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Amplification-free In Situ KRAS Point Mutation Detection at 60 copies/mL in Urine in a Background of 1000-fold Wild Type

Ceyhun E. KirimLi, Wei-Heng Shih and Wan Y. Shih

We have examined in situ detection of single-nucleotide KRAS mutation in urine using a (Pb1−1/3Nb1−2/3O3)1−x(PbTiO3)x, x ≈ 0.65 (PMN-PT) piezoelectric plate sensor (PEPS) coated with a 17-nucleotide (nt) locked nucleic acid (LNA) probe DNA complementary to the KRAS mutation. To enhance in situ mutant (MT) DNA detection specificity against the wild type (WT), the detection was carried out in a flow with a flow rate of 4 mL/min and at 63°C with the PEPS vertically situated at the center of the flow in which both the temperature and the flow impingement force discriminated the wild type. Under such conditions, PEPS was shown to specifically detect KRAS MT in situ with 60 copies/mL analytical sensitivity in a background of clinically-relevant 1000-fold more WT in 30 min without DNA isolation, amplification, or labeling. For validation, the detection was followed with detection in a mixture of blue MT fluorescent reporter microspheres (FRMs) (MT FRMs) that bound to only the captured MT and orange WT FRMs that bound to only the captured WT. Microscopic examinations showed that the captured blue MT FRMs still outnumbered the orange WT FRMs by a factor of 4 to 1 even though WT was 1000-fold of MT in urine. Finally, multiplexed specific mutation detection was demonstrated using a 6-PEPS array each with a probe DNA targeting one of the 6 codon-12 KRAS mutations.

Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) has been the method of detecting circulating deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) markers in serum or urine. To detect gene mutation, PCR is typically followed with melting temperature analysis to differentiate mutant (MT) from the wild type (WT), the normal form of the gene. So far, detecting mutations in sera or urine has been challenging because (1) the melting-temperature difference between a single-nucleotide MT and the WT can be only a few degrees, (2) the concentrations of circulating MT markers are exceedingly low (much lower than 10⁻¹⁸ M or 600 copies/mL), (3) circulating MT markers are typically outnumbered by the WT by a factor of 240 or larger, (4) trans-renal DNA exist in urine in the form of short fragments often less than 200 base pairs (bp), and PCR suffers from ampiclon size, where only a small amount of the naturally occurring fragments in urine can be amplified. These combinations make it difficult to detect circulating mutations sensitively and specifically. Therefore, if there is a genetic detection method that can detect genetic mutations in short DNA fragments of less than 200 bp at concentrations lower than aM (10⁻¹⁸ M) and in a background of more than 240-fold wild type (WT) without the need of DNA isolation or amplification it would be ideal for reliably detecting circulating genetic mutations in urine that can greatly help cancer diagnostics and treatment decision and monitoring.

Genetic detection technologies currently under development rely fluorescence, quartz crystal microbalance (QCM), electrochemical binding to nano-metal particles, surface plasmon resonance (SPR), silicon-based microcantilever sensor as well as piezoelectric microcantilever sensor. For DNA detection, nanoparticle-amplified QCM exhibited a concentration sensitivity of 1 pM. Nanoparticle enhanced SPR exhibited concentration sensitivity of 10-100 aM. The electrochemical methods involving nanofibers and nanotubes
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Introduction

Cancer is a genetic disease and gene mutation is an important form of genetic defects that play a central role in cancer pathways. Detecting gene mutation is essential for cancer diagnosis, therapy decision, and therapy efficacy monitoring. The challenge for gene sequencing from solid tumor samples is be very difficult due to tumoral heterogeneity. Detection of single-nucleotide KRAS mutation in urine using a PEPS vertically situated at the center of the flow in which both the temperature and the flow impingement force discriminated the wild type.

Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) has been the method of detecting circulating deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) markers in serum or urine. To detect gene mutation, PCR is typically followed with melting temperature analysis to differentiate mutant (MT) from the wild type (WT), the normal form of the gene. So far, detecting mutations in sera or urine has been challenging because (1) the melting-temperature difference between a single-nucleotide MT and the WT can be only a few degrees, (2) the concentrations of circulating MT markers are exceedingly low (much lower than 10⁻¹⁸ M or 600 copies/mL), (3) circulating MT markers are typically outnumbered by the WT by a factor of 240 or larger, (4) trans-renal DNA exist in urine in the form of short fragments often less than 200 base pairs (bp), and PCR suffers from ampiclon size, where only a small amount of the naturally occurring fragments in urine can be amplified. These combinations make it difficult to detect circulating mutations sensitively and specifically. Therefore, if there is a genetic detection method that can detect genetic mutations in short DNA fragments of less than 200 bp at concentrations lower than aM (10⁻¹⁸ M) and in a background of more than 240-fold wild type (WT) without the need of DNA isolation or amplification it would be ideal for reliably detecting circulating genetic mutations in urine that can greatly help cancer diagnostics and treatment decision and monitoring.

Genetic detection technologies currently under development rely fluorescence, quartz crystal microbalance (QCM), electrochemical binding to nano-metal particles, surface plasmon resonance (SPR), silicon-based microcantilever sensor as well as piezoelectric microcantilever sensor. For DNA detection, nanoparticle-amplified QCM exhibited a concentration sensitivity of 1 pM. Nanoparticle enhanced SPR exhibited concentration sensitivity of 10-100 aM. The electrochemical methods involving nanofibers and nanotubes
also exhibited concentration sensitivity on the order of 30 fm$^{16}$. Nanowires$^{17-21}$ and nanotubes$^{22, 23}$ exhibited concentration sensitivity ranging from 100 fm to 1 fm. Microcantilevers coupled with nano-metal particles exhibited 0.01 nM concentration sensitivity$^{24}$. Although many of these methods such as QCM, SPR, silicon-based microcantilever sensor as well as lead zirconate titanate (PZT) piezoelectric microcantilever sensor (PEMS)$^{25}$. 26 are label-free, the sensitivity was still many orders of magnitude away from the attomolar (aM, or $10^{-18}$ M) requirement. Similarly, the $10^{-16}$ M sensitivity achieved by magnetic beads isolation coupled with electrochemical enhancement was still not sufficient$^{27}$. Nano-scale mechanical imaging by atomic force microscopy (AFM) could differentiate unhybridized single-stranded DNAs (ssDNAs) from hybridized double-stranded DNAs (dsDNAs) at aM sensitivity but it required sophisticated instrument such as AFM$^{28}$. Carbon nanotube impedance biosensors exhibited 100 aM sensitivity in DNA detection, which was insufficient for clinical applications$^{29}$. GaN nanowire extended-gate field-effect-transistors$^{30}$ and streptavidin horseradish peroxidase functionalized carbon nanotubes$^{31}$ have aM sensitivity in DNA detection. However, these detections are not in situ they typically require washing steps before the measurements can be made. Peptide nucleic acid (PNA) probe-enhanced electrochemical biosensors that were based on an integrated chip also exhibited aM sensitivity. However, they also required washing$^{32}$. Recently a disposable electrochemical biosensor based on magnetic bead amplification and target DNA biotinylation exhibited aM sensitivity$^{33}$. However, it required multiple steps of amplification and the need to biotinylate the target DNA render it impractical$^{34}$. In comparison with these technologies, the limit of detection (LOD) of a piezoelectric plate sensor PEPS in urine exceeds the attomolar level requirement while also label-free. Moreover detection with PEPS proved enough specificity for Mutant target DNA on a background of 250 times more Wild Type target DNA$^{34}$. For the GGT-to-GTT KRAS mutation, we designed and immobilized an LNA-containing probe on the PEPS surface to carry out in situ KRAS PM MT detection against the WT at a temperature below the melting temperature of the MT but above that of the WT and with a flow rate of 4 mL/min. We showed that the PEPS positively and specifically detected the KRAS G12V PM MT at a concentration of 60 copies/mL (100zM, or $10^{-19}$ M) in a background of 1000-fold WT and further validated the MT detection by following with in situ fluorescent reporter microspheres (FRMs) detection and by visualizing the fluorescent colors of FRMs. The numbers of captured MT FRMs outnumbered the captured WT FRMs by a factor of 4 to 1, indicating the specificity of PEPS single-nucleotide PM detection even when the samples contains 1000-fold WT.

**Experimental**

**Probe, MT, WT, rDNAs and FRMs**

The 17-nt LNA probe used to detect the KRAS G12V PM MT was a synthetic single-stranded DNA (Exiqon) complementary to the sequence of the KRAS gene (Gene ID: 3845) centered around the KRAS G12V MT with the 3 LNA bases also centered around the PM as shown in Table I. Such a design has been shown to increase the melting-temperature difference between the binding of the MT to the probe and that of the WT to the probe$^{35}$. Without using the 3 LNA bases, the melting
Table I  Sequences the probe, MT, WT, MT\rDNA, and the WTrDNA and the melting temperatures (T\r\text{m}) of MT with probe, WT with probe, MT\rDNA with MT, and WTrDNA with WT.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of DNA</th>
<th>Sequence (5'→3')</th>
<th>T\text{m}</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Probe (17 nt)</td>
<td>Amine-(PEG)12-TGGAGCTGGCGTAG</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MT (50 nt)</td>
<td>TCTGAATTAGCTGTACCTGACGCACTCTTCCTACGCCAGCTCCA</td>
<td>70°C (Probe to MT)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WT (50 nt)</td>
<td>CTACCCAGCTGCTCAACACTCAAGCAATATGATTGCTATTCACGGTTCG</td>
<td>55°C (Probe to WT)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTrDNA (33 nt)</td>
<td>GCAAGATGCGCTGAGCAGCTGCAATTCAAGCTAGTACGGTG</td>
<td>78.5°C (MT\rDNA to MT)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WTrDNA (33 nt)</td>
<td>Amine-(PEG)12-GCTGAAATGACTGAATATTACTTGTGGATGGATT</td>
<td>73.6°C (WTrDNA to WT)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note that the site where the mutation occurs is underlined in the MT, WT, and probe and the LNA bases in the probe are marked in red. The melting temperatures were estimated using a 115mM salt concentration consistent with urinary salt content\textsuperscript{56,57} and a LNA/DNA concentration of 50 nM.

Figure 1 (a) A schematic of the relationship between probe, mutant (MT) target DNA, wild type (WT), MT reporter DNA (MTrDNA), and WT rDNA (WTrDNA) for KRAS point mutation, (b) the legend for schematic in (a), (c) a schematic of the flow system for mutation detection in urine and (d) a blow-up of the PEPS situated in the center of the flow cell.

temperatures for the MT and the WT would be 62°C and 52°C, respectively with a melting temperature difference of 10°C. With the 3 LNA bases, the melting temperature for the MT was 70°C and that for the WT 55°C. The melting-temperature difference between the MT and the WT was thus increased from 10°C to 15°C. The probe was amine-activated with a 12-polyethylene glycol (PEG) spacer at the 5' end. The sequence of the probe is shown in Table I. The MT and WT were 50-nt long synthetic single-stranded DNA (Sigma). The MT contained the sequence complementary to the probe (in black in Table I) plus 33 nt of the immediately downstream sequence (in blue in Table I) while the WT contained the sequence complementary to the probe (in black in Table I) except for the point mismatch plus 33 nt of the immediately downstream sequence (in green in Table I). Also shown in Table I is the sequence of the rDNA for MT (MTrDNA) which was complementary to the upstream sequence of the MT shown in blue in Table I and sequence of the rDNA for WT (WTrDNA) complementary to the downstream sequence of the WT shown in green in Table I. Both MTrDNA and WTrDNA were 33-nt long (Sigma). The WTrDNA was amine activated with a 12-PEG spacer at the 5' end and the MTrDNA was also amine activated but with a 7-PEG spacer at the 3' end. Also shown in Table I are the melting temperature (T\text{m}) for the binding of the MT with the probe, that for the binding of the WT with the probe, that for the MTrDNA to MT, and that for the WTrDNA to WT. MTrDNA and...
Figure 2: (a) A schematic of the side view of the PEPS. The gold wires were connected to the top and bottom gold electrodes with conductive glue. The entire ear end including the conductive glue was covered with non-conductive glues. MPS layer covered the front end of the PEPS that was not covered by the nonconductive glue. (b) The blowup of both the impedance-versus-frequency (blue) and phase angle-versus-frequency (red) spectra around the WEM resonance peak around 3.4 MHz. Also included are schematics for LEM and WEM vibrations in insert (I) and (II), respectively. (c) In-air (black) and in-PBS (red) phase angle-versus-frequency resonance spectra with an insert showing an optical micrograph of the PEPS, and (d) relative resonance frequency shift, Δf/f, of the PMN-PT PEPS going through PBS step (0-30 min), the SMCC bonding step (30-60 min), the probe immobilization step(60-90 min), the MT detection step (90-120 min), the MT FRMs detection step (120-150 min), and the final PBS step (150-180 min) with an insert showing a schematic of the molecules involved in these steps. (e) MT (solid symbols) and WT (open symbols) detection at 1×10^-1 M in PBS at 55°C (black), 60°C (red), 63°C (blue) and 68°C (green) for 30 min, (f) average -Δf/f at 25-30 min versus temperature, squares for MT and circles for WT. Also plotted is the ratio of the average -Δf/f at 25-30 min of the MT to that of the WT (triangles) (the right side of the double-y plot).
WT rDNA were covalently conjugated to the MT FRMs and WT FRMs, respectively. Both MT and WT FRMs were 6 μm in size but differed in the fluorescent color. MT FRMs emitted blue light (Bright Blue (BB) (≥ Coumarin), Polysciences) with excitation maximum at 360 nm and emission maximum at 407 nm whereas the WT FRMs emitted yellow-green light (Yellow Green (YG) (≥ Fluorescein), Polysciences) with excitation maximum at 441 nm and emission maximum at 486 nm. Fig. 1 shows a schematic illustrating the relationship between probe, MT, WT, MTrDNA, WTrDNA, MT FRMs, and WT FRMs. As can be seen, the sequences of MT, WT, MTrDNA, and WTrDNA were chosen such that the MT FRMs would report only the presence of MT and the WT FRMs would report only the presence of WT. For this purpose, after FRMs detection, two fluorescent images of the PEPS surface were taken using an Olympus BX51 fluorescent microscope using the imaging procedure described earlier. One image contained only the MT FRMs by using a D350/50 filter (Chroma) for excitation and a 400 nm long-pass filter (Chroma) for emission while the other image contained only the WT FRMs by using a D460/50 filter (Chroma) for excitation and an HQ545/30 filter (Chroma) for emission. Even though the MT FRMs images were taken with a 400 nm pass emission filter, they did not contain the images of WT FRMs because the WT FRMs could not be excited with the D350/50 excitation filter. For the latter image, a longer exposure time was used to make up for the fact that the emission filter did not completely overlap with the emission spectrum of the WT FRMs. The fluorescent spots in the MT FRMs image were then colored blue to denote that they are MT FRMs and those in the WT FRMs image were then colored orange to denote that they are WT FRMs using Matlab. After coloring, the blue and orange images were merged using Matlab. Because MT FRMs and WT FRMs exhibited different fluorescent colors, by examining the colors of the FRMs captured on the PEPS surface following MT detection in a mixture of MT and WT, one could determine how specific the MT detection was in a background of WT.

PEPS fabrication and electrical insulation

The PEPS used in this study was 1.2 mm long and 0.45 mm wide fabricated from (PbMg

\[ \text{O}_2/3 \text{Nb}_2/3 \text{O}_3 \] (PbTiO

\[ \text{O}_2/3 \text{Nb}_2/3 \text{O}_3 \] (PMN-PT) freestanding films 8 μm thickness that was coated with 110 nm thick Cr/Au electrode by thermal deposition (Thermionics VE 90) cut into 2.5 mm by 0.45 mm strips by a wire saw (Princeton Scientific Precision, Princeton, NJ) as shown in Fig. 2a. Gold wires, 10 μm in diameter, were glued to the top and bottom electrodes of each strip using conductive glue (XCE 3104XL, Emerson and Cuming Company, Billerica, MA). The rear end of the strip was fixed on a glass substrate by a nonconductive glue (Loctite 1 Hcy Epoxy Adhesive) to form the PEPS geometry and poled at 15KV/cm at 90°C for 60 min in an incubator (Digital Control Steel Door Incubator 10-180E, Quincy Lab). The dielectric constant of the PEPS was measured using an electrical impedance analyzer (Agilent 4294A) to be about 1800 with a loss factor of 2.8% at 1 kHz. The PEPS was electrically insulated to stabilize the resonance peaks for in-liquid detection by using a new 3-mercaptopropyltrimethoxysilane (MPS) (Sigma-Aldrich Co. LLC.) solutions coating scheme involving enhanced MPS cross-linking at pH=9.0 and with the addition of water as described before. The MPS insulation also served as the anchor to immobilize the probe via the bi-functional linker SMCC. The pKa of thiols is about 10.5. Under the coating conditions at pH=9.0 or the immobilization conditions at pH=7, most of the thiols were un-oxidized and good for the immobilization. Indeed, the probe immobilized on the MPS surface was quantified using quartz crystal microbalance (QCM) to be about 3-4 probes per 100 nm². Thus, the SH of the MPS was proven to be effective to facilitate the immobilization of the probe DNA. A schematic of LEM vibrations and that of WEM vibrations of a PEPS are shown in the insert (I) and (II) of Figs. 2b, respectively. The impedance (blue) and phase angle (red) versus frequency resonance spectra around the first WEM peak frequency—which was taken as the peak frequency of the phase angle versus frequency spectrum— are shown in Fig. 2b. Because the phase-angle resonance spectrum was much more symmetric than that of the impedance, in the following, all detections were carried out using the phase-angle spectrum to track the WEM peak.

Probe immobilization, nonspecific binding blocking, and FRMs conjugation

Sultosuccinimidy-l-(N-maleimidomethyl)cylohexane-1-carboxylate (sulfo-SMCC) (Pierce) was first dissolved in water followed by dilution in a phosphate buffer saline (PBS) solution. To immobilize the amine-activated probe on the PEPS surface, the MPS-coated PEPS was first immersed in 200μL of a 5 mM sulfo-SMCC solution in PBS with the pH adjusted to 6.5 for 1 hour. The sensor was then washed three times with deionized water and then it was immersed in a solution of 10 μM of amine activated probe dissolved in 200 μL of PBS (pH 8.0). A schematic illustrating the immobilization of the amine-activated probe on the PEPS surface via the thiol functionality of the MPS insulation layer is shown in Fig. S1 of the supplemental information. After probe immobilization, the PEPS was treated with 3% bovine serum albumin (Sigma) in PBS for 1 hr followed by washing 5 times with PBS. As demonstrated by the previous study, 3% BSA was sufficient to completely block the nonspecific bindings for DNA detection in urine. The MT FRMs were covalently conjugated to MTrDNA and the WT FRMS to the WTrDNA using procedures described previously. The total volume of MT FRMs solution was 8 mL and the concentration was 1×10⁶ FRMs/mL. At 2 mL/min, this solution was recycled approximately 7 times in the 30 minutes of the MT FRMs detection step. The likely reason for the fact that the detection of the 50 nt MT/WT produced quite similar Δf/f to that of the detection of the larger MT FRMs/WT FRMs is the following. DNA was highly negatively charged--including the probe DNA on the PEPS surface, MT, WT and the reporter DNA on the FRMs surface. Therefore, much of the
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surface stress generated by MT, WT or FRMs binding was due to the electrostatic repulsive forces between the negatively charged surface due to the immobilized probe DNA layer and the negatively charged target (MT, WT or FRMs). Under physiological electrolyte concentrations, the electrical screening length (or electrical double layer thickness) is less than 1 nm. This means that charges at a distance more than 1 nm away from the probe DNA layer would generate negligible repulsive forces on the probe DNA layer, hence the PEPS surface. As a result, most of a bound FRM did not contribute to the surface stress except the vicinity and around the binding site that was right on the probe DNA layer. Note that a 6-μm FRM generated comparable resonance frequency shift to that of a 50 nt MT or WT is another indication that PEPS sensing mechanism is not by mass change.

**Spiked urine samples and flow setup**

The flow system for carrying out the detection contained a peristaltic pump (Cole-Parmer 77120 – 62), a flow cell where detection took place, reservoirs containing DNA-spiked urine samples, FRMs, and PBS interconnected with tubing of a 0.8-mm inner diameter as schematically shown in Fig. 1c. The flow cell was 18.5 mm long, 3.5 mm wide and 5.5 mm deep (volume = 356 µL) connected to the sample reservoir. A schematic of the flow cell is shown in Fig. 1d. The total internal volume of the flow cell plus tubing was approximately 750 µL. The urine came from one individual. The subject was free of HBV infection. The urine samples were collected in a “First Morning Specimen” manner, i.e., the bladder was emptied before bed and the sample was collected first thing in the morning. A total of 11 such urine samples were collected for the study and visually there was no significant difference among these 11 urine samples and 21 more that were used for previous studies.

The flow was driven by the peristaltic pump and what flowed through the flow cell was controlled by the valves. In each detection experiment, the volume of the DNA-spiked urine sample was fixed at 50 mL and the probe-coated PEPS was placed in the center of the flow cell. The flow setup was placed inside an incubator (Digital Control Steel Door Incubator 10-180E, Quincy Lab) for temperature control. Because the flow cell was open a 2-litre water bath was included in the incubator to eliminate potential resonance steps involved in the immobilization process. Fig. 2d was done in PBS at room temperature mainly to illustrate the various binding steps during surface functionalization, MT detection or FRM validation could be detected by the resonance frequency shift of the PEPS. For actual MT or WT detection in urine, there was a bovine serum albumin (BSA) blocking step right after probe immobilization in which the probe-coated PEPS was treated with a 3% BSA solution for 30 min to saturate any possible nonspecific binding sites such that no nonspecific binding could occur during detection in urine as described earlier. With the melting temperature for the binding of the MT to the probe being 70°C and that for the WT to the probe being 55°C, we carried out the detection of 1×10⁻⁵ M of MT and WT in PBS at 55°C, 60°C, 63°C and 68°C for 30 min to find the optimal temperature for specific MT detection against the WT. Three experiments were carried for each condition. The resultant relative detection resonance frequency shift, ∆f/f is plotted with standard deviations in Fig. 2e. We then averaged out the ∆f/f of each detection over 25-30 min. The resultant - ∆f/f at 25-30 min is plotted in Fig. 2f with squares representing MT and circles representing WT (the left side of the double-y plot). The ratio of the - ∆f/f at 25-30 min of the MT to that of the WT is also plotted in Fig. 2f as the triangles (the right side of the double-y plot). As can be seen the ratio of the - ∆f/f at 25-30 min of the MT to that of the WT was maximal at 63°C. Figs. 3a and 3b show the schematic of the MT detection in urine and that of the following MT FRMs detection, respectively. Fig. 3c shows the detection ∆f/f versus time of the MT detection in urine at various MT concentrations followed by the MT FRMs detection in PBS at 1×10⁻⁷ FRMs/mL. Note the background signal in blank urine (control) before and after the MT and FRM detection steps were stable as shown in Fig. 3c in all the detection experiments. For simplicity, in all the following figures we will omit the background signal sections to better highlight the detection results. Figs. 3d and 3e show the schematic of the WT detection in urine and that of the following detection of the WT FRMs in PBS, respectively. Fig. 3f shows the detection - ∆f/f versus time in urine at various MT concentrations followed by the detection of the WT FRMs in PBS at 1×10⁻⁷ FRMs/mL. Clearly, the detection - ∆f/f for MT at 1 aM (1×10⁻¹⁸ M) at t = 30 min was about 0.2×10⁻³, which was still larger than the detection - ∆f/f of <0.1×10⁻³ for WT at 100 fM (1×10⁻¹⁵ M) at t = 30 min, indicating the specificity of the MT detection by PEPS at the chosen detection conditions of 63°C and 4 mL/min flow rate.

To see if PEPS detection of KRAS MT under the current...
Figure 3. A schematic representation of (a) MT detection (b) MT FRMs detection following MT detection, (c) Δf/f versus time of MT detection followed by MT FRMs detection including the background signal collection in urine before (0-30 min) and after (90-120 min) the MT and FRMs detection, (d) WT detection (e) WT FRMs detection following WT detection, (f) Δf/f versus time of WT detection followed by WT FRMs detection. Clearly, at 63°C and at a flow rate of 4 mL/min, the detection -Δf/f of 1 aM MT at t = 30 min (-Δf/f = 0.2x10^{-3}) was much larger than that of WT at 100 fM at t = 30 min, (-Δf/f <0.1x10^{-3}), indicating the specificity of the MT detection at such detection conditions.

detection conditions, i.e., 63°C and a flow rate of 4 mL/min was indeed sensitive and specific, we carried out MT detection in a background of 1000-fold higher WT at various MT concentrations. In Fig. 4a, we show the Δf/f versus time of PEPS detection in urine containing a mixture of MT in a background of 1000-fold more WT at various MT concentrations followed by detection in an equal mixture of 10^5 FRMs/mL of MT FRMs and 10^5 FRMs/mL of WT FRMs in PBS.

After the detection in the mixture of MT FRMs and WT FRMs and washing, the PEPS was examined using a fluorescent microscope and the obtained fluorescent images from detection at various MT concentrations are shown in Figs. 4b-4e where the blue spots represent the MT FRMs and the orange ones WT FRMs. As can be seen, in all four MT concentrations (i.e., 100 zM, 1 aM, 10 aM and 100 aM) the blue MT FRMs outnumbered the orange WT FRMs. In addition, both the number of MT FRMs and that of the WT FRMs increased with an increasing MT concentration since the WT concentration was increased in proportion as well. In Fig. 5a, we plot the number of MT FRMs and that of WT FRMs versus the average Δf/f of MT detection in a MT/WT mixture as obtained from the -Δf/f at t = 25-30 min in Fig. 4a. Clearly, both the number of the MT FRMs and that of the WT FRMs increased roughly linearly with an increasing average Δf/f of
Figure 4: (a) Relative resonance frequency shift, $\Delta f/f$ versus time of PEPS detection of MT in a background of 1000-fold more WT at various MT concentrations followed by detection in an equal mixture of $10^5$ FRMs/mL of MT FRMs and $10^5$ FRMs/mL of WT FRMs in PBS, (b), (c), (d), and (e) are respectively the fluorescent images of the PEPS obtained after the FRMs detection following the MT detections at 0.1 aM (100 zM), 1 aM, 10 aM, and 100 aM MT concentrations where the blue color denotes the MT FRMs while the orange color denotes the WT FRMs, (f), (g), and (h) are respectively the schematic illustrating the PEPS in a mixture of MT and WT at t=0-30 min in (a), a schematic of the PEPS in a mixture MT FRMs and WT FRMs at t=30-60 min in (a), and a schematic of the PEPS after the final washing. That there were far more MT FRMs captured than WT FRMs in (b)-(e) indicates that the detection of MT was specific even in a background of 1000 times more WT.
To see how the detection of MT in a background of 1000-fold WT shown in Figs. 4a compared with detection in pure MT and that in pure WT in Figs. 3c and 3f, we plot in Fig. 5b the average $-\Delta f/f$ over $t = 25-30$ min at $10^{-19}$ M, $10^{-18}$ M, and $10^{-17}$ M in pure MT (black) as obtained from Fig. 3c, that at 1000-fold WT (red) as obtained from Fig. 3f, and that in a mixture of MT with 1000-fold WT (blue) as obtained from Fig. 4a (blue).

As can be seen from Fig. 5b, the overall detection $-\Delta f/f$ in a mixture (blue) was somewhat smaller than that of pure MT detection at the same concentration (black), understandably due to the interference by the presence of the 1000-fold WT except at low concentrations where WT had a negligible effect due to the low concentrations.

Note in Fig. 5b, the $-\Delta f/f$ of the pure MT detection (black) of all three concentrations were also roughly 4 times that of the pure WT detection at a 1000-fold higher concentration, consistent with the results from the detection in MT/WT mixture shown in Fig. 5a and further supporting that under the detection conditions of 63°C and 4 mL/min, roughly 80% (4 out of 5) of the detection signals were due to MT even in a background of 1000-fold WT.

Example of 6-PEPS Array Mutation Detection

Codon 12 and codon 13 are hot spots of KRAS mutations. Six codon-12 mutations and one codon-12 (G13D) account for more than 98% of all KRAS mutations. For the initial demonstration of the PEPS capability of multiplexed mutation detection, we constructed an array of six PEPSs to target the 6 codon-12 hot-spot KRAS mutations. The resonance spectra of the 6 PEPSs are shown in Fig. S2 in the supplemental information. In Table II, we list the six codon-12 KRAS mutations, the corresponding LNA probe sequences (Exiqon), the melting temperature between the probe and its target MT and that between the probe and the WT. The 6 synthetic codon-12 MTs were single-stranded and 90-nt long (Integrated DNA Technologies). The melting temperatures for the MTs ranged from 68°C to 72°C and those of the WTs ranged from 50.1°C to 54.3°C with melting temperature differences ranging 15.3°C to 20.9°C. Each PEPS was coated with a different LNA probe as specified in Table II. The immobilization of each biotin-activated probe to each corresponding PEPS was carried out using the procedures described previously. The detection of each MT using the 6-PEPS was carried out at 63°C, a temperature midway between the melting temperatures of all the MT and those of the WT and with a flow rate of 4 mL/min. Multichannel measurement was accomplished by multiplexing 6 sensors using 1 impedance analyzer and two relay modules (Super4 USB Relay Module, TCTEC Pty Ltd, Australia). The 6 PEPS surface due to more MT captured on the PEPS surface. Fig. 4h illustrates that the final PEPS surface had more bound MT FRMs with a MT FRMs/ WT FRMs number ratio of about 4 after detection in the equal mixture of MT FRMs and WT FRMs and washing.

MT detection with a MT FRMs/WT FRMs number ratio of about 4, validating that the $-\Delta f/f$ obtained in a MT/WT mixture with a WT/MT ratio of 1000 was mostly due to the binding of MT on the PEPS surface such that the bound FRMs were mostly MT FRMs. These results are schematically illustrated in Figs. 4f-4h. Fig. 4f illustrates that even in a mixture of MT with 1000-fold more WT, still more MT than WT were captured on the PEPS surface because the temperature and flow condition favored MT to bind to the probe on the PEPS surface. Fig. 4g illustrates that in detection in an equal mixture of MT FRMs and WT FRMs following the detection in the mixture of MT with 1000-fold more WT, more MT FRMs would bond on the
Table II. Codon-12 KRAS mutations, corresponding LNA probe sequences, melting temperature of the LNA probe with its corresponding MT and that with the WT, the melting temperature difference between the MT and the WT with the probe, and the PEPSs in the 6-PEPS array that target them.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mutation</th>
<th>Probe</th>
<th>$T_m$ of MT to probe (°C)</th>
<th>$T_m$ of WT to probe (°C)</th>
<th>$\Delta T_m$ (°C)</th>
<th>PEPS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GGT→AGT (G12S)</td>
<td>Biotin-(PEG)12-TGGAGCTAGTGGCGTAG</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>52.7</td>
<td>15.3</td>
<td>PEPS1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GGT→CGT (G12R)</td>
<td>Biotin-(PEG)12-TGGAGCTGTGGCGTAG</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>50.1</td>
<td>20.9</td>
<td>PEPS2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GGT→GAT (G12D)</td>
<td>Biotin-(PEG)12-TGGAGCTGATGGCGTAG</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>54.7</td>
<td>15.3</td>
<td>PEPS3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GGT→GCT (G12A)</td>
<td>Biotin-(PEG)12-TGGAGCTGTGGCGTAG</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>51.1</td>
<td>20.9</td>
<td>PEPS4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GGT→GTT (G12V)</td>
<td>Biotin-(PEG)12-TGGAGCTGTTGGCGTAG</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>54.3</td>
<td>15.7</td>
<td>PEPS5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GGT→GTG (G12C)</td>
<td>Biotin-(PEG)12-TGGAGCTGTGGCGTAG</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>53.3</td>
<td>15.7</td>
<td>PEPS6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Red letters indicate codon 12; Bold letters mutation sites; Underlines LNAs. The melting temperatures were estimated using a 115mM salt concentration consistent with urinary salt content\textsuperscript{16,17} and a LNA/DNA concentration of 50 nM.

PEPS are cycled through the impedance analyzer via the 2 relay modules. The setup was calibrated to exclude the contribution from the relay modules to the complex impedance of the sensor. A Matlab routine was written to control the relay modules to switch between different PEPSs automatically. Solutions for 6 different target DNAs were prepared separately and flown through the two flow cells in series each containing 3 PEPSs where each PEPS had a different probe immobilized on its surface as illustrated by the different colors on the PEPS surface as shown in Fig. 6a. Although the multiplexed detection was carried out in urine samples spiked with only one type of MT, it is carried out with the ability to read out all six probes at the same time. In each detection event, only one probe has positive response while all five other probes have negative responses, indicative of the accuracy and specificity of the probes. The detection data of each PEPS were collected, analyzed and displayed in real time using the MatLab program in the same way as with a single PEPS. In each detection event, the urine was spiked with only one MT at 100 aM (10\textsuperscript{-16} M). Thus, only the PEPS coated with the complementary probe could detect the MT. Indeed, in Fig. 6b, we show the $\Delta f/f$ versus time of the multiplexed detection where there were six $\Delta f/f$ versus time plots stacked vertically from (i) to (vi), each plot representing the $\Delta f/f$ versus time of one PEPS (PEPS1 to PEPS6). The six detection events were run successively with each event lasting for 30 min. The 6 detection events were denoted by 6 different colors in the plots. As can be seen, each PEPS detected only one mutation but not the others. This example clearly illustrates that array PEPS could perform multiplexed test to allow all possible forms of the codon-12 mutations to be detected in one single test.

Discussions

There are two types of point mutations, transitions that have no pyrimidine/purine substitutions and transversions with pyrimidine/purine substitutions. Four of the six codon-12 KRAS mutations (G12S, G12D, G12V, and G12C) were transitions and the other two were transversions (G12R and G12A) with a purine substitution of pyrimidine. Using similar probe design considerations such as the number of LNAs, the two transversions had a wider melting temperature spread.
between MT and WT than the four transitions (see Table II). In this case, using one of the four transitions, G12V as the model was sufficient to ensure that the same mutation detection methodology could be applied to the two transitions with similar mutation detection specificity as illustrated in the multiplexed detection of all 6 codon-12 KRAS mutations in Fig. 6. For transversions with a pyrimidine substitution of purine which the current study did not consider, using a LNA probe design similar to what was used in the current study could result in a smaller Tm spread between MT and WT. In that case, to widen the Tm spread of such transversions, the LNA probe could be redesigned with a different number of LNAs as well as different locations of the LNAs to allow a Tm spread of 15°C. With that, the same mutation detection methodology could be applied to such transversions with similar mutation detection specificity illustrated in this study.

The current probe was only 17-nt long with the mutated site in the middle (9°-nt), as long as the mutation site of a target MT is 8-nt or more from the edge of the target DNA, the binding of the probe to the target MT will have the expected melting temperature of 70°C. In urine most of the tumor derived cell free DNA fragments are low molecular weight (200 bp). The probability for the mutated site to be within 8 nt of either end would therefore be less than 8% on average. Thus, the current 17-nt probe would bind to the DNA fragments in urine with a probability of better than 92%. In comparison, PCR needs two primers and one probe, thus would not be able to detect a MT with a mutation site as far off the center as 8 nt away from the edge. Conservatively assuming the two primers and the probe to be all 17-nt long, for the MT to be detectable, the mutation site must be 25-nt or more away from the edge, reducing the chances of detecting the MT to 75%. Most of the primer and probe sequences in PCR are much longer than 17-nt long, which will further reduce the chance of detecting the mutation from the fragments. Clearly, the current method needs only a short probe is an advantage over PCR in detecting mutations from circulating DNA fragments.

The same methodology should also be able to detect mutations in circulating DNA from plasma or serum. The only difference is that the sensor must be blocked with a higher concentration of bovine serum albumin (BSA) after probe immobilization and prior to detection to prevent non-specific binding as plasma and sera contain higher concentrations of serum albumin. The current hybridization temperature is not high enough to denature dsDNA. Detection of dsDNA will require denaturing the dsDNA prior to hybridization, which can be incorporated in the flow system in a continuous fashion and will be published in future publication. Finally, the current hybridization temperature is not high enough to denature dsDNA. Detection of dsDNA will require denaturing the dsDNA first prior to hybridization. Both the denature step and the hybridization step could be incorporated in the flow system in a continuous fashion and will be published in future publication.

Conclusion

We have examined the analytical sensitivity and selectivity of in situ detection of gene mutation in urine using a PMN-PT PEPS using KRAS G12V point mutation (PM) as the model PM. The PEPS was coated with a 17-nt probe with three LNA bases around the mutated site that was complementary to the KRAS PM and the detection was carried out in a flow with the PEPS located at the center of the flow with a flow rate of 4 mL/min and at 63°C which was below the melting temperature of the MT with the probe but above that of the WT with the probe. To examine the specificity of the mutation detection in a background of wild type, we follow the detection in the mixture of MT and WT with detection in a mixture of MT FRMs and WT FRMs in which MT FRMS and WT FRMS were designed to bind only to MT and WT, respectively. The specificity can be further confirmed by visual inspection of the colors of the bound FRMs as the MT and WT FRMs emitted different fluorescent colors. The results indicated that under the optimal detection conditions of 63°C and 4 mL/min, PEPS detected the KRAS PM with an analytical sensitivity of 60 copies/mL in urine in a background of 1000-fold more WT without DNA isolation or amplification. Counting the captured MT FRMs and WT FRMs after the following FRMs detection indicated that roughly 80% (4/5) of the detection signals were due to the MT even in the presence of 1000-fold more WT. Multiplexed mutation detection was demonstrated using a 6-PEPS array each with a probe complementary of one of the 6 codon-12 KRAS mutations.
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