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Self-assembled Targeting of Cancer Cells by Iron(III)-doped, Silica Nanoparticles
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ABSTRACT: Iron(III)-doped silica nanoshells are shown to possess an in vitro cell-receptor mediated targeting functionality for endocytosis. Compared to plain silica nanoparticles, iron enriched ones are shown to be target-specific, a property that makes them potentially better vehicles for applications, such as drug delivery and tumor imaging, by making them more selective and thereby reducing the nanoparticle dose. Iron(III) in the nanoshells can interact with endogenous transferrin, a serum protein found in mammalian cell culture media, which subsequently promotes transport of the nanoshells into cells by the transferrin receptor-mediated endocytosis pathway. The enhanced uptake of the iron(III)-doped nanoshells relative to undoped silica nanoshells by a transferrin receptor-mediated pathway was established using fluorescence and confocal microscopy in an epithelial breast cancer cell line. This process was also confirmed using fluorescence activated cell sorting (FACS) measurements that show competitive blocking of nanoparticle uptake by added holo-transferrin.

Introduction

Nanoparticles are being investigated for a variety of biomedical applications including imaging, gene transfer, immune system activation, and targeted drug delivery.1-12 Active targeting, a method commonly employed in drug delivery applications, requires conjugation of a targeting moiety to the surface of delivery vehicles, such as nanoparticles.13-15 Compounds that have been investigated as targeting ligands include antibodies, polymers, aptamers, peptides, and proteins.13, 16-19 Addition of a targeting ligand to the surface of a nanoparticle imparts it with specificity for a particular type of cell surface receptor;20-23 however, conjugation of a targeting ligand can also change nanoparticle properties, such as increasing size or decreasing stability.13, 16, 29-33 It also may interfere with other desired surface functionalization. Thus, developing a nanoparticle with a targeting component built into its structure would increase the nanoparticle’s versatility.

There is interest in developing nanoparticles that bind the cell-surface transferrin receptor (TIR) overexpressed in cancer cells.20, 34-45 Transferrin (Tf) is a glycoprotein found in serum and is responsible for the transport of iron, which is often a limiting nutrient, to cells. Iron-containing transferrin, known as holo-transferrin (holoTf), is taken into the cell by a transferrin receptor-mediated pathway.39, 46, 47 Nanoparticles that target the transferrin receptor are therefore likely to undergo receptor-mediated endocytosis. Current approaches to TIR-mediated endocytosis first conjugate holo-transferrin to a nanoparticle surface.30, 39, 44, 48-61 Our work explores the use of iron(III) enrichment of a sol-gel silica nanoparticle to achieve a similar effect.

Incorporation of ~6% iron(III) into the matrix of silica nanoshells was recently shown to impart the resulting nanoshell with biodegradable characteristics.62 This relied on the well-known ability of living systems to extract iron from their environment.63-66 It was shown that iron-doped nanoshells dissolved when iron(III) was removed either by small molecule chelation or by biochelating agents in fetal bovine or human serum. It was postulated that iron(III) binding proteins, such as serum transferrin,65 were responsible for the removal of iron(III) and thus the breakdown of the nanoshell structure. Since iron loss from the doped nanoshells takes several weeks, it seemed likely that during the iron(III) extraction process transferrin could bind to the nanoparticle surface areas with exposed iron(III). This suggested that the incorporation of iron(III) into the silica nanoshell may also impart self-assembled targeting (i.e. does not require the covalent conjugation of a targeting moiety to the surface of the nanoshell before use) capabilities that...
could be used to selectively target TIR rich cells, such as cancer cells.

A variety of cancer cells have been shown to overexpress the transferrin receptor on their surface. Metastatic cancer cell lines, such as the MDA-MB-231 epithelial breast cancer cell line, tend to have greater overexpression of the TIR than the less metastatic members of the same cell line. This overexpression of transferrin receptors by metastatic cancer cells ensures that they receive the iron required to support an increased rate of cellular division. It also provides a receptor for targeting such cancer cells by drug therapies.

This study examines whether the doping of iron(III) into the matrix of silica nanoshells leads to increased uptake, relative to undoped silica nanoshells, by MDA-MB-231 breast cancer cells. The proposed mechanism for enhanced uptake by a transferrin receptor-mediated pathway was tested by blocking the transferrin receptor with varying concentrations of holo-transferrin before addition of nanoshells to the cells. Nanoshell uptake was assessed using fluorescence microscopy or confocal laser scanning microscopy, and blocking was quantified by fluorescence activated cell sorting (FACS).

Experimental

Materials

3-Aminopropyltriethoxysilane (APTES) was obtained from Thermo Fisher Scientific (Carlsbad, CA). Absolute ethanol was purchased from Sigma Aldrich (St. Louis, MO). Nunc Lab-Tek II 4-well chamber slides were obtained from Fisher Scientific (Pittsburgh, PA). MDA-MB-231 epithelial breast cancer cells were purchased from ATCC (Manassas, VA). Dulbecco’s Phosphate Buffered Saline solution without CaCl₂ and MgCl₂ (DPBS 1x), Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle’s Medium (DMEM), and fetal bovine serum (FBS) were purchased from Mediatech, Inc. (Manassas, VA). The bovine holo-transferrin was purchased from MP Biomedicals, LLC (Solon, OH). Hoechst 33342 (Eugene, OR), AlexaFluor 680 carboxylate, AlexaFluor 488 carboxylate, chloromethylfluorescein diacetate (CMFDA) CellTracker™ Green intracellular stain, and Prolong Gold were obtained from Life Technologies (Carlsbad, CA). Paraformaldehyde (PFA) was purchased from Thermo Fisher Scientific (Fair Lawn, NJ). All materials were used as received.

Surface Functionalization of Nanoshells

Calcined, 100 nm silica and Fe-doped nanoshells were prepared using a sol-gel template growth procedure as described previously. Nanoshell surfaces were modified, using the following procedure. In order to attach a fluorescent dye (either AlexaFluor 488 or AlexaFluor 680), first 5 mg of nanoshells were added to a 15 mL centrifuge tube containing 5 mL of absolute ethanol. A solution of 1% (w/w with respect to the mass of nanoshells) APTES was added to the tube and the mixture was vortex mixed for one hour. The particles were collected via centrifugation and was hed once with particles, the pellet was resuspended, by sonicatio n for 20 min. Absolute ethanol. After 5 mL of fresh ethanol was added to the particles, the pellet was resuspended, by sonication for 20 min. After sonication, 0.1% (w/w relative to mass of nanoshells) of the desired fluorescent dye was added and the mixture was vortex mixed for 3 h. The fluorescently labeled nanoshells were then centrifuged and the solid washed three times with ethanol and resuspended in 1 mL of MilliQ water by sonication.

Cell Culture Experiments

MDA-MB-231 epithelial breast cancer cells were grown at 50,000 cells per well on Nunc Lab-Tek II 4-well chamber slides in DMEM supplemented with 10% FBS, 1% antibiotics (penicillin, streptomycin, and glucose) and 1% sodium pyruvate, at 37°C in a humidified atmosphere of 5% CO₂. Before initiating cell adhesion, endocytosis, or transferrin receptor blocking experiments, the cells were grown to 60-80% confluence.

Cell Adhesion/Endocytosis Experiments

This method was adapted from a published procedure by Yang et al. All concentrations mentioned are added so the total volume in each well was 1 mL, unless stated otherwise. MDA-MB-231 cells were incubated with 50, 100, and 200 µg/mL of 100 nm, AlexaFluor 680 functionalized, plain SiO₂ and corresponding Fe(III)-doped, SiO₂ nanoshells for 24 h in DMEM complete media at 37°C in a humidified atmosphere of 5% CO₂. After incubation, the cells were washed twice with DPBS and labeled with 1 µM CMFDA and 0.01 µg/mL Hoechst in DPBS for 30 min. The cells were subsequently washed twice with DPBS to remove any excess dye. After washing, the cells were incubated with 4% PFA in DPBS solution for 15 min. The cells were washed once with DPBS, and then Prolong Gold was added to prepare the slides for visualization by fluorescence and confocal microscopy.

Transferrin Receptor (TfR) Blocking Experiment

MDA-MB-231 cells were incubated with 500 µL of 0, 20, 200, 500, and 1000 µM bovine holo-Tf for 2 h in DMEM complete media at 37°C in a humidified atmosphere of 5% CO₂. 100 µg/mL of 100 nm Fe(III)-doped, SiO₂ nanoshells was added to the cells and incubated for 24 h at 37°C in a humidified atmosphere of 5% CO₂. After the 24 h incubation, the cells were prepared for visualization using fluorescence microscopy by the procedure used for the adhesion/endocytosis experiment.

Fluorescence Microscopy of Nanoshell Uptake by MDA-MB-231 Cells

Fluorescence microscopy was used to visualize the uptake of AlexaFluor 680 functionalized, 100 nm plain SiO₂ and Fe(III)-doped, SiO₂ nanoshells in the adhesion/endocytosis and the TfR blocking experiments. Three images (one image for blue Hoechst fluorescence, one for green CMFDA fluorescence, and one for red AlexaFluor 680 fluorescence) were recorded using a Zeiss AxioImager Z1 fluorescence microscope and a 1.4 mega-pixel Photometrics Cool-SNAP HQ2 camera. The samples were imaged at 40X magnification and had an image resolution of 0.1566 µm/pixel. The green fluorescence was visualized using a Zeiss 38HE filter set. Zeiss filter sets 49 and 32 were used to visualize the blue and red fluorescence, respectively. The resulting images were compiled and processed using Image J software (NIH, Bethesda, MD). The excitation source was a mercury short arc mercury lamp.

Confocal Microscopy of Nanoshell Uptake by MDA-MB-231 Cells

Confocal microscopy was used to visualize the uptake of AlexaFluor 680 modified, 100 nm plain SiO₂ and Fe(III)-doped, SiO₂ nanoshells. Images were captured using a Zeiss LSM510 laser scanning microscope using a 63x objective. Sequential 202 µm x 202 µm (frame size 1024 x 1024) sections were acquired at 1 micron.
intervals in the z direction at excitation wavelengths of 364, 488, and 633 nm.

**Fluorescence Activated Cell Sorting (FACS) to Measure TfR Blocking**

10,000 cells/well were plated in a standard 96-well plate. The cells were centrifuged for 3 min at 2000 RPM and 4°C and washed with FACS buffer (5% FBS in DPBS) two times. After the washes, 50 µL of DMEM complete was added and the cells were resuspended. Aliquots of 0, 20, 200, 500, and 1000 µM bovine holo-Tf were then added to the respective wells and the cells were incubated for 2 h at 37°C in a humidified atmosphere of 5% CO₂. The cells were agitated every 20 min during incubation to prevent their adherence to the well. After the 2 h incubation in holo-Tf, 50 µg/mL (based on a final volume of 100 µL) of AlexaFluor 488 labeled, 100 nm Fe(III)-doped, SiO₂ nanoshells were added and the cells were incubated for another 3 h with agitation in 20 min intervals. The cells were isolated by centrifugation and washed with FACS buffer three times. After the last wash, the supernatant was removed and 100 µL of FACS-fix (4% PFA in DPBS) added and used to resuspend the cells. Once the cells were resuspended, the contents of each well were transferred to the corresponding FACS tube containing 200 µL of FACS-fix. The samples were analyzed and processed using a BD FACScalibur flow cytometry system and FloJo software (v. 7.6.1), respectively.

**Results and Discussion**

**Cell Adhesion/Endocytosis of 100 nm plain and Fe(III)-doped, SiO₂ Nanoshells**

Both 100 nm plain and Fe(III)-doped, silica nanoshells were prepared and characterized using previously reported methods. An SEM image of the calcined Fe(III)-doped nanoshells can be seen in Figure 1.

![SEM image of calcined Fe(III)-doped nanoshells](image)

Calcined nanoshells were then amine-modified with 3-aminopropyltriethoxysilane so AlexaFluor 680 carboxy late, a fluorescent far red dye, could be covalently linked to the surface of both the plain silica and Fe(III)-doped, silica nanoshells. The procedure for the conjugation of the dye to the nanoshell is given in the Experimental Section.

The cell adhesion/endocytosis experimental procedure was adapted from a procedure by Yang et al. MDA-MB-231 cells were plated in a chamber slide in duplicate. The cells were incubated with 50, 100, and 200 µg/mL of 100 nm AlexaFluor 680 functionalized, plain SiO₂ or Fe(III)-doped, SiO₂ nanoshells for 24 h in DMEM complete media at 37°C in a humidified atmosphere of 5% CO₂. After the 24 h incubation, the cells were washed and stained with CMFDA-green, a dye that freely passes the cell membrane and in the cytoplasm is converted into an impermeable fluorescent product, and Hoechst, a blue fluorescent nucleus stain. Three fluorescence microscopy images (blue, green, and red images) were then captured and the images superimposed and analyzed using ImageJ as described above. Outlines for the cells were also obtained using ImageJ and were based on the individual CMFDA green, cytoplasmic stain images.

Figure 2 shows the fluorescence microscopy images obtained for MDA-MB-231 cells incubated with a) 0, b) 50, c) 100, and d) 200 µg/mL of 100 nm plain silica nanoshells and e) 0, f) 50, g) 100, and h) 200 µg/mL of 100 nm iron(III)-doped, silica nanoshells. Since the plain and Fe(III)-doped, silica nanoshells are labeled with a red dye, endocytosis of the nanoshells is indicated by the presence of yellow, orange, or red spots within the green boundary of the cell. Panels b-e in Figure 2 do not exhibit a noticeable difference in cellular uptake of the plain silica nanoshells despite the increase in nanoshell concentration. A few yellow regions can be observed in the panels indicating that a small amount of plain silica nanoshells are taken up by the cells. Given that plain silica nanoshells are only 100 nm in size, it is likely that some are assimilated slowly because of their small size rather than their chemical composition, as endocytosis of small nanoparticles may also occur by non-receptor mediated pathways.

The effects of iron(III)-doping into the silica matrix of the nanoshell are evident in Figure 2, panels f-g. As the concentration of Fe(III)-doped, silica nanoshells increases across panels f-h the amount of cellular adhesion/endocytosis increases as well. The increase in adhesion/endocytosis with increased nanoshell concentration suggests that the doped nanoshells are targeting an iron-uptake pathway in the cells. It has also been shown that silica particles can undergo trans- or exocytosis, so it is possible that iron also aids in intracellular retention due to the availability of local resources. The difference in uptake is quantified from the images using a previously reported luminescence ratio analysis method. The results of the ratio analysis are provided in Table 1.

As seen in Table 1, the addition of 200 µg nanoshells to the cells does not yield statistically significant differences in the uptake of Fe-doped, SiO₂ nanoshells relative to the plain SiO₂ nanoshells, although the images suggest visually that the iron(III)-doped
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The targeting mechanism of the Fe(III)-doped, silica nanoshells was investigated by competitively blocking the transferrin receptors on the MDA-MB-231 cells with varying concentrations of added bovine holo-transferrin. Bovine holo-transferrin was used because the mammalian cell culture media is enriched with fetal bovine serum. Thus, the cells are acclimated to bovine transferrin. MDA-MB-231 cells were plated, in duplicate, as performed in the adhesion/endocytosis experiment. The cells were then allowed to incubate with 0, 20, 200, 500, or 1000 µM holo-transferrin for 2 h before addition of AlexaFluor 680 labeled, 100 nm Fe(III)-doped, silica nanoshells. Once the nanoshells were added to the cells, they were incubated for 24 h. The slides were then prepared for imaging as detailed in the methods section for the adhesion/endocytosis experiment.

The results of the blocking experiment can be seen in Figure 4. As the concentration of holo-transferrin was increased from 0 µM (frame b) to 1000 µM (frame f) it was observed that the uptake of Fe(III)-doped, nanoshells by the MDA-MB-231 cells decreased. When the cells were incubated with 200 µM holo-transferrin, it appears that while some Fe(III)-doped, silica nanoshells accumulate on the surface of the cell, they do not undergo transport into the cell. Reduced nanoparticle uptake due to TfR blocking has also been observed for transferrin-labeled, gold nanoparticles.79

Fluorescence ratio analysis was also performed on the microscopy images recorded for the blocking experiment. Table 2 shows that the number of Fe-doped, SiO₂ nanoshells taken into the cells was

![Figure 4. Fluorescence microscopy images of bovine holoTf blocking experiment. Panel a) control with 0µM holoTf and no nanoshells added to the cells. The subsequent images show the amount of 100 nm Fe(III)-doped, silica nanoshells taken up by MDA-MB-231 cells when cells were pre-incubated for 2 hours with increasing amounts of holoTf: b) 0 µM holoTf; c) 20 µM holoTf; d) 200 µM holoTf; e) 500 µM holoTf; and f) 1000 µM holoTf. After the pre-incubation step, cells were incubated with 50 µg/mL of AlexaFluor 680 coated, 100nm Fe(III)-doped, silica nanoshells. All scale bars in the images are 25 microns.](image-url)

Figure 3. Confocal microscopy images of 100 nm plain silica (top) and Fe(III)-doped silica (lower panels) nanoshell uptake by MDA-MB-231 cells. The images shown are for three successive slices of the cell separated by 1 micron within the cells. The top row images are for cells incubated with the plain silica nanoshells at 100 µg/mL. The Fe(III)-doped, silica nanoshells (bottom, also 100 µg/mL) can be seen in similar positions in all three images indicating the nanoshells are within the cell. All scale bars in the images are 25 microns.

Endocytosis of the nanoshells was best seen using confocal microscopy. The images shown in Figure 3 establish that some particles are taken within the cell by endocytosis as well as adhering to the cell surface. This is most clearly seen in the three confocal slices of the cells incubated with the Fe(III)-doped, silica nanoshells (Figure 3, bottom panels). Red/orange spots can be seen in a similar position in all three frames indicating that the Fe(III)-doped, silica nanoshells are within the cell. Corresponding images for the cells treated with the plain silica nanoshells show almost no detectable uptake.

**Transferrin Receptor (TfR) Blocking Experiment**

This supports what is seen in the images in Figure 2. In addition, the image quantification data suggests that using a lower concentration (i.e., 50 or 100 µg/mL) of nanoshells rather than a large saturating dose (for instance, 200 µg/mL or more) can minimize non-specific nanoshell adhesion/endocytosis. This would imply that less nanomaterial could be used in a therapeutic dose to reduce the effects on cells with normal TfR levels.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th># of Outlines</th>
<th>Fluorescence Ratio (a.u.)</th>
<th>Fluorescence Increase Relative to Control (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cells Only (Control)</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>0.14 ± 0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50 µg SiO₂</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.16 ± 0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50 µg Fe-doped, SiO₂</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>0.20 ± 0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100 µg SiO₂</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>0.17 ± 0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100 µg Fe-doped, SiO₂</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>0.26 ± 0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200 µg SiO₂</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>0.22 ± 0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200 µg Fe-doped, SiO₂</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>0.23 ± 0.12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Fluorescence ratio analysis for the adhesion/endocytosis of 100 nm plain and Fe-doped, SiO₂ nanoshells by MDA-MB-231 cells.
significantly reduced on competitive blocking of the transferrin receptor. The uptake of Fe(III)-doped, SiO$_2$ nanoshells appears to be the same for the cells that were not blocked and the cells incubated with 20 µM holoTf. This indicates that 20 µM holoTf is not a high enough concentration to competitively block a significant number of Tf receptors. The addition of 200 µM holoTf shows a slight, but not statistically significant, decrease in fluorescence intensity relative to the control. A more significant decrease is observed with the addition of 500 µM and 1000 µM holoTf, which show effective blocking of Fe(III)-doped, SiO$_2$ nanoshell uptake by the TR-mediated pathway. Fluorescence ratio analysis on the cell outlines was at the border of statistical significance (Table 2), so an alternative approach was used to better quantify blocking.

Fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS) was performed to study the effect of nanoshell uptake on blocking the transferrin receptor. MDA-MB-231 cells were pipetted into a standard 96-well plate and incubated with the same concentrations of holo-transferrin used to obtain the fluorescence microscopy images (0, 20, 200, 500, and 1000 µM). Since MDA-MB-231 is an adherent cell line, the cells were agitated every 20 min during incubation. AlexaFluor 488-labeled, Fe(III)-doped, silica nanoshells were added to the cells and the cells were incubated for another 3 h with agitation of the cells in 20 min intervals.

Table 2. Fluorescence ratio analysis for blocking experiment. All samples had 30µg/mL of AlexaFluor 680 coated, 100 nm Fe(III)-doped, silica nanoshells added unless noted otherwise.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th># of Outlines</th>
<th>Fluorescence Ratio (a.u.)</th>
<th>Fluorescence Increase Relative to Control (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cells Only (no nanoshells)</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>0.10 ± 0.04</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0 µM holoTf (no blocking)</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>0.31 ± 0.14</td>
<td>210</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 µM holoTf</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>0.35 ± 0.16</td>
<td>250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200 µM holoTf</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>0.26 ± 0.09</td>
<td>160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>500 µM holoTf</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>0.16 ± 0.07</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1000 µM holoTf</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>0.17 ± 0.07</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 5. Histograms obtained from FACS analysis of TfR blocking experiment. Panel a is a simplified version of the figure seen in panel b. The shift of the curve toward lower fluorescence intensity with added holo-Tf in panel a is the result of decreased nanoshell uptake by the MDA-MB-231 cells due to blocking of the TfR by holoTf. The histograms seen in panel b show that at least 500 µM holoTf needs to be added to the cells before a significant inhibition in nanoshell uptake could be observed.

Our previous work investigating the biodegradation of the Fe(III)-doped, silica nanoshells proposed that serum transferrin was binding to the iron(III) sites exposed on the surface in order to extract iron(III) and degrade the nanoparticle. The increased uptake of Fe(III)-doped, silica nanoshells observed in Figures 2 and 3, and inhibition by blocking the TfR as seen in Figures 4 and 5, appear to support the notion that transferrin attaches to the surface of the nanoshells. The decreased uptake observed in Figures 4 and 5 after the addition of increasing concentrations of holoTf is consistent with Fe(III)-doped, silica nanoshells being taken into the cell via a transferrin receptor mediated pathway. Since transferrin was not added in the cell uptake/adhesion studies, the transferrin bound to the nanoshells must be endogenous Tf naturally found in the serum used to culture human cells. Since this transferrin was not covalently grafted to the nanoshell before its introduction into the biological media, it may offer a more robust form of targeting.

The targeting ability of nanoparticles with covalently grafted transferrin moieties can be neutralized due to lack of TfR recognition with the grafted transferrin or competition with free Tf. Incompatibility may be minimized with the use of the Fe(III)-doped, silica nanoshells, because the transferrin that binds to the surface comes from an active source pool of Tf used in culturing the cells. Competition with free Tf may also be reduced by the sizeable fraction bound with the nanoshell dose. It is also possible that surface Fe(III) bound Tf presents a better conformation for Tf binding than covalently grafted Tf targeting approaches. The potential self-renewing process accompanying iron(III) removal, whereby new Tf molecules are expected to attack the nanoparticle surface as surface Fe(III) complexed Tf releases, may help renew
targeting. The protein corona that coats targeted nanoparticles after they reside in complex biological media has been shown to reduce targeting effectiveness. Further studies must be conducted to determine the level of targeting achieved in vivo by Fe(III) doped nanoparticles.

Conclusions

Fluorescence microscopy, in conjunction with confocal microscopy and FACS analysis, has shown that the doping of iron(III) into the silica matrix of a nanoshell imparts the nanoshell with a self-assembled targeting property for the transferrin receptor in the presence of endogenous serum transferrin. The iron(III) doped, silica nanoshells do not require prior in vitro conjugation of the targeting ligand (transferrin) to its surface, which reduces the cost and complexity in the fabrication of targeted silica nanoparticles prepared by sol-gel methods. In addition, the iron(III) doping has already been shown to impart serum biodegradability to silica nanoparticles. It is likely that surface iron(III) in an oxide nanoparticle may more generally enhance targeted nanoparticle endocytosis by the TIR mediated pathway, which could have broader significance. Silica and iron(III) doped nanoshells have shown promise for in vitro and in vivo ultrasound imaging agents. A self-targeting property would potentially broaden their use to drug delivery and tumor localization.

Iron oxide nanoparticles used for MRI imaging have also been observed to undergo enhanced cellular uptake, and a similar TIR mediated mechanism may be operative. It has also been observed that toxic heavy metals in aquatic environments adsorb to hydrated ferric oxide, and this behavior is viewed as a potential method for removing toxic metals from the environment. In the context of surface iron(III) providing a mechanism for endocytosis, the TIR mediated uptake of ferric oxide nanoparticles may need to be considered in assessing the bioavailability of heavy metals adsorbed on such iron oxide particles.
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Notes

Added holo-transferrin blocks uptake of iron-doped silica nanoparticles by breast cancer cells