Journal of Materials Chemistry A

Accepted Manuscript



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this Accepted Manuscript with the edited and formatted Advance Article as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the **Information for Authors**.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard <u>Terms & Conditions</u> and the <u>Ethical guidelines</u> still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



www.rsc.org/materialsA

Journal Name

COMMUNICATION

Cite this: DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

Highly Efficient Carbon Dioxide Capture with a Porous Organic Polymer Impregnated with Polyethylenimine

Siyoung Sung^a and Myunghyun Paik Suh*^{a,b}

Received 00th January 2012, Accepted 00th January 2012

DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/

Various amounts of a branched polyethylenimine are impregnated into a porous aromatic framework. PEI(40 wt%) \subset PAF-5 shows exceptionally high capacity and selectivity of CO₂ adsorption at 313 K. The material also displays fast adsorption/desorption kinetics and low energy penalty for regeneration in addition to water stability.

In order to mitigate the recent environmental crises such as global warming and ocean acidification, efficient carbon dioxide (CO₂) capture technologies from flue gas or ambient air should be developed.¹⁻⁴ Typical post-combustion flue gas from a coal-fired power plant contains N₂ (73-77%), CO₂ (15-16%), H₂O (5-7%), and other gases such as O₂ (3-4%), SO₂ (800 ppm), SO₃ (10 ppm), NO_x (500 ppm), HCl (100 ppm), CO (20 ppm), and hydrocarbon (10 ppm), with the emission temperature of $313 \sim 343$ K.¹ Therefore, CO₂ capture material for flue gas should have a high adsorption selectivity for CO₂ over N₂ at a low CO₂ partial pressure and outstanding water stability as well as a high CO₂ uptake capacity at elevated temperatures, fast adsorption and desorption kinetics, and regenerability.¹ As CO₂ capture materials, numerous solid adsorbents such as silica⁵ and carbon materials,⁶ metal-organic frameworks,7 and porous organic polymers8-14 have been developed. In particular, some porous organic polymers have attracted great attention due to their high surface areas, low density, and excellent thermal, chemical, and water stability.8-15 Especially, the low density of porous organic polymers formed by the covalent bonds of only light elements such as C, N and H may lead to a high gas uptake per unit mass of the adsorbent.^{11,12} In addition, the porous organic polymers display superior stability against water,¹³ which is crucial for a postcombustion CO₂ capture material. Therefore, porous organic polymers must be an optimal class of CO₂ capture materials provided that their selectivity for CO₂ over N₂ is also high. Zhou and co-workers



Scheme 1. Schematic description of PAF-5 impregnated with branched PEI (Mw = ca. 800).

significantly improved CO_2 capture ability of a porous organic polymer, PPN-6, by tethering various polyamines or ammonium sulfonate to PPN-6.^{8(b),14}

In this study, we impregnated various amounts of a branched PEI (Mw = ca. 800, water content $\leq 2\%$) into PAF-5 and investigated the CO₂ capture abilities of the materials. PAF-5 is a porous aromatic framework with a 2D layered hexagonal structure constructed from only phenyl rings. It displays a high surface area (BET: 1503 m² g⁻¹) as well as a large pore width (1.66 nm) and pore volume (1.57 cm g⁻¹).¹⁶ We expected that flexible polymer PEI would block the large windows of PAF-5 to interfere the N₂ adsorption while CO₂ can open up the windows and enter the pores due to its high polarizability and quadrupole moment.⁴ Furthermore, numerous amine functional groups of PEI would strongly interact with CO₂, which should increase the capacity and selectivity of CO₂

Page 2 of 6



Fig. 1. Gas sorption properties of PAF-5 (\bigstar), PEI(10 wt%) \subset PAF-5 (\bigstar), PEI(30 wt%) \subset PAF-5 (\bigstar), and PEI(40 wt%) \subset PAF-5 (\blacksquare). a) N₂ at 77 K. b) CO₂ at 313 K. c) Isosteric heat of CO₂ adsorption. Filled shapes: adsorption process; Open shapes: desoprtion process.

adsorption. Although there have been a few reports on PEI incorporation in silica materials¹⁷⁻²³ and metal organic frameworks (MOF),^{24,25} none of them has fully met the requirements for the aforementioned post-combustion CO₂ capture material. PEI-impregnated silica materials such as FS-PEI-50²¹ and A-PEI/silica²³ showed high CO₂ uptake capacities at 298 K under the ambient air (1.71 and 2.26 mmol g^{-1} , respectively), but the equilibrium adsorption time (420 min and 392 min, respectively) was too long for practical applications. In the case of PEI-impregnated MOFs, PEI-MIL-101-125, a high temperature (383 K) as well as a vacuum condition should be applied for an hour to regenerate the adsorbent, resulting in a high energy penalty.^{24,25} To the best of our knowledge, incorporation of PEI into porous organic polymers for CO₂ capture is unprecedented. In the present work, PEI-impregnated PAF-5 shows a remarkable increase in the CO₂ uptake capacity and selectivity for CO₂ over N₂ under 0.15 atm of CO₂ at 298, 313, and 323 K. In particular, PEI(40 wt%) CPAF-5 showed the CO₂/N₂ adsorption selectivity of 2160 at 313 K, adsorbing 10.8 wt% of CO₂ under a stream of 15% (v/v) CO₂ in N₂ at 313 K within 20 min, and it was completely regenerated within 10 min at 343 K under a N₂ flow. Even after exposure to water vapor for 7 days at 313 K followed by reactivation, the material hardly loses its CO₂ adsorption capacity, demonstrating its superior water stability.

PAF-5 was prepared by a nickel(0)-catalyzed Yamamoto type Ullmann cross-coupling reaction of 1,3,5-tris-(4bromophenyl)benzene,16 and then a branched PEI (Mw = ca. 800, water content $\leq 2\%$) was impregnated into PAF-5 by using the conventional wet impregnation method.¹⁷ To impregnate PEI in PAF-5, various amounts of PEI (1.0~3.0 g) were dissolved in methanol (25 mL), and the activated PAF-5 (ca. 0.2 g) was added to the solutions. After the solutions were stirred and sonicated for various time (1~6 h), the resulting slurry was filtered and washed with methanol (10 mL x 3) to remove extra PEI adsorbed on the surface of PAF-5. The PAF-5 impregnated with various amount of PEI was activated at 373 K under reduced pressure for 24 h. (Table S1, ESI[†]). The of impregnated PEI was determined amount bv thermogravimetric analyses (TGA) and elemental analysis data

for the activated samples. In TGA, while dried PAF-5 is stable up to 873 K, PEI-impregnated PAF-5 samples show a sharp weight loss between 573 and 673 K, which is attributed to the thermal decomposition of impregnated PEI (Figure S1†). The weight percent of PEI in PAF-5 was determined by the weight loss in this stage. In the elemental analyses, the increase in weight percent of nitrogen was observed as more PEI was impregnated into PAF-5 (Table S2†). The activated samples show a broad peak at *ca.* 3300 cm⁻¹ in the IR spectrum, corresponding to the amine functional groups that form extensive hydrogen bonding (Figure S4†).

To investigate the porosity of PAF-5 and the PEIimpregnated PAF-5, the materials were activated at 373 K under vacuum for 24 h, and N₂ adsorption/desorption isotherms were measured. The N₂ adsorption isotherm measured at 77 K indicates that pristine PAF-5 has a BET surface area of 2070 m^2g^{-1} , and pore width of 2.11 nm and pore volume of 1.43 cc g⁻¹ , as calculated by non-local density functional theory (NLDFT) applying the model of carbon as an adsorbent and slit pore. These values are slightly higher than previously reported values of PAF-5.¹⁶ For PEI(x wt%) \subseteq PAF-5, N₂ uptake at 77 K decreases gradually as the weight percent of loaded PEI increases as shown in Figure 1a) and Table 1, indicating that PEI are impregnated in the pores of PAF-5 instead of the solid surface. For PEI(40 wt%) CPAF-5, BET surface area and pore volume are reduced to less than 3% of those of PAF-5 (40.3 m² g⁻¹ and 0.046 cc g⁻¹, respectively), indicating that PEI fills almost completely the channel spaces of PAF-5. It should be noted that impregnated PEI was not released even under the high vacuum condition, and this stability must be attributed to the C-H··· π interactions between the ethylene groups of PEI and phenyl rings of PAF-5. N2 adsorption and desorption isotherms of PAF-5 and its PEI-loaded samples were also measured at 298, 313, and 323 K up to 1 atm, and the data were used in the calculation of selectivity for CO₂/N₂ adsorption (Figure S6[†]).

 $\rm CO_2$ adsorption/desorption isotherms were measured for PAF-5 and PEI-impregnated samples at 298, 313, and 323 K up to 1 atm. Pristine PAF-5 shows linearly increasing $\rm CO_2$ adsorption isotherms under 298, 313, and 323 K, uptaking very Journal Name

Compound	$S_{BET}(m^2~g^{\text{-}1})^a$	$V_{total} (cm^3 g^{-1})^a$	CO ₂ adsorption capacity		Salaatiivitr ^d	$O_{\rm c}$ (leI mol ⁻¹)	Def
			Pure $CO_2 (wt\%)^b$	$15\% \text{ CO}_2 (\text{wt\%})^c$	Selectivity	$Q_{\rm st}$ (KJ IIIOI)	Kei
PAF-5	2070 (2150)	1.43 (1.34)	1.2/0.8/0.7	0.4	9.3/9.7/15.6	26.0-14.3	This work
PEI(10 wt%)⊂PAF-5	1640	0.836	3.2/2.7/2.3	1.9	37.3/74.4/45.9	70.7-16.4	This work
PEI(30 wt%)⊂PAF-5	233	0.152	8.8/8.1/7.6	8.4	403/407/677	65.8-23.3	This work
PEI(40 wt%)⊂PAF-5	40.3	0.046	11.7/11.1/10.9	10.8	1200/2160/1750	68.7-18.5	This work
MC400/10PEI%83	6.16	0.016	-	18^e	-	-	5(a)
NPOF-4-NH ₂	554	0.28	4.8/2.3/ -	-	81/29/ - ^f	30.1	10
BILP-2	708	0.49	6.4/ - / -	-	113/71/ - ^g	28.6	12
PPN-6-CH ₂ DETA	555	0.264	- /11.8 ^h / 10.0	-	442^{i}	-	14
MCM-41-PEI-50	4.2	0.011	- / - /4.4 ^j	-	-	-	17
PEI/Zr11-SBA-15	230	0.613	-	6.9^{k}	-	-	20
PEI-MIL-101-125	182.9	0.095	$16.9/ - /17.4^{l}$	-	$770/ - /1200^{f}$	-	24

Table 1. Porosity and CO_2 gas sorption properties of PAF-5 and PEI(x wt%) \subset PAF-5 and comparisons with the properties of other materials.

^{*a*} Values are for the samples activated by heat-evacuation method, and those in the parentheses are for PAF-5 activated by supercritical CO₂ drying method. ^{*b*} Uptake under 0.15 atm of CO₂ in the gas adsorption isotherms measured at 298/313/323 K. ^{*c*} From the gas cycling data measured at 313 K under a stream of 15% (v/v) CO₂ in N₂. ^{*d*} Calculated by using the molar ratio of the CO₂ uptake at 0.15 atm and the N₂ uptake at 0.85 atm at 298/313/323 K. ^{*e*} Measured under a flow of 20% CO₂ in N₂ at 348 K for 120 min using a TGA. ^{*f*} Calculated by using the molar ratio of the CO₂ uptake at 0.15 atm and the N₂ uptake at 0.15 atm and the N₂ uptake at 0.75 atm at 298/323 K. ^{*g*} Calculated from Henry's law constants for single-component adsorption isotherms. ^{*h*} Measured at 295 K. ^{*i*} Calculated ideal adsorbed solution theory. ^{*j*} The weight change of the adsorbent was measured at 298 K and 323 K up to 0.15 atm. No desorption isotherm was reported.



Fig. 2. Gas cycling data of PAF-5 (black), PEI(10 wt%) \subset PAF-5 (green), PEI(30 wt%) \subset PAF-5 (blue), and PEI(40 wt%) \subset PAF-5 (red) measured under the stream of 15 % CO₂ (v/v) in N₂ at 313 K, followed by a pure N₂ stream at 323 K for PEI(10 wt%) \subset PAF-5, and at 343 K for PEI(30 wt%) \subset PAF-5 and PEI(40 wt%) \subset PAF-5. The adsorption and desorption time were given with 20 min in each process although the materials were completely regenerated in 10 min. The wt% in y axis refers to (observed weight/initial weight) × 100.

small amount of CO₂ under 0.15 atm of CO₂ pressure, 1.2, 0.8, and 0.7 wt%, respectively (Figure 1b and Figure S7†). However, CO₂ adsorption isotherms of PEI-loaded adsorbents change to type-I curve at all three different temperatures, resulting in a drastic increase in CO₂ uptake capacities at 0.15 atm. In particular, PEI(40 wt%) \subseteq PAF-5 adsorbs 11.7 wt%, 11.1 wt%, and 10.9 wt% of CO₂ under 0.15 atm of CO₂ pressure at 298 K, 313 K, and 323 K, respectively, which are 10, 14, and 16 times greater than those of pristine PAF-5. The drastic increase in CO₂ uptake capacity at low CO₂ pressure stems from the strong interactions between the amine groups impregnated in PAF-5 and CO₂ molecules. Isosteric heat (Q_{st}) of the CO₂ adsorption in PAF-5 and PEI-impregnated PAF-5 were calculated by using

Clausius-Clapeyron equation based on Dual-site Langmuir fit parameters obtained from the adsorption isotherms at 298, 313, and 323 K (Table 1, and Figure S8-S11⁺). As shown in Figure 1c, the plot of Qst values versus CO2 uptake shows two distinctive regions. In particular, 30 wt% and 40 wt% PEIloaded adsorbents display high Q_{st} values (65.8 - 68.7 kJ mol⁻¹) up to ca. 1.5 and 2.0 mmol g⁻¹ of CO₂ loading, respectively, which decrease to low Q_{st} values (23.3 – 18.5 kJ mol⁻¹) in the higher CO₂ loading. Interestingly, the first region of high Q_{st} values covers broader ranges of CO2 uptake capacity as more amount of PEI is impregnated in PAF-5. These clearly indicate that the first region corresponds to chemisorption of CO₂ on PEI and the second region to physisorption on the surface of PAF-5. The ratios of the adsorbed CO₂ amounts by chemi- and physisorption calculated for PEI(10 wt%) \subseteq PAF-5, PEI(30 wt%) \subseteq PAF-5, and PEI(40 wt%) \subseteq PAF-5 at 313 K, based on Figure 1, are 0.43, 1.49, and 1.72, respectively.

The CO₂ adsorption selectivity over N₂ based on IAST (Ideal Adsorbed Solution Theory) could not be calculated, since extremely low N₂ adsorption data for the PEI-impregnated adsorbents could not be reasonably fitted. Therefore, it was calculated by using the single component adsorption isotherms by using the molar ratio of the CO₂ uptake at 0.15 atm and the N₂ uptake at 0.85 atm at 298, 313, and 323 K. For the PEI-loaded adsorbents, a sharp increase in the CO₂ uptake at low CO₂ pressure together with the large decrease in the N₂ uptake synergistically enhances the CO₂/N₂ adsorption selectivity at 298, 313, and 323 K. As shown in Table 1, PEI(40 wt%) \subseteq PAF-5 shows the highest selectivity (2160) at 313 K. To the best of our knowledge, this selectivity is the highest value reported so far.

To test the possibility of practical application of PEIimpregnated PAF-5 in post-combustion CO_2 capture, a gas cycling experiment was conducted on a thermogravimetric



Fig. 3. Temperature swing adsorption (TSA) data of PEI(40 wt%) \subset PAF-5. A stream of 15% CO₂ (v/v) in N₂ was applied at 313 K for 10 min and then the temperature was increased to 413 K at a rate of 10 K/min under a stream of 1 atm CO₂. For the complete regeneration of the sample, the temperature of 413 K was maintained for 10 min. The wt% in y axis refers to the observed weight/initial weight × 100.

(TG) apparatus. For the adsorption process, the adsorbents were exposed to a stream of 15% (v/v) CO₂ in N₂ at 313 K, which approximately mimics flue gas. After the adsorption process, a pure N₂ stream was applied to regenerate the adsorbents at the elevated temperatures, at 313 K for PAF-5, at 323 K for PEI(10 wt%) \subseteq PAF-5, and at 343 K for PEI(30 wt%) \subseteq PAF-5 and PEI(40 wt%) \subseteq PAF-5. As shown in Figure 2, PAF-5 and PEI(10 wt%) \subseteq PAF-5 reached an equilibrium of CO₂ adsorption quickly, within 20 min, at 313 K. They showed relatively low CO₂ uptake capacities, 0.4 wt% and 1.9 wt%, respectively, and they were regenerated in 10 min under a N₂ flow at 313 and 323 K, respectively. Contrary to these, PEI(30 wt%) CPAF-5 and PEI(40 wt%) CPAF-5 adsorbed 8.4 wt% and 10.8 wt% of CO₂, respectively, at 313 K in 20 min, and they were completely regenerated in 10 min at 343 K, significantly low desorption temperature considering their very high Qst values of the CO2 adsorption. The 10.8 wt% weight increase of PEI(40 wt%) CPAF-5 in the gas cycling experiment is similar to 11.1 wt% CO₂ uptake at 0.15 atm in the CO₂ single component adsorption isotherm measured at 313 K. This 10.8 wt% of CO₂ uptake under a stream of 15% CO₂ in N₂ (v/v) at 313 K is one of the highest uptake capacities ever reported. Previously reported adsorbents such as PEI/Zr14-SBA-15²⁰, 65PEI/monolith²², PEI-MIL-101-125²⁴, and mmen- $Mg_2(dobpdc)^{26}$ suffered from a slow adsorption (12 h)²⁰ or desorption process (ca. 100 min),²² in addition to a high energy penalty derived from a high regeneration temperature.^{24,26} However, PEI(40 wt%) \subseteq PAF-5 in this report adsorbs remarkably high amount of CO2 and the material can be regenerated quickly at a slightly increased temperature (343 K). Furthermore, even after 10 cycles of adsorption/desorption, the adsorbent shows neither material decomposition nor decrease of CO₂ uptake capacity (Figure 2).

To exclude the possibility of cooperative N_2 adsorption²⁷ from a CO_2/N_2 gas mixture and validate the highly selective

CO₂ adsorption in PEI(40 wt%) \subseteq PAF-5, similar gas cycling experiments were performed by using a CO₂/He mixture, since He cannot be adsorbed by any adsorbents. In the gas cycling experiment under the CO₂/He (15/85, v/v) gas mixture at 313 K, PEI(40 wt%) \subseteq PAF-5 increased its weight by 11.3 wt% within 20 min (Figure S13†), same as the weight increase under the CO₂/N₂ (15/85, v/v) gas mixture, and the material was completely regenerated under a N₂ stream at 353 K within 30 min. The discrepancy of 0.5 wt% under two different gas mixtures may be originated from the slight difference in the CO₂ content, and the results suggest that the material adsorbs only CO₂ from the CO₂ and N₂ gas mixture.

Since industrial flue gas contains water vapor and other acidic impurities such as SO₂, SO₃, NO_x, and HCl, CO₂ capture material should be highly stable against water vapor and the acidic impurities. To evaluate the water stability, PEI(40 wt%) \subseteq PAF-5 was exposed to water vapor in a closed bottle for 7 days at 313 K and then activated under reduced pressure at 373 K for 48 hours. The adsorbent showed the same weight changes in the gas cycling experiment carried out under the stream of 15% CO₂ in N₂ at 313 K followed by a pure N₂ gas stream at 343 K, indicating the robustness of the adsorbent against water (Figure S14[†]). Furthermore, water vapor adsorption and desorption isotherms were measured for PEI(40 wt%) CPAF-5 at 313 K (Figure S15[†]). The sample adsorbed 5.0 wt% of water vapor at $P/P_0 = 0.68$, which corresponds to 0.05 atm of water vapor pressure in the post-combustion flue gas. According to the previous report by Cooper et al., POPs with hydrophilic functional groups showed reduced CO₂ uptake capacity after exposure to the ambient atmosphere (~50% relative humidity conditions). In the present case also, water vapor might compete with CO₂ for adsorption on the polar PEI. ²⁸ In addition, the acidic impurities in flue gas might react with PEI base that is impregnated in PAF-5, and the repetitive exposure of the material to the flue gas might gradually reduce the CO₂ capture ability, even though the concentrations of acidic impurities are extremely low and PAF-5 is stable even in concentrated HCl.¹²

Working capacity of PEI(40 wt%) \subseteq PAF-5 in a temperature swing adsorption (TSA) process was also estimated by using the equation, $\Delta N = N^{ads} - N^{des}$, where N^{ads} is the amount of CO2 adsorbed in the adsorption condition, under a flow of 15 % (v/v) CO₂ in N₂, and N^{des} is the amount of CO₂ adsorbed in the regeneration condition, under a pure CO₂ stream at regeneration temperature.²⁹ As shown in Figure 3, under a stream of 15% (v/v) CO₂ in N₂, PEI(40 wt%) CPAF-5 shows 11.1 wt% of CO2 uptake at 313 K within 10 min. When the temperature was increased to 413 K at a rate of 10 K/min under a pure CO₂ stream, the sample began to lose its weight by releasing adsorbed CO₂ and was completely regenerated at 413 K. This reveals 11.1 wt% of working capacity on TSA process between 313 K and 413 K. The CO₂ uptake capacities slightly fluctuated during the 10 cycles of TSA, showing the highest amount of CO_2 (11.7 wt%) adsorption in the 6th cycle. However, the difference of the CO₂ uptake capacities between

the first and 10^{th} cycle is only 0.23 wt% indicating the robustness of the material (Figure S16[†]).

Conclusions

We have impregnated various amounts of PEI in PAF-5 and demonstrated that PEI(40 wt%) \subseteq PAF-5 is an effective material for CO₂ capture from the post-combustion flue gas. The material shows high CO₂ uptake capacity (11.1 wt% at 313 K under 0.15 atm of CO₂), high selectivity for CO₂ adsorption over N₂ (2160 at 313 K), fast adsorption and desorption kinetics (within 10 min.), water stability, and yet low energy penalty for regeneration of the adsorbents (413 K with 11.1 wt% working capacity). For the practical application of this material in CO₂ capture from flue gas, however, we should solve several problems still left such as cost down of the material and development of large scale up methods, which might be challenging.

Acknowledgement

This work was supported by National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) Grant funded by the Korean Government (MEST) (No. 2005-0093842).

Notes and references

^a Department of Chemistry, Seoul National University, Seoul, 151-742, Republic of Korea. Fax: +82 2 886-8516; E-mail: mpsuh@snu.ac.kr

^b Current address: Department of Chemistry, Hanyang University, Seoul 133-791, Republic of Korea. Fax: +82 2 886-8516; <u>Tel:+82</u> 2 2220 0922; Email: mpsuh@Hanyang.ac.kr

† Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: [experimental details, TGA, pore size distribution, FT-IR, additional gas sorption isotherms, gas cycling experiments, and Tables for gas sorption isotherms]. See DOI: 10.1039/b000000x/

- 1 K. Sumida, D. L. Rogow, J. A. Mason, T. M. McDonald, E. D. Bloch, Z. R. Herm, T.-H. Bae and J. R. Long, *Chem. Rev.*, 2012, **112**, 724-781.
- (a) H. J. Park and M. P. Suh, *Chem. Sci.*, 2013, 4, 685-690; (b) H. He,
 W. Li, M. Zhong, D. Konkolewicz, D. Wu, K. Yaccato, T. Rappold,
 G. Sugar, N. E. David and Krzysztof Matyjaszewski, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2013, 6, 488-493.
- 3 (a) D. H. Hong and M. P. Suh, Chem. Commun., 2012, 48, 9168-9170; (b) T. K. Kim and M. P. Suh, Chem. Commun., 2011, 47, 4258-4260.
- 4 H.-S. Choi and M. P. Suh, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2009, 48, 6865-6869.
- 5 (a) G. Qi, Y. Wang, L. Estevez, X. Duan, N. Anako, A.-H. A. Park,
 W. Li, C. W. Jones, E. P. Giannelis, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2011, 4, 444-452; (b) X. Wang, X. Ma, V. Schwartz, J. C. Clark, S. H. Overbury, S. Zhao, X. Xuza and C. Song, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2012, 14, 1485-1492; (c) J. C. Hicks, J. H. Drese, D. J. Fauth, M. L. Gray, G. Qi and C. W. Jones, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2008, 130, 2902-2903.
- 6 L. Wang and R. T. Yang, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2012, 116, 1099-1106.
- 7 (a) W. R. Lee, S. Y. Hwang, D. W. Ryu, K. S. Lim, S. S. Han, D. Moon, J. Choi and C. S. Hong, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2014, 7, 744-

751; (b) N. Yanai, K. Kitayama, Y. Hijikata, H. Sato, R. Matsuda, Y. Kubota, M. Takata, M. Mizuno, T. Uemura1 and S. Kitagawa, *Nat. Mater.*, 2011, **10**, 1485-793; (c) T. Li, J. E. Sullivan and N. L. Rosi, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2013, **135**, 9984-9987.

- (a) V. Guillerm, L. J. Weselinski, M. Alkordi, M. I. H. Mohideen, Y. Belmabkhout, A. J. Cairns, M. Eddaoudi, *Chem. Commun.*, 2014, 50, 1937-1940; (b) W. Lu, W. M. Verdegaal, J. Yu, P. B. Balbuena, H.-K. Jeong, H.-C. Zhou, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2013, 6, 3559-3564; (c) S.-Y. Ding and W. Wang, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2013, 42, 548-568; (d) D. Wu, F. Xu, B. Sun, R. Fu, H. He and K. Matyjaszewski, *Chem. Rev.*, 2012, 112, 3959-4015.
- 9 L.-H. Xie and M. P. Suh, Chem. -Eur. J., 2013, 19, 11590-11597.
- 10 T. Islamoglu, M. G. Rabbani and H. M. El-Kaderi, J. Mater. Chem.A, 2013, 1, 10259-10266.
- (a) H. A. Patel, S. H. Je, J. Park, D. P. Chen, Y. Jung, C. T. Yavuz and A. Coskun, *Nat. Commun.*, 2013, 4, 1357; (b) R. Dawson, D. J. Adams, A. I. Cooper, *Chem. Sci.*, 2011, 2, 1173-1177. (c) R. Dawson, E. Stockel, J. R. Holst, D. J. Adams, A. I. Cooper, *Energy Environ. Sci.* 2011, 4, 4239-4245.
- 12 M. G. Rabbani and H. M. El-Kaderi, *Chem. Mater.*, 2012, 24, 1511-1517.
- 13 H. A. Patel, F. Karadas, J. Byun, J. Park, E. Deniz, A. Canlier, Y. Jung, M. Atilhan and C. T. Yavuz, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2013, 23, 2270-2276.
- 14 W. Lu, J. P. Sculley, D. Yuan, R. Krishna, Z. Wei and H. C. Zhou, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2012, 51, 7480-7484.
- 15 T. Ben, H. Ren, S. Ma, D. Cao, J. Lan, X. Jing, W. Wang, J. Xu, F. Deng, J. M. Simmons, S. Qiu and G. Zhu, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2009, **48**, 9457-9460.
- 16 H. Ren, T. Ben, F. Sun, M. Guo, X. Jing, H. Ma, K. Cai, S. Qiu and G. Zhu, J. Mater. Chem., 2011, 21, 10348-10353.
- 17 X. Xu, C. Song, J. M. Andresen, B. G. Miller and A. W. Scaroni, *Energy Fuels*, 2002, **16**, 1463-1469.
- 18 S. Kim, J. Ida, V. V. Guliants and J. Y. S. Lin, J. Phys. Chem. B, 2005, 109, 6287-6293.
- 19 X. Ma, X. Wang and C. Song, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2009, 131, 5777-5783.
- 20 Y. Kuwahara, D.-Y. Kang, J. R. Copeland, N. A. Brunelli, S. A. Didas, P. Bollini, C. Sievers, T. Kamegawa, H. Yamashita and C. W. Jones, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2012, 134, 10757-10760.
- 21 A. Goeppert, M. Czaun, R. B. May, G. K. S. Prakash, G. A. Olah and S. R. Narayanan, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2011, **133**, 20164-20167.
- 22 C. Chen, S.-T. Yang, W.-S. Ahn and R. Ryoo, Chem. Commun., 2009, 3627-3629.
- 23 S. Choi, M. L. Gray and C. W. Jones, *ChemSusChem*, 2011, 4, 628-635.
- 24 Y. Lin, Q. Yan, C. Kong and L. Chen, *Sci. Rep.* 2013, **3**, 1859.
- 25 Q. Yan, Y. Lin, C. Kong and L. Chen, Chem. Commun., 2013, 49, 6873-6875.
- 26 T. M. McDonald, W. R. Lee, J. A. Mason, B. M. Wiers, C. S. Hong and J. R. Long, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2012, **134**, 7056-7065.
- 27 D. M. D'Alessandro, B. Smit and J. R. Long, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2010, 49, 6058-6082.
- 28 R. Dawson, L. A. Stevens, T. C. Drage, C. E. Snape, M. W. Smith, D. J. Adams and A. I. Cooper, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2012, **134**, 10741-10744.
- 29 Y. S. Bae and R. Q. Snurr, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2011, 50, 11586-11596.



A porous organic framework (PAF-5) impregnated with a polyethylenimine (PEI) displays excellent properties for post-combustion CO_2 capture.