K.-H. Wei, J. Polym. Sci., Part A: Polym. Chem., 2012, 50, 3960.
- 18 A. Kraft, A. C. Grimsdale and A. B. Holmes, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 1998, **37**, 402.
- 19 C. Ego, D. Marsitzky, S. Becker, J. Zhang, A. C. Grimsdale, K. Müllen, J. D. MacKenzie, C. Silva and R. H. Friend, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2002, **125**, 437.
- 20 H. C. Ko, S. Kim, H. Lee and B. Moon, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2005, **15**, 905.
- 21 P. Jia, A. A. Argun, J. Xu, S. Xiong, J. Ma, P. T. Hammond and X. Lu, *Chem. Mater.*, 2009, **21**, 4434.
- 22 X. Wang, J. Yang, H. Yu, F. Li, L. Fan, W. Sun, Y. Liu, Z. Y. Koh, J. Pan, W.-L. Yim, L. Yan and Q. Wang, *Chem. Commun.*, 2014, 50, 3965.
- 23 M. Zhang, Y. Wang, M. Xu, W. Ma, R. Li and P. Wang, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2013, 6, 2944.
- 24 D. Niedzialek, V. Lemaur, D. Dudenko, J. Shu, M. R. Hansen, J. W. Andreasen, W. Pisula, K. Müllen, J. Cornil and D. Beljonne, *Adv. Mater.*, 2013, **25**, 1939.
- 25 G. C. Welch and G. C. Bazan, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2011, 133, 4632.
- 26 B. Grimm, C. Risko, J. D. Azoulay, J.-L. Bredas and G. C. Bazan, *Chem. Sci.*, 2013, 4, 1807.
- 27 X. Shi, P. M. Burrezo, S. Lee, W. Zhang, B. Zheng, G. Dai, J. Chang, J. T. Lopez Navarrete, K.-W. Huang, D. Kim, J. Casado and C. Chi, *Chem. Sci.*, 2014, **5**, 4490.
- 28 N. Blouin, A. Michaud, D. Gendron, S. Wakim, E. Blair, R. Neagu-Plesu, M. Belletête, G. Durocher, Y. Tao and M. Leclerc, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2007, **130**, 732.

- 29 J. S. Reddy, T. Kale, G. Balaji, A. Chandrasekaran and S. Thayumanavan, *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.*, 2011, **2**, 648.
- 30 J. D. Azoulay, Z. A. Koretz, B. M. Wong and G. C. Bazan, Macromolecules, 2013, 46, 1337.
- 31 B. P. Karsten, J. C. Bijleveld, L. Viani, J. Cornil, J. Gierschner and R. A. J. Janssen, J. Mater. Chem., 2009, 19, 5343.
- 32 G. L. Gibson, T. M. McCormick and D. S. Seferos, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2011, **134**, 539.
- 33 F. K. Wang, T. T. Lin, C. B. He, H. Chi, T. Tang and Y. H. Lai, *J. Mater. Chem.*, 2012, **22**, 10448.
- 34 T. Tang, G. Ding, T. Lin, H. Chi, C. Liu, X. Lu, F. Wang and C. He, *Macromol. Rapid Commun.*, 2013, **34**, 431.
- 35 T. Tang, T. Lin, F. Wang and C. He, *Polym. Chem.*, 2014, **5**, 2980.
- 36 T. Tang, H. Chi, T. Lin, F. Wang and C. He, *PCCP*, 2014, **16**, 20221.
- 37 M. W. Wong, Chem. Phys. Lett., 1996, 256, 391.
- 38 P. B. Koster and M. J. Janssen, *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans.* 2, 1976, 323.
- 39 G. Qian and Z. Y. Wang, *Chem. Asian J.*, 2010, **5**, 1006.
- 40 E. Amir, R. J. Amir, L. M. Campos and C. J. Hawker, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2011, **133**, 10046.
- 41 K. Tsurui, M. Murai, S.-Y. Ku, C. J. Hawker and M. J. Robb, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2014, **24**, 7338.

4 | J. Name., 2012, 00, 1-3