# Organic \& Biomolecular Chemistry 

## Accepted Manuscript



## Organic \& Biomolecular Chemistry



This is an Accepted Manuscript, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this Accepted Manuscript with the edited and formatted Advance Article as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about Accepted Manuscripts in the Information for Authors.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard Terms \& Conditions and the Ethical guidelines still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this Accepted Manuscript or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.

Cite this: DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

Received 00th January 2012,
Accepted 00th January 2012
DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x
www.rsc.org/

# Vertically-expanded imidazo[1,2-a]pyridines and imidazo[1,5-a]pyridine via dehydrogenative coupling 

Dikhi Firmansyah, ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Marzena Banasiewicz ${ }^{\text {b }}$ and Daniel T. Gryko*a,c


#### Abstract

Anion-radical coupling of structurally diverse series of aromatic compounds possessing biaryl linkages led to seven fused, polycyclic heterocycles in reasonable yields. The yield of the key step ( K , toluene, $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ ) depends on both electronic and steric factors. The whole strategy consists of just two steps starting from unsubstituted imidazo[1,2-a]pyridine, giving target compounds in overall yield $4-34 \%$. The same strategy also works for derivative of imidazo[1,5-a]pyridine. New process has been discovered for such vertically-expanded imidazo[1,2-a]pyridines, consisting of sequential Diels-Alder reaction followed by retro-Diels-Alder reaction. The optical properties of the library of $\pi$-expanded imidazo[1,2-a]pyridines were for the first time fully characterized, showing that fluorescence quantum yields of are significantly lower that for the singly-linked compounds.


## Introduction

The recent renaissance in the chemistry of polycylic aromatic hydrocarbons is overwhelmingly visible in the literature. ${ }^{1}$ New, previously unthinkable architectures were brought to light such as corranulene, ${ }^{2}$ sumanene, ${ }^{3}$ inherently chiral polycyclic imides, ${ }^{4}$ dicyclopenta $[d e, m n]$ tetracenes ${ }^{5}$ and cycloparaphenylenes. ${ }^{6}$ Synthetic methodology leading to these new carbon-rich compounds is also constantly evolving. ${ }^{7}$ On the contrary the chemistry of their heterocyclic counterparts is far less developed. While heterocyclic analogs acenes are known, ${ }^{8}$ aza-rylenes are far less ubiquitous. ${ }^{9}$

Recently we revealed that 3-(naphthalen-1-yl)-imidazo[1,2a]pyridine can be synthesized from singly-linked precursor by anion-radical coupling ${ }^{10}$ in $63 \%$ yield. ${ }^{11}$ Since anion-radical coupling is relatively weakly studied reaction (Scheme 1), we wondered if this methodology can be expanded to its analogs possessing other aromatic units instead of naphthalene. This would allow us to study relationship between structure of substrates and efficiency of this reaction. The second aim of this study was to gain further insight into trends in optical properties of such previously unknown fused heterocyclic compounds.

## Results and discussion

Given the multiple aims of this investigation, we designed small but diverse library of substrates bearing imidazo[1,2-a]pyridine as 'Northern Half' of the molecule and analogs of naphthalene at 'Southern Half'. Electronically similar (phenanthrene and anthracene) as well as structurally similar but electronically different units (naphthalene-imide and benzo[ $h$ ]quinoline) have been chosen as 'Southern Half'. We also modified the linkage
place, shifting it from C3 to C5, as well as the position of nitrogen in imidazopyridine.


Scheme 1 The mechanism of anionic cyclodehydrogenation of 1,1'-binaphthyl as proposed by Scott and co-workers. ${ }^{10 \mathrm{~d}}$

For the construction of necessary substrates we decided to follow the same pathway as before i.e. direct arylation of imidazo[1,2$a$ ]pyridine with bromoarenes. Although many conditions were recently published, which allow to carry out this transformation in good yields, ${ }^{12}$ the best protocol was revealed by Doucet and co-workers with low loading of simple palladium salts and without any ligand. ${ }^{13}$ Direct arylation of unsubstituted imidazo $\left[1,2-a\right.$ ]pyridine $(\mathbf{1})^{14}$ with bromoarenes 2a-d led to products 3a-3d in 50-83\% (Scheme 2).


Scheme 2 The synthesis of 3-substituted imidazo[1,2-a]pyridines.
Required substrate 2c was prepared by modified Skraup reaction, ${ }^{15}$ while compound $2 \mathbf{d}$ was obtained via imidation of anhydride of 4-bromonaphthalene-1,4-dicarboxylic acid with 1octylamine. ${ }^{16}$
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Scheme 3 Suzuki reaction leading to 5-aryl-imidazo[1,2-a]pyridines 5a,b.

Intriguing question was if anion-radical coupling would work if position C-3 of the heterocyclic core (the most electron-rich one) is unsubstituted. Consequently, 5 -substituted two imidazo[1,2a]pyridines were prepared via Suzuki-Miyaura coupling from boronic acid pinacol esters $\mathbf{4 a}, \mathbf{b}$, derived from naphthalene and phenathrene (Scheme 3). ${ }^{17}$ The key substrate i.e. compound 2e, was obtained via condensation of 2-amino-6-bromopyridine and 2-chloroacetaldehyde. ${ }^{18}$ Suzuki coupling was conducted following known procedure utilizing palladium catalyst. ${ }^{19}$
In analogy to imidazo[1,2- $a$ ]pyridine, C3 at imidazo[1,5a]pyridine (6) also possesses the highest reactivity in direct arylation. ${ }^{20}$ Murai and co-workers have shown that double direct arylation can take place in case of this heterocyclic compound. ${ }^{21}$

Our attempt to perform direct arylation with 1-iodonaphthalene led to compound $\mathbf{8}$ in moderate yield ( $32 \%$, Scheme 4).


Scheme 4 The synthesis of compounds 8 and 9 .

The key difference between 3-(naphthalen-1-yl)-imidazo[1,2$a$ ]pyridine and the corresponding anthracenyl compound $\mathbf{3 a}$ is an additional steric hindrance, which has to be overcome during fusion. Consequently, it came with no surprise that anion-radical coupling, performed under previously optimized conditions, ${ }^{11}$ led to compound 10a in $12 \%$ yield only (Scheme 5).


Scheme 5 Anion-radical coupling leading to compounds 10a-d.

Stability of intermediate radical-anions increases when reacting unit is more electron-deficient. ${ }^{10}$ As a result, we expected higher yield of coupling in case of compound $\mathbf{3 c}$ vs. substrate $\mathbf{3 b}$. Yet, structurally analogous derivatives of phenanthrene and benzo[ $h$ ]quinoline ( $\mathbf{3 b}$ and $\mathbf{3 c}$ ) afforded corresponding products 10b and 10c in similar yield ( $\sim 30 \%$ ). Yields of fused heterocycles $\mathbf{1 0 b}$ and $\mathbf{1 0 c}$ were the same regardless if reactions were performed under air or under oxygen. Unfortunately, despite thorough optimization, the anion-radical coupling of derivative 3d led to the formation of compound 10d in a low yield (Scheme 5). Intriguingly, in this particular case, the yield of compound $\mathbf{1 0 d}$ was higher if the first step of reaction was
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performed under argon. Groups of Müllen and Swager have shown that if naphthalene-1,8-carboxyimide is present in the molecule as one of reacting sites, conditions for dehydrogenative coupling do not have to be so strong and they can include weaker bases such as i.e. $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{DBN} / t$ - BuONa , still leading to corresponding fused products in good yields. ${ }^{23,24}$ Attempts to perform transformation of imide 3d into 10d following these alternative procedures, ${ }^{23,24}$ failed.
According to our predictions based on relative stability of various anion-radicals, coupling of 5-substituted imidazo[1,2a]pyridines led to expected products 11a and 11b in lower yields than in the case of cyclization of their 3-substituted analogs (Scheme 6). Regioisomeric imidazo[1,5-a]pyridine $\mathbf{8}$ has been coupled in the same manner as 3-(naphthalen-1-yl)imidazo[1,2a]pyridine under air to give heterocycle 9 in $52 \%$ yield (Scheme 4).


Scheme 6 Anion-radical coupling leading to compounds 11a,b.

An important phenomenon to be investigated was reactivity of synthesized compounds. It is well-known that perylene undergoes Diels Alder reaction with maleic anhydride or dimethyl acetylenedicarboxylate (DMAD) at its bay region. ${ }^{25}$ The initially attempted reaction of 11a with maleic anhydride failed, but to our delight compound 11a reacted with DMAD.


Scheme $\mathbf{7}$ The synthesis of diester $\mathbf{1 2}$ via Diels-Alder reaction.

Careful analysis of the product revealed that instead of expected Diels-Alder reaction at bay position, process led to $\pi$-expanded indolizine 12 (Scheme 7). The only imaginable rationale behind this reaction is Diels-Alder reaction at imidazole moiety followed by retro-Diels-Alder reaction with elimination of HCN . The overall pathway is quite effective leading to ester $\mathbf{1 2}$ in $47 \%$ yield. It is worth to note that vertically-expanded indolizines are unknown in the literature. Analogous process, albeit in lower yield, was observed in case of compound 10c (Scheme 8). Diester $\mathbf{1 3}$ was obtained in $22 \%$ yield. This reaction resembles transformation of N -benzoylpyrrole into dimethyl N -benzoyl-pyrrole-3,4-dicarboxylate upon reaction with DMAD and elimination of acetylene. ${ }^{26}$
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Scheme 8 The synthesis of ester 13.

The successful synthesis of small library of novel azaheterocycles and their $\pi$-expanded analogs gave us an excellent opportunity for measuring their photophysical properties for the first time (Table 1, Fig. 1). While heterocycles 3a-d and 5a,b absorb mainly UV-radiation and emit violet light, absorption of fused compounds is bathochromically shifted and they emit green light. The exception is $\mathbf{3 d}$ which has emission maximum at 513 nm (Table 1). In analogy to what has been noticed before, ${ }^{11}$ single-linked heterocycles 3a-d and 5a-d possess relatively high fluorescence quantum yield ( $\Phi_{\mathrm{fl}}$ ) and large Stokes shifts (up to $12300 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ for compound 5a). After intramolecular dehydrogenative coupling, although dyes become flat (i.e. with lower probability for free rotation) $\Phi_{\mathrm{fl}}$ dropped significantly to $0.08-0.5 \%$ (Table 1). Regioisomeric 10b and 11b differ significantly in optical properties. Although their absorption and emission maxima are located at the same regions, the $\Phi_{\mathrm{fl}}$ for compound 11b is almost ten times higher than for 10b. $\pi$ Expanded indolizine $\mathbf{1 2}$ possessed similar properties to that of dyes 10a-d and 11a,b. The Stokes shifts for fused compounds $\mathbf{9}$, 10a-d, 11a,b, 12 and 13 are rather low ( $400-2400 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ). Previous studied clearly showed that imidazo[1,5-a]pyridines are less emissive than imidazo[1,2-a]pyridines. ${ }^{27}$ The same trend is visible for their $\pi$-expanded analogs 9 and 11a. The fluorescence quantum yield of 11a is 10 times higher than for 9 .

Table 1 Spectroscopic properties of compounds 3-12. ${ }^{a}$

| Compd. | $\mathrm{Abs}_{\text {max }}(\mathrm{nm})$ | Emission $_{\text {max }}$ (exc.)(nm) | Stokes shift ( $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) | $\Phi_{\mathrm{fl}}{ }^{\text {b }}$ (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3a | 369, 388 | (387) 449 | 3500 | 23 |
| 3b | 298, 310 | (315) 407 | 7700 | 45 |
| 3 c | 318, 333, 349 | (315) 408 | 4100 | 47 |
| 3d | 406 | (408) 513 | 5100 | 24 |
| 5a | 283 | (315) 435 | 12300 | 22 |
| 5b | 300 | (300) 413 | 9100 | 29 |
| 8 | 336 | (336) 438 | 6900 | 7 |
| 9 | 436, 472, 499 | (410) 556 | 2000 | 0.5 |
| 10a | 552 | (553) 579 | 840 | 0.08 |
| 10b | 376, 397, 449, 476 | (397) 537 | 2400 | 0.6 |
| 10c | 357, 377, 396 | (397) 490, 527 | 4800 | 8.0 |
| 10d | 536, 574 | (536) 598 | 700 | 2.5 |
| $11 \mathrm{a}^{\text {c }}$ | $\begin{gathered} 396,418,450,479 \\ 511 \end{gathered}$ | (435) 522, 562 | 400 | 5 |
| 11b | 407, 432, 457, 486 | (407) 506, 535 | 800 | 3 |
| 12 | 428, 454, 483, 516 | (407) 536 | 700 | 2.5 |
| 13 | 391, 415, 455, 485 | (417) 544 | 2200 | 1.3 |

${ }^{a}$ measured in DCM. ${ }^{b}$ measured with perylene or quinine sulfate in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, as a standard. ${ }^{c}$ published data (in cyclohexane). ${ }^{11}$


Fig. 1 Absorption (solid line) and normalized fluorescence (dotted line) spectra of 9 (blue) and 10d (red) measured in DCM.

## Conclusions

It was proved that intramolecular anion-radical coupling is a general strategy for the synthesis of heterocyclic analogs of $2 \mathrm{a}^{1} \mathrm{H}$-benzo[hi]aceanthrylene possessing imidazo[1,2-a]pyridine or imidazo[1,5-a]pyridine subunits. The reaction occurs in presence of oxygen from air and yields the previously unknown $\pi$-expanded systems in moderate efficiency. If electron density at fusion position is higher the yields of anion-radical coupling are lower. Fusion of imidazo[1,2-a]pyridine with naphthalene alters its reactivity against dimethyl acetylenedicarboxylate imidazole moiety behaves like azabutadiene. Fusion of imidazopyridine moiety in vertical manner leads to greenemitting $\pi$-expanded compounds possessing low fluorescence quantum yield.

## Experimental section

## General synthetic information.

Materials. All commercially available compounds were used as received. All solvents were dried and distilled prior to use. Transformation and oxygen sensitive compounds were performed under argon atmosphere. The reaction progress was monitored by means of thin layer chromatography (TLC) which was performed on aluminum sheets, coated with silica gel $60 \mathrm{~F}_{254}$ (Merck) with detection by UV-Lamp. Product purification was performed by column chromatography on silica (flash P 60, 4063 mm , SiliCycle), and dry column vacuum chromatography (DCVC) on silica (MN-Kieselgel P/UV254) or aluminum oxide (MN-Aluminumoxid G). Identity and purity of prepared compounds were proved by 1D NMR ( ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR) and 2D NMR (COSY) (on Varian 500 MHz ). High-resolution mass spectra (EI, and ESI) were obtained on MaldiSYNAPT G2S HDMS/GCT Premier, Waters. Melting points were measured with Ez-Melt, SRS and were given without correction.

General procedure of direct arylation. Imidazo[1,2-a]pyridine $\mathbf{1}(1.5 \mathrm{mmol})$, aryl bromide 2a-e ( 1 mmol ) and KOAc ( 2 mmol ) were reacted in DMAc ( 4 mL ) in the presence of $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ ( $0.224 \mathrm{mg}, 0.001 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.1 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) at $150^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, overnight under Ar. Upon completion the mixture directly absorbed into celite and purified as follows:
3-(Anthracen-9-yl)imidazo[1,2-a]pyridine (3a); Compound 1 ( $0.15 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 9-bromoantracene ( $2 \mathrm{a}, 257 \mathrm{mg}, 1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), KOAc ( $200 \mathrm{mg}, 2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The resulting mixture was purified by DCVC on $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\left(1 \% \mathrm{MeOH}\right.$ in $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$ to affords off-white solid ( $173 \mathrm{mg}, 54 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=8.65(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $8.11(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.92(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.84\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{1}=9.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{2}\right.$ $=1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.55-7.49(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.41-7.38(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.34(\mathrm{dd}$, $\left.J_{1}=7 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{2}=1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right), 7.26\left(\mathrm{dt}, J_{1}=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{2}=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1\right.$ $\mathrm{H}), 6.65\left(\mathrm{dt}, J_{1}=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{2}=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right)$. Other properties concur with published data. ${ }^{13}$

3-(Phenanthren-9-yl)imidazo[1,2-a]pyridine (3b); Compound $1(0.15 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.5 \mathrm{mmol})$, 9-bromophenanthrene ( $\mathbf{2 b}, 257 \mathrm{mg}, 1$ mmol ), KOAc ( $200 \mathrm{mg}, 2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The resulting mixture was purified by DCVC on $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}(60 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ in hexanes) and recrystallized (aceton) to affords white crystal ( $195 \mathrm{mg}, 66 \%$ ), $\mathrm{mp} .180-181^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=8.82(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.77(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.93\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{1}=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{2}\right.$ $=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.91(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.87(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.78-7.65(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H})$, $7.52(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.24\left(\mathrm{ddd}, J_{I}=9.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{2}=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{3}=1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1\right.$ $\mathrm{H}), 6.72 \mathrm{ppm}\left(\mathrm{td}, J_{l}=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{2}=1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 126 $\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=146.0,134.1,131.4686,130.9,130.9,130.8$, 130.7, 127.8, 127.4, 127.3, 127.3, 126.2, 125.2, 124.5, 124.4, 123.9, 123.4, 123.4, 122.8, 118.2, 112.5 ppm ; HRMS (EI): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ $\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ : 294.1157; found: 294.1157.
6-(Imidazo[1,2-a]pyridin-3-yl)-2-methylbenzo[ $h$ ]quinoline
(3c); Compound $1(0.6 \mathrm{~mL}, 6 \mathrm{mmol})$, 6-bromo-2methylbenzo[ $h$ ]quinoline $2 \mathbf{c}(1.09 \mathrm{~g}, 4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), KOAc ( 800 mg , $8 \mathrm{mmol})$. The resulting mixture was purified by DCVC on $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$ ( $1 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ) to afford off-white crystals ( $1.03 \mathrm{~g}, 83 \%$ ), $\mathrm{mp} .180-181^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=9.48\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{l}=\right.$ $\left.8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{2}=1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right), 8.08(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.86(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.81(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.77-7.71(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.59\left(\mathrm{ddd}, J_{1}=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{2}=\right.$ $\left.6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{3}=1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right), 7.49(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.45(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.24\left(\mathrm{ddd}, J_{1}=9.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{2}=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{3}=1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right)$, $6.71\left(\mathrm{td}, J_{1}=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{2}=1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right), 2.88 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=159.0,146.4,146.1,136.3,134.2$, $132.3,131.9,129.0,128.7,127.4,125.4,125.3,125.1,124.5$, 124.4, 123.7, 122.8, 118.3, 112.5, 25.7 ppm ; HRMS (EI): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ $\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{~N}_{3}$ : 309.1266; found: 309.1261.

## 6-(Imidazo[1,2-a]pyridin-3-yl)-2-octyl-1H-

benzo[de]isoquinoline-1,3(2H)-dione (3d); Compound 1 (0.3 $\mathrm{mL}, 3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 6-(imidazo[1,2-a]pyridin-3-yl)-2-octyl-1H-benzo[de]isoquinoline-1,3(2H)-dione 2d (776 mg, 2 mmol ), KOAc ( $393 \mathrm{mg}, 4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The resulting mixture was purified by DCVC on $\mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} \quad\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$ and recrystallized (cyclohexane/EtOAc) to affords yellow crystals ( $424 \mathrm{mg}, 50 \%$ ), mp. $145-147^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=8.71$ (d, $J=$ $7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.67\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{1}=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{2}=1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right), 8.10\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{1}\right.$ $\left.=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{2}=1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right), 7.93\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{l}=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{2}=1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right)$, $7.90(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.89(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.80\left(\mathrm{dt}, J_{l}=9.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{2}\right.$ $=1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.74\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{l}=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{2}=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right), 7.32(\mathrm{ddd}$, $\left.J_{I}=9.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{2}=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{3}=1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right), 6.85\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{I}=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, $\left.J_{2}=1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right), 4.21(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.8,2 \mathrm{H}), 1.76(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.47-1.25$ $(\mathrm{m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 0.88 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{t}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=164.1,163.9,146.8,135.4,133.0,131.8,131.7$, $131.0,130.4,129.1,128.7,127.8,123.8,123.5,123.2,121$. , 118.6, 113.4, 40.8, 32.0, 29.5, 29.4, 28.3, 27.9, 22.8, 14.2 ppm ; HRMS (ESI): $m / z\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right)$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ : 426.2182; found: 426.2185 .

5-(Naphthalen-1-yl)imidazo[1,2-a]pyridine (5a); 4,4,5,5-Tetramethyl-2-(naphthalen-1-yl)-1,3,2-dioxaborolane (4a, 400 $\mathrm{mg}, 1.56 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right) 4(92 \mathrm{mg}, 0.08 \mathrm{mmol})$ were stirred in DME $(6 \mathrm{~mL})$ at rt under Ar. To this mixture compound 2e (307 $\mathrm{mg}, 1.56 \mathrm{mmol})$, $\mathrm{EtOH}(4 \mathrm{~mL})$, and saturated aqueous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ $(4 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added subsequently. The mixture were refluxed at $110{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 17 h , cooled and extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} /$ saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$. The organic fraction were collected and solvent evaporated. The resulting mixture were purified by DCVC on $\mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}(15 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes) to afford white solid ( 345 mg , $90 \%$ ), mp. 149- $150^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=8.04$ (dd, $\left.J_{1}=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{2}=3.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right), 7.97(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.74$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.64-7.60(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.56-7.53(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 7.41 (m, 1 H ), $7.35-7.32$ (m, 2 H ), 6.98, (s, 1 H$) 6.89 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}$ $=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=146.1,137.1$, $133.8,133.5,131.8,130.9,130.5,128.8,128.0,127.3,126.7$, 125.7, 125.0, 124.5, 117. 1, 114.0, 112.0 ppm ; HRMS (EI): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ ( $\mathrm{M}^{+}$) calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ : 244.1000 ; found: 244.0995 .
5-(Phenanthren-9-yl)imidazo[1,2-a]pyridine (5b); following procedure of 5a, 4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-2-(phenanthren-9-yl)-1,3,2dioxaborolane $4 \mathbf{b}(600 \mathrm{mg}, 1.95 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}(115 \mathrm{mg}, 0.1$ mmol ), DME ( 7.5 mL ), compound 2e ( $385 \mathrm{mg}, 1.95 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{EtOH}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$, and saturated $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ were reacted. The resulting mixture was purified by column chromatography on $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\left(2 \% \mathrm{MeOH}\right.$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ) and recrystallized (EtOAc) to afford white crystals ( $419 \mathrm{mg}, 73 \%$ ), mp. 178.6-179.6 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=8.82(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.79(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.94(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.91(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.80-7.67(\mathrm{~m}, 4$ $\mathrm{H}), 7.54(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.48\left(\mathrm{ddd}, J_{l}=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{2}=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, $\left.J_{3}=1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right), 7.39-7.33(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.01(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.98 \mathrm{ppm}$ (dd, $\left.J_{1}=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{2}=1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=146.0,137.2,133.6,131.2,131.1,130.8,130.6,129.5,129.3$, $129.3,128.2,127.5,127.5,127.4,125.9,124.5,123 ., 122.9$, 117.2, 114.0, 112.1 ppm ; HRMS (EI): $m / z\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ : 294.1157 ; found: 294.1147 .
3-(Naphthalen-1-yl)imidazo[1,5-a]pyridine (8); In a pressure reaction tube, $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(0.7 \mathrm{~g}, 2.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ was heated at $150{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under Ar flow. Subsequently $\operatorname{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(22.4 \mathrm{mg}, 5 \mathrm{~mol} \%), \mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ ( $26.4 \mathrm{mg}, 5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), imidazo $[1,5-a]$ pyridine ( $6,240 \mathrm{mg}, 2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 1-iodonaphthalene ( $0.32 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and DMAc ( 4 mL ) were added under Ar. The vessel was closed and the reaction was stirred at the same temperature for 21 h . The resulting mixture was absorbed into celite, pre-purified by DCVC on $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}(1 \%$

MeOH in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ) and followed by second DCVC on $\mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}(5 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes) to afford off-white solid ( $159 \mathrm{mg}, 32 \%$ ), mp. $134^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=7.99(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1$ $\mathrm{H}), 7.95(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.75-7.67(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.61\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{l}=\right.$ $\left.8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{2}=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right), 7.56-7.52(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.46(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.75$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.46 \mathrm{ppm}\left(\mathrm{td}, J_{I}=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{2}=1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=134.0,131.9,131.1,129.8,128.5,127.4$, $126.9,126.9,126.3,125.6,125.3,121.8,120.3,118.8,118.6$, 112.6 ppm ; HRMS (ESI): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right)$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ : 245.1075; found: 245.1069.

General procedure for anion radical coupling (9, 10a-d, 11a,b); Imidazopyridine derivative ( 0.1 mmol ) was dissolved in dry toluene ( 1.5 mL ) under argon atmosphere in a Schlenck flask. Potassium was then added and the mixture was degassed backfill with Ar. The reaction mixture then stirred at $95{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 minutes under Ar flows with condensator attached. Subsequently air/oxygen in a balloon introduced and stirred at the same temperature for one day, quenched by EtOH under Ar, and directly absorbed onto celite. Product was purified as follows:
Imidazo $2,1,5-d e]$ naphtho[1,8-ab]quinolizine (9); Compound 8 ( $49.7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), K ( $39 \mathrm{mg}, 1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and toluene ( 3 mL ) were reacted under air. Reaction mixture was pre-purified by DCVC on $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\left(1 \% \mathrm{MeOH}\right.$ in $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$ followed by column chromatography on $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}(1 \%$ TEA and $15 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ in cyclohexane) to afford yellowish-red solid ( $24.8 \mathrm{mg}, 52 \%$ ), mp. $175-179^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=7.93(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.73(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.58(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.45(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.40-7.32(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.20(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.02(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.73 \mathrm{ppm}\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{1}=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{2}=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, $1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=135.9,135.2,133.3$, 131.7, 130,0 128.5, 127.6, 126.4, 126.3, 125.8, 122.2, 119.0, $117.9,117.2,107.3 \mathrm{ppm}$; HRMS (ESI): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right)$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ : 243.0922 ; found: 243.0919 .
Anthra[1,9-ab]imidazo[5,1,2-de]quinolizine
(10a);
Compound 3a ( $59 \mathrm{mg}, 0.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{K}(78 \mathrm{mg}, 2 \mathrm{mmol})$ were reacted in toluene ( 3 mL ) under air. Purification using DCVC on $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\left(1 \% \mathrm{TEA}\right.$ in $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$ followed by crystallization $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} /\right.$ cyclohexane) afforded red crystal ( $6.8 \mathrm{mg}, 12 \%$ ), mp. $178-180^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=8.32(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 8.26(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.80(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.78(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.59$ $-7.58(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.47(\mathrm{t}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.42(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1$ $\mathrm{H}), 7.35(\mathrm{t}, J=5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.22-7.18 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{m}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=145.9,136.2,133.5,132.7,132.5,129.1$, $129.0,128.0,127.4,126.6,126.6,126.0,125.3,124.9,124.7$, 124.1, 123.2, 121.9, 117.7, 116.2, 106.7 ppm ; HRMS (EI): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ $\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ : 292.1000; found: 292.1003.

Imidazo[5,1,2-de]phenanthro[1,10-ab]quinolizine (10b); Compound $\mathbf{3 b}(58.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{K}(78 \mathrm{mg}, 2 \mathrm{mmol})$ were reacted in toluene ( 3 mL ) under air. Purification using DCVC on $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\left(2 \% \mathrm{MeOH}\right.$ in $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$ followed by crystallization $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{EtOAc}\right)$ afforded red crystals ( $22.6 \mathrm{mg}, 38 \%$ ), mp. 257$259^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=8.34(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1$ H), 8.32 (d, $J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.91$ (s, 1 H ), $7.80(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1$ H), $7.63(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.52-7.44(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.36(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.17(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.11 \mathrm{ppm}\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{l}=8.6\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{Hz}, J_{2}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=145.7$, $134.3,132.3,132.0,129.5,128.1,127.8,127.6,127.4,127.0$, $126.3,126.1,125.8,124.0,123.1,122.6,122.6,120.4,116.8$, 115.6, 106.8 ppm ; HRMS (EI): $m / z\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ : 292.1000; found: 292.0993.

10-Methylimidazo[5,1,2-de]pyrido[2',3':5,6]naphtho[1,8$\boldsymbol{a b}$ ]quinolizine ( $\mathbf{1 0 c}$ ); Compound $3 \mathrm{c}(1.03 \mathrm{~g}, 3.3 \mathrm{mmol})$ and K $(1.3 \mathrm{~g}, 33 \mathrm{mmol})$ were reacted in toluene $(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ under $\mathrm{O}_{2}$. Purification using DCVC on $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\left(3 \% \mathrm{MeOH}\right.$ in $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$ followed by crystallization ( $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{EtOAc}\right)$ afforded orange solid ( $314 \mathrm{mg}, 31 \%$ ), mp. $282^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=9.10(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.94(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.92(\mathrm{~s}, 1$ H), $7.81(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.58(\mathrm{t}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.48(\mathrm{~s}, 1$ H), $7.40(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.28-7.26(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.17(\mathrm{t}, J=$ $7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.75 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta$ $=156.9,145.6,143.9,135.0,134.3,132.9,129.2,128.9,127.3$, $126.8,126.1,125.5,124.8,123.2,122.9,122.4,121.7,116.6$, 113.8, 107.1, 25.3 ppm ; HRMS (EI): $m / z\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{~N}_{3}$ : 307.1109; found: 307.1109.

## 2-Octyl-1H-imidazo[5,1,2-

de]pyrido[3',4',5':4,5]naphtho[1,8-ab]quinolizine-1,3(2H)-
dione (10d); Compound 3d ( $86 \mathrm{mg}, 0.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{K}(39 \mathrm{mg}, 1$ mmol ) were reacted in toluene ( 3 mL ) under Ar atmosphere. DCVC on $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\left(1 \% \mathrm{MeOH}\right.$ in $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$, followed by column chromatography on $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\left(50 \% \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{OAc}\right.$ in $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$ afforded red solid ( $7 \mathrm{mg}, 8 \%$ ), mp. $178-180^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=8.47(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.41(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.30(\mathrm{~s}, 1$ H), $7.96(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.76(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.71(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.65(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.65(\mathrm{t}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1$ H), $4.16(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.74(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.47-1.25$ $(\mathrm{m}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 0.88 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{t}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=163.3,163.3,134.8,134.8,132.7,132.6,131.4$, $131.1,130.6,130.2,128.0,126.6,122.0,121.3,119.1,118.0$, 117.6, 115.7, 111.2, 40.6, 31.8, 29. 4, 29.2, 28.0, 27.2, 22.6, 14.1 ppm; HRMS (ESI): $m / z\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right)$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ : 424.2025; found: 424.2025.

## Imidazo[5,1,2-de]naphtho[1,8-ab]quinolizine

(11a).
Compound $5 \mathbf{5 a}(49.8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{K}(39 \mathrm{mg}, 1 \mathrm{mmol})$ were reacted in toluene ( 3 mL ) under air. Purification by DCVC on $\mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}\left(1 \% \mathrm{MeOH}\right.$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ) afforded yellow solid ( 19.2 mg , $38 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 7.89(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.72(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.57(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.46\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{1}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{2}=\right.$ $0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.40-7.30(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.23(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.16$ $\left(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}_{1}=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{~J}_{2}=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right)$. Other properties concur with published data. ${ }^{11}$

Imidazo[5,1,2-de]phenanthro[10,1-ab]quinolizine (11b); Compound 5b ( $404 \mathrm{mg}, 1.37 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{K}(0.53 \mathrm{~g}, 13.7 \mathrm{mmol})$ were reacted in toluene ( 20 mL ) under $\mathrm{O}_{2}$. Purification by DCVC on $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\left(3 \% \mathrm{MeOH}\right.$ in $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$ followed by recrystallization $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{EtOAc}\right)$ afforded orange solid ( $67.2 \mathrm{mg}, 16 \%$ ), mp. 267$268^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=8.37(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1$ H), 8.16 (d, $J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.87 (s, 1 H$), 7.82(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.70(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.57-7.51(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.43(\mathrm{t}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.39(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.25 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{d}, 1 \mathrm{H}),(\mathrm{t}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=134.7,131.8,131.5,129.9$, $129.2,128.9,127.7,127.5,127.4,126.9,125.7,125.5,124.4$, 122.6, 122.5, 120.3, 119.4, 118.3, 116.4, 106.8 ppm ; HRMS (EI): $m / z\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ : 292.1000; found: 292.0993..

Dimethyl benzo $[d e]$ indolizino $[3,4,5-a b]$ isoquinoline-1,2dicarboxylate (12); In a dried pressure tube, compound 11a (50 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in mesitylene ( 4 mL ) under Ar , and DMAD $(244 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 2 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added. The reaction mixture was stirred at $150{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h . The resulting mixture was directly loaded into DCVC on $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$ and recrystallized $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} /\right.$ hexanes) to afford red crystals ( $34 \mathrm{mg}, 47 \%$ ), mp. 214$216^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=7.84(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1$
H), $7.67(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.51(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.67(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.29-7.21(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.05 \mathrm{ppm}\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{l}=8.8\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{Hz}, J_{2}=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=168.1$, $163.8,136.0,135.0,134.7,128.7,128.5,127.4,126.4,126.1$, $125.9,125.5,125.1,120.5,119.4,119.2,118.9,116.9,108.5$, 104.0, 53.1, 51.4 ppm ; HRMS (EI): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{NO}_{4}$ : 357.1001 ; found: 357.1010..
Dimethyl 5-methylindolizino[5',4',3':1,2,3]isoquinolino[4,5-gh]quinoline-9,10-dicarboxylate (13); Following procedure for 12, compound $\mathbf{1 0 c}(30.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.1 \mathrm{mmol})$, mesitylene ( 2 mL ), and DMAD ( $122 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 2 \mathrm{mmol})$. The mixture was stirred at $150{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h . The resulting mixture was then directly loaded into DCVC on $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\left(1 \% \mathrm{MeOH}\right.$ in $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$ and recrystallized ( $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O} /$ cyclohexane) to afford red crystals $(9.5 \mathrm{mg}, 22 \%)$, mp. $255-257^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=9.04(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.86(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.83(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.77(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.48(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1, \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.30(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.24$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=7.32 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.21(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1, \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.06\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{I}=\right.$ $\left.8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{2}=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right) 4.14(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.92(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.72 \mathrm{ppm}$ $(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=168.0,163.8,157.0$, $136.0,136.0,135.9,135.5,133.8,128.3,127.1,126.2,125.9$, $124.9,124.8,123.1,123.0,121.9,119.6,119.4,119.2,114.9$, 108.7, 104.3, 53.1, 51.5, 25.0 ppm ; HRMS (ESI): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right)$ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ : 423.1345; found: 423.1343 .

## Optical properties

Absorption and fluorescence spectra of all compounds in liquid solutions of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ (spectroscopic grade) at room temperature were measured with the aid of a PerkinElmer UV/VIS Spectrometer Lambda 35, and a Perkin-Elmer 512 Fluorescence Spectrometer, respectively. Fluorescence quantum yield ( $\Phi_{\mathrm{fl}}$ ) was determined using perylene in cyclohexane as a standard ( $\Phi_{\mathrm{fl}}=0.96$ ). We estimate that the error inherent with the $\Phi_{\mathrm{fl}}$ estimation does not exceeds $10 \%$.
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