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The results of quantum chemical modeling of organic and metal-containing intermediates which occur in the reactions of electrocatalytic dehalogenation of organic chlorides are presented. Modeling of processes which take place on consequent steps of electrochemical reduction of the representatives of C\textsubscript{1} and C\textsubscript{2} chlorides – CHCl\textsubscript{3} and freon R113 (1,1,2-trifluoro-1,2,2-trichloroethane) – was carried out by density functional theory (DFT) and second-order M\textregistered{}ller–Plesset perturbation theory (MP2). It was found that taking solvation into account using implicit solvent model (conductor-like screening model, COSMO) or considering explicit solvent molecules gave similar results. In addition to modeling of raw non-catalytic dehalogenation, processes with a number of complexes and their reduced forms, some of which were catalytically active, were investigated by DFT. Complexes M(L\textsubscript{1})\textsubscript{2} (M = Fe, Co, Ni, Cu, Zn, L\textsubscript{1}H = Schiff base from 2-pyridinecarbaldehyde and hydrazide of 4-pyridinecarboxylic acid), Ni(L\textsubscript{2}) (H\textsubscript{2}L\textsubscript{2} is Schiff base from salicyldehyde and 1,2-ethylenediamine, known as Salen) and Co(L\textsubscript{3})Cl\textsubscript{2}, representing a fragment of redox-active coordination polymer [Co(L\textsubscript{3})Cl\textsubscript{2}]\textsubscript{n} (L\textsubscript{3} is dithioamide of 1,3-benzenedicarboxylic acid), were considered. Gradual changes of electronic structure in a series of compounds M(L\textsubscript{1})\textsubscript{2} were observed, correlations between [M(L\textsubscript{1})\textsubscript{2}]\textsuperscript{0} spin-up and spin-down LUMO energies and relative energies of the corresponding high-spin and low-spin reduced forms as well as the shape of the orbitals were proposed. These results allow to elaborate prompts for DFT-based estimation of the nature of the redox-transitions. No specific covalent interactions between [M(L\textsubscript{1})\textsubscript{2}]\textsuperscript{+} and R113 molecule (M = Fe, Co, Ni, Zn) were found, which indicates that M(L\textsubscript{1})\textsubscript{2} electrocatalysts act rather like electron transfer mediators via outer shell electron transfer. Relaxed surface scan of the adducts {M(L\textsubscript{1})\textsubscript{2}·R113}\textsuperscript{−} (M = Ni or Co) versus distance between chlorine atom leaving at reduction and the corresponding carbon atom showed energy barrier of electron transfer (the first stage of R113 catalytic reduction), while DFT optimization of {Ni(L\textsubscript{2})·R113}\textsuperscript{−} adduct showed barrier-less decomposition. The difference between stabilities of {Ni(L\textsubscript{1})\textsubscript{2}·R113}\textsuperscript{−} and {Ni(L\textsubscript{2})·R113}\textsuperscript{−} adducts correlates with the difference between catalytic activity of Ni(L\textsubscript{1})\textsubscript{2} and Ni(L\textsubscript{2}) in electrochemical reduction of R113.
Introduction

During recent decades many efforts were devoted to studies of electrocatalytic reactions, catalyzed by complexes of 3d metals.\(^1\)\(^-\)\(^6\) In particular, reactions of organic halides reductive dehalogenation catalyzed by metal complexes have been attracting attention\(^7\)\(^-\)\(^9\) because they allow to obtain valuable organic compounds. For example, freons conversion can be used for synthesis of many fluorine-containing compounds, including halogenated olefins,\(^7\) carboxylic or sulfonic acids, aldehydes, ketones.\(^8\)\(^-\)\(^11\) Metal-catalyzed dehalogenation of organic compounds is also considered as a method for pollutants elimination from water.\(^12\)

The catalytic action of metal complex in reductive process involves the stage of electron acceptance by the catalyst (catalyst reduction) followed by substrate reduction by the reduced form of the catalyst (Scheme 1). The catalyst can participate in several consequent electron transfer acts, which gives rise to growth of the cathodic current. In the majority of cases one-electron reduction of substrate initiates a cascade of reactions, and one of the products can accept one more electron (leading to two-electron reduction in total).\(^13\) Depending on the structure of the catalyst, electrocatalytic reduction of organic compounds can involve coordination of substrate (or its fragment) to metal center\(^7\)\(^-\)\(^14\),\(^15\) or out-of-sphere electron transfer without substrate binding.\(^16\) Studies of electronic structure of reduced forms of complexes, which show electrocatalytic activity, are important for understanding of the mechanism of such catalytic processes. It should be noted that information about electronic structure of such species can be obtained experimentally.\(^17\) However, direct characterization of reduced forms of metal complexes (i.e. their isolation and study by "usual" methods) is not trivial task because of their extreme air-sensitivity and/or low stability, and the attempts to perform preparative chemical or electrochemical reduction give no guarantee, that the isolated form is the most stable form (in contrast to, for example, less soluble compound or the product of multi-step transformations).

![Scheme 1](Image)

Recently we reported electrocatalytic reduction of freon R113 (CF\(_2\)Cl–CFCl\(_2\)) and CHCl\(_3\) by similar isostructural complexes M(L\(_2\)) (where M = Ni, Co, Fe, Zn; L\(_{1H}\) is Schiff base from hydrazide of 4-pyridinecarboxylic acid and 2-pyridinecarbaldehyde, Fig. 1).\(^18\)\(^-\)\(^19\) It was also shown that electrochemical activity of M(L\(_2\)) (M = Ni, Co) preserved upon incorporation of these species as bridging units into porous coordination polymers \{Fe\(_2\)M'O[Piv]\(_4\)\} \{M(L\(_2\))\}_\(_{1.5}\) (M = Ni\(^\text{II}\), M' = Co\(^\text{II}\); M = Co\(^\text{II}\), M' = Ni\(^\text{II}\)).

In order to get better understanding of the electron transfer pathway in reactions of electrocatalytic reduction of organic halides (Scheme 1) and to reveal intermediates which participate in these reactions, we performed quantum-chemical modeling of species, which can form in the result of reduction of two halides and seven metal complexes, as well as the adducts of some species with solvent (DMF) or adducts "reduced catalyst + halide". The aim of this study was to elucidate the processes, which can occur upon electrocatalytic reduction of organic halides, in particular: (i) to determine stable intermediates which can form upon electron acceptance by organic halide and to reveal pathway of such anions decomposition; (ii) to reveal the place of "additional" electron localization in reduced complexes (reduced forms of the catalysts); and (iii) to model evolution of adducts of such reduced catalyst and organic halide in order to evaluate electron transfer barrier (if any).

In the present paper we report the results of DFT and MP2 modeling of reduction of CHCl\(_3\) and freon R113, which to certain extent can be considered as the representatives of C\(_2\) and C\(_3\) chlorides. It was shown that primary radical anion which formed upon halide molecule reduction was unstable in both cases (R113 and CHCl\(_3\)) and decomposed, eliminating chloride anion. Further reduction of the formed radicals led to stable anion CHCl\(_3\)\(^-\) in the case of CHCl\(_3\) or to elimination of the second Cl and formation of CF\(_2\)=CFCl in the case of R113. Modeling of species was carried out in implicit solvent or with explicit solvent, but no significant interactions with any molecule or anion were found, as well as no substantial influence of the explicit solvent on the results of the modeling was observed. Also, we carried out DFT modeling of a series of [M(L\(_1\))]\(_n\) (M = Fe, Co, Ni, Cu, Zn) complexes and their reduced forms. It was found that these complexes exhibited different nature of electrochemical redox-processes [M(L\(_1\))]\(_n\)[M(L\(_1\))]\(_{\text{red}}\) gradually changing from mainly ligand-centered in [Zn(L\(_1\))]\(_2\) and [Ni(L\(_1\))]\(_2\) to mainly metal-centered in [Cu(L\(_1\))]\(_2\). It was found that [M(L\(_1\))]\(_{\text{red}}\) species (except [Zn(L\(_1\))]\(_{\text{red}}\)) could exist in two pseudodegenerate spin states, which were studied in details for M = Ni or Co. Energy differences between these forms were small enough to allow both states to be thermally populated. The difference between the energies of calculated spin-up (close for all complexes in the series) and spin-down LUMO (the energy of the latter is slightly higher for [Ni(L\(_1\))]\(_2\) than spin-up one and decreases in the series [Ni(L\(_1\))]\(_2\) > [Fe(L\(_1\))]\(_2\) > [Co(L\(_1\))]\(_2\) > [Cu(L\(_1\))]\(_2\)] correlated with the relative energies of low-spin and high-spin states; it also correlated with experimental \(E_{1/2}\) potentials of the appropriate redox-transitions, as well as with the nature (shape and Mulliken population on the metal ion) of the spin-down LUMO orbital. To check if such situation is typical or not we modeled reduced form of Ni(L\(_2\)) complex by DFT; this compound was chosen due to its well-known electrocatalytic activity\(^20\) (L\(_2\) is Schiff base from salicyldehyde and 1,2-ethylenediamine, known as Salen, Fig. 1). In contrast to M(L\(_1\)) complexes, an "additional" electron in reduced form of Ni(L\(_2\)) was localized on orbital of metal. Then, DFT modeling of reduced form of Co(L\(_3\))Cl\(_2\) was carried out in order to see the influence of donor atom (S compared to N and O) on localization of additional electron in reduced compounds (L\(_3\) is dithioamide of 1,3-benzene-dicarboxylic acid, Fig. 1). A species Co(L\(_3\))[Cl\(_3\)] is a fragment of coordination polymer [Co(L\(_3\))Cl\(_2\)]\(_n\) previously reported by us,\(^21\) it was chosen, besides the presence...
of S atoms in coordination sphere, due to its redox-activity (which was checked in separate experiment, Supporting Information).

Finally, examination of electron transfer from reduced catalyst to halide was carried out. DFT optimization of adducts \([\{M(L1)\} ][R113]^+\) \((M = Ni, Co), Ni(L2) and CoCl_2(L3))\) considered in this study. CoCl_2(L3) species is a fragment of reported coordination polymer \([Co(L3)Cl_3]_n\).^{21}

Results and discussion

Modeling of halides reduction

Electrochemical dehalogenation of CHCl_3 and freon R113 (CF_2ClCFCl_2) in DMF with tetraalkylammonium background electrolytes on electrochemically inert electrodes mostly led to CH_2Cl_2 and CF_2=CFCl, respectively.^{22,23} Studies of exact mechanisms of electrochemical dehalogenation reactions were carried out,^{15} which showed that at first a molecule of an organic halide accepted an electron forming the radical anion (rate-determining step) which was involved in a set of degradation reactions depending on the structure of the radical and the conditions of the process. However, processes that occur upon electron addition to molecule of the halide, especially the stability of primary radical anion and pathways of its further transformations, depend on fine balance between thermodynamic parameters of the halide and products of its transformation.\(^{24,25}\)

In order to clarify the sequence of CHCl_3 and R113 reduction reactions (in particular, to determine which group of R113 loses Cl– first), quantum chemical studies of species which can potentially occur in these reactions were provided.

Geometry optimization of organic molecules was provided with triple-ζ valence basis set using DFT (with semiempirical correction for Van der Waals interactions) and SCS-MP2 (spin-component scaled second order Möller–Plesset perturbation theory)\(^{26}\) independently.

Exchange-correlation potential TPSS\(^{27}\) which belongs to the most profound class of non-hybrid potentials (meta-GGA) was used for DFT. Usage of non-hybrid potential allowed to speed up the calculations efficiently with virtually no loss of accuracy via the resolution of identity approach.\(^{28}\) Usage of hybrid potentials would require far larger computational efforts with no significant reduction of errors in geometry parameters.\(^{27,29}\) However, meta-GGA potentials yield lesser errors compared to simpler GGA potentials which do not include expressions with laplacian of electronic density,\(^{27,29}\) but the difference in the computational efforts is low.

SCS-MP2 was used as an alternative wavefunction-based method with direct electron correlation treatment within perturbation theory. More profound treatment of electron correlation with geometry optimization (e.g. with CCSD(T) method) would require tremendous computational efforts. At the same time, application of semiempirical scaling coefficients in SCS-MP2 method allows to reach better results compared to non-scaled MP2 method.\(^{26}\)

Geometry optimization of \(R113\) molecule by DFT and SCS-MP2 methods yields the following bond length values: \(d(C–F) = 134–136\) pm (DFT) and 133–135 pm (SCS-MP2); \(d(C–Cl) = 178\) pm (DFT) and 176 pm (SCS–MP2), \(d(C–C) = 157\) pm (DFT) and 156 pm (SCS-MP2). Valent angles’ values obtained by both methods lie in 107–111° range. Similarly, \(d(C–Cl)\) in CHCl_3 is equal to 179 pm (DFT) and 177 pm (SCS-MP2), \(d(C–H)\)  108 pm (DFT and SCS-MP2), valent angles’ values lie in 108–111° range. Experimentally found for CHCl_3 in gas phase: \(d(C–Cl) = 177\) pm, \(d(C–H) = 107\) pm, \(Cl–C–Cl\) angle was equal to 110.24°,\(^{30}\) which was consistent with results of the calculations and may evidence in favor of correctness of these and the following calculations.

Geometry optimization by DFT of probable product of CHCl_3 one-electron reduction – radical anion \([CHCl_3]^−\) – showed, that such species was unstable. Equilibrium point of \([CHCl_3]^−\) corresponded to barrier-less separation of one Cl– ion along with formation of hydrogen bond \(H...Cl\) (Scheme 2). Clearly, the “step” on E vs r curve, preceding this equilibrium point, has no physical sense and represents a pathway chosen by geometry optimizer in order to locate the energy minimum. Hereinafter assignment of Cl to chloride ion (rather than Cl• radical) was confirmed by analysis of atomic charges and spin densities by a few different methods (Mulliken: charge −0.94, spin density 0.0008; ChelpG:31 charge −0.96; Hirshfeld:32 charge −0.87, spin density 0.002; Becke:33 charge −0.96, spin density 0.003). Similar data were obtained using SCS-MP2 method.

DFT calculation showed, that product of the further reduction of \([CHCl_2]^−\) \((CHCl_2– anion)\) did not decompose, increase of C–Cl distance from 1.928 Å (equilibrium value for \([CHCl_3]^−\)) to 3.928 Å via relaxed surface scan (RSS) procedure with DFT (see Experimental section) led to electronic energy growth on 90 kJ/mol.\(^*$
These findings are similar to the previously reported results of modeling of CCl₄ molecule reduction in water.³⁴

\[
\text{CHCl}_3 + e^{-} \rightarrow [\text{CHCl}_3^{-}]
\]

Scheme 2 The stages of CHCl₃ molecule reduction (the results of DFT modeling). Crossed systems were shown to be not stable. The open squares correspond to non-equilibrium steps of geometry relaxation, solid squares – to equilibrium geometries.

According to DFT calculations, the probable product of one-electron reduction of R113 – radical anion [CF₂Cl–CFCl₂]⁻ – was also unstable, the electronic energy minimum corresponded to separation of one chlorine atom (Mulliken atomic charge on leaving chlorine atom of CF₂Cl–CFCl₂: –0.81, spin density: 0.17, ChelpG charge: –0.82; Hirschfeld: charge –0.76, spin density 0.19; Becke: charge –0.83, spin density 0.19) originated from –ССl₂F group (Scheme 3). The separation of chlorine anion from this group was probably facilitated by formation of resonance forms involving orbitals of the second Cl atom that belongs to this fragment. (Fig. 2). Distance from one of Cl atoms to C atom in [CF₂Cl–CFCl₂]⁻ increased to 2.744 Å (DFT) or 3.095 Å (SCS-MP2) compared to corresponding C–Cl separation in R113, . These increased values a bit lesser than sum of Van der Waals radii of Cl and C (3.45 Å),³⁵ but significantly exceeded the sum of their covalent radii (1.76 Å),³⁶ It should be noted, that previously proposed mechanisms suggested that Cl atom of –CF₂Cl group eliminated first,²⁰ but no proofs of such suggestion were presented.

Analysis of electronic energy versus C–Cl distance for [CF₂Cl–CFCl₂]⁻ species by DFT method by RSS procedure showed, that increase of the distance (r) to 4.5 Å led to some energy (E) growth (10 kJ/mol), followed by saturation of E vs. r curve. Similarly, SCS-MP2 – RSS analysis showed energy oscillations within 2–3 kJ/mol range while increasing C–Cl distance (Fig. S1). So, both methods showed that there was some non-zero energy barrier of complete Cl– removing, but it was small enough and comparable with the energy of thermal motions of [CF₂Cl–CFCl₂]⁻ radical.

Addition of electron to [CF₂Cl–CFCl₂]⁻ radical (second stage of two-electron reduction of R113) led to formation of [CF₂Cl–CFCl]⁻ anion (Scheme 3). Optimization of this anion by DFT showed, that it was also unstable, and the energy minimum corresponded to 3.34 Å distance between C and Cl atoms of –CF₂Cl fragment (which is close to the sum of carbon and chlorine Van der Waals radii, 3.45 Å³⁵). Such decomposition led to formation of trichlorofluorethylene CF₂–CFCl. In contrast to DFT, SCS-MP2 calculation showed, that [CF₂Cl–CFCl]⁻ species did not decompose itself completely. However, energy barrier of increasing C–Cl distance within RSS procedure by 0.2 Å was only 10 kJ/mol, and further separation of these atoms resulted in significant decrease of the energy (by 100 kJ/mol for 3.6 Å, Fig. S2), so we can conclude from modeling by two methods that this anion is not stable.

These findings are similar to the previously reported results of modeling of C₂Cl₆ molecule reduction in water.³⁷ On the other hand, in the contrary to previously reported cases,³⁴ ³⁷ where solvent influence was taken into account solely via implicit solvation, we provided additional investigation of CHCl₃.
R113, [CHCl3]–, [CHCl2]–, [R113]– and [CF2Cl–CFCl]– with three explicit DMF molecules (these systems were also “put” into implicit solvent, see Experimental section for details). No specific interactions between solvent and substrate were observed in all these cases but some weak interactions (Mayer bond order 0.05 – 0.15; Fig. S3–S7) were found. This situation is quite similar to modeling of partially fluorinated methane and water solvent molecules,38 however the bond orders, found in our case, were 2–3 times higher. It should be noted that addition of DMF molecules led to occurrence of small energy barrier (about 10 kJ/mol) for decomposition of [CF2Cl–CFCl]–, in contrast to DFT calculation of the same species within a model of implicit solvent. In any case, the energy of this barrier was comparable with the energy of thermal motions. It can be concluded that the results of modeling within the models of implicit solvent and the model of explicit solvent molecules are similar: both methods evidence for formation of the same intermediates and the same pathways of their transformations. Thus, the model of implicit solvent was used for further modeling of catalytic processes.

### Modeling of complexes reduction.

As it was reported by us,18,19 the complex species M(L1)2 (M= Co, Ni, Fe) showed electrocatalytic activity in reductive electrochemical dehalogenation of organic halides (in particular, Ni(L1)2 catalyzed dehalogenation of CHCl3, R113, BuI and CH3Br; Co(L1)2 and Fe(L1)2 catalyzed dehalogenation of R113). M(L1)2 complexes showed two reversible or quasi-reversible processes assigned to \([\text{M(L1)}^2]^2\) or \([\text{M(L1)}^2]^2\) couples at \(E_{1/2}\) in range –2.35 ÷ –2.20 V and –1.93 ÷ –1.65 V vs. \(\text{Fc}^+\)/Fc couple, respectively.19 The process \([\text{M(L1)}^2]^2\) was responsible for catalytic activity in dehalogenation. In order to find where the additional electron is localized in \([\text{M(L1)}^2]^2\) (i.e. the reduced forms of M(L1)2 and \([\text{Ni(L1)}^2]^2\) species was carried out. Notably, the ligand L1H was also redox-active, and exhibited irreversible reduction at \(E_{1/2} = –2.16 \text{ V vs. } \text{Fc}^+\)/Fc couple.19

Though X-ray molecular structure of neutral \([\text{Ni(L1)}^2]^0\) molecule was determined, and \([\text{Co(L1)}^2]^0\) was shown to be isostructural, a geometry optimization of these species using DFT method with exchange-correlation potential TPSS was carried in order to obtain geometries of these molecules taking solvation effects into account (details are presented in the Experimental section).

It can be concluded from comparison of ChelpG charge distributions and Mayer bond orders for \([\text{Co(L1)}^2]^0\) and \([\text{Ni(L1)}^2]^0\) and L1H (Tables 1 and 2), that coordination of COII and NII ions of L1– (i.e. replacement of H+ into M2+) led to redistribution of electronic density in amide group: the electronic density increased at the nitrogen atom, almost didn’t changed on the oxygen atom (despite its coordination) and decreased at the carbon atom. CO and CN bond orders (double and single in L1H, respectively) became almost equal (close to 1.5). Additionally, electronic density on nitrogen atoms of azomethine and 2-pyridyl groups and the order of C=N bond (azomethine group) decreased in \([\text{Co(L1)}^2]^0\) and \([\text{Ni(L1)}^2]^0\) compared to L1H, which is consistent with coordination of these nitrogen atoms to the metal ions.

#### Table 1 ChelpG charges on some atoms in L1H, \([\text{Co(L1)}^2]^0\) and \([\text{Ni(L1)}^2]^0\).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Atom</th>
<th>L1H</th>
<th>([\text{Co(L1)}^2]^0)</th>
<th>([\text{Ni(L1)}^2]^0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>O (amide)</td>
<td>–0.5742</td>
<td>–0.5717; –0.5915</td>
<td>–0.5383; –0.5535</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C (amide)</td>
<td>0.2716</td>
<td>0.5299; 0.5712</td>
<td>0.5459; 0.5480</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N (amide)</td>
<td>0.0021</td>
<td>–0.7219; –0.7330</td>
<td>–0.7527; –0.7549</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N (azomethine)</td>
<td>–0.3729</td>
<td>0.5105; 0.5143</td>
<td>0.6330; 0.6427</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C (azomethine)</td>
<td>–0.0729</td>
<td>–0.4330; –0.4334</td>
<td>–0.5043; –0.5167</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N (2-pyridyl)</td>
<td>–0.7655</td>
<td>–0.2586; –0.2645</td>
<td>–0.1817; –0.1836</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N (4-pyridyl)</td>
<td>–0.8178</td>
<td>–0.7778; –0.7715</td>
<td>–0.7741; –0.7770</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Two different situations may occur upon addition of an electron to \([\text{Co(L1)}^2]^0\) or \([\text{Ni(L1)}^2]^0\) species (i.e. upon formation of \([\text{Co(L1)}^2]^–\) and \([\text{Ni(L1)}^2]^–\) anions). In the first case the electron occupies d-orbital of M4 ion, hence, it is reduced to M4 (case of “innocent” ligand). Multiplicity of \([\text{Co(L1)}^2]^–\) anion, containing Co4, is 3 (2 in the case of \([\text{Ni(L1)}^2]^–\), containing Ni3). States with another multiplicities have energies with order of 103 cm–1 higher.19 In the second case the electron fully or partially occupies an antibonding orbital of L– ligand (case of “non-innocent” ligand). In this case two forms with different full spin values can exist (quintet and open-shell triplet for \([\text{Co(L1)}^2]^–\) or quartet and open-doublet for \([\text{Ni(L1)}^2]^–\); the energy difference between the forms is small, order of tens or hundreds of cm–1.17 The open-shell triplet form of \([\text{Co(L1)}^2]^–\) (open-shell doublet form of \([\text{Ni(L1)}^2]^–\)), where additional electron is localized on L–, can be considered as a complicated resonance structure consisting of forms with different combinations of orbitals filling.

Analysis of course and results of the DFT optimization of \([\text{Co(L1)}^2]^–\) or \([\text{Ni(L1)}^2]^–\) anions allows to reveal some indirect evidences that ligand is “non-innocent” in these cases. First, the self-consistent field of triplet (doublet) state of the complex shows signs of instability and tends to reach saddle points in optimization of the orbitals’ coefficients (comparing to the SCF of the high-spin quintet and quartet states, respectively, that converge easily using standard ORCA convergence accelerators). So, we failed to reach convergence tolerance \(\Delta E = 10^{-8} \text{ E}_h\) (desirable for gradients calculation) using DFT in SCF calculation for triplet form of \([\text{Co(L1)}^2]^–\) species (as well as doublet \([\text{Ni(L1)}^2]^–\) ), but the optimization was continued with less strict tolerance, and self-consistency with \(10^{-8} \text{ E}_h\) tolerance was reached at the last steps of geometry optimization and yielded equilibrium energy \(–57.7 \text{ kJ/mol lower than the energy of the quintet state. For } [\text{Ni(L1)}^2]\text{ the quartet state is } 3.0 \text{ kJ/mol lower}\).
than the doublet one. Comparison of energies for high spin, low spin and artificially constructed broken symmetry state (Table 3) may also show signs of resonance structure which corresponds to above mentioned “non-innocence”. Also, spin contamination of low spin state indicates admixture of higher spin levels to the unrestricted SCF solution which corresponds to the number of effectively unpaired electrons that is a direct criterion of open shell character of the state (Table 3). Small differences in the above mentioned energies evidence for existence of low spin state with resonance structure (open-shell) that has an energy close to that of the appropriate high spin state. It also may be concluded from the comparison of the above mentioned energies and spin contaminations, that low spin state is more favored in the case of [Co(L1)2]0 than in the case of [Ni(L1)2]0, and the electronic structure of the formers is close to the regular triplet.

Table 2 Mayer orders of bonds between some atoms in LH, [Co(L1)2]0 and [Ni(L1)2]0.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bond</th>
<th>LiH</th>
<th>[Co(L1)2]0</th>
<th>[Ni(L1)2]0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>O(amide)–C(amide)</td>
<td>1.9733</td>
<td>1.4866; 1.4866</td>
<td>1.4904; 1.4912</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C(amide)–N(amide)</td>
<td>1.2617</td>
<td>1.5078; 1.5097</td>
<td>1.4669; 1.4682</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N(amide)–N(azomethine)</td>
<td>0.9310</td>
<td>0.9587; 0.9610</td>
<td>0.9721; 0.9711</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N(azomethine)–C(azomethine)</td>
<td>1.8392</td>
<td>1.6469; 1.6336</td>
<td>1.6391; 1.6363</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3 Parameters of different spin states of [Co(L1)2]0 and [Ni(L1)2]0.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>[Co(L1)2]0</th>
<th>[Ni(L1)2]0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>High spin multiplicity</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low spin multiplicity</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$E_{\text{HS}} - E_{\text{LS}}$, kJ/mol</td>
<td>57.7</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$E_{\text{HS}} - E_{\text{LS}}$, kJ/mol</td>
<td>32.0</td>
<td>9.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$E_{\text{HS}} - E_{\text{LS}}$, kJ/mol</td>
<td>129.4</td>
<td>5.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spin contamination (LS)</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>0.752</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a “E” stands for electronic energy of a self-consistent state, namely: BS stands for the broken symmetry state obtained from converged high spin state by flipping one unpaired electron followed by self-consistency search, “HS” and “LS” stand for high spin and low spin states, respectively.

b at their equilibrium geometries
c at their equilibrium geometries at HS geometry, no COSMO,
d at LS geometry, no COSMO
e Deviation of found LS form <S> value from ideal value S(S+1) at LS geometry.

Thus, it can be concluded that high-spin and (open-shell) low-spin states in [Ni(L1)2]0 have sufficiently close energies to be simultaneously occupied due to thermal distribution at room temperature. In general, correct spin state of the reduced form may be usually selected a priori, but [M(L1)2]0 case demonstrates the compounds where such selection is not obvious. Analogous situation was reported previously for polycarbon conjugated carbon materials. Some prompts for appropriate selection can be derived from the analysis of electronic structure of the neutral forms of the complexes. “Additional” electron should occupy spin-up LUMO or spin-down LUMO of the species, so, the comparison of their energies (Table 4) can indicate more preferable multiplicity of the reduced state. Although DFT was shown to be poor in prediction of ionization energies and electron affinities basing on HOMO and LUMO energies, the appropriate errors have a systematic character and may be canceled or compensated. Thus, calculated energies of [M(L1)2]0 (M = Fe, Co, Ni, Zn) spin-up LUMOs are equal within 0.04 eV, while spin-down LUMOs’ energies vary from –3.0449 eV for [Ni(L1)2]0 (which is 0.03 eV higher than appropriate spin-up one) to –3.9056 eV for [Cu(L1)2]0 (which is 0.86 eV lower than appropriate spin-up one). This dependence agrees with above discussed results of detailed modeling of [Ni(L1)2]0 and [Co(L1)2]0 species: lower spin-down LUMO energy in respect to spin-up one favors low-spin state of the reduced form. The energies of spin-down LUMO correlate with $E_{1/2}$ potential of the [M(L1)2]0/[M(L1)2]0 (Table 4) and spin-down LUMO population on the metal atom (lower spin-down LUMO energy is associated with increasing of $E_{1/2}$ and more localized character of the orbital, see also Fig. 3).

Thus, reduction of [M(L1)2]0 complexes results in some change of geometry and electronic structure of the M(L1)2 unit, however we compared spin-down LUMOs of [Ni(L1)2]0 and [Co(L1)2]0 with corresponding orbitals in their reduced forms and found (quite expectedly) that these orbitals were almost identical. It can be concluded that an “additional” electron in [M(L1)2]– complexes (M = Fe, Co, Ni, Cu, Zn) occupies the orbital, the nature of which gradually changes from mainly ligand-centered in [Zn(L1)2] and [Ni(L1)2] to mainly metal-centered in [Cu(L1)2] and [Co(L1)2], while it was ambiguous in the case of [Fe(L1)2].

In order to check, if the situation with spin pseudodegeneracy of reduced catalytic species is typical, modeling of reduces forms of two other complexes was performed – (i) nickel complex with L2, i.e. reduced form of [Ni(L2)]20, and (ii) a fragment of coordination polymer, cobalt complex with dithioamide of 1,3-benzenedicarboxylic acid (L3), i.e. reduced form of [Co6Cl2(L3)6]6. For the latter case a model species [CoCl2(L3)]2 was used (see details in Experimental section). The choice of these systems was governed by high importance of [Ni(L2)]2 as electrocatalyst of dehalogenation of organic compounds, and by the wish to study the influence of donor atom type (S compared to N and O) on distribution of electronic density upon one electron addition.
Table 4 Energies of spin-up and spin-down LUMO orbitals.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Spin-up LUMO energy, eV</th>
<th>Spin-down LUMO energy, eV</th>
<th>$E_{1/2}$ vs. Fe$^{3+}$/Fe$^{2+}$, V</th>
<th>Spin-down LUMO population on metal, %$^a$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$[\text{Zn(L1)}_2]$</td>
<td>$-3.0755$</td>
<td>$-3.0755$</td>
<td>$-1.93$ $^b$</td>
<td>$1.6$ $^c$ $1.8$ $^c$ $2.5$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$[\text{Ni(L1)}_2]$</td>
<td>$-3.0725$</td>
<td>$-3.0449$</td>
<td>$-1.87$ $^b$</td>
<td>$6.2$ $^c$ $7.0$ $^c$ $7.5$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$[\text{Fe(L1)}_2]$</td>
<td>$-3.1081$</td>
<td>$-3.3755$</td>
<td>$-1.70$ $^b$</td>
<td>$23.7$ $^c$ $23.0$ $^c$ $25.3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$[\text{Co(L1)}_2]$</td>
<td>$-3.0771$</td>
<td>$-3.5353$</td>
<td>$-1.65$ $^b$</td>
<td>$61.2$ $^c$ $57.9$ $^c$ $60.6$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$[\text{Cu(L1)}_2]$</td>
<td>$-3.1090$</td>
<td>$-3.9056$</td>
<td>$-1.13$ $^b$</td>
<td>$56.3$ $^c$ $56.9$ $^c$ $59.4$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$[\text{Ni(L2)}]$</td>
<td>$-2.5597$</td>
<td>$-2.5597$</td>
<td>$-2.02$ $^b$</td>
<td>$55.5$ $^c$ $57.1$ $^c$ $58.8$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$ First column in this section represents results of orbital composition analysis by Mulliken, Hirshfeld and Becke, respectively.

$^b$ Quasi-reversible.

$^c$ Our data, GC electrode, 0.1 M Et$_4$NBF$_4$ in DMF.

$^d$ $E_c = 1.16$ V measured for the $[\text{Co(L3)}_2\text{Cl}_2]$ coordination polymer; our data, rough Pt plate electrode, 0.1 M Et$_4$NBF$_4$ in MeCN (see Supplementary Info).

$[\text{Ni(L2)}]^0$ is a singlet species with low-spin Ni$^{II}$ ion in planar coordination sphere.$^{47}$ Thus, spin-up and spin-down LUMOs of $[\text{Ni(L2)}]^0$ are identical by shape and energy; $[\text{Ni(L2)}]^-$ has doublet multiplicity. The spin-down LUMO of $[\text{Ni(L2)}]^0$ was found to have metal-centered nature (Fig. 3 and Table 4). It can be concluded that delocalization of spin density in $[\text{M(L1)}_2]$ species, described above, is not common case for metal complexes. However, the influence of electronic structure of metal complex (in particular, localization of "additional electron" in reduced species) on its electrocatalytic activity seems to be minor, if any, since both Ni(L1)$_2$ and Ni(L2) catalyze reduction of halides (vide supra).

---

Fig. 3 Spin-down LUMO plots.
The initial geometry of $[\text{CoCl}_3(L_3)]^3$ was taken from crystallographic data in order to represent the geometry of the species within $[\text{CoCl}_3(L_3)]_x$ coordination polymer and the positions of all atoms except hydrogens were fixed in the optimization. Comparison of spin-up and spin-down LUMO energies of $[\text{CoCl}_3(L_3)]^3$ showed that spin-down one was significantly lower and was metal-centered (Table 4). So, triplet multiplicity was adopted for $[\text{CoCl}_3(L_3)]$ (Fig. 4).

Similarly to the case of L1H, ligand L2 is redox-active and shows irreversible reduction at $E_c = \text{-}2.22$ V vs. $\text{Fc}^+$/Fc couple (on GC electrode in DMSO), which is close to redox-potential for $[\text{Ni(L2)}]^2$ couple, $E_{1/2} = \text{-}2.02$ V, however, in contrast to the case of $[\text{Ni(L1)}]_2$, “additional” electron in the ion $[\text{Ni(L2)}]$ is localized on metal ion. Similarly, both L3 and $[\text{CoCl}_3(L_3)]$ are redox-active ($E_c = \text{-}1.95$ V for L3 vs. $E_c = \text{-}1.16$ V for $[\text{Co(L3)Cl}_2]$), but their direct comparison is not straightforward because of irreversible nature of redox-processes found in these cases. We can note, that redox-activity of ligand does not imply ligand-based reduction of its metal complex, and localization of “additional” electron is governed by LUMO nature rather than redox-activity of ligand or metal separately.

Modeling of electron transfer from reduced complexes to halides

As it was previously shown by us, M(L1)2 complexes exhibited electrocatalytic activity in reactions of organic halides dehalogenation, as it can be concluded from the increase of cathodic current (catalytic current) at potential, corresponding to $[\text{M(L1)2}]^{0}$/$[\text{M(L1)2}]^–$ process, on cyclic voltammograms of DMF solutions, containing M(L1)2 complex, upon addition of halide.

It was found that electrocatalytic activity of Co(L1)2 and Fe(L1)2 was quite moderate: increase of R113 concentration led to current values saturation at the level about 50% increase. However, reduction of CHCl3 was not catalyzed by Co and Fe complexes. On the contrary, Ni(L1)2 catalyzed the reduction of both freon R113 and CHCl3, and also catalyzed reduction of CH2Br2 and n-C4H9I (two latter halides possessed much lower reduction potentials compared to R113 and CHCl3). In the case of R113 reduction catalyzed by Ni(L1)2 at least 400% current growth was observed, but saturation was not achieved. In turn, Zn(L1)2 did not catalyze reduction of both R113 and CHCl3, the appropriate current values were equal to the superposition of currents of Zn(L1)2 reduction and non-catalytic reduction of halide. In order to verify if Zn(L1)2 can catalyze any organic halide reduction at all, we provided additional experiment (see SI, text and Fig. S8) and found that Zn(L1)2 catalyzed reduction of n-C4H9I. So, the absence of catalytic effect of Zn(L1)2 in the case of R113 and CHCl3 can be caused by too negative potential of Zn(L1)2, in other words, molecules of the halides successfully “compete” with Zn(L1)2 for electrons from the electrode.

Thus, the activity of M(L1)2 complexes in reactions of halides dehalogenation strongly depended on the nature of the metal ion. This tendency is generally consistent with the difference between the potential of the mediator’s redox-process and the potential of non-catalytic substrate reduction, which is typical for out-of-sphere electron transfer mechanism. Also, no specific interactions between metal-containing compound and organic halide were observed while DFT geometry optimization of $[\text{M(L1)2}]^–$ (M = Co, Fe, Ni, Zn) species (reductive decomposition of R113 in this adduct is considered below). These results indicate that $[\text{M(L1)2}]$ complexes act solely like electron transfer mediators rather than chemical catalysts, similarly to reported organic mediators.

Modeling of electron transfer from $[\text{M(L1)2}]^–$ to R113 molecule was performed by a relaxed surface scanning of the energy of $[\text{M(L1)2}]^–$ adduct vs. C–Cl distance $r_{\text{C–Cl}}$ (Fig. 5). Chlorine atom for RSS scan was selected on the grounds of modeling of $[\text{R113}]^–$ decomposition, described above. In all studied cases, the system overcome moderate energy barrier (ca. 30 kJ/mol), followed by energy decay. The dependence of Becke atomic charges of the Cl atom (Fig. 5) showed distinct inflection near 2.4 Å (maximum of spin densities of the Cl atom vs $r_{\text{C–Cl}}$) was approximately at the same point). In the case of $[\text{Ni(L1)2}]^–$ adduct distance value 2.59 Å corresponds to the values of charge –0.83 and spin density 0.28. These findings evidence that energy maxima at $r_{\text{C–Cl}}$ ca. 2.2 Å correspond to transition states in the reactions of catalytic reduction of R113 – formation of the adduct $[\text{M(L1)2}]$–$[\text{CF2Cl–CFCl}]$ and Cl– anion. Sudden additional energy fall near $r_{\text{C–Cl}} = 2.6–3.0$ Å was associated with conformation change of 4-pyridine ring of the complex. Different location of abrupt energy fall, associated with the conformation change, on energy profiles of the species was probably caused by small differences in their geometries. Further growth was probably caused by transformations of the Van der Waals adducts formed by disruption of C–Cl bond.

No significant difference in the RSS energy profiles was observed between high-spin $[\text{Ni(L1)2}]^–$ and low-spin $[\text{Co(L1)2}]^–$. At the same time high-spin $[\text{Co(L1)2}]^–$ profile differed from the low-spin profile only by systematically higher energy of all its points, which is consistent with the difference between energies of HS and LS.
forms of the species: \{[Co(L1)2]·R113\}– at small rC–Cl and \{[Co(L1)2]·[CF2Cl–CFCl·]\} at large rC–Cl (total spin of the system is preserved upon formation of Cl– anion and \{[Co(L1)2]·[CF2Cl–CFCl·]\} adduct, containing CF2Cl–CFCl· radical).

In contrast to situation with M(L1)2 species, inner-sphere mechanism was proposed for electrocatalytic reduction of halides catalyzed by complexes of 3d metals with ligands of salen (L2) and porphyrin type.7,50 This mechanism involved coordination of dechlorination intermediates to the axial positions of the metal ion in these complexes though C atom.  7,51 Current growth in the processes of R113 reduction catalyzed by Ni(L2) was at least 600 %,20 and at least 900 % for Co(L2).7

To study possible pathway of dehalogenation at presence of [Ni(L2)]– we performed modeling of \{Ni(L2)·R113\}– species. Surprisingly, geometry optimization of this adduct led to direct barrier-less decomposition of the species and formation of [NiIII(L2)]Cl, CF2=CFCl and Cl– without Ni–C bond formation (Fig. 6).

The absence and of barrier in the case of electron transfer within \{Ni(L2)·R113\}– species along with the presence of barrier in the case of electron transfer within \{[M(L1)2]·R113\}– (M = Ni, Co) species was consistent with higher catalytic activity of the former.

### Experimental section

#### General remarks

All quantum chemical calculations were performed using ORCA software, versions 2.9.1 – 3.0.2.52

All molecular mechanics optimizations were performed using Avogadro software53 with Universal force field (UFF).54

#### Solvation

Unless explicitly mentioned, the calculations were performed for finite solvated species. Solvation effects were taken into account with COSMO (COnductor-like Screening MOdel).55 DMF as a solvent with COSMO parameters built-in in ORCA unless explicitly mentioned.

#### DFT

DFT calculations were performed using built-in def2-SVP and def2-TZVP56,57 basis sets together with the corresponding built-in auxiliary basis sets (def2-SVP/J, def2-TZVP/J58) for Coulomb fitting within RI (resolution of identity) approximation, as implemented in ORCA.28 TPSS exchange-correlation potential27 was used. Van der Waals interactions were taken into account with Grimme DFT-D3 v.2.1 semiempirical correction59 with Becke-Johnson damping60 or without it.

#### SCS-MP2

SCS-MP2 (spin component scaled second-order Møller–Plesset perturbation theory)60 calculations were performed using built-in def2-TZVPP basis set, together with the corresponding built-in def2-TZVPP/J and def2-TZVPP/C auxiliary basis sets for RJCOSX approximation.61

#### Population analysis

Atomic charges, spin densities and orbital compositions were obtained using Mulliken62 and ChelpG31 (charges only) population analyses was used as implemented in ORCA as well as by population analyses based on Hirshfeld32 and Becke33
Density partitioning schemes as implemented in Multiwfns 3.3.5 software.63,64

Geometry optimizations

Geometry optimizations were performed in redundant internal coordinates with the following convergence tolerances (enforced by „TightOpt“ option): energy change – 1e–6 Eh, RMS gradient – 3e–5 Eh/bohr, max component of the gradient – 1e–4 Eh/bohr, RMS step – 6e–4 bohr, max step – 1e–3 bohr.

The last cycle of geometry optimization of a species was used as the single point calculation at equilibrium geometries for this species.

RSS (relaxed surface scan)

Relaxed surface scan procedure is a set of subsequent geometry optimizations, in which one internal coordinate (e.g. distance between two atoms) is consequently changed from equilibrium value to some value of interest with enough small step, while all other parameters are optimized at each value of the above mentioned scanned internal coordinate.

Geometry optimizations of L1H; R113, CHCl3, CHCl3·3DMF, R113·3DMF and their reduction products.

Geometry optimization of L1H and CHCl3·3DMF was performed using DFT method; R113, CHCl3 and their reduction products – using DFT and SCS-MP2 methods.

Only def2-SVP basis was used for CHCl3;3DMF and its reduced form, def2-TZVP was used for L1H, R113 and CHCl3. Also, def2-SVP basis was used for R113 and CHCl3 in separate calculation to compare correctly the results of implicit and explicit solvent models, and such change of the basis did not lead to new effects.

Initial geometries for R113, CHCl3, CHCl3·3DMF, R113·3DMF and L1H were obtained using molecular mechanics method, charge of the molecules was set to 0, multiplicity – to 1. Initial geometries of R113, CHCl3, CHCl3·3DMF, R113·3DMF and L1H reduction products corresponded to equilibrium geometries of corresponding oxidized forms. For [CHCl3]–, [CHCl3·3DMF]–, [CF2Cl–CFCl2]–, [R113·3DMF]– and [L1H]– the charge was set to –1, multiplicity – to 2; for [CHCl2]– and [CF2Cl–CFCl]– (as well as [CHCl2·3DMF]– and [CF2Cl–CFCl3·DMF]–) – charge –1, multiplicity 1.

The initial geometries for oxidized forms ([Co(L1)]20 and [Ni(L2)]0) were taken from crystallographic data for Fe(L1) and Ni(L2), respectively. These geometries were preliminarily optimized with def2-SVP basis set (in order to save computational resources at the beginning of the optimization, when the geometry was far from equilibrium, and approximate calculation of electronic effects was sufficient), then the results were refined with def2-TZVP basis set. Magnetochemical data suggest that CoII ion in Co(L1)2 is high-spin,19 so, the multiplicity of [Co(L1)]2+ species was set to 4, that corresponds to presence of 3 unpaired electrons on 3d orbitals of the CoII ion.

The initial geometries for reduced forms ([Co(L1)]2+ and [Ni(L1)]2+) were taken from results of def2-SVP optimizations and optimized with def2-SVP basis, then the results were refined with def2-TZVP basis.

{[Ni(L1)]·R113}, {[Fe(L1)]·R113}, {[Co(L1)]·R113}, {[Zn(L1)]·R113} DFT calculation.

The initial geometries of the species were derived from the equilibrium high-spin geometries of the complexes and R113. The geometries of the particles were optimized with DFT using def2-SVP basis set and DFT-D version of semi-empirical Grimme correction for Van der Waals interactions.65 The charge and geometry was set equal to appropriate pure high-spin complex species.

Modeling of electron transfer (with R113 decomposition) in {[Ni(L1)]2}·R113– and {[Co(L1)]2}·R113–.

The initial geometries for these species (high-spin form of Ni one and both high-spin and low-spin forms for Co one) were obtained as described in the previous section. Then RSS scan along distance between carbon and one of the chlorine atoms (both C and Cl belong to –CFCl2 group of R113) was performed on the same level of theory as for the initial geometry optimization.

{[Ni(L2)]0} and {[Ni(L2)]–} DFT calculation.

The initial geometry for {[Ni(L2)]0} (charge 0, multiplicity 3) species was obtained using molecular mechanics optimization. Then it was optimized with DFT method with def2-SVP basis set, the result was then refined with def2-TZVP basis set.

The initial geometry of the species was obtained using molecular mechanics optimization. Geometry optimization was provided in the same manner as for {[Ni(L1)]2}·R113– (vide supra). Charge was set to –1 and multiplicity to 2.

{[Ni(L2)]·R113}– DFT calculation.

The initial geometry of the species was obtained using molecular mechanics optimization. Geometry optimization was provided in the same manner as for {[Ni(L1)]2}·R113– (vide supra). Charge was set to –1 and multiplicity to 2.

{[CoCl2(L3)]0} and {[CoCl2(L3)]–} DFT calculation.

The initial geometry of {[CoCl2(L3)]0} was taken from crystallographic data for {[CoCl2(L3)]n} coordination polymer which had been previously reported by us.21 Only positions of the hydrogen atoms were optimized using DFT method with def2-TZVP basis set (the positions of all other atoms were fixed during the optimization in order to reproduce the arrangement of ligands in a crystal of the coordination polymer). The equilibrium geometry of {[CoCl2(L3)]0} was also used for single point calculation of {[CoCl2(L3)]+}. Acetonitrile was used as the solvent for COSMO model (with parameters built-in in ORCA). In the case if this species was optimized without restrictions it collapsed due to z-stacking between L3 ligands, coordinated to one Co ion, which obviously did not represent its structure in real coordination polymer.

All potentials of electrochemical processes were referred to the potential of Fc+/Fc (Fc = ferrocene) couple using reference electrodes descriptions presented in the correspondent papers and potentials of standard electrodes reported earlier.66

Conclusions

In this study the processes, which occur upon electrochemical reduction of organic halides and metal complexes – electrocatalysts of such processes – were modeled.

It was shown by DFT and SCS-MP2 methods that one electron accepting by CHCl3 or R113 molecules led to formation of radical anions, that lost Cl− forming [CHCl3]– or [CF2Cl–CFCl2]– (without a barrier or with small barrier, compared to the energy of...

Examination of these species (CHCl₃, R113 and intermediates of their reduction) within the model of implicit solvent or optimization of the adducts with explicit solvent molecules produced similar results; it can be concluded that the model of implicit solvent is adequate and thus it was used for modeling of metal-containing species and processes. Modeling of reductive dehalogenation of R113 revealed, that Cl⁻ atom from –CFCl₂ group was eliminated first (in contrast to –CF₂Cl, contradicting to previous suppositions.

It was shown by DFT method, that a series of [M(L1)₂]⁺ complexes (M = Fe, Co, Ni, Cu, Zn), which possess very similar structures, exhibited different nature of electrochemical redox-processes [M(L1)₂][M(L1)₁], gradually changing from mainly ligand-centered in [Zn(L1)]₂ and [Ni(L1)]₂ to mainly metal-centered in [Cu(L1)]₂ and [Co(L1)]₂. [Fe(L1)]₂ showed ambiguous nature of redox-transition. It was found that [M(L1)]⁻ species (except [Zn(L1)]⁻) could exist in two states that differ by the value of full spin; these states were studied in details for M = Ni or Co by DFT examination of their reduced forms in both spin states. Energy differences between these forms are small enough and both states can be thermally populated. Low-spin state is slightly higher by energy than high-spin one for [Ni(L1)]⁻ according to the results of DFT calculations, and remarkably lower for [Co(L1)]⁻. However, the difference between energies of calculated spin-up (close for all complexes in the series) and spin-down LUMO (the latter is slightly higher than spin-up one for [Ni(L1)]⁻ and decreases in the series [Ni(L1)]₁ > [Fe(L1)]₁ > [Cu(L1)]₁ > [Co(L1)]₁) correlates with: (1) the relative energies of low-spin and high-spin states; (2) experimental E½ potentials of the appropriate redox-transitions; (3) nature (shape and contribution of the metal ion to the orbital) of the spin-down LUMO orbital.

In contrast to the [Ni(L1)]₂ case, the process [Ni(L2)]¹/[Ni(L2)]⁻ was metal-centered. In order to see the influence of donor atoms in coordination sphere of metal ion on "additional" electron localization, anionic particle [Co(L3)Cl₂]⁻ was modeled, that represents electron addition to coordination polymer [Co(L3)Cl₃]⁻. Reduction of [Co(L3)Cl₂]²⁻ was found to be metal-centered and yielded anion with low-spin triplet state. Taking into account close values of E½ values of H₂L2 and L1H, as well as E½ for [Ni(L1)]¹/[Ni(L1)]⁻ and [Ni(L2)]²/[Ni(L2)]⁻ transitions, no correlation between the nature of the process and electrochemical properties of the ligands was found in this case.

Examination of [(M(L1)₂) R113]⁻ (M = Fe, Co, Ni, Zn) species revealed no specific influence of metal complex on electronic structure of the organic halide, which suggests outer-sphere electron transfer by M(L1)₂ species rather than specific chemical catalysis by them. Electron transfer in [(M(L1)₂) R113]⁻ (M = Ni, Co) adducts with elimination of Cl⁻ anion from R113 molecule was studied by relaxed surface scan vs. the C–Cl distance and was found to occur with energy barrier at the level ca. 30 kJ/mol. In contrast, decomposition of [(M(L2)₂) R113]⁻ adduct occurred without energy barrier. The presence of energy barrier in the processes {catalyst·R113}⁻ adducts decomposition is consistent with the difference in catalytic activity of these complexes (in terms of catalytic current values).

On the grounds of comparison of catalytic activity of Ni(L1)₂, Co(L1)₂, Fe(L1)₁, and Ni(L2) in reactions of R113 or CHCl₃ reduction we can conclude that the nature of redox-process in metal complex has no influence on its ability to act as electrocatalyst. Redox-processes in the complexes of M(L1)₂ series, which showed catalytic activity, were ligand-centered, mixed or metal-centered. In turn, catalytic activity expectedly depends on the difference between redox-potentials of the catalyst and substrate and the presence (height) of electron transfer energy in the adduct of reduced catalyst and substrate.
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