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Role of Morphology in the Performance of LiFe$_{0.5}$Mn$_{1.5}$O$_4$ Spinel Cathodes for Lithium-Ion Batteries

M.P. Pico, I. Álvarez-Serrano, M. L. López and M.L. Veiga

Spinel oxides with composition LiMn$_{2-x}$M$_x$O$_4$ ($M$ is a transition metal) are intensively studied due to their remarkable electrochemical properties. This paper deals with cathode materials based on the lithium iron manganese oxide LiFe$_{0.5}$Mn$_{1.5}$O$_4$ synthesized by different methods (sol-gel, in solution and hydrothermal) in order to obtain samples with various morphologies. SEM results show microspheres, composed of nanosized/submicrometer-sized subunits, microrods with a less porous surface, and finally nanoparticles that form micro-sized aggregates. The samples obtained by both solution and hydrothermal methods provided the best electrochemical behavior. In all cases the coulombic efficiency is around 90% and remains constant during the tested cycles. Specific capacities are practically maintained stable, between 95-98% of capacity retention, after series of cycles in samples formed by microspheres or micro-size aggregates. These values are notably higher than those obtained for the samples with particles of heterogeneous size (49%). A LiMn$_{1.5}$Fe$_{0.5}$O$_4$/Li$_2$MnO$_3$ composite has been prepared by solvothermal technique in order to increase the capacity and energy density. These cells show good cyclability at different current densities. All cells based on these LiFe$_{0.5}$Mn$_{1.5}$O$_4$ cathodes recover their discharge capacity when the current density returns to C/10.

Introduction

Nowadays, energy and environment are the two most challenging issues faced by our society. Batteries are particularly interesting portable power sources, commonly used in household and industrial applications such as energy storage and management. Among various existing batteries, rechargeable lithium batteries have high advantages in the development of energy devices because of their high energy density, long life cycle, cost-effective, long lasting, and environmental sustainability. Thus, lithium-ion batteries can be considered to be the most impressive success story of modern electrochemistry in the last two decades.

The material for a cathode of a rechargeable battery should be capable of reversibly insert/deinsert Li ions at a large capacity and high potential, and should undergo minimal structural changes during this process for acceptable cycle performance. Among the most promising Li-insertion compounds, the manganese-spinel Li$_{1-x}$Mn$_2$O$_4$ is now used as 4.0 V positive-electrode material in rechargeable lithium batteries because of its abundance, low-cost and environment friendliness, although several drawbacks have impeded its general use. The major drawback of LiMn$_2$O$_4$ phase is related to the high content of Jahn–Teller Mn$^{3+}$ ions, which dismutate into Mn$^{4+}$ and are highly soluble Mn$^{2+}$. As a consequence of this effect there is a 16% elongation of the c-axis upon phase conversion, which causes fracturing and disconnection of particles, and results in rapid capacity fading upon cycling at room temperature. Removal of Li from the tetrahedral sites to form the delithiated phase, Mn$_2$O$_3$, is much more reversible and occurs above 4 V vs. Li/Li$^+$. But this problem can be partially solved through cationic substitution with different metal cations (e.g., Al, Ti, Cr, Fe, Co, Ni, Cu) giving better...
performance characteristics than LiMn$_2$O$_4$ \cite{8-10}. In particular, intensive efforts have been focused on the development of the spinel LiNi$_{0.5}$Mn$_{1.5}$O$_4$ and variants as very promising cathode materials for high-energy lithium-ion batteries \cite{11}. In comparison with LiMn$_2$O$_4$, manganese remains essentially as Mn$^{4+}$ in octahedral sites under normal cycling conditions, avoiding thus the Jahn–Teller distortion of Mn$^{3+}$ ions. Different methods of synthesis \cite{12,13} show that LiNi$_{0.5}$Mn$_{1.5}$O$_4$ is formed together with impurities of Li$_2$Ni$_2$O$_4$ rocksalt-type, although its electrochemical characteristics of high discharge potential, excellent rate capability and good cyclability seem to be of great interest. Nevertheless, the high operating potential may require the use of specially designed electrolytic solutions, coatings on particle surfaces to reduce coulombic inefficiencies and extend life cycle. As it occurs with the LiMn$_2$O$_4$, intensive efforts have been focused on the development of the spinel MnO$_2$-based cathode materials for Li-ion batteries is reported. Furthermore, these materials are characterized from a structural and electrochemical point of view, and the results obtained can be related to the samples morphology. To the best of our knowledge, such a detailed study of this material has not been developed before.

**EXPERIMENTAL**

### 2.1. Synthesis

The LiFe$_{1.5}$Mn$_1$O$_4$ spinel was synthesized by different methods in order to modulate the morphology of the samples obtained.

**a) Synthesis by “liquid mix” method:** Polycristalline powders of LiFe$_{0.5}$Mn$_{1.5}$O$_4$ were synthesized by a sol–gel method (SG hereafter), starting from the required amounts of LiNO$_3$ (Merck), Mn(NO$_3$)$_2$·4H$_2$O (Merck) and Fe(NO$_3$)$_3$·9H$_2$O (Panreac) dissolved in deionized water. After that, nitric acid, citric acid monohydrate and ethylene glycol were added and the solution was heated and stirred. Dark brown solids were obtained after this process. A further thermal treatment at 400 °C during a week was applied giving rise to pure phases. Then, the sample was heated for a week at 600 °C.\cite{15,16}

The following methods consist in obtaining the precursor materials that will be used as a template.

**b) Synthesis by solution method (known in the literature as impregnation method) \cite{17}:** Modified versions of this impregnation method were used to prepare two different samples of shape-controlled MnCO$_3$ precursor, which were then calcinated to yield the textured MnO$_2$ powders that were further treated with the lithium and iron nitrates to obtain two different spinel samples. On one hand, the precursor MnCO$_3$ was obtained by a previously reported precipitation method, in which minor modifications have been incorporated.\cite{18} In brief, MnSO$_4$·H$_2$O and NH$_4$HCO$_3$ were separately dissolved in distilled water. Then, ethanol and the NH$_4$HCO$_3$ solution were added to the MnSO$_4$·H$_2$O solution in sequence under stirring. The mixture was kept stirring for 1 h at room temperature and then centrifuged, washed with water and ethanol three times. The product obtained was dried at 80 °C. X-Ray diffraction (XRD) pattern shown in Figure S1 confirms that the product is MnCO$_3$. These particles have a spherical shape as it can be observed with scanning electron microscopy (Figure S2a).

On the other hand, some amount of (NH$_4$)$_2$SO$_4$ was also added to the initial MnSO$_4$·H$_2$O solution and the precipitation was performed by maintaining the mixture at 50 °C for 9 h; in these conditions the MnCO$_3$ microspheres obtained were greater in size (Figure S2b).

Highly porous MnO$_2$ microspheres were synthesized by thermal decomposition of the above described MnCO$_3$ microspheres at 400 °C for 12 h (Figures S3 and S4). These MnO$_2$ samples were mixed with LiNO$_3$ and Fe(NO$_3$)$_3$·9H$_2$O and heated at 700 °C for one week, yielding to the spinel-type samples labelled as Imp_1 and Imp_2 hereafter. In this step, LiNO$_3$ and Fe(NO$_3$)$_3$·9H$_2$O are introduced into mesopores of the MnO$_2$ microspheres by a simple impregnation method. The reactions that take place in this process have been already described by Zhou et al.\cite{27}.

c) **Template method (TM):** The aim of this method is to obtain MnO$_2$ rods, as a precursor, by hydrothermal reactions. MnO$_2$ rods were synthesized by a previously reported procedure.\cite{19} Analytical grade Mn(CH$_3$COO)$_2$·4H$_2$O (99.99% Aldrich), Na$_2$S$_2$O$_3$ (98% reagent grade, Sigma-Aldrich) and deionized water were used without further purification. In a typical synthesis, Mn(CH$_3$COO)$_2$·4H$_2$O and Na$_2$S$_2$O$_3$ were dissolved at room temperature with a molar ratio of 1:1 in 80 mL of distilled water by magnetic stirring to form a homogeneous clear solution. This solution was transferred to a 50 mL Teflon-lined stainless steel autoclave and heated at 120 °C for 12 h in an electric oven for the hydrothermal reaction. After the reaction, the final precipitated products were washed sequentially with deionized water and ethanol to remove the sulfate ions and other remnants by filtration. The powder obtained was subsequently dried at 100 °C for 12 h in air. The corresponding XRD pattern is shown in Figure S5, and it is the main characteristic of MnO$_2$ rutile. These MnO$_2$ rods were then used as a template. Thus, stoichiometric amounts of MnO$_2$ rods, LiNO$_3$ and Fe(NO$_3$)$_3$·9H$_2$O were dissolved and heated at 700°C for 24 h in alumina crucibles.

d) **Solvothermal Synthesis (SS):** The synthesis procedure is based on the method used by Hu et al.\cite{20} These authors described the preparation of CoMn$_2$O$_4$ hierarchical microspheres assembled with porous nanosheets by a solvothermal synthesis followed by a thermal decomposition. The first step, in our case, consists in precipitating Mn and Fe alcoxide powders from ethanolic solutions of the respective salts. Furthermore, the powder obtained is mixed with the stoichiometric amount of LiNO$_3$ and the following thermal decomposition leads to a crystalline spinel.

In a typical synthesis, Mn(CH$_3$COO)$_2$·4H$_2$O (99.99% Aldrich) and Fe(CH$_3$COO)$_2$ (95% Aldrich) in stoichiometric ratio were dissolved at room temperature in ethylene glycol (99% Panreac), as well as polyethylene glycol 1000 (99% Panreac). Magnetic stirring was applied to form a homogeneous clear solution. Ethylene glycol (EG) is an optimal solvent that presents good coordination ability, and serves as a ligand to form coordination complexes with several metallic cations upon heating.\cite{20} The mixed solution was transferred into a 50 mL Teflon-
The precursor phase formed in the solvothermal reaction was characterized by XRD. Figure S6 shows the crystallinity of the precursor, which is similar to that shown by Mn-EG and Fe-EG. The strong peak around 20 = 10° is characteristic of products formed during polyol-mediated processes. In this case, it could correspond to the formation of metal glycolates or alkoxide derivatives by alcoholysis and coordination of ethylene glycol with the metal ions as (CH2)2(O)2Mn and (CH2)2(O)2Fe.

Furthermore, the precursor was characterized by thermogravimetric analysis (TG), shown in Figure S7a, in which a weight loss around 31(1)% is achieved at 600 °C under an oxygen atmosphere, that is typical of this kind of products. The TG residue was characterized and the XRD pattern is shown in Figure S7b. All the diffraction peaks could be indexed on the basis of phase Mn2xFe3-xO3 (JCPDS nº 24-507).

In order to obtain the Mn and Fe content in this phase, a TG analysis under 3He:2H2 atmosphere was carried out with a heating rate of 3 °C/min up to 600 °C. The XRD pattern of the residue (not shown) allowed us to identify the final products of the reduction process and settle the reaction expressed below:

\[ Mn_{2-x}Fe_xO_3 \rightarrow (2-x)MnO + xFe + \left(\frac{1+x}{2}\right)O_2 \]

The experimental weight loss was around 17(1)% and the deduced composition of this phase was Mn1.5Fe0.5O3. The corresponding Mn:Fe ratio is 1.9(4), which is lower than the theoretical value. Moreover, the Mn:Fe ratio determined by energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDX) analysis is 1.9(5) instead of 3, in good agreement with the TG results carried out under hydrogen atmosphere.

Figure S8 shows representative images obtained by scanning electron microscopy (SEM) of Mn2xFe3-xO3 after heating the precursor at 600 °C. These images reveal that the product is composed by a great deal of spherical particles with diameters ranging from 50 to 150 nm (Figure S8a). These particles seem to be agglomerates that give rise to different morphologies (Figure S8b). Nanosheets with thickness of around 50 nm and width of around 2 µm (Figures S8c and S8d) are also observed. Moreover, the surfaces of these particles are highly porous, similarly to those obtained by means of the impregnation method commented above.

Finally, LiFeO3Mn1.5O4 was obtained by mixing stoichiometric amounts of LiNO3 and the metal-alkoxide precursor at 700 °C during 33 h. The sample prepared by this method will be labelled SS hereafter.

### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

#### 3.1. Structural and microstructural studies

The XRD patterns of LiFeO3Mn1.5O4 obtained with different synthesis methods are shown in Figure 1. All these patterns exhibit the characteristic diffraction lines of a single pure cubic spinel structure without any detectable impurity. In general, the samples are highly crystalline and no displacements in the respective 20 values were observed. On the other hand, the narrowest peaks correspond to particles obtained by the sol-gel synthesis.

#### 2.2. Characterization

All the samples obtained were identified by XRD at room temperature, and the data were refined using the Rietveld method and FULLPROF software. The XRD patterns were recorded with a Philips X’PertMPD diffractometer with a PW 3050/60 goniometer, using Ni filtered Cu Kα and 2 step size of 0.05° with a counting time of 12.5 s for each step. The goniometer was connected to a PC controlled by the commercial program PCAPD.

Electron energy loss spectroscopy (EELS) experiments were performed using a JEOL JEM 3000F microscope operating at 300 kV, with an ENFINA spectrometer with an energy resolution of 1.3 eV. EELS spectra were acquired for the L2,3 edge of the transition metals.

Thermogravimetric (TG) data under O2 atmosphere were obtained by a Perkin Elmer Pyris thermogravimeter at 10 K min⁻¹ heating/cooling rate. TG analyses in reductive conditions were carried out under a H2/He (300 mbar/200 mbar) atmosphere using a Cahn D-200 electrobalance. This device is equipped with a furnace and a two-channel register allowing simultaneously the recording of the weight loss and the temperatures.

Scanning electron microscope (SEM) images were obtained with a JEOL JSM 6335F microscope. The porous structure of the samples was determined by N2 adsorption-desorption at -196 °C, performed in a SA 3100 surface area analyzer (Beckman Coulter). Samples were previously outgassed for at least 4 hours at 100 °C, before and after adsorption. From the N2 isotherm (P/P0 = 0.98), the apparent surface area was determined applying the BET equation. The pore volume (Vp) and mean pore diameter (dp) were also obtained from the N2 isotherm data.

Electrochemical charge/discharge curves were measured using Swagelok® cells. Cathodes were prepared by mixing 80 wt% synthesized samples, 15 wt% extra pure carbon and 5 wt% polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF). The resulting electrodes had an active material loading of around 10-15 mg. The mixture was pressed to obtain a circular tablet. The electrolyte employed was ethylene carbonate (EC)-diethyl carbonate (DEC) (50/50) 1 M-LiPF6 soaked up in a carbon microfiber disk. Lithium metal was the anode. Cells were packed under a purified Ar atmosphere.
The XRD data for all the phases were analyzed by the Rietveld method and refined in the $Fd\overline{3}m$ space group with Li cations located in the tetrahedral sites (8a) and the Fe/Mn cations randomly distributed in the octahedral sites (16d). Figures 2a and 2b show the calculated and observed diffraction profiles of SG at 600 °C and Imp_1 at 700 °C respectively, as well as the differences between them. Similar results have been obtained for the remaining samples. In all cases, the differences between the experimental and calculated profiles and the $R$ factors obtained suggest the validity of the model proposed.

The cell parameters obtained in the refinement do not practically vary with the synthesis method ranging from 8.248(1) to 8.237(2) Å for the SG and Imp samples, respectively.

The Mn/Fe ratio determined by EDX analysis is around 3 in all cases apart from SS sample, which perfectly agrees with the nominal composition LiFe$_{0.5}$Mn$_{1.5}$O$_4$, within the experimental error, as it can be observed in Table 1. However, the sample prepared by SS method shows a Mn/Fe of 1.9, which is lower than the nominal one. Similar values are obtained by EDX for the SS sample heated at 600 °C, and they are in agreement with those achieved by TG analysis under H$_2$/He atmosphere as it was commented before. Therefore, in the method SS, the sample composition can be considered as LiFe$_{0.7}$Mn$_{1.3}$O$_4$.

EELS experiments were carried out in order to obtain complementary information about the oxidation states of Mn and Fe. Figure S9 gathers, as a representative example, the EELS spectra in both the L$_{2,3}$ Mn and Fe edges (S9a and S9b, respectively) for the SG sample. It has been pointed out that an estimation based on the ratio of the peaks intensities is adequate to estimate the Mn oxidation state, but the chemical shift method is more accurate for analyzing the oxidation state of iron. Having in mind those typical values of the Fe L$_{2,3}$ edge signals have been reported to be ca. 708/722 eV for Fe$^{2+}$ and 711/724 eV for Fe$^{3+}$, the trivalent oxidation state for Fe cations in the samples can be assumed. On the other hand, the L$_{2,3}$ ratios for the Mn window, roughly estimated from intensity increments, together with the observed shift, are consistent with mixed valence Mn$^{4+}$/Mn$^{3+}$. Thus, EELS experiments allowed both to ascribe a trivalent oxidation state for Fe cations and to confirm the expected mixed valence, between +3 and +4, for Mn cations.

Recently, it has been pointed out that the specific surface area and the pore size are strongly related to the electrochemical behavior. It is due to this fact that the porosity facilitates the transport of Li ions and is critical to the rate capability. In order to study the porosity of these samples, their textural properties have been obtained by nitrogen adsorption at 77 K (Figure 3). BET surface area data have been calculated and are summarized in Table 1, together with the pore size distribution and pore volume of all the samples. As it can

![Figure 1. XRD patterns of the LiFe$_{0.5}$Mn$_{1.5}$O$_4$ particles](image)

![Figure 2. Structural refinement for LiMn$_{1.5}$Fe$_{0.5}$O$_4$: a) SG sample; b) Imp sample. Observed patterns are denoted by solid lines, and the calculated and difference plots are shown by dots. Reflection positions for spinel phases are included (bars)](image)

![Figure S9. EELS spectra in both the L$_{2,3}$ Mn and Fe edges (S9a and S9b, respectively) for the SG sample.](image)

Table 1. Mn/Fe ratio obtained by EDX and textural characteristict for all samples.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Mn/Fe ratio</th>
<th>$S_{BET}$ (m$^2$·g$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Dp (nm)</th>
<th>Vp (mL·g$^{-1}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SG</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imp_1</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imp_2</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TM</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SS</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
be observed, \( S_{BET} \) varies between 5 and 11, meanwhile \( D_p \) does it between 3.7 and 4.7 and \( V_p \) is around 0.03 in all samples. The SG sample exhibits the highest \( S_{BET} \) and pore volume, and the SS the highest pore diameter. Nevertheless, the encountered spans do not stand for significant differences concerning these textural parameters.

Figure 3. Textural characterization: a) Nitrogen adsorption-desorption isotherms; b) pore size distribution

Figure 4 shows SEM images of \( \text{LiFe}_{0.5}\text{Mn}_{1.5}\text{O}_4 \) particles obtained under different conditions. The SEM results for the sample SG are shown in Figures 4a and 4b, where highly agglomerated crystalline particles, together with grains with heterogeneous sizes, can be appreciated. By contrast, a very different situation is found for samples obtained by impregnation methods. The lowest-magnification images (Figures 4c and 4e) reveal that the product is composed of uniform microspheres with diameters around 1 and 2 \( \mu m \), depending on the impregnation method used, Imp_1 and Imp_2 respectively.

Figure 4. SEM images of \( \text{LiFe}_{0.5}\text{Mn}_{1.5}\text{O}_4 \) samples at different magnifications: a) and b) SG; c) and d) Imp_1; e) and f) Imp_2; g) and h) TM; i), j) and k) SS samples
the image of the highest magnification (Figure 4.k) the connection between nanoparticles can be observed. Taking into account that the LiFe_{0.5}Mn_{1.5}O_4 samples prepared by different methods exhibit very similar textural properties, presumably their electrochemical behaviour will be related with the morphology found for these materials.

### 3.2. Electrochemical characterization

In order to evaluate electrochemical properties, the samples with different morphologies were cycled at several charge/discharge rates over a potential window of 3–5 V. Figure 5 shows the first charge/discharge voltage profiles for all samples at C/10. These cycles exhibit two plateaux, at 4 and 5 V, that can be related to Mn^{4+}/Mn^{3+} and Fe^{4+}/Fe^{3+} couples, respectively. The experimental capacities in the first cycle are shown in Table 2. None of the samples reaches the theoretical capacity value of the LiFe_{0.5}Mn_{1.5}O_4 electrode (148 mAh·g^{-1}). In these samples only up to the half of deinserted lithium can be attributed to Mn^{4+}/Mn^{3+} couple (only up to 0.5 Mn^{3+} per formula unit is present in the samples) and the other half at least is due to Fe^{4+}/Fe^{3+} couple. In Table 2, it can be observed that a capacity value close to 70 mAh·g^{-1} is reached only in SG and TM samples at the 4 V plateau. A different situation is obtained for the 5 V plateau. In all cases, values close to 20 mAh·g^{-1} have been achieved because a partial oxidation of Fe^{3+} has occurred. Actually, the process related to Fe^{4+}/Fe^{3+} redox couple takes place in a plateau centered to 5 V. Consequently, it is necessary to increase the voltage value at least until 5.3 V in order to oxidize completely the Fe content. This voltage is too high and electrolyte oxidation processes in the surface electrode/electrolyte could take place. In this sense, the voltage window employed in this work was fixed in the 3-5 V range. Only in one case the voltage was increased with the aim of observing the role of Fe^{4+} over the electrolyte/electrode surface.

![Figure 5](image)

**Figure 5.** The first discharge/charge cycles at C/10 for all samples

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Q_d (mAh·g^{-1})</th>
<th>Q_d 4 V (mAh·g^{-1})</th>
<th>Q_d 5 V (mAh·g^{-1})</th>
<th>Q_i (mAh·g^{-1})</th>
<th>Q_i 4 V (mAh·g^{-1})</th>
<th>Q_i 5 V (mAh·g^{-1})</th>
<th>Coulombic Efficiency (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SG</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imp_1</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imp_2</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Experimental specific capacities (deinsertion, Q_d, and insertion, Q_i) and coulombic efficiency (100Q_i/Q_d) for LiFe_{0.5}Mn_{1.5}O_4
Figure 5 and Table 2 show that the amount of inserted Li\(^{+}\) (i.e. \(Q_{4V}\)) is practically the same for all the samples, except for the SS one (where the Mn/Fe ratio is the smallest) that gives the lowest values and shows a narrower plateau at 4 V. Table 2 also shows the coulombic efficiency for this first stage. The best results correspond to the Imp samples, where the amounts of Li deinserted in the 5 V process are the highest. These samples exhibit more homogenous sizes, and are constituted by micrometric particles formed by nanometric units (see figures 4c-f).

In order to relate the electrochemical behaviors with the respective morphologies, Figure 6 gathers the results of the cycle tests at C/10 for all the samples, together with the discharge capacity versus cycle number at various current densities. In the SG sample, the discharge capacity decreases quickly and a 50% of capacity is loss in the 10th cycle. This capacity fade is clearly related to the plateau at 4V, and therefore it can be attributed to the Mn dissolution in the electrolyte. Moreover, the small plateau at 5 V quickly diminishes and in the second cycle this plateau practically disappears. The sample prepared by the SG method consists of heterogeneous particles without a defined morphology (Figure 4a). A different situation can be observed with the remaining samples, for which the fading at 4 V operating is practically not observed and the decrease in the capacity is related to the 5 V plateau, which is progressively smaller as the cycle number increases. Eftekari et al.\(^{14,15}\) conclude that a decrease at 5 V is due to the direct reaction of organic species present in the electrolyte with the high-valent Fe over the electrolyte/electrode interface. In particular, when the electrochemical cell composed with LiMn\(_{1.5}\)Fe\(_{0.5}\)O\(_4\)-Imp as cathode was cycled at higher rate, i.e. C/5 (see Fig. S10, supporting information), the highest voltage plateau almost disappears and, as a consequence, the capacity losses are very low (2%, with sizes around 1 \(\mu\)m) or even negligible (with sizes around 2 \(\mu\)m) in these spherical morphologies. It is interesting to note that the discharge capacity is recovered when the rate is diminished again (figure 6 c).
Figure 6. Charge/discharge curves at a rate C/10 for LiMn₁.₅Fe₀.₅O₄ prepared by different methods (left); and variations of the discharge capacity during various cycles when cycled between 5-3 V at different capacity rates (right). Capacity losses have been included in the graph.
In order to evaluate the differences between electrochemical behaviours of spherical and rod morphologies, charge-discharge profiles for LiFe$_{0.5}$Mn$_{1.5}$O$_4$ sample have been obtained. Figure 6d (right) shows the discharge capacity versus cycle number for the electrodes with LiFe$_{0.5}$Mn$_{1.5}$O$_4$ cathode obtained by TM method (TM sample). It is interesting to observe that the discharge capacity only decreases slightly before 40 cycles at the lowest rates. If the cell is cycled at higher rates like C/1, the plateau of 5 V tends to disappear and the capacity decreases drastically. This fact suggests that the insertion/deinsertion kinetic determines the extension of the plateau. It is worth mentioning that in this sample, the 5 V plateau at low rate is not affected influenced and even is finally recovered. (see Figure S10). However, the extension of this plateau is very low and we have increased the highest limit of voltage up to 5.3 V for this sample. The results of these electrochemical tests are shown in Figure 6e)
Although higher capacity values have been obtained when the voltage limit was 5 V, the capacity loss was also higher even at low rates. Therefore, we can conclude that electrolyte/electrode surface is modified by high-valent Fe. By comparing the electrochemical profiles for Imp and TM samples, it is clear that the first sample shows a greater extension of the highest voltage plateau. Therefore, the spherical particles built by other nanometric units seem to help the insertion/deinsertion kinetics.

We will highlight the results obtained for the samples prepared by the SS method where after the 20th cyclation the capacity loss is only of 2%. (Figure 6f). Between the first and 5th cycles the capacities increase and from here on losses are very small. This fact could be related to the possible existence of a small amount of Li$_2$Mn$_2$O$_4$, not observed in the X-ray diffraction pattern, coherent with the low Mn:Fe ratio obtained for this sample (see Table 1). At this respect, it has been pointed out that in the LiMn$_{1.5}$Ni$_{0.5}$O$_4$ spinel and in Li$_2$Mn$_2$O$_4$ layer composites cathodes the capacity and energy density gradually increase during 50 cycles with a change in the shape of the charge–discharge profiles.

In order to corroborate this hypothesis in our system, a cathode made of LiMn$_{1.5}$Fe$_{0.5}$O$_4$/Li$_2$Mn$_2$O$_4$ composite was prepared with the SS method (by adding an adequate excess of lithium nitrate). The XRD pattern for this composite is given in Figure 7 where the characteristic Li$_2$Mn$_2$O$_4$ peaks are strongly defined (as shown with asterisks in Figure 7).

Lee et al. by means of ex situ XRD data analyses stated that the entire Li$_2$Mn$_2$O$_4$ layered phase transforms irreversibly into a cubic spinel phase in the composite cathode during extended electrochemical cycling. The new spinel phase consists of Mn and Ni in the 4+ oxidation state and therefore such a spinel phase can only insert lithium (into the empty 1c octahedral sites) in the 3 V region without any plateau in the 4 or 4.7 V region. The electrochemical profile for the LiMn$_{1.5}$Fe$_{0.5}$O$_4$/Li$_2$Mn$_2$O$_4$ composite cathode at C/10 is depicted in Figure 8. The specific capacity slightly increased until 5th cycle and from here on, a profile change is observed below 3.5 V, and thus the capacity continues to grow until the 20th cycle. During extended electrochemical cycling at different current densities (Figure S11), the 4 and 5 V plateaux are smaller. This fact could be interpreted taking into account that the (1-x)LiMn$_{1.5}$Fe$_{0.5}$O$_4$/xLi$_2$Mn$_2$O$_4$ composite changes into the lithium-rich spinel phase Li[Li$_x$Fe$_{(1-x)}$Mn$_{(3-x/2)}$]O$_4$, in which a part of the 1d octahedral sites of the spinel phase are occupied by lithium ions. Complementary electrochemical experiments on this composite phase in the voltage window between 5 to 2 V, should be of interest with the purpose to probe this idea in the future.
Finally, the coulombic efficiency is shown in Figure 9 for all the samples. The difference between discharge and charge capacity is around 90%, and therefore the specific capacities reached are very similar in charge and discharge stages. As it can be observed, the coulombic efficiency remains constant along the tested cycles. Regarding the single samples obtained, it is clear that microstructural morphology and electrochemical response are correlated. The synthesis of these spinel cathodes by soft chemistry processes leading to different precursors is revealed as an effective route to modulate the final electrochemical behaviour. The responses of the nano and microstructured samples synthesized in this work are improved compared to those of the SG phase, obtained by a more conventional sol-gel method. Though texture features as porosity seem not to affect appreciably the performance, other microstructural aspects do have a relevant role. Both hierarchical assembling and microstructure homogeneity influence the charge/discharge processes. In this sense, the samples composed of particles as nanostructured unities, with high uniformity in size and morphology (Imp_1, Imp_2), present an optimized response concerning cycling efficiency and capacity.

![Coulombic efficiency vs cycle number at C/10](image)

Figure 9. Coulombic efficiency vs cycle number at C/10

**Conclusions**

In this paper, a morphology-controlled synthesis of LiFe$_{0.5}$Mn$_{1.5}$O$_4$ spinels by different methods: sol-gel (SG), impregnation (Imp), template-hydrothermal (TM) and solvothermal (SS) is presented. The relationships among their textural, structural, morphological, and electrochemical properties are also reported. Although the textural characteristics are very similar for all the samples, different morphologies (microspheres, microrods and aggregates) and sizes are observed. Galvanostatic charge/discharge between 3 - 5 V at C/10 rate shows two plateaux at 4 and 5 V, related to the Mn$^{4+}$/Mn$^{3+}$ and Fe$^{3+}$/Fe$^{2+}$ couples. Highest specific capacity values are obtained at voltage window up 5.3 V although the specific capacity loss became more important and the electrolyte/electrode surface is modified by high-valent Fe. Despite that, in all cases the coulombic efficiency is around 90 % and remains constant along the tested cycles. Stable specific capacities are achieved during various cycles in several samples, mainly in those obtained by impregnation, template and solvothermal synthesis. Thus, these samples show between 91 % to 98 % capacity retention after 20 cycles compared to 49 % retention for the sample obtained by the more conventional sol-gel method. Moreover, the cells show good rate capability with average discharge capacity of 65, 55, and 44 mAh·g$^{-1}$ at current densities of C/10, C/5, and C/2, respectively. While altering the current density back to C/10, a discharge capacity as high as 65 mAh·g$^{-1}$ could be recovered. Furthermore, a LiMn$_{1.5}$Fe$_{0.5}$O$_4$/Li$_2$MnO$_3$ composite has been prepared by solvothermal process in order to increase the capacity and energy density; satisfactory results have been obtained in this case. Again, when the current density returns to C/10 the discharge capacity is recovered by the cell.

**Glossary**

DEC: Diethyl carbonate
dp: Pore diameter
EC: Ethylene carbonate
EDX: X-ray spectroscopy analysis
EG: Ethylene glycol
Imp: Impregnation
Q$^D$: Experimental specific capacities of deinsertion
Q$^I$: Experimental specific capacities of insertion
SEM: Scanning electron microscopy
SG: sol–gel method
SS: Solvothermal Synthesis
TG: Thermogravimetric
TM: template-hydrothermal
Vp: Pore volume
XRD: X-Ray diffraction
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