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GRAPHICAL ABSTRACT

Compared to BDTO-TTPD, BDTEH-TTPD showed stronger light absorption, longer-range ordering, which results in higher power conversion efficiency.
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Abstract

To evaluate the effect of side chain characteristics on the photovoltaic performance of small molecules containing both benzodithiophene (BDT) and thienopyrroledione (TPD), we designed and synthesized two such molecules, one containing a branched 2-ethylhexyl (2EH) side chain on the BDT unit (BDTEH-TTPD) and the other containing a linear n-octyl (C₈) side chain on the BDT unit (BDTO-TTPD). The optical and electrochemical properties and crystalline structures of these molecules were examined. Compared to BDTO-TTPD, BDTEH-TTPD showed stronger light absorption, longer-range ordering and shorter π-π stacking distances between backbones. As a result, the power conversion efficiency of a bulk heterojunction solar cell based on BDTEH-TTPD (2.40%) was substantially higher than that of the BDTO-TTPD device (1.12%).
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1. Introduction

In research on solar cell devices, solution processable small molecules have attracted interest as alternatives to polymers because they can be prepared with well-defined structures via simple synthetic processes in high purity.\(^1\) Much progress has been made in the development of small molecule-based organic solar cells (SMOSCs), with power conversion efficiencies (PCEs) of up to 8% having been achieved.\(^2\) Most SMOSCs developed to date, however, have low PCEs due to relatively low photocurrents arising from a narrow absorption range (< 700 nm) and disconnected donor matrix.\(^3\) Thus, further research aimed at developing improved small molecule materials, especially in regard to molecular structure, design and engineering, is needed because such materials are key to achieving SMOSCs with high PCEs. Among the factors influencing SMOSC performance, the chemical structure of the small molecule donor has been shown to strongly influence properties such as light absorption\(^4\), electronic compatibility with the acceptor molecule fullerene\(^5\), charge transport characteristics\(^6\), thin-film morphology\(^7\), and molecular packing.\(^8\) Varying the structure of the small molecule donor often has competing effects on these properties and hence on device performance. In particular, employing a longer solubilizing alkyl side chain generally improves solution-processability but simultaneously increases insulating content and reduces crystallinity in the solid state. Overcoming the performance limitations imposed by these competing effects requires a methodology that optimizes one property while having minimal adverse effects on other properties.

We recently synthesized a novel thieno[3,4-c]pyrrole-4,6-dione (TPD)-based small molecule.\(^9\) From a materials standpoint, TPD is a promising organic semiconductor owing to its relatively simple, compact, symmetric, and planar structure that can potentially afford good electron delocalization in the solid state.\(^10\) Enhanced performance (i.e., increased \(V_{OC}\) in OSCs) can be promoted by strong intra- and inter-chain interactions along and between
coplanar moieties as well as by the covalent introduction of electron-withdrawing groups to lower the HOMO and LUMO energy levels of the parent molecule.\textsuperscript{11} In addition to the above, the simple introduction of different alkyl side chains on the pyrrole ring should also provide a means of modifying the solubility and processability of these materials.\textsuperscript{12} Valuable insights into the design of TPD-based small molecules for use in functional devices was provided by the pioneering work of Zhan \textit{et al}, who employed a TPD unit as the core acceptor moiety in SMOSCs.\textsuperscript{13}

The benzo[1,2-b:4,5-b’]dithiophene (BDT) unit, which consists of a fused benzene ring with two peripheral thiophene rings, is widely employed as an electron-donor in organic devices.\textsuperscript{14} The extended $\pi$-system of the molecule induces strong intra- and intermolecular interactions in the solid state, thus benefiting charge transport in the devices.\textsuperscript{15-16} According to these superior properties, Yang \textit{et al.} reported a 10.1\% PCE from acceptor-donor-acceptor (A-D-A) small molecule, SMPV1, comprised of BDT as the core unit and 3-octylrodanine as the electron-withdrawing end-group. Li and co-workers achieved a PCE of 6.75\% from A-D-A structured small compounds, DO2, composed of BDT and indenedione unit.\textsuperscript{16}

In the present study, we synthesized two small molecules, 3,3’-((4,8-bis((2-ethylhexyl)oxy)benzo[1,2-b:4,5-b’]dithiophene-2,6-diyl)bis(thiophene-5,2-diyl))bis(5-octyl-1-(thiophen-2-yl)-4H-thieno[3,4-c]pyrrole-4,6(5H)-dione (\textbf{BDTEH-TTPD}) and 3,3’-((4,8-bis(octyloxy)benzo[1,2-b:4,5-b’]dithiophene-2,6-diyl)bis(thiophene-5,2-diyl))bis(5-octyl-1-(thiophen-2-yl)-4H-thieno[3,4-c]pyrrole-4,6(5H)-dione (\textbf{BDTO-TTPD}) containing both novel BDT and TPD units (Scheme 1). We compared the optical and electrochemical properties, as well as the crystalline structures, of these compounds to examine the effects of the branched 2-ethylhexyl (2EH) side chains in \textbf{BDTEH-TTPD} versus the linear octyl alkyl side chains in \textbf{BDTO-TTPD}. The influence of the different side chains on OSC behavior was additionally examined.
2. Experimental

2.1. General Measurements and Instrumentation

$^1$H-NMR and $^{13}$C-NMR spectra were recorded using Bruker Advance-300 and Bruker AM-200 spectrometers, respectively. HRMS (EI) spectra were collected using a high resolution GC mass spectrometer with LabRAM HR800 UV. MALDI-TOF/TOF spectra were recorded using a high resolution 4800 TOF/TOF mass spectrometer with Voyager DE-STR. Thermal gravimetric analysis (TGA) was performed on a TGA 2100 thermogravimetric analyzer (TA Instruments) using a heating rate of 10 °C/min. Differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) was conducted using a TA Instruments 2100 DSC with heating at 10 °C/min from 30 to 300 °C. Density functional theory (DFT) calculations were carried out at the B3LYP/6-31G* level of theory using the Spartan 08 computational programs. UV-Vis absorption spectra were recorded using a Cary 5000 UV-vis-near-IR double beam spectrophotometer. Photoluminescence (PL) spectra were obtained using a FP-6500 (JASCO). Cyclic voltammetry (CV) was performed using a PowerLab/AD instrument in 0.1 M solution of tetrabutylammonium hexafluorophosphate (Bu$_4$NPF$_6$) in anhydrous acetonitrile as a supporting electrolyte at a scan rate of 50 mV/s. A glassy carbon disk (~0.05 cm$^2$) coated with a thin small molecule film, an Ag/AgCl electrode, and a platinum wire were used as the working electrode, reference electrode, and counter electrode, respectively. Films of the synthesized small molecule alone and of small molecule:PC$_{71}$BM blends were prepared on a Si/poly(3,4-ethylenedioxythiophene) (PEDOT:PSS) substrate via spin-coating. These films were characterized using atomic force microscopy (AFM) and x-ray diffraction (XRD). AFM images were collected using a Multimode IIIa instrument (Digital Instruments) operated in tapping mode. XRD was performed using the 5A beamline (wavelength = 1.071 Å) at the Pohang Accelerator Laboratory (PAL) in Korea.
2.2. Device Fabrication and characterization

Solar cell devices were fabricated on an indium tin oxide-coated glass substrate with the following conventional structure: ITO-coated glass substrate / PEDOT:PSS / active layer (small molecule:PCBM) / LiF / Al. The ITO-coated glass substrate was first cleaned via sonication in detergent, deionized water, acetone, and isopropyl alcohol, with subsequent UV-Ozone treatment of the samples for 30 min. PEDOT:PSS (Baytron P VP Al 4083, filtered at 0.45 µm PVDF) was spin-cast from an aqueous solution to form a film of ca. 40 nm thickness. The substrate was dried for 10 min at 120 °C in air and then transferred into a glove box to spin-cast the active layer. Subsequently, the small molecule:PCBM active layer (ca. 100 nm) was spin-coated on the PEDOT:PSS layer at 1000 rpm from a homogeneously blended solution. Solutions containing a mixture of the small molecule and PCBM (PC_{61}BM or PC_{71}BM) in chlorobenzene at a concentration of 40 mg/ml were prepared and then filtered through a 0.2 polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE) filter prior to use. At the final stage, a LiF (1 nm) topped with aluminum (Al, 100 nm) electrode was deposited by thermal evaporation under vacuum at 2 × 10^{−6} torr. The current density-voltage (J-V) characteristics of the photovoltaic devices were measured under ambient conditions using a Keithley Model 2400 source-measurement unit. An Oriel xenon lamp (450 W) with an AM 1.5 G filter was used as the solar simulator. The light intensity was calibrated to 100 mW/cm^2 using a calibrated silicon cell with a KG5 filter, which is traced to the National Renewable Energy Laboratory (LREL). External quantum efficiency (EQE) spectra were obtained using a photomodulation spectroscopic set-up (model Merlin, Oriel) with a calibrated Si UV detector and a SR570 low noise current amplifier.

2.3. Hole mobility measurement
The hole only devices were fabricated with configuration of ITO/PEDOT:PSS/Small molecule or small molecule:PC_{71}BM/Au in an identical manner, except that a layer of 100 nm gold was deposited on the active thin film layer instead of the LiF/Al layer. The Au layer was deposited under a low speed (1 /s) to avoid the penetration of Au atoms into the active layer. Mobilities were extracted by fitting the current-voltage curves using the Mott-Curney relationship (space charge limited current).

\[ J = \frac{9}{8} \varepsilon_0 \varepsilon_r \mu_h \frac{V^2}{L^2} \]

Where \( J \) is the current density, \( L \) is the film thickness of active layer, \( \mu_h \) is the hole mobility, \( \varepsilon_r \) is the relative dielectric constant of the transport medium, \( \varepsilon_0 \) is the permittivity of free space, \( V \) is the internal voltage in the device and \( V = V_{\text{appl}} - V_i - V_{\text{bi}} \), where \( V_{\text{appl}} \) is the applied voltage to the device, \( V_i \) is the voltage drop due to contact resistance and series resistance across the electrodes, and \( V_{\text{bi}} \) is the built-in voltage due to the relative work function difference of the two electrodes. The \( V_{\text{bi}} \) can be determined from the transition between the ohmic region and the SCLC region.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Synthesis and thermal properties of the compounds

The two small molecules BDTEH-TTPD and BDTO-TTPD were synthesized according to the route shown in Scheme S1. Compounds 1–7 were prepared according to the literature. Compound 7 was reacted with tributyltin-thiophene using Pd(PPh_3)_2Cl_2 as a catalyst in THF solvent, to afford compound 8 in 64% yield. Compound 8 was reacted with N-bromosuccinimide (NBS) in DMF/CHCl_3 solvent to afford compound 9 in 53% yield. Compounds 10 (BDTEH-TTPD) and 11 (BDTO-TTPD) were synthesized via Stille coupling reactions. BDTEH-TTPD was soluble in organic solvents (chloroform,
chlorobenzene, 1,2-dichlorobenzene) at room temperature whereas BDTO-TTPD was soluble in these solvents only at higher temperatures (60-100 °C).

In the fabrication of solution-processed solar cells, the thermal stability of the donor material is of crucial importance. Therefore, the thermal properties of BDTEH-TTPD and BDTO-TTPD were investigated by TGA and DSC (Figure 1). The TGA profiles showed decomposition temperatures ($T_d$, at 5% weight loss) of 390 °C for BDTEH-TTPD and 372 °C for BDTO-TTPD (Figure 1a and Table 1), indicating that both molecules have excellent thermal stability and are thus suitable for organic photovoltaic device applications. Interestingly, DSC (Figure 1b-c) showed that BDTO-TTPD exhibits a weak melting transition at 228 °C and crystallizes at 187 °C. By contrast, BDTEH-TTPD, which contains 2EH side chains, showed slightly higher melting and crystallization temperatures of 234 °C and 195 °C, respectively (Figure 1b). Also, the heats of melting and crystallization are both higher in BDTEH-TTPD compound than BDTO-TTPD. The higher melting temperature and melting enthalpy of BDTEH-TTPD compared to BDTO-TTPD is likely the result of a more planar structure. In general, π-conjugated compounds appended with linear n-alkyl substituents show shorter π-π stacking distances as a result of lower steric hindrance relative to their branched alkyl substituted counterparts. However, the branched side group (2EH) in our system showed higher crystallinity by closer intermolecular π-π interaction, which is possibly attributed to less steric hindrance and smaller torsional interactions than linear octyl group.

3.2. Theoretical calculations

Theoretical molecular orbital calculation was carried out at the B3LYP/6-31G* level of theory using the Spartan 08 computational programs to characterize optimized ground state geometry and electron density of HOMO and LUMO states. To simplify the calculation, alkyl
chains on TPD units are replaced by methyl groups. In optimized ground state geometry, BDTEH-TTPD showed more planar conformation due to small torsion angle between BDT and thiophene moiety than that of BDTO-TTPD (8.3° and 10.2° for BDTEH-TTPD and 28.8° and 30.1° for BDTO-TTPD) (Figure 2). The planar conformation due to the branched side group (2EH) is expected to the close-packing of the compounds, causing the π–π stacking distances of BDTEH-TTPD small molecule to decrease. Also, the coplanarity facilitates efficient internal charge transfer between electron-donating BDT and electron-withdrawing TPD group. As the BDT moiety has a strong tendency for π–π stacking, this structural planarity would synergetically reinforce the intermolecular interaction between neighboring molecules to give enhance transport property of BDTEH-TTPD compared to that of BDTO-TTPD.

3.3. Optical properties

The UV–visible absorption spectra of the small molecules were measured at room temperature both in solution (1 × 10^{-5} M in chlorobenzene) and in spin-cast thin films (Figure 3). The absorption data are summarized in Table 1. As shown in Figure 3a, the absorption spectra of BDTEH-TTPD and BDTO-TTPD in CHCl₃ show similar bands in the range of 350 to 600 nm, with a broad distinct peak at 450-600 nm arising from intramolecular charge-transfer (ICT) between the donor and acceptor moieties. The shoulder peak observed from 350 to 450 nm can be attributed to the π-π* transitions of the conjugated molecular backbones. Although both small molecules have the same backbone structure, the molar extinction coefficient (ε_max) of BDTEH-TTPD (33,260 L M^{-1} cm^{-1}) was larger than that of BDTO-TTPD (23,570 L M^{-1} cm^{-1}), indicating that BDTEH-TTPD has a greater capacity to absorb light.

Figure 3b shows the absorption spectra of the spin-cast small molecule films. Compared to
the solution spectrum, each compound exhibits a bathochromic shift and broadening of the ICT band due to more effective donor–acceptor (D–A) interactions and extended π–π packing of the molecular backbones in the solid state. The $\lambda_{\text{max}}$ value of BDTEH-TTPD (566 nm) was red shifted compared to that of BDTO-TTPD (560 nm). This finding is consistent with the DSC and DFT analysis, whereby the branched alkyl chain of BDTEH-TTPD allows the molecule to adopt a planar structure, leading to stronger interactions, compared to the corresponding compound containing a linear alkyl chain. The energy band gaps calculated from the absorption band edges of the thin film spectra were determined to be 1.93 and 1.96 eV for BDTEH-TTPD and BDTO-TTPD, respectively. In terms of solar cell design, the smaller bandgap of BDTEH-TTPD will lead to higher photocurrent, making this molecule more useful for organic solar cell applications.

PL spectra of spin-cast films of pure BDTEH-TTPD and BDTO-TTPD, and of 1:4 (w/w) blends of the small molecules and PC$_{71}$BM, were examined for their charge transfer properties (Figure 3c). BDTEH-TTPD showed a strong PL emission band with a maximum at 630 nm, whereas BDTO-TTPD exhibited a weak emission band at 639 nm, slightly red-shifted compared to that of BDTEH-TTPD. In the spectra of the blend films, the emission band for BDTEH:PC$_{71}$BM was almost completely quenched compared with that of BDTO-TTPD:PC$_{71}$BM, indicating effective charge transfer between BDTEH-TTPD and PC$_{71}$BM (i.e., more effective dissociation into separated charge carriers).

3.4. Electrochemical properties and electron energy levels

Cyclic voltammetry was carried out using BDTEH-TTPD and BDTO-TTPD films on a Pt electrode at a scan rate of 50 mV/s, in order to determine the highest occupied molecular orbital (HOMO) energy levels of the compounds. Figure 4a shows the cyclic voltammograms of BDTEH-TTPD and BDTO-TTPD films. The onset oxidation potentials of BDTEH-
**TTPD** and **BDTO-TTPD** are 0.87 V and 0.93 V vs. Ag/AgCl, respectively (on the basis that ferrocene/ferrocenium is 4.8 eV below the vacuum level\(^\text{27}\)). The corresponding HOMO energy levels were estimated to be -5.27 eV for **BDTEH-TTPD** and -5.33 eV for **BDTO-TTPD**, according to the equation: \( E_{\text{HOMO}} = -(E_{\text{onset}}^{\text{ox}} - \text{ferrocene}_{\text{onset}}^{\text{ox}}) - 4.8 \text{ eV} \), where ferrocene\(_{\text{onset}}^{\text{ox}}\) is the onset oxidation potential of ferrocene (0.4 eV) as a reference.\(^\text{28}\) The similarity of the HOMO energy levels of **BDTEH-TTPD** and **BDTO-TTPD**, which is in accordance with their oxidation potentials, demonstrates that the different alkyl chains incorporated into the molecules have only a minor effect on the electrochemical behavior of the compounds. The LUMO levels were determined to be -3.34 eV and -3.37 eV, respectively, using the calculated HOMO values and the optical band gaps. The values of the onset oxidation potential and HOMO and LUMO energy levels of the two compounds are listed in Table 2. The energy levels of the donor small molecules are very important for a high-performance photovoltaic device. First, the low-lying HOMO level of the small molecules can allow a high open-circuit voltage (\( V_{\text{oc}} \)) for the photovoltaic cell, because the \( V_{\text{oc}} \) is proportional to the energy difference between the HOMO level of the donor and the LUMO level of the acceptor. Second, the LUMO energy level of the donor has to be at least 0.3 eV higher than that of the acceptor (e.g., PCBM) to guarantee formation of a downhill driving force for the energetically favorable electron transfer reactions.\(^\text{29}\) Certainly, **BDTEH-TTPD** and **BDTO-TTPD** presents relatively low HOMO energies ensured high \( V_{\text{oc}} \) (> 1.0 V), and well-aligned LUMO energies with that of PCBM for efficient charge dissociation (Figure 4b).

### 3.5. Photovoltaic properties

To explore the photovoltaic performance of the small molecules, bulk heterojunction solar cells were fabricated using **BDTEH-TTPD** or **BDTO-TTPD** as the donor and a fullerene
derivative, PC$_{61}$BM or PC$_{71}$BM, as the acceptor with the conventional device architecture ITO/PEDOT:PSS/BDTEH-TTPD or BDTO-TTPD:PC$_{61}$BM or PC$_{71}$BM/LiF/Al. During the preliminary device optimization process, the effect of varying the BDTEH-TTPD:PC$_{61}$BM or BDTO-TTPD:PC$_{61}$BM active-layer compositions (from 1:1 to 1:4 w/w) was first investigated. The current density voltage ($J-V$) characteristics under one sun (simulated AM1.5G irradiation at 100 mW cm$^{-2}$) are shown in Figure 5 and the photovoltaic parameters collected are listed in Table 3. For both the BDTEH-TTPD:PC$_{61}$BM and BDTO-TTPD:PC$_{61}$BM blends, a weight ratio of 1:4 was found to give the best performance. The device with a 1:4 BDTEH-TTPD:PC$_{61}$BM weight ratio had an open-circuit voltage ($V_{oc}$) of 0.90 V, a short-circuit current ($J_{sc}$) of 3.0 mA cm$^{-2}$, and a fill factor (FF) of 61.1\%, resulting in a PCE of 1.71\%. In contrast, the BDTO-TTPD:PC$_{61}$BM device with the same ratio showed slightly inferior performance, with a $V_{oc}$ of 0.87 V, $J_{sc}$ of 2.3 mA cm$^{-2}$, and FF of 55.7\%, yielding a PCE of 1.10\%. Because BDTEH-TTPD and BDTO-TTPD have similar low-lying HOMO energy levels, they produced similar $V_{oc}$ values in the solar cell devices.

PC$_{71}$BM absorbs more strongly in the visible region than does PC$_{61}$BM.$^{30}$ Therefore, under identical device conditions, PC$_{71}$BM would be expected to contribute more to the current from the device than PC$_{61}$BM, and may thus provide a means to enhance the device efficiency. To determine if the performances of the small molecules could be further improved using the acceptor PC$_{71}$BM, we fabricated a series of devices based on small molecule/PC$_{71}$BM blends. The small molecule to PC$_{71}$BM weight ratio was fixed at 1:4 and the active layer was coated from a chlorobenzene solution, as this condition was optimal for PC$_{71}$BM. The characteristics of the devices based on BDTEH-TTPD:PC$_{71}$BM and BDTO-TTPD:PC$_{71}$BM are also listed in Table 3. Changing the electron acceptor from PC$_{61}$BM to PC$_{71}$BM yielded a higher $J_{sc}$ for both the BDTEH-TTPD and BDTO-TTPD devices, an improvement that can be attributed to the higher absorption coefficient of PC$_{71}$BM in the
Interestingly, the increase in $J_{SC}$ for BDTEH-TTPD (from 3.0 to 4.7 mA cm$^{-2}$) was much larger than that for BDTO-TTPD. $J_{SC}$ strongly depends on the number of generated excitons in the photoactive layers and photo-response (conversion of input photons to photocurrent) as well as on properties such as film morphology and nanostructural order. (Film morphology will be discussed below.) Although BDTEH-TTPD and BDTO-TTPD have a similar $E_g$ with a gap difference of 0.03 eV, BDTEH-TTPD has a 1.41 times higher molar absorptivity than BDTO-TTPD, which likely contributed to greater exciton and charge generation in the BDTEH-TTPD:PC$_{71}$BM device than in the BDTO-TTPD:PC$_{71}$BM device. Moreover, the photo-response of BDTEH-TTPD was over 40% at 418 nm, whereas that of BDTO-TTPD was over 25% at 420 nm (Figure 5d). The higher EQE spectrum of BDTEH-TTPD can be attributed to greater conversion of input photons to photocurrent at all absorption wavelengths, consistent with the higher circuit current observed.

3.6. Thin-Film Morphology

The solubilizing side chains of BDTEH-TTPD and BDTO-TTPD are expected to impact their solubility and miscibility with PCBM, which may in turn affect the film morphology generated during spin-coating. AFM was used to investigate the nanoscale topography of the BDTEH-TTPD:PC$_{71}$BM and BDTO-TTPD:PC$_{71}$BM composite films with the same weight ratio (1:4 w/w) (Figure 6). The BDTEH-TTPD:PC$_{71}$BM blend film displayed homogeneous clusters with many aggregated domains, with a root-mean-square (RMS) roughness of 1.07 nm (Figure 6a, c). By contrast, the blended BDTO-TTPD:PC$_{71}$BM film exhibited a poor BHJ morphology (tough and chapped surface) with a smaller RMS roughness of 0.47 nm (Figure 6b, d). The larger roughness of the BDTEH-TTPD:PC$_{71}$BM compared with the BDTO-TTPD blend film indicates a higher degree of order, which suggests that BDTEH-TTPD:PC$_{71}$BM films would show reduced internal resistance and more efficient charge
separation in SMOSCs. A higher surface roughness and nanoscale texture will also lead to increased internal light scattering and enhanced light absorption. All of these characteristics will contribute to the higher $J_{sc}$ value and efficiency of the **BDTEH-TTPD:**PC$_{71}$BM device relative to the **BDTO-TTPD:**PC$_{71}$BM device. The marked morphological differences between the **BDTEH-TTPD:**PC$_{71}$BM and **BDTO-TTPD:**PC$_{71}$BM films indicate that fine tuning of the molecular structure to achieve a desirable morphology in the solid state is critical to optimizing solar cell performance. The difference in PCE values is also influenced by properties such as film morphology and nanostructural order, which will be discussed below.

3.7. Thin-Film Nanostructural Order.

To determine the influence of the side-chain characteristics on the nanostructural order within the active layer, the XRD patterns (out-of-plane and in-plane) of the pure small molecule films and the blend films were measured (Figure 7). It is obvious from the patterns of small molecule-only films (Figure 7a, red lines) that the **BDTEH-TTPD** shows well ordered structure, contains a third order reflection as the lamellar ($2\theta = 3.91^\circ$) and $\pi-\pi$ stacking ($2\theta = 17.05^\circ$) peaks appear in the out-of-plane and in-plane pattern, respectively. The corresponding interlayer $d$-spacing and $\pi-\pi$ intermolecular stacking distance were 15.69 Å and 3.61 Å, respectively. For the pure **BDTO-TTPD** film (blue lines), crystalline diffraction peaks ($2\theta = 3.55^\circ$, $d$-spacing = 17.28 Å) and a $\pi-\pi$ in-plane peak were observed but their intensities were weak and the third-order diffraction was absent, suggesting that the **BDTO-TTPD** film contains much less crystalline order. These findings, which indicate that the **BDTEH-TTPD** film is comprised of higher order **BDTEH-TTPD** crystallites, agree well with the DSC and UV-vis spectroscopy findings regarding the crystallinity. The higher crystallinity of **BDTEH-TTPD** can be attributed to its branched alkyl chains (2EH), which
provide less steric hindrance than the linear chain (C₈) in BDTO-TTPD.

For the small molecule:PC₇₁BM blended films (1:4 w/w) (Figure 7b), the relative order in the out-of-plane and in-plane directions differed from that observed for the films composed of only the small molecule. The weaker and broad reflections observed for the blended films can be attributed to disruption of the crystalline packing by the presence of PCBM. However, the diffraction patterns were similar for the pure and blended films, indicating that the same crystalline planes were present in each system. It should be noted that the XRD patterns for the BDEH-TTPD:PC₇₁BM film retained the signals indicative of a high-order crystalline plane observed in the patterns for the pure BDEH-TTPD film. In addition, the BDTO-TTPD:PC₇₁BM blended film showed very weak second diffraction peaks and π-π stacking diffraction in the out-of-plane and in-plane directions, respectively, indicating low crystallinity. The dominant long-range ordering and distinct π-π stacking of BDEH-TTPD in the blend film allows efficient charge transport, which would explain, at least in part, why devices based on BDEH-TTPD showed an almost two-fold higher PCE and superior performance compared to those based on the less ordered BDTO-TTPD structure.

3.8. Hole mobility

To quantitatively investigate the effect of intermolecular packing on the charge transport property, hole mobility was evaluated using the space charge limited current (SCLC) model. The experimental details are described in the Experimental section. The hole mobility is measured in the dark using hole-only devices (ITO/PEDOT:PSS/small molecule or small molecule:PC₇₁BM/Au) and obtained from the slope of the plot log J_D vs. voltage (Figure 8). When the hole mobilities of pure small molecules are compared, it has been observed that the hole mobility of BDEH-TTPD (8.76 × 10⁻⁵ cm² V⁻¹ s⁻¹) is higher than that of the BDTO-TTPD (2.42 × 10⁻⁵ cm² V⁻¹ s⁻¹). The enhancement of hole mobility for BDEH-TTPD is
may arise from the denser packing of small molecules with branched alkyl chains (2EH), as evidenced from XRD patterns analysis. Likewise, higher hole mobility was also observed for the blends of BDTEH-TTPD and PC\textsubscript{71}BM compared with the blends of BDTO-TTPD:PC\textsubscript{71}BM, $6.52 \times 10^{-6}$ cm$^2$ V$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$ vs $3.91 \times 10^{-6}$ cm$^2$ V$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$. This result also indicates that the stronger intermolecular interaction of BDTEH-TTPD enables higher hole mobility in BHJ films. However, the hole mobilities of BDTEH-TTPD:PC\textsubscript{71}BM and BDTO-TTPD:PC\textsubscript{71}BM blends are decreased by 1 order of magnitude compared to that of pure small molecule. As mentioned above, this is probably because the disruption of the crystalline packing according to the presence of PCBM.

4. Conclusion

Two small molecules, BDTEH-TTPD and BDTO-TTPD, which both contain BDT and TPD but have branched and linear alkyl side chains, respectively, were synthesized and studied as potential materials for SMOSC applications. We investigated the effect of these different side chains on the optical, electrochemical, and solid-state packing properties and the resultant PCE of the fabricated OSC device. BDTEH-TTPD, which contains branched 2EH side chains, exhibited higher light absorption, a smaller band gap, and a more planar structure than BDTO-TTPD, which contains a linear side group (C\textsubscript{8}). An OSC device incorporating BDTEH-TTPD exhibited a high $V_{OC}$ of 0.92 V, $J_{SC}$ of 4.7 mA / cm$^2$, FF of 54.4% and PCE of 2.40%, whereas a device based on BDTO-TTPD displayed a $V_{OC}$ of 0.89 V, $J_{SC}$ of 2.7 mA / cm$^2$, FF of 55.2% and PCE of 1.33%. The present findings highlight the importance of the alkyl side chains on BDT- and TPD-based small molecules to the performance of these materials in SMOSCs.
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Scheme 1. Molecular structures of the BDTEH-TTPD and BDTO-TTPD small molecules investigated in this study.
Figure 1. TGA thermograms (a) and DSC curves for **BDTEH-TTPD** (b) and **BDTO-TTPD** (c) recorded under a nitrogen atmosphere at a heating rate of 10 °C/min.
Figure 2. The calculated optimized ground state geometry (a) BDTEH-TTPD and (b) BDTO-TTPD.
Figure 3. UV-Vis absorption spectra of BDTEH-TTPD and BDTO-TTPD (a) in chloroform solution ($1 \times 10^{-5}$ M) and (b) in spin-cast films; (c) Photoluminescence spectra of BDTEH-TTPD, BDTO-TTPD, BDTEH-TTPD:PC$_{71}$BM and BDTO-TTPD:PC$_{71}$BM films.
Figure 4. (a) Cyclic voltammograms of BDTEH-TTPD and BDTO-TTPD spin-cast films and (b) the HOMO and LUMO energy levels of BDTEH-TTPD, BDTO-TTPD, PC₆₁BM and PC₇₁BM.
**Figure 5.** $J-V$ curves for solar cell devices based on (a,b) small molecule:PC$_{61}$BM blends and (c) small molecule:PC$_{71}$BM blends at a 1:4 weight ratio. (d) EQE spectra of solar cell devices based on small molecule:PC$_{71}$BM blends.
Figure 6. AFM images (5 µm × 5 µm) (first row: height image; second row: phase image) of blend films spin-cast from a chlorobenzene solution: (a-c) **BDTEH-TTPD:**PC$_{71}$BM (1:4 w/w) and (b-d) **BDTO-TTPD:**PC$_{71}$BM (1:4 w/w) in tapping mode.
Figure 7. Out-of-plane and in-plane XRD patterns of films of (a) pure BDTEH-TTPD (red line) and pure BDTO-TTPD (blue line); and (b) blend films (1:4 w/w) of BDTEH-TTPD:PC$_{71}$BM (red line) and BDTO-TTPD:PC$_{71}$BM (blue line).
Figure 8. Dark $J-V$ characteristics of small molecule (a) and small molecule:PC$_{71}$BM (b) with hole-only devices.
Table 1. Photophysical properties of the small molecules containing BDT and TPD.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Molecule</th>
<th>$T_d$ (°C)</th>
<th>$T_m$ (°C)</th>
<th>$T_c$ (°C)</th>
<th>$\lambda_{\text{max}}$ (nm)</th>
<th>$\varepsilon_{\text{max}}$/10$^{3}$</th>
<th>$\lambda_{\text{max}}$ (nm)</th>
<th>$\lambda_{\text{onset}}$ (nm)</th>
<th>$E_g$ (eV)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BDTEH-TTPD</td>
<td>390</td>
<td>234</td>
<td>195</td>
<td>486</td>
<td>33.260</td>
<td>523, 566</td>
<td>642</td>
<td>1.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BDTO-TTPD</td>
<td>372</td>
<td>228</td>
<td>187</td>
<td>484</td>
<td>23.570</td>
<td>518, 560</td>
<td>631</td>
<td>1.96</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$ Decomposition temperature corresponding to 5% weight loss in N$_2$ determined by TGA.

$^b$ Melting temperature determined from DSC.

$^c$ Crystallization temperature determined from DSC.

$^d$ Estimated from the absorption edge in film ($E_g^{\text{opt}} = 1240/\lambda_{\text{onset}}$ eV).
Table 2. Electrochemical properties of the two small molecules.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>$E_{\text{onset}}^{\text{ox}}$ (eV)</th>
<th>$E_{\text{HOMO}}$ (eV)</th>
<th>$E_{\text{LUMO}}$ (eV) $^a$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BDTEH-TTPD</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>-5.27</td>
<td>-3.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BDTO-TTPD</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>-5.33</td>
<td>-3.37</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$ Calculated using the optical band gap and HOMO energy level of the small molecules.
Table 3. Summary of the photovoltaic data of OSCs based on **BDTEH-TTPD:PCBM** and **BDTO-TTPD:PCBM** blends with different weight ratios.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Small molecule : PCBM</th>
<th>Blend ratio</th>
<th>$V_{oc}$ (V)</th>
<th>$J_{sc}$ (mA/cm$^2$)</th>
<th>FF (%)</th>
<th>PCE (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>BDTEH-TTPD : PC$_{61}$BM</strong></td>
<td>1:1</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>28.0</td>
<td>0.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1:2</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>47.1</td>
<td>1.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1:3</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>62.5</td>
<td>1.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1:4</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>61.1</td>
<td>1.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>BDTEH-TTPD : PC$_{71}$BM</strong></td>
<td>1:4</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>54.4</td>
<td>2.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>BDTO-TTPD : PC$_{61}$BM</strong></td>
<td>1:1</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>42.6</td>
<td>0.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1:2</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>56.1</td>
<td>0.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1:3</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>54.9</td>
<td>1.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1:4</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>55.7</td>
<td>1.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>BDTO-TTPD : PC$_{71}$BM</strong></td>
<td>1:4</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>55.2</td>
<td>1.33</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>