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Optical and Photoacoustic Dual-Modality Imaging Guided Synergistic Photodynamic/Photothermal Therapies

Xuefeng Yan, Hao Hu, Jing Lin, Albert J. Jin, Gang Niu, Shaoliang Zhang, Peng Huang, Baozhong Shen, and Xiaoyuan Chen

Phototherapies such as photodynamic therapy (PDT) and photothermal therapy (PTT), due to their specific spatiotemporal selectivity and minimal invasiveness, have been widely investigated as alternative treatments of malignant diseases. Graphene and its derivatives not only have been used as carriers to deliver photosensitizers for PDT, but also as photothermal conversion agents (PTCAs) for PTT. Herein, we strategically designed and produced a novel photo-theranostic platform based on sinoporphyrin sodium (DVDMS) photosensitizer-loaded PEGylated graphene oxide (GO-PEG-DVDMS) for enhanced fluorescence/photoacoustic (PA) dual-modal imaging and combined PDT and PTT. The GO-PEG carrier drastically improves the fluorescence of loaded DVDMS via intramolecular charge transfer. Concurrently, DVDMS significantly enhances the near-infrared (NIR) absorption of GO for improved PA imaging and PTT. The cancer theranostic capability of the as-prepared GO-PEG-DVDMS was carefully investigated both in vitro and in vivo. This novel theranostics is well suited for fluorescence/PA dual-modal imaging and synergistic PDT/PTT.

Introduction

Photodynamic therapy (PDT) is an emerging form of phototherapy using photosensitizers (PSs) that, when exposed to the designated light, can transfer the absorbed photon energy to surrounding oxygen molecules, producing reactive oxygen species (ROS) such as singlet oxygen ($^{1}O_{2}$), free radicals, and so on, which can kill cancer cells and destroy tumor tissues.$^{1-4}$ However, several issues limit the clinical application of PDT including: i) PSs are prone to photo-bleaching and self-destruction upon prolonged light exposure.$^{5,10}$ ii) PDT involves tissue oxygen consumption, which induces local hypoxia, and eventually ceases the production of $^{1}O_{2}$. iii) The limited penetration depth of excitation light (600–700 nm) may also hinder PDT efficacy.$^{12,13}$ Recent advances in new synergistic treatment modalities, which combine PDT with other therapies such as photothermal therapy (PTT), hold great promises to overcome those limitations and achieve enhanced therapeutic outcome.$^{5,15-20}$

Several platforms such as gold nanorods$^{16}$, gold nanocages$^{21,22}$, gold nanostars$^{31}$, gold nanovesicles$^{1}$, palladium nanosheets$^{23}$, MoS$_2$ nanosheets$^{24}$, and graphene oxide nanosheets$^{18,25}$, have already been used to combine with PSs for synergistic PDT/PTT. For example, we have developed Chlorin e(6) (Ce6) functionalized gold nanostars to coordinate PDT with plasmonic PTT upon single continuous wave laser irradiation.$^{11}$ Our experimental results demonstrate that the difference in photostability between PSs and gold nanostructures can be used to modulate PDT and PTT by adjusting irradiation time. Although conceptually sound, this method has limitation of relatively low loading capacity of PSs due to the limited surface area of nanostars. Afterwards, we constructed a multifunctional theranostic platform based on Ce6 loaded plasmonic vesicular assembling of gold nanoparticles for tri-modality fluorescence/thermal/photoacoustic (PA) imaging-guided synergistic PTT/PDT with improved efficacy.$^{7}$ The loading efficiency was markedly improved because the hollow nature of the assemblies overcomes the limitation of the surface loading. However, the fluorescence of PS is often quenched in these systems, impairing the in vivo visualization of pharmacokinetics and treatment efficacy using PS fluorescence imaging. Therefore, the development of novel PS nanocomplexes without fluorescence quenching is highly desirable for optimizing PS fluorescence imaging and synergistic treatment.

In this study, we developed sonoporphyrin sodium (DVDMS), a photosensitizer, loaded PEGylated graphene oxide (GO-PEG-DVDMS) for enhanced fluorescence/PA dual-modal imaging and combined therapies of PDT and PTT (Scheme 1). The DVDMS is a new photosensitizer used for the treatment or diagnosis of cancer, precancerous lesions or benign lesions and shown to have a much higher photoactivity than the clinically used photosensitizer Photofrin.$^{26,27}$ Additionally, DVDMS is water-soluble, stable, of
low skin toxicity, and of high production quality control. We now find that GO-PEG carriers drastically improve the fluorescence of loaded DVDMS via intramolecular charge transfer and concurrently DVDMS significantly enhances the near-infrared (NIR) absorption of GO for much improved PA imaging and PTT.

Results and Discussion

In the atomic force microscope (AFM) images of GO-PEG and GO-PEG-DVDMS as shown in Fig. 1A, the average diameter of GO-PEG is about 14 nm (with its medium 50% ranging between 7.6 and 16 nm), and the thickness is 1.30 ± 0.55 nm. After DVDMS was loaded, both the average diameter and thickness of GO-PEG-DVDMS increased to about 20.5 nm (with the corresponding medium 50% shifted to between 8.5 and 23 nm) and 1.47 ± 0.82 nm (with an increased population at the height ~ 2 nm), respectively (Fig. 1A).

The absorption spectra of GO-PEG, DVDMS and GO-PEG-DVDMS are shown in Fig. 1B. Pure DVDMS exhibits a strong Soret absorption peak at 385 nm, and Q-bands (500~700 nm). GO-PEG exhibits a peak at 230 nm. After loading of DVDMS by GO-PEG, a new peak appears at 430 nm. It may attribute to the formation of strong π–π stacking interactions between DVDMS and GO-PEG.

The ROS generation of GO-PEG-DVDMS and free DVDMS were compared using SOSG as an indicator by its fluorescence intensity change at 525 nm. Samples were irradiated with a 630 nm laser and the fluorescence spectra of SOSG were measured at different time points. As shown in Fig. 2A, both free DVDMS and GO-PEG-DVDMS exhibit a sharp increased SOSG fluorescence intensity in a time-dependent manner (Fig. 2B), which suggests that the DVDMS still can generate singlet oxygen after being loaded by GO-PEG.

To assess the light-to-heat conversion capability of GO-PEG, DVDMS and GO-PEG-DVDMS, the temperature changes of various solutions upon laser irradiation were recorded by an infrared camera (FLIR). As shown in Fig. 2C, the GO-PEG-DVDMS aqueous solution (4 µg/mL of GO-PEG and 8 µg/mL of DVDMS) was exposed to an 808 nm NIR laser at a power density of 1 W/cm². GO-PEG (4 µg/mL), DVDMS (8 µg/mL) and water were used as
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controls. No obvious temperature change was observed in water control. A slight temperature increase was observed in the DVDMS group. The GO-PEG-DVDMS showed high photothermal conversion effect with a temperature reaching 60.2 °C, which is about 11.1 °C higher than that of GO-PEG (49.1 °C), after 5 min irradiation. These results suggest higher photothermal conversion efficiency of GO-PEG-DVDMS than that of GO-PEG, which can be attributed to the enhanced NIR absorption of GO-PEG by DVDMS. Fig. 2D shows an obvious concentration-dependent temperature increase of GO-PEG-DVDMS upon 808 nm irradiation.

The dark toxicity of GO-PEG, free DVDMS and GO-PEG-DVDMS in PC9 cells were detected by MTT assay. After 24 h incubation, both free DVDMS and GO-PEG-DVDMS exhibited a concentration-dependent dark toxicity. But at the highest concentration (3 μg/mL of GO-PEG, 6 μg/mL of DVDMS), the cell viabilities were kept above 70.2% (Fig. 3A). Afterwards, the PDT, PTT, and their synergism of GO-PEG-DVDMS were evaluated on the same cell line. For PDT effect, after 24 h incubation, GO-PEG-DVDMS showed better PDT effect than free DVDMS upon same laser irradiation (630 nm, 5 J/well, Fig. 3B). For PTT effect, GO-PEG-DVDMS also showed better PTT effect than either GO-PEG or DVDMS under same laser irradiation (808 nm, 1 W/cm², 3 min/well, Fig. 3C). The synergistic dual-mode PDT and PTT of GO-PEG-DVDMS were further investigated by the laser irradiation switch from 630 nm laser irradiation (2 J/well) for PDT to 808 nm laser irradiation (1 W/cm², 3 min/well) for PTT (Fig. 3D). PDT, PTT, and their synergism effects all show a concentration-dependent cancer cell killing effect. Compared with either individual PDT or PTT alone, the synergistic therapies of PDT and PTT of GO-PEG-DVDMS exhibited higher therapeutic efficacy. The PDT, PTT, and their synergism effects were also evidenced by live/dead cell staining, which agree with the MTT results (Fig. 3E).

For in vivo studies, we investigated the tumor accumulation profile of GO-PEG-DVDMS in PC9 tumor-bearing mice using fluorescence and photoacoustic dual-modality imaging. When the tumors reached about 100 mm³ in volume, the mice were intravenously injected with GO-PEG-DVDMS (1 mg/kg of GO-PEG). At 2 and 4 h post-injection, the GO-PEG-DVDMS fluorescence was broadly distributed over the whole body of the mice. With the increase of blood circulation time, the tumor signal increased along with the whole-body fluorescence signal gradually decreased (Fig. 4A). At 4–24 h post-injection, the tumor tissue can be easily differentiated from the adjacent normal tissue with high contrast, which is due to the high tumor accumulation of GO-PEG-DVDMS.

Additionally, GO-PEG-DVDMS can absorb light and generate a pressure rise by localized thermoelastic expansion, then emit broadband acoustic waves, which can be detected by PA imaging. To further confirm the tumor accumulation of GO-PEG-DVDMS in PC9 tumor-bearing mice, we performed in vivo PA imaging. As shown in Fig. 4B, very weak PA signal was observed in the tumor region before injection. With time, the PA signal of the tumor tissue increased gradually. Region of interest analysis of the PA images also revealed that the signals gradually increased with time. These results indicate that the GO-PEG-DVDMS has a highly
efficient tumor passive targeting ability and long retention time in the tumor tissue.

For in vivo PTT study, PC9 tumor-bearing mice were intravenously injected with 200 µL of GO-PEG-DVDMS at 0.25 mg/mL (a dose of 2.5 mg/kg of GO-PEG). According to the dual-modality imaging results, 24 h post-injection of GO-PEG-DVDMS was the most suitable time to implement treatment. The PTT treatment was conducted by 808 nm laser irradiation at a power density of 1 W/cm² for 10 min. As shown in Fig. 5A, the temperature of tumors in mice treated with GO-PEG-DVDMS increased to 57 °C within 10 min upon laser irradiation, while that in saline group reached only to 32 °C. The temperature of tumor tissues increased by 7 to 29 °C within 10 min under different laser power (0.25~1 W/cm²) (Fig. 5B). The 3D temperature distribution indicated no significant temperature rise in other body parts of the mice (Fig. 5C). All tumors treated with GO-PEG-DVDMS and laser irradiation were effectively ablated, leaving black scars at the original tumor sites, which were fully recovered about 1 week after treatment (Fig. 6A). In contrast, the tumors in the control groups all grew at similar speed, which suggested that neither laser irradiation nor GO-PEG-DVDMS without laser irradiation inhibited tumor growth (Fig. 6B). No obvious sign of toxicity, such as significant body weight drop, was noticed during this period of observation (Fig. 6C).

From the PTT treatment results, the injection dose of GO-PEG-DVDMS is as high as 2.5 mg/kg of GO-PEG and 5 mg/kg of DVDMS. Synergistic PDT/PTT may have high therapeutic efficacy at low injection dose of GO-PEG-DVDMS. Afterwards, the injection dose of GO-PEG-DVDMS was decreased to 1.0 mg/kg of GO-PEG and 2.0 mg/kg of DVDMS. After 24 h post-injection, tumor tissues were irradiated with a 630 nm laser to induce PDT effect. Then the 808 nm laser irradiation was used for PTT treatment. Both 630 and 808 nm laser spots were adjusted to cover the whole tumor. As shown in 7A & B, the temperature of tumors reached to nearly 47 °C. No significant temperature rise was observed in saline group.
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**Fig. 6** (A) Representative photos of PC9 tumor-bearing mice before and after PTT treatment from different groups. (B) Tumor growth curves of different groups of tumor-bearing mice after treatment. (C) Body weight curves of PC9 tumor-bearing mice after treatment.

Obviously, PDT only treated mice showed significant decrease in tumor growth as compared to the saline-treated mice whereas PTT only treatment showed a slight reduction in tumor growth rate and tumors started to regrow after day 4 (Fig. 7D). It is worth noting that the GO-PEG-DVDMS group (1 mg/kg of GO-PEG) exhibited significantly higher therapeutic efficacy compared with either individual PDT or PTT alone. No tumor relapse was observed in any of the mice treated with PDT followed by PTT dual-mode therapies, showing complete regression of tumor. Compared with the control group, the PDT and PTT only showed 76% and 34% inhibition,
respectively. (Fig. 7C, D). Complete tumor regression was realized by intravenous injection of GO-PEG-DVDMS, at low injection dose of 1 mg/kg of GO-PEG and 2 mg/kg of DVDMS. All treated mice without weight loss were observed during the treatment (Fig. 7E).
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**Fig. 7** In vivo synergistic therapies of GO-PEG-DVDMS. (A) Infrared thermographic maps of mice injected with GO-PEG-DVDMS upon 808 nm laser irradiation (1 mg/kg of GO-PEG, 2 mg/kg of DVDMS; laser 1 W/cm², 10 min). (B) Temperature change curves of tumor tissues of mice treated with GO-PEG-DVDMS or PBS upon same laser irradiation. (C) Representative photos of PC9 tumor-bearing mice from different group after treatment of PDT, PTT or their synergism on day 14. (D) Tumor growth curves of different groups of tumor-bearing mice after different treatments. (E) Body weight curves of PC9 tumor mice after different treatments.

**Experimental Section**

**Synthesis of GO-PEG-DVDMS**

PEGylated GO was synthesized as previously reported\(^{30, 31, 36}\). The GO-PEG concentration was recorded with a weight extinction coefficient 47.6 mg mL\(^{-1}\) cm\(^{-1}\) by using the absorbance at 230 nm. DVDMS aqueous solutions (0.1~0.4 mg/mL) were added into GO-PEG (0.1 mg/mL) aqueous solution. The mixtures were incubated overnight to produce GO-PEG-DVDMS. Free DVDMS was washed by filtration through a 100 kDa cutoff spin filter with distilled deionized (DD) water for 6~8 times.

**Characterization of GO-PEG-DVDMS**

The size and thickness of GO-PEG-DVDMS was estimated by atomic force microscopic (AFM) images. The Ultraviolet-visible (UV-vis) absorbance spectra were measured to determine the concentration of loaded DVDMS. The optical intensities of GO-PEG-DVDMS at 516 nm were subtracted the part contributed by GO-PEG, and then the concentrations of DVDMS were calculated by a molar extinction coefficient of 2.9 × 10\(^{4}\) M\(^{-1}\) cm\(^{-1}\). Fluorescence spectra of samples were measured by an F-7000 fluorescence spectrophotometer (Hitachi, Tokyo, Japan).

**Singlet oxygen generation**

To detect the singlet oxygen generation by GO-PEG-DVDMS, Singlet oxygen sensor green (SOSG) was used as an indicator. Briefly, SOSG was dissolved in water containing 2% methanol at a final concentration of 1.0 μM. The DVDMS or GO-PEG-DVDMS was added to the SOSG solution. Each sample was then irradiated with a 630 nm laser (Research Electro-Optics Inc, Colorado, US). After irradiated for specific time, SOSG fluorescence emission was measured with an F-7000 fluorescence spectrophotometer (Hitachi, Tokyo, Japan). The SOSG fluorescence enhancement was compared with control sample.

**Photothermal effect measurement**

To investigate the photothermal efficiency of GO-PEG-DVDMS under the NIR laser irradiation, 200 μL solutions containing different materials were irradiated by a NIR laser (808 nm) for 5 min under different laser densities which were calibrated and measured by a LPE-18 laser power/energy meter. The temperatures of the solutions were monitored by an IR thermal imaging system.

**In vitro cytotoxicity and effects of PDT/PTT**

The PC9 cells were grown in 96-well plates (8 × 10\(^{3}\) cells per well) for overnight. Equimolar DVDMS as free DVDMS or GO-PEG-DVDMS were added into the wells and incubated at 37 °C for 24 h in the dark. For toxicity study, after 24 h incubation, cells were washed three times with PBS and 10 μL of MTT solution (5 mg/mL MTT in PBS, pH 7.4) was added into each well and incubated for another 4 h. Then the cells were washed by PBS. Afterwards, 100 μL of DMSO was added per well. Till the intracellular formazan crystals were fully dissolved, the absorbance of 490 nm was recorded using a plate reader. The cell viability was calculated using untreated group as control. On the other hand, before photo treatment, the medium was replaced with fresh drug-free medium, which were immediately irradiated by laser (PDT: 630 nm, 5 J/well; PTT: 808 nm, 1 W/cm², 5 min). After irradiation, the cells were kept for another 24 h. Cell viability was estimated by the standard MTT assay.

**Tumor models**

Athymic nude mice, aged 6-8 weeks, were obtained from Harlan laboratories (Frederick, USA) under protocols approved by the National Institutes of Health Clinical center Animal Care and Use Committee (NIH CC/ACUCC). The PC9 tumor models were injected of 5×10\(^{6}\) (for PTT study) or 1×10\(^{7}\) (for combined therapy study) by subcutaneous cells in 100 μL PBS into the left flank of nude mice.

**In vivo imaging of GO-PEG-DVDMS**

Mice bearing PC9 xenografted tumors (n=3) were anesthetized by inhalation of isoflurane (2 % in 100 % oxygen). The mice were intravenously injected with GO-PEG-DVDMS (1 mg/kg of GO-PEG, 2 mg/kg of DVDMS). Then the mice were imaging using Maestro II optical imaging system (Caliper Life Sciences, Hopkinton, MA) at 2, 4 and 24 h post-injection. The PA imaging of tumor tissues was recorded on a PA imaging system (Vevo 2100, Visual Sonics, Inc.) equipped with a 40 MHz array linear transducer with 256 elements.
In vivo therapy study

Because PTT is dependent on both drug dose and laser power, we tried first to find a best available condition. Tumor bearing mice were injected with 2.5 mg/kg or 1 mg/kg of GO-PEG through tail vein. Then tumors were irradiated by 10 min of 808 nm laser irradiation (1, 0.5 or 0.25 W/cm²) at 24 h post-injection while the real-time temperature change of tumor surface was monitored by an IR thermal imaging system.

For the study of combined treatment, mice bearing PC9 tumor were randomized into 4 groups (n=5) after following treatment: (a) PDT+PTT group; (b) PDT group; (c) PTT group; (d) control group, when the tumors reached a size around 100 mm³. For therapeutic treatment, the mice of control group were received only saline solution whereas mice of the other groups were received GO-PEG-DVDMS with dose 1 mg/kg of GO-PEG by tail vein injection. The tumors were subjected to various photoirradiation treatments at 24 h post-injection, including PDT treatment (630 nm, 50 J) only, PTT treatment (808 nm, 1.0 W/cm², 10 min) only and forward or reverse sequence of initial PDT and subsequent PTT treatment (PDT/PTT or PTT/PDT). The tumor growth was calculated over 14 days after treatment. Tumor size was monitored with a digital caliper every other day and tumor volume was calculated as follows: \( V = \frac{L \times W^2}{2} \), where L is measured at the longest point and W is at the widest point. Relative tumor volumes were normalized against the original volumes at 0 day for monitoring the tumor growth. The body weights of all mice were measured twice a week.

Conclusions

In summary, we successfully developed a novel phototheranostic platform based on DVDMS loaded PEGylated GO for both cancer imaging and treatment. The GO-PEG carrier can drastically improve the fluorescence of loaded DVDMS via intramolecular charge transfer. Concurrently, DVDMS can enhance the NIR absorption of GO for improved PA imaging and PTT. Meanwhile, GO-PEG carrier can improve tumor accumulation efficiency of DVDMS by enhanced permeability and retention (EPR) effect. GO-PEG-DVDMS exhibit significantly higher therapeutic efficacy compared with either individual PDT or PTT alone. 100% in vivo tumor elimination is achieved by intravenous injection of GO-PEG-DVDMS, at low injection dose of 1 mg/kg of GO-PEG and 2 mg/kg of DVDMS. Our strategy provides a single GO-PEG-DVDMS platform for fluorescence/PA dual-modal imaging guided synergistic PDT/PTT, with a great potential for new generation cancer theranostics.
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