# ORGANIC CHEMISTRY

## FRONTIERS

## Accepted Manuscript





This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this Accepted Manuscript with the edited and formatted Advance Article as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the **Information for Authors**.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard **Terms & Conditions** and the **Ethical guidelines** still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.





http://rsc

http://rsc.li/frontiers-organic

Cite this: DOI: 10.1039/c0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/xxxxx

1 2 3

4

5

6

7

8 9

10 11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58 59 60 25

## **Research Article**

### Vanadium-Catalyzed C(sp<sup>3</sup>)–H Fluorination Reactions†

Ji-Bao Xia,<sup>a</sup> Yuyong Ma<sup>a</sup> and Chuo Chen<sup>\*a</sup>

Received (in XXX, XXX) Xth XXXXXXXX 20XX, Accepted Xth XXXXXXXX 20XX DOI: 10.1039/b000000x

<sup>5</sup> Vanadium(III) oxide catalyzes the direct fluorination of C(sp<sup>3</sup>)–H groups with Selectfluor. This reaction is operationally simple. The catalyst and the reaction by-product can be removed easily by filtration. Using this method, a fluorine atom can be introduced to the tertiary <sup>10</sup> position of 1,4-cineole and L-menthone selectively.

Nature uses catalytic C–H oxidation reactions extensively to functionalize small molecules. Studying the structures and reactivities of hydroxylases has inspired the development of various iron, manganese, and copper catalysts for C–H oxidation <sup>15</sup> reactions.<sup>1</sup> Their reactivities often complement those of the palladium and rhodium catalysts commonly used for constructing C–C bonds.<sup>2</sup> Vanadium-complexes are well-known for their ability to transfer an oxygen or a halogen atom to olefins.<sup>3</sup> However, vanadium-catalyzed C–H oxidation has not been well-<sup>20</sup> studied.<sup>4</sup> Recently, we developed an efficient vanadium catalyst for selective benzylic C–H oxygenation with no competing aromatic oxidation.<sup>5</sup> We report herein a vanadium catalyst system for C(sp<sup>3</sup>)–H fluorination.

Our previous work



This work



Fig. 1 Development of vanadium-catalyzed oxidative C–H functionalization reactions

Mimoun reported in 1983 that vanadium complexes could catalyze C–H oxygenation through a radical mechanism.<sup>6</sup> This area of research was later expanded by Shul'pin, Pombeiro, and <sup>30</sup> others.<sup>7</sup> While several efficient vanadium catalysts have been developed for C–H oxygenation, it has not been shown that vanadium complexes can catalyze C–H halogenation.

We seek to expand the scope of the vanadium-catalyzed C–H oxidation to C–H fluorination as incorporating fluorine atoms <sup>35</sup> into small-molecules often improves their physical and biological properties.<sup>8</sup> While there are many efficient methods for introducing fluorine atoms through functional group transformations,<sup>9</sup> there are only a few studies of catalytic C–H

fluorination reactions. The first example was reported by the <sup>40</sup> Sanford group in 2006.<sup>10</sup> They successfully developed a palladium(II/IV) catalyst system for catalyzing the quinoline/pyridine-directed benzylic  $C(sp^3)$ –H fluorination and *ortho*  $C(sp^2)$ –H fluorination. Subsequently, the Yu group developed a versatile palladium catalyst system for directed *ortho*  $C(sp^2)$ –H fluorination.

<sup>45</sup> C(sp<sup>2</sup>)–H fluorination in 2009.<sup>11</sup> Methods for catalyzing C(sp<sup>3</sup>)– H fluorination without using a directing group have also emerged in literatures recently. The Groves group first developed a manganese catalyst system in 2012.<sup>12</sup> The Lectka group then published a copper and an iron catalyst system.<sup>13</sup> Subsequently,
<sup>50</sup> we disclosed a ketone-catalyzed photolytic method<sup>14</sup> and the Inoue group an *N*-oxyl radical-catalyzed method.<sup>15</sup> More recently, the Doyle group developed a palladium-catalyzed allylic C(sp<sup>3</sup>)–H fluorination reaction<sup>16</sup> and the Hartwig group a silverpromoted oxidative method for C(sp<sup>2</sup>)–H fluorination of <sup>55</sup> heterocycles.<sup>17</sup> However, there is no report of vanadiumcatalyzed C–H fluorination.

Because vanadium complexes are known to catalyze C-H oxygenation and olefin halogenation, we believe that they can also promote C-H fluorination. To search for an effective 60 vanadium catalyst for C-H fluorination, we examined the reactivities of a series of vanadium complexes using cyclododecane (1) as the substrate and Selectfluor as the standard fluorinating reagent (Table 1). Unlike our previous benzylic C-H oxygenation reactions,<sup>5</sup> vanadium(V) complexes did not catalyze 65 the fluorination of 1 (entries 1-4), and vanadium(IV) complexes gave only a trace amount of fluorocyclodecane (2) (entries 5–7). In contrast, vanadium(III) and vanadium(II) complexes were more reactive (entries 8-12). For example, fluorination of 1 in the presence of 20 mol % V(acac)<sub>3</sub> and 20 mol % Cp<sub>2</sub>V led to the 70 formation of 2 in 21% and 13% yields, respectively (entries 10 and 12). Among all the vanadium complexes examined, vanadium(III) oxide (V2O3) was the most effective catalyst, giving 2 in 65% isolated yield at 10 mol % loading (20 mol % by vanadium) (entry 11). Although 2 could be further fluorinated 75 under the reaction conditions, there were only ~5% of the difluorination products based on <sup>19</sup>F NMR analyses (>10: 1 monofluorination vs. difluorination). In terms of the fluorinating reagent, Selectfluor (F-TEDA, A) was the only effective fluorine atom donor. There was no reaction when using Selectfluor II (B), 80 NFSI (C), or N-fluoropyridinium salts (D) as the source of fluorine (entries 13-15). We have also confirmed that a vanadium



selectively at the tertiary positions to give 5 and 6 in 74% and 15 70% isolated yields, respectively. We observed only less than 5% of the difluorination products. The second fluorination also occurred at the tertiary positions of admantane, suggesting that the tertiary C-H groups are significantly more reactive than the secondary C-H groups. Consistently, fluorination of 2-pentanone 20 gave 7 in 47% NMR yield while fluorination of 4-methyl-2pentanone and isovaleric acid gave 8 and 9 in 78% NMR yield and 63% isolated yield, respectively. In contrast to electrophilic fluorination reactions, this vanadium-catalyzed reaction did not functionalize the  $\alpha$ -position of carbonyl compounds. The 25 presence of an aromatic ester group significantly affected the reaction efficiency. While fluorination of methyl isovalerate gave 10 in 85% NMR yield, reaction of phenyl isovalerate gave 11 in only 12% NMR yield. This method could also be used to prepare  $\beta$ -fluoro- $\alpha$ -amino ester 12 directly from N-phthaloyl valine 30 methyl ester in 46% isolated yield.



<sup>a</sup>Conditions: substrate (0.2 mmol), catalyst (0.02 mmol), Selectfluor (0.3 mmol), CH<sub>3</sub>CN (2 mL), 23 °C; NMR yield was based on crude <sup>19</sup>F NMR
 <sup>35</sup> spectra using C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>5</sub>F as external standard. <sup>b</sup>Substrate (1.0 mmol), catalyst (0.02 mmol), Selectfluor (0.2 mmol).

The utility of this reaction was further demonstrated by the selective fluorination of monoterpenes 1,4-cineole and L-<sup>40</sup> menthone. The C–H fluorination preferentially occurred at the tertiary positions to give **13** and **14** in 53% and 75% isolated yields, respectively. Vanadium(III) oxide also catalyzed the fluorination of sesquiterpenoid sclareolide with improved 1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59 60 efficiency and selectivity compared to the manganese-porphyrin catalyst system.<sup>12a</sup> The C-2 fluoride **15** ( $\alpha$ : $\beta$  = 9:1) was obtained in 61% yield along with the C-3  $\alpha$ -fluoride in 15% yield (C-2:C-3 = 4:1) as an inseparable mixture of isomers.

Selective benzylic fluorination could also be achieved. Although vanadium(III) bromide and vanadium(III) acetoacetate were effective catalysts for benzylic fluorination at 5 mol % loading, bromine atom-transfer occurred with vanadium(III) bromide, and the yields obtained with vanadium(III) acetoacetate 10 were irreproducible due to facile ligand fluorination. In contrast, vanadium(III) oxide provided reliable results despite higher catalyst loading. Under the standard reaction conditions (10 mol % V<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>), benzylic fluorides 16 and 17 could be obtained in 67% NMR yield and 47% isolated yield, respectively. However, the 15 fluorine atom of benzylic fluorides could eliminate under the reaction conditions via a S<sub>N</sub>1-type mechanism, leading to low yields of benzylic fluorides for the more electron-rich substrates. In contrast, the electron-deficient 4-ethylbenzonitrile was rather unreactive, giving 18 in only 24% isolated yield. Finally, 20 fluorination of propylbenzene with a chlorine or protected nitrogen atom at the terminal position of the side-chain gave 19 and 20 in 35% and 43% isolated yields, respectively.

C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>12</sub> (20 equiv)	10 mol % $V_2O_3$	
+		$C_6H_{11}F + C_6D_{11}F$
CaDua	CH <sub>3</sub> CN, 23 °C, 3 h	(4 : 1)
(20 equiv)	k <sub>H</sub> / k <sub>D</sub> = 4	

Fig. 2 KIE study of the vanadium-catalyzed C–H fluorination

We have also conducted some preliminary mechanistic studies. A competition experiment using a 1:1 ratio of cyclohexane and cyclohexane- $d_{12}$  gave a 4:1 mixture of **3** and **3**- $d_{11}$  (Figure 2). The primary kinetic isotope effect (KIE) ( $k_{\rm H}$  /  $k_{\rm D}$  = 4) indicates that C-H abstraction is the rate-limiting step. This fluorination 30 reaction is highly oxygen-sensitive, suggesting that it may proceed through a radical mechanism. While the nature of the active catalyst is not clear, we believe that a vanadium(II/III) or (III/IV) cycle rather than a vanadium(III/V) cycle is involved because vanadium(V) complexes could not promote this reaction. 35 It is also likely that vanadium(III) oxide was first oxidized to a vanadium(IV) species, which served as the active catalyst. The fluorination reaction proceeded equally well in wet acetonitrile. Since we did not observe any Ritter reaction products or ketones, we believed that the alkyl radical initially generated was not 40 oxidized to a carbocation before being trapped by a fluoride. However, there was no reaction when using water as a cosolvent. It is likely that the addition of a large excess amount of water deactivated the catalyst by altering its structure.

In summary, we have found that commercially available <sup>45</sup> vanadium(III) oxide can catalyze the direct conversion of a C–H group to C–F. This heterogeneous catalyst can be easily removed by filtration along with the Selectfluor by-product H-TEDA. This operationally simple method provides improved efficiency for C– H fluorination at non-benzylic positions compared to existing <sup>50</sup> methods. We are now exploring further utilities of vanadium complexes in catalytic oxidation reactions.

#### **Experimental Section**

General procedure for the vanadium(III) oxide-catalyzed C(sp<sup>3</sup>)–H fluorination reaction. To a 4 mL clear vial charged <sup>55</sup> with vanadium(III) oxide (V<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, 3.0 mg, 0.02 mmol, 10 mol%) and Selectfluor (106.3 mg, 0.3 mmol, 1.5 equiv) was added anhydrous acetonitrile (2.0 mL), and the reaction substrate (0.2 mmol, 1.0 equiv). The reaction mixture was then degassed three times by freeze-pump-thaw cycles and stirred at room temperature for 6–48 h. Upon completion, the reaction mixture was poured into diethyl ether (20 mL), filtered, concentrated and purified by silica gel flash column chromatography using diethyl ether/pentane as the eluent.

#### Acknowledgements

65 Financial support was provided by NIH/NIGMS (R01-GM079554) and the Welch Foundation (I-1596).

#### Notes and references

<sup>a</sup>Division of Chemistry, Department of Biochemistry, The University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center, 5323 Harry Hines Boulevard,

70 Dallas, Texas 75390-9038, United States; E-mail: Chuo.Chen@UTSouthwestern.edu

† Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: See DOI: 10.1039/b000000x/

- (a) L. Que, Jr. and W. B. Tolman Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2002, 41, 1114–1137; (b) L. Que, Jr. and W. B. Tolman Nature, 2008, 455, 333–340; (c) C.-L. Sun, B.-J. Li and Z.-J. Shi Chem. Rev., 2011, 111, 1293–1314; (d) M. Bordeaux, A. Galarneau and J. Drone Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2012, 51, 10712–10723; (e) J. Eames and M.
- Watkinson Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2001, 40, 3567–3571; (f) T. Punniyamurthy and L. Rout Coord. Chem. Rev., 2008, 252, 134–154; (g) A. E. Wendlandt, A. M. Suess and S. S. Stahl Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2011, 50, 11062–11087; see also: (h) Y. Ishihara and P. S. Baran Synlett, 2010, 1733–1745.; (i) T. Newhouse and P. S. Baran Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2011, 50, 3362–3374.
- 2 (a) K. M. Engle, T.-S. Mei, M. Wasa and J.-Q. Yu Acc. Chem. Res., 2012, 45, 788–802; (b) S. R. Neufeldt and M. S. Sanford Acc. Chem. Res., 2011, 45, 936–946; (c) L. Boisvert and K. I. Goldberg Acc. Chem. Res., 2011, 45, 899–910; (d) B. G. Hashiguchi, S. M. Bischof,
- M. M. Konnick and R. A. Periana Acc. Chem. Res., 2011, 45, 885– 898; (e) D. A. Colby, A. S. Tsai, R. G. Bergman and J. A. Ellman Acc. Chem. Res., 2012, 45, 814–825; (f) J. L. Roizen, M. E. Harvey and J. Du Bois Acc. Chem. Res., 2011, 45, 911–922; (g) J. F. Hartwig Acc. Chem. Res., 2011, 45, 864–873; (h) M. Zhou and R. H. Crabtree
- 5 Chem. Soc. Rev., 2011, 40, 1875–1884; (i) M. C. Haibach, S. Kundu, M. Brookhart and A. S. Goldman Acc. Chem. Res., 2011, 45, 947– 958.
- 3 (a) K. B. Sharpless and T. R. Verhoeven Aldrichimica Acta, 1979, 12, 63–74; (b) C. S. Neumann, D. G. Fujimori and C. T. Walsh *Chem. Biol.*, 2008, 15, 99–109; (c) F. H. Vaillancourt, E. Yeh, D. A. Vosburg, S. Garneau-Tsodikova and C. T. Walsh *Chem. Rev.*, 2006, 106, 3364–3378; (d) A. Butler, M. J. Clague and G. E. Meister *Chem. Rev.*, 1994, 94, 625–638; (e) A. Butler and J. V. Walker *Chem. Rev.*, 1993, 93, 1937–1944.
- (a) T. Hirao Chem. Rev., 1997, 97, 2707–2724; (b) V. Conte, F. Di Furia and G. Licini Appl. Catal., A, 1997, 157, 335–361; (c) A. G. J. Ligtenbarg, R. Hage and B. L. Feringa Coord. Chem. Rev., 2003, 237, 89–101; (d) J. A. L. da Silva, J. J. R. F. da Silva and A. J. L. Pombeiro Coord. Chem. Rev., 2011, 255, 2232–2248.
- 110 5 J.-B. Xia, K. W. Cormier and C. Chen Chem. Sci., 2012, 3, 2240– 2245.
  - 6 (a) H. Mimoun, P. Chaumette, M. Mignard and L. Saussine New J. Chem., 1983, 7, 467–475; (b) H. Mimoun, L. Saussine, E. Daire, M. Postel, J. Fischer and R. Weiss J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1983, 105, 3101–

3110; (c) M. Bonchio, V. Conte, F. Di Furia, G. Modena and S. Moro 2 J. Org. Chem., 1994, 59, 6262-6267; (d) E. P. Talsi and K. V. 3 Shalyaev J. Mol. Catal., 1994, 92, 245-255. 7 4 (a) I. I. Moiseev, A. E. Gekhman and D. I. Shishkin New J. Chem., 1989, 13, 683-690; (b) G. B. Shul'pin and G. Süss-Fink J. Chem. 5 Soc. Perkin Trans. 2, 1995, 1459-1463; (c) T. F. S. Silva, K. V. L. 6 M. V. Kirillova, M. F. G. da Silva, L. M. D. R. S. Martins and A. J. 7 L. Pombeiro Adv. Synth. Catal., 2010, 352, 171-187; (d) K. Kamata, 8 K. Yonehara, Y. Nakagawa, K. Uehara and N. Mizuno Nat. Chem., 2010, 2, 478-483. 9 10 8 (a) H.-J. Böhm, D. Banner, S. Bendels, M. Kansy, B. Kuhn, K. 10 Müller, U. Obst-Sander and M. Stahl ChemBioChem, 2004, 5, 637-11 643; (b) K. Müller, C. Faeh and F. Diederich Science, 2007, 317, 12 1881-1886; (c) S. Purser, P. R. Moore, S. Swallow and V. Gouverneur Chem. Soc. Rev., 2008, 37, 320-330; (d) W. K. 13 15 Hagmann J. Med. Chem., 2008, 51, 4359-4369. 14 9 (a) D. A. Watson, M. Su, G. Teverovskiy, Y. Zhang, J. García-15 Fortanet, T. Kinzel and S. L. Buchwald Science, 2009, 325, 1661-16 1664; (b) E. Lee, A. S. Kamlet, D. C. Powers, C. N. Neumann, G. B. 17 Boursalian, T. Furuya, D. C. Choi, J. M. Hooker and T. Ritter 20 Science, 2011, 334, 639-642; (c) V. Rauniyar, A. D. Lackner, G. L. 18 Hamilton and F. D. Toste Science, 2011, 334, 1681-1684; (d) J. J. 19 Topczewski, T. J. Tewson and H. M. Nguyen J. Am. Chem. Soc., 20 2011, 133, 19318-19321; (e) M. Rueda-Becerril, C. C. Sazepin, J. C. 21 T. Leung, T. Okbinoglu, P. Kennepohl, J.-F. Paquin and G. M. 25 Sammis J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2012, 134, 4026-4029; (f) F. Yin, Z. 22 Wang, Z. Li and C. Li J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2012, 134, 10401-10404; 23 (g) Z. Li, L. Song and C. Li J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2013, 135, 24 4640-4643; (h) C. Zhang, Z. Li, L. Zhu, L. Yu, Z. Wang and C. Li J. 25 Am. Chem. Soc., 2013, 135, 14082-14085; (i) Z. Li, C. Zhang, L. 30 Zhu, C. Liu and C. Li Org. Chem. Front., 2014, 1, 100-104; (j) T. J. 26 Barker and D. L. Boger J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2012, 134, 13588-13591; 27 (k) S. Suzuki, Y. Kitamura, S. Lectard, Y. Hamashima and M. 28 Sodeoka Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2012, 51, 4581-4585; (l) W. Kong, 29 P. Feige, T. d. Haro and C. Nevado Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2013, 52, 35 30 2469-2473; (m) J. R. Wolstenhulme, J. Rosenqvist, O. Lozano, J. Ilupeju, N. Wurz, K. M. Engle, G. W. Pidgeon, P. R. Moore, G. 31 Sandford and V. Gouverneur Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2013, 52, 9796-32 9800; (n) N. A. Cochrane, H. Nguyen and M. R. Gagne J. Am. Chem. 33 Soc., 2013, 135, 628-631; (o) X. Mu, H. Zhang, P. Chen and G. Liu 40 34 Chem. Sci., 2014, 5, 275-280. (a) K. L. Hull, W. Q. Anani and M. S. Sanford J. Am. Chem. Soc., 10 35 2006, 128, 7134-7135; (b) K. B. McMurtrey, J. M. Racowski and M. 36 S. Sanford Org. Lett., 2012, 14, 4094-4097; (c) J. M. Racowski, J. B. 37 45 Gary and M. S. Sanford Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2012, 51, 3414-38 3417. 39 11 (a) X. Wang, T.-S. Mei and J.-Q. Yu J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2009, 131, 7520-7521; (b) K. S. L. Chan, M. Wasa, X. Wang and J.-Q. Yu 40 Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2011, 50, 9081-9084. 41 50 12 (a) W. Liu, X. Huang, M.-J. Cheng, R. J. Nielsen, W. A. Goddard III 42 and J. T. Groves Science, 2012, 337, 1322-1325; (b) W. Liu and J. T. 43 Groves Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2013, 52, 6024-6027. (a) S. Bloom, C. R. Pitts, D. C. Miller, N. Haselton, M. G. Holl, E. 44 13 Urheim and T. Lectka Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2012, 51, 10580-45 10583; (b) S. Bloom, C. R. Pitts, R. Woltornist, A. Griswold, M. G. 55 46 Holl and T. Lectka Org. Lett., 2013, 15, 1722-1724; (c) S. Bloom, J. 47 L. Knippel and T. Lectka Chem. Sci., 2014, 5, 1175-1178. 48 14 J.-B. Xia, C. Zhu and C. Chen J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2013, 135, 17494-17500.49 60 15 Y. Amaoka, M. Nagatomo and M. Inoue Org. Lett., 2013, 15, 2160-50 2163 51 16 M.-G. Braun and A. G. Doyle J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2013, 135, 12990-52 12993. 17 P. S. Fier and J. F. Hartwig Science, 2013, 342, 956-960. 53 65 18 (a) R. D. Chambers, M. Parsons, G. Sandford and R. Bowden Chem. 54 Commun., 2000, 959-960; (b) R. D. Chambers, A. M. Kenwright, M. 55 Parsons, G. Sandford and J. S. Moilliet J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans.

1

4 | *Journal Name*, [year], **[vol]**, 00–00

1, 2002, 2190–2197.

56