ChemComm

Accepted Manuscript



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this Accepted Manuscript with the edited and formatted Advance Article as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the **Information for Authors**.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard <u>Terms & Conditions</u> and the <u>Ethical guidelines</u> still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



www.rsc.org/chemcomm

ChemComm

Journal Name

COMMUNICATION

RSCPublishing

Effective Dehydrogenation of 2-Pyridylmethanol Derivatives Catalyzed by an Iron Complex

Cite this: DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

Masahiro Kamitani,^a Masaki Ito,^a Masumi Itazaki,^a Hiroshi Nakazawa*^a

Received 00th January 2012, Accepted 00th January 2012

DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/

An unprecedented iron complex-catalyzed dehydrogenation of alcohols was achieved using $CpFe(CO)_2Cl$ with a base or CpFe(CO)(Py)(Ph) as a catalyst without sacrificing the hydrogen acceptors. This reaction effectively (up to TON 67000) converted 2-pyridylmethanol derivatives to the corresponding ketone or aldehyde. The mechanistic study was also discussed.

Oxidation of alcohols to ketones or aldehydes is one of the most important reactions with practical applications in organic synthesis. Traditionally, stoichiometric amounts of harmful oxidants such as chromium compounds have been used for oxidation.^{1,2} Many transition metal-catalyzed oxidation of alcohols have been developed because of environmental concern, using a stoichiometric amount of oxygen,³ hydrogen peroxide,⁴ alkenes⁵ and acetone⁶ as less harmful hydrogen acceptors that are sacrificed. However, from atom efficiency viewpoint, the use of stoichiometric amounts of oxidants is undesirable. On the other hand, oxidant-free dehydrogenation is not only an environmentally benign reaction, but also can save the cost and time because stoichiometric amounts of by-products are not generated, thus avoiding the need for a removal process. Furthermore, such reactions generate hydrogen gas, thus have a potential to become a promising hydrogen source.^{7,8} Several systems capable of acceptorless dehydrogenation of alcohols have been developed using rhodium,⁹ ruthenium,¹⁰ and iridium^{11, 12} catalysts; however, all these catalysts are highly toxic precious transition metals. To the best of our knowledge, iron or other non-precious metal-based catalyst for oxidant-free or acceptorless dehydrogenation of alcohols has not been reported yet. Herein, we report an unprecedented iron-catalyzed dehydrogenation of alcohols in the absence of hydrogen acceptors. In particular, this system could effectively convert the 2-pyridylmethanol derivatives to the corresponding ketone or aldehyde. The catalytic cycle was envisioned based on the results obtained from stoichiometric and catalytic reactions of the iron precursors and isolated intermediates.

First, various combinations of alcohols and iron complexes were examined, and CpFe(CO)₂Cl (1)¹³ showed catalytic activity for the oxidation of 2-pyridylmethanol derivatives to the corresponding dehydrogenated products. The reaction of 2-pyridylmethanol (96 μ L,

1.0 mmol) with 1 (2.1 mg, 10 μ mol, corresponding to 1 mol% based on alcohol) in toluene (20 mL) at reflux temperature for 20 h afforded 2-pyridinecarboxaldehyde in 18% yield (Table 1, entry 1). The catalytic activity of 1 was enhanced by the addition of 2 mol% of NaH based on the alcohol (entry 2). Other 2-pyridylmethanol derivatives were also dehydrogenated under the same reaction conditions (entries 3 and 4).

Table	1.	Acceptorless	Dehydrogenation	of	2-Pyridylmethanol
Deriva	tives	Catalyzed by	an Iron Complex ^a		

	N N	H cat 2 mol	t. 1 I% additive>	
		in toluen	e, reflux, 20 h	
Entry	R	Additive	Cat. (mol%)	Yield/% ^b (TON)
1	Η	None	1 (1)	18 (18)
2	Η	NaH	1 (1)	$35(35)^c$
3	Me	NaH	1 (1)	$62 (62)^d$
4	Ph	NaH	1 (1)	100 (100)
5^e	Ph	NaH	1 (1)	8 (8)
6	Ph	NaH	2 (1)	Trace (-)
7	Ph	NaH	3 (1)	Trace (-)
8	Ph	NaH	4 (1)	Trace (-)
9	Ph	NaH	5 (1)	Trace (-)
10	Ph	NaH	6 (1)	Trace (-)
11^{f}	Ph	NaH	1 (0.1)	100 (1000)
11^{f}	Ph	NaH	1 (0.01)	87 (8700)
13 ^g	Ph	NaH	1 (0.001)	67 (67000)

^{*a*} The reaction was carried out with alcohol (1.0 mmol), catalyst (1.0 mol%), and additive (2.0 mol%) in toluene (20 mL) under reflux for 20 h, except for entries 11-13. ^{*b*} Isolated yield of ketone/aldehyde product. ^{*c*} Yield is 48% based on the ¹H NMR data.^{*d*} Yield is 65% based on the ¹H NMR data.^{*e*} Heated at 100 °C. ^{*f*} Only the ratio of alcohol to catalyst was changed. ^{*g*} The reaction was carried out with alcohol (1.0 mmol), catalyst (0.001 mol%), and additive (1.0 mol%) in toluene (1 mL) under reflux for 20 h.

In particular, 2-pyridylbenzylalcohol was quantitatively converted to 2-benzoylpyridine. The yield dramatically decreased when the reaction was performed at 100 °C (entry 5). Similar reactions were carried out using other iron complexes (FeCl₂ (2), FeCl₃ (3),

Fe(OTf)₂ (4), Fe(BF₄)₂/6H₂O (5), Fe(CO)₅ (6)).However, they displayed no catalytic activity toward the dehydrogenation of 2-pyridylbenzylalcohol (entries 6–10). The dehydrogenation could even be achieved by reducing the amount of catalyst 1 from 1 to 0.001 mol% (entries 11–13). The highest turnover number (TON) achieved was 67000 (entry 13), and it is the highest value achieved so far using a transition metal catalyst in the dehydrogenation of alcohols.^{10k} The conversions were determined by the isolated yield of the dehydrogenated products (ketone/aldehyde).

Next, we checked the applicability of various related alcohols for the dehydrogenation reaction catalyzed by 1, and the results are shown in Table 2. Various para-substituted phenyl derivatives were effectively converted into the corresponding ketones (entries 1-4), with both electron-donating (entries 1 and 2) and electronwithdrawing (entries 3 and 4) substituents on the phenyl rings. CF₃ or five F groups on the phenyl ring (entries 5 and 7) gave low conversion yields. An ortho-disubstituted phenyl derivative did not diminish the catalytic activity (entry 6). It should be noted that the NMe₂, Cl, and F groups in the *para* positions of the phenyl rings did not diminish the catalytic activity of 1. In stark contrast, the compounds listed in Chart 1 did not undergo dehydrogenation. 3-Pyridylmethanol and 4-pyridylmethanol did not undergo dehydrogenation, indicating that the 2-pyridyl moiety of the 2pyridylmethanol derivatives is important for the catalytic dehydrogenation, presumably because the chelation of this moiety to the iron of the catalyst makes a stable five-membered ring. This is supported by the fact that 1-octanol and Ph₂CH(OH) did not undergo the iron-catalyzed dehydrogenation reaction. The nitrogen atom in the 2-pyridyl group is important for the iron-catalyzed dehydrogenation because 2-franylmethanol and 2-2thiophenylmethanol did not undergo dehydrogenation. Dimethylaminoethanol also did not undergo dehydrogenation, indicating that the nitrogen in the aromatic ring (2-pyridyl) is important due to steric and/or electronic reasons.

Table 2. Acceptorless Dehydrogenation of Various 2-Pyridylmethanol Derivatives Catalyzed by an Iron Complex^{*a*}

	OH 1 mol% 2 C ₆ X ₅ in toluene, reflu	$ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ aH \\ \hline x, 20 h \end{array} \xrightarrow{N} \begin{array}{c} O \\ C_6 X_5 + H_2 \end{array} $
Entry	C_6X_5	Yield/% ^{b,c}
1	C ₆ H ₄ Me-4	99 (100)
2	C ₆ H ₄ NMe ₂ -4	96 (100)
3	C ₆ H ₄ Cl-4	98 (100)
4	C_6H_4F-4	92 (97)
5	$C_6H_4CF_3-4$	42 (43)
6	C ₆ H ₂ Me ₃ -2, 4, 6	99 (100)
7	C_6F_5	15 (23)

^{*a*} The reaction was carried out with alcohol (1.0 mmol), catalyst (1.0 mol%), and NaH (2.0 mol%) in toluene (20 mL) under reflux for 20 h. ^{*b*} Isolated yields. 'Yields based upon ¹H NMR in parentheses.



Chart 1. The compounds shown above did not undergo dehydrogenation under the reaction conditions listed in Table 2. *Scheme 1* Plausible Reaction Mechanism

Page 2 of 3



Notably, after the completion of reaction and removal of the volatile materials, the products (pure ketones) could be easily obtained by simple filtration of the reaction mixtures without any further purification.

Herein, we propose a catalytic cycle for the dehydrogenation of 2pyridylmethanol derivatives catalyzed by **1** and NaH (Scheme 1). First, the alcohol reacts with the co-catalyst NaH to give the corresponding sodium alkoxide, which then reacts with **1** to give the iron alkoxide complex. Next, the nitrogen atom in the attached pyridine moiety displaces one of the CO ligands to give **A**. Dissociation of the pyridine portion in **A** takes place,¹⁴ and the subsequent β -hydride elimination produces the iron hydride complex (**B**) and 2-pyridinecarboxyaldehyde. Finally, the oxidative addition of the O–H bond of 2-pyridylmethanol (or coordination of the pyridine moiety of 2-pyridylmethanol) followed by the H₂ reductive elimination (or the coupling of hydride of **B** and proton of the hydroxy group) produce **A** to complete the catalytic cycle.

The main cycle in Scheme 1 consists of A and B, and NaH converts the starting alcohol into the corresponding alkoxide in order to activate 1. The use of NaH should be avoided because NaH is a strong base, in order to make the catalytic system applicable to a more wide range of applications. After several trials, we finally found that 8 serves as a good precursor of the reactive 16e species, CpFe(CO)Ph, because the pyridine moiety of 8 might readily dissociate from the iron center compared to the CO moiety of 1 (Scheme 2). Complex 8 was isolated in 89% yield from the photo irradiation of 7^{15} in the presence of pyridine. Complex 8 was characterized by NMR spectra, EA and X-ray diffraction study.¹⁶ Complex 8 exhibited excellent catalytic activity for the dehydrogenation of 2-pyridylbenzylalcohol even without the presence of base (NaH). The results show that the catalytic cycle shown in Scheme 1 is reasonable. To obtain further evidence to support the proposed reaction pathway, the stoichiometric reaction of 8 with 2-pyridylmethanol was carried out at room temperature.

Scheme 2 Formation of Complex 8 and Its Reactivity.



In the ¹H NMR spectrum of this reaction, the expected intermediate could not be observed; however, the corresponding product, 2-pyridinecarboxaldehyde, was slowly formed even at room temperature, indicating that the intermediate was too unstable to be isolated. Therefore, the reaction of more stable iron analogue having

Journal Name

 η^5 -C₅Me₅ group, (η^5 -C₅Me₅)Fe(CO)(Py)(Me) (**9**),¹⁷ with 2pyridylmethane thiol was carried out at room temperature. After the work-up of the reaction mixture, the expected thioalkoxy complex **10**, (η^5 -C₅Me₅)Fe(CO)(PyCH₂S), was isolated in 98% yield, and characterized by ¹H NMR, ¹³C NMR, and elemental analysis (Scheme 3). Although **10** showed a catalytic activity for the dehydrogenation of 2-pyridylbenzylalcohol even without the presence of base (NaH) similar to that of **8**, the corresponding ketone was obtained in only 36% yield because of the stabilization of **10** by the η^5 -C₅Me₅ ligand and strong S–Fe bond compared to the O-Fe bond of **A**. The formation of **10** and its catalytic activity toward the dehydrogenation of 2-pyridylbenzylalcohol are consistent with our proposed catalytic cycle.

Scheme 3 Preparation of Intermediate 10 and Its Catalytic Activity



Conclusions

In conclusion, we demonstrated the first iron-catalyzed dehydrogenation of alcohols (hydrogen production). This reaction works only for the 2-pyridylmetanol derivatives. The highest TON achieved was 67000 using a combination of 1 and NaH, as the catalysts for the dehydrogenation reaction. The precursor 8 exhibited similar catalytic activity even in the absence of NaH. The mechanistic study supported the proposed reaction pathway.

Notes and references

^{*a*} Department of Chemistry, Graduate School of Science, Osaka City University, Sumiyoshi-ku, Osaka 558-8585, Japan nakazawa@sci.osaka-cu.ac.jp

- * Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See [DOI].
- Chromium Salts: (a) J. R. Holum, J. Org. Chem., 1961, 26, 4814; (b)
 D. G. Lee, U. A. Spitzer, J. Org. Chem., 1970, 35, 3589.
- 2 Other oxidants: (a) R. V. Stevens, K. T. Chapman, H. N. Weller, J. Org. Chem., 1980, 45, 2030; (b) R. J. Highet, W. C. Wildman, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1955, 77, 4399; (c) A. J. Mancuso, D. Swern, Synthesis, 1981, 165; (d) D. B. Dess, J. C. Martin, J. Org. Chem., 1983, 48, 4155.
- 3 For selected references, see: (a) I. E. Marko, P. R. Giles, M. Tsukazaki, S. M. Brown, C. J. Urch, *Science*, 1996, **274**, 2044; (b) R. A. Sheldon, I. W. C. E. Arends, G.-J. ten Brink, A. Dijksman, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2002, **35**, 774; (c) G. Csjernyik, A. H. Ell, L. Fadini, B. Pugin, J.-E. Backvall, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2002, **67**, 1657; (d) M. S. Sigman, D. R. Jensen, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2006, **39**, 221; (e) M. J. Schultz, M. S. Sigman, *Tetrahedron*, 2006, **62**, 8227; (f) B. Jiang, Y. Feng, E. A. Ison, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2008, **130**, 14462.
- 4 For selected references, see: (a) G. Barak, J. Dakka, Y. Sasson, J. Org. Chem., 1988, 53, 3553; (b) K. Sato, M. Aoki, J. Takagi, R. Noyori, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1997, 119, 12386; (c) R. Noyori, M. Aoki, K. Sato, Chem. Commun., 2003, 1977.
- 5 For selected references, see: (a) C.-H. Jun, D.-Y. Lee, Y.-H. Kim, H. Lee, Organometallics, 2001, 20, 2928; (b) D. Morales-Morales, R. Redon, Z. Wang, D. W. Lee, C. Yung, K. Magnuson, C. Jensen, Can. J. Chem., 2001, 79, 823; (c) P. A. Slatford, M. K. Whittlesey, J. M. J. Williams, Tetrahedron Lett., 2006, 47, 6787; (d) D. Gnanamgari, A. Moores, E. Rajaseelan, R. H. Crabtree, Organometallics, 2007, 26, 1226; (e) N. A. Owston, A. J. Parker, J. M. Williams, Chem. Commun., 2008, 624.
- 6 For selected references, see: (a) M. L. S. Almeida, M. Beller, G.-Z. Wang, J.-E. Backvall, *Chem. Eur. J.*, 1996, 2, 1533; (b) K. Fujita, S. Furukawa, R. Yamaguchi, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, 2002, 649, 289; (c) F. Hanasaka, K. Fujita, R. Yamaguchi, *Organometallics*, 2005, 24, 3422; (d) M. G. Coleman, A. N. Brown, B. A. Bolton, H. Guan, *Adv. Synth.*

Catal., 2010, **352**, 967; (e) S. A. Moyer, T. W. Funk, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2010, **51**, 5430; (f) M. Bertoli, A. Choualeb, A. J. Lough, B. Moore, D. Spasyuk, D. G. Gusev, *Organometallics*, 2011, **30**, 3479; (g) S. R. Clapham, A. Hadzovic, R. H. Morris, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2004, **248**, 2201; (h) R. Levy, C. Azerraf, D. Gelman, K. Rueck-Braun, P. N. Kapoor, *Catal. Commun.*, 2009, **11**, 298; (i) C. del Pozo, M. Iglesias, F. Sanchez, *Organometallics*, 2011, **30**, 2180.

- 7 For recent review see: (a) J. D. Holladay, J. Hu, D. L. King, Y. Wang, *Catal. Today*, 2009, **139**, 244; (b) N. Armaroli, V. Balzani, *ChemSusChem*, 2011, **4**, 21.
- 8 For selected references for hydrogen production from small molecules, see: (a) T. C. Johnson, D. J. Morris, M. Wills, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2010, **39**, 81; (b) M. Nielsen, E. Alberico, W. Baumann, H.-J. Drexler, H. Junge, S. Gladiali, *Nature*, 2013, **495**, 85; (c) A. Boddien, D. Mellmann, F. Gärtner, R. Jackstell, H. Junge, P. J. Dyson, G. Laurenczy, R. Ludwig, M. Beller, *Science*, 2012, **333**, 1733; (d) S. Michlik, R. Kempe, *Nat. Chem.*, 2013, **5**, 140; (e) R. E. Rodriguez-Lugo, M. Trincade, M. Vogt, F. Tewes, G. Santiso-Quinones, H. Grützmacher, *Nat. Chem.*, 2013, **5**, 342.
- 9 (a) H. B. Charman, J. Chem. Soc. B, 1970, 584; (b) S. Shinoda, T. Kojima, Y. Saito, J. Mol. Catal., 1983, 18, 99; (c) D. Morton, D. J. Cole-Hamilton, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1987, 248; (d) D. Morton, D. J. Cole-Hamilton, J. A. Schofield, R. J. Pryce, Polyhedron, 1987, 6, 2187; (e) E. Delgado-Lieta, M. A. Luke, R. F. Jones, D. J. Cole-Hamilton, Polyhedron, 1982, 1, 836; (f) D. Morton, D. J. Cole-Hamilton, I. D. Utuk, M. Paneque-Sosa, M. Lopez-Poveda, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1989, 489.
- 10 (a) A. Dobson, S. D. Robinson, J. Organomet. Chem., 1975, 87, C52-C53; (b) G. B. W. L. Ligthart, R. H. Meijer, M. P. J. Donners, J. Meuldijk, J. A. J. M. Vekemans, L. A. Hulshof, Tetrahedron Lett., 2003, 44, 1507; (c) J. Zhang, M. Gandelman, L. J. W. Shimon, H. Rozenberg, D. Milstein, Organometallics, 2004, 23, 4026; (d) G. R. A. Adair, J. M. J. Williams, Tetrahedron Lett., 2005, 46, 8233; (e) J. van Buijtenen, J. Meuldijk, J. A. J. M. Vekemans, L. A. Hulshof, H. Kooijman, A. L. Spek, Organometallics, 2006, 25, 873; (f) H. Junge, B. Loges, M. Beller, Chem. Commun., 2007, 522. (g) W. Baratta, G. Bossi, E. Putignano, P. Rigo, Chem. Eur. J., 2011, 17, 3474; (h) A. Prades, E. Peris, M. Albrecht, Organometallics, 2011, 30, 1162; (i) J. Zhang, E. Balaraman, G. Leitus, D. Milstein, Organometallics, 2011, 30, 5716; (j) N. D. Schley, G. E. Dobereiner, R. H. Crabtree, Organometallics, 2011, 30, 4174; (k) M. Nielsen, A. Kammer, D. Cozzula, H. Junge, S. Gladiali, M. Beller, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2011. 50. 9593.
- 11 (a) K. Fujita, N. Tanino, R. Yamaguchi, Org. Lett., 2007, 9, 109; (b) K. Fujita, T. Yoshida, Y. Imori, R. Yamaguchi, Org. Lett., 2011, 13, 2278; (c) R. Kawahara, K. Fujita, R. Yamaguchi, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 3643; (d) R. Kawahara, K. Fujita, R. Yamaguchi, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2012, 51, 12790.
- 12 (a) Y. Lin, D. Ma, X. Lu, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1987, 28, 3115; (b) A. M. Royer, T. B. Rauchfuss, S. R. Wilson, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2008, 47, 395; (c) A. M. Royer, T. B. Rauchfuss, D. L. Gray, *Organometallics*, 2010, 29, 6763; (d) S. Musa, I. Shaposhnikov, S. Cohen, D. Gelman, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2011, 50, 3533; (e) A. V. Polukeev, P. V. Petrovskii, A. S. Peregudov, M. G. Ezernitskaya, A. A. Koridze, *Organometallics*, 2013, 32, 1000.
- 13 Z. Teixeira, S. P. Vasconcellos, L. Koike, G. H. M. Dias, *Quimica Nova* 2007, **30**, 494.
- 14 Dissociation of the carbonyl ligand from A instead of that of pyridine can not be rules our because a Ru complex with two carbonyl and one alkoxide ligands was reported to undergo β-hydride elimination via CO dissociation (see, M. C. Warner, O. Verho, J.-E. Bäckvall, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2011, 133, 2810). However, pyridine dissociation rather than CO dissociation from A seems more favourable because the last CO in A coordinates strongly to the Fe by a sufficient π-back donation. 15 S. Yasuda, H. Yorimitsu, K. Oshima, *Organometallics*, 2010, 29, 273;
- 16 For crystallographic data see supporting information.
- 17 H. Hashimoto, A. Matsuda, H. Tobita, Chem. Lett., 2005, 34, 1374.