Accepted Manuscript



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this Accepted Manuscript with the edited and formatted Advance Article as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the **Information for Authors**.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard <u>Terms & Conditions</u> and the <u>Ethical guidelines</u> still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



www.rsc.org/crystengcomm

SASTRA University



SASTRA-

Phone: 91 4362 264101 – 108 ext.120, 304000-29 Fax: 91 4362 264120 Website: www.sastra.edu

24th Mar-2015

Dr. S. Philip Anthony Senior Assistant Prof., School of Chemical & Biotechnology philip@biotech.sastra.edu

Manuscript ID: CE-ART-12-2014-002461

Title: Alanine based coordinating ligands mediated hydrothermal synthesis of CuS nano/microstructures and morphology dependent photocatalysis

V. Vinod Kumar, P. S. Hariharan, D. Eniyavan, Natarajan Hari and Savarimuthu Philip Anthony*

Dear Editor,

Along with this covering note we are submitting the revised manuscript. We are thankful to the referee for giving critical comments. In this regard, we have repeated the experiments as suggested by the referee. Below we provide the specific comments of the referee (in italics) and our response.

Further to the suggested revisions, we have made some additional changes in the manuscript to improve the presentation quality. We hope that the revised manuscript will get positive consideration for publishing in *CrystEngCommun*.

Sincerely yours S. Philip Anthony

Referee

Most of the questions given in my earlier report is not answered properly. It seems authors not focusing the particular questions rather they want to answer something to make reviewer happy! For examples, we asked to provide better FE-SEM images but not supplied. Author should put better quality low magnified FE-SEM image to understand the overall morphology of the sample.

We strongly apologizes to the reviewer. As suggested by the reviewer, we have performed FE-SEM for all samples. The images are given below. Unfortunately, we could not get better quality images than the previously given in the manuscript and hence we did not include in the revised manuscript. The images were recorded after sonicating the samples. We sonicated the samples in an effort to deaggregate nanoparticles for better visualization of morphology.

SASTRA University

(A University established under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956) Thanjavur – 613 401, TamilNadu, India

> Phone: 91 4362 264101 – 108 ext.120, 304000-29 Fax: 91 4362 264120 Website: www.sastra.edu



The FT-IR analysis not at all satisfactory. It is not clearly explained why they have done this analysis? Need to compare with only alanine and alanine coordinated CuS. Moreover, the proper mode of vibration should be included.

As suggested by the reviewer, we have performed FT-IR studies for the ligands as well as 1-5-CuS nanoparticles to confirm the organic ligand surface functionality on the nanoparticles surface. New figure for IR along (both pure ligands as well as with CuS) has been added in the revised manuscript (Fig. 5). The comparison of IR spectra of ligands and ligands with CuS clearly suggest the presence of organic functionality in the nanoparticles. The sentences for IR studies have also been modified in the revised manuscript at page no. 3, line 72-85.



SASTRA University



(A University established under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956) Thanjavur – 613 401, TamilNadu, India

> Phone: 91 4362 264101 – 108 ext.120, 304000-29 Fax: 91 4362 264120 Website: www.sastra.edu

From PXRD it is not possible to confirm about the formation of CuS nano/microstructures. How author confirm that there is no formation of CuO? There is strong chance for the formation of mixed materials like CuS and CuO. It will be better if author take more initiation to do the XPS experiment from other institutions nearby. Without XPS, it is meaningless to confirm the formation of CuS.

Further as suggested by the reviewer, we have also performed XPS analysis for CuS prepared using ligand 2 and that clearly confirmed the formation of CuS nanoparticles. New XPS spectrum has been included in the supporting information (Fig. S6) and the corresponding discussion have been included in the revised manuscript at page no. 3, line 54-64.

Few new references related to photocatalytc degradation of dyes should be included. Crystal Engineering Communications, <u>2013</u>, <u>15</u>, <u>482</u>. Nanoscale, <u>2014</u>,<u>6</u>, <u>8117-8123</u>; Journal of Nanoparticles Research, <u>2012</u>, <u>14</u>, <u>1040</u>. Langmuir, <u>2012</u>, <u>28</u> (<u>35</u>), pp 12893–12900; Colloids and Surfaces A: Physicochemical and Engineering Aspects, <u>2014</u>, <u>446</u>, <u>199</u>. Dyes & Pigments, <u>2006</u>, <u>69</u>, <u>177</u>.

The suggested references have been added in the revised manuscript. Reference number 1f, 2c-e and 12 (c,d).

Cite this: DOI: 10.1039/c0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/xxxxx

ARTICLE TYPE

Alanine based coordinating ligands mediated hydrothermal synthesis of CuS nano/microstructures and morphology dependent photocatalysis

V. Vinod Kumar, P. S. Hariharan, D. Eniyavan, Natarajan Hari and Savarimuthu Philip Anthony*

Received (in XXX, XXX) Xth XXXXXXXX 20XX, Accepted Xth XXXXXXXX 20XX 5 DOI: 10.1039/b000000x

CuS nano/microstructures with different morphologies, solid microspheres with blocks (1-CuS), nanospheres (2-CuS) and microspheres of nanoflakes (3-CuS), were synthesized using alanine based coordinating ligands (1-3) as structure controlling agents in hydrothermal synthesis. Interestingly, copper coordination polymers (4 and 5) that are prepared using same ligand (2) but differed subtly in the

- ¹⁰ coordination and water molecule presence, produced distinctly different nano/microstructures. Hydrogen bonded network polymer, 4, gave CuS microspheres composed of nanocrystals (4-CuS) whereas coordination network polymer without water molecule, 5, yielded nanocrystalline powdered materials (5-CuS). Importantly the synthesised CuS nano/microcrystals exhibited dye degradation (methylene blue (MB), methyl orange (MO) and Rhodamine blue (RB)) at room temperature without using any specific
- ¹⁵ light source. The CuS nano/microcrystals also showed morphology dependent dye degradation properties. Highest dye degradation was observed for nanosphered 2-CuS whereas 1-CuS and 4-CuS exhibited comparatively slow dye degradation. Thus the present work demonstrate the utility of simple coordinating ligands and subtle change in the coordination network for tuning CuS morphologies which showed morphology dependent dye degradation.

20 1. Introduction

The synthesis of inorganic nanomaterials with controlled size, morphology, crystallinity and anisotropic growth have attracted strong attention owing to their potential applications in various field.¹ The organic molecules such as surfactants/ligands that

- ²⁵ have been used for preventing aggregation of nanoparticles were extensively investigated for controlling the size, morphology and anisotropic growth of nanoparticles.² It is believed that the anisotropic growth of noble metal nanoparticles such as silver and gold were induced through site specific binding of ligands to
- ³⁰ some of the crystal facets of nanoparticles.³ The ligands can also form complexes with metal ions and change the nature of precursor metal complexes that subsequently affects the pH of the reaction medium, reaction kinetics and could influence on the resulting size and morphology of nanocrystals. Sodium
- ³⁵ dodecylbenzenesulfonate surfactant was used to prepare CuO nanoribbons in hydrothermal method.⁴ Qing *et al.* reported the synthesis of tunable morphologies of Cu₂O nanocrystals using different surfactants for structure dependent electrocatalytic studies.⁵ Citrate ions have been used extensively to alter the
- ⁴⁰ morphologies of ZnO nanostructures.⁶ ZnO nanocrystals with star and rose morphologies were obtained in presence of citric acid and citrate ions.⁷ Hui *et al.* utilized tartrate ions to obtain Cu₂O microcrystals with different morphologies.⁸ Monolithic CuO nanocrystals were synthesised from Cu(II)-1,10-phenthroline
- 45 complex.9 Recently, we have reported the synthesis of tunable

morphologies of Cu_2O nano/microcrystals using coordinating amino acid based reduced Schiff base phenolic chelating ligands and antimicrobial effects.¹⁰

Metal sulphide nanomaterials such as PbS, ZnS, CdS, CuS, 50 Ag₂S, MoS₂ and Bi₂S₃ have attracted significant attention in the filed of materials science because of their unique properties and potential technological applications.¹¹ Particularly, copper sulfide (CuS) nanocrystals, a p-type semiconductor, have been found as one of the potential materials for application in catalysis¹² 55 biosensor,¹³ tissue imaging,¹⁴ drug delivery¹⁵ and Li-ion battery.¹⁶ The shape, size, stoichiometric composition and crystal structure of CuS nanocrystals usually control the optical and electrical properties.¹⁷ Hence CuS nanostructures with spherical, nanotubes, nanowires, nanoplates, ball-flower, hollow cage and 60 hollow sphere morphologies have been synthesised using different approaches.18 Surfactants or solvent ratio played important role in controlling the morphologies. For example, alkyl amine plays an important role in the synthesis of CuS with spheres, nanowire and nanotube morphologies.¹⁹ Joyjit et al. 65 tuned the morphologies of CuS nanostructures by controlling organic and aqueous solvent ratio and studied the photocatalytic effects.²⁰ The organic coordination building blocks have been extensively employed as templates for the fabrication of ZnO with different structures.²¹ However, small organic coordination 70 ligands that produced intriguing solid state structures have never been explored to control the nano/microstructure of CuS.

We have chosen alanine based reduced Schiff base ligands (Scheme 1) to investigate structure controlling agent for CuS

nano/microstructure preparation in view of its coordination versatility, simple synthesis and ability to exhibit topochemical transformation (single crystal to single crystal conversions).²² The topochemical conversion allows to explore the effect of

- ⁵ precursors that differ in the ligand coordination mode and stability on the nanostructure formation. In this manuscript, we report the hydrothermal synthesis of CuS nano/microstructures with different morphologies using alanine amino based reduced Schiff base ligands (1-3, Scheme 1) as structure directing agents.
- ¹⁰ Solid microspheres along with smaller sized nanoparticles and plates (1-CuS) were obtained using 1. 2 and 3 produced spherical nanocrystalline CuS (2-CuS) and microspheres made of nanoflakes (3-CuS), respectively. Interestingly, coordination polymers of 4 and 5 that was prepared using ligand 2 and differed
- ¹⁵ subtly in the coordination produced completely distinct morphologies. Solid microcrystalline spheres of CuS composed of smaller nanocrystallites (4-CuS) were obtained from 4 and formation of powdered nanoparticles of CuS (5-CuS) were observed with 5. The surface functionality and crystalline
- ²⁰ structure of CuS nano/microstructures were confirmed using FT-IR and powder X-ray diffraction studies. Importantly, the asprepared CuS nano/microcrystallites exhibited morphology dependent photocatalytic dye degradation property without using any specific light source.

25 2. Experimental Section

Alanine, salicylaldehyde, NaBH₄ and Cu(CH₃COO)₂.H₂O were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich and used as-received. Thiourea, ethanol and NaOH were obtained from Ranboxy India. Copper coordination polymers (4 and 5) from ligand 3 were prepared by

³⁰ following the reported procedure.²² All experiment was performed using Mill-Q water.

2.1 Synthesis of reduced Schiff base ligands 2 and 3

- The reduced Schiff base ligands were synthesized by following ³⁵ the reported synthetic procedure.²³ Alanine (0.445 g, 5 mmol) was dissolved in 20 ml hot water using 1:1 equivalent of NaOH and ethanol solution of salicylaldehyde/2-hydroxnaphthaldehyde (1 equivalent, 10 ml) was added drop-wise at room temperature under vigorous stirring. The solution turned bright yellow for ⁴⁰ salicylaldehyde and dark red for 2-hydroxnaphthaldehyde. The
- solution was allowed to stir at room temperature for another 20 minutes. Then the reaction mixture was cooled in ice-bath and NaBH₄ (1.5 equivalent) was added portion-wise. The bright yellow colour was slowly disappeared (salicylaldehyde) and dark
- ⁴⁵ red was changed to light colour for 2-hydroxynaphthaldehde. After stirring for another 30 minutes, the reaction mixture was neutralized using dil HCl which produced white precipitates. The formed precipitate was filtered, washed and dried under vacuum. Yield = 80-85 %. **2**. ¹H NMR (d₆-DMSO) δ 7.16-7.27 (m, 2H
- ⁵⁰ (aromatic)), 6.77-6.87 (m, 2H (aromatic)), 3.92-4.03 (q, 2H (CH₂)), 3.19-3.26 (q, 1H (CH)), 1.31 (s, 3H (CH₃)). ¹³C NMR (d₆-DMSO) δ 170.97, 156.39, 130.63, 129.69, 119.98, 118.81, 115.57, 56.12, 45.42, 15.79. C₁₀H₁₃NO₃ (195.22): calcd. C 61.53, H 6.71, N 7.18; found C 61.37, H 6.51, N 7.37. **3**. ¹H NMR (d₆-DMSC) = 0.012 (10.012) (10.01
- 55 DMSO) δ 7.65-7.84 (m, 2H (aromatic)), 7.40-7.48 (m, 1H (aromatic)) 7.22-7.27 (m, 1H (aromatic)), 7.04 7.12 (m, 2H

(aromatic)), 4.23 (s, 2H (CH₂)), 3.17-3.22 (b, 1H, (CH)), 1.25 (s, 3H (CH₃)). $C_{14}H_{15}NO_3$ (245.20): calcd. C 68.56, H 6.16, N 5.71; found C 68.48, H 6.31, N 5.62.

60 2.2. General method of 1- to 3-CuS nanomaterials synthesis

 $Cu(CH_3COO)_2$ (0.1 M) was added into 0.1 M aqueous solution of ligand (1-3, 25 ml) and stirred at room temperature for five minutes. It is noted that ligand 2-3 was dissolved in water using 1:1 equivalent of NaOH. Then two equivalent of thiourea was

⁶⁵ added and stirred at room temperature for another ten minutes. The reaction mixture was transferred to a hydrothermal Teflonvial and heated in hot-air oven at 180 °C for 6 h. The reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature. The black precipitates of CuS (1-, 2-, 3-CuS) were filtered, washed with water and dried 70 in vacuum. The dried samples were used for characterization and photocatalytic studies.

2.3. General method of 4- and 5-CuS nanomaterials synthesis

Copper coordination polymer (4 and 5) and thiourea in 1:2 ratio were taken in the hydrothermal Teflon vial and 25 ml of water 75 was added. The mixture was gently stirred for 5 min and heated in oven at 180 °C for 6 h. The solution was cooled to room temperature and black precipitates (4-CuS and 5-CuS) formed were filtered, washed with water and dried. The dried 4-CuS and 5-CuS samples were used for characterization and dye 80 degradation studies.

2.4. Characterization

The particle size and morphology of the products were studied by field emission scanning electron microscopy (FE-SEM) (Q400 SEM). The phase and the crystallographic structure were sidentified by X-ray diffraction (XRD, Brucker, Cu-K α : λ = 0.1540598 nm) at a scanning rate of 0.07° s–1 with 20 ranging from 20° to 80°. ET IP, spectra were recorded for studying the

0.1540598 nm) at a scanning rate of 0.07° s–1 with 20 ranging from 20° to 80°. FT-IR spectra were recorded for studying the functional groups using KBr disks on a Shimadzu affinity spectrophotometer.

90 2.5 Photocatalytic studies

To 20 ml (10^{-4} M) of dye solution (Rhodamine Blue (RB), Methylene Blue (MB) and Methyl Orange (MO)), 5 mg of photocatalyst CuS nanomaterials synthesised using different structure directing ligands was added. The aqueous suspension was stirred ⁹⁵ at room temperature in the hood without any light under ambient conditions. At different time intervals a liquot was taken out into 3ml eppondorf tube and centrifuged (5000rpm/5min) to remove the suspended CuS particles. The supernatant was collected and absorption spectra were recorded. The change of optical ¹⁰⁰ absorption (λ_{max}) intensity of dyes was taken as a measure of dyes degradation.

3. Results and Discussion

The structure of coordinating ligands (1-3) and copper coordination polymers (4 and 5) that are used for the synthesis of ¹⁰⁵ CuS nano/microcrystals is shown in scheme 1. The synthesis of ligands are shown in scheme S3. Ligand 1 could exhibit bidendate mode of interacting with Cu²⁺ whereas 2 and 3 can act as tridendate ligands (Scheme S4). Single crystal analysis of copper coordination polymer of 2 showed square pyramidal ¹¹⁰ geometry with ligand occupying the square planar positions and a water molecule coordinated at the apical position.²² Hence copper with other ligands also expected to adopt similar coordination

geometry. Hydrothermal treatment of ligands, thiourea and Cu(CH₃COO)₂ in water produced black precipitate. The morphology of as-synthesised CuS nanostructured materials was explored using FE-SEM. CuS obtained using alanine, 1 (1-CuS) ⁵ showed the formation of different morphologies, solid spheres, plates and smaller nanoparticles, together (Fig. 1a, b). The size of

- plates and smaller nanoparticles, together (Fig. 1a, b). The size of the solid spheres and smaller nanoparticles are approximately 1 μ m and less than 100 nm, respectively. However, 2 that has phenolic hydroxyl functionality with alanine produced
- ¹⁰ completely different morphologies of CuS nanostructures (Fig. 1c,d). Unlike with 1, SEM studies showed the formation of spherical CuS nanoparticles in the size range of 50-100 nm. It is noted that solid spheres as well as rod morphologies could not be observed with 2-CuS. Interestingly use of 3 that consist of alanine
- $_{15}$ with naphthyl phenolic hydroxyl functionality as structure directing ligand resulted in the formation of nearly uniforms microspheres of CuS with size range of 1 μm (Fig. 2a,b). Compared to the CuS microspheres obtained using 1, 3-CuS microspheres did not have very smooth surface. 3-CuS
- 20 microspheres have rough surface with many smaller crystalline nano-flakes with size range of 100 nm were grown on the surface. The higher magnification of 3-CuS microspheres suggest that the CuS spheres are completely composed of nano-flakes. The size of the CuS microspheres is in the range of 1 μm.



 $_{25}$ Scheme 1. Molecular structures of coordination ligands 1-3 and copper coordination polymers 4 and 5.

The modulation of CuS morphologies by use of structure directing ligands, 1-3 in the hydrothermal reaction prompted us to prepare copper coordination polymers of 2 and use as precursors ³⁰ for CuS formation. The ligand 2 (N-(2-hydroxybenzyl)-l-alanine)

- is known to form helical coordination polymeric structure with Cu²⁺ and Zn²⁺ ions.²² The exciting phenomena of topochemical conversion (single crystal to single crystal) have been demonstrated using these two metal coordination network ³⁵ structures. 2 with Cu²⁺ exhibited helical coil coordination polymer structure formation with water molecule coordinated in
- the apical position of copper metal ion (4). Heating of 5 loses the water molecule and converts helical coil structure to anhydrous 3-D chiral framework (5). Hydrothermal reaction of 5 with thiourea
- ⁴⁰ in water produced microspheres of CuS with nearly uniform size (Fig. 2c,d). However, the microspheres are completely different from one obtained from structure directing ligands 2 and 3. 4-CuS microspheres were made of many smaller spherical nanocrystals of CuS. The size of CuS spheres is in the range of 700 nm to 1 um and the smaller systellites in the sphere are in
- $_{45}$ 700 nm to 1 μm and the smaller crystallites in the sphere are in

the range of 100 to 150 nm. Interestingly, hydrothermal reaction of anhydrous coordination polymer, 5-CuS did not show any microspheres rather it showed the formation of powdered CuS without any specific morphology (Fig. 2e,f). Hence same 50 coordination polymer with different coordination network structure yielded completely different morphologies of CuS. The energy dispersive X-ray analysis (EDX) of 2-CuS confirmed the presence of both Cu and S (Fig. 3, S5). Compound 2-CuS was chosen as a representative example of CuS samples. Further the 55 purity of 2-CuS was confirmed by XPS analysis (Fig. S6). The full XPS spectrum of 2-CuS suggests the presence of Cu, S, as well as C, N and O peaks. The observation of C, N and O peaks further support the organic ligand surface functionalization of CuS nanoparticles. Cu2p peaks, Cu2p3/2 and Cu2p1/2 appeared 60 at 932.5 and 952.5 eV, respectively. This is perfectly matches with the binding energies of Cu2p orbital of Cu(II).²⁴ Whereas two peaks observed in the S2p region at 162.3 and 162.5 eV



Fig. 1. SEM images of (a, b) 1-CuS and (c, d) 2-CuS.

indicates the S presence in CuS.²⁵

The crystal structure of as-synthesized CuS nano/microcrystals was investigated by powder X-ray diffractogram (PXRD). Figure 4 shows the XRD pattern of the CuS nano/microstructures of CuS prepared using different coordinating ligand and coordination The XRD pattern shows diffraction peaks polymers. 70 corresponding to 101, 102, 103, 006, 008, 110,108 and 116 plane that matched a pure hexagonal CuS structure (JCPDS card no. 006-0464). FT-IR studies were performed to analyse the organic surface functionality of ligands on the CuS surface. FT-IR spectra of pure organic ligand as well as 1- to 5-CuS is shown in Fig. 5. 75 All ligands showed characteristic symmetric and asymmetric stretching of carbonyl group and N-H stretching. The strong intense C=O asymmetric streeting appeared between 1550 to 1650 cm⁻¹. 1 to 5-CuS also exhibited similar IR spectra of the corresponding ligand that suggest the presence of organic ligands 80 on the surface of CuS (Fig. 5). The N-H stretching appeared between 3200 - 3350 cm⁻¹ moved upwards slightly upwards to 35500 - 3550 cm⁻¹ with CuS nanoparticles. It is noted that small change of peak position could be due to the interaction of the ligands with CuS surface.



Fig. 2. SEM images of (a, b) 3-CuS, (c, d) 4-CuS and (e, f) 5-CuS.

The modification of CuS nano/microstructures using different coordinating ligand and coordination polymers might be due the difference in the reaction kinetics upon interaction or formation

- ⁵ of metal-ligand complex. The ligand interactions with metal precursors or formation of metal-ligand complexation are known to alter the reaction kinetics and the resulting size and morphology of nanostructures.²⁶ The change of ligand structure (1-3) could have influence on the metal-ligand interactions ¹⁰ (Sheme S4). The simple alanine ligand, 1, without phenolic
- hydroxyl might have only weak interaction with copper metal ions. The uncontrolled reaction of sulphur from thiourea under hydrotherml condition produces different morphologies of CuS. However, introducing hydroxyl group in the phenyl ring (2) lead
- ¹⁵ to the formation of nearly uniform nanospheres. The phenolic hydroxyl group is known to form strong coordination with metal ions.²⁷ Increasing hydrophobicity by introducing extra phenyl ring in the ligand (3) leads to the formation of uniform microspheres made of nanoflaks. Thus increasing the
- ²⁰ coordination character of the ligand produced more uniform sized CuS nano/microstructures. This could be due to the regulated reaction of metal ions from the metal-ligand complex. The weak interaction of ligand with metal ions might not be able to regulate the reaction kinetics and produce different shaped
- ²⁵ nano/microstructures. The different morphologies of CuS nano/microstructures obtained from copper coordination polymers of ligand 2 further support the hypothesis. Hydrothermal reaction of 5 gave completely CuS microspheres of small crystallites. Thus, using already prepared coordination
- 30 polymer (4) produced microspheres with small crystallites whereas separate addition of Cu²⁺ and 2 and hydrothermal



Fig. 3. (a) SEM EDX images and (b) EDX spectrum of 2-CuS.



Fig. 4. PXRD pattern of different CuS nano/microstructure samples.

³⁵ treatment produced spherical nanopowder. The coordination process is completed in 4 but might not completed in the separate addition of 2 into Cu²⁺ solution. This coordination kinetics diiferences might have lead to different morphologies of CuS. However, hydrothermal treatment of dehydrated coordination ⁴⁰ polymer, 5 gave powdered CuS nanoparticles. The crystal structure of 4 has labile water molecule that coordinated at the apical position of copper metal ions. Thermal studies showed the loss of water molecules in the temperature range of 70 -90 °C.^{22b} The structure was converted to anhydrous stable form of 5 which ⁴⁵ did not show any change of mass of up to 240 °C. The labile water molecules in 4 might have offered regulated reactivity with thiourea and produced nearly uniform spherical morphology.

20

25

Whereas 5 is highly stable and hence thiourea reaction might lead to complete break-up coordination network in uncontrolled way.



Fig. 5. FT-IR spectra of (a) organic ligands and (b) CuS with organic ligands.

- ¹⁰ irradiation.²⁸ However, our studies of photocatalytic dye degradation with CuS nanostructure suggest that both light as well as H_2O_2 is not required to degrade the dyes. It is noted that CuS nanostructures showed slightly less efficiency of photodegradation dyes in absence of H_2O_2 compared to the H_2O_2
- ¹⁵ presence. Absorption studies were used to monitor the dye degradation. For the photocatalysis study, 20 mL of 1×10^{-4} (M) MB or MO or RB dye solution was taken in a beaker and 5 mg of synthesized CuS nano/microcrystalline powder was added to it.



Fig. 6. MB dye degradation studies using 2-CuS nanoparticles (a) without and (b) with H_2O_2 . (c) Mechanism of dye degradation using CuS and H_2O_2 . Digital images of colour change is shown the inset.



Fig. 7. Digital images of MO and RB dye degradation using 2-CuS with and without $\rm H_2O_2.$

The resulting solution was stirred at room temperature with and without H_2O_2 in the absence of any specialized light source. The ³⁰ solution was centrifuged to separate the CuS

nano/microstructures from the dye for the measurement of absorption spectra. The UV-Vis absorption spectra of MB $\,$



Fig. 8. Photodegradation studies of (a) MB, (b) MO and (c) RB with CuS $_5$ nano/microstructures with and without $\rm H_2O_2.$

solution stirred with 3-CuS is shown figure 6. In absence of H_2O_2 , MB absorption at 660 nm exhibited gradual decrease of absorption over 5 h. After 5 h, MB dye was degraded nearly 82 % using some of the CuS samples (Fig. 6a). In presence of H_2O_2 ,

- ¹⁰ the MB dye solution showed a drastic decrease of absorption immediately and steady decrease of absorption afterwards (Fig. 6b). After 5 h, 3-CuS sample exhibited nearly 90 % of MB dye degradation. Similarly MO and RB dyes were also degraded nearly 80 – 90 % using CuS samples (Fig. 7, S7-8). As can be
- ¹⁵ seen from the absorption spectra (Fig. 6, S7 and S8), absorption of MB, MO and RB dyes gradually decreases without showing any additive peak or shift (blue or red) in the peak position. This clearly indicates that dyes undergo photodegradation with CuS nano/microstructures in presence as well as absence of H_2O_2 .²⁹
- 20 CuS nano/microstrucutres produces hydroxyl radicals from H2O2

that reacts with organic dyes and degrade (Scheme 2, S9). In presence of H₂O₂, hydroxyl radical are directly formed from hydrogen perxide.³⁰ In the absence of peroxide, the absorption of visible light by CuS produces excited electron that reacts with ²⁵ hydrogen ions of water and produces hydroxyl radicals.³¹ This could be the reason for CuS in presence of H₂O₂ to exhibit drastic degradation of dye immediately.

Interestingly, the CuS nano/microstructures exhibited morphologies dependent dye degradation. 1-CuS and 3-CuS ³⁰ samples showed comparatively slow photodegradation of dyes with other samples (Fig. 8). The spherical nanoparticles of 2-CuS exhibited strong dye gradation compared to all samples both with as well as without H₂O₂ condition. 5-CuS that formed as powders and microspheres of nanocrystallites (4-CuS) also showed ³⁵ stronger dye degradation compared to 1-CuS and 3-CuS. This could be due to the enhanced surface area of CuS. However, the reason for the weaker dye degradation by CuS microspheres of nano-flakes is not clear. Thus the present study indicates that CuS nanomaterials could be used for photodegrading organic dye ⁴⁰ molecules without the use of any specialized light source as well as hydrogen peroxide. Further, the rate and extent of dye degradation could be optimized by tuning the morphologies.

4. Conclusion

CuS nano/microstructured materials with different morphologies 45 were prepared using alanine and alanine based reduced Schiff base coordinating ligand (1-3) and subtle structural change in the MOF (MOF-4 and 5) by hydrothermal method. Solid spheres with smaller particles, only solid spheres, smaller spherical nanoparticles and spheres made of thin plates were obtained 50 using 1-3 structure as directing ligand. Interestingly hydrothermal reaction MOF-4 and MOF-5, both are differ only by small coordination and water molecule, produced distinctly different nano/microstructures. MOF-4 produced spheres composed of smaller nanocrystals on the surface whereas MOF-5 produced 55 nanocrystalline powdered materials. Photocatalytic dye degradation studies were performed at room temperature under ambient condition that revealed morphology dependent properties. Highly powdered smaller particles showed higher degradation effects than with the solid spheres. Thus simple 60 coordinating ligands were demonstrated as potential structure directing unit in the synthesis of different morphologies of CuS nanomaterials for structure dependent photocatalytic studies.

Acknowledgments

Financial supports from Department of Science and Technology, 65 New Delhi, India (DST Fast Track Scheme No. SR/FT/CS-03/2011(G) is acknowledged with gratitude. We thank Dr. V. Anbazhagan for providing acid digestion hydrothermal bomb. We thank Dr. B. L. V. Prasad for XPS analysis.

Notes and references

- 70 School of Chemical & Biotechnology, SASTRA University, Thanjavur-613401, Tamil Nadu, India. Fax: +914362264120; Tel: +914362264101;
- *E-mail: philip@biotech.sastra.edu* † Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: 1H-NMR

⁷ Electronic Supplementary information (ESI) available: TH-INMR spectra, synthesis and coordination mode of ligand, and dye degradation ⁷⁵ scheme. See DOI: 10.1039/b000000x/

Page 10 of 11

- (a) G. A. Somorjai, H. Frei and J. Y. Park, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2009, 131, 16589. (b) C. Burda, X. Chen, R. Narayanan and M. A. El-Sayed, Chem. Rev., 2005, 105, 1025. (c) P. R. Sajanlal, T. S. Sreeprasad, A. K. Samal and T. Pradeep, Nano Rev., 2011, 2, 5883;
- ⁵ (d) Y. Ye, C. Jo, I. Jeong and J. Lee, *Nanoscale*, 2013, 5, 4584; (e) H.
 -Y. Chen, D. -B. Kuang and C. -Y. Su, *J. Mater. Chem.*, 2012, 22, 15475; (f) S. Polarz, *Adv. Funct. Mater.* 2011, 21, 3214; (g) S. Kundu, S. Panigrahi, A. Pal, S. K. Ghosh, S. Nath, S. Praharaj, S. Basu, T. Pal, *Dyes and Pigments*, 2006, 69, 177.
- ¹⁰ 2. (a) C. N. R. Rao, H. S. S. Ramakrishna Matte, R. Voggua and A. Govindaraj, *Dalton Trans.*, 2012, **41**, 5089; (b) B. H. Kim, M. J. Hackett, J. Park and T. Hyeon, *Chem. Mater.*, 2014, **26**, 59; (c) Subrata Kundu, M. D. Mukadam, S. M. Yusuf and M. Jayachandran, *CrystEngComm*, 2013, **15**, 482; (d) S. Kundu, *Colloids and Surfaces*
- A: Physicochemical and Engineering Aspects, 2014, 446, 199; (e) S. Kundu, N. Sutradhar, R. Thangamuthu, B. Subramanian, A. B. Panda and M. Jayachandran, J Nanopart Res, 2012, 14, 1040.
- (a) X. Dong, X. Ji, J. Jing, M. Li, Jun Li, and W. Yang, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2010, **114**, 2070; (b) G. Palui, S. Ray and A. Banerjee, J. Mater.
 Chem., 2009, **19**, 3457; (c) M. Grzelczak, J. Pérez-Juste, P. Mulvaney
- and L. M. Liz-Marzan, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2008, **37**, 1783.
 X. Gou, G. Wang, J. Yang, J. Park and D. Wexler, *J. Mater. Chem.*,
- 2008, **18**, 965. 5. Q. Li, P. Xu, B. Zhang, H. Tsai, S. Zheng, G. Wu and H. –L. Wang,
- Z. La, T. Au, D. Zhang, H. Tou, S. Zheng, G. Wu and H. -L. Wang,
 J. Phys. Chem. C, 2013, 117, 13872.
- (a) L. Ge, X. Jing, J. Wang, S. Jamil, Q. Liu, F. Liua and M. J. Zhang, *J. Mater. Chem.*, 2011, **21**, 10750; (b) Z. R. Tian, J. A. Voigt, J. Liu, B. Mckenzie, M. J. Mcdermott, M. A. Rodriguez, H. Konishi and H. Xu, *Nat. Mater.*, 2003, **2**, 821; (c) Z. R. Tian, J. A. Voigt, J.
- Liu, B. Mckenzie and M. Mcdermott, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2002, 124, 12954; (d) S. Cho, J. -W. Jang, S. H. Jung, B. R. Lee, E. Oh and K. H. Lee, *Langmuir*, 2009, 25, 3825; (e) C. -L. Kuo, T. -J. Kuo and M. H. Huang, J. Phys. Chem. B, 2005, 109, 20115; (f) T. Zhang, W. Dong, M. Keeter-Brewer, S. Konar, R. N. Njabon and Z. R. Tian, J.
- Am. Chem. Soc., 2006, 128, 10960; (g) S. Das, K. Dutta and A. Pramanik, CrystEngComm., 2013, 15, 6349.
- M. Palumbo, T. Lutz, C. E. Giusca, H. Shiozawa, V. Stolojan, D. C. Cox, R. M. Wilson, S. J. Henley and S. R. P. Silva, *Cryst. Growth Des.*, 2009, 9, 3432.
- 40 8. H. Yang and Z. -H. Liu, Cryst. Growth Des., 2010, 10, 2064.
- M. Basu, A. K. Sinha, M. Pradhan, S. Sarkar, A. Pal and T. Pal, *Chem. Commun.*, 2010, 46, 8785.
- G. Sree Theja, R. C. Lowrence, V. Ravi, S. Nagarajan and S.P. Anthony, *CrystEngComm.*, 2014, 16, 9866.
- ⁴⁵ 11. (a) J. C. Martins, F. Vanhaecke, A. Vantomme, C. Delerue, G. Allan and Z Hens, *ACS Nano*, 2009, **3**, 3023; (b) M. Muruganandham, Y. Kusumoto, C. Okamoto, A. Muruganandham, Md. Abdulla-Al-Mamun and B. Ahmmad, *J. Phys. Chem. C.*, 2009, **113**, 19506; (c) I. Kriegel, C. Jiang, J. Rodríguez-Fernández, R. D. Schaller, D. V.
- ⁵⁰ Talapin, E. da Como and J. Feldmann, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2012, **134**, 1583; (d) A. A. Tahir, M. A. Ehsan, M. Mazhar, K. G. U. Wijayantha, M. Zeller and A. D. Hunter, Chem. Mater., 2010, **22**, 5084.
- 12. (a) M. Basu, A. K. Sinha, M. Pradhan, S. Sarkar, Y. Negishi and T. Pal, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 2010, **44**, 6313; (b) J. Zhang, J. Yu, Y.
- Pal, Environ. Sci. Technol., 2010, 44, 6313; (b) J. Zhang, J. Yu, Y. Zhang, Q. Li and J.R. Gong, Nano Lett., 2011, 11, 4774; (c) Q. Cai, S. Lu, F. Liao, Y. Li, S. Ma and M. Shao, Nanoscale, 2014, 6, 8117; (d) Y. Zhang, J. Tian, H. Li, L. Wang, X. Qin, A. M. Asiri, A. O. Al-Youbi and X. Sun, Langmuir, 2012, 28, 12893.
- 60 13. J. Liu and D. Xue, J. Mater. Chem., 2011, 21, 223.
- G. Ku, M. Zhou, S. Song, Q. Huang, J. Hazle and C. Li, ACS Nano., 2012, 6, 7489.
- 15. S. Ramadan, L. Guo, Y. Li, B. Yan and W. Lu, Small, 2012, 8, 3143.
- Y. Chen, C. Davoisne, J.-M. Tarascon and C. Guéry, *J. Mater. Chem.* 2012, 22, 5295.
- (a) Y. Zhao, H. Pan, Y. Lou, X. Qiu, J. J. Zhu, C. Burda, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2009, **131**, 4253; (b) K. Takase, M. Koyano, T. Shimizu, K. Makihara, Y. Takahashi, Y. Takano and K. Sekizawa, Solid State Commun., 2002, **123**, 531.

- 70 18 (a) D. Weimin, Q. Xuefeng, M. Xiaodong, G. Qiang, C. Hongliang and Y. Jie, *Chem. Eur. J.*, 2007, **13**, 3241; (b) M. Wang, F. Xie, W. Li, M. Chen and Y. Zhao, *J. Mater. Chem. A.*, 2013, **1**, 8616.
- 19 (a) Q. Lu, F. Gao and D. Zhao, *Nanoletters*, 2002, **2**, 725; (b) Z. Yao, X. Zhu, C. Wu, X. Zhang, Y. Xie, *Cryst. Growth Des.*, 2007, **7**, 1256.
- 75 20 (a) J. Kundu and D. Pradhan, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces., 2014, 6, 1823; (b) Z. K. Yang, L. X. Song, Y. Teng and J. Xia, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2014, 2, 20004.
 - 21 (a) Z. Li, Y. Xie, Y. Xiong and R. Zhang, *New J. Chem.*, 2003, **27**, 1518; (b) C. Y. Su, A. M. Goforth, M. D. Smith, P. J. Pellechia and
- H.-C. zur Loye, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2004, 126, 3576; (c) Z. Li, Y. Xiong and Y. Xie, Nanotechnology, 2005, 16, 2303; (d) H. Liu, J. Yang, J. Liang, Y. Huang and C. Tang, J. Am. Ceram. Soc., 2008, 91, 1287; (e) A. K. Cheetham, C. N. R. Rao and R. K. Feller, Chem. Commun., 2006, 46, 4780.
- 85 22 (a) J. D. Ranford, J. J. Vittal and D. Wu, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 1998, 37, 1114; (b) J. D. Ranford, J. J. Vittal, D. Wu and X. Yang, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 1999, 38, 3498.
- 23 L. L. Koh, J. O. Ranford, W. T. Robinson, J. O. Svensson, A. L. C. Tan and D. Wu, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1996, **35**, 6466.
- ⁹⁰ 24 (a) X. Feng, Y. Li, H. Liu, Y. Li, S. Cui, N. Wang, L. Jiang, X. Liu and M. Yuan, *Nanotechnology*, 2007, **18**, 145706; (b) X. Jiang, Y. Xie, J. Lu, W. He, L. Zhu and Y. Qian, *J. Mater. Chem.*, 2000, **10**, 2193.
- 25 E. C. Todd and D. M. Sherman, Am. Mineral., 2003, 88, 1652.
- 95 26 (a) S. M. Lee, Y. W. Jun, S. N. Cho and J. Cheon, J.Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, **124**, 11244; (b) L. Manna, E. C. Scher and A. P. Alivisatos, J.Am. Chem. Soc. 2000, **122**, 12700.
- 27 C. Piguet, G. Bernardinelli and G. Hopfgartner, *Chem. Rev.* 1997, **97**, 2005.
- ¹⁰⁰ 28 (a) S. Sun, X. Song, C. Kong, D. Deng and Z. Yang, *CrystEngComm.*, 2012, 14, 67; (b) D. Jiang, W. Hu, H. Wang, B. Shen and Y. Deng, *Chem. Eng. J.* (Amsterdam, Neth.) 2012, *189–190*, 443.
 - (a) Y. Ma and J.-N. Yao, J. Photochem. Photobiol. A 1998, 116, 167;
 (b) J.-M. Wu and T.-W. Zhang, J. Photochem. Photobiol. A 2004,
- 162, 171; (c) T. Watanabe, T. Takizawa and K. Honda, J. Phys. Chem. 1977, 81, 1845; (d) P. Qu, J. Zhao, T. Shen and H. Hidaka, J. Mol. Catal. A 1998, 129, 257.
- 30 (a) S. Sun, X. Song, C. Kong, D. Deng and Z. Yang, *CrystEngComm*, 2012, 14, 67; (b) L. Mi, W. Wei, Z. Zheng, Y. Gao, Y. Liu, W. Chen and X. Guan, *Nanoscale* 2013, 5, 6589.
 - 31 P. Wilhelm and D. Stephan, J. Photochem. Photobiol. A 2007, 185, 19.

Alanine based coordinating ligands mediated hydrothermal synthesis of CuS nano/microstructures and morphology dependent photocatalysis

Coordinating ligand controlled CuS nano/microstructures and morphologies dependent photocatalysis.

