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Quasi-particle energies and optical excitations of hydrogenated and fluorinated germanene
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Using density functional theory, $G_0W_0$ method and Bethe-Salpeter equation calculations, we systematically explore the structural, electronic and optical properties of hydrogenated and fluorinated germanene. The hydrogenated/fluorinated germanene tend to form chair and zigzag-line configurations and their electronic and optical properties show close geometry dependence. The chair hydrogenated/fluorinated and zigzag-line fluorinated germanene are direct band-gap semiconductors, while the zigzag-line hydrogenated germanene owns an indirect band-gap. Moreover, the quasi-particle corrections are significant and strong excitonic effects with large exciton binding energies are observed. Moreover, the zigzag-line hydrogenated/fluorinated germanene show highly anisotropic optical responses, which may be used as good optical linear polarizers.
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1 Introduction

Graphene, atomically thin two-dimensional materials, has exhibited unique electronic and optical properties\textsuperscript{1-4} and holds great potential applications in future electronics\textsuperscript{5} and optoelectronics\textsuperscript{6}. Nevertheless, due to its semi-metallic nature, the introduction of finite band-gap into graphene is essential.\textsuperscript{7} Several approaches have been used to open the band-gap of graphene.\textsuperscript{8} One of efficient ways is chemical functionalization of graphene. Available theoretical and experimental studies\textsuperscript{9-13} have both demonstrated that fully hydrogenation and fluorination of graphene can successfully introduce a significant band-gap of 3.5 eV and 3.07 eV, respectively. Other functional groups such as benzoyl\textsuperscript{14}, nitrobenzene\textsuperscript{15} can also form covalent bonds with carbon atoms of graphene and induce sp\textsuperscript{2}-sp\textsuperscript{3} hybridization transformation, leading to the opening of band-gap through the Fermi level shift in the graphene.\textsuperscript{16-17}

Silicon (Si) and germanium (Ge), belonging to the same group as carbon in the periodic table, have been predicted to form nanosheet analogous to graphene, namely silicene and germanene\textsuperscript{18-21}. Theoretical investigations\textsuperscript{22-25} have indicated that they have similar band structure with graphene, in which the zero-gap semi-metallic behavior appears with Dirac-like electronic excitation. Most recently, monolayer silicene\textsuperscript{26} and germanene\textsuperscript{27} have been synthesized on silver and gold substrates via epitaxial grown method, respectively. Theoretical studies have further revealed that fully hydrogenated silicene forms chair-like structure with an indirect band-gap of about 2.1 eV\textsuperscript{28-30}, while fully fluorinated silicene prefers a zigzag-line buckling configuration with a direct band-gap of 0.7 eV\textsuperscript{29}. These silicene derivatives also
exhibit intriguing optical absorption properties such as strong excitonic effects with large exciton binding energies 1.07 eV and 1.48 eV for fully hydrogenated/fluorinated silicene\textsuperscript{30}, respectively. Fully hydrogenated germanene also shows strong excitonic effects and the exciton binding energy is about 0.6 eV\textsuperscript{31}.

In this paper, we employ density functional theory (DFT), $G_0W_0$ method and Bethe-Salpeter equation (BSE) to investigate the quasi-particle (QP) band structure and optical absorption spectrum of fully hydrogenated and fluorinated germanene. The stability of different structures and their influence to the QP band structure and excitonic effects are also addressed. Our calculations show that the chair hydrogenated/fluorinated and zigzag-line fluorinated germanene are direct band-gap semiconductors, while the zigzag-line hydrogenated germanene possesses an indirect band-gap. More interestingly, binding energies of the first bound excition are as large as 0.75 eV and 0.73 eV in chair hydrogenated/fluorinated germanene and the high buckling zigzag-line hydrogenated/fluorinated germanene exhibit highly anisotropic optical responses.

### 2 Computational methods

We first performed local density approximation (LDA) calculations using the Perdew and Wang functional,\textsuperscript{32} implemented in Quantum Espresso code,\textsuperscript{33} to optimize hydrogenated and fluorinated germanene with different configurations and obtain their ground-state electronic structures. Plane-wave basis set with an energy cutoff of 60 Ry, $18 \times 18 \times 1$ $k$-point sampling and norm-conserving pseudopotentials\textsuperscript{34} for
ion-electron interactions were employed. A vacuum region of 20 Å along the direction perpendicular to the sheet was used to avoid interaction between adjacent cells. The atomic structures were fully relaxed with the total energy converged to less than \( 10^{-4} \) eV and the forces acting on each atom less than 0.01 eV/Å.

Based on the electronic ground-state wave function and eigenvalues obtained from LDA, the quasi-particle energies were then calculated to obtain the accuracy electronic band structure within the GW approximation\(^{35-38}\) by the following equation:

\[
E_{nk}^{\text{QP}} = E_{nk}^{\text{KS}} + Z_{nk} (E_{nk}^{\text{KS}}) \langle \psi_{nk} | \Sigma (E_{nk}^{\text{KS}}) - V_{\text{XC}}^{\text{LDA}} | \psi_{nk} \rangle ,
\]

where \( Z_{nk} (E_{nk}^{\text{KS}}) = (1 - \frac{\partial \Sigma}{\partial E_{nk}^{\text{KS}}})^{-1} \). \( Z_{nk} \) is the renormalization factor. \( V_{\text{XC}}^{\text{LDA}} \) is the exchange-correlation potential. Self-energy \( \Sigma \) is the product of the non-interacting one-electron Green’s function \( G_0 \) and the screened Coulomb potential \( W_0 \) constructed by the Godby-Needs plasmon-pole approximation (PPA)\(^{39-40}\) in this study, i.e., \( \Sigma = iG_0 W_0 \).

Finally, the optical absorption spectra including electron-hole interaction were calculated via the Bethe-Salpeter equation\(^{41-43}\)

\[
(E_{ck}^{\text{qp}} - E_{vk}^{\text{qp}}) A_{vck}^S + \Sigma_{v'c'k'} < vck | K^d + 2K^s | v'c'k' > A_{v'c'k'}^S = \Omega_S A_{vck}^S ,
\]

where \( v, c, \) and \( k \) indicate the valence band, conduction band and \( k \) vector respectively; \( E_{ck}^{\text{qp}} \) and \( E_{vk}^{\text{qp}} \) are the quasi-particle energies for the electron and hole states; \( < vck \) and \( | v'c'k' > \) refer to the quasi-electron and quasi-hole states, respectively. \( A_{vck}^S \) and \( \Omega_S \) are the electron-hole amplitudes and the excitation energy, respectively. \( K^d \), related to the screened Coulomb interaction \( W_0 \), is the direct interaction term which is responsible for the attractive nature of electron-hole
interaction. \( K^x \) is the repulsive exchange term of the electron-hole interaction which includes the bare Coulomb interaction \( V \).

In \( G_0 W_0 \) and BSE calculations, the Coulomb interaction along the direction perpendicular to the sheet was truncated at 25 a.u. in order to avoid spurious interaction between periodic images. 360 and 384 conduction bands were used to construct the dielectric matrices and self-energy operator. The energy cutoffs for the exchange and correlation of the self-energy operator were 8 Ry and 50 Ry respectively. Five valence bands and ten conduction bands were taken into account to construct the electron-hole interaction kernel. A \( k \)-point grid of \( 24 \times 24 \times 1 \) was used for the \( G_0 W_0 \) calculation and a denser \( 48 \times 48 \times 1 \) \( k \)-point grid for BSE calculation. These parameters ensure the convergence of \( G_0 W_0 \) gap and absorption spectra within 0.08 eV. The \( G_0 W_0 \) and BSE calculations were performed using the YAMBO\textsuperscript{38} program package.

3 Results and discussions

![Figure 1](image.png)

**Figure 1.** Structures of germanane and fluorogermanene in (a) chair, (b) boat, (c)
zigzag-line, (d) armchair-line configurations. The blue and white spheres indicate germanium atoms at different altitudes, while the red ones refer to hydrogen or fluorine atoms, respectively. Red diamond and rectangle represent the primitive cell.

(a)-(d) Right: Top (upper panel) and side views (lower panel) of the optimized structures for fluorogermanene.

3.1 Structure and stability of hydrogenated and fluorinated germanene

Following the naming convention for graphene and graphane\textsuperscript{11}, we refer to the hydrogenated and fluorinated germanene sheets as germanane and fluorogermanene, respectively. As shown in the left panels of Figure 1(a)-1(d), four possible buckled configurations analogous to graphane\textsuperscript{44} are considered for germanane and fluorogermanene, respectively. The chair configuration has a buckling alternated by the single Ge atom. The boat configuration has a buckling alternated with the pair Ge atoms. Carefully examination shows that the chair/boat germanane and chair fluorogermanene are all biaxially strained; while the boat fluorogermanene is uniaxially strained. The zigzag-line (Z-line) and the armchair-line (A-line) configurations have the buckling alternated by the zigzag and armchair lines of Ge atoms, respectively. The optimized structures of fluorogermanene are displayed in right panels of Figure 1(a)-(d), which the germanane ones are very similar. Their structural and energetic information together those of germanene are summarized in Table 1. Our results of the chair germanene and germanane are in good agreement with other DFT calculations\textsuperscript{18, 22, 31, 45}, and the equilibrium lattice constant ($b_{zig}$) 3.95 Å for germanane, is also in good accordance with the available experimental result of
3.98 Å\textsuperscript{46-47}. Compared with pristine germanene, the Ge-Ge bond lengths ($d_{\text{Ge-Ge}}$) in germanane and fluorogermainene are lengthened slightly by about 1.68\% ~ 3.07\% and 2.36\% ~ 4.06\% due to the formation of Ge-H and Ge-F bonds, respectively. The chair germanane and fluorogermainene both have relatively low buckling height ($d_z$) of 0.72 Å and 0.61 Å, while its Z-line configurations possess quite high buckling height of 1.971 Å and 1.957 Å (see Table 1), respectively. The large buckling heights decrease the cell parameter $a_{\text{arm}}$ significantly, which it can be even shrank by about 16.6\% with respect to (3.92 $\times \sqrt{3} \approx 6.79$ Å) germanene. The binding energies and formation energies were calculated to evaluate the stability of the germanane and fluorogermainene. The binding energy ($E_B$) is defined as $E_B = (N_{\text{Ge}} \times E_{\text{Ge-atom}} + N_X \times E_{X-atom} - E_{\text{total}}) / N$, where $N_{\text{Ge}}$, $N_X$, $N$ are the number of atoms of Ge, X (hydrogen or fluorine), and the total number of atoms in unit cell, $E_{\text{Ge-atom}}$ or $E_{X-atom}$ is the energy of Ge, H or F atom, $E_{\text{total}}$ is the total energy of germanane or fluorogermainene. For germanane, the binding energies of four different configurations are 2.575 (Chair), 2.560 (Boat), 2.566 (Z-line), and 2.563 (A-line) eV per atom, while they are 5.205 (Chair), 5.190 (Boat), 5.197 (Z-line), and 5.194 (A-line) eV per atom for fluorogermainene, respectively. The large $E_B$ indicates that fluorogermainene is very stable. The formation energy ($E_F$) is calculated as well, defined as $E_F = (E_{\text{total}} - E_I - \frac{N_X}{2} E_{X_i}) / N$, where $E_I$ is the total energy of germanane, and $E_{X_i}$ is the energy of a H$_2$ or F$_2$ molecule. The energies both follow the order of $E_F$ (chair) $< E_F$ (Z-line) $< E_F$ (A-line) $< E_F$ (Boat) for germanane and fluorogermainene. Moreover, the formation energies of germanane and fluorogermainene are more negative, especially for
fluorogermanene, than those of hydrogenated or fluorinated graphene\textsuperscript{47}, suggesting that they could be feasible experimentally.

Table 1. Structural and energetic parameters for the germanane/fluorogermanene of four configurations and germanene: cell parameters $a_{\text{arm}}$ (Å), $b_{\text{zig}}$ (Å), bond length $d_{\text{Ge-Ge}}$ (Å), $d_{\text{Ge-X}} (X = H \text{ or } F)$ (Å), buckling height $\Delta_z$ (Å), binding energy $E_B$ (eV/atom) and formation energy $E_F$ (eV/atom).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Germanene</th>
<th>Germanane</th>
<th>Fluorogermanene</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Chair</td>
<td>Chair</td>
<td>Boat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$a_{\text{arm}}$</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>6.70</td>
<td>5.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$b_{\text{zig}}$</td>
<td>3.920</td>
<td>3.950</td>
<td>3.850</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$d_{\text{Ge-Ge}}$</td>
<td>2.340</td>
<td>2.390</td>
<td>2.414</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$d_{\text{Ge-X}}$</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>1.529</td>
<td>1.530</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta_z$</td>
<td>0.616</td>
<td>0.720</td>
<td>1.032</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$E_B$</td>
<td>4.675</td>
<td>2.575</td>
<td>2.560</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$E_F$</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>-0.270</td>
<td>-0.254</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.2 Electronic structure and optical properties of chair germanane and fluorogermanene

We first investigate the electronic structure of the chair germanene, germanane and fluorogermanene at LDA level and display their band structure, projected density of states and charge density in Figure 2. As shown from the figure, germanene owns a zero band-gap, corresponding to the semi-metallic property. Its valence band
maximum (VBM) and conduction band minimum (CBM) coincide at K point, which are mainly contributed by the $4p_z$ orbitals of Ge atoms, and the corresponding charge densities center around one of two nonequivalent Ge atoms. The chair germanane is a semiconductor with a direct band-gap of 1.38 eV at $\Gamma$ point, which stems from the hydrogenation induced $sp^2$-$sp^3$ hybridization of Ge atoms. Further, the charge density distribution indicates both the VBM and CBM states originate from the contribution of Ge-$4p$ states, as shown in Figure 2(d). Similarly, the chair fluorogeramanene owns a direct band-gap of 0.19 eV at $\Gamma$ point. The CBM in germanane and fluorogeramanene both consists of $sp^3$-like hybridization orbitals of Ge atoms (Figure 2(c) & (e) right). The VBM mostly originates from the combination of Ge-$(4p_x+4p_y)$ and F-$(2p_x+2p_y)$ orbitals for fluorogeramanene, while that of germanane is mainly from Ge-$(4p_x+4p_y)$. Moreover, all valence bands in fluorogeramanene include F-$(2p_x+2p_y)$ orbitals (see Figure 2(e) right), which may induce the significant shift of VBM relative to the CBM in comparison with that of geramnane and eventually lead to the small band-gap of fluorogeramanene.
Figure 2. (a), (c), (e): Band structure and projected density of states of germanene, germanane and fluorogermanene with chair configuration; (b), (d), (f): Charge density of VBM and CBM; an isovalue of 0.0025 e/Å³ is used. The black and grey solid lines represent the results from the LDA and $G_0W_0$ calculations, respectively. The energy of VBM is shifted to 0 eV.
The quasi-particle corrections at \textit{GW} level for germanane and fluorogermanene are presented in Figure 2(c) \& (e). Compared with LDA results, the corrected conduction band is shifted up, while the valence band is shifted down. The opening of the band-gaps is sizeable (more than 100\% compared to the LDA gaps) and the QP band-gaps of germanane and fluorogermanene increase to 2.81 eV and 1.43 eV (see Table 2), respectively. Such significant QP corrections are the consequence of reduced electronic screening which can significantly enhance the Coulomb interaction in germanane and fluorogermanene. Similar significant QP corrections in the atomically thin materials, have been observed in hydrogenated and fluorinated graphene as well.\textsuperscript{30-31,49}

**Table 2.** Band-gap $E_{g-LDA}$ (eV) at DFT-LDA level, $E_{g-GW}$ (eV) at \textit{GW} level, excitation energy $E_{e-A^1}$ and binding energy $E_{b-A^1}$ (eV) of the first resonant or bound exciton of germanane or fluorogermanene, which is defined as the difference between the excitation energy and the QP energy difference. The x and y indicate the direction of incident light polarized.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Configuration</th>
<th>$E_{g-LDA}$</th>
<th>$E_{g-GW}$</th>
<th>$E_{e-A^1}$</th>
<th>$E_{b-A^1}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>germanane</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chair</td>
<td>1.38</td>
<td>2.81</td>
<td>2.06</td>
<td>0.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zigzag-line</td>
<td>1.91\textsuperscript{(indirect)}</td>
<td>3.65\textsuperscript{(indirect)}</td>
<td>4.33 (x)</td>
<td>0.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.19\textsuperscript{(direct)}</td>
<td>3.83\textsuperscript{(direct)}</td>
<td>3.15 (y)</td>
<td>0.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fluorogermanene</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chair</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>1.43</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zigzag-line</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>2.45</td>
<td>1.94 (x)</td>
<td>2.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.72 (y)</td>
<td>2.80</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The optical absorption spectra without the electron-hole interaction (\textit{GW}+RPA) and with the electron-hole interaction (\textit{GW}+BSE) from the solution of the Bethe-Salpeter
equation, are displayed in Figure 3. Considering the depolarization effects perpendicular to the plane, we only focus on the optical absorption for light polarization parallel to the germanane (fluorogermainene) surface. Our calculations show that the optical absorption spectra are very similar for the incident light polarized along x and y directions at $GW+RPA$ or $GW+BSE$ level. So, we only present the absorption spectra for the incident light polarized along x direction shown in Figure 1(a). As clearly shown in Figure 3(a) & (b), the excitonic effects dramatically reshape the spectral profile comparing with the single-particle optical spectra. When the self-energy corrections are included, the entire spectrum shifts to the high energy scope (blue-shift); while it is pushed back as the electron-hole ($e-h$) interactions are included. For germanane, the first bound exciton $A_1^1$, corresponding to the first absorption peak, is observed at 2.06 eV (see Figure 3(a)), which is originated from two energetically degenerate excitons with an $e-h$ binding energy of 0.75 eV (see Table 2). This indicates that the germanane is very promising for the observation of excitonic effects in the visible light range. In the case of fluorogermainene, one strong absorption peaks is observed at 0.70 eV (see Figure 3(b)), which is also from two energetically degenerate excitons with a large $e-h$ binding energy of 0.73 eV (see Table 2). The binding energies of these excitons in germanane and fluorogermainene are comparable to those found in other monolayer semiconductors and 1D nanostructures. The reduced dimensionality and weakened electronic screening are primary factors for fostering such enhanced excitonic effects. In addition, in comparison with resonant excitons in germanane, the first bound exciton with the
almost same binding energy in germanane and fluorogermanene is of particular interest due to the large binding energy, large oscillator strength, as well as their two-dimensional character, which make germanane and fluorogermanene hold the promise for possible important applications in optoelectronics.

**Figure 3.** (a), (b): Optical absorption spectra for light polarization parallel to the chair germanane (fluorogermanene) sheet without (black line) and with (red line) the e-h interaction, *i.e.* $GW$+RPA and $GW$+BSE. A Lorentzian broadening of 0.05 eV was adopted. $A^1$ denotes the first bound exciton. The vertical black dash dot lines indicate the positions of the lowest direct QP gap. Top and side views of the first bound exciton wave functions for germanane (c) and for fluorogermanene (d), where the hole position (green dot) is fixed on Ge-Ge bond for germanane and fluorine atom for fluorogermanene.
To gain further insight of the optical spectra, we explore the excitonic wave function related to the main peaks of absorption spectra. The excitonic wave function can be written as

\[ |\Psi^S(r_e, r_h)\rangle = \sum_{c, v, k} S_{cvk} A_{ck} \phi(c, k) \phi(r_e, r_h), \]

(3)

where \( r_e \) and \( r_h \) are the real space electron and hole coordinate, respectively. The \( \Psi \) is the quasi-particle wave function. The coefficients \( A_{cvk}^{S} \) are obtained by diagonalizing the Hamiltonian of Bethe-Salpter equation. To represent the six coordinate function, we fixed the hole position on the Ge-Ge bond for germanane (fluorine atom for fluorogermanene), and projected the modulus squared of the real-space quasi-particle wave function (\( |\Psi^S(r_e, r_h) = (0,0,0)|^2 \)) onto the x-y plate. For germanane and fluorogermanene, electron probability distribution \( |\Psi^S(r_e, r_h)|^2 \) of the first bound exciton is shown in Figure 3(c) & (d), respectively. The wave function pictures of these bound excitons show an obvious damping nature, which is an indication of the bound between quasi-electron and quasi-hole governed by the excitonic effects. Moreover, the exciton spreads in a relatively localized space with an exciton radius of \( \sim 17 \text{ Å} \) and \( \sim 24 \text{ Å} \) for germanane and fluorogermanene, respectively. Actually, the exciton radius is closely related to the exciton binding energy. According to hydrogen atom model, \( E = E_g - C e^2/2r \), where \( E \) is excitonic energy, \( E_g \) is the band-gap, \(- e^2/2r\) is the energy eigenvalues of the hydrogen atom ground state, \( C \) is a constant and \( r \) is Bohr radius, one can conclude that the larger the Bohr radius, the smaller the \((E_g-E)\). As the exciton radius and binding energy can be analogous to the Bohr radius \( r \) and \((E_g-E)\) of hydrogen atom model, we can easily draw a conclusion that for a smaller
radius, the electron and the hole will be confined within a narrower area, thus the interaction between them is enhanced, and eventually results in larger binding energy.

3.3 Electronic structure and optical properties of Z-line germanane and fluorogermanene

![Figure 4](image)

**Figure 4.** (a), (b): Band structures of germanane and fluorogermanene in Z-line configuration from LDA (black solid lines). The grey solid lines represent QP energies corrections to the LDA energies at relevant \( k \)-points of the uppermost valence band and the lowest conduction band. The energy of VBM is shifted to zero.
(c), (d): The projected density of states of germanane and fluorogermanene in Z-line configuration.

We now focus on the cases of the Z-line germanane and fluorogermanene with higher buckling. Their electronic band structures at LDA level, projected density of states and representative bands at GW level are presented in Figure 4. For germanane, hydrogenation induces an indirect band-gap of 1.91 eV between the Γ point and X point around (see Figure 4(a)). Moreover, the VBM is mainly derived from Ge-4p_y orbital; while the CBM is mostly from Ge 4s and 4p_x orbitals (see Figure 4(c)). For fluorogermanene, the VBM is dominated from Ge-4p_y and F-2p_y orbitals; while the CBM is composed of Ge-4p_z orbital (see Figure 4(d)). A direct band-gap of 0.71 eV is obtained at the Γ point, as shown in Figure 4(b). After considering quasi-particle corrections, the indirect (direct) band-gap increases to 3.65 eV (2.45 eV) for germanane (fluorogermanene). Such quasi-particle corrections to the LDA energy band are also the result of reduced dimension and rather weak electronic screening.
Figure 5. Optical absorption spectra for the incident light polarized along the x direction: (a), (c); y direction: (b), (d), in germanane and fluorogermanene. Without (black line) and with (red line) the e-h interaction, i.e. GW+RPA and GW+BSE. The vertical black dash dot lines refer to the QP gap at the Γ point. A 0.05 eV Lorentzian broadening is employed in these plots.

Optical absorption spectra of the Z-line germanane and fluorogermanene are plotted in Figure 5. Quasi-particle corrections without and with e-h interaction are both considered, where the light is polarized along the x or y direction shown in Figure 1(c). When the self-energy corrections (GW-RPA) are included, the entire spectrum is blue-shift in comparison with that obtained in the independent-particle approximation; however, once the e-h interactions (GW+BSE) are included, the entire spectrum is
red-shift relative to that of $GW$+RPA. When the light is polarized along the x or y direction, the optical absorption spectra exhibit evident difference (see Figure 5(a)-5(d)). The germanane strongly absorbs y-polarized light in the energy range of 2.6 to 3.6 eV and is transparent to x-polarized light in this range, which is the result of selection rules associated with the symmetries of the anisotropic material. However, the fluorogermanene shows a strong absorption towards y-polarized light in the range of 0.3 ~ 1.5 eV and is transparent to x-polarized light.

Figure 6. Top views of the real-space exciton distribution corresponding to the first absorption peaks along x-polarized light direction: (a), (c); y-polarized light: (b), (d), in germanane and fluorogermanene.

The position of the first absorption peak and its corresponding binding energy of germanane are listed in Table 2. When the x-polarized light is used in germanane, the
first absorption peak is observed at 4.33 eV. This is a resonant excitation, which is mostly contributed by the dipole-allowed vertical transitions between the top valence band to the first conduction band at X-point. The spatial distribution of the resonant exciton wave function is shown in Figure 6(a) and it spreads over a larger area. Moreover, the binding energy of the first resonant exciton (A\textsuperscript{1}) is 0.42 eV. When the y-polarized light is used, the first absorption peak locates at 3.15 eV, which is an optically active exciton (A\textsuperscript{1}) with the binding energy of 0.95 eV. Different from the resonant exciton aforementioned, the bound exciton wave function mainly distributes along zigzag direction of the lattice (see Figure 6(b) which the spatial distribution of the bound exciton wave function is presented), indicating anisotropic optical response. The large binding energy of exciton in germanane is the result of weak and nonlocal dielectric screening. For fluorogermandene, the first bound exciton (A\textsuperscript{1}) locates at 1.94 eV, which is an optically active exciton with the bound energy as large as 2.37 eV. When y-polarized light is applied in fluorogermandene, the first absorption peak is observed at 0.72 eV, the corresponding exciton has a binding energy of 2.80 eV. The spatial distribution of the two bound excitons wave function show similar profiles as germanane (see Figure 6(c)-(d)). The large bound energy together with their highly anisotropic optical responses observed in germanane and fluorogermandene are of particular interest and may be good optical linear polarizers.

4 Conclusions

In summary, the structural stabilities, electronic and optical properties of germanane and fluorogermandene have been investigated within the framework of density
functional theory, $G_0W_0$ method and Bethe-Salpeter equation. The chair and zigzag-line configurations are energetically preferred for both the germanane and fluorogermerene. The chair germanane and fluorogermerene and zigzag-line fluorogermerene exhibit direct band-gaps, while the zigzag-line germanane is an indirect-gap semiconductor. Furthermore, the significant quasi-particle corrections and strong excitonic effects with large exciton binding energies are observed. More interestingly, highly anisotropic optical responses are observed in zigzag-line germanane and fluorogermerene. Absorption spectrum of x-polarized light dominates over high energy region in germanane, while that of y-polarized light is predominant in low energy region in germanane and fluorogermerene. These interesting electronic and optical properties of germanane/fluorogermerene and the fundamental understandings are potentially useful for future optoelectronic device design.
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