RSC Advances



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. This Accepted Manuscript will be replaced by the edited, formatted and paginated article as soon as this is available.


You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the **Information for Authors**.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard <u>Terms & Conditions</u> and the <u>Ethical guidelines</u> still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



www.rsc.org/advances

Graphical abstract:



Cite this: DOI: 10.1039/c0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/xxxxxx

ARTICLE TYPE

Palladium Nanoparticles Embedded on Thiourea-Modified Chitosan: A Green and Sustainable Heterogeneous Catalyst for Suzuki Reaction in Water

Abdullah Affrose,^a Palaniswamy Suresh^{a,b} Ismail Abulkalam Azath^a and Kasi Pitchumani*^{ab}

s Received (in XXX, XXX) Xth XXXXXXXX 20XX, Accepted Xth XXXXXXXX 20XX DOI: 10.1039/b000000x

Palladium nanoparticles (PdNPs) embedded on thiourea modified chitosan (TMC) are prepared in spherical and cubical shapes by intercalating palladium(II) acetate in TMC, a natural bio-polymer, and subsequent reduction using ellagic acid (EA) as a natural and green reducing source in water. The

- ¹⁰ formation of palladium nanoparticles in water is monitored by UV-Vis., spectroscopy and the PdNPs/TMC solid matrix are characterized by FT-IR, powder XRD and HR-TEM. The amount of palladium entrapped on TMC is measured by ICP-OES analysis, and it is found to be 0.00103 mol %. The synthesised PdNPs/TMC reported for the first time, were employed as heterogeneous catalysts for Suzuki cross-coupling reactions of aryl iodide/bromide with various substituted aryl boronic acids in water and
- 15 showed high catalytic activity under mild reaction conditions. Easy separation, absence of inert atmosphere and good to excellent yield are the other significant outcomes of this protocol. In addition, the reactions also work well with various heterocyclic boronic acids. Also the catalyst can be easily recovered and reused for at least five runs without loss in its activity.

Introduction


- ²⁰ Development of greener methods for efficient synthesis of well characterized metal nanoparticles has become a major focus in nanoscience.¹ Researchers have explored the potential of nanomaterials for various applications such as optoelectronics,² drug delivery,³ sensors,⁴ catalysis,⁵ tissue engineering,⁶ biological
- ²⁵ labels⁷ and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) contrast enhancement. Controlling the shape and size of crystalline nanomaterials has attracted particular importance, due to its tunable nature during their fabrications.⁸ Mild, biomimetic and eco-friendly approaches have been gaining importance⁹ due to
- ³⁰ their ability to form dimension controlled arrays of a wide range of nanomaterials, thus providing simple and cost-effective methods, which could potentially be employed in large-scale production.¹⁰ Greener methods have been followed for synthesis of silica structures such as nanospheres, nanoplates,
- ³⁵ nanocylinders and nanotubes.¹¹⁻¹⁵ Currently, several 'green' reducing agents such as polyphenols, enzymes, citric acid, vitamins (B, C, D and K) are employed for synthesis of shape selective silver, palladium and gold nanoparticles.¹⁶

Biodegradable polymers are able to stabilize and functionalize ⁴⁰ metal nanoparticles without any undesirable consequences on the environment and biosystems.¹⁷ Various stabilizing agents are available to prevent the nanoparticles from aggregation as well as to functionalize the particles for the desired applications.¹⁸ Pd(II)/chitosan catalyst has already been tested as a ⁴⁵ heterogeneous catalyst in microwave-assisted reactions.¹⁹ Although chitosan modification requires some tricky procedures,²⁰ this material is cheap, stable and biodegradable, making it suitable for greener Pd-catalyzed Suzuki reactions.^{21–25} Poor solubilizing of chitosan in many organic ⁵⁰ solvents make it as an excellent supports for various organic tranformations.^{26–28} The ultrasound assisted cross-linking of chitosan with hexamethylene diisocyanate with the simultaneous incorporation of Pd(OAc)₂ is reported in the solid-state Suzuki cross-coupling.²⁹

Palladium catalyzed carbon-carbon coupling Suzuki-Miyaura reactions of aryl halides are very important and a versatile route to construct biaryl units.^{30,31} Although homogeneous palladium catalysts have been extensively investigated, these reactions remain challenging due to cost of catalyst, lack of reusability and ⁶⁰ difficulty to separate from the reaction mixture³² which are the notable drawbacks in the pharmaceutical industry. To overcome those problems, heterogeneous palladium catalysts provide a promising solution and a number of solid materials such as carbon structures^{33,34} polymers^{35,36} have been employed as ⁶⁵ supports for palladium nanoparticles.^{37,44} Among the several supports examined, chitosan displayed better performances providing a prompt anchorage to Pd nanoparticles.

Though chitosan have more number of amino (-NH₂) and hydroxyl (-OH) functional groups, increasing attention has been ⁷⁰ paid to thiourea modification, which can provide more metal binding sites.⁴⁵ Due to its strong affinity for palladium,⁴⁶ chitosan and modified chitosan has been used as supramolecular ligand for the coupling reaction. Lee *et.al* used chitosan supported Pd nanoparticles for Suzuki coupling in water. But this reaction needed heating at 150°C under microwave irradiation for 15 h.⁴⁷ Also the same group used PEG-chitosan for the same reaction. This method also has a disadvantage that the recyclability of catalyst was very poor. A significant decrease in yield was

- ⁵ observed after only two reaction cycles.⁴⁸ Recently Monopoli *et. al.*, carried out Suzuki coupling in ionic liquid as solvents using chitosan supported PdNPs. In this method, it needs 110°C for the activation.⁴⁹ In addition, all the above methods need either conventional reducing agent such as hydrazine or additive TBAB.
- ¹⁰ There is a high demand for simple, inexpensive and easily accessible catalysts for these coupling reactions. Here, we report the green synthesis and stabilization of PdNPs on thiourea modified chitosan (TMC) under mild conditions *via* naturally occurring ellagic acid as green reducing agent.
- ¹⁵ Initially palladium(II) acetate is intercalated onto TMC biopolymer to form the Pd(II)/TMC complex which is subsequently reduced by EA to form the heterogeneous catalyst PdNPs/TMC (Scheme 1). This is used as a catalyst for the Suzuki carbon-carbon coupling reaction between aryl iodide and bromide
- 20 with various substituted boronic acids under mild reaction conditions in water.



Scheme 1. Green synthesis and stabilization of PdNPs used as heterogeneous catalyst for Suzuki reaction.

25 Results and Discussion

As chitosan derivatives have high sorption capacity towards metals during immobilization and are water compatible, one of the chitosan derivative called TMC (thiourea modified chitosan) ³⁰ was prepared, ⁵⁰ it is used as support for PdNPs. EA (ellagic aicd) is found to have good capability for reducing palladium ions, resulting in the formation of PdNPs. They are also found to recognize and affect the further growth of PdNPs on the 200, 220 and 111 faces, leading to the formation of spherical and cube ³⁵ shaped PdNPs.

The formation of PdNPs in colloidal solution is controlled by varying the concentration of EA from 0.1 equiv. to 0.5 equiv. for 1 equiv. of Pd(II) acetate and monitored by UV-Vis., absorption and color changes. The optimum concentration was found to be

- ⁴⁰ 0.5 equiv. of EA for 1 equiv. Pd(OAc)₂, half of the equivalent of EA is found to be enough for the formation of PdNPs in aqueous medium at 50 °C for 6 h. The formation of PdNPs is easily monitored through naked eye and excess addition doesn't make any further change. The orange red color of Pd(II) solution which
- ⁴⁵ appeared at 409 nm band in UV-Vis. spectra changed gradually into brown black with incremental addition of EA with concomitant increase in the intensity of absorption (F igure S1) indicating formation of PdNPs. After complete addition of EA, a broad band is developed without any peak, which is characteristic ⁵⁰ of colloidal PdNPs.

Tannins are a family of polyphenolic compounds and their chelating properties towards transition and active redox metals have been reported to form stable complexes with metal ions such as silver, copper, zinc and several other metal ions⁵¹⁻⁵⁴ and are

110

ss shown to play an important role in the formation of metal nanoparticles. Ellagic acid due to its extensive polyphenolic ring system has an affinity towards palladium ions and consequently reduces it to form palladium nanoparticles.

The role EA in the PdNPs formation in water is also evidenced from FT-IR (figure S2a), with characteristic peaks at 1714 cm⁻¹ and 1359 cm⁻¹ for C=O and C-O-C groups respectively and a broad peak in the region of 3200–3500 cm⁻¹ for phenolic -OH groups of EA. Absence of above peaks in the TMC and PdNPs/TMC solid matrix (Figure S2b & S2c) indicates that EA is

- 65 not present in PdNPs/TMC solid matrix. This also shows that after the reduction, EA is removed from TMC solid matrix during filtration and washing process. Thus in the presence of EA, Pd nanoparticles of different sizes and shapes are formed and this interactions, with EA allows the formation of quinoid structure 70 containing keto-enol system which is similar to that observed in
- the case of formation of gold nanoparticles in the presence of gallic acid.⁴⁹

The phase and purity of the prepared PdNPs/TMC catalyst are determined from the powder X-ray diffraction (XRD) pattern

- ⁷⁵ (figure S4) which shows a broad diffraction with 20 ranging from 8° to 20°, confirming the presence of solid matrix of the TMC. Besides this, the three diffraction peaks at 20 values of 39.32°, 45.56°, and 66.82° corresponding to (111), (200) and (220) lattice planes of face centered cubic (fcc) crystalline structure of the
- ⁸⁰ PdNPs are also observed. According to the Scherrer equation,⁵⁵ the average size of the PdNPs is found to be 4.6 nm, calculated utilizing the 111 lattice plane, which is approximately the same with that observed from TEM images shown in figure 1.




Figure 1. a) TEM image of heterogeneous catalyst PdNPs/TMC b) HR-TEM image of PdNPs/TMC (spherical nanoparticles around 3-5 nm) c) SAED image of PdNPs/TMC catalyst d) Histogram of PdNPs/TMC heterogeneous catalyst.

Figure 1a shows the transmission electron microscope (TEM) image of the resultant PdNPs/TMC heterogeneous catalyst. Spherically shaped PdNPs of 3 to 5 nm uniformly dispersed on TMC solid surface are obtained and obviously no aggregation of

- ⁵ PdNPs is noticed (figure 1a). Figure 1b and 1c showed the high resolution TEM (HR-TEM) image and selected area electron diffraction (SAED) of catalyst respectively. This indicates that the PdNPs has a face-centered cubic (FCC) structure which agrees with the recorded powder X-ray diffraction pattern of
- 10 PdNPs/TMC. Figure 1d shows average particle size histogram of PdNPs/TMC and it shows that the maximum numbers of PdNPs are present around 3 nm size.

Thus the observed results shows clearly that TMC has the capacity to entrap palladium in good quantity without aggregation

15 of the PdNPs. Along with spherically shaped nanoparticles, cubical PdNPs of 25 nm size are also found to be present (Figure S5).

In order to test the catalytic ability of PdNPs/TMC as heterogeneous catalysts, Suzuki reaction between iodobenzene 20 and phenylboronic acid in water using potassium carbonate as a base is chosen as a model reaction. The observed results are given in table 1. The reaction fails in the absence of catalyst (entry 1) indicating the importance of the catalyst. Poor yield is observed in the absence of support with only Pd(II) (entry 2). With

- 25 PdNPs/EA also only 49% yield (entry 4) is obtained and an additional handicap is that the catalyst separation from the reaction mixture is difficult. This is due to the fact that PdNPs in solution phase are unstable and showed poor catalytic activity due to a decrease in surface area through aggregation. 30 Surprisingly excellent yield (99%) is observed when the reaction
- is carried out with PdNPs/TMC as the heterogeneous catalyst.

Table 1	 Optimiza 	tion of rea	action cond	ditions for	Suzuki	reaction [a]
---------	------------------------------	-------------	-------------	-------------	--------	--------------

S. No	Catalyst	Base	Solvent	Yield ^[b]
1		K ₂ CO ₃	Water	Nil ^[c]
2	$Pd(OAc)_2^{[d]}$	K ₂ CO ₃	Water	26
3	TMC/Pd(OAc)2[e]	K_2CO_3	Water	43
4	EA/Pd(II) ^[f]	K ₂ CO ₃	Water	49
5	PdNPs/TMC	K ₂ CO ₃	Water	99
6	PdNPs/TMC		Water	Nil
7	PdNPs/TMC	Et ₃ N	Water	34
8	PdNPs/TMC	NaOAc	Water	49
9	PdNPs/TMC	Na ₂ CO ₃	Water	46
10	PdNPs/TMC	Cs_2CO_3	Water	70
11	PdNPs/TMC	K ₃ PO ₄	Water	66
12	PdNPs/TMC	K_2CO_3	Water	80 ^[g]
13	PdNPs/TMC	K ₂ CO ₃	Hexane	Nil
14	PdNPs/TMC	K_2CO_3	Toluene	10
15	PdNPs/TMC	K ₂ CO ₃	Acetone	35
16	PdNPs/TMC	K_2CO_3	Acetonitrile	40
17	PdNPs/TMC	K ₂ CO ₃	EtOH	53
18	PdNPs/TMC	K_2CO_3	DMSO	65
19	PdNPs/TMC	K ₂ CO ₃	DMF	75

[a] Reaction conditions: Iodobenzene (0.5 mmol), phenylboronic acid (0.6 mmol), Catalyst; base (2 mmol), 3mL solvent, 80 °C, 14 h; [b] Isolated yield; [c] 24 h; [d] 0.1mmol; [e] 10 mg TMC + 0.1 mmol Pd(II); [f] 0.1 mmol EA/Pd(II)acetate; [g] 1 mmol base.

The nature of base also has a very strong influence on the reaction. No product was obtained in the absence of the base 40 (entry 6). Organic bases show lower activity in coupling reaction (entry 7) while inorganic bases acted efficiently. Inorganic bases other than carbonate bases are less effective and afforded moderate yield of the coupling product. Among the inorganic bases used, K₂CO₃ afforded the excellent result (entries 8-11). 45 However, lowering the mole percentage of base decreases the yield significantly (entry 12).

Generally, Suzuki reaction is performed in organic solvent or in the solvent mixture of water and polar organic solvent such as N, N-dimethylformamide (DMF) or in water with the addition of 50 surfactant.56,57 The effect of various solvents is next investigated and results are given in table 1 (entries 13-19). The reaction fails when hexane is used as a solvent, due to the poor solubility of reactant in hexane (entry 13). The reaction works well when water is used (entries 5 & 13-19) as solvent. This may be due to 55 the fact that water helps in solvation of the organic substrates and the inorganic base. In contrast, poor product formation is observed in less polar solvents such as toluene, acetone & acetonitrile and polar solvents such as ethanol, DMF and DMSO. Thus the optimized reaction conditions for aryl iodide (0.5 mmol) 60 and phenylboronic acid (0.6 mmol) are 20 mg PdNPs/TMC as a catalyst, 2 mmol base, water (3 mL) as a solvent heated at 80 °c for 14 h.

To explore the scope of the reaction further, the present study is extended to various aryl halides and substituted boronic acids 65 carrying either an electron releasing/withdrawing substituents under the optimised reaction conditions. All the reactions proceeded efficiently and resulted in higher yields and the observed results are presented in table 2. Suzuki reactions of aryl chloride and bromide proceeded with yields of 61% and 81% 70 respectively at 80 °C (entries 2-3). In addition, a wide range of functional groups in boronic acids such as alkyl, aryl, nitro,

amide and methoxy groups are tolerated. Sterically more hindered boronic acid gave lesser yield (entry 13).

Heterocyclic compounds are difficult substrates to undergo 75 coupling reactions due to their potential coordination with catalysts. Therefore, the scope of this reaction is also extended to various heterocyclic boronic acids such as pyridine, furan and thiophene moiety containing boronic acids (entries 15, 19-22). It is noteworthy to mention that most of the substrates give higher 80 yield. However, very poor yield is observed when heterocyclic rings containing electron withdrawing groups such as cyano group are used (entry 18).

Different substituted boronic acids also gave good yields when coupled with aryl bromide in the Suzuki reaction, though longer 85 reaction time (14 h to 18 h) is needed. However, under the same reaction conditions catalyst gave poor yield with aryl chloride (entry 3), indicating clearly that, TMC supported palladium catalyst is very effective for the Suzuki coupling of aryl iodide and bromide in water.


The catalyst is also found to be reusable. At the end of the reaction, the collected PdNPs/TMC catalyst is filtered and reused. From the ICP-OES analysis of PdNPs/TMC, the palladium content on the surface of PdNPs/TMC catalyst is found to be 0.00103 mol % for freshly prepared PdNPs/TMC. EDAX 95 analyses carried out for fresh and reused samples show that the 15

20

palladium content remains same after use (Figure S6a and S6b). In order to check the reusability of the catalyst, a series of five consecutive runs are carried out in water at 80 °C with the PdNPs/TMC as heterogeneous catalyst. As shown in figure 2, the s yield of biaryl decreases only to a smaller extent, even after five


cycles, which suggests the good stability of the PdNPs/TMC catalyst.

Table 2. Suzuki reaction of aryl halides with various substituted boronic 10 acids in the presence of TMC supported PdNPs catalyst ${}^{\rm [a]}$



~					
S. No	Aryl boronic acid	Х	T/ h	Product	Yield ^[b]
1	Phenylboronic acid	Ι	14	3	99
	Phenylboronic acid	Br	14	3	81
2 3	Phenylboronic acid	Cl	14	3	61
4	(3,4-Dimethoxyphenyl)boronic	I	14	3a	98
	acid				
5	(3,4-Dimethoxyphenyl)boronic acid	Br	18	3a	81 4
6	(4-Nitrophenyl)boronic acid	Ι	14	3b	98
7	(4-Nitrophenyl)boronic acid	Br	16	3b	79
8	[1,1'-Biphenyl]-4-ylboronic acid	Ι	14	3c	96
9	(3-Methylsulfonyl)phenyl)boronic	Ι	14	3d	91
	acid				4
10	(3-Methylsulfonyl)phenyl)boronic acid	Br	14	3d	81
11	(3-Isobutyramido-5-methyl-	Ι	14	3e	94
	phenyl)boronic acid				
12	(3-Isobutyramido-5-methyl-	Br	16	3e	78
	phenyl)boronic acid				
13	(5-Chloro-2-methylphenyl)-	Ι	14	3f	80
	boronic acid				
14	Benzo[d][1,3]dioxol-5-ylboronic acid	Ι	14	3g	90
15	(6-Methoxypyridin-3-yl)boronic	Ι	14	3h	87
	acid				
16	Naphthalen-1-ylboronic acid	Ι	14	3i	97
17	Naphthalen-1-ylboronic acid	Br	18	3i	82
18	(4-Cyanothiophen-3-yl)boronic acid	Ι	14	3ј	<10
19	Furan-3-ylboronic acid	Ι	14	3k	84
20	Furan-3-ylboronic acid	Br	18	3k	89
21	Thiophen-2-ylboronic acid	Ι	14	31	92
22	(5-Methylthiophen-2-yl)boronic acid	Ι	14	3m	91
23	(4-Ethoxy-3-fluorophenyl)boronic acid	Ι	14	3n	99

[a]Reaction conditions: Aryl halide (0.5 mmol), aryl boronic acid (0.6 mmol), 20 mg PdNPs/TMC catalyst; K_2CO_3 (2 mmol), 3mL water, 80 °C [b]Isolated yield




25 Figure 2 Reusability of the heterogeneous PdNPs/TMC catalyst in Suzuki reaction

In order to verify real heterogeneity of PdNPs/TMC catalyst, Sheldon test is performed to evaluate possibility of metal leaching. Thus the reaction mixture after 7 h at 80 °C is filtered and the filtrate is further heated at 80 °C for an additional 7 h. Table 3 clearly show that catalytic activity dropped down when the catalyst was removed from the reaction mixture. The obtained results clearly indicate that there is no appreciable leaching of palladium ions under the present reaction condition (table 3).

35 Table 3 Sheldon test for PdNPs/TMC catalyst^a

Catalyst	Yield ^[b]				
Catalyst	7h	7h + 7 h			
Pd/TMC	42	43 ^[c]			
[a] Reaction conditions: Phenylboronic acid (1 mmol),					
Iodobenzene (2.0 mmol) catalyst (40 mg), water (3 mL) at					
80 °C. [b] isolated yield. [c] after removing the catalyst .					

By analogy with previous reports,⁵⁸ a plausible three step mechanism as outlined in scheme 2 is proposed for the ⁴⁰ PdNPs/TMC catalyzed Suzuki reaction in water. In the first step, the oxidative addition of aryl halides to PdNPs takes place leading to an organopalladium intermediate. In the second step, the organic moiety, Ar' on boron, is transferred to palladium in a transmetallation process. In this way, the two organic groups are ⁴⁵ assembled on the same palladium atom *via* palladium-carbon bonds. In the final reductive elimination step, the Ar and Ar' groups couple with each another to give a new carbon-carbon single bond and Ar-Ar' is released from palladium and during this process Pd(II) is reduced back to PdNPs.



Scheme 2 Plausible mechanism for PdNPs/TMC catalyzed Suzuki reaction in water.

Table 4 shows the various palladium catalysts which have been tested in the Suzuki-Miyaura cross-coupling of phenylboronic
 ⁵⁵ acid with iodobenzene and bromobenzene to produce the corresponding biaryl compounds in various solvents. Compared to these catalyst systems given in Table 4, the TMC supported PdNPs catalyst shows a high catalytic activity (yield=99% for iodobenzene and 81% for bromobenzene) in the coupling of
 ⁶⁰ phenylboronic acid with aryl halides in shorter time in a green solvent, namely water, under the optimized conditions.

S.No	Catalyst	Solvent	Temp	Time		Reference	
<u>(°C) (h) (%)</u> Coupling between iodobenzene and phenylboronic acid							
1	Pd NPs/PS ^[a]	H ₂ O-DMF	100	12	100	59	
2	PdNPs/ chitosan ^[b]	Xylene	130	4	71	60	
3	Pd-S-GaAs ^[c]	EtOH	80	12	89	61	
4	$Pd-HP-\alpha-CD^{[d]}$	H_2O	60	24	100	62	
5	G4-OH Pd NPs ^[e]	EtOH	78	18	98	63	
6	G3-OH(Pd) ^[f]	EtOH	Reflux	24	71	64	
7	Pd-G3 ^[g]	40%EtOH	Reflux	24	4	65	
8	PdNP ^[h]	H_2O	80	24	97	66	
9	Pd(II) complex ^[i]	DMF	80	30 ^[j]	50	67	
10	PdNPs/TMC	H_2O	80	14	99	This work	
Coupling between bromobenzene and phenylboronic acid							
11	Pd-HP-a-CD ^[d]	H ₂ O	60	24	71	62	
12	G4-OH Pd NPs ^[e]	DMF	153	48	70	63	
13	Pd(II) complex ^[i]	DMF	80	30 ^[j]	23	67	
14	Pd-Co/C NPs ^[k]	EtOH	Reflux	20	77	68	
15	Pd-basic zeolites ^[1]	EtOH-H ₂ O	50	24	85	69	
16	PdNPs/TMC	H_2O	80	14	81	This work	

Table 4: Catalytic performance of different supported catalysts in the

coupling of phenylboronic acid with iodobenzene (entries 1-9) and

bromobenzene (entries 11-15)

[a]PdNPs dispersed on crosslinked polystyrene polymer; [b]PdNPs stabilized on aminomodified chitosan-schiff base ligand;[c]PdNPs supported on sulphur modified gallium arsenide;[d]Hydroxypropyl- α -cyclodextrin stabilised PdNPs; [e]PdNPs stabilized by poly(amido-amine) dendrimers;[f]PdNPs stabilized by dendrimers; [g] PdNPs stabilized by dentimer; [h]Homogeneous PdNPs; [i]Bis(oxamato)palladate (II); [jReaction time-30 minutes; [k]Pd Catalyst Supported on Co/C NPs; [l]Pd-containing (0.1% \rightarrow 4.5%) basic zeolites

Conclusions

- ⁵ In conclusion, we have developed a clean and eco-friendly method to synthesize uniform sized spherical and cubical PdNPs supported on TMC, a modified bio-polymer using EA as a green reducing agent in water. The synthesized palladium nanoparticles are characterized using UV-Vis., FT-IR, powder XRD, HR-TEM
- ¹⁰ image techniques. The synthesis of PdNPs showed excellent catalytic activity in Suzuki reaction of aryl iodide as well as bromide with various phenylboronic acid in the presence of K_2CO_3 as base at 80 °C. Easy separation, the absence of nitrogen atmosphere and good to excellent yield are the other significant
- ¹⁵ outcomes of this protocol. In addition, the reaction works well with various heterocyclic boronic acids and also the catalyst can be easily recovered and reused for at least five runs in the reaction without loss in its activity. This methodology is the first report which has widespread applications for the synthesis of
- ²⁰ various substituted biaryl compounds using this heterogeneous catalyst under ligand-free conditions. We anticipate that this approach will offer alternative synthetic strategies for the practical construction of substituted biaryl compounds.

Experimental Section

²⁵ PdNPs/TMC (20 mg), aryl halide (0.5 mmol), phenylboronic acid (0.6 mmol) K₂CO₃ (2.0 mmol), water (3mL) are taken in reaction tube. The tube is heated on a preheated oil bath at a given temperature and magnetically stirred under atmospheric pressure. After the reaction was completed, the mixture was cooled to ³⁰ room temperature. Subsequently, the product and catalyst was filtered from the reaction mixture and washed three times with water (3 x 10 mL). Then the solid biaryl product was washed with diethyl ether and the organic phase is dried over Na₂SO₄. After evaporation of solvent the compound was analyzed by ¹H & ³⁵ ¹³C-NMR.

Acknowledgements

A. A gratefully acknowledges the financial assistance from USRF University Stipendiary Research Fellowship (USRF), MKU, Tamil Nadu, India. K. P thanks DST, New Delhi for financial ⁴⁰ support.

Notes and references

^a School of Chemistry, Madurai Kamaraj University

Madurai, INDIA. Fax: (+)91 452 245918. Tel: +91 452 2456614 E-mail: pit12399@yahoo.com

⁴⁵ ^b Centre for Green Chemistry Processes, School of Chemistry, Madurai Kamaraj University, Madurai - 625021, India

† Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: [details of any supplementary information available should be included here]. See DOI: 10.1039/b000000x/

- 50 1. S. Iravani, Green Chem., 2011, 13, 2638-2650.
 - 2. K. Bradley, J. C. P. Gabriel and G. Gruner, *Nano Lett.*, 2003, 3, 1353-1355.
 - H. Zhang, S. Y. Tong, X. Z. Zhang, S. X. Cheng, R. X. Zhuo and H. Li, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2007, 111, 12681-12685.
- 55 4. R. Nohria, K. Khillan, Y. Su, R. Dikshit, Y. Lvov and K. Varahramyan, *Sensors Actuators A*, 2006, **114**, 218-222.
 - 5. C. C. Chien and K. T. Jeng, Mater. Chem. Phys., 2007, 103, 400-406.
- J. Ma, H. Wong, L. B. Kong and K. W. Peng, *Nanotechnology*, 2003, 14, 619-623.
- 60 7. S. Wang, N. Mamedova, N. A. Kotov, W. Chen and J. Studer, *Nano Lett.*, 2002, **2**, 817-822.
 - 8. Z. L. Wang, Adv. Mater., 2003, 15, 432-436.
- R. R. Naik, S. J. Stringer, G. Agarwal, S. E. Jones and M. O. Stone, *Nat. Mater.*, 2002, 1, 169-172.
- 65 10. J. Huang, Q. Li, D. Sun, Y. Lu, Y. Su, X. Yang, H. Wang, Y. Wang, W. Shao, N. He, J. Hong and C. Chen *Nanotechnology*, 2007, 18, 105104-105115.
 - 11. E. Brunner, Nat. Mater., 2007, 6, 398-399.
- 12. J. F. Banfied, S. A. Welsh, H. Zhang, T. T. Ebert and R. L. Penn Science, 2000, 4, 751-754.
- H. A. Lowenstam, Minerals formed by organisms Science, 1981, 211, 1126-1130.
- 14. T. Bera and P. Ramachandrarao, J. Bionic. Eng., 2007, 4, 133-141.
- 15. F. Mouxing, L. I. Qingbiao, S. Daohua, L. Yinghua, H. Ning, D. Xu, 75 W. Huixuan and H. Jiale *Chinese J. Chem. Eng.*, 2006, **14**, 114-117.
 - M. Sathishkumar, K. Sneha, I. S. Kwak, J. Mao, S. J. Tripathy, Y. S. Yun *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, 2009, 17, 400-404.
 - 17. J. Virkutyte and R. S. Varma Chem. Sci., 2011, 2, 837-846.
 - 18. A. Rangnekar, T. K. Sarma, A. K. Singh, J. Deka, A. Ramesh and A. Chattopadhyay, *Langmuir*, 2007, **23**, 5700-5706.
- K. Martina, S. E. S. Leonhardt, B. Ondruschka, M. Curini, A. Binello, G. Cravotto, J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem., 2011, 334, 60.
- 20. G. Cravotto, S. Tagliapietra, M. Trotta, B. Robaldo, Ultrason. Sonochem., 2005, 12, 95.
- 85 21. S. E. S. Leonhardt, A. Stolle, B. Ondruschka, G. Cravotto, C. D. Leo, K. D. Jandt, T. F. Keller, *Appl. Catal.*, A, 2010, **379**, 30.
 - 22. H. F. Zhang, L. Zhang, Y. C. Cui, React. Funct. Polym., 2007,67, 322.
- 23. X. Xu, P. Liu, S. Li, P. Zhang, X. Wang, *React. Kinet. Catal. Lett.*, 2006, **88**, 217.
- 24. D. J. Macquarrie, J. J. E. Hargy, Ind. Eng. Chem. Res., 2005, 44, 8499-8520.

RSC Advances

75

- 25. Z. Guo, R. Xing, S. Liu, Z. Zhang, X. Ji, L. Wang, P. Li, Carbohydr. Res., 2007, 342, 1329-1332.
- 26. F. Quignard, A. Choplin, A. Domard, Langmuir, 2000, 16, 9106-9108.
- 5 27. E. Guibal, Prog. Polym. Sci., 2005, 30, 71-109.
- 28. L. Wanga, R. Xing, S. Liu, Y. Qin, K. Li, H. Yu, R. Li, P. Li, Carbohydrate Polymers, 2010, 81, 305-310.
- 29. G. Cravotto, D. Garella, S. Tagliapietra, A. Stolle, S. Schubler, S. E. S. Leonhardt, B. Ondruschka, New J. Chem., 2012, 36, 1304-1307.
- 10 30. N. Miyaura, A. Suzuki, Chem. Rev. 1995, 95, 2457-2483.
 - 31. L. Yin, J. Liebscher, Chem. Rev. 2007, 107, 133-173.
- 32. A. K. Diallo, C. Ornelas, L. Salmon, J. R. Aranzaes, D. Astruc, Angew. Chem., 2007, 119, 8798-8802.
- 33. T. Tagata, M. Nishida, J. Org. Chem., 2003, 68, 9412-9415
- 15 34. O. Kitamura, S. Sako, T. Udzu, A. Tsutsui, T. Maegawa, Y. Monguchi, H. Sajiki Chem. Commun., 2007, 5069-5071.
 - 35. D. H. Lee, J. H. Kim, B. H. Jun, H. Kang, J. Park, Y. S. Lee Org. Lett., 2008, 10, 1609
- 36. C. M. Crudden, M. Sateesh, R. Lewis, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2005, 127, 10045-10050.
- 37. K. N. Sharma, H. Joshi, A. K. Sharma, O. Prakash and A. K. Singh, Organometallics, 2013, 32, 2443-2451.
- 38. F. Saleem, G. K. Rao, P. Singh and A. K. Singh, Organometallics, 2013, 32, 387-395.
- 25 39. K. V. Vivekananda, S. Dey, A. Wadawale, N. Bhuvanesh and V. K. Jain, Dalton Trans., 2013, 42, 14158-14167.
 - 40. G. K. Rao, A. Kumar, S. Kumar, U. B. Dupare and A. K. Singh, Organometallics, 2013, 32, 2452-2458.
- 41. R. S. Chay, K.V. Luzyanin, V. Y. Kukushkin, M. F. C. G. da Silva and A. J. L. Pombeiro, Organometallics, 2012, 31, 2379-2387.
- 42. G. Zhang, Y. Luan, X. Han, Y. Wang, X. Wen, C. Ding and J. Gao, Green Chem., 2013, 15, 2081-2085.
- 43. C. Liu, Q. Ni, F. Bao and J. Qiu, Green Chem., 2011, 13, 1260.
- 44. C. Liu, Y. Zhang, N. Liu and J. Qiu, Green Chem. 2012, 14, 2999.
- 35 45. X. J. Zuo, Ind. Eng. Chem. Res. 2014, 53, 1249-1255.
- 46. J.J. E. Hardy, S. Hubert, D.J. Macquarrie, A.J. Wilson, Green Chem. 2004, 6, 53.
- 47. S.-Se Yi, D.-H. Lee, E. Sin, Y.-S. Lee, Tetrahedron Lett., 2007, 48, 6771-6775.
- 40 48. E. Sin, S. -S. Yi, Y. -S. Lee, J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem., 2010, 315, 99-104.
- 49. P. Contugno, M. Casiello, A. Nacci, P. Mastrorilli, M. M. Dell'Anna, A.. Monopoli, J. Organometal. Chem., 2014, 752, 1-5
- 50. S. Chen, G. Wu, H. Zeng, Carbohydrate Polymers, 2005, 60, 33-38.
- 45 51. E. Koren, R. Kohen and I A. Ginsburg, J. Agric. Food Chem., 2009, 57, 7644-7650.
- 52. J. A. Jacob, H. S. Mahal, N. Biswas, T. Mukherjee and S. Kapoor Langmuir, 2008, 24, 528-533.
- 53. M. McDonald, I. Mila and A. Scalbert J. Agric. Food Chem., 1996, 44, 599-606.
- 54. S. K. Sivaraman, I. Elango, S. Kumar and V. Santhanam. Current Sci., 2009, 97, 1055-99.
- 55. H. P. Klug, Alexander, L. E. X-ray Diffraction Procedures; John Wiley and Sons: New York, 1959.
- 55 56. a) R. B. Bedford, M. E. Blake, C. P. Butts, D. Holder, Chem. Commun. 2003, 466-467. (b) G. Zou, Z. Wang, J. Zhu, J. Tang, M. Y. He, J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem., 2003, 206, 193. (c) S. Hesse, G. Kirsch, Synthesis, 2001, 755. (d) N. A. Bumagin, V. V. Bykov, Tetrahedron 1997, 53, 14437. (e) G. A. Molander, B. Biolatto, Org. Lett., 2002, 4, 1867-1870.
- 57. a) Y. Uozumi, Y. Nakai, Org. Lett., 2002, 4, 2997. (b) J. W. Kim, J. H. Kim, D. H. Lee, Y. S. Lee, Tetrahedron Lett., 2006, 47, 4745.
- 58. a) M. P. Lorenzo, J. Phys. Chem. Lett., 2012, 3, 167-174. (b) C. Amatore, G. Le Duc and A. Jutand, Chem. Eur. J. 2013, 19, 10082-10093
- 59. K. Karami, M. Ghasemi and N. H. Naeini, Catal. Commun., 2013, 38, 10.
- 60. B. C.E. Makhubela, A. Jardine, G. S. Smith, Appl. Catal., A:General, 2011, 393, 231-241.

- 70 61. 3. N. Hoshiya, N. Isomura, M. Shimoda, H. Yoshikawa, Y. Yamashita, K. Iizuka, S. Tsukamoto, S. Shuto and M. Arisawa, ChemCatChem, 2009, 1, 279-285.
 - 62. 4. J. D. Senra, L. F. B. Malta, M. E. H. M. da Costa, R. C. Michel, L. C. S. Aguiar, A. B. C. Simas and O. A. C. Antunes, Adv. Synth. Catal., 2009, 351, 2411-2422
- 63. 5. Michael Pittelkow, Kasper Moth-Poulsen, Ulrik Boas and J. B. Christensen, Langmuir 2003, 19, 7682-7684
- 64. 6. Y. Li and M. A. El-Sayed, J. Phys. Chem. B, 2001, 105, 8938-8943 65. 7. K. R. Gopidas, J. K. Whitesell and M. A. Fox, Nano Lett., 2003, 3,
- 1757-1760;
- 66. 8. A. Zhang, M. Liu, M. Liu, Y. Xiao, Z. Li, J. Chen, Y. Sun, J. Zhao, S. Fang, D. Jia and F. Li, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2014, 2, 1369
- 67. 9. F. R. Fortea-Pérez, D. Armentano, M. Julve, G. De Munno, S. -E. Stiriba, J. Coord. Chem., 2014, 67, 4003-4015
- 85 68. 11. A. Derible, C. Diebold, J. Dentzer, R. Gadiou, J. -M. Becht, and C. Le Drian, Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2014, 7699.
 - 69. 12. A. Corma, D. Das, H. García, A.Leyva, J. Catal. 2005, 229, 322-331