# Energy & Environmental Science

Accepted Manuscript



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this Accepted Manuscript with the edited and formatted Advance Article as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the **Information for Authors**.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard <u>Terms & Conditions</u> and the <u>Ethical guidelines</u> still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.







A thermally regenerative ammonia-based battery that was driven by metal ammine complex formation and ammonia concentration gradients to create voltage, showed efficient conversion of low-grade thermal energy into electrical power.

## 1 Date: 10/23/2014

2 Submitted to: Energy & Environmental Science

#### 3

4	A thermally regenerative ammonia-based battery for efficient harvesting of
5	low-grade thermal energy as electrical power
6	Fang Zhang, Jia Liu, Wulin Yang and Bruce E. Logan*
7	Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Penn State University,
8	212 Sackett Building, University Park, PA 16802, USA
9	* Corresponding Author, <u>blogan@psu.edu;</u>
10	+1-814-863-7908 (phone), +1-814-863-7304 (Fax)
11	
12	

# 13 Abstract

Thermal energy was shown to be efficiently converted into electrical power in a thermally 14 regenerative ammonia-based battery (TRAB) using copper-based redox couples [Cu(NH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub><sup>2+</sup>/Cu 15 16 and Cu(II)/Cu]. Ammonia addition to the anolyte (2 M ammonia in a copper-nitrate electrolyte) of a single TRAB cell produced a maximum power density of  $115 \pm 1$  W m<sup>-2</sup> (based on projected 17 area of a single copper mesh electrode), with an energy density of 453 Wh  $m^{-3}$  (normalized to the 18 total electrolyte volume, under maximum power production condition). Adding a second cell 19 doubled both the voltage and maximum power production. Increasing the analyte ammonia 20 concentration to 3 M further improved power density to  $136 \pm 3$  W m<sup>-2</sup>. Volatilization of ammonia 21 from the spent anolyte by heating (simulating distillation), and re-addition of this ammonia to the 22 23 spent catholyte chamber with subsequent operation of this chamber as the anode (to regenerate copper on the other electrode), produced a power density of  $60 \pm 3$  W m<sup>-2</sup>, with an average 24

#### **Energy & Environmental Science**

discharge energy efficiency of ~29% (electrical energy captured versus chemical energy in the starting solutions). Power was restored to  $126 \pm 5 \text{ W m}^{-2}$  through acid addition to the regenerated catholyte to decrease pH and dissolve Cu(OH)<sub>2</sub> precipitates, suggesting that an inexpensive acid or a waste acid could be used to improve performance. These results demonstrated that TRABs using ammonia-based electrolytes and inexpensive copper electrodes can provide a practical method for efficient conversion of low-grade thermal energy into electricity.

## 31 **Broader context**

The utilization of waste heat for power production would enable additional electricity generation 32 without any additional consumption of fossil fuels. Thermally regenerative batteries (TRBs) allow 33 a carbon neutral approach for the storage and conversion of waste heat into electrical power, with 34 potentially lower cost than solid-state devices. Here we present a highly efficient, inexpensive, and 35 scalable ammonia-based TRB (TRAB) where electrical current is produced from the formation of 36 copper ammonia complex. The ammonia can then be captured and concentrated by distillation of 37 the electrolyte, allowing recharge of the system. The voltage created by ammonia addition in the 38 39 anolyte results in copper deposition onto the cathode, and loss of copper from the anode. However, by reversing the function of electrodes in the next cycle, there is no net loss of copper. With a 3 M 40 anolyte ammonia, a TRAB produced the highest power density ever obtained for an aqueous-based, 41 thermoelectrochemical system, of 136±3 W m<sup>-2</sup>. This power density was substantially higher than 42 those produced using salinity gradient energy technologies based on generating salty and less-salty 43 solutions using waste heat. This TRAB technology therefore represents a new and promising 44 approach for efficient harvesting of low-grade waste heat as electrical power. 45

#### 46 Introduction

Low-grade heat utilization has drawn increasing attention due to its potential for carbon-neutral 47 electricity production. Large amounts of low-grade thermal energy (temperatures <130 °C) is 48 available at many industrial sites, but this energy can also be produced from geothermal and solar-49 based processes.<sup>1</sup> Solid-state devices based on semiconductor materials have been extensively 50 studied for direct thermal-electric energy conversion,<sup>2</sup> but they are expensive and lack the capacity 51 for energy storage. Liquid-based thermoelectrochemical systems (TESs),<sup>3</sup> and systems based on 52 salinity gradient energy (SGE),<sup>4</sup> offer potentially less expensive and scalable routes for direct 53 thermal-electric energy conversion that also have the capacity for desirable energy storage. 54 However, these TES and SGE processes have produced low power densities and energy 55 efficiencies.<sup>3, 4</sup> 56

Power production in some TESs is accomplished from the cell voltage produced by a 57 temperature gradient across two electrodes.<sup>5-7</sup> In addition to low power densities, relatively toxic 58 or expensive materials have been used. A maximum power density of 1.45 W m<sup>-2</sup> was produced 59 in a TES using potassium ferrocyanide/ferricyanide redox solutions and carbon nanotube 60 electrodes at a temperature difference of 60 °C (thermal energy efficiency of 0.25%, or 1.4% of 61 the Carnot efficiency).<sup>6</sup> The use of ionic liquids enabled operation at higher temperatures (130 °C), 62 but the maximum power densities reached only 0.5 W m<sup>-2</sup> with a cobalt (II/III) tris(bipyridyl) ionic 63 liquid and Pt black-coated electrodes.<sup>5</sup> TESs based on other approaches are being developed to 64 improve the power production and energy efficiencies. In one approach, the TES electrodes were 65 charged at a higher temperature, and discharged at a lower temperature. A relatively high thermal 66 efficiency of 5.7% was obtained by cycling solutions between 10 and 60 °C, but we estimate the 67 power density was still only  $\sim 5.6$  W m<sup>-2</sup> of Cu foil projected electrode area, when operated 68

between 10 - 80 °C.<sup>8</sup> Another type of TES recently developed, called a thermally regenerative 69 battery (TRB), operated at a fixed temperature and used waste or low-grade heat sources to 70 regenerate the electrolyte. A copper-based TRB was examined based on Cu comproportionation 71  $[Cu^{2+} + Cu + 8 \text{ ACN} \rightarrow 2 \text{ Cu}(\text{ACN})_4^+]$ , using acetonitrile (ACN) to complex and stabilize Cu(I).<sup>9</sup> 72 However, the high internal resistance of the system (30  $\Omega$ ), due to low ion solubility in acetonitrile, 73 limited the power density to a maximum of  $\sim 18$  W m<sup>-2</sup> (our estimate based on an open circuit 74 voltage of 0.61 V). In addition, the cathode was platinum, and the copper anode corrosion was not 75 reversible as regenerated Cu(0) could not be electrodeposited onto the electrode. The heat demand 76 77 was large as both the anode and cathode electrolytes, separated by an ion exchange membrane, needed to be distilled to remove acetonitrile to allow Cu(I) to undergo disproportionation. 78

SGE technologies offer a different approach for capturing thermal energy as electrical power, 79 which is based on difference in salinity between two solutions. Either natural salinity gradients can 80 be used, or they can be artificially created by distillation of thermolytic solutions such as 81 ammonium bicarbonate at relatively low temperatures (<60 °C).<sup>10-13</sup> The main SGE-based 82 technologies being developed are reverse electrodialysis (RED), pressure retarded osmosis (PRO), 83 and capacitive mixing (CapMix).4, 14-17 Maximum power densities using SGE processes are 84 generally in the range of 0.1 to 1 W m<sup>-2</sup> (normalized to total membrane area) using RED,<sup>16, 18</sup> and 85 1 - 3.5 W m<sup>-2</sup> using PRO with NaCl solutions at concentrations similar to those of seawater (0.6 86 M) and river water (12 mM).<sup>19</sup> An unusually high power density of 60 W m<sup>-2</sup> was recently 87 achieved with PRO, but only by using a very high NaCl concentration (3 M).<sup>20</sup> The main 88 disadvantage of PRO and RED is that they use expensive membranes, and very large membrane 89 areas are needed for power production. CapMix processes do not require membranes, but they 90

produce much less power than PRO or RED even when ion exchange polymers are used on the
electrodes to capture energy based on Donnan potentials.<sup>14, 15</sup>

A different approach was developed here to generate electrical power from waste heat sources 93 by combining different aspects of the TES and SGE approaches, called thermally regenerative 94 ammonia-based battery (TRAB). In a TRAB, power generation was derived from the formation of 95 metal ammine complexes, and produced by an ammonia concentration gradient that generated the 96 potential difference, using inexpensive materials in completely regenerable cycles. In the TRAB, 97 both electrodes made of solid copper [Cu (s)] are immersed in Cu(II) nitrate solutions, and they 98 are alternately operated as anodes or cathodes in successive cycles. Ammonia (rather than 99 ammonium carbonate in SGE processes) is added to the anolyte to produce a potential difference 100 between the two copper electrodes (Figure 1), based on creating an ammine complex with Cu<sup>2+</sup>. 101 according to the electrode reactions:<sup>21</sup> 102

103

104 Cathode: 
$$Cu^{2+}(aq) + 2e^{-} \rightarrow Cu(s)$$
  $E^{0} = +0.340 \text{ V}$   
105 Anode:  $Cu(s) + 4 \text{ NH}_{3}(aq) \rightarrow Cu(\text{NH}_{3})4^{2+}(aq) + 2e^{-}$   $E^{0} = -0.04 \text{ V}$ 

106

Once the electrical power is discharged due to the complete overall reaction of  $Cu^{2+}$  (aq) + 4 NH<sub>3</sub> (aq)  $\rightarrow Cu(NH_3)4^{2+}$  (aq), only the anolyte (as opposed to both electrolytes in the TRB) is treated in the distillation column to separate ammonia out from the effluent using waste heat to regenerate the electrolyte.<sup>13</sup> For example, at a typical vacuum distillation condition of 50 °C and 0.1 atm,<sup>13</sup> 97% of ammonia in a copper ammonia solution exists in the vapor phase (our estimate based on thermodynamic calculations using OLI studio software, for 0.1 M Cu<sup>2+</sup> and 2 M NH<sub>3</sub>). This concentrated ammonia stream is then re-dissolved in the spent catholyte to recharge the cell, and 114 re-deposit Cu (s) onto the electrode during the next discharge cycle. Thus, the spent catholyte chamber now becomes the anode chamber, achieving a closed-loop cycle with no net loss of Cu 115 (s) from the electrodes (Figure 1). This cyclical process enables thermal energy in waste heat to be 116 stored in an ammonia liquid stream, which can be added back into the electrolyte to recharge the 117 battery and convert the thermal energy into the chemical energy stored in the battery. When needed, 118 the battery can be discharged so that the stored chemical energy is effectively converted to 119 electrical power. In this study, we primarily focused on the discharge aspects of the TRAB, and it 120 is shown here that the TRAB approach has improved stability and performance than other TESs, 121 and higher power densities than existing TES and SGE approaches.<sup>9</sup> 122

123

#### 124 **Results and discussion**

Power production as a function of concentrations of ammonia and Cu(II). The 125 performance of the TRAB was examined over a range of NH3 and Cu(II) concentrations in a 5 M 126 NH<sub>4</sub>NO<sub>3</sub> supporting electrolyte. Increasing the anodic NH<sub>3</sub> concentration from 1 M to 3 M 127 improved the power production from  $57 \pm 2 \text{ W m}^{-2}$  to  $136 \pm 3 \text{ W m}^{-2}$  (Figure 2A), mainly due the 128 enhancement of anode performance (Figure 2B). Improved anode performance was consistent with 129 the Nernst equation (Eq S1) as the anode potentials were more negative at increased NH<sub>3</sub> 130 concentrations. Increasing NH<sub>3</sub> concentration from 1 to 3 M slightly reduced cathode 131 overpotentials, although the reason for this decrease was not clear. 132

133 Changing Cu(II) concentrations of the electrolytes affected both anode and cathode potentials. 134 A Cu(II) concentration of 0.1 M produced the highest power density of  $115 \pm 1$  W m<sup>-2</sup>, with a 2 135 M NH<sub>3</sub> anolyte (Figure 2). Reducing the Cu(II) concentration to 0.05 M slightly decreased power 136 production to  $110 \pm 2$  W m<sup>-2</sup>, as the more negative cathode potentials was offset by the more negative anode potentials. According to the Nernst equation (Eq S2), increasing the Cu(II) concentration should lead to more positive cathode and anode potentials, resulting in little change in performance. However, when the Cu(II) concentration was increased to 0.2 M, power decreased to  $95 \pm 6$  W m<sup>-2</sup>. This decrease was mainly due to the deterioration of the anode performance, as the cathode potentials were not appreciably affected (Figure 2).

Power production with different concentrations of the supporting electrolyte. The effect 142 of the supporting electrolyte concentration was examined with 0.1 M Cu(II) and 1 M anolyte 143 ammonia, by varying the NH4NO3 concentrations. Increasing the concentration of NH4NO3 144 generally increased the power production, with maximum power densities of  $47 \pm 2 \text{ W m}^{-2}$  (3 M), 145  $57 \pm 2 \text{ W m}^{-2}$  (5 M) and  $55 \pm 5 \text{ W m}^{-2}$  (8 M) (Figure 3A). However, power production in the 8 M 146 tests was more erratic, as seen by the higher standard deviations, than results at other 147 148 concentrations. In addition, the power production at 8 M was similar to that obtained at 5 M. Increasing the concentration from 3 M to 8 M did not appreciably affect electrode potentials 149 (Figure 3B), indicating that the reduction in solution resistance was the main reason for improved 150 power production when increasing the NH4NO<sub>3</sub> concentrations from 3 to 8 M. However, anode 151 performance was greatly improved compared to operation of the TRAB without NH4NO3 addition 152 (Figure S1). The use of concentrated NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> inhibited ammonia dissociation and improved ammonia 153 activities, leading to more negative anode potentials. Both anode and cathode overpotentials 154 greatly decreased with addition of NH4NO<sub>3</sub> as the supporting electrolyte, due to the increase in 155 solution conductivities (Figure S1). As reference electrodes were inserted outside the main current 156 path, the measurement of electrode potentials included negligible ohmic potential drop, providing 157 true electrode potentials.<sup>22</sup> 158

160

161

Stirring of the catholyte was needed to achieve high power densities during the discharge stage Energy & Environmental Science Accepted Manuscript with 3–8 M NH<sub>4</sub>NO<sub>3</sub> solutions (Figure 3). Otherwise, power overshoot was observed in the power curves (where the power curve bends back to lower current densities in the high current region;

see Figure S1, for tests at 3, 5 and 8 M  $NH_4NO_3$ ). Power overshoot occurred as a result of sharp 162 decrease in the cathode potentials at high current densities, likely as a result of cathode 163 concentration polarization. This phenomenon did not occur in the absence of NH<sub>4</sub>NO<sub>3</sub>, or at a 164 lower concentration of 1 M NH4NO3, due to the lower current densities produced for these test 165 conditions (Figure S1). 166 Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) was used under a whole cell condition of 0.2 167 V to identify the components of cell impedance at different NH4NO3 concentrations. With 168 increasing NH<sub>4</sub>NO<sub>3</sub> concentrations, cell ohmic resistance decreased from  $2.1 \pm 0.1 \Omega$  (3 M) to 1.4 169 170  $\pm 0.1 \Omega$  (8 M), as a result of increased solution conductivity (Figure 4). However, this decrease in ohmic resistance was offset by an increase in the reaction resistance from  $2.4 \pm 0.1 \Omega$  (3 M) to 3.5 171  $\pm$  0.3  $\Omega$  (8 M) (Figure 4). This increase in reaction resistance that offset the benefit of reduced 172 ohmic resistance was consistent with power production results showing that maximum power 173 densities were not further improved when increasing the NH4NO3 concentration from 5 M to 8 M. 174

175 **Cell scalability.** To prove that multiple cells could be used to increase overall voltage and power production, two cells were connected in series and examined in polarization tests. With two 176 cells, the maximum power production reached  $36.0 \pm 1.2$  mW, which was double that obtained by 177 178 a single cell  $(18.4 \pm 0.1 \text{ mW}; 5 \text{ M NH}_4\text{NO}_3, 0.1 \text{ M Cu}(\text{NO}_3)_2 \text{ electrolytes, and 2 M NH}_3 \text{ in the}$ anolyte) (Figure 5A). The electrode performance with the two-cell configuration was similar to 179 that obtained by an individual cell (Figure 5B), showing that it was possible to connect multiple 180 181 reactors in series to boost voltage and power production.

Cycling performance and energy efficiencies. Efficient transformation of waste heat into 182 electrical power depends on consistent cell performance over multiple cycles. Therefore, power 183 production by the TRAB was examined following electrolyte regeneration over three successive 184 cycles [0.1 M Cu(II), 5 M NH<sub>4</sub>NO<sub>3</sub> in both electrolytes and 2 M NH<sub>3</sub> in the anolyte]. Cells were 185 operated at the load that produced the maximum power under these conditions (2.6  $\Omega$  external 186 resistance), with the cycle terminated when the voltage was <20 mV. In the first cycle, with fresh 187 electrolytes, the end of the cycle was due primarily to a sharp decrease in the cathode potential as 188 a result of  $Cu^{2+}$  depletion (91±3% reduction) in the catholyte (Figure S2). The cathode coulombic 189 efficiency was  $102 \pm 5\%$  based on the mass change of the copper cathode, suggesting that Cu<sup>2+</sup> 190 reduction to Cu was the predominant reaction at the cathode. The anode coulombic efficiency was 191 only  $37 \pm 4\%$ , indicating that excess copper leached into the solution, likely due to dissolved 192 193 oxygen being present as an alternate electron acceptor. The energy density in this initial cycle was  $453 \pm 28$  Wh m<sup>-3</sup> (normalized to the total electrolyte volume, or  $61 \pm 4$  J cm<sup>-2</sup> normalized to the 194 projected surface area of a single electrode). The discharge energy efficiency was  $44 \pm 3 \%$ 195 (electrical energy captured versus chemical energy stored in the battery), but this efficiency is a 196 function of the external resistance (electrical load), and therefore it likely could be increased with 197 a larger external resistance. 198

For the second and successive cycles, ammonia was removed by heating the anolyte effluent (simulating distillation), and concentrated ammonia was added into the new anolyte. Stripping ammonia out of the anolyte effluent decreased the solution pH from ~9 to ~4.6. This resulted in formation of a precipitate in the electrolyte during this process due to the side reaction  $Cu(NH_3)_4^{2+}$ + 4 H<sub>2</sub>O  $\rightarrow$  Cu(OH)<sub>2</sub> (s) + 2 NH<sub>3</sub>·H<sub>2</sub>O + 2 NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>. In the three successive regeneration cycles, this precipitate resulted in a similar but reduced peak power densities averaging 60 ± 3 W m<sup>-2</sup> (61.7 ±

2.5 W m<sup>-2</sup>, cycle 2; 55.9  $\pm$  0.7 W m<sup>-2</sup>, cycle 3; and 61.4  $\pm$  0.8 W m<sup>-2</sup>, cycle 4) (Figure 6). The 205 lower power densities with the regenerated electrolyte were due to more negative reduction 206 potentials of  $Cu(OH)_2/Cu$  (Figure S2B), as the cathode potential reflected the mixed potential of 207 two reduction reactions:  $Cu(OH)_2 + 2 e^- \rightarrow Cu(s) + 2 OH^-$  and  $Cu^{2+} + 2 e^- \rightarrow Cu(s)$ . Similarly 208 with the fresh electrolyte in the first cycle, the end of the cycle resulted from the decrease in the 209 cathode potential due to the depletion of Cu(II) [i.e. Cu<sup>2+</sup> and Cu(OH)<sub>2</sub>] in the catholyte (Figure 210 S2). The discharge energy efficiencies (captured electrical energy versus the stored chemical 211 energy) remained high, averaging  $29 \pm 2\%$  ( $31 \pm 2\%$ , cycle 2;  $27 \pm 0.4\%$ , cycle 3; and  $29 \pm 1\%$ , 212 cycle 4) (Figure 6). Peak power densities and energy recoveries were relatively stable during the 213 three regeneration cycles, showing good reproducibility with successive cycles. Longer-term 214 performance over many more cycles will need to be established in a future study, but the data 215 216 provided here establishes that in the short term, cycles can be reproducible. Acid was added into the regenerated catholyte to decrease the pH and dissolve the Cu(OH)<sub>2</sub>. This increased the cell 217 performance to  $126 \pm 5$  W m<sup>-2</sup>, and the discharge energy efficiency to  $49 \pm 2\%$  (Figure 6). This 218 effect of pH indicates that availability of a waste acid stream, or an inexpensive source of acid, 219 might be used to achieve and maintain a higher cell performance than that possible using only a 220 distillation process to regenerate the ammonia. 221

The total charge transferred in the second cycle  $(1100 \pm 26 \text{ C})$  was double that of the first cycle (529 ± 16 C), due to the accumulated Cu(II) from the first cycle. An AEM was used to minimize mixing of Cu(II) species between the electrode chambers, thus the regenerated catholyte was more concentrated in Cu(II) due to copper corrosion in the previous cycle, and the regenerated anolyte had relatively depleted Cu(II). The charge increased with successive cycles, eventually exceeding the theoretical maximum (1156 C) based on the initial copper amount in the solution from the third 228 cycle (Figure 6). This increase in charge over successive cycles was consistent with the low anodic coulombic efficiencies, indicating that excess metal copper non-electrochemically oxidized and 229 dissolved into the solution. As a result of increased charge, the energy density increased to  $1054 \pm$ 230 33 Wh m<sup>-3</sup> at the fourth cycle. This excess copper corrosion by oxygen might also have affected 231 the regeneration of the solution, as this reaction [Cu (s) + 1/2 O<sub>2</sub> + 4 NH<sub>3</sub>·H<sub>2</sub>O  $\rightarrow$  Cu(NH<sub>3</sub>) $^{2+}$  + 2 232  $OH^- + 3 H_2O$  increased the solution pH, resulting in formation of Cu(OH)<sub>2</sub> during electrolyte 233 regeneration. This precipitation problem could be mitigated by removal of dissolved oxygen from 234 the solution, and by reducing oxygen leakage into the cell. The excess Cu(II) leaching into the 235 solution could be recovered by other electrochemical technologies, such as cathodic reduction in 236 microbial fuel cells,<sup>23</sup> or electrodeposition.<sup>24</sup> 237

The thermal energy needed for ammonia separation from the anolyte (2 M) was estimated to be 245 kWh/m<sup>3</sup>-anolyte using the chemical process simulation software HYSYS. With a discharge energy density of  $1054 \pm 33$  Wh m<sup>-3</sup>, the thermal energy efficiency was 0.86%. This efficiency was much higher than that of 0.25% with the ferrocyanide/ferricyanide thermogalvanic cell,<sup>6</sup> and it could be further greatly enhanced by optimizing the TRAB operating temperature and active species concentrations in the future studies.

244

#### 245 Experimental

#### 246 **Design, construction, and operation**

A single TRAB cell consisted of anode and cathode chambers separated by an anion exchange membrane (AEM; Selemion AMV, Asashi glass, Japan; effective surface area of 7 cm<sup>2</sup>) (Figure 1). The two chambers, each 4 cm long and 3 cm in diameter, were constructed from 4-cm cubes of Lexan.<sup>25</sup> The electrodes were made of copper mesh ( $50 \times 50$  mesh, McMaster-Carr, OH; 0.8 cm  $\times$  2 cm with a projected surface area of 1.6 cm<sup>2</sup>, weight of 0.2365 ± 0.0004 g) connected using copper wires to an external resistor. Ag/AgCl reference electrodes (+211 mV versus SHE; RE-5B; BASi) were inserted at the two sides of the copper electrodes that were outside the current path to monitor the electrode potentials (Figure 1). The cathode chamber was stirred using a stir bar (6.4  $\times$  15.9 mm, magnetic egg-shaped stir bars, VWR; 500 rpm) (except as noted otherwise) while the anolyte was not mixed.

The electrolyte was 0.1 M Cu(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> and 5 M NH<sub>4</sub>NO<sub>3</sub> (Sigma Aldrich), except as noted, that 257 were dissolved in deionized water. To charge the TRAB, 2 M ammonium hydroxide (Sigma-258 259 Aldrich, 5 N solution) was added to the anolyte to form the copper ammonia complex ion, although ammonia gas could also be used. In some experiments, the concentration of Cu(II) was varied from 260 0.05 M to 2 M, and the ammonia concentration varied from 1 M to 3 M, all in 5 M NH<sub>4</sub>NO<sub>3</sub>, to 261 262 examine the effect of reactant concentrations on power production. In some experiments, NH4NO3 concentration was varied from 3 to 8 M to examine the effect of supporting electrolyte 263 concentration on power production. The electrolyte conductivity increased from 256 mS/cm (3 M 264 NH4NO<sub>3</sub>) to 397 mS/cm (8 M NH4NO<sub>3</sub>). The final pH of anolyte solutions decreased from 9.1 (3 265 M) to 8.7 (8 M), while the catholyte pH decreasing from 2.8 (3 M) to 2.4 (8 M) with the increasing 266 NH4NO3 concentration (Figure S3). 267

In order to determine TRAB performance over multiple cycles, the cells were operated with a fixed 2.6  $\Omega$  external resistance for a whole batch cycle, which ended when the voltage was <20 mV. The effluent from two chambers was separately collected. The anolyte effluent was heated at 50 °C to distill the ammonia out to regenerate the catholyte for the next batch. Ammonia (in the form of ammonium hydroxide solution) was added to the catholyte effluent to form the new anolyte. All experiments were run in duplicate at room temperature (20 – 30 °C).

# 275 Calculations and measurements

Voltage across the external resistor (*U*), and electrode potentials versus the respective Ag/AgCl reference electrode ( $E_{cat}$ ,  $E_{an}$ ) were recorded at 1 min intervals using a data acquisition system (Agilent, Santa Clara, CA) connected to a personal computer. Polarization tests were performed by switching the external resistance every 5 min from 100.6 (or 40.6) to 1.6  $\Omega$  in decreasing order. Both current density (I = U/RA) and power density ( $P = U^2/RA$ ) were normalized to a single electrode projected surface area (1.6 cm<sup>2</sup>). Error bars indicate standard deviations for measurements using the duplicate reactors.

During the regeneration cycle tests, the total charge was calculated by integrating the currenttime profile ( $Q = \int It$ ), and total energy was calculated by integrating the power-time profile ( $W = \int UIt$ ). Energy density was calculated by normalizing the total produced energy in one cycle by the total electrolyte volume (60 mL). Coulombic efficiency of the electrode was calculated as the ratio between actual produced charge and theoretical amount of charge based on the mass change of the electrode. For each piece of the electrode, the mass was measured 3 times using an analytical balance, and average values were used for the calculation.

The thermal-electrical energy conversion can be viewed as a two-step process with the TRAB. In the TRAB process, waste heat is first converted to the chemical energy stored in the battery during the charge process, which is then converted to electrical power during the discharge process. Therefore, we consider the efficiencies separately for the charge and discharge processes, similar to that of a rechargeable battery.<sup>26</sup> The energy efficiency for charge describes the energy conversion efficiency from thermal energy to chemical energy stored in the battery, while the energy efficiency for discharge is the ratio between discharged electrical energy and the chemical 297 energy stored in the battery. For the charge processes, the thermal energy needed for ammonia separation from the anolyte effluent was estimated based on the energy needed for separation of 298 copper ammine complex and distillation energy of ammonia from the anolyte. Distillation of the 299 300 electrolyte was modeled simply as a binary mixture of ammonia and water using Aspen HYSYS (Cambridge, MA) with a single distillation column, with the reboiler temperature set at 70.6 °C, 301 and a column pressure drop of 0.15 atm. The column energy duty was reported by normalizing to 302 the anolyte liquid volume, rather than the total electrolyte volume. We neglected the part of energy 303 due to copper ammine complex separation, as it was much smaller than the column energy duty. 304 305 The chemical energy stored in the solution was determined based on the  $\Delta G$  of the overall cell reaction:  $Cu^{2+} + 4 \text{ NH}_3$  (aq)  $\rightarrow Cu(NH_3)4^{2+}$  (aq). The activities of the chemical species were 306 estimated using the Visual MINTEQ software. At 25 °C, with 0.1 M Cu(II) in both electrolytes 307 and 2 M anolyte ammonia, the  $\Delta G$  was -74.9 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>, for a theoretical energy density in the 308 starting solutions of 1040 Wh m<sup>-3</sup> (normalized to the total electrolyte volume of 60 mL). As Cu(II) 309 concentrations increased in the regenerated electrolyte, the theoretical energy density was 310 311 calculated based on the Cu(II) concentration in the regenerated electrolyte that was estimated based on charge production assuming all catholyte Cu(II) was reduced in that cycle. The discharge 312 energy efficiency was then calculated as the ratio between actual energy density produced in one 313 cycle and the theoretical energy density ( $\eta_{discharge} = actual energy density/$  theoretical energy 314 density). The thermal energy efficiency was calculated as the ratio between the discharge energy 315 and the required thermal energy for electrolyte regeneration estimated in the HYSYS software 316  $(\eta_{\text{thermal}} = \text{actual discharge energy}/ \text{required thermal energy}).$ 317

Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) was performed with whole cells set at 0.2 V, to compare the cell ohmic resistance and overall reaction resistance with different concentrations

#### **Energy & Environmental Science**

of NH<sub>4</sub>NO<sub>3</sub>. All EIS tests were performed over a frequency range of 100 kHz to 10 mHz with a sinusoidal perturbation of 10 mV amplitude. Cells were discharged at 0.2 V for 10 min with stable current production before the addition of sinusoidal perturbation in EIS tests to assure a pseudo steady state. The EIS spectra were fitted into the equivalent circuit as described in Figure S4, to identify the solution/membrane resistance ( $R_s$ ), charge transfer and diffusion resistance of the two electrodes. We defined the reaction resistance ( $R_{rxn}$ ) as the sum of the charge transfer and diffusion resistances.<sup>22</sup>

327

## 328 Conclusions

This TRAB based on copper ammonia complex formation demonstrated successful conversion of 329 low-grade thermal energy into electric power, with electrolytes that can be thermally regenerated 330 and electrodes maintained using closed-loop cycles. The maximum power density of  $\sim 60 \text{ W m}^{-2}$ 331 achieved here over successive cycles is substantially higher than that previously obtained in liquid-332 based thermal-electric energy conversion systems ( $< 10 \text{ W m}^{-2}$ ),<sup>5-8</sup> and higher than those typically 333 produced using SGE technologies.<sup>4, 14-17</sup> An inexpensive source of acid would be needed to further 334 increase power densities to  $126 \pm 5$  W m<sup>-2</sup> using the current process. The energy density of  $453 \pm$ 335 28 Wh m<sup>-3</sup>, requiring only ammonia and a single membrane between the electrodes, was much 336 higher than that previously obtained with a 20-cell pair RED using ammonia bicarbonate solutions 337 (118 Wh m<sup>-3</sup>).<sup>16</sup> The energy density over 1 kWh m<sup>-3</sup> in the regenerated cycles suggested that 338 energy density could be greatly improved by increasing the Cu(II) concentration in the electrolyte. 339 The setup and operation of the TRAB are relatively simple, the reactants and electrode material 340 are widely available and relatively inexpensive, and they do not require complex preparation 341 processes or the use of expensive materials such as multiwall carbon nanotubes <sup>6</sup> or platinum.<sup>9</sup> 342

343 This TRAB system is not yet optimized, and therefore modifications could lead to reduced material costs or improved performance. For example, the AEM used to prevent the mixing of Cu(II) 344 species between anolyte and catholyte solutions could be replaced by a less expensive battery-type 345 separator. The TRAB could also be run in continuous flow mode as done for RED and flow 346 electrode systems, the distillation and operating temperatures could be optimized, and the solution 347 chemistry could be changed to further improve the cycling performance. Overall, this TRAB 348 technology, based on an ammonia electrolyte and inexpensive metal electrodes, represents a new 349 and promising approach for efficient conversion of low-grade waste heat to electrical power. 350

351

#### 352 Acknowledgments

The authors thank David Jones for help with the analytical measurements. We also thank Nicole LaBarge for the HYSYS simulation, Dr. Marta Hatzell, Dr. Mike Hickner and Dr. Christopher Gorski for useful discussions. This research was supported by Award KUS-I1-003-13 from the King Abdullah University of Science and Technology (KAUST).

357

#### 358 **References**

M. I. Hoffert, K. Caldeira, G. Benford, D. R. Criswell, C. Green, H. Herzog, A. K. Jain, H. S.
 Kheshgi, K. S. Lackner, J. S. Lewis, H. D. Lightfoot, W. Manheimer, J. C. Mankins, M. E.

- Mauel, L. J. Perkins, M. E. Schlesinger, T. Volk and T. M. L. Wigley, *Science*, 2002, 298, 981-987.
- 363 2. L. E. Bell, *Science*, 2008, **321**, 1457-1461.

364 3. B. Anderson L, A. Greenberg S and B. Adams G, in *Regenerative EMF Cells*, American
365 Chemical Society, 1967, vol. 64, ch. 15, pp. 213-276.

- 366 4. J. W. Post, J. Veerman, H. V. M. Hamelers, G. J. W. Euverink, S. J. Metz, K. Nymeijer and
  367 C. J. N. Buisman, *J. Membr. Sci.*, 2007, 288, 218-230.
- 368 5. T. J. Abraham, D. R. MacFarlane and J. M. Pringle, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2013, **6**, 2639-2645.
- 369 6. R. Hu, B. A. Cola, N. Haram, J. N. Barisci, S. Lee, S. Stoughton, G. Wallace, C. Too, M.
- Thomas, A. Gestos, M. E. d. Cruz, J. P. Ferraris, A. A. Zakhidov and R. H. Baughman, *Nano Lett.*, 2010, 10, 838-846.
- T. J. Abraham, N. Tachikawa, D. R. MacFarlane and J. M. Pringle, *PCCP*, 2014, 16, 25272532.
- Seok Woo Lee, Yuan Yang, Hyun-Wook Lee, Hadi Ghasemi, Daniel Kraemer, Gang Chen
   and Y. Cui, *Nat. Commun.*, 2014, 5.
- 376 9. P. Peljo, D. Lloyd, N. Doan, M. Majaneva and K. Kontturi, PCCP, 2014, 16, 2831-2835.
- 10. M. Marino, L. Misuri, A. Carati and D. Brogioli, Appl. Phys. Lett., 2014, 105, -.
- 11. M. M. Marino, L.; Carati, A.; Brogioli, D., *eprint arXiv:1403.4049*, 2014.
- 12. A. Carati, M. Marino and D. Brogioli, *eprint arXiv:1309.3643*, 2013.
- 13. R. L. McGinnis, J. R. McCutcheon and M. Elimelech, J. Membr. Sci., 2007, 305, 13-19.
- 14. B. B. Sales, M. Saakes, J. W. Post, C. J. N. Buisman, P. M. Biesheuvel and H. V. M. Hamelers,
   *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 2010, 44, 5661-5665.
- 15. D. Brogioli, R. Ziano, R. A. Rica, D. Salerno, O. Kozynchenko, H. V. M. Hamelers and F.
  Mantegazza, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2012, 5, 9870-9880.
- 16. M. C. Hatzell, I. Ivanov, R. D. Cusick, X. Zhu and B. E. Logan, *PCCP*, 2014, **16**, 1632-1638.
- 386 17. R. D. Cusick, Y. Kim and B. E. Logan, *Science*, 2012, **335**, 1474-1477.
- 18. J. W. Post, H. V. M. Hamelers and C. J. N. Buisman, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 2008, 42, 57855790.

- 389 19. A. Achilli and A. E. Childress, *Desalination*, 2010, **261**, 205-211.
- 20. A. P. Straub, N. Y. Yip and M. Elimelech, *Environ. Sci. Technol. Lett.*, 2013, 1, 55-59.
- 21. A. J. Bard, R. Parsons and J. Jordan, eds., *Standard potentials in aqueous solution*, Marcel
  Dekker, New York, 1985.
- 393 22. F. Zhang, J. Liu, I. Ivanov, M. C. Hatzell, W. Yang, Y. Ahn and B. E. Logan, *Biotechnol.*394 *Bioeng.*, 2014, in press.
- 23. A. T. Heijne, F. Liu, R. v. d. Weijden, J. Weijma, C. J. N. Buisman and H. V. M. Hamelers,
   *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 2010, 44, 4376-4381.
- 397 24. A. Mecucci and K. Scott, J. Chem. Technol. Biotechnol., 2002, 77, 449-457.
- 398 25. H. Liu and B. E. Logan, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 2004, **38**, 4040-4046.
- 26. J. Kang, F. Yan, P. Zhang and C. Du, J. Power Sources, 2012, 206, 310-314.

# 402 Figure legends

**Figure 1.** Schematic of the TRAB to convert waste heat into electricity. Four steps form the closed-cycle system for harvesting waste heat: (1) power production with the initial Cu(II) solution and the Cu(II) ammonia complex solution (formed by addition of ammonia into the copper solution); (2) Regeneration of the electrolyte by waste heat; (3) power production with regenerated electrolyte, which also regenerates the electrode; (4) regeneration of the electrolyte by waste heat.

409

Figure 2. (A) Power production and (B) electrode potentials with various Cu(II) and ammonia
concentrations, using 5 M NH4NO3 as the supporting electrolyte. Error bars represent standard
deviations based on measurements with duplicate reactors.

413

**Figure 3.** (A) Power production and (B) electrode potentials with different concentrations of

NH4NO3 as the supporting electrolyte, with 0.1 M Cu(II) in both electrolyte and 1 M ammonia in

the anolyte. Error bars represent standard deviations based on measurements with duplicate

417 reactors.418

**Figure 4.** Nyquist plots of the whole cell impedance at 0.2 V with 3 - 8 M NH<sub>4</sub>NO<sub>3</sub>, all with 0.1

420 M Cu(II) and 1 M ammonia anolyte. The inserted figure represents the components of the

421 impedance obtained by fitting the Nyquist spectra to the equivalent circuit described in Figure422 S4.

- 423
- 424

Figure 5. (A) Power production and (B) electrode potentials of two cells that were connected in
 series, in comparison with the single cell operation. Electrolyte contained 0.1 M Cu(II) with 2 M
 ammonia in the anolyte, and 5 M NH4NO3 as supporting electrolyte. Error bars represent standard
 deviations based on measurements with duplicate reactors.

429

**Figure 6.** Performance of the TRAB over successive cycles. Initial electrolyte contained 0.1 M Cu(II), 5 M NH<sub>4</sub>NO<sub>3</sub> and additional 2 M NH<sub>3</sub> in the anolyte. The spent electrolyte was then regenerated and operated for 3 successive cycles. "With acid" stands for the condition where acid was added to the regenerated catholyte to fully dissolve Cu(OH)<sub>2</sub> that was formed during the regeneration. The dashed line indicates the theoretical limit of total charge based on the initial Cu(II) concentration. (See Figure S2 for complete cycle profiles.)



**Figure 1.** Schematic of the TRAB to convert waste heat into electricity. Four steps form the

- closed-cycle system for harvesting waste heat: (1) power production with the initial Cu(II)
- solution and the Cu(II) ammonia complex solution (formed by addition of ammonia into the
- 440 copper solution); (2) Regeneration of the electrolyte by waste heat; (3) power production with
- regenerated electrolyte, which also regenerates the electrode; ④ regeneration of the electrolyte
- 442 by waste heat.



Figure 2. (A) Power production and (B) electrode potentials with various Cu(II) and ammonia
concentrations, using 5 M NH<sub>4</sub>NO<sub>3</sub> as the supporting electrolyte. Error bars represent standard
deviations based on measurements with duplicate reactors.

447



**Figure 3.** (A) Power production and (B) electrode potentials with different concentrations of NH<sub>4</sub>NO<sub>3</sub> as the supporting electrolyte, with 0.1 M Cu(II) in both electrolyte and 1 M ammonia in the anolyte. Error bars represent standard deviations based on measurements with duplicate reactors.





**Figure 4.** Nyquist plots of the whole cell impedance at 0.2 V with 3 - 8 M NH<sub>4</sub>NO<sub>3</sub>, all with 0.1

455 M Cu(II) and 1 M ammonia anolyte. The inserted figure represents the components of the

impedance obtained by fitting the Nyquist spectra to the equivalent circuit described in FigureS4.





**Figure 5.** (A) Power production and (B) electrode potentials of two cells that were connected in series, in comparison with the single cell operation. Electrolyte contained 0.1 M Cu(II) with 2 M ammonia in the anolyte, and 5 M NH<sub>4</sub>NO<sub>3</sub> as supporting electrolyte. Error bars represent standard





**Figure 6.** Performance of the TRAB over successive cycles. Initial electrolyte contained 0.1 M

466 Cu(II), 5 M NH<sub>4</sub>NO<sub>3</sub> and additional 2 M NH<sub>3</sub> in the anolyte. The spent electrolyte was then

regenerated and operated for 3 successive cycles. "With acid" stands for the condition where

acid was added to the regenerated catholyte to fully dissolve Cu(OH)<sub>2</sub> that was formed during

the regeneration. The dashed line indicates the theoretical limit of total charge based on the

470 initial Cu(II) concentration. (See Figure S2 for complete cycle profiles.)