# **RSC Advances**



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. This Accepted Manuscript will be replaced by the edited, formatted and paginated article as soon as this is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the **Information for Authors**.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard <u>Terms & Conditions</u> and the <u>Ethical guidelines</u> still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



www.rsc.org/advances

# **Table of Contents Entry**



The ALD process emissions and the associated chemical reaction mechanism inside the ALD of  $Al_2O_3$  system are studied and reported.

# Journal Name

# **RSCPublishing**

# ARTICLE

# Atomic Layer Deposition of Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> Process Emissions

### Cite this: DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

Lulu Ma, Dongqing Pan, Yuanyuan Xie and Chris Yuan\*

18

19

Received 00th January 2012, Accepted 00th January 2012

DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/

The ALD process emissions and the associated chemical reaction mechanism inside the ALD of Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> system are studied and reported. In gaseous emissions, 3.33 Vol.% of  $CH_4$ and  $6.45 \times 10^{-2}$  Vol.% of C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>6</sub> are found. Net peak emissions of aerosols are found between  $1 \times 10^3$  and  $1 \times 10^4$  #/cm<sup>3</sup> and net total emissions of 25 cycles are in the range of 6.0×10<sup>5</sup> and  $2.5 \times 10^6$  particles. Most aerosols are determined as ultrafine particles with diameter smaller than 100 nm. Purging time has significant impacts on emission concentrations but no effect on size distribution. Both main and side chemical reactions are observed in the ALD system. X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) shows that besides O-Al which represents the existence of Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, significant amount of C-containing by-products are also generated. Chemical bonds observed in C-containing resultants are C-H, C-O and C=O. Main reactions can be considered stable to a certain extent, while side reactions accelerate along internal tubes and finally exceed the speed of main reactions near the outlet of the ALD system. These results could help understand the potential environmental impacts of ALD nanotechnology and guide the technology's sustainable scale-up in future.

### Introduction 1

2 In recent years, Atomic layer deposition (ALD) has found20 3 arrav of industrial broad applications including g semiconductors,<sup>1,2</sup> solar cells,<sup>3,4</sup> polymers,<sup>5,6</sup> and catalyst.<sup>7</sup> D2 4 5 nature, ALD operates by alternating exposure of a substrate 23 6 two or more precursors in a cyclic manner. Advantages of ALDA 7 include: 1) thickness of ALD film can be controlled at atom25 8 scale; 2) deposition can be made on complex surfaces; 26 9 uniform, conformal and pinhole-free nano-scale thin films c27 10 be fabricated. ALD technology can be used to deposit a wide 11 variety of materials. Usually ALD of Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> is studied as the 12 model process of ALD technology. In this process, Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> the 13 film is usually obtained through a binary reaction of H<sub>2</sub>O and 14 Trimethylaluminum (TMA) with a typical growth rate of 1 32 15 per cycle.<sup>8</sup> The overall reaction in ALD of Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> can Be 16 described as: 34 35

17  $2Al(CH_3)_3+3H_2O \rightarrow Al_2O_3+6CH_4 \Delta H=-376kcal$  ALD is a self-limited process and only a small portion of the precursors loaded into ALD chamber is deposited on the substrate, while a large portion is discarded as wastes and emissions.<sup>9</sup> Past ALD research was focused on ALD technology development. There are few researches on ALD process emissions and its relevant environmental impacts. As per the reaction mechanism in ALD process, theoretical emissions are TMA, Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, CH<sub>4</sub> and some intermediate reactants. Once released into atmosphere, they can generate certain environmental impacts and also pose potential risks of exposure to both occupational and public health. For instance, CH<sub>4</sub> is a flammable and a major greenhouse gas.<sup>10</sup> Global warming potential of  $CH_4$  is 25 times higher than that of  $CO_2$ , so it has a much larger greenhouse effect.<sup>11</sup> Intake of Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> through human exposure could cause a series of neuro-toxicity diseases, including reduction of memory, impairment of psychomotor reaction and disorder of emotional balance.<sup>12</sup> As a nano-manufacturing process, ALD of Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> also produces

### ARTICLE

### **RSC Advances**

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

66

67

68

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

1 significant amount of aerosol emissions. Aerosols with high 39 2 concentrations can cause adverse effects on human health. It 40 3 well known that particles smaller than 10 µm are able 4d 4 penetrate alveolar region of lung. Ultrafine particles, small42 5 than 100 nm, can penetrate membranes of respiratory syster43 6 enter blood and finally arrive in brain through circulato 7 system.<sup>12,13</sup> While the ALD of Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> process emissions are **45** 8 grave concerns because of their potential adverse effects on the 9 environment and human health, however, there is no scienti#47 10 study so far conducting on the process emissions and the behi 48 11 mechanism from ALD nano-manufacturing process. This pap49 12 is to report our experimental results on the ALD process 13 emissions and the findings on the associated chemical reaction 14 mechanism. The results may facilitate understanding of tb2 15 potential environmental impacts of the ALD nanotechnolo 53 16 and guide its sustainable scale-up for future large-scabe 17 industrial applications. 55

### **18 Experimental Methods**

### **19** Instrumental Setup and Sample Collection



20

Figure 1. Schematic of Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> ALD system (Savannash 100,
Cambridge Nano Tech Inc.).

Figure 1 is a schematic of the ALD system (Savannash 100723 Cambridge Nano Tech Inc.). Two precursors:  $H_2O$  (ultrapure 24 25 grade) and trimethylaluminum (TMA, Strem Chemicals Inc 26 were exposed into the reaction chamber alternatively, controlled by two individual diaphragm ALD valve 27 2 28 (Swagelok). ALD reactions are performed in cycles. One cycle of ALD reaction has four basic steps: (1) pulse  $H_2O$  into 29 reaction chamber; (2) purge the chamber to remove extra  $H_2$ 30 31 (3) pulse TMA into reaction chamber; (4) purge the chamber to32 remove extra TMA. TMA is an extremely flammable chemic 85 33 and will ignite spontaneously when come in contact with a86 34 Therefore, exposure of TMA in air must be avoided.<sup>14</sup> In o87 35 experimental tests, exposure time of both H<sub>2</sub>O and TMA w88 36 fixed at 0.015 s and purging time was set in the range betwe 37 4 and 20 s. 20 sccm of  $N_2$  was used as carrier gas to flow 38 through the system constantly. A stop valve was installed below the reaction chamber to help control gas flow. Stop valve and ALD valves were operated by compressed air. An inner disk heater embedded in the reaction chamber and heating jackets for other components were used to provide appropriate temperature to the system. In this study, temperature inside the reaction chamber was set at 200 °C. ALD valves and exhaust system including stop valve and pipeline were heated to 150 °C. Neither TMA nor H<sub>2</sub>O needed to be heated; so cylinders of two precursors were placed in room temperature. A vacuum pump (XDS 10, Edwards Vacuum, Inc.) was installed at the end of the exhaust pipeline to provide a low pressure (about 0.4 torr) to the whole system and pump out the extra precursors from the chamber.

The ALD process emissions and the emission generation mechanism are systematically investigated along the exhaust pipeline of the ALD system. 10 pieces of Si wafers are prepared as sample holders to collect chemical resultants within the ALD exhaust pipeline. Their locations are labeled in the schematic of instrumental setup in figure 1. Sample 1 locates in the center of the reaction chamber. Sample 2 and 3 are placed in the exhaust pipeline above the stop valve, and sample 4-9 are placed below the stop valve. Sample 10 is placed at the pump outlet under ambient temperature. Purging time between two pulses was set at 8 s. In this study, these silicon samples are exposed to the same ALD process reactions. In order to improve the efficiency of particle collection, particles emitted from vacuum pump are also collected on a piece of TEM grid (TED PELLA, INC., Prod No. 01824) by aerosols sampler (TSI 3089), where charged particles deposited on a piece of conductive grid through electric field.

### 69 Emission Analysis

Aerosols emitted from ALD reaction were measured directly at outlet of pump without pre-treatment. Concentration of aerosols was measured by ultrafine condensation particle counter (UCPC, TSI 3776). Size distribution was obtained using a scanning mobility particle sizer (SMPS, TSI 3936) which consists of an electrostatic classifier (TSI 3080) and UCPC. Detailed instrument setup is described in supporting information. In this experiment, five different purging times were used at 4s, 8s, 12s, 16s and 20 s to study their effects on the ALD process emissions.

Gas emissions were collected at the outlet of pump by a sealed Swagelok gas cylinder and analyzed in the ORS lab (Oneida Research Services Inc.). Purging time between pulses was set at 8 s, the same as Si wafer analysis.

### Analysis of Chemicals Deposited on Si Wafer

Because Si wafer was not transparent, reflection method was selected for UV-Vis spectroscopy measurement (light source: DT 1000CE, Analytical Instrument Systems, Inc.; detector: SD2000, Ocean Optics, Inc.). A piece of clean Si wafer was used as background and its reflection was set at 100 %.

X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS, HP 5950A ESCA Spectrometer) was used to determine functional groups of

40

41

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57 58

59

2 energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDS, QUANTAX EDS,

3 Bruker Corp.).

### 4 Results and Discussion

## 5 Gaseous Emissions

- $6 \quad \text{Analysis of the gaseous emissions shows the existence of $N_2$,} \\$
- 7  $CH_4$ ,  $H_2O$  and  $C_2H_6$ . Concentration of each component is listed 8 in table 1.

9 Table 1 Concentrations of Each Component in Gaseous Emission.

Component	Concentration inALD
	Emission(Vol.%)
$N_2$	94.61
$CH_4$	3.22
$H_2O$	1.99
$C_2H_6$	6.45×10 <sup>-2</sup>

10 N<sub>2</sub> has the highest concentration at 94.98 Vol.% because it is 11 used as carrier gas to flow through the system consistently. The 12 ALD system is airtight. Though compressed air is used to 13 operate stop valve and ALD valves, it is not introduced into the 14 system. Both N<sub>2</sub> and compressed air are in dry grade, so 1.86 15 Vol.% of H<sub>2</sub>O is from extra H<sub>2</sub>O precursor only. CH<sub>4</sub>, the 16 theoretical gaseous resultant, has concentration of 3.10 Vol. $\Re^2$ , which is close to the lower good flammability limit of CH<sub>4</sub> a33 17 Vol.%.<sup>15</sup> 6.01×10<sup>-2</sup> Vol.% of C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>6</sub> is also found in the gaseo**34** 18 19 emission. In the ALD reaction of TMA and  $H_2O$ , a small  $\clubsuit$ amount of Al-Al is commonly observed in XPS data. This peak 20 is ably reduced by replacing H<sub>2</sub>O with O<sub>3</sub>.<sup>16</sup> Methyl radicagy 21 CH<sub>3</sub>• can be generated by reacting TMA with Al.<sup>17</sup> They a**38** 22 23 highly reactive and form  $C_2H_6$  easily. 39

### 24 Aerosol Emissions

25	Aerosols emissions from the ALD of Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> reactions, includi	A2
26	net peak emission and net total emissions of 25 cycles, a	43
27	shown in figure 2.	44

2.7x10 3x10 Net Total Emission
 Net Peak Emission 2.4x10 2x10 € 2.1x10 Net Total Emission 2x10 1.8x10 1.5x10 2x10 1.2x10 1x10 9.0x10 6.0x10 8x10 12 14 16 18 20 Purging Time (s)

Figure 2. Net peak emissions of aerosols and net total emission ofcycles of ALD reaction measured at pump outlet.



28



Figure 3. (A), (B), (C), (D) and (E) are results of size distribution of aerosols emitted at 5 different purging times: 4, 8, 12, 16 and 20 s, respectively.

Net peak emission of aerosols is in the range of  $1.0 \times 10^4$  and  $2.6 \times 10^4$  #/cm<sup>3</sup>. The emission decreases with the increase of purging time. Average global aerosol concentration at continental boundary layer was detected in the range of 1×10<sup>3</sup> to  $1 \times 10^4$  #/cm<sup>3</sup>.<sup>18</sup> So concentration of aerosols emitted by ALD reaction is 3 to 10 times larger than the average concentration of global aerosols, and thus is a significant source of air pollution. Concentration of net total emissions is in the range of  $6.0 \times 10^5$  and  $2.5 \times 10^6$  particles. Net total emissions also decrease with the increase of purging time. Since pulsing time of each precursor is fixed at 0.015 s, the amounts of precursors injected into the reaction chamber per cycle are the same. The drop of total emission at large purging time indicates that more precursors are adsorbed in the ALD pipeline. Once deposited by precipitation, the surface of pipeline will have a larger tendency of further deposition.<sup>19</sup> These precipitations accumulated along ALD pipeline will lower the heat transfer, prevent gas flow and decrease energy efficiency.<sup>19,20</sup>

Though purging time shows a great influence on the number concentration of aerosol emission, it has limited effect on size distribution, as shown in figure 3. Size distribution of aerosols locates in the range between 10 and 300 nm regardless of purging times varying from 4 to 20s. Most aerosols are ultrafine particles smaller than 100 nm.

### 60 UV-Vis Analysis of Chemicals Deposited on Si Wafer

60

61

62

6

ARTICLE

To identify the side reactions in the ALD system and identify
 the emission mechanism from ALD of Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> process,
 components and chemical properties of ALD emissions and the
 samples installed along internal pipeline are investigated using

5 UV-Vis reflection spectra, XPS and EDS.



7 Figure 4.UV-Vis spectra of the 10 samples inside ALD system.

8 Figure 4 is the UV-Vis reflection spectra of the 10 samples 9 between 300 and 1000 nm. A piece of clean Si wafer is used as 10 background and its reflection is set at 100 %. By defining clean 11 Si piece having the first type of UV-Vis spectrum (A), the 12 spectra of the 10 samples can be divided into 3 types: (B), (C) 13 and (D). Type (B), having the smallest reflection at about 350 14 nm, is observed on sample 1, 2, 4, 5 and 6. Type (C), showing 15 smallest reflection at about 450 nm, is found on sample 3, 8 and 16 9. (D) is the result of sample #10 collected on the outlet of 17 pump. The optical bandgap of ALD Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> film is determined at  $6.4 \pm 0.1$  eV, so it is transparent above 200 nm.<sup>21,22</sup> However 18 all of the samples in figure 4 show significant reflection drop, 4419 20 indicating that chemicals other than Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> have been generate and emitted into atmosphere. Reflection curves of sample 1, 2, 21 22 4, 5, and 6 decrease gradually from sample 1 to 2 and 4 to 6, 23 respectively with similar spectrum shape. Continuous reflection 24 drop indicates increase of film thickness on Si wafer. Sample 4 25 is the first sample below the stop valve. Because there is no 26 instrumental component impeding the flow between sample 4 27 and 9, gas flow is more stable in this region than in the pipeline 28 above stop valve. The shape of reflection curves starts **hop** 29 change from sample 7 and become stable at 8 and 9. Sample 48 30 is installed above the stop valve but has a similar spectrum as  $\frac{49}{8}$ 31 and 9. Reason of this phenomenon is due to the disruption  $\frac{1}{2}$ 32 the stop valve between the sample 3 and 4. Precursors ar 33 retarded by it and thus react for a longer time. The change of 34 spectrum curve observed on sample 3, 8 and 9 indicated generation of chemicals that are different from those observed 35 36 on (B). Sample #10, collected at the outlet of pump, has much higher reflection. Therefore, the mount of emissions of ALD 37 38 reaction is limited, and most of resultants are adsorbed on the 39 inner wall of the system as precipitations. 59

### 40 XPS Analysis of Chemicals Deposited on Si Wafer



Figure 5. XPS data of Carbon. (A), (B), (C) and (D) are correlated with the four types mentioned in figure 4.



Figure 6. XPS data of Oxygen. (A), (B), (C) and (D) are correlated with the four types mentioned in figure 4.



Figure 7. XPS data of Al. (B) and (C) are correlated with the two types mentioned in figure 4.

Chemical compositions of the four groups of samples are measured by XPS. XPS spectra between 0 and 900 eV is shown in figure S2 in the Supporting Information. Figure 5 and 6 illustrate detailed C and O spectra, respectively. (A), (B), (C) and (D) are the four types of samples mentioned in figure 4. Peaks of C in (B), (C) and (D) are about 3 to 4 times higher compared with that of (A), indicating that significant amounts of C-containing by-products have been generated by ALD reaction. C in (B) exists in the form of C-C/C-H (283.2 eV), C-O (284.8 eV) and C=O (287.2). Meanwhile, three peaks are found in the peak of O: Al-O (530.1 eV), C-O (531.3 eV) and C=O (532.5 eV). Sample (C) also contains C-C/C-H (283.5

ARTICLE

1 eV), C-O (285.0 eV) and C=O (287.6 eV) in the peak of C abd 2 Al-O (530.3 eV), C-O (531.4 eV) and C=O (532.4 eV) in the 3 peak of O. However, relative intensity of C-O and C=O in (66 4 are higher than that in (B). Four peaks of C are observed 57 5 sample (D): C-C/C-H (283.6 eV), C-O (285.3 eV), C=O (28758) 6 eV) and C-F (290.0 eV), where C-F is exhausted by Tefl59 7 membrane inside Edwards XDS 10 pump. O in sample (D)60 8 found containing Si-O-Si (531.3 eV), C=O (531.8 eV), Si-61 (532.3 eV) and C-O (533.1 eV).<sup>23</sup> Al deposits on the type (**B**2 9 10 and (C) is found as Al-O and Al-Al. The results of Al collected 11 on sample (B) and (C) are shown in figure 7. A small amount 64 Al-Al is commonly observed in the reaction of TMA and  $H_265$ 12 and can be reduced by replacing H<sub>2</sub>O with O<sub>3</sub>.<sup>16,24</sup> Becau**66** 13 14 efficiency of aerosol collection on Si wafer is limited afor 15 density of aerosol distribution is relatively small, no Al 68 16 observed on sample (D) by XPS. 69 17 Neither H<sub>2</sub>O nor N<sub>2</sub> contains C, so TMA is the only source **70** 18 C. Decomposition of TMA on Si (100) wafer has bean observed incompletely.<sup>25</sup> Since the peak of C-Al is nZ2 19 20 detected, all the TMA has participated in either main or side 21 reactions.<sup>26,27</sup> Reactions of TMA and –OH are able to general4 intermediate reactants (-O)(-OH)Al(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> and (-O)75 22 OH)Al(CH<sub>3</sub>).<sup>28,29</sup> O-O bond is not stable and alkyl peroxide has 23 24 been found decomposable into ketone and alcohol.<sup>30</sup> Beside

25 generating  $C_2H_6$ ,  $CH_3^{\bullet}$  can react with ROR and generate  $C\vec{H}$ 26 and ROR $\bullet$ , where R represents alkyl groups.<sup>31</sup> These radical 27 can contribute to the formation of C-containing by-produc 28 Since no gas with m/z above 45 is observed, C-O, C=O and **61** 29 H containing chemicals are all emitted as aerosols at outlet **82** 30 the pump. 83

### 31 EDS Analysis of Chemicals Deposited on Si Wafer

86 32 Measurements of atomic concentration of the 10 samples ar also accomplished by EDS. The results are listed in table S1 in 33 34 the Supporting Information. Among these 10 samples, samples 35 4 to 9 locate in a relatively stable region. Sample 4 has higher 36 concentration of carbon but its UV-Vis spectrum and XPS data 37 are similar with other samples in type (B). This phenomenon is 38 due to the stop valve installed between sample 3 and 4. C is 39 detected on all the samples, indicating that side reactions are 40 found all over the system. Concentration of Al from sample 5 to 41 9 does not change significantly, while concentrations of C and 42 O both increase gradually. Therefore, reaction that generates 43 Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> is stable to a certain extent, while side reactions that 44 generate C-containing chemicals accelerate along the pipeline. 45 At the lower part of system, where sample 8 and 9 are placed, 46 there are more C-containing chemicals generated than Al-47 containing chemicals. EDS measured on particles collected on 48 TEM grid shows that 4.40±1.00% of Al, 3.31±1.07% of C, 49 83.46±16.11% of Cu, 4.96±0.96% of F and 3.86±0.74% of O 50 are containing in emitted particles.

### 51 Conclusions

52 Both gaseous emissions and aerosols from ALD of  $Al_2O_3$ 53 process are investigated and reported. In the measurement of

gaseous emission, CH<sub>4</sub> and C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>6</sub> are found generated by ALD reactions, where CH<sub>4</sub> is the second concentrated component in the gaseous emission. Large amounts of aerosols that are 3 to 10 times more concentrated than average global aerosols are generated. Most of them are in the ultrafine range with diameter smaller than 100 nm. Purging time has no effects on aerosol size distribution, but significantly impacts the total net emission of aerosols. In a longer purging time, more aerosols are adsorbed on system pipeline as precipitation. Series measurements of samples collected along ALD exhaust system reflected the emission generation mechanism from both main and side chemical reactions. Aerosols emitted from the ALD reactions have both Al-containing and C-containing compounds, where C-containing compounds are generated through side reactions. XPS shows that chemical bonds, including C-H, C-O and C=O, are contained in by-products. The main reactions can be considered stable to a certain extent, while side reactions accelerate and exceed the speed of main reactions at the last three samples.

### Acknowledgements

This research is supported by National Science Foundation (CMMI-1200940). The author would also like to thank Jianyang Li, Xianfeng Gao and Dongsheng Guan for their assistance in the experiments.

### Notes and references

84

85

5

<sup>\*</sup>Department of Mechanical Engineering, University of Wisconsin Milwaukee, Milwaukee 53211, USA. E-mail: Cyuan@uwm.edu; Tel: +1-414-229-5639

† Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: [details of any supplementary information available should be included here]. See DOI: 10.1039/b000000x/

1 M. Huang, Y. Chang, C. Chang, Y. Lee, P. Chang, J. Kwo, T. Wu and M. Hong, *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, 2005, **87**, 252104.

2 P. Ye, B. Yang, K. Ng, J. Bude, G. Wilk, S. Halder and J. Hwang, *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, 2005, **86**, 063501.

3 X. Gao, J. Chen and C. Yuan, *J. Power Sources*, 2013, **240**, 503-509.

4 X. Gao, D. Guan, J.Huo,J. Chen, and C. Yuan, *Nanoscale*, 2013, **5**, 10438-10446.

S. George, Chem. Rev., 2010, 110, 111-131.

6 E. Langereis, M, Creatore, S. Heil, M. van de Sanden and W.Kessels, *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, 2006, **89**, 081915.

 M.Pellin, P. Stair, G.Xiong, J. Elam, J. Birrell, L. Curtiss, S.
 George, C. Han, L.Iton, H. Kung, M. Kung and H. Wang, *Catal. Lett.*,2005, 102, 127-130.

8 J. Koo, S. Kim, S. Jeon and H.Jeon, *J. Korean Phys. Soc.*, 2006, **48**, 131-136.

9 J. Huo, X. Lin and C. Yuan, *Proceedings of 2012 ASME* Manufacturing Science and Engineering Conference, Notre Dame, Indiana, USA, June 4-8, 2012.

10 D. Lashof and D.Ahuja, D. R. *Nature*, 1990, **344**, 529- 531.

11 P. Forster, V.Ramaswamy, P.Artaxo, T.Berntsen, R. Betts, D.

Fahey, J. Haywood, J. Lean, D. Lowe, G.Myhre, J.Nganga, R.Prinn, G. Raga, M. Schulz and R. Van Dorland, Changes in Atmospheric Constituents and in Radiative Forcing. In *Climate Change 2007: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change*;S. Solomon, D. Qin, M. Manning, Z. Chen, M. Marquis, K.Averyt, M.Tignor, H. Miller, H., eds; Cambridge University Press: Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA, 2007; pp 210-216.

12 D. Krewski, R. Yokel, E.Nieboer, D.Borchelt, J. Cohen, J. Harry, S.Kacew, J. Lindsay, A. Mahfouz, and V.Rondeau, *J. Toxicol. Environ. Health B Crit Rev.*, 2007, **10**, 1-269.

13 U. Pöschl, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2005, 44, 7520-7540.

14 *Trimethylaluminum*; MSDS No. 98-4003; Strem Chemicals, Inc: Newburyport, MA, April 21, 2011.

15 K. Cashdollara, I.Zlochowera, G.Greena, R.Thomasa, and M. Hertzberg, *J LOSS PREVENT PROC*, 2000, **13**, 327-340.

16 J. Kim, D. Kwon, K.Chakrabarti, C. Lee, K. Oh and J. Lee, J. Appl. Phys., 2002, **92**, 6739.

17 D. Squire, C. Dulcey and M. Lin, J. Vac. Sci. Technol. B, 1985, 3, 1513.

D. Spracklen, K. Carslaw, J. Merikanto, G. Mann, C. Reddington,
 S. Pickering, J. Ogren, E. Andrews, U. Baltensperger, E. Weingartner, M.
 Boy, M. Kulmala, L. Laakso, H. Lihavainen, N. Kivekäs, M. Komppula, N.

Mihalopoulos, G. Kouvarakis, S. Jennings, C. O'Dowd, W. Birmili, A.

Wiedensohler, R. Weller, J. Gras, P. Laj, K. Sellegri, B. Bonn, R. Krejci, A. Laaksonen, A. Hamed, A. Minikin, R. Harrison, R. Talbot, and J. Sun,*Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 2010, **10**, 4775-4793.

K. Hyllestad, Scaling of Calcium Carbonate on aHeated Surface in a Flow ThroughSystem with Mono Ethylene Glycol. M.S. Thesis, Norwegian University of Science and Technology, Trondheim, Norway, 2008. 20 M. Crabtree, D.Eslinger, P. Fletcher, M. Miller, A. Johnson and G. King, *Oilfield Rev.*, 1999, *11*, 30–45.

21 G. Dingemans and W. Kessels, J. Vac. Sci. Technol.A, 2012, 30, 040802.

22 G. López, P. Ortega, C.Voz, I. Martín, M.Colina, A. Morales, A. Orpella and R.Alcubilla, *Beilstein J. Nanotechnol.*,2013, **4**, 726–731.

23 A. Namiki, K.Tanimoto, T. Nakamura, N.Ohtake and T. Suzaki, *Surface Science*, 1989, **222**, 530-554.

24 T. Kubo, J. Freedsman, Y. Iwata and T. Egawa, *Semicond. Sci. Technol.*, 2014, **29**, 045004.

25 T. Gow, R. Lin, L.Cadwell, F. Lee, A.Backman, and R. Masel, *Chem. Mater.*, 1989, **4**, 406-411.

26 M. Bou, J. Martin and Th. LeMogne, *Appl. Surf. Sci.*1991, **47**, 149-161.

27 C. Hinnen, D. Imbert, J. Siffre, and P. Marcus, *Appl. Surf.Sci.*, 1994, **78**, 219-231.

A. Delabie, S.Sioncke, J. Rip, S.Elshocht, G.Pourtois, M. Mueller,B. Beckhoff and K.Pierloot, *J. Vac. Sci. Technol. A.*, 2012, 30, 01A127.

29 B. Gongand G. Parsons, J. Mater. Chem., 2012, 22, 15672.

30 N. Kornblum and H.DeLaMare, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1951, 73, 880-881.

31 P. Gray, and A. Herod, *Trans. Faraday Soc.*, 1986, **64**, 2723-2734.