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Enhancing the Effective Energy Barrier of a Dy(III) SMM Using a Bridged Diamagnetic Zn(II) ion

Apoorva Upadhyay, a Saurabh Kumar Singh, a Chinmoy Das, a Ranajit Mondol, a Stuart K. Langley, b Keith S. Murray, b Gopalan Rajaraman, a,* and Maheswaran Shanmugam a*  

Field induced single-molecule-magnet behaviour is observed for both a heterodinuclear [ZnDy(NO3)2]3+ complex (1) and a mononuclear [Dy(HL)3]3- complex (2), with effective energy barriers of 83 cm-1 and 16 cm-1, respectively. Insights into the relaxation mechanism(s) and barrier heights are provided via ab initio and DFT calculations. Our findings reveal an interesting observation that the \( U_{eff} \) of SMMs can be enhanced by incorporating diamagnetic metal ions.

Following the discovery, in 2003, that a terbium bis-2,2'-bipyridyl-4,4'-dicarboxylato(Tb(Pc)2) complex displays single molecule magnet (SMM) behaviour, a plethora of mononuclear and polynuclear lanthanide SMMs have since been reported.1 Particular attention has been devoted to dysprosium(III) based SMMs, not only due their fascinating magnetic behaviour, but also because of their interesting electronic, ferroelectric and luminescent properties.2 Recent studies into mononuclear lanthanide SMMs have shown that only a select number of dysprosium(III) complexes display such behaviour in the absence of an applied magnetic field.3 The majority, however, require the application of a magnetic field and are thus termed field induced SMMs. While significant experimental and theoretical efforts have been undertaken, a rational approach to enhance the barrier for the reorientation of magnetization \( (U_{eff}) \) has yet to be achieved. Of notable importance is recent work illustrating the effect of electron withdrawing groups on the ligands enabling fine tuning the \( U_{eff} \) values.4

In this communication we present a synthetic strategy, without modification of the basal ligand architecture, showing a potential avenue towards the improvement of the \( U_{eff} \) parameter in lanthanide based SMMs, by incorporating a diamagnetic metal ion. Thus we have isolated and structurally characterised two novel compounds of formulae [ZnDy(NO3)2(L)2(CH3CO2)] (1) and [Dy(HL)3(NO3)3] (2), using the potentially binucleating Schiff base ligand 2-methoxy-6-[(E)-phenyliminomethyl]phenol (HL). We then place a particular focus, experimentally and theoretically, upon their dynamic magnetic properties. Single crystal X-ray diffraction reveals that 1 (Fig. 1A) crystallizes in the triclinic space group, P-1 (Table S1), with the asymmetric unit containing the entire heterodinuclear complex consisting of one DyIII and one ZnII ion. The zinc ion present displays a distorted square pyramidal geometry with a \{N3O2\} equatorial coordination sphere derived from the two deprotonated L' ligands. The apical position is provided by a O-atom from the acetate ligand. The trivalent dysprosium ion shows a distorted tri-capped trigonal prismatic geometry, with a \{DyO6\} coordination sphere. Linkage between the ZnII and DyIII ions is provided by two phenoxo bridges and the carboxylate ligand, the latter displaying a \( \mu_2\text{-}\eta^1\text{-}\eta^1 \) bonding mode. The methoxy group of the Schiff base ligand and the two chelating nitrate ions complete the coordination sphere of the DyIII ion. Similar structures have recently been reported by several authors using compartmentalized Schiff base ligands.2g, 3a, 5 Complex 2 crystallizes in the orthorhombic, space group, Aba2 (Table S1). The asymmetric unit consists of two unique DyIII mononuclear complexes, both containing two protonated ligands (HL) and three chelating nitrate ions. The two molecules differ from each other by the relative orientation of the ligands bound to the DyIII ion. In the first complex, three chelating nitrate ions are oriented in a near trigonal planar arrangement, with the two Schiff base ligands, which chelate via the phenoxo and methoxy sites being perpendicular to the near trigonal plane of the nitrate ions (2a, See Fig 1B). The second unique molecule, unlike in 2a, has the HL ligands adjacent to each other, with the orientation of the chelating nitrate ions being distinctly different (2b, Fig 1C).

Complexes 2a and 2b are therefore found to be geometric isomers, crystallizing in the same crystal lattice. To the best of our knowledge, such isomerism for a lanthanide complex is observed here for the first time, although there is precedence for coordination isomers.6 The DyIII ions for both 2a and 2b are ten coordinate, displaying distorted bi-capped square anti-prismatic geometries, with \{DyO8\} coordination spheres. It is also observed that the phenolic proton attached to the free ligand has migrated to the imino (C=\( \equiv \)N) when complexed to the metal. Such a scenario has been witnessed in other reported lanthanide complexes.7 The nitrate groups in 1 and 2 facilitate intermolecular hydrogen bonding interactions efficiently (Fig. S1). Selected bond lengths and angles are given in Table S2.

Direct current (dc) magnetic susceptibility measurements on polycrystalline samples of 1 and 2 were carried out under an applied magnetic field of 1.0 T in the 1.8 - 300 K temperature range (Fig. 2). The observed room temperature \( Z_{eff} \) values of 14.10 cm\(^{-1}\) K mol\(^{-1}\) and 14.06 cm\(^{-1}\) K mol\(^{-1}\) for 1 and 2 respectively are in good agreement with the expected value of 14.17 cm\(^{-1}\) K mol\(^{-1}\) for a mononuclear
Upon application of a static dc magnetic field, however, temperature and frequency dependent $\chi''$ signals are observed for both 1 (Fig 2B) and 2 (Fig 2C). This is a clear indication of slow magnetic relaxation occurring in these complexes, a characteristic signature of a SMM. It was found that the optimum dc magnetic fields, where the relaxation is slowest, are found to be 0.35 T and 0.2 T, for 1 and 2, respectively, and a full frequency and temperature analysis was performed at these fields. Analysis of the isothermal $\chi''$ versus frequency plot, for 1, shows multiple relaxation pathways which are particularly visible at the lowest temperatures, with three frequency dependent maxima observed at 1.8 K (Fig S3). For complex 2 (where two different geometric isomers 2a and 2b are found in the crystal), we observe one predominant maximum in the $\chi''$ versus frequency plot, indicative of a single relaxation process (Fig 2C). The Cole-Cole plot, however, suggests that multiple relaxation processes are in operation (Fig S3D). Observation of these multiple relaxation processes in 1 and 2 has been rationalized by ab initio calculations (vide infra). The relaxation follows a thermally activated mechanism above 10 and 2 K for 1 and 2, respectively and plots of ln($\tau$) vs 1/T are linear. Fitting the activated relaxation data to the Arrhenius law [$\tau = \tau_0 \exp(U_{\text{eff}}/k_BT)$] yields effective energy barriers of 83 cm$^{-1}$ and 16 cm$^{-1}$, with $\tau_0 = 6.2 \times 10^{-9}$ s and 4.2 $\times 10^{-11}$ s for 1 and 2, respectively (Fig 2D).

In an attempt to rationalize the fivefold increase of the energy barrier for 1 compared to 2, ab initio calculations were performed using the MOLCAS 7.8 code. The computed electronic and magnetic properties show that the local g-tensors in the ground Kramers doublet in 1 have the values of $[g_{xx} = 0.02, g_{yy} = 0.04$ and $g_{zz} = 18.82]$, while the g-tensors for 2a and 2b are computed to be $[g_{zz} = 0.02, g_{xx} = 0.036, g_{zz} = 19.443]$ and $[g_{zz} = 0.081, g_{xx} = 0.121, g_{zz} = 19.092]$, respectively (see Tables S3-S5). All the computed g anisotropies are strongly axial in nature (see Fig 1 for computed gzz orientation, see also Fig. S4-S6 of ESI) but are not of pure Ising type. The computed energies of the first excited Kramers doublet, which often correlates to the height of the energy barrier ($U_{\text{eff}}$) in lanthanide single ion magnets, is found to be 91 cm$^{-1}$ for 1, and 76 cm$^{-1}$ and 46 cm$^{-1}$ for 2a and 2b, respectively. A drastic variation in the ground-state to first-excited state gap suggests that this separation is extremely sensitive to small structural changes. The value obtained for 1 is in close agreement with the experimental results, while for 2 the values are overestimated. As shown in Fig 2A the calculated temperature dependent susceptibility and magnetization data for 1 and 2a/2b are in good agreement with the experimental data (ESI; Fig. S7 and S8), which encouraged us to probe the mechanism(s) of relaxation in 1 and 2a/b, using these parameters (see Fig. 3 and Fig. S9 for 2b)). For both complexes, QTM via the out-of-phase susceptibility ($\chi''$) further reiterates the existence of strong magnetic anisotropy associated with both 1 and 2. In order to study the magnetic relaxation dynamics of 1 and 2, variable temperature and frequency dependent alternating current (ac) susceptibility measurements were performed on polycrystalline samples, in both zero and applied external dc magnetic fields. Using a 3.5 Oe oscillating ac field, with a zero dc field, an absence of any frequency dependent out-of-phase susceptibility ($\chi''$) signals for both 1 and 2, indicates no significant blockage of the magnetization, above 1.8 K. This observation is found in the majority of mononuclear Dy$^{III}$ complexes and is ascribed to fast QTM. 26 24 8
ground state is expected, and this is corroborated experimentally through the absence of SMM behaviour in zero dc field. The applied dc field lifts the degeneracy of Kramers doublets and quenches the QTM to a certain extent, while thermally assisted QTM and an Orbach relaxation process are activated via the first excited state as the principal magnetization axis does not coincide with the ground state (see Table S3-S5 of ESI). The computed matrix elements between the connecting pairs could possibly account for the observed multiple relaxations in 1 and 2. It is noted that the probability of QTM via the ground state is predicted to be slightly higher in the case of complex 1 due to the reduced axiality of the ground Kramers doublet, compared to 2a and 2b (Fig 3). From Fig. 3 (and Fig S9) it is also apparent that 2a and 2b have ±13/2 as the first excited state level \( m_J = \pm 13/2; g_S = 0.044, \ g_L = 0.094 \) \( g_z = 16.414 \) while the first excited state in 1 is highly transverse in nature, \( g_S = 11.158, g_L = 6.774, g_z = 1.284 \), with a very small \(|\mu_{LZ}|\) value (0.309), suggesting the presence of a \( m_J = \frac{1}{2} \) state (see Tables S3-S6). The observed change in electronic structure of the Stark levels in 1 could be due to structural distortions/presence of low symmetry environment, which are the likely cause for the stabilization of the \( m_J = \frac{1}{2} \) state over other Kramers levels with larger \( m_J \) values.

Because of this change, the mechanism of relaxation is expected to be drastically different with thermally activated-QTM being the dominant process for 1 as the excited level has significant transverse anisotropy while TA-QTM/Orbach relaxation are operative for 2.\(^1,1^1\) This is supported by the tentative mechanism derived from the \textit{ab initio} calculations (Fig 3).

As it was shown experimentally that 1 displays a larger \( U_{eff} \) value than 2 we now turn to analyse the role, if any, the Zn\(^{II} \) ions play towards this observation. DFT calculations reveal that the bridging phenoxo oxygen atoms in 1 have higher negative charges compared to that of the coordinated oxygen atom in 2 (-0.73 vs. -0.3, see Fig S10 - S12 of ESI and also Table S7-S9). The presence of a dicaticonic Zn\(^{II} \) ion leads to a larger charge polarization on the oxygen atom, which in turn induces a large electrostatic interaction on the lanthanide ions. This eventually leads to the destabilization of excited states and therefore an increased ground-state to first-excited state gap. This strongly suggests that the presence of a cation near the coordination environment is likely to help and enhance the \( U_{eff} \) barrier. This has been witnessed earlier in Na[Dy(DOTA)] and \{Dy,K\}_\text{2} clusters.\(^1,1^2\) This point is validated further by the fact that all the reported [ZnDy] molecules have higher \( U_g \) than the mononeric \{Dy\} analogues (see Table S10 and S11 of ESI). We have also extended our calculations on selected complexes which are structurally related to 1 (Table S10 and Fig S13) to reiterate this point (increased \( U_{eg} \)) and have also sought correlation of computed \( U_{eff} \) values to specific structural parameters. The large discrepancy in \( U_{eff} \) among the structurally related Zn-Dy complexes (similar to 1) are found to correlate with the deviation calculated from the ideal tricapped trigonal prism geometry using continuous shape measurement methodology (see Fig S14 of ESI).\(^1,1^3\)

In summary, the study sheds light on one of the long standing questions as to why zinc containing dysprosium complexes display enhanced SMM properties, over that of pure dysprosium complexes themselves. Evidence of large \( U_{eff} \) values in complexes containing other diamagnetic ions such as Co\(^{II} \) have also been reported.\(^6,1^3\) Based on our calculations, we propose a new methodology to increase the magnetization relaxation barrier by simply incorporating diamagnetic ion along with anisotropic Dy(III) ions, a method that differs from other existing approaches.\(^4,1^5\)
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11 Although Raman and Orbach processes are not determined directly by the matrix elements of the magnetic moments, the non-negligible values of the latter serve as an indicator of the relevance of these relaxation processes.


In this communication, we have proposed a novel synthetic strategy to increase the effective energy barrier \(U_{\text{eff}}\) of Dysprosium(III) Single ion magnets using diamagnetic metal ion such as Zinc(II).