RSC Advances

This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. This *Accepted Manuscript* will be replaced by the edited, formatted and paginated article as soon as this is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the Information for Authors.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard Terms & Conditions and the Ethical quidelines still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.

www.rsc.org/advances

New Be-intercalated Hexagonal Boron Layers Structure of BeB²

Manh Cuong Nguyen*, Xin Zhao, Cai-Zhuang Wang, and Kai-Ming Ho

Ames Laboratory, US DOE and Department of Physics and Astronomy, Iowa State University, Ames, IA 50011, USA

Abstract

 Using genetic algorithm method and first-principle calculations, we performed searches for low-energy crystal structures of $BeB₂$. We found a new family of structures, where the B atoms form hexagonal layers intercalated by Be atoms. The lowest-energy structure has formation energy of -99.47 meV/atom with 4 formula units in the unit cell, which is much more stable than the models proposed before. The formation energies of structures in the new structure family can be well described by an Ising-like model with "anti-ferromagnetic" coupling between the displacements of Be atoms from the mid-plane between two B layers. We also performed phonon calculation as well as electronic band structure calculation to verify the stability and investigate the electronic properties of the newly found structure.

RSC Advances Page 2 of 11

After the discovery of superconducting MgB_2 [1], many efforts have been made to find new superconducting materials with structures similar to MgB_2 [2, 3, 4, 5, 6]. Most of the works have been done by simply substituting Mg or doping with other light alkali or alkaline earth elements in MgB₂ [2, 3, 4, 5, 6] because light elements were believed to be able to increase the T_c by increasing the phonon frequencies according to the BCS theory [7]. Beryllium got much of attention because it sits right above Mg in the periodic table of the elements. Many theoretical works have been done to investigate the stability and electronic properties of BeB₂, where its atomic structure was adopted from the existing structure prototypes such as AlB_{2} -, RuB_{2} -, or OsB2-prototype. On the other hand, there were some experiments studies on the atomic structures of BeB system long time ago $[8, 9]$, and the BeB₂ phase was identified as P6/mmm structure with a = 9.79 Å and c = 9.55 Å. However, the atomic positions of the BeB₂ structure have not been resolved. Afterward, a number of other phases of BeB system (BeB₃ [10] or $Be_{1.09}B₃$ (or the equivalent $Be_{2.75}$) [11, 12] phases) were also identified with exactly the same crystal symmetry and lattice parameters as those proposed for BeB₂. It has been argued that the older measurements would have detected the $Be_{1.09}B_3$ phase rather than the BeB_2 phase [13].

There were also attempts to find the low-energy structures of $BeB₂$ recently by modifying the known structural prototypes [13, 14] or by evolutionary algorithm search [13]. Although B_2 in AlB₂ structure type is the most commonly used structure for B_2 in electronic structure calculations [2, 3, 4, 5, 6], this structure is unfavorable energetically with positive formation energy of 120.0 meV/atom and unstable dynamically with soft phonon modes [13, 14]. On the other hand, a AlLiSi-type structure was also proposed for BeB2 which has formation energy of - 16.0 meV/atom [13,14].

In this study, we performed a "from scratch" search for low-energy crystal structures of B_2 by genetic algorithm method and first-principle calculations. We found a new family of intercalated low energy structures of BeB₂, whose formation energies can be well described by Ising-like model with the "anti-ferromagnetic" coupling interaction between the displacements of Be atoms. The lowest-energy structure has formation energy of -99.47 meV/atom, which is - 83.27 meV/atom lower than the formation energy of the "best-structure" proposed in the literature so far. We also analyze the electronic band structure and the possibility of being

Page 3 of 11 RSC Advances

superconducting of the newly found lowest-energy structure. The stabilities of the newly found structure for other alkali or alkaline earth elements are also discussed.

The crystal structure search for BeB_2 was performed "from scratch" by real space cutand-paste genetic algorithm method [15, 16, 17] with $Z = 4$, 6 and 8 formula units (f. u.). The only given information was the composition; Initial unit cells and atomic positions were generated randomly without symmetry constrains. The structure relaxations were done by density functional theory [DFT] [18] calculations within generalized-gradient approximation [19] with the projector-augmented wave method [20, 21] using VASP code [22]. The kinetic energy cutoff of the calculations was 420 eV and the Monkhorst-Pack's scheme [23] was used for Brillouin zone sampling. A high-quality k-point grid, which is corresponding to a k-point mesh of 14 x 7 x 8 for the below proposed lowest-energy structure, was used in all calculations. All structures were fully relaxed until the forces on each atom smaller than 0.01 eV/Å. The references for the formation energy calculations were the ground-state structures of Be and B, which are the hexagonal close-packed Be and the α -hR12 B structures, respectively. The phonon spectrums were calculated by finite-difference method [24] with a supercell of 2 x 2 x 2 using Phonopy code [25] in combination with VASP code. The electron-phonon coupling was calculated by Quantum-Espresso code [26] within local density approximation [27] with kinetic energy cutoff of 408 eV, k-point mesh of 32 x 16 x 16 and phonon q-point mesh of 4 x 2 x 2. The atomic structure was re-optimized within Quantum-Espresso code to make the calculation consistent.

Figure 1. (a) The atomic structure of Cmcm BeB₂ structure and (b) its phonon band structure and (c) the atomic structure of $C2/m$ BeB₃ structure. The solid lines in the (a) and (c) figures show the unit cell. The big (blue) and small (green) spheres are Be and B atoms, respectively.

RSC Advances **Page 4 of 11**

 The lowest-energy structure from our search is an orthorhombic intercalated structure with the symmetry of space group Cmcm (#63) (Fig. 1a) and the formation energy of -99.47 meV/atom, which is -83.27 meV/atom lower than that of the most stable structure proposed in the literature so far [13]. The Cmcm structure consists of 4 f. u. in the unit cell with the lattice parameters and the atomic positions given in Table I. The B atoms form rippled hexagonal layers shifted from each other laterally half of lattice vector **a**. Each Be atom is at the hollow site (above the center of B hexagon) of one B hexagonal layer, while it is at the bridge site (above the center of bond between 2 B atoms) of the other B hexagonal layer, making the Be atoms coordinated by 8 B atoms. This Cmcm structure can be built directly from the well-known P6/mmm AlB₂-family structure by doubling the unit cell in the lattice vector **c** direction, then shifting the lower half of the new unit cell laterally by half of lattice vector **a**. We note that the BeB₂ P6/mmm structure is both energetically and dynamically unstable since it has a positive formation energy of 120.5 meV/atom and some soft phonon modes in our calculations as well as other works [13, 14]. The shifting of the lower half of the doubled unit cell stabilizes the new Cmcm BeB₂ structure by gaining 219.92 meV/atom in the total energy. In addition, our phonon calculation (Fig. 1b) also confirms that the Cmcm BeB2 structure is dynamically stable without any soft phonon modes. The shifting of the lower half of the doubled cell also shortens the Be-B bond-length from 2.22 Å in BeB₂ P6/mmm structure to 3 different Be-B bond-lengths in the Cmcm structure: 2.08 Å between the Be atom and the 2 bridging B atoms, 2.03 Å between the Be atom and another 2 B atoms sharing the same vertical plane with bridging B atoms, and 1.93 Å with the rest 4 B atoms of the B hexagon, respectively. It is interesting that this intercalated B hexagonal layers motif is also a profound motif in BeB₃ system. Such a motif is also clearly seen in the lowest-energy structure of BeB₃ (Fig. 1.c) from our search for $Z = 2$ and 4 f. u, where the same parameters setting as used for $BeB₂$ case were used. The structure shown in Fig. 1.c is a C2/m structure with 4 f. u. in the unit cell, which is identical to the one found in previous study [13]. The lattice parameters and the atomic positions of this structure are also given in Table I. As can be seen clearly in Fig. 1, the local environment of Be atoms in the $C2/m$ BeB₃ structure is exactly the same as that in the Cmcm $BeB₂$ structure, where the Be atoms are at the hollow site of one B hexagon while they are at the bridge site of another B hexagon, making the Be atoms coordinated by 8 B atoms. The extra B atoms in the BeB₃ structure aggregate at the boundary of the unit cell and break the B hexagonal layers in to rippled B hexagonal ribbons.

Page 5 of 11 RSC Advances

In the Be-B phase diagram, the only stable alloy phase is $Be_{29}B_{81}$ with primitive cell containing 110 atoms [13]. Although the Cmcm $BeB₂$ structure is the lowest energy structure from our search with unit cell up to 8 formula units, the formation energy of the Cmcm $BeB₂$ structure is 14.8 meV/atom above the tie-line connecting the formation energies of the $Be_{29}B_{81}$ and the pure Be structures. We also calculated the free energies of the three phases as the function of temperature by taking into account the contribution from the vibration entropy. We found that the free energy of the Cmcm $BeB₂$ structure is always above the tie-line in the temperature range from $0 K$ to 1000 K. This means that the Cmcm $BeB₂$ structure is a thermodynamically metastable structure for a wide range of temperature. More crystal structure searches with larger unit cells could be interesting and are our future studies.

Figure 2. The atomic structures of (a) Cmcm, (b) Amm2, (c) Cmca and (d) Fmm2 $BeB₂$ structures looking along the lattice vector **a**, **b**, **a** and **a**, respectively, and their corresponding "spin configurations" shown below. The Be atoms are at the hollow site of the closer B layer and at the bridge site and the other B layer.

Table I. The lattice parameters in \AA , the formation energies E_{form} in meV/atom and the atomic positions of low-energy structures of $BeB₂$ and $BeB₃$ systems.

In our search with $Z = 4$ we also obtained several other very low energy structures, which are Amm2 and Cmca structures with 8 f. u. in the unit cells and Fmm2 structure with 4 f. u. in the unit cell (Fig. 2). The detail lattice parameters, the formation energies and the atomic positions of these structures are given in Table I. Although our phonon calculations show that these structures are dynamically unstable, it is interesting that all these structures have the same structural motif seen in the Cmcm structure: Be intercalated structures with 2 adjacent hexagonal B layers shifted laterally from each other along the **a** axis such that each Be atom at the hollow site of one B layer is simultaneously at the bridge site of the other B layer as shown in Fig. 2. We can see clearly from Fig. 2 that the only difference between these 4 structures is the sequence of Be atoms at hollow sites or bridge sites of one of the B layers. If we use the displacement of Be atom (moved up or down) from the mid-plane between 2 B layers to describe the sequence of Be atoms in these structures, we can describe the Cmcm structure as up-down configuration, the Amm2 structure as up-up-up-down configuration and so on, as indicated by arrows in Fig. 2. In this way we can exactly map the structure description into a one dimensional Ising spin model with the displacements of the Be atoms playing the role of spin eigenvalues. With interaction truncated at the next nearest-neighbor and assuming the interaction strengths J_0 , J_1 , and J_2 are constants, we can write the Ising-like model Hamiltonian for the structures of $BeB₂$ as:

$$
H = -\frac{1}{2}J_1 \sum_{NN} S_i.S_j - \frac{1}{2}J_2 \sum_{NNN} S_i.S_j - J_0 \sum_i S_i
$$

where S_i is the displacement of Be atom, which has the value of 1 or -1 for the "up" or "down" displacement. NN stands for nearest-neighbor and NNN for next nearest-neighbor. By a least square fitting to the energies of the four BeB₂ structures above, we obtained $J_0 = 59.64$ meV, J_1 = -35.59 meV, and J_2 = 4.31 meV with a surprisingly small residual σ = 0.31 meV. This result suggests that the energies of the low-energy structures of $BeB₂$ can be well described by the

Page 7 of 11 RSC Advances

Ising-like model. Ising-like models have been successfully applied to describe metallic alloy systems in cluster expansion approaches [28], where the chemical occupancies of the alloy constituents play the role of spin eigenvalues. Here we show an interesting case of using Isinglike model to describe a system with the displacement of atoms as the spin eigenvalues. In order to further validate of the Ising-like model for BeB_2 , we construct several new BeB_2 structures with the Be-displacement sequences as up-up-up-down-down-down (3-3), up-up-down-downdown-down (2-4), up-down-down-up-down-down (1-2-1-2) or up-down-up-down-up-up-downdown (1-1-1-1-2-2). We calculate the energies of these structures by DFT and compare the energies with the results from the Ising-like model using the interaction parameters determined above. It turns out that the formation energies predicted by the Ising-like model are in very good agreement with those values obtained by DFT calculations with the energy differences in the DFT error range of $1 \sim 2$ meV/atom. For example, the formation energies predicted from the Ising-like model and from the DFT calculation are -46.80 and -47.97 meV/atom for the 3-3 configuration, -46.80 and -46.81 meV/atom for the 2-2 configuration, and -68.00 and -67.10 meV/atom for the 1-2-1-2 configuration, respectively. This result shows that there is a whole family of low-energy Be intercalated structures of BeB₂, which can be well described by the Ising-like model with "anti-ferromagnetic coupling" between Be displacements. Thus, the Cmcm structure is the lowest-energy structure of the structure family, while the Fmm2 structure with ferromagnetic configuration is the highest energy structure of the structure family.

In order to compare the stability of the Cmcm structure with the $P6/mmm$ AlB₂-family structure for other alkali or alkaline earth diborides $(MB₂)$, we calculated the formation energies of the MB_2 in P6/mmm and Cmcm structures for $M = Li$, Na, K, Rb, Cs, Mg, Ca, Sr, and Ba. The results show that the Cmcm structure is more stable than $P6/mmm$ structure for $RbB₂, CsB₂$, $SrB₂$ and BaBe₂, but the formation energies of Cmcm $RbB₂$ and $CsB₂$ are positive, indicating that these two compounds are energetically unstable. For $CaB₂$, the Cmcm structure was transformed to Cmmm structure, which is the same structure as the ground-state structure of $CaB₂$ recently proposed [29]. The P6/mmm structure is more stable than Cmcm structure for all other $MB₂$ compounds, among them only $P6/mmm$ Li B_2 and MgB_2 have negative formation energies.

Figure 3. The electronic band structures of (left) Cmcm and (right) $P6/mmm BeB₂$ structures and their corresponding projected density of states. The blue circles and red squares and cyan diamonds show the $p_x + p_y$, p_z - and s-characters of B.

We also performed electronic band structure calculation for the Cmcm $BeB₂$ structure as shown in Fig. 3, where the band characters of B are also shown. For comparison, we also show the electronic band structure of the $P6/mmm$ $BeB₂$ calculated using an orthorhombic unit cell with a = 2.931 Å, b = 5.725 Å and $c = 5.071$ Å, which is the doubled cell we mentioned above. Due to the similarity between the Cmcm and P6/mmm BeB₂ structures, their band structures are very similar as can be seen in Fig. 3. The flat bands with strong B *p*-character near the Fermi level from Γ to Y point in the Cmcm structure were shifted up above the Fermi level from the P6/mmm structure, making the density of states at the Fermi level in the Cmcm structure substantially smaller than of that of the P6/mmm structure. This shifting can be attributed the B's band broadening resulting from the shortening of bonding between Be and B atoms. The density of states near the Fermi level of Cmcm structure is contributed mainly from B layers and the bands near the Fermi level have strong B *p*-character, while the deep laying bands have very strong B *s* character, which are similar to the band structure of MgB₂. Thus, we also investigate the possibility of Cmcm BeB₂ structure for superconductor through electron-phonon coupling via the BCS mechanism. The results from our calculation using Quantum-Espresso code showed that the effective phonon frequency is high (ω_{log} = 797 K), but the density of state at Fermi level is not so large (1.01 states/eV/unit cell). The electron-phonon coupling coefficient is also quite small, which is 0.25 in our calculation. Thus, the critical temperature for possible superconducting in Cmcm BeB_2 is very small, \sim 45 mK according to the Allen-Dynes modified formula [30] if the Coulomb coefficient $\mu^* = 0.10$ is used. There are several experimental efforts in measuring the superconducting critical temperature of $BeB₂$ [31, 32]. Both Felner [31] and

Page 9 of 11 RSC Advances

Mori [32] showed that the superconducting critical temperature of $BeB₂$ should be very small when they could not observe superconductivity with temperature as low as 1.8 K and they attributed the low superconducting critical temperature or the absence of superconductivity to the low density of state at the Fermi level. Our results are consistent with these experimental observations. Doping BeB2 by other less electron alkali metals may help increase density of states at the Fermi level and hence the critical temperature.

 In summary, we performed "from scratch" genetic algorithm search for low energy structures of BeB_2 with $Z = 4$, 6 and 8 f. u. We found a new family of low-energy Be-intercalated structures, whose energies obey a Ising-like model with "anti-ferromagnetic" coupling between Be atoms' displacements. The lowest energy structure in our search is a Cmcm $BeB₂$ structure with 4 f. u. in the unit cell and formation energy of -99.47 meV/atom, which is -83.27 meV/atom lower than the literature proposed structure so far. The bands near the Fermi level of the Cmcm B_2 structure have strong B p character as that in the superconducting MgB_2 . However, the critical temperature of Cmcm $BeB₂$ structure for superconducting is very small because of the small electron density of states at the Fermi level as well as the small electron-phonon coupling although the typical phonon frequency is high.

Note added: During the revision of the manuscript, we became aware of the work by S. Shah and A. N. Kolmogorov [33], where they incorrectly indexed the Cmcm BeB₂ structure as Pnnm.

Acknowledgements

The U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Basic Energy Science, Division of Materials Science and Engineering supported the research, including Genetic Algorithm development, at the Ames Laboratory, which is operated for the DOE by Iowa State University under Contract No. DE-AC02-07CH11358, with an additional computing grant through the National Energy Research Scientific Computing Center (NERSC).

* Email: mcnguyen@ameslab.gov

References

1. J. Nagamatsu, N. Nakagawa, T. Muranaka, Y. Zenitani and J. Akimitsu, *Nature*, 2001, **410**, 63.

- 2. P. P. Singh, *Phys. Rev. Lett.,* 2001, **87**, 087004.
- 3. G. Satta, G. Profeta, F. Bernardini, A. Continenza and S. Massidda, *Phys. Rev. B*, 2001, **64**, 104507.
- 4. N. I. Medvedeva, A. L. Ivanovskii, J. E. Medvedeva and A. J. Freeman, *Phys. Rev. B*, 2001, **64**, 020502(R) .
- 5. H. J. Choi, S. G. Louie and M. L. Cohen, *Phys. Rev. B*, 2009, **80**, 064503.
- 6. G. Profeta, A. Continenza, F. Bernardini and S. Massidda, *Phys. Rev. B*, 2001, **65**, 054502.
- 7. J. Bardeen, L. N. Cooper and J. R. Schrieffer, *Phys. Rev.*, 1957, **108**, 1175.
- 8. C. L. Hoenig, C. F. Cline and D. E. Sands, *J. Am. Ceram. Soc.*, 1961, **44**, 385–389.
- 9. D. E. Sands, C. F. Cline, A. Zalkin and C. L. Hoenig, *Acta Crystallogr.*, 1961, **14**, 309– 310.
- 10. R. Mattes, K.-F. Tebbe, H. Neidhard and H. J. Rethfeld, *Less-Common Met.*, 1976, **47**, 29.
- 11. J. Y. Chan, F. R. Fronczek, D. P. Young, J. F. DiTusa and P. W. J. Adams, *Solid State Chem.*, 2002, **163**, 385.
- 12. D. P. Young, R. G. Goodrich, P. W. Adams, J. Y. Chan, F. R. Fronczek, F. Drymiotis and L. L. Henry, *Phys. Rev. B*, 2002, **65**, 180518.
- 13. A. Hermann, N. W. Ashcroft and R. Hoffmann, *Inorganic Chemistry*, 2012, **51**, 9066.
- 14. H. B. Ozisik, K. Colakoglu and E. Deligoz, *J. Phys. Chem. Solids*, 2012, **73**, 593.
- 15. D. M. Deavon and K. M. Ho, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 1995, **75**, 288.
- 16. M. Ji, C.-Z. Wang and K.-M. Ho, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2010, **12**, 11617.
- 17. M. C. Nguyen, J.-H. Choi, X. Zhao, C.-Z. Wang, Z. Zhang and K.-M. Ho, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 2013, **111**, 165502.
- 18. W. Kohn and L. J. Sham, *Phys. Rev.*, 1965, **140**, A1133.
- 19. J. P. Perdew, K. Burke and M. Ernzerhof, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 1996, **77**, 3865.
- 20. P. E. Blochl, *Phys. Rev. B*, 1994, **50**, 17953.
- 21. G. Kresse and D. Joubert, *Phys. Rev. B*, 1999, **59**, 1758.
- 22. G. Kresse and J. Furthmuller, *Comput. Mater. Sci.*, 1996, **6**, 15.
- 23. H. J. Monkhorst and J. D. Pack, *Phys. Rev B*, 1976, **13**, 5188.
- 24. K. Parlinski, Z. Q. Li and Y. Kawazoe, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 1997, **78**, 4063.

Page 11 of 11 RSC Advances

- 25. A. Togo, F. Oba and I. Tanaka, *Phys. Rev. B*, 2008, **78**, 134106.
- 26. P. Giannozzi et al., *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter*, 2009, **21**, 395502.
- 27. J. P. Perdew and A. Zunger, *Phys. Rev. B*, 1981, **23**, 5048.
- 28. J. M. Sanchez, F. Ducastelle and D. Gratias, *Physica A*, 1984, **128**, 334.
- 29. P. Vajeeston, P. Ravindran and H. Fjellvag, *RSC Advances*, 2012, **2**, 11687.
- 30. P. B. Allen and R. C. Dynes, *Phys. Rev. B*, 1975, **12**, 905.
- 31. I. Felner, *Physica C*, 2001, **353**, 11.
- 32. T. Mori, *J. Mat. Sci. Lett.*, 2001, **20**, 1867.
- 33. S. Shah and A. N. Kolmogorov, *Phys. Rev. B,* 2013, **88**, 014107.