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Synthesis, Crystal Structures and Magnetic Properties of mer-Cyanideiron(III)-Based 1D Heterobimetallic Cyanide-Bridged Chiral Coordination Polymers
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Two pairs of cyanide-bridged Fe(III)-Mn(III)/Cu(II) chiral enantiomer coordination polymers {[Mn(S,S/R,R-Salcy)(CH₃OH)]₃[Mn(S,S/R,R-Salcy)][Fe(bbp)(CN)₃]}₃⁺ (1,2) (bbp = bis(2-benzimidazolyl)pyridine dianion) and {[Cu(S,S/R,R-Chxn)₃][Fe(bbp)(CN)₃]}₃⁻ (3,4) (tbbp = tetra(3-benzimidazolyl)-4,4'-bipyridine tetraanion) have been successfully prepared by employing mer-tricyanometallate [PPh₄]₃[Fe(bbp)(CN)₃] or the newly bimetallic mer-cyanideiron(III) precursor K₆[Fe₂(bbtp)(CN)₆] as building block and with chiral manganese(III)/copper(II) compounds as assemble segments. The four complexes have been characterized by elemental analysis, IR spectroscopy, circular dichroism (CD) and magnetic circular dichroism (MCD) spectrum. Single X-ray diffraction reveals complexes 1 and 2 possess single anionic chain structure consisting of the asymmetric chiral {[Mn(S,S/R,R-Salcy)][Fe(bbp)(CN)₃]}₃⁻ unit with free [Mn(S,S/R,R-Salcy)]³⁺ as balanced cations. The cyanide-bridged Fe(III)-Cu(II) complexes 3 and 4 can be structurally characterized as neutral ladder-like double chain composed by the alternating cyanide-bridged Fe-Cu units. Investigation over magnetic susceptibilities reveals the antiferromagnetic coupling between the cyanide-bridged Fe(III) and Mn(III)/Cu(II) ions for complexes 1-4. These results have been further confirmed by theoretical simulation through numerical matrix diagonalization techniques by using a Fortran program or an uniform chain model, leading to the coupling constants

Introduction

Due to their fundamental interest and potential applications in magnetic devices,1,4 molecule-based magnetic materials have been explored intensively in the past three decades. One of the outstanding merits for this type of magnetic materials is that specific magnetic traits in the molecular solids can be inserted intentionally by employing the emergent intrinsic properties of compositional metal centers and ligands with various functionalities,5,6 which can provide plenty of intriguing characteristics in molecular assemblies such as anisotropy related magnetism, chirality, magnetization-induced second harmonic generation, photomagnetism, and so on.7,8 Among which, for the well known reasons, cyanide-bridged magnetic materials have been actively studied because of their growing interest in the field of molecular magnetism covering high-TC magnets,9-10 photomagnets,11-13 spin-crossover material,14-16 chiral magnets,17-21 single-molecule magnets (SMMs),22-24 and single chain magnets (SCMs).25-27

The rational design of cyanide-bridged magnetic materials can be achieved following a building-block approach with the premeditated association of various complexes and blocked cyanidometallates [M(L)_2(CN)_3]^n- (L = mono- or multi-dentate organic ligand). Thus far, a family of original magnetic complexes with controlled structures and properties have been prepared by selection of appropriate cyano building blocks exhibiting targeted chemical (number of cyano groups, steric hindrance of the ligand, etc.) and physical properties (local anisotropy, spin state, etc.).28-29 Precursors including Fe(III) ion with magnetic anisotropy caped by different organic ligand(s) have essentially attracted attention in pursuit of designing magnetically anisotropic assemblies with low-dimensional structures. As for tri-cyanideiron(III) molecular building blocks [Fe(L)(CN)_x]^n-, there are two isomeric forms with facial and meridional dispositions defined by the relative positioning of the three cyanide ligands around the Fe atom. A great number of molecular clusters and 1D chains have been reported based on fac-Fe(A)(CN)₃]^n- (A = hydrotris(3,5-dimethylpyrazol-1-yl)borate (Tp^*)), tetra(pyrazol-1-yl)borate (pZ), and 1,3,5-triaminocyclohexane (tach)) or mer-Fe(B)(CN)₃]^n- (B = bis(2-pyridylcarbonyl)amidate anion (bpa)), 8-(pyridine-2-carboxamido)quinoline anion (pcq), 8-(pyrazine-2-carboxamido)quinoline anion (pzcq), 8-(5-methylpyrazine-2-carboxamido)quinoline anion (mpzcq), and 8-(2-quinolincarboxamido)quinoline anion (qcq), N-(quinolin-8- yl)isocoumarin-1-carboxamide ion (iqc), which some often accompany interesting magnetic properties of SMM, SCM, chirality, ferroelectricity, and photomagnetism.30-49 On the other hand, the elucidation of the magnetic exchange coupling mechanism provides essential information on the magnetic phenomena of molecular systems. As can be found from the examples assembled from tricyanideiron(III) precursors and Mn(III) quadridentate Schiff-bases compounds, for the latter which always showed sizable anisotropic characteristics due to the large spin (S = 2) and the intrinsic Jahn-Teller elongations in axial directions, the magnetic properties of fac-Fe tricyanide-linked compounds are mostly ferromagnetic, while...
antiferromagnetic interactions are almost exclusively visible in mer-Fe tricyanide-bridged complexes so far. Aiming to synthesize new bifunctional materials, and also for the purpose of further gaining some insight into a fundamental magnetic structural relationship of the Fe(III)–C≡N–Mn(III) unit, two mer-Fe building blocks containing one or two low spin Fe(III) ion(s) (Scheme 1), which own the different steric effect and charge numbers from the previously used mer-tricyanideiron(III) precursors, and four chiral manganese(III)/copper(II) compounds have been employed to assemble cyanide-bridged system, resulting in two pairs of cyanide-bridged heterometallic enantiomer complexes $\{[\text{Mn}(S,S-Salcy)(CH}_2\text{OH})_2]\}$ and $\{[\text{Mn}(R,R-Salcy)(CH}_2\text{OH})_2]\}$ (Scheme 1), which own the different steric effect and charge numbers from the previously used mer-tricyanideiron(III) precursors.

The synthesis, crystal structures and magnetic properties of the four new complexes will be described in this paper. It should be noted that, to our best of the knowledge, it is the first time that cyanide precursor containing bimetallic paramagnetic centers is used to prepare cyanide-bridged magnetic complexes.

Scheme 1. The starting materials used to prepare the complexes 1–4.

**Experimental Section**

Elemental analyses of carbon, hydrogen, and nitrogen were carried out with an Elementary Vario El (Supporting information). The infrared spectroscopy on KBr pellets was performed on a Magma-IR 750 spectrophotometer in the 4000-400 cm$^\text{-1}$ region. Variable-temperature magnetic susceptibility and field dependence magnetization measurements were performed on a Quantum Design MPMS SQUID magnetometer. The experimental susceptibilities were corrected for the diamagnetism of the constituent atoms (Pascal’s tables).

**General procedures and materials.** All the reactions were carried out under an air atmosphere and all chemicals and solvents used were reagent grade without further purification. Mn((S,S,R,R-Salcy)(H$_2$O)$_2$)ClO$_4$ were prepared as described for other manganese Schiff-base compounds in literature. The H$_2$bbp ligand has been prepared previously. The method for the synthesis of $\{[\text{PPh}_3][\text{Fe(bbp)}(\text{CN})_3]\}$ was similar to that for $\{[\text{NEt}_3][\text{Fe(bbp)}(\text{CN})_3]\}$.

**Caution!** KCN is hypertoxic and hazardous. Perchlorate salts of metal complexes with potentially explosive. They should be handled in small quantities with care.

**Synthesis of the ligand H$_2$bbp: Phosphoric acid (20 mL) containing H$_2$BPTC (1,1’-biphenyl-2,2’,6,6’-tetracarboxylic acid) (1.66 g, 5 mmol) and o-phenylenediamine (2.14 g, 20 mmol) was heated to 220-230$^\circ$C for 4 hours under N$_2$ atmosphere.**

The deep colored mixture was poured into 500 ml of vigorously stirred ice-water mixture. The deep blue precipitate was filtered out after cooling to room temperature, then suspended in 10% aqueous sodium carbonate solution under the stirring condition. The resulting solid was collected by filtration and recrystallized from methanol and water (1:1, v:v) to give offwhite crystalline solid with the yield about 50%. Anal. Calcd for C$_{58}$H$_{32}$N$_{10}$C$_7$: H, 3.90; N, 22.34; Found: H, 4.79; N, 22.75.

**Synthesis of K$_4$[Fe(bbp)(CN)$_3$]: H$_2$TBBP (0.62g, 1 mmol) was added to a methanol solution (30 mL) of FeCl$_3$ (0.33g, 2 mmol) little by little, and the obtained mixture was refluxed for two hours before an aqueous solution of KCl (0.65 g, 10 mmol) was added. After an additional 6 h reflux, the solution became deep blue, then the mixture was evaporated to dryness under the reduced pressure, and then the dark-blue residue was dissolved in DMF (15 mL) with stirring. After the unreacted KCl was filtered out, the DMF solution was condensed to about one-third on rotary evaporator, and excess ether was added to precipitate the blue crystalline solid. Yield: 0.6g, 58%. Anal. Calcd for C$_{54}$H$_{32}$Fe$_2$K$_4$N$_{16}$C$_7$: C, 73.54; H, 3.90; N, 22.34; Found: C, 72.91; H, 4.79; N, 22.75.

**Synthesis of K$_4$[Fe(bbp)(CN)$_3$]: 112 mg, 0.10 mmol) in methanol (10 mL), [Mn(S,S-Salcy)(H$_2$O)$_2$]ClO$_4$ or [Mn(R,R-Salcy)(H$_2$O)$_2$]ClO$_4$ (102.2 mg, 0.20 mmol) dissolved in methanol/acetonitrile (4:1, v:v) (10 mL) was carefully added. The resulting mixture was filtered at once and the filtrate kept undisturbed at room temperature. After one week, dark-brown block crystals were collected by filtration.

**Complex 1:** Yield: 78.1 mg, 56.1%. Anal. Calcd for C$_{138}$H$_{104}$Fe$_2$Mn$_7$N$_{25}$O$_{25}$: C, 58.64; H, 5.39; N, 12.76. Found: C, 58.45; H, 5.19; N, 12.76. Main IR bands (cm$^{-1}$): 2115 (s, vC=N).

**Complex 2:** Yield: 74.5 mg, 53.5%. Anal. Calcd for C$_{138}$H$_{104}$Fe$_2$Mn$_7$N$_{25}$O$_{25}$: C, 58.64; H, 5.19; N, 12.76. Found: C, 58.41; H, 5.13; N, 12.69. Main IR bands (cm$^{-1}$): 2148 (s, vC=N), 2118 (s, vC=N), 1615 (vs, vC=N).

**Synthesis of complexes 3 and 4.** K$_4$[Fe(bbp)(CN)$_3$] (110 mg, 0.10 mmol) dissolved in methanol (10 mL) was added to a acetonitrile solution (10 mL) containing [Cu(ClO$_4$)$_2$]·6H$_2$O (73.0 mg, 0.2 mmol) and R,R,S,S-1,2-diamino-3-chloro-6-phenyl-1,2,4-triazine (45.6 mg, 0.4 mmol). Then, DMF was added dropwise with stirring until the precipitation dissolved completely. The dark-green crystals suitable for X-ray diffraction were grown out from the filtration after slow evaporation of the solvent for about one month.

**Complex 3:** Yield: 98.8 mg, 57.1%. Anal. Calcd. for C$_{24}$H$_{184}$Cu$_2$Fe$_2$N$_{25}$O$_{8}$: C, 52.68; H, 6.05; N, 21.02. Found: C,
parameters were derived by global refinements of reflections and used for the non-hydrogen atoms and isotropic parameters for the hydrogen atoms. Hydrogen atoms were added for complexes 1-4 with their estimated standard deviation are listed in Table 1. CCDC 995710, 995711, 995714 and 995715 for complexes 1-4 exhibit positive and negative Cotton effect at the same wavelengths (Figure 1). The Magnetic circular dichroism (MCD) spectra for complexes 1-4, and 3,4 exhibit positive and negative Cotton effect at the same wavelengths (Figure 1). The Magnetic circular dichroism (MCD) spectra for complexes 1-4, and 3,4 exhibit positive and negative Cotton effect at the same wavelengths (Figure 1). The Magnetic circular dichroism (MCD) spectra for complexes 1-4, and 3,4 exhibit positive and negative Cotton effect at the same wavelengths (Figure 1).

Table 1. Crystallographic data for complexes 1-4.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chemical formula</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\text{Cu}_2\text{H}_2\text{O}_2\text{Fe}$</td>
<td>$\text{Mn}_2\text{N}_2\text{O}_2$</td>
<td>$\text{Cu}_2\text{H}_2\text{O}_2\text{Fe}$</td>
<td>$\text{Cu}_2\text{H}_2\text{O}_2\text{Fe}$</td>
<td>$\text{Cu}_2\text{H}_2\text{O}_2\text{Fe}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Fw$</td>
<td>2785.26</td>
<td>2785.26</td>
<td>1732.63</td>
<td>1804.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crystal system</td>
<td>Monoclinic</td>
<td>Monoclinic</td>
<td>Triclinic</td>
<td>Triclinic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Space group</td>
<td>$P2(1)$</td>
<td>$P2(1)$</td>
<td>$P1$</td>
<td>$P1$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\alpha/A$</td>
<td>19.509(4)</td>
<td>19.529(5)</td>
<td>10.2833(16)</td>
<td>10.2968(6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\beta/A$</td>
<td>14.9489(2)</td>
<td>14.9429(4)</td>
<td>13.8091(18)</td>
<td>13.7993(8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\gamma/A$</td>
<td>22.5356(4)</td>
<td>22.5824(5)</td>
<td>18.0725(12)</td>
<td>17.7819(9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\omega/\deg$</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>79.813(8)</td>
<td>80.207(4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\beta/\deg$</td>
<td>98.240(2)</td>
<td>98.188(2)</td>
<td>85.233(8)</td>
<td>84.697(4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\gamma/\deg$</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>68.301(13)</td>
<td>69.375(5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$V/\AA^3$</td>
<td>6504.5(2)</td>
<td>6525.8(3)</td>
<td>2361.3(5)</td>
<td>2328.8(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Z$</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$f(000)$</td>
<td>2904</td>
<td>2904</td>
<td>908</td>
<td>948</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$GOF$</td>
<td>1.025</td>
<td>1.015</td>
<td>1.051</td>
<td>1.025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$K(\theta&gt;2\sigma(\theta))$</td>
<td>0.0399</td>
<td>0.0614</td>
<td>0.0746</td>
<td>0.0658</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Results and discussions

Synthesis and general characterization

Low-dimensional cyanide-bridged complexes have attracted more and more attention for the purpose of clearly elucidating magneto-structural correlation and preparing interesting molecular materials such as SMMs and SCMs. To synthesize low-dimensional cyanide-bridged complexes with desirable molecular structure, several factors such as the number and position of cyanide group, number and nature of charge of cyanide-containing building block, and steric effect of reactants must be taken into account. Considering that the different steric effect and the number of the charges of mer-[Fe(bbpp)(CN)]: from the other previously reported mer-tricyanideiron building blocks, we investigated the reactions of mer-[Fe(bbpp)(CN)]: with chiral Schiff-base manganese compounds, and obtained two cyanide-bridged enantiomers structurally characterized as anionic single chain. By introducing bipyrindine skeleton into the cyanide precursor, a new mer-cyano building block containing two paramagnetic low spin iron(III) ions was synthesized for the first time, and two cyanide-bridged Fe(III)-Cu(II) one-dimensional ladder-like double chain enantiomers have been prepared based on mer-[Fe(b bpp)(CN)]: and chiral amine-based copper compounds.

The four cyanide-bridged complexes, as well as K$_4$[Fe$_2$(bpp)(CN)$_6$], have been characterized by IR spectroscopy. In the IR spectra of K$_4$[Fe$_2$(bpp)(CN)$_6$], the absorption locating at about 2115 cm$^{-1}$ was assigned to the terminal cyanide group. For complexes 1-4, two sharp peaks due to the cyanide-stretching vibration were observed in the range of 2125-2160 cm$^{-1}$, respectively, indicating the presence of bridging and nonbridging cyanide ligands in these complexes. To confirm the optical activity and enantiomeric nature, the circular dichroism (CD) spectrum were measured in KBr pellets for complexes 1-4. The CD spectrum of 1,2, and 3,4 exhibit positive and negative Cotton effect at the same wavelengths (Figure 1). The Magnetic circular dichroism (MCD) spectra of complexes 1,2, and 3,4 exhibit positive and negative Cotton effect at the same wavelengths (Figure 1). The Magnetic circular dichroism (MCD) spectra of complexes 1,2, and 3,4 exhibit positive and negative Cotton effect at the same wavelengths (Figure 1). The Magnetic circular dichroism (MCD) spectra of complexes 1,2, and 3,4 exhibit positive and negative Cotton effect at the same wavelengths (Figure 1).

Figure 1. CD spectra of 1(S isomer, red), 2(R isomer, blue) (top) and 3, 4 (bottom) in KBr pellets.
Crystal Structure of Complexes 1-4.

Some important structural parameters for complexes 1-4 are collected in Tables S1 and S2 (ESI). Complexes 1 and 2 crystallized in the chiral space group P2(1) are enantiomers, therefore complex 1 is as representative for the detailed structure description. The anionic asymmetric unit, the one-dimensional anionic structure, and the cell packing diagram for complex 1 are depicted in Figures 1-3, respectively. As can be found, this compound possesses perfect one dimensional anionic infinite structure comprising of repeating [Mn(S,S/R,R-Salcy)][Fe(bbp)(CN)]$_2$- unit with the negative charges balanced by [Mn(S,S/R,R-Salcy)]$^+$ cations. Each mer-[Fe(bbp)(CN)]$_2$- unit, acting as a bidentate ligand through its two cyanide groups in a trans position, connects the Mn(III) ions of two independent [Mn(S,S/R,R-Salcy)]$^+$ units. The Fe atom is coordinated by three N atoms of cyanide precursor located in the equatorial plane and three C atoms of cyanide groups in mer-position, forming a slightly distorted octahedral geometry, which can be testified by the parameters around the Fe atom listed in Table S1.

Both of the intrachain and the isolated Mn(III) ions are six-coordinated, also forming a slightly distorted octahedron coordination geometry, in which the four equatorial positions are occupied by a N$_2$O$_2$ unit coming from the chiral Schiff-base ligand. For the two axial ones, they are coordinated by two N atoms of cyanide groups for the intrachain Mn(III) ion and two O atoms from the solvent methanol molecules for the free one, respectively. The Mn-N$_{\text{cyanide}}$ bond lengths in complex 1 are 2.319(3) and 2.263(2) Å (with Mn1 as example), respectively, obviously longer than Mn-N$_{\text{Schiff-base}}$ and Mn-O$_{\text{Schiff-base}}$ bond lengths with the average values of 1.999 and 1.879 Å, which gives further information about the elongation octahedron surrounding the Mn(III) ion, typically accounting for the well known Jahn-Teller effect. As tabulated in Table 2, the bond angle of N$_{\text{cyanide}}$-Mn1-N$_{\text{cyanide}}$ is 169.44(11)$^\circ$, indicating the almost linear configuration of these three atoms. However, the Mn-N=C bond angles are somewhat bent with the values of 151.5(3) and 157.1(3)$^\circ$, respectively. The torsion angle ($\phi$) of Mn-N=C-Fe, which is defined as the rotation of the x and z axes for Mn(III) compared with the xz plane for Fe(III), is 7.59$^\circ$ in complex 1. The intramolecular Fe$^{II}$-Mn$^{III}$ separation through bridging cyanide is about 5.15 Å, while the shortest intermolecular metal-metal distance 7.272 Å is obviously longer than this value.

Figure 1. The asymmetry anionic unit of complex 1. All the hydrogen atoms, the balanced cations and the solvent molecules have been omitted for clarity.

Figure 2. Perspective view along b axis of the one-dimensional infinite chains of 1 (S,S isomer, left) and 2 (R,R isomer, right), respectively.

Figure 3. The cell packing diagram along c axis of complex 1. All the H atoms have been omitted for clarity.

For complexes 3 and 4, the neutral molecular structure containing Fe$_2$Cu$_2$ core and the ladder-like double chain is given in Figure 4. The perspective view of the enantiomer structure of these two complexes and their representative cell packing diagram are shown in Figures S3 and S4 (ESI), respectively. Complexes 3 and 4 crystallized in triclinic space group P1 are also one pair of enantiomers, which can be structurally characterized as bipyridine and cyanide-bridged ladder-like double chain. As listed in Table S2, the coordination geometry of the Fe(III) is a slightly distorted octahedron with the parameters similar to those in complexes 1 and 2. The coordination sphere for the Cu(II) atom in these two complexes is also octahedral, in which the equatorial positions are occupied by four N atoms of two 1,2-diaminocyclohexane ligands, while the two axial sites are occupied by the N atoms of the bridging cyanide groups. The average distances between the Cu atom (with Cu1 as representative) and the N atoms of 1,2-diaminocyclohexane are 1.97 Å in complex 3, while the average Cu-N$_{\text{cyanide}}$ bond length is 2.537 Å, which is markedly longer than the above bond lengths, indicating the obvious
distortion of the octahedron along the cyanide–Cu–cyanide axis. The angles of the C≡N-Cu moieties in complex 3 are 140.8(9) and 131.7(10)°, respectively, showing clearly that these groups seriously deviate from a linear configuration. The two torsion Fe-C≡N-Cu angles in complex 3 are 10.56 and 9.96°, respectively. The intramolecular FeIII-CuII separations through the bridging cyanide groups is about 5.12 Å for complex 3, while the shortest intermolecular M...M distance is 10.07 Å.

The Magnetic properties of complexes 1 and 2.

The temperature dependence of magnetic susceptibility for these two complexes was measured in the range of 2-300 K under the external magnetic field of 2000 Oe (Figure 5 and Figure S5, ESI). The \( \chi_{\text{m}}T \) value per FeIII-MnIII unit at room temperature is 6.20 emu K mol\(^{-1}\) (with complex 1 as representative), which is slightly lower than the spin only value of 6.375 emu K mol\(^{-1}\) for two uncoupled Mn(III) \((S = 2)\) ion and a low spin Fe(III) \((S = 1/2)\) ion based on \( g = 2.00 \). With decreasing the temperature, the \( \chi_{\text{m}}T \) value decreases slowly and attains the value of 5.78 emu K mol\(^{-1}\) at about 50 K, respectively. After this, the \( \chi_{\text{m}}T \) value starts to decrease steeply and reaches its lowest value 4.39 emu K mol\(^{-1}\) at 2 K. The magnetic susceptibility for complex 1 conform well to Curie-Weiss law in the range of 10-300 K and give the negative Weiss constant \( \theta = -2.46 \) K and Curie constant \( C = 6.25 \) emu K mol\(^{-1}\). These data, in combination with the changing tendency of \( \chi_{\text{m}}T-T \), lead to a primary conclusion that the magnetic coupling between Fe(III) and Mn(III) bridged by cyanide group is antiferromagnetic.

To analyze the magnetic data of this one-dimensional heterometallic FeIII-MnIII anionic single chain complex, the magnetic susceptibility for complex 1 has been simulated with the following Hamiltonian:

\[
\hat{H} = \hat{H}_{\text{ex}} + \hat{H}_{\text{anis}} + g\beta \hat{H}\hat{S}
\]

The first term deal with the isotropic interactions (the magnetic couplings across the single cyanide bridge) and the contribution from the free high-spin Mn(III) ion. The second term corresponds to the local anisotropy of the high-spin manganese(III) ion, and the third term is the Zeeman interaction. As can be found from the crystal data, the two Fe(III)-CN-Mn(III) linkages are not with marked difference with the two Mn-N=C bond angles of 157.1(3) and 151.5(3)°, respectively. Therefore, the compound 1 can be considered as a chain containing alternating spins 1/2 and 2 with approximately one exchange interactions \( J \) and the isolated spin 2 (Scheme 2). In this case, the magnetic susceptibilities of the infinite chain can be simulated and calculated rationally based on a closed ring cluster model consisting of four pairs of 1/2-2 spin pairs and plus four isolated Mn(III) ions \((S = 2)\).

\[
S = 1/2 \quad \text{and} \quad S = 2
\]

The simulate was carried out through numerical matrix diagonalization techniques by using a Fortran program.\(^{34}\) The best-fit parameters \( J = -7.36 \) cm\(^{-1}\), \( D = -1.52 \) cm\(^{-1}\), \( g = 1.99 \), \( R = 1.64 \times 10^{-5} \). This result, which is comparable to those found in other mer-cyanideiron(III)-based Fe(III)-Mn(III) complexes, further confirm the antiferromagnetic coupling between the cyanide-bridged FeIII-MnIII, which is consistent with the fact that there usually exist antiferromagnetic interaction in mer-tricyanideiron-based Fe(III)-Mn(III) complexes.\(^{39}\)

The field-dependent magnetization measured up to 70 kOe at 1.8 K for complex 1 is shown in Figure 5. The magnetization increases with a relatively fast speed with increasing field until 15 kOe, then increases smoothly up to about 5.73 Nβ until 70 kOe. This data is obviously lower than the saturated value of 9.0 Nβ for the two uncoupled Mn(III) and one low spin Fe(III) based on \( g = 2.0 \), confirming again the antiferromagnetic coupling...
interaction between Fe(III) and Mn(III) ions bridged by cyanide group. The magnetization of 5.73 Nμ at 70 kOe is also lower than the saturated value 7.0 Nμ for the antiferromagnetic coupled Fe(III) and Mn(III) ion by plus one isolated Mn(III) ion, which can maybe be attributed to the zero-field spitting effect of the Mn(III) ion. The ac magnetic susceptibility of complex 1 performed in a 2 Oe ac field, with a zero dc field, Figure S6, shows no maximum and frequency dependence with the temperature down to 1.8 K, indicating that there is no magnetic ordering behaviour for this complex.

Table 2. Comparison of the magnetic property of cyanide-bridged Fe(III)-Mn(III) complexes based on mer-tricyanideiron(III) building blocks.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>complexes</th>
<th>Struct.</th>
<th>Mn-N(2) (Å)</th>
<th>Mn-N(3)-C (°)</th>
<th>F/ AF</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[Mn(salen)][Fe(qcq)(CN)₃]</td>
<td>1D</td>
<td>2.238(3)</td>
<td>152.5(3)</td>
<td>153.2(3)</td>
<td>AF 39a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Mn(salen)][Fe(mpcq)(CN)₃]</td>
<td>1D</td>
<td>2.237(3)</td>
<td>154.3(3)</td>
<td>153.2(3)</td>
<td>AF 39b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Mn(salen)][Fe(mpcq)(CN)₃]</td>
<td>div.</td>
<td>2.275(1)</td>
<td>164.3(2)</td>
<td>153.2(3)</td>
<td>AF 39b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Mn(R,R)-Salcen)][Fe(5co)(CN)₃]</td>
<td>1D</td>
<td>2.303(2)</td>
<td>164.6(1)</td>
<td>153.2(3)</td>
<td>AF 47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Mn(R,R)-Salcen)][Fe(bpcq)(CN)₃]</td>
<td>1D</td>
<td>2.344(4)</td>
<td>175.9(2)</td>
<td>153.2(3)</td>
<td>AF 59c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Fe(qcq)(CN)₃][Mn(salen)]</td>
<td>1D</td>
<td>2.341(3)</td>
<td>154.3(3)</td>
<td>153.2(3)</td>
<td>AF 39d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Fe(qcq)(CN)₃][Mn(5-Fsalen)]</td>
<td>1D</td>
<td>2.346(4)</td>
<td>154.3(3)</td>
<td>153.2(3)</td>
<td>AF 39d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Fe(qcq)(CN)₃][Mn(5-Chsalen)]</td>
<td>1D</td>
<td>2.340(4)</td>
<td>154.3(3)</td>
<td>153.2(3)</td>
<td>AF 39d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Fe(qcq)(CN)₃][Mn(5-Brsalen)]</td>
<td>1D</td>
<td>2.340(4)</td>
<td>154.3(3)</td>
<td>153.2(3)</td>
<td>AF 39d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Fe(qcq)(CN)₃][Mn(5-Mosalen)]</td>
<td>1D</td>
<td>2.340(4)</td>
<td>154.3(3)</td>
<td>153.2(3)</td>
<td>AF 39d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Fe(qcq)(CN)₃][Mn(5-Mosalen)]</td>
<td>1D</td>
<td>2.340(4)</td>
<td>154.3(3)</td>
<td>153.2(3)</td>
<td>AF 39d</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As revealed by Migule’s group, two important factors can be mainly responsible for the magnetic coupling nature and strength in cyanide-bridged Fe(III)-Mn(III) system. The first factor defines the magnetic orbital of low spin Fe(III) center with t₂g 2e 2 electronic configuration, which can be used to describe its unpaired electron but sensitive to the electronic effects induced by its surrounding, and the second one is the value of the Mn-N=C angle involving the cyanide group(s) that connect the manganese and iron centers. The magnetic orbital on the low-spin Fe(III) center (δ Fe) has been proven with close relation to the number and the position of the cyanide groups around the Fe(III) ion by the above group through DFT calculation. The [Fe(L(CN)₃)₂]⁺ (L = bidentate chelating ligand) types cyanide precursors often prefer a δFe magnetic orbital, which can usually result in a weak ferromagnetic coupling between the cyanide-bridged Fe-Mn almost independent on the Mn-N=C angle due to the non possibility of localizing any significant spin density on the cyanide bridge. For the cyanide-bridged Fe(III)-Mn(III) systems based on [Fe(CN)₃]³⁻ or fac-tricyanideiron(III) precursors, the magnetic coupling nature and strength are somewhat complicated, because all three t₂g orbitals can contribute in the same way to build the magnetic orbital. As for mer-tricyanideiron(III) types building blocks, the unpaired electron of the low-spin iron(III) center is defined by the δFe type magnetic orbital, therefore making it possible delocalizing the electron density on the π-pathway of the cyanide ligand, in particular on the cyanide-nitrogen atom that is coordinated to the manganese(III) ion. The four unpaired electrons of high-spin Mn(III) involved in elongated octahedral geometry are with the (dxz, dyz, x²-y²) electronic configuration. Because of the orthogonality or overlap of the magnetic orbitals, their interaction with the δFe magnetic orbital of Fe(III) ion through the single cyano bridge can lead to one antiferro- and three ferromagnetic contributions. Considering the stronger delocalization (σ-pathway) of the spin density from the Mn(III) occurring on the cyanide group, the ferromagnetic contribution from δFe-dz²(Mn) dominates the other three ones i.e. δFe-dxz(Mn), δFe-dyz(Mn) and δFe-dxy(Mn). Obviously, the bending of the Mn-N=C angle affect the orthogonality of the magnetic orbitals and results in the relation: the smaller of the Mn-N=C angle, the less orthogonality of the magnetic orbitals. As a result, the magnetic coupling nature between the cyanide-bridged Fe(III)-Mn(III) change from ferromagnetic to antiferromagnetic once the Mn-N=C angle decreases to some extent. As summarized in Table 2, the antiferromagnetic coupling are common in the reported cyanide-bridged Fe(III)-Mn(III) complexes based-on mer-tricyanideiron(III) building blocks with very few exceptions containing the big Mn-N=C angle(s). Meanwhile, it should be pointed out that the torsion angle (φ) as defined the rotation of the x and z axes for Mn(III) compared with the xy plane for Fe(III) can also play a role for the overlap of the magnetic orbitals, therefore sometime further mediating the Fe(III)-Mn(III) magnetic coupling through cyano bridge.26

The magnetic properties of complexes 3 and 4.

The temperature dependence of magnetic susceptibility for compound K₂[Fe₂(bpb)(CN)₃], complexes 3 and 4 measured in the range of 2-300 K under an external magnetic field of 2000 Oe are illustrated in Figure S7, Figure 6 and S8 (ESI), respectively. The χMT value 0.43 emu K mol⁻¹ for the cyanide precursor at room temperature is higher than the low-spin Fe(III) value of 0.375 K mol⁻¹, which can be attributed to the spin-orbital coupling and also indicate that there has no obvious magnetic interaction between the two low-spin Fe(III) ions through the bipyridine moiety. With the temperature lowering, the χMT value decreases very smoothly up to about 50K, and then decreases sharply and reaches the value of about 0.21 emu K mol⁻¹ at 2 K. As shown in Figure 6, the χMT value at room temperature is about 0.847 emu K mol for complex 3, slightly larger than the spin-
The X-ray analysis of the ladder-like double chain structure of complexes 3 and 4 reveals two types of exchange paths, namely the adjacent Cu(II) and Fe(III) ions through the bridging cyanide group as well as the path between the low-spin Fe(III) ions through the conjugated bipyridine ligand. Considering that there has no obvious magnetic interaction between the two Fe(III) centers through bipyridine moiety in these two complexes, complexes 3 and 4 can be approximated treated as a single chain from the view point of the magnetism. Therefore, a uniform chain model based on the following spin Hamiltonian is suitable for evaluating the intra-chain coupling for complexes 3 and 4:

\[
\hat{H} = \sum_{i} \sum_{j} J_{ij} \hat{S}_{i} \cdot \hat{S}_{j}
\]

\[
\chi_{m} = \frac{N g_{\text{Fe}}^{2} \beta^{2}}{kT} \left[ \frac{0.25 + 0.074975Y + 0.075235Y^{2}}{1.0 + 0.9931Y + 0.172135Y^{2} + 0.757825Y^{3}} \right]
\]

\[
Y = \frac{\mu_{B}}{kT}
\]

The data of the experiment \(\chi_{m}T\) value of 20-300 K was used for fitting, giving the fitting parameters \(J = -4.35 \text{ cm}^{-1}\), \(g = 2.09\), \(R = 2.42 \times 10^{-4}\). These results further confirm the antiferromagnetic coupling between the cyanide-bridged Fe(III) and Cu(II) ions in these two complexes. However, no acceptable fitting results can be obtained for the low temperature magnetic data (2-20 K) even if the interchain supramolecular magnetic interactions (\(zJ'\)) were introduced in the model in the mean field approximation. The existence of antiferromagnetic coupling in complex 3 is also supported by the isothermal magnetization measurements at 1.8 K, as shown in Figure 6. The calculated curve lies above the experimental data, indicating also the presence of appreciable antiferromagnetic interaction in complex 3.

As described above, due to the strict orthogonality of the magnetic orbitals, the observed ferromagnetic coupling between nearest paramagnetic neighbours in linear cyanide-bridged systems can be commonly found. The copper(II) ion is a Jahn-Teller-active metal ion with the electronic configuration \(t_{2g}^{6}e_{g}^{3}\) and tends to afford short, strong equatorial bonds and long, weak bonds to the terminal N atoms of the bridging cyanide ligands. Therefore, the universal ferromagnetic coupling observed in cyanide-bridged Fe(III)-Cu(II) complexes can easily be interpreted by the orthogonality of the \(t_{2g}\) magnetic orbitals of Fe(III) ion with the \(e_{g}\) one of Cu(II) ion. However, despite the existing of the usual ferromagnetic interaction, several cyanide-bridged Fe(III)-Cu(II) complexes presented antiferromagnetic coupling.

\[
\chi_{m} \approx \frac{N g_{\text{Fe}}^{2} \beta^{2}}{kT} \left[ \frac{0.25 + 0.074975Y + 0.075235Y^{2}}{1.0 + 0.9931Y + 0.172135Y^{2} + 0.757825Y^{3}} \right]
\]

The existence of antiferromagnetic coupling between the cyanide-bridged Fe(III) and Cu(II) ions in these two complexes. However, no acceptable fitting results can be obtained for the low temperature magnetic data (2-20 K) even if the interchain supramolecular magnetic interactions (\(zJ'\)) were introduced in the model in the mean field approximation. The existence of antiferromagnetic coupling in complex 3 is also supported by the isothermal magnetization measurements at 1.8 K, as shown in Figure 6. The calculated curve lies above the experimental data, indicating also the presence of appreciable antiferromagnetic interaction in complex 3.

As described above, due to the strict orthogonality of the magnetic orbitals, the observed ferromagnetic coupling between nearest paramagnetic neighbours in linear cyanide-bridged systems can be commonly found. The copper(II) ion is a Jahn-Teller-active metal ion with the electronic configuration \(t_{2g}^{6}e_{g}^{3}\) and tends to afford short, strong equatorial bonds and long, weak bonds to the terminal N atoms of the bridging cyanide ligands. Therefore, the universal ferromagnetic coupling observed in cyanide-bridged Fe(III)-Cu(II) complexes can easily be interpreted by the orthogonality of the \(t_{2g}\) magnetic orbitals of Fe(III) ion with the \(e_{g}\) one of Cu(II) ion. However, despite the existing of the usual ferromagnetic interaction, several cyanide-bridged Fe(III)-Cu(II) complexes presented antiferromagnetic coupling.
particular single chain magnet nature, by employing appropriate spin carriers are under way in our laboratory.
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Graphical Abstract:

Synthesis, Crystal Structures and Magnetic Properties of 
mer-Cyanideiron(III)-Based 1D Heterobimetallic Cyanide-Bridged 
Chiral Coordination Polymers

Daopeng Zhang, a,b Shuping Zhuo, a,* Hongyan Zhang, a Ping Wang, a and 
Jianzhuang Jiang b,*

Two pairs of cyanide-bridged heterometallic chiral enantiomer complexes structurally characterized as infinite chain have been successfully assembled from two mer-iron(III) building blocks [PPh₄]₂[Fe(bbp)(CN)₃], K₄[Fe₂(tbbp)(CN)₆] and four chiral manganese(III)/copper(II) compounds, characterized by elemental analysis, IR spectroscopy, circular dichroism (CD) and magnetic circular dichroism (MCD) spectrum and magnetically investigated.