Analyst Accepted Manuscript



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this Accepted Manuscript with the edited and formatted Advance Article as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the **Information for Authors**.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard <u>Terms & Conditions</u> and the <u>Ethical guidelines</u> still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



www.rsc.org/analyst

1

Analyst

Cite this: DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

Received 00th January 2012, Accepted 00th January 2012

DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

www.rsc.ora/

Non-derivatized Glycan Analysis by Reverse Phase Liquid Chromatography and Ion Mobility-Mass **Spectrometry**

Scheme 1

Nichole M. Lareau,^a Jody C. May,^a and John A. McLean^{a*}

A simple method for the analysis of non-derivatized glycans using a reverse phase column on a liquid chromatographyion mobility- mass spectrometry (LC-IM-MS) instrument. Methodology supports both glycomic and proteomic work flows without the necessity of switching columns.

Structural analysis of N-linked glycan and glycan conjugates is challenging due to the high level of heterogeneity of glycan isomers and the corresponding difficulty of separation.¹ The study of non-derivatized (native) glycans poses additional challenges due to their low abundance and the inherent preference of sodium-coordinated glycans in endogenous biological matrices containing salts which partitions analyte signal into multiple ion channels and contributes to interfering chemical noise. Liquid chromatography (LC) and mass spectrometry (MS) techniques are frequently used for rapid characterization of carbohydrate samples, but commonly require extensive sample preparation and purification as well as multi-stage fragmentation analysis (tandem MS) in order to gleam structural information.1,2

Ion mobility-mass spectrometry (IM-MS) addresses several analytical challenges related to the complex heterogeneity of glycans through rapid gas-phase separations based on structurally selective IM, which is complementary to MS.^{3,4} The IM-MS separation improves analytical sensitivity by partitioning signals of interest from endogenous or exogenous chemical noise. Furthermore, structural information can be derived from mobility measurements that are specific to isomeric species. The methodologies described in this report have been developed for the ana derivatized glycan using LC-IM-M preparation and no prior purificati methodology can be applied to samples.

Initial motivation for this study was to develop methodologies for integrating multiple omics workflows (glycomics and proteomics) towards a comprehensive IM-MSbased structural analysis of glycoproteins.³ To minimize time and cost, a single stage of liquid chromatography was utilized,

lysis of native or non	carbohydrates without
S. With minimal sample on necessary, this robust various complex glycan	and a method wa derivatized glycan phase (RP) colum

Glycoprotein	Giycoprotein	Glycoprotein	
Ļ	Ļ	Ļ	
Denature, reduce, alkylate	Denature, reduce, alkylate	Denature, reduce, alkylate	
Ļ	Ļ	Ļ	
Digest with trypsin	Digest with trypsin	N-Linked glycan release by PNGase F	
Purification and	N-Linked glycan	Ļ	
separation	release by PNGase F	Analysis of glycans by IM-MS	
Peptide N-Linked analysis glycan release by MS by PNGase F Derivatization (permethylation) Derivatized glycan analysis by MS	Simultaneous analysis of peptides and glycans by IM-MS		
Current proteomic/ glycomic approach	Simultaneous <i>omics</i> aproach using IM-MS	Glycomic specific approach using IM-MS	
Figure 1 (Scheme 1) A standard protocol for glycoprotein analysis. Purificatio			
and derivatization (permethylation) methods are commonly necessary to increas			
analytical sensitivity, resulting in a time consuming and complex procedure.			

Scheme 2

Chusennatain

ar ar (Scheme 2) Previously reported protocol for the simultaneous omics approach using IM-MS.³ This protocol allows both peptides and N-linked glycans to be simultaneously analyzed with minimal sample preparation. Sensitivity gains are afforded by the use of IM-MS. (Scheme 3) The protocol describes the separation and analysis of carbohydrates without modification by IM-MS. In this scheme, glycoproteins are subjected to denaturing by heat followed by PNGase F enzyme incubation prior to analysis. This procedure simplifies the interpretation of derivatization or further purification.

is optimized wherein both proteins and nonis could be fractionated on the same reversein. Typical glycoproteomics workflows target either peptides or glycans, but rarely both in the same experiment. For many research facilities that address a wide spectrum of samples (e.g., omics cores and systems-based centers) it would be advantageous in terms of cost, time, sample comparability, and consumption to conduct proteomic, glycomic and glycoproteomic studies on the same LC-MS

56

57

58

59 60 Scheme 3

Chronnetain

Analyst

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59 60 platform. The ability to utilize the same RP column for both analyses results from adjusting solvent gradients such that glycan studies are carried out under normal phase solvent conditions. This combination of a RP column with a normal phase gradient allows for the stabilization of non-derivatized glycans and produces primarily protonated and minor sodium coordinated glycan signals. This results in the observance of predominately protonated carbohydrate ions within the IM-MS spectra. While this convention is not necessary in some cases, many studies benefit from native glycan analysis. Three different approaches for glycan analysis by MS methods are described in Figure 1.

The traditional biochemistry approach for glycoprotein analysis by MS is described in Scheme 1 in which glycoproteins are denatured, reduced, and alkylated followed by digestion with trypsin. Samples are separated such that proteomic analysis is carried out independently of glycomics analysis.^{1,5,6} Enzymes such as PNGaseF are utilized to cleave glycans from the peptide backbone. In this context, glycans are typically derivatized, commonly by permethylation, or fluorescently tagged, to affect glycan separation and/or enhance detection. Although the separation and detection capacity of the presently reported strategy may be reduced over those of labeling, labeling methodologies require extensive separation and purification in addition to alteration of the free glycan structure through derivatization. The present methodology obviates the need for and attendant challenges of labeling including perturbation and potential contamination of the sample and increases throughput by not requiring different LC column technologies between proteomics and glycomics.⁷ Fenn et al. published a simultaneous glycoproteomics protocol in which glycoprotein samples are sequentially processed with trypsin and PNGase F in the same vial which simplifies purification requirements while eliminating the sample fractionation step.³ IM-MS was then utilized to simultaneously acquire both proteomic and glycomic information from the same sample. These analyses were carried out using either matrix assisted laser desorption/ionization (MALDI) or direct infusion electrospray ionization (ESI) sources. In order to batch process samples with ESI and obtain an additional dimension of pre-ionization separation through LC, the techniques originally developed by Fenn and co-workers were further optimized for the studies presented here using bovine fetuin as a biological standard.

Non-derivatized free glycans elute with the initial aqueous solvent plug in typical RP-LC separations, thus optimization focused on procedures amenable to the separation of free glycans with a RP column. By running a normal phase gradient (organic to aqueous) over a RP C-18 column, separation conditions are created which extends the retention time of carbohydrates disparate from the initial solvent plug as observed in Figures 2 (b) and (d). In this mode, non-derivatized glycans are retained by the column and elute at approximately 6 minutes into the 20 minute chromatographic run. While the chromatographic separation observed is not as well separated as in other methods (such as hydrophilic interaction LC (HILIC)),



Figure 2 (a) A 2D LC-ESI-IM-MS plot of a mixture of maltose standards separated using a reverse phase gradient on a C18 column. A region of singly charged ions corresponding to the maltose series is annotated by a dashed line. (b) A 2D LC-ESI-IM-MS plot of the same maltose standard mixture using the same column as in figure (a) with a normal phase gradient (organic to aqueous). (c) A mobility selected mass spectrum illustrating the carbohydrate series peaks as annotated by a dashed line in (a) where the base peak intensity is 2.93x10⁴ counts. (d) The mobility-selected area occupied by the maltose ion series as noted by a dashed line in (b) where the base peak intensity is 3.78x10⁴ counts. Maltose abbreviations are as follows: maltose (M1), maltotriose (M3), Maltotetraose (M4), maltopentaose (M5), maltohexaose (M6), maltoheptaose (M7), maltooctaose (M8).

the addition of LC to previously reported IM-MS based glycoproteomics protocols further increases peak capacity and allows separation of non-derivatized glycans on a RP column. This is demonstrated in Figures 2 (a) and (c) as a series of maltose standards elute in the solvent plug of a reverse phase gradient resulting in lower ion intensity when compared to (b) and (d) which were separated by a normal phase gradient on the same column. Impurities in the sample (such as the presences of maltooctaose (M8)) appear in (b) and (d), illustrating the increased sensitivity of the chromatographic separation for higher mass carbohydrates. Additionally, the lower abundance of signal in (c) in comparison to (d) supports this claim. This allows glycan analysis to be conducted on a standard MS instrument platform fitted with an RP column, such that both 1

Analyst Accepted Manuscri



Figure 3 LC-ESI-IM-MS plot and extracted mass spectra from bovine fetuin deglycosylated using PNGase F (protocol from Scheme 3, Figure 1). (a) A 2-D IM-MS spectrum corresponding to the analysis of carbohydrates from a model glycoprotein (fetuin). Selected region for +2 glycans represent the extracted mass spectrum (c). (b) An integrated mass spectrum illustrating the chemical and chemical noise which would be present without the use of the mobility separation where the base peak intensity is 1.15×10^4 counts. (c) Extracted mass spectrum of free N-linked glycans from fetuin where the base peak intensity is 6.57×10^3 counts. Carbohydrate structures are represented here and elsewhere by the annotations in (c) as follows: o -mannose, Δ -sialic acid, \blacksquare -Nacetylglucosamine, and \square -galactose. LC separations are performed on a RP column under normal-phase gradient conditions which give rise to an ionization preference for protonated carbohydrate ions.

glycomic- and proteomic-based samples can be prepared and batch processed with the auto-sampler and conventional RP column of the LC system.

Another consequence of this approach is that glycans are predominately ionized as protonated glycans (M+H) in contrast to MALDI and direct infusion ESI where glycans are predominately ionized as alkali metal-coordinated (M+Na or M+K) species. It should be noted that this LC-IM-MS analysis of non-derivatized glycans with a RP column also creates alkali metal-coordinated ions as minor products (Figure S1) which can be utilized to compare previously published glycan MS results, where these species are more typical. As MALDI-MS is considered a gold standard due to high sensitivity for carbohydrate analysis,^{5,6,8} LC-IM-MS data obtained in this study were evaluated with respect to data obtained by MALDI-IM-MS by the previously published methodology described in Figure 1, Scheme 2 in further detail in the supplementary information.³

The utility of IM-MS separations for glycan analysis is further illustrated by Figure 3. The integrated mass spectrum (panel b) represents the data as would be obtained by conventional ESI-MS analysis alone. A region of mobility space occupied by fetuin carbohydrate species is selected (annotated in Figure 3 (a)). Thus, subsequent data-analysis discrimination of the chemical and chemical noise produces an enhanced mass spectrum representative of the doubly charged, non-derivatized glycan species (Figure 3 (c)).

The methodology described herein is readily amenable to LC systems with RP columns allowing for simultaneous omics experiments (proteomics and glycomics) to be conducted on the same analytical platform. To further confirm the effectiveness of the LC methodology for N-linked glycan analysis, studies can be optimized in a mode which obtains MS/MS spectra simultaneously. In this manner, we can begin to assemble comprehensive and multi-dimensional datasets of a suite of biomolecules obtained from minimally processed samples (See Supporting Information).⁹

Financial support for this work was provided by Vanderbilt University (Center for Innovative Technology), the Vanderbilt Institute of Chemical Biology, the Vanderbilt Institute for Integrative Biosystems Research and Education, the National Institutes of Health (5R01GM092218-03), and the NIH supported Vanderbilt Chemical Biology Interface training program (5T32GM065086).

Notes and references

^{*a*} Department of Chemistry, Center for Innovative Technology, Institute of Chemical Biology, and Institute for Integrative Biosystems Research and Education, Vanderbilt University, 7330 Stevenson Center, Nashville, TN 37235, USA. Fax: +1 615-343-1234; Tel: +1 615-322-1195;

E-mail: john.a.mclean@vanderbilt.edu

Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: Experimental details and additional figures are provided See DOI: 10.1039/c000000x/

1. D. J. Harvey, Proteomics, 2001, 1, 311-328.

- W. Morelle, K. Canis, F. Chirat, V. Faid, and J. C. Michalski, Proteomics, 2006, 6, 3993-4015.; D. J. Harvey, Expert Rev. of Proteomics, 2005, 2, 87-101.; M. Wuhrer, A.R. de Boer, and A.M. Deelder, Mass Spectrom. Rev., 2009, 28, 192-206.; J. Bones, S. Mittermayr, N. O. Donoghue, and P. M. Rudd, 2010. Electrophoresis, 82, 10208-10215.; J. Bones, S. Mittermayr, N. Mcloughlin, M. Hilliard, K. Wynne, G. R. Johnson, J. H. Grubb, W. S. Sly, and P. M. Rudd, Anal. Chem., 2011, 83, 5344-5352.; S. C. Klapoetke, J. Zhang, and S. Becht, J. Pharm. Biomed. Anal., 2011, 56, 513-520.; A. Knežević, J. Bones, S. K. Kračun, O. Gornik, P. M. Rudd, and G. Lauc, Analyst, 2011, 136, 4670-4673.; T. Kurihara, J. Z. Min, A. Hirata, T. Toyo'oka, and S. Inagaki, Biomed. Chrom., 2009, 23, 516-523.
- 3. L. S. Fenn, and J. A. McLean, Mol. BioSyst., 2009, 5, 1298-1302.
- C. W. N. Damen, W. Chen, A. B. Chakraborty, M. van Oosterhout, J. R. Mazzeo, J. C. Gebler, and J. H. M. Schellens, *J. Am. Soc. Mass Spectrom.*, 2009, **20**, 2021-2033.; M. D. Plasencia, D. Isailovic, S. I. Merenbloom, Y. Mechref, and D. E. Clemmer, *J. Am. Soc. Mass Spectrom.*, 2008, **19**, 1706-1715.; L. S. Fenn, and J. A. McLean, *Chem. Comm.*, 2008, **84**, 5505-5507.
- 5. D. J. Harvey, Mass Spectrom. Rev., 1999, 18, 349-450

Journal Name

- 6. D. J. Harvey, Mass Spectrom. Rev., 2011, 30, 1-100.
- G. Alvarez-Manilla, N. L. Warren, T. Abney, J. Atwood, P. Azadi, W. S. York, M. Pierce, and R. Orlando, *Glycobiology*, 2007, **17**, 677-687.; I. Ciucanu, *Anal.Chim. Acta.*, 2006, **576**, 147-155.; P. Kang, Y. Mechref, and M. V. Novotny, *Rapid Commun. Mass Spectrom.*, 2008, **22**, 271-234.; Y. Mechref, P. Kang, and M. V. Novotny, *Methods in Molecular Biology Glycomics: Methods and Protocols*, Totowa, NJ: Humana Press, 2009, **534**, 53-64.; N. P. J. Price, *Appl. Biochem. Biotechnol.*, 2008, **148**, 271-276.
- 8. D. J. Harvey, Mass Spectrom. Rev., 2012, 31, 183-311.
- C. S. Hoaglund-Hyzer, J. Li, D. E. Clemmer, Anal. Chem., 2000, 72, 2737-2740.;
 K. M. Hines, B. R. Ballard, D. R. Marshall, and J. A. McLean, Mol. BioSyst., 2014, 10, 2827-2837.