

RSC Advances

Halos show the path to perfection: peripheral iodosubstituents improve the efficiencies of bis(diimine)copper(I) dyes in DSCs

| Journal: | RSC Advances |
|-------------------------------|---|
| Manuscript ID: | RA-ART-07-2014-006823.R1 |
| Article Type: | Paper |
| Date Submitted by the Author: | 17-Sep-2014 |
| Complete List of Authors: | Housecroft, Catherine; University of Basel, Department of Chemistry Constable, Edwin; University of Basel, Departement Chemie Brauchli, Sven; University of Basel, Chemistry Malzner, Frederik; University of Basel, Chemistry Neuburger, Markus; Universität Basel, Department für Chemistry |

SCHOLARONE[™] Manuscripts TOC for

Halos show the path to perfection: peripheral iodosubstituents improve the efficiencies of bis(diimine)copper(I) dyes in DSCs

Frederik J. Malzner, Sven Y. Brauchli, Edwin C. Constable, Catherine E. Housecroft and Markus Neuburger

DSCs containing simple copper(I) dyes with peripheral halo (X) ligands give

global efficiencies >3% for X = I; a new strategy for stepwise surface dye

assembly is demonstrated.



262x172mm (300 x 300 DPI)

RSC Advances

RSCPublishing

ARTICLE

Cite this: DOI: 10.1039/xoxx00000x

Received ooth January 2012, Accepted ooth January 2012

DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/

Halos show the path to perfection: peripheral iodosubstituents improve the efficiencies of bis(diimine)copper(I) dyes in DSCs

Frederik J. Malzner,^{*a*} Sven Y. Brauchli,^{*a*} Edwin C. Constable,*^{*a*} Catherine E. Housecroft*^{*a*} and Markus Neuburger

The homoleptic copper(I) complexes $[CuL_2][PF_6]$ (L = 4,4'-bis(4-halophenyl)-6,6'-dimethyl-2,2'bipyridine with halogen = F(2) and CI(3) have been prepared and characterized, and their absorption spectroscopic and electrochemical properties compared to that with L = 4,4'-bis(4-bromophenyl)-6,6'dimethyl-2,2'-bipyridine (4). The synthesis of $[CuL_2][PF_6]$ (L = 4,4'-bis(4-iodophenyl)-6,6'-dimethyl-2,2'bipyridine, 5) resulted in a mixture of $[Cu(5)_2][PF_6]$ and $[Cu(5)(NCMe)_2][PF_6]$; variable temperature ¹H NMR spectroscopy confirmed that the complexes are in equilibrium in CD₃CN solution. The structure of $[Cu(5)(NCMe)_3][PF_6]$ was determined by single crystal X-ray crystallography, and confirms a distorted tetrahedral geometry for the copper(I) centre. The heteroleptic dyes $[Cu(1)(2)]^{\dagger}$, $[Cu(1)(3)]^{\dagger}$, $[Cu(1)(4)]^{\dagger}$ and $[Cu(1)(5)]^{\dagger}$ (1 = ((6,6'-dimethyl-[2,2'-bipyridine]-4,4'-diyl)bis(4,1-phenylene))bis(phosphonic acid)) have been assembled by ligand exchange between $[CuL_2]^+$ and TiO₂ functionalized with the anchoring ligand 1, and the performances of the dyes in fully masked dye-sensitized solar cells (DSCs) have been measured and compared. On the day of DSC fabrication, the trend for the global efficiencies, n, depends on the halo-substituent in the order I > F \approx Br > Cl. Ripening of the DSCs occurs and after 7 days, the dependence of η on the halo-atom is in the order I > Cl \approx F \approx Br; the highest η is 3.16% for $[Cu(1)(5)]^{\dagger}$ compared to 7.63% for N719. Compared to the other halo-functionalized dyes, $[Cu(1)(5)]^{\dagger}$ shows an extended spectral response to longer wavelength, with enhanced electron injection. The results of DFT calculations suggest that the better dye performance of $[Cu(1)(5)]^{\dagger}$ may be associated with improved electron transfer over the halogen of the aryl substituent from the reduced electrolyte. The assembly of anchored dye $[Cu(1)(5)]^{\dagger}$ by treating functionalized-TiO₂ with a 1 : 1 mixture of $[Cu(NCMe)_4]^{\dagger}$ and 5, yields a dye which gives a DSC performance that matches that made by ligand exchange using $[Cu(5)_2][PF_6]$ and $[Cu(5)(NCMe)_2][PF_6]$.

Introduction

Pioneering studies by Sauvage and co-workers in the 1990s established the potential use of copper(I) complexes in dyesensitized solar cells (DSCs).¹ Nonetheless, ruthenium(II)containing photosensitizers remain the mainstay of conventional Grätzel-type DSCs.² For sustainable future technologies, it is necessary to develop a materials chemistry in which scarce elements are replaced by more abundant ones. We and others are currently addressing this by focusing attention on application of copper(I)-containing sensitizers the in DSCs.^{3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13,14} While the photophysical properties of $[Cu(N^N)_2]^+$ (N^N = diimine ligand) are similar to those of ruthenium(II) complexes,^{15,16} the greater global abundance and lower cost of copper compared to ruthenium make coppercontaining dyes relevant and topical. A ground-breaking photoconversion efficiency for a DSC of 4.66% has recently been reported by Odobel, Boujtita and coworkers using a heteroleptic copper(I) dye containing the sterically demanding 6,6'-dimesityl-2,2'-bipyridine-4,4'-dicarboxylic acid anchoring ligand and a 2,2'-bipyridine ancillary ligand with holetransporting triphenylamino units; the high efficiency of the DSC was achieved in part by using the co-adsorbant chenodeoxycholic acid (cheno).¹⁷

In contrast to the HETPHEN approach used by the Odobel group,¹⁷ we have developed a stepwise method of assembling copper(I) dyes in n-type DSCs commencing with the absorption of an anchoring ligand, L_{anchor} , onto the n-type semiconductor surface. Subsequent reaction of the functionalized surface with a labile homoleptic complex $[Cu(L_{ancillary})_2]^+$ in solution leads to the formation of surface-bound dye $[Cu(L_{anchor})(L_{ancillary})]^+$. Isolation of the heteroleptic complex is, therefore, avoided;

indeed, isolation is not usually possible because of rapid equilibration between homo- and heteroleptic cations in solution to give a statistical mixture of species. In an earlier investigation, surface-bound [Cu(Lanchor)(Lancillary)]⁺ species were characterized by MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry and diffuse reflectance electronic absorption spectroscopy.¹⁸ The favoured anchoring ligand is the bis(phosphonic acid) 1 (Scheme 1), with the spacer between the 2,2'-bipyridine and phosphonic acid anchoring domains leading to enhanced performance of the dye.⁶ Our dye assembly strategy is advantageous in that it permits rapid screening of different families of ancillary ligands, and has recently been implemented by the Robertson group.9 However a disadvantage is the wastage of one equivalent of ancillary ligand, and this is particularly unsatisfactory when synthesis of the latter is a labour intensive multistep procedure.

Recently, we demonstrated that masked DSCs containing the dye $[Cu(1)(L_{ancillary})]^+$ in which $L_{ancillary}$ is 4,4'-bis(4bromophenyl)-6,6'-dimethyl-2,2'-bipyridine reached power conversion efficiencies of 2.31%, compared to 8.30% for standard dye N719.6 Since ancillary ligands in n-type dyes typically incorporate electron-donating domains, we were somewhat surprised that dyes containing peripheral bromophenyl substituents (selected to provide an active site for further derivatization) performed relatively well.⁶ We were, therefore, prompted to study the effects of altering the halosubstituent and now report the remarkably high power conversion efficiencies of DSCs incorporating the series of ancillary ligands 2-5 (Scheme 1). We also illustrate that complete conversion of $[Cu(NCMe)_4]^+$ to $[Cu(L_{ancillarv})_2]^+$ is not an essential step prior to ligand exchange on the functionalized TiO₂ surface, and introduce a stepwise strategy for in situ assembly of the surface-anchored copper(I) dye.

Experimental

General

A Bruker Avance III-500 NMR spectrometer was used to record ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra, and chemical shifts were referenced to residual solvent peaks with respect to ∂ (TMS) = 0 ppm. Solution absorption spectra were recorded with a Cary 5000 spectrophotometer and FT-IR spectra of solid samples on a Perkin Elmer UATR Two spectrometer. Electrospray ionization (ESI) mass spectra were recorded on a Bruker esquire 3000^{plus} instruments.

Electrochemical measurements were made using a CH Instruments 900B potentiostat with glassy carbon, platinum wire and silver wire as the working, counter, and reference electrodes, respectively. Substrates were dissolved in HPLC grade CH₂Cl₂ (ca. 10^{-4} to 10^{-5} mol dm⁻³) containing 0.1 mol dm⁻³ [ⁿBu₄N][PF₆] as the supporting electrolyte; all solutions were degassed with argon. Cp₂Fe was used as internal reference. The scan rate was 0.1 V s⁻¹.

Ground state density functional theory (DFT) calculations were performed using Spartan 14 (v. 1.1.3) at the B3LYP level with a 6-31G* basis set in vacuum. Initial energy optimization was carried out at a semi-empirical (PM3) level



Scheme 1. Structures of ligands with atom labelling for NMR spectroscopic assignments.

The external quantum efficiency (EQE) measurements were made using a Spe-Quest quantum efficiency instrument from Rera Systems (Netherlands) equipped with a 100 W halogen lamp (QTH) and a lambda 300 grating monochromator (Lot Oriel). The monochromatic light was modulated to 3Hz using a chopper wheel (ThorLabs). The cell response was amplified with a large dynamic range IV converter (CVI Melles Griot) and then measured with a SR830 DSP Lock-In amplifier (Stanford Research).

Ligands 1^6 and 4^5 [Cu(MeCN)₄][PF₆]¹⁹ and [Cu(4)₂][PF₆] were prepared by literature methods. Compound **3** was prepared by adapting the literature method,²⁰ replacing 1-(2-oxopropyl)pyridin-1-ium bromide by the corresponding chloride salt. 1-(2-Oxopropyl)pyridin-1-ium chloride was purchased from Fluka.

(1E,5E)-1,6-Bis(4-fluorophenyl)hexa-1,5-diene-3,4-dione

A solution of butane-2,3-dione (1.98 mL, 22.7 mmol) in MeOH (10 mL) was added dropwise over a 30 min. period to a vigorously stirred solution of 4-fluorobenzaldehyde (5.00 mL, 45.4 mmol) and piperidine (0.49 mL, 4.54 mmol) in MeOH (30 mL). MeOH (10 mL) was added through the dropping funnel and the reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 1 h and then heated at reflux overnight. The solution was slowly cooled to room temperature over 1 h with stirring and was placed in the fridge for a few minutes. The precipitate that formed was collected by filtration, washed with Et₂O and dried in air. (1*E*,5*E*)-1,6-bis(4-fluorophenyl)hexa-1,5-diene-3,4-dione was isolated as an orange solid (0.425 g, 1.42 mmol, 6.27%). Spectroscopic data were consistent with those reported.²¹

(1E,5E)-1,6-Bis(4-iodophenyl)hexa-1,5-diene-3,4-dione

The method was as for (1E,5E)-1,6-bis(4-fluorophenyl)hexa-1,5-diene-3,4-dione, starting with 2,3-butanedione (0.57 mL, 6.46 mmol) in MeOH (8 mL) and a solution of 4iodobenzaldehyde (3.00 g, 12.9 mmol) and piperidine (0.13 mL, 1.29 mmol) in MeOH (25 mL). The product was recrystallized in MeOH. (1E,5E)-1,6-bis(4-iodophenyl)hexa-1,5-diene-3,4-dione was isolated as a brown solid (1.02 g, 1.98 mmol, 30.7%). m.p. 236 °C. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) ∂ / ppm: 7.78 (d, J = 16.1 Hz, 2H, H^a), 7.78 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 4H, H^{A2}), 7.50 (d, J = 16.2 Hz, 2H, H^{b}), 7.37 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 4H, H^{A3}). ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃) ∂ / ppm: 188.2 (C^{C=O}), 146.6 (C^a), 138.3 (C^{A2}), 133.7 (C^{A1}), 130.3 (C^{A3}), 119.8 (C^b), 98.1 (C^{A4}). IR (v/cm⁻¹): 1670 (s), 1597 (s), 1578 (m), 1553 (m), 1480 (m), 1394 (m), 1312 (w), 1293 (w), 1178 (m), 1057 (w), 981 (s), 802 (s), 726 (s), 584 (s), 515 (w), 453 (m). Found: C 40.57, H 2.48; C₁₈H₁₂I₂O₂·H₂O requires C, 40.63, H, 2.65.

Compound 2

1-(2-Oxopropyl)pyridin-1-ium chloride (1.01 g, 5.92 mmol) was dissolved in EtOH (20.0 mL) and then (1E,5E)-1,6-bis(4fluorophenyl)hexa-1,5-diene-3,4-dione (0.700 g, 2.35 mmol) and NH₄OAc (2.71 g, 35.2 mmol) were added under vigorous stirring. Additional EtOH (30 mL) was then added and the reaction mixture was heated at reflux overnight. After cooling to room temperature while being stirred, the mixture was placed in a fridge for 1 h. The precipitate that formed was separated by filtration, washed with cold EtOH and Et₂O, and dried in air. Compound 2 was isolated as a white solid (0.461 g, 1.24 mmol, 52.8%). m.p. 232 °C. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) ∂ / ppm: 8.63 (broadened s, 2H, HA3), 7.83 (m, 4H, HB2), 7.45 (s, 2H, H^{A5}), 7.22 (m, 4H, H^{B3}), 2.81 (s, 6H, H^{Me}). ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃) ∂ / ppm: 163.5 (d, J = 249 Hz, C^{B4}), 158.7 (C^{A6}), 156.6 (C^{A2}), 148.6 (C^{A4}), 135.0 (d, J = 3.3 Hz, C^{B1}), 129.1 (d, J= 8.3 Hz, C^{B2}), 121.2 (C^{A5}), 116.6 (C^{A3}), 116.1 (d, J = 21.6 Hz, C^{B3}), 24.9 (C^{Me}). IR (v/cm⁻¹): 1607 (m), 1595 (s), 1551 (s), 1511 (s), 1417 (w), 1382 (m), 1303 (w), 1226 (s), 1163 (s), 1100 (w), 873 (m), 824 (s), 813 (s), 720 (w), 566 (s), 551 (s), 512 (s), 471 (s). ESI MS m/z 373.3 [M + H]⁺ (calc. 373.4). UV-VIS (CH₂Cl₂, 1.0×10^{-5} mol dm⁻³) λ / nm 248 (ϵ / dm³ mol⁻¹ cm⁻¹ 44000), 302 (14900). Found: C 74.12, H 4.90, N 7.53; C₂₄H₁₈F₂N₂·H₂O requires C 73.83, H 5.16, N 7.18.

Compound 5

The procedure was as for **2**, starting with 1-(2oxopropyl)pyridin-1-ium chloride (0.668 g, 3.89 mmol), (1E,5E)-1,6-bis(4-iodophenyl)hexa-1,5-diene-3,4-dione (0.800 g, 1.56 mmol) and NH₄OAc (1.80 g, 23.3 mmol) were added. The product was recrystallized from MeOH and again from CHCl₃/Et₂O. Further purification was needed. A suspension in CHCl₃ was filtered and **5** was isolated by column chromatography (SiO₂, 4 x 20 cm, CH₂Cl₂ : EtOAc, 4 : 1) as an off-white solid (93.3 mg, 0.160 mmol, 10.3%). Dec. > 296 °C. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) ∂ / ppm: 8.81 (broadened s, 2H, H^{A3}), 7.87 (m, 4H, H^{B3}),7.66 (m, 4H, H^{B2}), 7.52 (s, 2H, H^{A5}), 2.90 (s, 6H, H^{Me}). ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃ ∂ /ppm: 158.0 (C^{A6}), 155.3 (C^{A4}), 153.5 (C^{A2}), 138.4 (C^{B3}), 136.2 (C^{B1}), 129.2 (C^{B3}), 122.6 (C^{A5}), 121.9 (C^{B4}), 119.4 (C^{A3}), 23.2 (C^{Me}). IR (v/cm⁻¹): 1594 (m), 1569 (w), 1542 (w), 1486 (m), 1376 (m), 1004 (s), 817 (s), 745 (s), 511 (w), 472 (s). ESI MS *m/z* 589.2 [M + H]⁺ (calc. 589.0). UV-VIS (CH₂Cl₂, 1.0 × 10⁻⁵ mol dm⁻³) λ / nm 260 (ϵ / dm³ mol⁻¹ cm⁻¹ 46700), sh 309 (23200). Found: C 48.87, H 3.34, N 4.27; C₂₄H₁₈I₂N₂ requires C 49.00, H 3.08, N 4.76.

[Cu(2)₂][PF₆]

[Cu(MeCN)₄][PF₆] (150 mg, 0.403 mmol) was added to a stirring solution of 2 (300 mg, 0.806 mmol) in a mixture of CH₂Cl₂ (16 mL) and MeCN (4 mL). The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature overnight. The CH₂Cl₂ was then removed under reduced pressure and the volume of MeCN was reduced to a minimum volume that retained the product in solution. The product was precipitated by addition of Et₂O and was separated by filtration, was washed with cold Et₂O and dried in air. The crude product was redissolved in MeCN, the solution was filtered and the filtrate concentrated under reduced pressure. The product was again precipitated by addition of Et₂O, was washed with cold Et₂O and dried in air. $[Cu(2)_2][PF_6]$ was isolated as a dark red solid (276 mg, 0.289 mmol, 71.7%). ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CD₃CN) ∂ / ppm: 8.66 (d, J = 1.0 Hz, 4H, H^{A3}), 8.00 (m, 8H, H^{B2}), 7.81 (d, J = 1.0 Hz, 4H, H^{A5}), 7.36 (m, 8H, H^{B3}), 2.35 (s, 12H, H^{Me}). ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, CD₃CN) ∂ / ppm: 164.8 (d, $J_{CF} = 248.0$ Hz, H^{B4}), 158.7 (C^{A6}) , 153.4 (C^{A2}) , 150.0 (C^{A4}) , 134.4 $(d, J_{CF} = 3.4 \text{ Hz}, C^{B1})$, 130.5 (d, $J_{CF} = 8.7 \text{ Hz}, C^{B2}$), 124.3 (C^{A5}), 118.6 (C^{A3}), 117.0 (d, $J_{\rm CF}$ = 22.0 Hz, C^{B3}), 25.2 (C^{Me}). ESI MS *m/z* positive mode 807.6 $[M - PF_6]^+$ (calc. 807.2), 373.3 $[2 + H]^+$ (calc. 373.1, base peak); negative mode 145.0 $[PF_6]^-$ (calc. 145.0). UV-VIS $(CH_2Cl_2, 1.0 \times 10^{-5} \text{ mol dm}^{-3}) \lambda / \text{ nm } 276 (\epsilon / \text{ dm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1})$ 73200), 321 (39300), 347 (9500), 483 (12600). Found: C 59.91, H 4.30, N 6.75; C₄₈H₃₆CuF₁₀N₄P·MeCN requires C 60.39, H 4.08, N 7.04.

$[Cu(3)_2][PF_6]$

 $[Cu(MeCN)_4][PF_6]$ (150 mg, 0.403 mmol) was added to a stirring solution of 2 (300 mg, 0.806 mmol) in a mixture of CH₂Cl₂ (16 mL) and MeCN (4 mL). The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature overnight. The CH2Cl2 was then removed under reduced pressure and the volume of MeCN was reduced to a minimum volume that retained the product in solution. The product was precipitated by addition of Et₂O and was separated by filtration, was washed with cold Et₂O and dried in air. The crude product was redissolved in MeCN, the solution was filtered and the filtrate concentrated under reduced pressure. The product was precipitated by addition of Et₂O, was washed with cold Et₂O and dried in air. $[Cu(2)_2][PF_6]$ was isolated as a dark red solid (276 mg, 0.289 mmol, 71.7%). ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CD₃CN) ∂ / ppm: 8.66 (s, 4H, H^{A3}), 7.95 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 8H, H^{B2}), 7.82 (s, 4H, H^{A5}), 7.63 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 8H, H^{B3}), 2.35 (s, 12H, H^{Me}). ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, CD₃CN) ∂ / ppm: 158.8 (C^{A6}), 153.4 (C^{A2}), 149.7 (C^{A4}), 136.7 (C^{B1}), 136.5

Page 6 of 14

(C^{B4}), 130.3 (C^{B3}), 130.0 (C^{B2}), 124.4 (C^{A5}), 118.6 (C^{A3}), 25.2 (C^{Me}). ESI MS *m/z* positive mode 873.5 [M – PF₆]⁺ (calc. 873.1), 405.3 [**3** + H]⁺ (calc. 405.1, base peak); negative mode 144.9 [PF₆]⁻ (calc. 145.0). UV-VIS (CH₂Cl₂, 1.0 × 10⁻⁵ mol dm⁻³) λ / nm 278 (ϵ / dm³ mol⁻¹ cm⁻¹ 86500), 322 (46500), 353 sh (10400), 485 (11300). Found: C 53.45, H 3.76, N 5.69; C₄₈H₃₆Cl₄CuF₆N₄P·3H₂O requires C 53.72, H 3.94, N 5.22.

[Cu(5)₂][PF₆] and [Cu(5)(MeCN)₂][PF₆]

The method and initial purification was as for $[Cu(2)_2][PF_6]$, starting with [Cu(MeCN)₄][PF₆] (15.8 mg, 0.043 mmol) and 5 (50 mg, 0.085 mmol). After the second precipitation with Et₂O (as in purification of $[Cu(2)_2][PF_6]$), the product was redissolved again in MeCN and the solution split into 4 vials. Addition of Et₂O resulted in the formation of a white precipitate; the red solution was decanted from each vial and left to stand at room temperature. Orange crystals grew in each vial and some of X-ray quality were selected. The red mother liquors were combined and solvent removed to yield a red solid which analysed as a mixture of $[Cu(5)_2][PF_6]$ and $[Cu(5)(MeCN)_2][PF_6]$ (see text). ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CD₃CN, 295 K cations in exchange) ∂ / ppm 8.61 (broad, H^{A3}), 7.97 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 8H, H^{B3}), 7.85 (broad, H^{A5}), 7.71 (d, J = 7.3 Hz, 8H, H^{B2}), 2.52 (v br, FWHM ~145 Hz, H^{Me}). ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, CD₃CN, 295 K cations in exchange) ∂ / ppm: 150.0 (C^{A4}), 137.6 (C^{B1}), 130.2 (C^{B3}), 130.2 (C^{B2}), 124.5 (C^{A5}), 118.6 (C^{A3}), 96.7 (H^{B4}), signals for C^{A6}, C^{A2} and C^{Me} not resolved. ESI MS m/z positive mode 1239.3 $[Cu(5)_2]^+$ (calc. 1238.8), $(692.2 [Cu(5)(MeCN)]^+$ (calc. $(691.9), 589.2 [5 + H]^+$ (calc. 589.0, base peak); negative mode 144.9 $[PF_6]^-$ (calc. 145.0). For crystals of [Cu(5)(MeCN)₂][PF₆]: found C 38.09, H 3.02, N 5.84; C₂₈H₂₄CuF₆I₂N₄P requires C, 38.27, H, 2.75, N, 6.38.

Crystallography

Single crystal data were collected on a Bruker APEX-II diffractometer with data reduction, solution and refinement using the programs APEX²² and CRYSTALS.²³ The ORTEP-type diagram and structure analysis used Mercury v. 3.0.^{24,25}

[Cu(5)(MeCN)₂][PF₆]

 $C_{28}H_{24}CuF_6I_2N_2P$, M = 878.84, orange block, monoclinic, space group C2/c, a = 9.9980(4), b = 29.1275(18), c = 13.5247(8) Å, $\beta = 130.048(2)^\circ$, U = 6080.0(7) Å³, Z = 8, $D_c = 1.920$ Mg m⁻³, μ (Cu-K α) = 18.021 mm⁻¹, T = 123 K. Total 28350 reflections, 5520 unique, $R_{int} = 0.027$. Refinement of 5460 reflections (380 parameters) with $I > 3\sigma$ (I) converged at final $R_1 = 0.0250$ (R_1 all data = 0.0251), $wR_2 = 0.0261$ (wR_2 all data = 0.0263), gof = 1.0853. CCDC 1000780.

DSC fabrication and measurements

DSCs were prepared modifying the method of Grätzel.^{26,27} Commercial Solaronix Test Cell Titania Electrodes were used. The electrodes were rinsed with EtOH and sintered at 450 °C for 30 min, then cooled to \approx 80 °C and immersed in a 1 mM DMSO solution of the anchoring ligand 1 for 24 h. The colourless electrode was removed from the solution, washed Each reference electrode was prepared by dipping a Solaronix Test Cell Titania Electrode in a 0.3 mM EtOH solution of standard dye N719 (Solaronix) for ≈ 68 h. The electrodes were washed with the same solvent used in the dipping period and dried at ≈ 60 °C (heat gun). A Solaronix Test Cell Platinum Electrode was used for the counter electrode, and it was heated for 30 min at 450 °C (heating plate) to remove impurities.

The two electrodes were combined using thermoplast hotmelt sealing foil (Solaronix Test Cell Gaskets) by heating while pressing them together. The electrolyte was a mixture of LiI (0.1 mol dm⁻³), I₂ (0.05 mol dm⁻³), 1-methylbenzimidazole (0.5 mol dm⁻³) and 1-butyl-3-methylimidazolinium iodide (0.6 mol dm⁻³) in 3-methoxypropionitrile; it was introduced into the DSC by vacuum backfilling. The hole on the counter electrode was sealed using hot-melt sealing foil (Solaronix Test Cell Sealings) and a cover glass (Solaronix Test Cell Caps). Measurements were made by irradiating from behind using a light source SolarSim 150 (100 mW cm⁻² = 1 sun). The power of the simulated light was calibrated by using a reference Si cell.



Scheme 2. Synthesis of ligands 2 and 5 using the Kröhnke approach. Atom numbering for NMR assignments of the precursors.

Results and discussion

Ligand synthesis and characterization

We have previously used the strategy of Kröhnke²⁸ to prepare compounds 3^{20} and 4^{5} and now extend the series of haloderivatives to compounds 2 and 5 (Scheme 2). The yield of 2 was only moderate (52.8%), and 5 proved very difficult to purify and pure material was obtained in only 10.3% yield. The electrospray mass spectra of 2 and 5 exhibited base peaks at m/z373.3 and 589.2, respectively, corresponding to $[M + H]^+$. The ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra were assigned by COSY, HMQC and HMBC methods and are consistent with the disubstitution pattern shown in Scheme 2. Broadening of the signals for HA3 and H^{A5} (see Scheme 1 for atom labelling) is most likely associated with rotation of the 4-halophenyl groups on the NMR timescale. At 500 MHz, values of FWHM for the signals for H^{A3} and H^{A5} are 36 and 12 Hz, respectively in 2, and 21 and 17 Hz in 5. The solution absorption spectra of compounds 2-5 are compared in Fig. 1, the intense high energy bands arising from $\pi^* \leftarrow \pi$ and $\pi^* \leftarrow n$ transitions. The highest energy absorption shifts from 248 nm in 2 to 260 nm in 5.



Synthesis and characterization of copper(I) complexes

We have described the complex $[Cu(4)_2][PF_6]$ previously,⁶ and the same simple strategy was adopted to prepare analogous homoleptic complexes containing ligands **2**, **3** or **5**. Treatment of $[Cu(MeCN)_4][PF_6]$ with two equivalents of **2** or **3** gave $[Cu(2)_2][PF_6]$ or $[Cu(3)_2][PF_6]$ as orange-red solids in 71.7 and 95.2% yields. The highest mass peak envelope in the electrospray mass spectrum of each complex corresponded to the $[M - PF_6]^+$ ion, and isotope patterns matched those calculated. The base peak in each spectrum arose from $[L+H]^+$ (L = 2 or 3).

The ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra of a CD₃CN solution of $[Cu(2)_2][PF_6]$ were consistent with a single ligand environment, and the aromatic region of the ¹H NMR spectrum is shown in Fig. 2a. Coupling to ¹⁹F gives characteristic signals for protons H^{B2} and H^{B3} and doublets for all ring B resonances in the ¹³C NMR spectrum (see Scheme 1 for numbering), and the assignments were confirmed through the HMBC and HMQC spectra. The methyl groups give rise to sharp singlets at ∂ 2.35

and 25.2 ppm in the ¹H and ¹³C{¹H} NMR spectra, respectively, of $[Cu(2)_2][PF_6]$, and these shifts are unchanged on going to $[Cu(3)_2][PF_6]$. The aromatic region of the ¹H NMR spectrum of a CD₃CN solution of $[Cu(3)_2][PF_6]$ is shown in Fig. 2b. The change from fluoro to chloro substituent has the greatest effect on H^{B3} in keeping with expectations.²⁹



8.8 8.7 8.6 8.5 8.4 8.3 8.2 8.1 8.0 7.9 7.8 7.7 7.6 7.5 7.4 7.3 Fig. 2 500 MHz 1 H NMR spectra (aromatic region only) of CD₃CN solutions of (a) [Cu(**2**)₂][PF₆], (b) [Cu(**3**)₂][PF₆] and (c) [Cu(**5**)₂][PF₆] (see text).

Preparation of the iodo-containing complex $[Cu(5)_2][PF_6]$ proved more problematical. The method used was as for the fluoro-, chloro- and bromo-containing complexes, but repeated purification of the bulk sample failed to produce analytically pure $[Cu(5)_2][PF_6]$. In contrast to the sharp singlet for the methyl protons in $[Cu(2)_2][PF_6]$ and $[Cu(3)_2][PF_6]$, the room temperature ¹H NMR spectrum of the iodo-containing product showed a very broad signal centred at ∂ 2.52 ppm with FWHM ~145 Hz. The ${}^{13}C$ NMR resonance for C^{Me} could not be resolved at 295 K and no cross peak for the H^{Me} signal was observed in the HMQC spectrum. The signals for HA3 and HA5 were also broad (Fig. 2c). Cooling the sample to 240 K led to collapse of the broad peaks and appearance of two sets of signals (Fig. 3), consistent with two environments for coordinated ligand 5. (The free ligand is poorly soluble in acetonitrile and therefore signals arising from ligand can be discounted.) The separations of pairs of signals for H^{Me} indicate that the two methyl groups are significantly different in the two species (∂ 2.26 and 2.83 ppm), and similarly for the two H^{A3} protons (∂ 8.42 and 8.66 ppm). The local environment of H^{A5} appears similar in the two species, and similarly for H^{B2} and H^{B3}. We propose that the bulk material contains a mixture of [Cu(5)₂][PF₆] and [Cu(5)(MeCN)₂][PF₆], and that at 295 K, ligand exchange occurs between $[Cu(5)(MeCN)_2]^+$ and $[Cu(5)_2]^+$. This is supported by the electrospray mass spectrum of the bulk material which exhibited peak envelopes with a characteristic copper isotope pattern at m/z 1239.3 and 692.2 arising from $[Cu(5)_2]^+$ and $[Cu(5)(MeCN)]^+$; the base peak (m/z)589.2) was assigned to $[5 + H]^+$.





Fig. 4. Structure of the $[Cu(5)(MeCN)_2]^+$ cation in $[Cu(5)(MeCN)_2][PF_6]$ with ellipsoids plotted at 50% probability level. Selected bond parameters: Cu1–N1 = 2.0515(19), Cu1–N2 = 2.0527(18), Cu1–N3 . 1.949(2), Cu1–N4 = 1.968(2), I1–C15 = 2.092(2), I2–C21 = 2.098(2) Å; N1–Cu1–N2 = 81.06(7), N1–Cu1–N3 = 124.31(8), N2–Cu1–N3 = 114.59(8), N1–Cu1–N4 = 110.66(8), N2–Cu1–N4 = 119.27(8), N3–Cu1–N4 = 106.24(9)°.

The identity of $[Cu(5)(MeCN)_2][PF_6]$ as one component of the mixture was confirmed by a single crystal structure determination of orange blocks that grew during recrystallization of the bulk material (see experimental section). Fig. 4 shows the structure of the $[Cu(5)(MeCN)_2]^+$ cation in $[Cu(5)(MeCN)_2][PF_6]$. The complex crystallizes in the monoclinic space group *C*2/*c*. Atom Cu1 is in a distorted tetrahedral environment; the bite angle of the bpy unit is 81.06(7)^o, and other N–Cu–N bond angle range from 106.24(9) to 124.31(8)^o (caption to Fig. 4). The bpy domain deviates from planarity with the angle between the planes of the pyridine rings being 13.8°. The phenyl ring containing C12 is twisted through 15.5° with respect to the plane of the pyridine ring with N1, while the corresponding angle for the rings containing C18 and N2 is 38.7°. The difference in twist angles is associated with the packing of the cations. Face-to-face π -stacking occurs between phenylbpy domains involving the phenyl ring containing atom C12 and this leads to infinite columns of cations running parallel to the c-axis (Fig. 5a). The stacks are built up by alternating operations of a 2-fold axis followed by an inversion centre. The asymmetric unit contains two halfanions; each $[PF_6]^-$ is ordered, and atoms P1 and P2 are each located on a 2-fold axis. Cation...anion interactions involve extensive CH...F contacts. For the anion containing P1 (green in Fig. 5b), these contacts involve arene CH units; in addition this anion exhibits a short F...I contact (F3...I2ⁱ = 3.406(1) Å, symmetry code $i = \frac{1}{2} - x$, $-\frac{1}{2} + y$, $\frac{1}{2} - z$). In contrast, the anion containing P2 (red in Fig. 5b) sits between the π -stacked cations and interacts with methyl groups of both coordinated ligands 5 and MeCN.



Fig. 5. (a) Face-to-face π -stacking of phenylbpy domains (space-filling representation) involving the phenyl ring with C12 leading to infinite assemblies following the *c*-axis. View down the *c*-axis showing the two different [PF₆]⁻ environments. Anion with P1 is shown in green, and with P2, in red; CH...F contacts are shown by red hashed lines.

Solution absorption spectra and electrochemistry

Absorption spectroscopic and electrochemical data were recorded only for $[Cu(2)_2][PF_6]$ and $[Cu(3)_2][PF_6]$ since the homoleptic complex with ligand 5 could not be obtained free of $[Cu(5)(MeCN)_2][PF_6]$. The absorption spectra are shown in Fig. 6 and are compared with that of $[Cu(4)_2][PF_6]$.⁶ Approximate doubling of the extinction coefficients on going from the free ligands (Fig. 1) to the complexes is consistent with the formation of the homoleptic species. The intense, high-energy bands are similar for all the complexes, and a small red-shift is observed for the MLCT band from 483 nm for the fluorocontaining complex to 488 nm⁶ for the bromo-derivative. The mixture of $[Cu(5)_2][PF_6]$ and $[Cu(5)(MeCN)_2][PF_6]$ has an MLCT maximum at 488 nm.



Fig. 6. Solution (CH₂Cl₂) absorption spectra of the fluoro, chloro and bromo containing complexes [Cu(**2**)₂][PF₆], [Cu(**3**)₂][PF₆] and [Cu(**4**)₂][PF₆].

The electrochemical behaviour of $[Cu(2)_2][PF_6]$, $[Cu(3)_2][PF_6]$ and $[Cu(4)_2][PF_6]$ are compared in Table 1, and a representative cyclic voltammogram (with internal Fc/Fc⁺ reference) is shown in Fig. 7. Each complex exhibits a copper-centred oxidation process and the introduction of the F, Cl or Br substituents has only a small effect on its potential. In each of $[Cu(2)_2][PF_6]$ and $[Cu(3)_2][PF_6]$, the irreversible ligand-centred reduction process ca. -2.0 V is more pronounced in the first cycle than in subsequent scans.

Table 1. Cyclic voltammetric data for $[CuL_2][PF_6]$ with L = 2-4 with respect to Fc/Fc^+ ; CH_2Cl_2 solutions with $[^nBu_4N][PF_6]$ as supporting electrolyte and scan rate of 0.1 V s⁻¹. Processes are reversible unless otherwise stated (ir = irreversible).

| Complex | $E_{1/2}^{ m ox}$ / V ($E_{ m pc} - E_{ m pa}$ / | $E_{1/2}^{\mathrm{red}}$ / V | $E_{1/2}^{\text{red}}/\text{V}$ | Ref. |
|-------------------|--|------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------|
| $[Cu(2)_2][PF_6]$ | mV) +0.39 (74) | -2.08 ^{ir} | -2.42 ^{ir} | this work |
| $[Cu(3)_2][PF_6]$ | +0.43 (67) | -2.06 ^{ir} | -2.42 ^{ir} | this work |
| $[Cu(4)_2][PF_6]$ | +0.42 (94) | -2.16 ^{ir} | | 6 |



Fig. 7. Cyclic voltammogram for a CH₂Cl₂ solution of [Cu(2)₂][PF₆]; scan rate 0.1 V s^{-1} and referenced internally to Fc/Fc⁺.

Comparison of the performances of the copper(I) dyes in DSCs

Surface-immobilized copper(I) dyes were assembled on commercial titania electrodes by initial adsorption of the phosphonic acid anchoring ligand **1** followed by treatment with CH₂Cl₂ solutions of the labile^{30,31,32} homoleptic complexes [CuL₂][PF₆] (L = **2**, **3** or **4**) or a mixture of [Cu(**5**)₂][PF₆] and [Cu(**5**)(MeCN)₂][PF₆] (Scheme 3). All cells were fully masked,³³ and were prepared in duplicate. The electrolyte contained the I_3^{-}/I^{-} redox shuttle. The DSC parameters

measured for each cell are given in Table 2 and are compared to data for a DSC with standard dye N719. The right-hand column in Table 2 gives the energy conversion efficiencies, η , relative to N719 arbitrarily set to 100%. We have recently adopted this presentation of results to provide a valid means of comparing data recorded using different solar simulators.³⁴ For each DSC, the efficiencies were measured on the day of sealing the cell and then three and seven days later.



Scheme 3. Assembly of heteroleptic copper(I) dyes using anchoring ligand 1.

The first point to note is that the DSC parameters for the dye $[Cu(1)(4)]^+$ (bromo-substituents) are comparable with those we have previously reported,⁶ despite differences in electrode origins. In the present work, the photoanodes are commercially available titania electrodes which include a scattering layer; in our previous study, screen-printed electrodes with scattering layer were prepared in our laboratory. A second important point is that the data in Table 2 for corresponding pairs of DSCs confirm reproducibility of measurements.

On the day of cell fabrication, all four dyes (Table 2) perform relatively well with the global efficiencies, η , dependent upon the halo-substituent in the order I > F \approx Br > Cl. Upon aging of the DSCs, there is a general trend for improvement of performance (Table 2). Over a three day period, the DSCs containing the iodo-substituted dye [Cu(1)(5)]⁺ show a ripening effect with η increasing from 2.88 to 3.01%, and 2.89 to 3.04% for the two independent DSCs. After a further four days, the efficiencies increase to 3.16 and 3.07%, respectively. This enhancement is likely associated with initial dye aggregation on the surface followed by molecular reorganization over time.^{35,36,37} In terms of η , \Box \Box \Box best

performing DSCs after 7 days are those with iodo-dye $[Cu(1)(5)]^+$ which show power conversion efficiencies of 40.2 or 41.4% with respect to N719 set at 100%. For the aged DSCs, the dependence of η on the halo-substituent follows the trend I $> Cl \approx F \approx Br$.

| Table 2. Performance data for two independent sets of sealed and masked |
|--|
| DSCs with copper(I) anchored dyes; data are with respect to standard dye |
| N719 measured under the same conditions. J_{SC} = short-circuit current density; |
| $V_{\rm OC}$ = open-circuit voltage; ff = fill factor. |

| Dye | On the day of sealing the cell | | | | | |
|-------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------|---------------|----------|----------|--|
| | $J_{\rm SC}$ / | $V_{\rm OC}$ / mV | ff | η/% | Relative | |
| | mA cm ⁻² | | | | η/% | |
| $[Cu(1)(2)]^+$ | 6.70 | 527 | 68.3 | 2.41 | 33.8 | |
| $[Cu(1)(2)]^+$ | 6.37 | 544 | 70.0 | 2.42 | 33.9 | |
| $[Cu(1)(3)]^+$ | 5.59 | 519 | 71.5 | 2.08 | 29.2 | |
| $[Cu(1)(3)]^+$ | 6.00 | 522 | 69.5 | 2.18 | 30.6 | |
| N719 ^a | 16.66 | 637 | 67.2 | 7.13 | 100 | |
| $[Cu(1)(4)]^+$ | 6.64 | 514 | 69.3 | 2.37 | 31.9 | |
| $[Cu(1)(4)]^+$ | 6.49 | 525 | 71.7 | 2.45 | 33.0 | |
| $[Cu(1)(5)]^+$ | 6.68 | 582 | 74.0 | 2.88 | 38.8 | |
| $[Cu(1)(5)]^+$ | 6.92 | 576 | 72.6 | 2.89 | 38.9 | |
| N719 ^b | 16.08 | 641 | 72.0 | 7.43 | 100 | |
| | | 3 days a | after sealing | the cell | | |
| | $J_{\rm SC}$ / | $V_{\rm OC}/\rm mV$ | ff | n / % | Relative | |
| | mA cm ⁻² | | 55 | | η/% | |
| $[Cu(1)(2)]^+$ | 6.49 | 544 | 69.8 | 2.46 | 32.4 | |
| $[Cu(1)(2)]^+$ | 6.19 | 570 | 69.5 | 2.45 | 32.2 | |
| $[Cu(1)(3)]^+$ | 6.28 | 566 | 69.7 | 2.48 | 32.7 | |
| $[Cu(1)(3)]^+$ | 6.69 | 562 | 68.0 | 2.56 | 33.7 | |
| N719 ^a | 16.82 | 667 | 67.7 | 7.59 | 100 | |
| $[Cu(1)(4)]^+$ | 5.85 | 554 | 68.1 | 2.21 | 29.0 | |
| $[Cu(1)(4)]^+$ | 6.18 | 562 | 70.3 | 2.44 | 32.1 | |
| $[Cu(1)(5)]^+$ | 6.81 | 604 | 74.0 | 3.04 | 39.9 | |
| $[Cu(1)(5)]^+$ | 7.07 | 586 | 72.6 | 3.01 | 39.6 | |
| N719 ^b | 15.87 | 664 | 72.3 | 7.61 | 100 | |
| | | 7 days a | after sealing | the cell | · | |
| | $J_{ m SC}$ / | $V_{\rm OC}$ / mV | ff | η/% | Relative | |
| | mA cm ⁻² | | 00 | • | η/% | |
| $[Cu(1)(2)]^+$ | 6.62 | 556 | 69.1 | 2.54 | 33.7 | |
| $[Cu(1)(2)]^+$ | 6.20 | 578 | 68.9 | 2.47 | 32.8 | |
| [Cu(1)(3)] ⁺ | 6.27 | 559 | 68.1 | 2.39 | 31.7 | |
| $[Cu(1)(3)]^+$ | 6.95 | 565 | 66.2 | 2.60 | 34.5 | |
| N719 ^a | 16.42 | 692 | 66.3 | 7.53 | 100 | |
| $[Cu(1)(4)]^+$ | 6.55 | 559 | 61.6 | 2.26 | 29.6 | |
| $[Cu(1)(4)]^+$ | 6.46 | 561 | 67.5 | 2.45 | 32.1 | |
| [Cu(1)(5)] ⁺ | 7.10 | 604 | 73.6 | 3.16 | 41.4 | |
| $[Cu(1)(5)]^+$ | 7.42 | 579 | 71.5 | 3.07 | 40.2 | |
| N719 ^b | 16.21 | 662 | 71.1 | 7.63 | 100 | |

^aN719 reference cell used in conjunction with DSCs containing dyes $[Cu(1)(2)]^{+}$ and $[Cu(1)(3)]^{+}$; ^bN719 reference cell used with dyes $[Cu(1)(4)]^{+}$ and $[Cu(1)(5)]^{+}$.

Fig. 8 shows *J-V* curves for DSCs containing anchored dyes $[Cu(1)(2)]^+$, $[Cu(1)(3)]^+$ and $[Cu(1)(5)]^+$; data for the bromocontaining dye $[Cu(1)(4)]^+$ essentially replicate those already published.⁶ All *J-V* curves show good fill factors. The most significant features in Fig. 8 are the enhancements in both short-circuit current density and open-circuit voltage over time for the chloro- and iodo-containing dyes (Fig. 8b and 8c). For $[Cu(1)(5)]^+$ (iodo), a maximum value of $V_{OC} = 604$ mV is achieved after 3 days with no further improvement over the next 4 days (Fig. 8c); 604 mV compares with $V_{OC}(max) = 664$ mV for N719 measured under the same conditions are the copper(I) dyes (Table 2). Enhancement in the open-circuit





Fig. 8. J-V curves for two independent DSCs containing dye (a) $[Cu(1)(2)]^{+}$ (fluoro substituents), (b) $[Cu(1)(3)]^{+}$ (chloro substituents) and (c) $[Cu(1)(5)]^{+}$ (iodo substituents) measured over a 7 day period after sealing the cells.

The EQE spectra of the DSCs were measured over a period of a week following cell fabrication. All show EQE maxima corresponding to λ_{max} in the range 460–480 nm (Table 3). The values of EQE_{max} for the copper(I) dyes compare to EQE_{max} \approx 75% for N719 (Fig. 9). Within experimental error, the EQE values for the cells containing [Cu(1)(2)]⁺ and [Cu(1)(4)]⁺ do not change with time, remaining around 46–47%. For DSCs with [Cu(1)(3)]⁺ (chloro) or [Cu(1)(5)]⁺ (iodo), a small enhancement in the EQE is observed up to 50 or 51%, respectively after 3 days with no further improvement (Fig. 9). Fig. 10 compares the EQE spectra for DSCs containing [Cu(1)(2)]⁺, [Cu(1)(3)]⁺, [Cu(1)(4)]⁺ or [Cu(1)(5)]⁺. The most

RSC Advances

significant feature is the extended spectral response to longer wavelength for $[Cu(1)(5)]^+$ compared to the other halofunctionalized dyes. This indicates improved electron injection and is consistent with the higher J_{SC} values observed for DSCs containing $[Cu(1)(5)]^+$ (Table 2 and Fig. 8). The differences in the EQE spectra in Fig. 10 also correspond to the dependence of η on the halo-substituent (I > Cl \approx F \approx Br) for the aged cells described earlier in this section.

Table 3. EQE maxima for two independent sets of DSCs containing dyes $[Cu(1)(L)]^+ L = 2, 3, 4$ or 5 measured on the day of cell fabrication and 3 days later.

| Anchored dye | Day 0 | | Day 3 | |
|----------------|----------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|
| | λ_{max} / nm | EQE _{max} /% | λ_{max} / nm | EQE _{max} /% |
| $[Cu(1)(2)]^+$ | 480 | 46.1 | 470 | 46.6 |
| $[Cu(1)(2)]^+$ | 480 | 46.4 | 470 | 46.0 |
| $[Cu(1)(3)]^+$ | 480 | 44.1 | 470 | 46.9 |
| $[Cu(1)(3)]^+$ | 470 | 47.8 | 460 | 50.2 |
| $[Cu(1)(4)]^+$ | 470 | 48.3 | 460 | 46.2 |
| $[Cu(1)(4)]^+$ | 470 | 48.1 | 470 | 47.9 |
| $[Cu(1)(5)]^+$ | 470 | 48.0 | 470 | 49.4 |
| $[Cu(1)(5)]^+$ | 470 | 48.2 | 470 | 51.4 |



Fig. 9. EQE spectra for duplicate DSCs functionalized with $[Cu(1)(5)]^{+}$ measured on the day of sealing the cell (day 0) and 3 and 7 days later, compared to the EQE spectrum of a DSC with N719.



Fig.10. Comparison of the EQE spectra for DSCs containing dyes $[Cu(1)(2)]^{\dagger}$ (fluoro), $[Cu(1)(3)]^{\dagger}$ (chloro), $[Cu(1)(4)]^{\dagger}$ (bromo) and $[Cu(1)(5)]^{\dagger}$ (iodo) measured 3 days after sealing the cells.

In situ dye assembly

Because the assembly of the best-performing dye $[Cu(1)(5)]^+$ was carried out using a mixture of $[Cu(5)_2]^+$ and $[Cu(5)(MeCN)_2]^+$ in the dipping process, we decided to assess a new strategy which eliminates the need to prepare the homoleptic copper(I) complex. The electrode functionalized with anchoring ligand 1 was immersed in a CH₂Cl₂ solution containing a 1 : 1 mixture of $[Cu(MeCN)_4][PF_6]$ and 5 (Scheme 4); the concentration of each was 0.1 mM. Over the dipping period of 68 hours, the electrode became pale red-orange and the colour persisted after drying. Duplicate cells were prepared and the DSC characteristics compared to an N719 standard are given in Table 4.



The performances of the duplicate DSCs are similar and exhibit efficiencies of 2.80 or 2.71% after 7 days. One cell shows an enhanced performance over the 7 days after sealing the DSCs (Table 4) and both cells exhibit improved V_{OC} but little change in J_{SC} (Fig. 11). The EQE spectra for the two DSCs show maxima at 46.0 and 46.1% ($\lambda_{max} = 470$ nm) on the day of cell fabrication and these values vary little over a 7 day period (Fig. 12). Overall, the performances of the DSCs containing dye [Cu(1)(5)]⁺ assembled in situ are comparable with those of the DSCs made by ligands exchange. The differences in performance (compare the entries for [Cu(1)(5)]⁺ in Tables 2 and 4) are not significant and imply that isolation of the homoleptic copper(I) complex is not an essential part of the cell-assembly process.

| Table 4. Performance data for two independent sets of sealed and masked |
|--|
| DSCs with dye $[Cu(1)(5)]^+$ assembled in a stepwise manner; data are with |
| respect to standard dye N719 measured under the same conditions. |

| Dye | On the day of sealing the cell | | | | | |
|----------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------|------|-------|-----------------|--|
| | $J_{ m SC}$ / mA cm ⁻² | V _{OC} / mV | ſſ | η / % | Relative η/% | |
| $[Cu(1)(5)]^+$ | 6.82 | 551 | 69.1 | 2.59 | 34.4 | |
| $[Cu(1)(5)]^+$ | 7.01 | 559 | 70.2 | 2.75 | 36.5 | |
| N719 | 16.88 | 641 | 69.6 | 7.54 | 100 | |
| | 3 days after sealing the cell | | | | | |
| | $J_{ m SC}$ / mA cm ⁻² | V _{OC} / mV | ſſ | η/% | Relative η/% | |
| $[Cu(1)(5)]^+$ | 6.97 | 584 | 69.6 | 2.83 | 37.3 | |
| $[Cu(1)(5)]^+$ | 6.93 | 588 | 67.6 | 2.75 | 36.3 | |
| N719 | 16.54 | 671 | 68.2 | 7.58 | 100 | |
| | 7 days after sealing the cell | | | | | |
| | $J_{ m SC}$ / mA cm ⁻² | $V_{\rm OC}$ / mV | ſſ | η/% | Relative η/% | |
| $[Cu(1)(5)]^+$ | 6.85 | 587 | 69.7 | 2.80 | 36.0 | |
| $[Cu(1)(5)]^+$ | 6.96 | 587 | 66.3 | 2.71 | 34.9 | |
| N719 | 16.55 | 684 | 68.7 | 7.77 | 100 | |



Fig. 11 J-V curves measured over a 7 day period for one of the DSCs containing $[Cu(1)(5)]^*$ formed in situ by stepwise assembly.



strong influence on the calculated absorption spectra of representative bis(diimine) copper(I) dyes, while the orbital characteristics of HOMOs and LUMOs are essentially unaffected.³⁹ Thus, for qualitative assessment of the MOs of $[Cu(1)(2)]^+$, $[Cu(1)(3)]^+$, $[Cu(1)(4)]^+$ and $[Cu(1)(5)]^+$, we opted to use a 6-31G* basis set on all atoms for reasons of computational efficiency.

DFT calculations on the ground state, energy minimized structures of $[Cu(1)(2)]^+$, $[Cu(1)(3)]^+$, $[Cu(1)(4)]^+$ and $[Cu(1)(5)]^+$ showed that the energies and characteristics of the LUMO and LUMO+1 of the four complexes are similar. The LUMO is centred on the anchoring ligand while the LUMO+1 is principally localized on the bpy domain of the ancillary ligand. These MOS are shown for the iodo-complex in Fig. 13a and 13b. The close similarity in the orbital characteristics for the four dyes suggests that the enhanced performance of $[Cu(1)(5)]^+$ is not associated with a tuning of the properties of the lowest lying vacant MOs leading to improved electron injection.



HOMO and LUMO characteristics

We have used ground state DFT calculations to gain some insight into the origin of the surprisingly good performance of dyes containing iodo-substituted ligand **5**. We have previously shown that the choice of atomic orbital basis set ($6-311++G^{**}$ basis set on all atoms, $6-311++G^{**}$ on copper and $6-31G^{*}$ basis set on C, H and N, or $6-31G^{*}$ basis set on all atoms) has a

Fig. 13 (a) LUMO, (b) LUMO+1, and (c) HOMO–3 of $[Cu(1)(5)]^*$ (ligand 5 is at the top of each diagram).

The DFT calculations indicate that the HOMO of each groundstate dye is mainly based on copper, as are the next two highest occupied MOs. Ancillary ligand character is present in HOMO–3 and HOMO–4 in $[Cu(1)(5)]^+$, with a dominant contribution from the iodophenyl substituent (Fig. 13c). Significantly, the corresponding contributions by ligands 2, 3 or **4** to these MOs is smaller. This leads us to suggest that the better dye performance of $[Cu(1)(5)]^+$ may be associated with improved hole transfer over the halogen of the aryl substituent to the reduced electrolyte.

Conclusions

Journal Name

The synthesis and characterization of $[Cu(2)_2][PF_6]$ and $[Cu(3)_2][PF_6]$ have been reported and the spectroscopic and electrochemical properties compared with those of the bromoanalogue $[Cu(4)_2][PF_6]$. The preparation of $[Cu(5)_2][PF_6]$ from $[Cu(NCMe)_4][PF_6]$ and 5 leads to a mixture of $[Cu(5)_2][PF_6]$ and [Cu(5)(NCMe)₂][PF₆] which, in MeCN solution, are in equilibrium at room temperature. The performance of DSCs containing surface-anchored heteroleptic dyes $[Cu(1)(2)]^+$, $[Cu(1)(3)]^+$, $[Cu(1)(4)]^+$ and $[Cu(1)(5)]^+$ depend upon the halosubstituent. Initially, the global efficiencies, n, of the dyes follow the order $I > F \approx Br > Cl$. Ripening of the DSCs occurs and after 7 days, the dependence of η on the halo-substituent is $I > Cl \approx F \approx Br$; the highest η is 3.16% for $[Cu(1)(5)]^+$ compared to 7.63% for N719 (a relative efficiency of 41.4% versus N719 set at 100%). Compared to the other halosubstituted dyes, $[Cu(1)(5)]^+$ exhibits an extended spectral response to longer wavelength, with enhanced electron injection. The performance of $[Cu(1)(5)]^+$ is surprising considering the structural simplicity of ancillary ligand 5 and the lack of a peripheral electron donating group. DFT calculations have been used to establish the HOMO and LUMO characteristics of the ground-states of $[Cu(1)(2)]^+$, $[Cu(1)(3)]^+$, $[Cu(1)(4)]^+$ and $[Cu(1)(5)]^+$. The results show that ancillary ligand character is present within the HOMO manifold of $[Cu(1)(5)]^+$, with a dominant contribution from the iodophenyl substituent; corresponding contributions from ligands 2, 3 or 4 to these MOs in $[Cu(1)(2)]^+$, $[Cu(1)(3)]^+$, $[Cu(1)(4)]^+$ is smaller. This suggests that the improved dye performance of $[Cu(1)(5)]^+$ may result from better electron transfer over the halogen of the aryl substituent from the reduced electrolyte.

The observation that DSCs containing $[Cu(1)(5)]^+$ give global efficiencies >3% is unexpected and has significant potential in terms of the use of a synthetically very accessible ancillary ligand. The same level of efficiency can be achieved with $[Cu(1)(5)]^+$ assembled using a 1 : 1 mixture of $[Cu(NCMe)_4]^+$ and 5 in place of $[Cu(5)_2]^+$. This not only avoids the need to prepare the homoleptic complex, but also prevents the wastage of one equivalent of ancillary ligand. Our next challenge is the optimization of the DSCs with $[Cu(1)(5)]^+$ and related dyes, starting with an investigation of the role of co-adsorbants such as cheno.

Acknowledgements

The European Research Council (Advanced Grant 267816 LiLo), Swiss National Science Foundation and University of Basel are acknowledged for financial support. Roché Walliser and Nik Hostettler and thanked for recording 500 MHz NMR spectra. Dr Biljana Bozic-Weber is acknowledged for helpful discussions and technical support.

Notes and references

Department of Chemistry, University of Basel, Spitalstrasse 51, CH4056 Basel, Switzerland. Tel: +41 61 267 1008; E-mail: edwin.constable@unibas.ch; catherine.housecroft@unibas.ch.

† Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: See DOI: 10.1039/b000000x

- N. Alonso-Vante, J-F. Nierengarten, J.-P. Sauvage, J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans., 1994, 1650.
- 2 G. C. Vougioukalakis, A. I. Philippopoulos, T. Stergiopoulos and P. Falaras, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2011, 255, 2602 and references therein.
- 3 N. Robertson, ChemSusChem, 2008, 1, 977.
- 4 B. Bozic-Weber, E. C. Constable and C. E. Housecroft, Coord. Chem. Rev., 2013, 257, 3089.
- 5 B. Bozic-Weber, S. Brauchli, E. C. Constable, S. O. Fürer, C. E. Housecroft and I. A. Wright, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2013, 15, 4500.
- 6 B. Bozic-Weber, S. Y. Brauchli, E. C. Constable, S. O. Fürer, C. E. Housecroft, F. J. Malzner, I. A. Wright and J. A. Zampese, *Dalton Trans.*, 2013, 42, 12293.
- 7 B. Bozic-Weber, E. C. Constable, S. O. Fürer, C. E. Housecroft, L. J. Troxler and J. A. Zampese, *Chem. Commun.*, 2013, 49, 7222.
- 8 C. J. Martin, B. Bozic-Weber, E. C. Constable, T. Glatzel, C. E. Housecroft and I. A. Wright, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2014, 118, 16912.
- 9 T. E. Hewat, L. J. Yellowlees and N. Robertson, *Dalton Trans.*, 2014, 43, 4127.
- 10 K. A. Wills, H. J. Mandujano-Ramirez, G. Merino, D. Mattia, T. Hewat, N. Robertson, G. Oskam, M. D. Jones, S. E. Lewis and P. J. Cameron, *RSC Advances*, 2013, **3**, 23361.
- A. Colombo, C. Dragonetti, D. Roberto, A. Valore, P. Biagini and F. Melchiorre, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 2013, 407, 204.
- 12 M. Sandroni, M. Kayanuma, A. Planchat, N. Szuwarski, E. Blart, Y. Pellegrin, C. Daniel, M. Boujtita and F. Odobel, *Dalton Trans.*, 2013, 42, 10818.
- 13 J. Baldenebro-Lopez, N. Flores-Holguin, J. Castorena-Gonzalez and D. Glossman-Mitnik, J. Photochem. Photobiol. A, 2013, 267, 1.
- 14 L. N. Ashbrook and C. M. Elliott, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2013, 117, 3853; erratum: 2013, 117, 11883.
- 15 N. Armaroli, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2001, 30, 113.
- 16 N. Armaroli, Top. Curr. Chem., 2007, 280, 69.

- 17 M. Sandroni, L. Favereau, A. Planchat, H. Akdas-Kilig, N. Szuwarski, Y. Pellegrin, E. Blart, H. Le Bozec, M. Boujtita and F. Odobel, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2014, **2**, 9944.
- 18 B. Bozic-Weber, E. C. Constable, C. E. Housecroft, P. Kopecky, M. Neuburger and J. A. Zampese, *Dalton Trans.*, 2011, 40, 12584.
- 19 G. J. Kubas, Inorg. Synth., 1990, 28, 68.
- 20 E. C. Constable, C. E. Housecroft, M. Neuburger, I. Poleschak and M. Zehnder, *Polyhedron*, 2003, 22, 93.
- 21 Y. Takada, K. Nomura and S. Matsubara, Org. Lett., 2010, 12, 5204.
- 22 Bruker Analytical X-ray Systems, Inc., 2006, APEX2, version 2 User Manual, M86-E01078, Madison, WI.
- 23 P. W. Betteridge, J. R. Carruthers, R. I. Cooper, K. Prout and D. J. Watkin, J. Appl. Cryst., 2003, 36, 1487.
- I. J. Bruno, J. C. Cole, P. R. Edgington, M. K. Kessler, C. F. Macrae,
 P. McCabe, J. Pearson and R. Taylor, *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. B* 2002,
 58, 389.
- 25 C. F. Macrae, I. J. Bruno, J. A. Chisholm, P. R. Edgington, P. McCabe, E. Pidcock, L. Rodriguez-Monge, R. Taylor, J. van de Streek and P. A. Wood, *J. Appl. Cryst.*, 2008, **41**, 466.
- 26 S. Ito, P. Chen, P. Comte, M. K. Nazeeruddin, P. Liska, P. Péchy and M. Grätzel, *Prog. Photovoltaics: Res. Appl.*, 2007, 15, 603.
- 27 S. Ito, T. N. Murakami, P. Comte, P. Liska, C. Grätzel, M. K. Nazeeruddin and M. Grätzel, *Thin Solid Films*, 2008, 516, 4613.
- 28 F. Krönhke, Synthesis, 1976, 1.
- 29 M. Hesse, H. Meier and B. Zeeh, Spektroskopische Methoden in der organischen Chemie, Thieme, Stuttgart, 2005.
- 30 Y. Jahng, J. Hazelrigg, D. Kimball, E. Riesgo, R. Wu and R. P. Thummel, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1997, 36, 5390.
- 31 D. V. Scaltrito, D. W. Thompson, J. A. O'Callaghan and G. J. Meyer, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2000, 208, 243.
- 32 A. Hernandez Redondo, E. C. Constable and C. E. Housecroft, *Chimia*, 2009, **63**, 205.
- 33 H. J. Snaith, Energy Environ. Sci., 2012, 5, 6513.
- 34 F. J. Malzner, S. Y. Brauchli, E. Schönhofer, E. C. Constable and C. E. Housecroft, *Polyhedron*, 2014, doi: 10.1016/j.poly.2014.05.019.
- 35 B. Wenger, M. Grätzel and J.-E. Moser, Chimia, 2005, 59, 123.
- 36 B. Wenger, M. Grätzel and J.-E. Moser, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2005, 127, 12150.
- 37 V. K. Thorsmølle, B. Wenger, J. Teuscher, C. Bauer and J.-E. Moser, *Chimia*, 2007, **61**, 631.
- 38 See for example: S. Y. Brauchli, B. Bozic-Weber, E. C. Constable, N. Hostettler, C. E. Housecroft and J. Zampese, *RSC Advances*, 2014, 4, 34801.

39 B. Bozic-Weber, V. Chaurin, E. C. Constable, C. E. Housecroft, M. Meuwly, M. Neuburger, J. A. Rudd, E. Schönhofer and L. Siegfried, *Dalton Trans.*, 2012, 41, 14157.