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Quantum dots were used as fluorescent probes to investigate nanoparticle penetration into biofilms. The particle penetration behavior was found to be controlled by surface chemical properties.

Bacterial biofilm formation plays an important role in many persistent diseases1 and medical device-associated infections.2 These infections are particularly challenging, as biofilm bacteria are both embedded in and protected by the sticky and strong framework generated by extracellular polymeric substances (EPS).3 The EPS matrix possesses a complex composition, architecture, and dynamic function, all of which believed to result in high resistance to antibiotics.4 Creating an efficient treatment to biofilm-associated infections requires a fundamental understanding of how materials penetrate the complex milieu presented by biofilms.

Much of the protection provided by EPS comes from barrier characteristics, which can profoundly impede the penetration of antibiotics.5 Moreover, the EPS matrix is capable of deactivating antibiotics in the surface layers more rapidly than they diffuse, causing limited penetration.6 The failure of antibiotics to penetrate the full depth of biofilms is one mechanism behind the biofilm resistance.7 In recent studies, the surface functionality of NPs has been used to control their interactions with biomolecules and cells.8 Engineered nanoparticles (NPs) possess the ability to permeate into cells,9 tumors,10 and even the blood-brain barrier,11 presenting a potentially powerful vehicle to infiltrate the biofilm EPS barrier.12

We report here the use of quantum dots (QDs) to determine the role of particle surface properties in dictating NP penetration. QDs functionalized with different charges and head functional groups were used to systematically investigate the role of surface chemistry in QD penetration and distribution inside biofilms using fluorescence microscopy. The results show that neutral and anionic QDs cannot penetrate or accumulate efficiently into biofilms. In contrast, cationic particles readily penetrate fully into biofilms. With these cationic QDs we observed that hydrophobic biofilms are more homogeneously distributed through the biofilm than hydrophilic analogs. Our studies demonstrate that control of surface functionality on the NP surface provides an effective approach to predict the NP behavior in biofilms (Figure 1).

Green fluorescent CdSe/ZnS core-shell QDs (em 535 nm) were used to prepare water soluble QDs through a two-step ligand exchange process (See ESI for QD preparation).13,14 Dithiolate ligands15 presenting different functional head groups were synthesized for QD surface functionalization (Fig. 2a). The ligand design features a dihydrolipoic acid (DHLA) bidentate anchor, an oligo(ethylene glycol) (OEG) spacer and a tunable functional head group.16 The terminal functionalities of the ligands were designed with different surface charges (i.e., neutral, anionic, and cationic). Additionally, two types of cationic ligands were synthesized to impart differing hydrophobicity to the cationic head groups. As shown in Figure 2, the neutral QDs (PEG-QDs) were prepared by using the poly(ethylene glycol)- appended DHLA ligands, and the charged QDs were synthesized with different functionalities including carboxyl terminus (COOH-QD), trimethyl ammonium terminus (TTMA-QD), and dimethylhexyl ammonium terminus (Hexyl-QD). The absorption peak positions of these QDs were very similar while the emission peaks showed modest differences, as is commonly observed after surface modification.17,18,19 Dynamic light scattering data indicated that QDs had comparable sizes, ranging from 7.5 to 11.7 nm, with PEG-QD having slightly larger hydrodynamic size of 24 nm, presumably due to modest level of aggregation (see ESI for QD physicochemical properties).

We chose E.coli strain DH5α that expresses E2-crimson, a bright far-red fluorescent protein (emission peak at 646 nm), as a model strain for our study. The biofilms were cultured onto coverslips in a 1/10 strength LB broth supplemented with 100 µM IPTG (isopropyl β-D-1-thiogalactopyranoside) according to a reported protocol20 (see ESI for culture conditions) and were 7-8 mm in thickness. The
penetration experiments were performed with 1 h incubation of QDs with three-day old biofilms followed by washing with PBS. The cover slips were then mounted on glass slides using 4% anti-fade mounting solution and examined by confocal laser scanning microscopy (CLSM).

The CLSM images were obtained using two different fluorescent channels to simultaneously detect bacterial cells (red fluorescence) and QDs (green fluorescence). Figure 2 shows the 3D projection of images from a single z-stack to illustrate the overall contribution of QDs to the biofilms. In the case of PEG-QD and COOH-QD, there was no green fluorescence observed either on the surface or inside the biofilms (Figure 2 a,b), indicating no QD adhesion or penetration during the 1 h incubation (see Figure S1 and S2 for all the images). The absence of neutral and negative QDs on the surface of biofilms was likely due to weak adsorption of QDs that was disrupted during the washing step. In contrast, strong green fluorescence was observed throughout the film from the cationic QDs TTMA-QD and Hexyl-QD (Figure 2c,d). This finding is surprising, since it is reasonable to expect the cationic QDs interact with and stick strongly to the negatively charged biofilm EPS, and remaining in the top layers instead of penetrating. This behavior is, however,
Integrated intensity of TTMA-QD and Hexyl-QD and biofilm after 1h and 3h incubation. The y-axis, normalized intensity, is the integrated QD intensity normalized by the integrated biofilm intensity. The x-axis is the depth of penetration of biofilms, where 0 µm represents the top and ~7.2 µm represents the bottom. The data are average of three image stacks.

in agreement with recent reports where cationic NPs were shown to have a better penetration into negative matrix than anionic NPs.\textsuperscript{21,22,23} The different penetration patterns of these two cationic QDs are indicated by the projected sample images at 270° angle (turning along the Y axis, Figure 2 c-d, lower panel). These images show that TTMA-QD accumulated preferentially near the bottom of the biofilms while Hexyl-QD was more concentrated in the middle of the film.

To quantify the penetration profiles of the two cationic QDs, three stacks of CLSM images taken at random locations were analyzed using ImageJ (see Figure S3-S6 for all stacks of images). For each slice in one image stack, the green and red channels were separated and then analyzed for integrated intensity within a fixed 512×512 frame. The integrated intensity from the green and red channel hence represented the intensity of QDs and bacteria in the biofilms, respectively. The biofilm 3D architecture is inherently heterogeneous, causing the biofilm mass distribution to vary among the three image stacks. The integrated intensity from both channels was therefore plotted versus the biofilm depth. Both TTMA-QD and Hexyl-QD were present at 7.2 µm biofilm depth, corresponding to the bottom (coverslip) surface of the film (Figure 3). The distribution of the two particles, however, was quite different. TTMA-QD was relatively evenly dispersed along the vertical direction of biofilms at both 1 and 3h, with the highest intensity at 7.2 µm. Hexyl-QD, exhibited a different pattern in which the intensity reached a peak value around 4.4 µm and then decreased. This same pattern was observed after 3h as well, suggesting that a steady state had been reached for this QD as well.

In addition to regulating penetration depth, hydrophobicity markedly affects the localization of the cationic QDs. Overlay studies (Figure 4) show that TTMA-QD were not co-localized with the bacteria in the biofilms (Figure 4 a), and were instead found exclusively in the EPS. In contrast, Hexyl-QDs co-localized with the red fluorescence from the bacterial cells (Figure 4 b). The different distributions of the two QDs can be explained thermodynamically and kinetically. First, different partitioning affinities of the QD head groups to biofilm components, e.g. selective binding TTMA-QD to EPS results in extracellular localization. Dynamically, changes in NP surface functionality could lead to differing uptake rates, with Hexyl-QD...
more efficiently taken up by the bacteria. This observation suggests that rational design of NP surface can facilitate selective targeting of biofilm components, for example, targeting the EPS matrix for delivery of enzymes to induce dispersion of biofilms; or delivery into bacterial cells for delivery of antibiotics.

We next investigated the cytotoxicity profiles of these engineered QDs against human airway smooth muscle (ASM) cells (see ESI for detailed method). At the concentrations (300 nM) used for biofilm penetration studies, both TTMA-QD and Hexyl-QD showed minimal cytotoxicity on three different ASM cell lines after 24-hour incubation (Figure S7, S8, and S9). These results revealed the low cytotoxicity properties of these engineered QDs and their potential as a biofilm penetrating agent for in vivo applications.

In summary, we have employed functionalized QDs as model nanoparticles to demonstrate that the engineering of surface properties can be used to direct the penetration and distribution of nanomaterials into biofilms. This strategy presents new opportunities for using nanomaterials with different core materials to fight biofilm-based infections through both delivery of therapeutics and by creation of self-therapeutic systems. Both routes offer a potential approach for a low-stress, surgery-free, and efficient treatment of biofilm-associated infections.
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