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Abstract

The present work focuses on the synthesis of lithium ionic conductors based on a Li\textsubscript{2}S-Al\textsubscript{2}S\textsubscript{3}-GeS-P\textsubscript{2}S\textsubscript{5} system due to the high ionic conductivity exhibited by the constituents of this system. Mechanical milling for a short duration and a single step heat treatment at a moderate temperature of 550 °C resulted in crystalline powders with high lithium ionic conductivity at room temperature that are comparable to the organic liquid electrolytes. The effect of various amounts of aluminum to germanium ratio was studied. Among the samples containing Al:Ge, the ratio of 30:70 was found to show high ionic conductivity of 1.7 x 10\textsuperscript{-3} S cm\textsuperscript{-1} at 25 °C and ~6 x 10\textsuperscript{-3} S cm\textsuperscript{-1} at 100 °C equivalent. The activation energy of this material was significantly less (E\textsubscript{a}=17 kJ mol\textsuperscript{-1}), which can be considered to be the best value among
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solid electrolytes. The electrochemical stability was analyzed using cyclic voltammetry between -0.3 and 5.0 V and it was found that the voltammetric profile was smooth without any additional current response, due to electrolyte decomposition, or any other side reaction, except a pair of lithium deposition and a stripping peaks.
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**Introduction**

Recently, lithium ion batteries (LIB) with high energy density could be an obvious candidate as a powerful energy source for electric vehicles. Many research groups have focused on high voltage cathode materials, such as LiCoPO$_4$, Li$_2$CoPO$_4$F, and LiNi$_{0.5}$Mn$_{1.5}$O$_4$, in order to improve the energy density of LIBs to a new level for utilizing in modern electric vehicles. However, the important obstacle for operating high voltage batteries was found to be the instability of organic electrolytes with the formation of highly acidic decomposition products during cycling. This resulted in reduced reversibility of batteries, exponential increase in side reactions with highly active lithium, and explosion due to increase in temperature of the battery during operation. The above impediments can be overcome with the development of solid state batteries using a solid lithium ion conductor (SLIC) between positive and negative electrode materials, which could eliminate the issues related to liquid electrolyte. It is well known that commercially available organic solvent based liquid electrolytes cannot withstand high environmental temperatures and decompose to form reaction products that react with the electrode components, which could cause serious explosion hazards during cycling. When suitably developed for specific mobile ion conduction, various applications for solid electrolytes should be found in all energy storage
devices and could act as media for conducting ions as well as separators between two different compartments that have materials with varying reaction mechanism. In this respect, lithium ion conducting solid electrolytes is expected to deliver a high room temperature ionic conductivity and high stability against lithium reactivity.\(^4\)

Besides high ionic conductivity, SLICs were expected to have low electronic conductivity, minimum self-discharge for long shelf life, low electrode corrosion, good thermo-mechanical strength for easy packaging, and good thermal stability in a broad temperature range for a safer operation in various climatic conditions. H. Y. P. Hong first introduced the concept of lithium superionic conductors (LISICON) and found the highest lithium ionic conductivity of \(1.3 \times 10^{-1}\ S\ cm^{-1}\) at an elevated temperature (\(> 300\) °C) for \(\text{Li}_{14}\text{Zn(GeO}_4\text{)}_4\).\(^5\) \(\text{Li}_{14}\text{Zn(GeO}_4\text{)}_4\) belonged to a binary system consisting of \(\text{Li}_4\text{GeO}_4\) and \(\text{Li}_2\text{ZnGeO}_4\) end members, while \(\text{Li}_2\text{ZnGeO}_4\) was isostructural to \(\text{Li}_3\text{PO}_4\). Improved lithium ion conductivity in the order of \(10^{-5}\ S\ cm^{-1}\) at room temperature was observed for materials developed within the composition of the binary system using the additional effect of doping ions such as \(\text{Ga, Al, and Zr}\). Meanwhile, \(\text{Li}_4\text{GeO}_4–\text{Li}_2\text{SO}_4\) based solid solutions with a \(\gamma\)-\(\text{Li}_3\text{PO}_4\) structure were found to have reasonably good conductivity at room temperature compared to the corresponding oxide-only counterparts.\(^6\)\(^8\)

The development of solid electrolytes was envisioned differently; i.e., by replacing all of the oxide with larger and more polarizable sulfide ions, the new family, named thio-LISICON, was formed.\(^9\) Ternary systems represented by \(\text{Li}_2\text{S–GeS}_2–\text{P}_2\text{S}_5\), \(\text{Li}_2\text{S–SiS}_2–\text{Al}_2\text{S}_3\), and \(\text{Li}_2\text{S–SiS}_2–\text{P}_2\text{S}_5\) were developed as thio-LISICON solid electrolytes and were found to have ionic conductivity in the range of \(10^{-4}–10^{-3}\ S\ cm^{-1}\) at \(27\) °C. These crystalline powders had lithium ions randomly distributed along the conduction pathway of infinitely running \(\text{LiS}_6\) octahedral chains interconnected by the \(\text{LiS}_4\) tetrahedra.\(^10\) The replacement of Zn in the LISICON framework, with the formula of \(\text{Li}_{4-x}\text{Zn}_x\text{GeS}_4\) with \(P^{5+}\) ions to form \(\text{Li}_{4-x}\text{Ge}_{1.5}P_x\text{S}_4\),
resulted in high conductivity at room temperature due to the vacancies created by aliovalent doping.\textsuperscript{11,12} Ionic conductivity of the parent compound Li$_4$GeS$_4$ (2 x 10^{-7} S cm$^{-1}$ at 25 °C) was definitely higher than that of the oxide form, Li$_4$GeO$_4$ (3 x 10^{-10} S cm$^{-1}$ at 110 °C). A similar type of sulfide based glass and glassy ceramics was also conceptualized. Mechanical milling was primarily used to synthesize the amorphous phase of SILCs. Glassy electrolyte of xLi$_2$S(100–x)P$_2$S$_5$ (75≤x≤80) was investigated. Ionic conductivity in the order of 10^{-4} S cm$^{-1}$ was obtained at room temperature.\textsuperscript{13} While the most successful inorganic solid electrolyte was Li$_{3x}$La$_{(2/3)}$M$_x$TiO$_3$ (LLTO), which had ionic conductivity > 10^{-3} S cm$^{-1}$ at an ambient condition, these materials function with the help of vacancies created during high temperature heating associated with the loss of lithium during synthesis. The ambiguous nature of vacancy formation and lithium ion conduction means that LLTO is a less preferred choice for applications.\textsuperscript{14} Another class of lithium ion conductors is the inorganic chalcogenide framework (ICF), with the general formula In$_x$S$_y$ or M$_x$In$_y$S$_z$,\textsuperscript{15} and was represented by the zeolite framework compounds comprising of thioindate materials such as ICF-26 and Li$_4$SnS$_4$.\textsuperscript{15,16} ICFs having high humidity exhibited lithium ionic conductivity in the order of 10^{-2} S cm$^{-1}$, but the humidity factor restricted ICFs for use in lithium related energy storage devices.

First principle analysis by Ceder \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{18} revealed that a higher ionic conductivity and lower activation barrier can be obtained for aluminum based solid electrolyte system. In addition, cation substitutions such as Si or Sn were not favorable in terms of ionic conductivity, while the aliovalent cation (Al$^{3+}$) substitution resulted in better performance, which may be due to the larger channel size provided by the substitution for Li$^+$ diffusion. It was also found that, in spite of the considerably larger channel size obtained using Se anionic substitution, the Li diffusivity is more favorable for sulfides than other anions, such as Se and O, which may be attributed to the critical channel size for Li diffusion and the size of the
highly polarizable sulfide ion. Recently, aluminum based thio-LISICON systems such as Li$_2$S-Al$_2$S$_3$-Si$_2$ and Li$_2$S-Al$_2$S$_3$-P$_2$S$_5$ were reported to have room temperature ionic conductivity in the order of $10^{-4}$ Scm$^{-1}$ with activation energies of approximately $\sim$35 kJ mol$^{-1}$. Most of the research discussed above on solid electrolytes focused on either a germanium or aluminum based system with phosphorous ions being the primary structural unit for creating a framework structure that is helpful in the movement of Li ions. Motivated by the trend and activity of component ions in the solid electrolyte for Li diffusivity, in this study, we attempted to synthesize a combination of both an Al and Ge based Li$_2$S-Al$_2$S$_3$-GeS-P$_2$S$_5$ system with the assumption that the system could result in room temperature (25 °C) ionic conductivities higher than those reported for aluminum based systems and also would increase the stability of the thio-LISICON solid electrolytes against metallic lithium. The primary focus was given to easy synthesis and minimal use of highly expensive germanium compound; the solid electrolytes thus obtained could satisfy the properties mentioned above and could be applied in solid state lithium secondary battery.

**Experimental**

High purity chemicals, Li$_2$S, GeS, S, P$_2$S$_5$, and Al$_2$S$_3$ (Sigma-Aldrich, USA), were used for the preparation of solid state lithium ion conductors. All the chemicals were handled under a high purity argon atmosphere throughout the study to ensure a moisture free environment and to prevent decomposition of the materials in reaction with external moisture and oxygen. The phosphorous and sulfur contents were fixed as P=2 and S=12. The aluminum to germanium composition was maintained at different ratios from 100:0 to 10:90. The precursor powders were weighed accurately into a zirconia pot and were carefully sealed with alumina balls. The total mass of the powders was 1.5 g for each batch. Mechanical milling was carried out using high energy planetary ball milling equipment (Pulverisette 6,
Fritsch, Germany) at 500 rpm for 30 min. After ensuring the proper mixing of the precursor powders, the dark brown sample was pelletized at 380 MPa. The compressed pellet was then carefully loaded to the reactor under argon. An optimum synthetic temperature of 550 °C was selected and the steady state holding time was about 8 h with natural cooling to ramp down the temperature after the heating period.

The synthesized pellets were ground using a mortar and pestle before being used for various characterization studies. A specialized CR2032 cell construction as provided in the supporting information (figure. S1) was used for x-ray diffraction (XRD) measurement using Cu Kα (1.5406 Å) radiation in a D/MAX Ultima III (Rigaku, Japan) instrument under the diffraction angle range of 15-60°. A thin pellet of 1–2 mm thickness of 10 mm diameter was prepared under a pressure of 380 MPa and non-blocking electrodes were used on both sides of the pellet during the ionic conductivity measurement. Potentiostatic impedance was measured in a dry argon atmosphere at a constant voltage of 0.1 V from 1 MHz – 25 Hz under various steady state temperatures using a precise LCR meter (4284A, HP, USA). The sample was equilibrated in the specified temperatures for a minimum of 1 h before measurement. The conductivity was determined using the complex impedance analysis. Ionic conductivity of the sample was calculated using the formula \( \sigma = \frac{t}{AxR} \), where \( t \) is the thickness of the pellet, \( A \) is the area of the non-blocking electrode used, and \( R \) is the resistance obtained during impedance measurement. Cyclic voltammetry (CV) was performed using a simple two-probe cell with a stainless steel plate as a working electrode and lithium foil acted as a counter electrode at a scanning rate of 5 mV s\(^{-1}\) between -0.3 V and 5.0 V using an electrochemical work station (Bio Logic, SP-150, France). Chronoamperometric analysis was carried out to determine the lithium transference number under an applied voltage of 0.5 V, using a symmetric cell holding the solid electrolyte between non-blocking Lithium electrodes. Impedance measurement was performed before and after
chrono-amperometric measurement in the frequency range of 1 MHz – 50 Hz.

**Results and discussion**

The schematic diagram explaining the simple synthesis process of lithium solid ionic conductors containing various combination of Al:Ge ratio is shown in figure 1 (see Experimental section for more details). The synthesis process involves ball milling the precursor powders for a short duration in order to mix the constituents at molecular level. In the second step, the milled powder was subjected to a process called densification, wherein the contact between the particles is established by pelletizing under high pressure. Finally, the pellet is treated at moderate temperature (550 °C) for obtaining crystalline phase. The obtained single phase powders were ground before being used for various characterization techniques. The advantages of the synthetic process are as follows: i) The synthesis step involves a single step heating, unlike earlier report where the solid electrolyte pellet was re-heated at 500 °C before ionic conductivity measurement.\(^{17}\) ii) The synthesis does not involve high heating temperatures and long milling time.\(^{10,25}\) The usage of GeS instead of GeS\(_2\) has reduced the cost of the starting materials to a considerable quantity.

Figure 2 shows x-ray diffraction patterns of samples synthesized with various ratios of aluminum and germanium starting materials. The XRD patterns shown in figure 2(a) were obtained using a CR 2032 coin cell setup made in-house as given in the supporting information (figure. S1). Because the main objective of this work was to check the effect of germanium on the Li-Al-P-S system, a compositional balance was intended so that the ionic conductivity would not be disturbed with the constructive modification on synthesis methodology. The composition of the \((5-x)\text{Li}_2\text{S}-(x)\text{Al}_2\text{S}_3-(1-2x)\text{GeS-P}_2\text{S}_5\) (LAGPS) system was selected such that the \(x=0.5 - 0.05\) determines the moles of starting materials to be used for solid electrolyte synthesis. We are reporting the combination of Al-Ge-P based sulfide
solid electrolytes for the first time, which are expected to replace the present solid electrolytes in terms of ionic conductivity and stability due to the compatibility of aluminum against lithium metal anode. A particular trend in the crystal formation shown in Figure 2a was noted when the aluminum composition was decreased from 100 to 10%. If the concentration is maintained in the range of 100% – 30%, the effect of Al₂S₃ was dominant over GeS. This resulted in splitting of the peak at 29° and the formation of a phase similar to the mixture of thio-LISICON I and III analogues. At lower concentrations, namely 20% and 10%, dominant peaks at 28°–30° were formed along with low intensity peaks at 20°–24° and the phase was moving towards the formation of thio-LISICON I analogue. In particular, when the concentration reached an Al:Ge ratio of 30:70, the XRD pattern was exactly the same as that of the Li-Ge-P-S (LGPS) system reported by Hassoun et al. It was therefore assumed that the LAGPS system with an Al:Ge ratio of 30:70 has a tetragonal symmetry. In this regard, LAGPS-30% was expected to have an ionic conductivity value equivalent to that of the LGPS system. The stoichiometric formula of the resultant system can be written as Li₀.₇Al₀.₃Ge₀.₇P₂S₁₂ (LAGPS-30%).

The phase formed with different compositions mentioned above was checked for reflections from starting materials. Specifically, the diffraction reflection of the LAGPS-30% sample was compared with that of the Li₂S (JCPDS # 26-1188), Al₂S₃ (JCPDS # 47-1313), GeS (JCPDS # 51-1168), and P₂S₅ (JCPDS # 50-0813) starting materials as shown in figure 2(b). The observation from figure 2(b) confirmed the formation of a new phase and the precursors were converted without trace. The effective ionic radii of Al³⁺ ion (53.5 pm) was comparable to the Ge⁴⁺ ion (53 pm). As a result, it was believed that the size and number of ions present in the LAGPS system were responsible for the formation of a phase similar to the LGPS crystal. The LGPS system has redundant pathways perpendicular to the main Li⁺ channels and consequently the system is robust even at high defect concentration that could
be created due to the heat treatment temperature, volume expansion by anion reorientation, or vacancy creation with aliovalent doping.\textsuperscript{19,20} In addition, the aliovalent cation doping was intended to reduce activation energy barriers and to increase conductivity to some degree.\textsuperscript{18} The particular composition exhibited by the LAGPS-30% sample uses a lower amount of germanium, replacing it with aluminum ions, and a 3% reduction in the usage of lithium than the LGPS system, thus decreasing the overall cost of the solid electrolyte. Therefore, the LAGPS systems achieved in this study are of particular interest for low cost all solid state batteries.

Nyquist plots resulting from impedance spectroscopy measurements were used for calculating the ionic conductivity of all the samples. The bulk and/or grain boundary conduction, a common phenomenon in oxide based solid electrolytes, had to be taken into account whenever the Nyquist plot possesses two or more arcs. Instead, the single arc obtained for sulfide based solid electrolytes can be directly related to the ionic conductivity contribution of the samples. Alternatively, the equivalent circuit given in figure S2 (supporting information) was used for fitting the impedance plots. The highest ionic conductivity among the synthesized samples was obtained for Li\textsubscript{0.7}Al\textsubscript{0.3}Ge\textsubscript{0.7}P\textsubscript{2}S\textsubscript{12} with values of \( \sim 1.7 \times 10^{-3} \) S cm\(^{-1}\) at 25 \(^\circ\)C and \( \sim 6.0 \times 10^{-3} \) S cm\(^{-1}\) at 100 \(^\circ\)C. The obtained ionic conductivity values at room temperature are compared with the reported sulfide electrolytes based on aluminum. The attempt by Murayama\textsuperscript{11} to replace the Li-Si-P-S system with the Li-Al-Si-S system resulted in an ionic conductivity drop to \( 10^{-7} \) S cm\(^{-1}\) from \( 10^{-4} \) S cm\(^{-1}\) at room temperature, thus emphasizing the importance of P atoms in governing the lithium ionic conductivity. The amorphous type solid electrolytes based on Li-Al-P-S exhibited a maximum conductivity of \( 6 \times 10^{-4} \) Scm\(^{-1}\).\textsuperscript{32} However, in this study, LAGPS solid electrolyte showed higher lithium ionic conduction (\( 1.7 \times 10^{-3} \) Scm\(^{-1}\)) than the above mentioned systems and can be comparable to the LGPS system, but uses a lower quantity of highly expensive
germanium. The trend in ionic conductivity values was found to increase from 100% aluminum content until the composition reached 30% aluminum, and a decreasing trend then appeared as can be seen in figure 3(b).

The temperature dependence of the various lithium ion conductors, represented as the Arrhenius plots, are shown in figure 3(a). The activation energy of the samples was calculated using the Arrhenius equation \( \sigma T = \sigma_0 \exp(-E_a/RT) \), where \( \sigma \) is the ionic conductivity of the sample, \( T \) is the temperature of conductivity measurement, \( E_a \) is the activation energy, and \( R \) is the gas constant. The slope of the Arrhenius plots changed to a lesser extent depending upon the composition of the solid electrolyte and temperature; hence the activation energy is directly proportional to the slope. Figure 3(b) presents the ionic conductivity of all the samples at ambient temperature and the corresponding calculated activation energies. As expected, the activation energies do not vary and the range was within 17 – 27 kJ mol\(^{-1}\), while the ionic conductivity at 25 °C varied from \( 1 \times 10^{-5} \text{ S cm}^{-1} \) to \( 1.7 \times 10^{-3} \text{ S cm}^{-1} \). For example, \( \sigma_{25\degree C} \) of the samples containing an Al content of 10%, 20%, 40%, 60%, 80%, and 100% was \( 5.7 \times 10^{-5} \text{ S cm}^{-1} \), \( 4 \times 10^{-4} \text{ S cm}^{-1} \), \( 3.5 \times 10^{-4} \text{ S cm}^{-1} \), \( 8 \times 10^{-5} \text{ S cm}^{-1} \), \( 5.3 \times 10^{-5} \text{ S cm}^{-1} \), and \( 1.01 \times 10^{-5} \text{ S cm}^{-1} \), respectively. Meanwhile, the respective activation energies of these samples were 26.6, 20.6, 20, 24.5, 25.4, and 26.8 kJ mol\(^{-1}\), following a similar trend as that of ionic conductivity. Additionally, the XRD and conductivity results emphasized that the microstructure of the sample was very important for exhibiting rapid \( \text{Li}^+ \) diffusion and the presence of germanium helps in increasing the ionic conductivity.

It is worth noting that the stoichiometric ratio of lithium in the sample was reduced to a lesser value than the LGPS system,\(^{17}\) which resulted in lower ionic conductivity, although the results of ionic conductivity in this study were satisfactory. An attempt was also made to analyze the effect of additional lithium ion content using the LAGPS-30% system. The outcome of the further increase in lithium ion content, without altering all other components,
was unexpected and resulted in decreased ionic conductivity values to as low as $1 \times 10^{-4}$ S cm$^{-1}$ at 25 °C until a maximum of 13% increase of lithium content from the original value. It was believed that the combined effect of aluminum and germanium have the best combination at 30:70 and thus the channel size of the LAGPS crystal was optimum for better Li$^{+}$ diffusion at this composition. The ionic conductivity and activation energies obtained for the LAGPS system of solid electrolytes could be considered to be better than some of the solid electrolytes such as the Li-P-O-S system ($7 \times 10^{-4}$ S cm$^{-1}$), Li-Ge-Ga-S system ($6.5 \times 10^{-5}$ S cm$^{-1}$), Li-P-S system ($10^{-4}$ S cm$^{-1}$), Li-Si-S system ($10^{-4}$ S cm$^{-1}$), Li-Al-S system ($3.4 \times 10^{-5}$ S cm$^{-1}$), Li-P-S-Se system ($6 \times 10^{-4}$ S cm$^{-1}$), Li-Al-P-S ($6 \times 10^{-4}$ S cm$^{-1}$), Li-Al-Si-S system ($6.7 \times 10^{-5}$ S cm$^{-1}$), the Li$_{4}$SnS$_{4}$ ($6 \times 10^{-5}$ S cm$^{-1}$), and most of the other electrolytes like Li$_{3}$La$_{2/3-x}$TiO$_{3}$ ($5 \times 10^{-4}$ S cm$^{-1}$), Li$_{3}$La$_{3}$Ta$_{2}$O$_{12}$ ($10^{-4}$ S cm$^{-1}$), Li$_{1+x}$Ti$_{2-x}$Al$_{x}$PO$_{4}$)$_{3}$ ($3 \times 10^{-4}$ S cm$^{-1}$), Li$_{1-x}$Al$_{x}$Ge$_{2}$-x(Po$_{4}$)$_{3}$ ($1.9 \times 10^{-3}$ S cm$^{-1}$) and LiTi$_{0.5}$Zr$_{1.5}$PO$_{4}$)$_{3}$ ($2 \times 10^{-6}$ S cm$^{-1}$).$^{14,37}$

Chrono-amperometric measurement for determining the lithium transference number was performed by constructing a Li/LAGPS-30% pellet/Li cell; the measurement was conducted at room temperature. The results are shown in figure 4. A small potential applied to a solid electrolyte sandwiched between the two non-blocking electrodes leads to a decrease in the current until a steady-state value is reached. The ratio between the initial current and steady state current resulted in the direct calculation of the transference number. In a practical cell, under the influence of a controlled potential, the process at the surface of the electrolyte is charge transfer and accumulation of ions at the surface of the electrolyte–electrode interface. The result is the formation of a passivating layer, which imposed additional resistance, and the resistance will increase with time depending upon the amount of ionic charge. Hence, impedance measurements were made in the cell before and after the chrono-amperometric analysis for computing the intrinsic resistance developed due to the passivating film formation.$^{22}$ The polarization curve, together with the impedance spectrum obtained for
the 30% aluminum sample, is shown in figure 4. The lithium transference number ($t_{Li}^+$) was calculated from the formula proposed by Bruce et al.$^{22,23}$ as follows.

$$t_{Li}^+ = \frac{I_{\text{final}} (V-I_{\text{ini}}R_{\text{ini}})}{I_{\text{ini}} (V-I_{\text{final}}R_{\text{final}})}$$

The variables were represented as follows: $V$ is the applied voltage; $I_{\text{ini}}$ and $I_{\text{final}}$ are the initial and steady state current response obtained during DC polarization measurement. Interfacial resistances ($R_{\text{ini}}$ and $R_{\text{final}}$) were obtained from the impedance spectra measured immediately before and immediately after the DC polarization study. The diameter of the semicircle was approximately equal to the resistance; however, the exact value was obtained from the deconvolution of the impedance spectrum. The deconvolution of the impedance spectrum was based on the equivalent circuit that involved two sub-circuits of resistance and constant phase element in parallel, connected in series with the electrolytic resistance. The constant phase element was used due to the fractal nature of composition, current distribution, and roughness of the electrolyte. The electrolytes with a low transference number would result in affecting the operation of the battery due to the increased polarization that reduces the rate capability and safety of the battery. The calculated $t_{Li}^+$ for 30% aluminum sample (LAGPS-30%) was 0.99 and the predominant ionic conductive nature of the electrolyte made LAGPS-30% sample a favorable candidate as a solid electrolyte for lithium solid state battery. In comparison, the $t_{Li}^+$ of conventional organic electrolytes having LiPF$_6$, LiBF$_4$, LiTFSI and LiClO$_4$ dissolved in various solvent like ethylene carbonate (EC), dimethyl carbonate (DMC), propylene carbonate (PC) and acetonitrile (AN) was in the range of 0.29 – 0.55.$^{38}$ For example, the $t_{Li}^+$ of 1 M LiPF$_6$ in EC/DMC is 0.21, 1 M LiBF$_4$ in PC/DMC is 0.29, LiTFSI in DMC (1/20=solvent/salt) is 0.49 and 0.055 M LiClO$_4$ in AN is 0.39.$^{38}$

The electrochemical stability of the electrolyte was evaluated using the traditional cyclic voltammetry experiment. CV was recorded using a cell setup consisting of Li/LAGPS-
30% sample/stainless steel plate. The stainless steel plate was used as a counter electrode, while the Li foil acted as a working electrode. Figure 5 presents the typical Li deposition and stripping reactions at the stainless steel electrode of the cell containing lithium ionic conductor. The process followed a cathodic sweep first for Li deposition and then the anodic sweep for Li stripping. The cathodic current peak was obtained at –0.3 V and the anodic current peak was at +0.13 V and represented the reactions \( \text{Li}^+ + e^- \rightarrow \text{Li} \) and \( \text{Li} \rightarrow \text{Li}^+ + e^- \), respectively. The current response due to the decomposition of the solid electrolyte was not observed in the CV scan until 5 V, unlike the organic liquid electrolytes in which the apparent sharp or broad peaks representing the decomposition products could be found. The weak anodic response related to the oxidation of free \( \text{S}^2^- \) ions between 0 – 4 V found in Li\(_2\)S–P–S, \( ^{25} \) 66.7Li\(_2\)S·33.3P\(_2\)S\(_5\), \( ^{26} \) 80Li\(_2\)S·20P\(_2\)S\(_5\) and 80Li\(_2\)S·19P\(_2\)S\(_5\)·1P\(_2\)O\(_5\) \( ^{27} \) glass ceramics was not observed in the solid electrolyte synthesized in the present work, because of the presence of aluminum, which could form a stable interface between the electrolyte and metallic lithium. Therefore, the LAGPS-30% sample was found to be stable beyond the operating voltages of commercial organic electrolytes and can be employed with high voltage cathode materials. Additionally, the ionic conductivity of the LAGPS-30% sample in contact with metallic Lithium electrodes for 48 hr was tested. The ionic conductivity observed at room temperature after 48 hr was \( 1.1 \times 10^{-3} \) S cm\(^{-1} \), which confirmed the stability of Al based thio-LISICON solid electrolyte against metallic lithium.

**Conclusion**

In summary, a series of solid electrolytes having a composition \((5-x)\text{Li}_2\text{S}-(x)\text{Al}_2\text{S}_3-(1-2x)\text{GeS}-\text{P}_2\text{S}_5\) (LAGPS) such that the \( x=0.5 \rightarrow 0.05 \) was synthesized. The obtained ionic conductivity for 30% aluminum containing sample \( (1.7 \times 10^{-3} \) Scm\(^{-1} \) was one of the best values and could be comparable to the organic liquid electrolytes. The effective size and ratio
of ions between Al and Ge was responsible for the high ionic conductivity observed in the aluminum doped LAGPS system. The synthesis of the LAGPS sample involved a single step heat treatment method and hence could be scaled up effortlessly for mass production in industry. The present example illustrated the effect of aliovalent ion doping on the ionic conductivity and activation energy of the crystalline solid electrolytes. The high lithium diffusivity found can be utilized to fabricate lithium based solid state batteries or batteries with hybrid electrolytes that would enhance the overall energy density, thereby making lithium batteries safe and able to be used for various high energy applications.
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Figure Captions

Figure 1. Schematic diagram illustrating the simple solid state synthesis of thio-LISICON solid electrolyte. The steps involved in the entire process were carried out in an Argon environment.

Figure 2. (a) X-ray diffraction pattern of sample synthesized with different Al:Ge ratio. The x values represent the composition of Al ions. (b) Comparison of reflections of starting materials with the LAGPS-30% sample for any possible impurities.

Figure 3. (a) Ionic conductivities of LAGPS samples in the form of Arrhenius plot measured from 25 °C to 100 °C. (b) The room temperature (25 °C) ionic conductivities and calculated activation energies of LAGPS samples.

Figure 4. Chrono-amperometric experiment of LAGPS-30% sample for determining Lithium transference number under an applied voltage of 0.5 V. Inset shows the impedance taken just before and immediately after DC polarization experiment.

Figure 5. The electrochemical stability of LAGPS-30% sample displayed using cyclic voltammetry under 5 mV s⁻¹ scan rate between -0.3V and 5.0V.
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