ChemComm

Accepted Manuscript



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this Accepted Manuscript with the edited and formatted Advance Article as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the **Information for Authors**.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard <u>Terms & Conditions</u> and the <u>Ethical guidelines</u> still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



www.rsc.org/chemcomm

Cite this: DOI: 10.1039/c0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/xxxxx

ARTICLE TYPE

Spin Crossover Intermediate Plateau Stabilization in a Flexible 2-D Hofmann-type Coordination Polymer

Y. Maximilian Klein,^{ab} Natasha F. Sciortino,^a Florence Ragon,^a Catherine E. Housecroft,^b Cameron J. Kepert^a and Suzanne M. Neville^{*a}

s Received (in XXX, XXX) Xth XXXXXXXX 20XX, Accepted Xth XXXXXXXX 20XX DOI: 10.1039/b000000x

The abrupt and hysteretic two-step spin crossover in a new triazole-based 2-D Hofmann-type complex shows a record breaking 120 K intermediate plateau (IP) region stabilized by 10 negative cooperative interactions.

Spin crossover (SCO) complexes are of current interest because of their potential use in advanced technologies, such as memory storage devices and molecular sensing.¹⁻⁵ Many of these applications require hysteretic and abrupt complete SCO ¹⁵ transitions centred around or near room temperature.^{1, 3-5} To prepare materials with optimal properties and then transform them into functional and purposeful materials we must fully understand the link between structure and function.⁶ This is why many SCO studies reported include detailed structural analysis

- ²⁰ coupled with magnetic studies with an eye towards fine tuning solid state structural features such as intra- and inter-molecular interactions, which are of key consequence in attaining lattice cooperativity. Using such information, recent studies have taken steps towards the incorporation of SCO materials into useful
- ²⁵ devices through probing the effect of miniaturisation on longrange communication by preparing, for example, nanoparticles, nanocrystals and thin layers.⁷⁻¹⁰

There are varied SCO behaviour characters which are possible, such as complete, incomplete, abrupt, gradual one-step and multi-³⁰ step transitions, and each are well documented in the literature.²,

- ¹¹ Multi-step transitions, i.e. those that display three- or more regions of thermally stable, distinct magnetic states (eg. HS-HS, HS-LS, LS-LS, HS = high spin, LS = low spin), are a recent feature in the literature owing to the enhanced possibilities these
- ³⁵ materials provide for additional information storage capacity.^{12-21+refs therein} The most simplistic structural feature that enables a multi-step transition is the presence of two- (or more) structurally and magnetically inequivalent SCO sites. Such structural deviations may be subtle, such as in the dinuclear material ⁴⁰ [Fe^{II}(ddpp)₂(NCS)₄]·4CH₂Cl₂ (ddpp = 2,5-di(2',2''-
- dipyridylamino)pyridine), for which the coordinative linkage of SCO sites generates slight iron(II) site inequivalence,²² or dramatic, such as in the hybrid material $[Fe^{II}(dpp)_2][Ni(mnt)_2] \cdot MeNO_2$ (dpp = 2,6-bis(pyrazol-1-
- ⁴⁵ yl)pyridine), which undergoes multiple spin-state conversions of both components.¹³ An alternative, or additional path to multistability is through an accompanying symmetry-breaking event triggered by or coincident with SCO. There are several recent

examples of this type, such as [Fe^{III}(nsal₂trien)]SCN and ⁵⁰ [Fe^{III}(L2)]ClO₄ which show long-range order at the IP owing to a symmetry conversion.^{18, 23}

We are interested in designing a rational platform for routinely achieving multi-stability and then exploiting this to systematically tune and optimize multi-stable SCO properties. 55 The multi-stable magnetic characters to optimize include: abrupt and hysteretic transitions; high transition temperatures; and large regions of thermal stability for each step.³ Towards attaining abrupt and hysteretic transitions with high transition temperatures, we focus on the Hofmann-type framework system, 60 comprised of $[Fe^{II}M^{II}(CN)_4]$ 2-D grids ($M^{II} = Pt, Pd, Ni$) linked or separated by aromatic N-donor ligands.^{16, 19, 24-32} These systems show both high SCO cooperativity, facilitated by the bimetallic layered structure and furthermore, provide a flexible platform for fine-tuning inter- and intra-molecular interactions via synthetic 65 manipulation of the interlayer spacing (host or guest related). Importantly, multi-step transitions are a conceptually proven target feature in Hofmann-type materials due to the distortable

- nature of the bimetallic layer largely driven by solid state interactions.^{16-17, 19, 29, 32-33} The generation of inequivalent SCO 70 sites can be conceivably targeted in Hofmann-type materials through exploiting the connectivity in the interlayer spacing, i.e. the incorporation of ligands with asymmetric binding and/or
- interaction sites. Whilst to date the majority of Hofmann-type materials have focused on pyridyl N-donor ligands³³ we utilize a 1,2,4-triazole group which through its monodentate binding mode provides a less symmetric ligand coordination environment. This binding furthermore generates multiple (asymmetric) interaction site possibilities *via* the unbound atoms. Finally, in choosing this type of ligand platform we can tailor the interactions (host-host or host-guest) in the interlayer space with a specific interest towards stabilizing mixed HS-LS species to attain large thermally stable IP regions.

The material $[Fe(thtrz)_2Pd(CN)_4] \cdot (EtOH)(H_2O)$ (thtrz = Nthiophenylidene-4H-1,2,4-triazol-4-amine, Fig. 1(a)), **1**, is readily formed as single crystal or a pure bulk polycrystalline powder. Full single-crystal structural analysis at 260 K revealed Fe^{II}N₆ octahedral environments coordinated equatorially by N-donor $[Pd(CN)_4]_2^-$ metallo-ligands and axially to terminal N1-donor thtrz ligands (Fig. 1(b)). The overall structure motif adopted of a 90 2-D inter-digitated pillared-layered Hofmann-type topology, consists of undulating 2-D [FePd(CN)₄] grids separated by thtrz ligands (Fig. 1(c)). The layers stack such that the thtrz ligands align in an eclipsed face-to-face fashion generating an array of π - π interactions and defining a pseudo 3-D character (Fig. 1(d-e)). The structurally distinct iron(II) sites (Fe1 and Fe2) and associated ligands (L_{Fe1} and L_{Fe2} , Fig. 1(b)) are generated by selfcomplementary hydrogen-bonding interactions between pairs of ligands which direct the ligand geometry by 'locking-in' the Fe-N-N(trz) angle (Fig. 1(b) **A** and **B**, Table 1). Notably, this results in vastly different angles of ligand approach into the interlayer

¹⁰ spacing and generates alternating regions of ligand hydrogen bonding and guest-filled 1-D pores (Fig. 1(c)). The guests are additionally involved in intermolecular interactions with the host framework (Fig. S5).




Fig. 1 (a) N-thiophenylidene-4H-1,2,4-triazol-4-amine (thtrz), (b) the asymmetric unit of 1 (excluding solvent molecules), (c) a 2-D layer with ligand interactions and larger guest-filled spaces. (d-e) Adjacent layers inter-digitate with ligand π -stacking interactions (---).

Parameters (Fe1, Fe2)	100 K	180 K	260 K	
Fe ^{ll} spin state	LS, LS	HS, LS	HS, HS	
Σ (°) ^[a]	9.2, 4.4	21.2, 1.2	11.3, 5.4	50
<d<sub>Fe-N> (Å)^[b]</d<sub>	1.95, 1.94	2.15, 1.95	2.15, 2.16	
Fe-N-N(trz) (°) (A , B) ^[c]	125.1, 121.8	130.7, 122.2	131.3, 121.3	
Fe-N(C) ₄ tilt (°) ^[d]	16.73, 9.31	22.09, 8.36	20.44, 9.65	_

20 [a] Octahedral distortion parameter calculated by sum of |90-θ| for the twelve *cis*-N-Fe-N angles in the octahedron.^[5] [b] Average Fe-N distance. [c] Fe-N-N(trz) angle (A and B, as defined in Figure 1(a)). [d] Equatorial out-of-plane octahedral tilt angle.

The single crystal structural data collected at 260 K reveal that both Fe1 and Fe2 are in the HS state ($d_{<\text{Fe-N>}}$: 2.15 Å, Table 1) 25 and that the coordination environment of Fe1 is considerably more distorted than that of Fe2, which displays an almost perfect octahedron (octahedral distortion parameter, $\Sigma_{\text{Fe-1}}$: 14.4°, $\Sigma_{\text{Fe-2}}$: 3.2°, Table 1). This large distortive difference indicates that a multi-step (or half-step) transition is possible as with cooling the 30 energy activation barrier for HS to LS transition will be considerably less for Fe2 than Fe1.⁶ Further exemplifying the greater distortion to Fe1 than Fe2 is the more pronounced octahedral tilt out of the [FePd(CN)₄] plane evident both visually (Fig. 1(b)) and quantitatively (Table 1). Subsequent magnetic 35 susceptibility measurements indeed reveal a striking multi-stable character detailing an abrupt and hysteretic two-step SCO with a record-breaking IP region of 120 K,¹⁴ and an overall temperature gap between complete HS and LS iron(II) states of 130 K (Fig. 2(a)). Detailing the magnetic curve, the $\chi_M T$ values remain 40 constant at ca. 3.4 cm³ mol⁻¹ K with cooling from room temperature, indicating iron(II) exists in the HS state, until 230 K, where there is a rapid drop in $\chi_M T$ to 1.6 cm³ mol⁻¹ K. This value remains constant until 130 K where there is a further rapid decrease in $\chi_M T$ to 0.05 cm³ mol⁻¹ K representing a complete spin 45 state conversion of iron(II) sites to LS. The heating curve shows the same two-step SCO behaviour with hysteresis loops of 19 K $(T_{1/2}\downarrow: 123 \text{ K } T_{1/2}\uparrow: 142 \text{ K})$ and 16 K $(T_{1/2}\downarrow: 228 \text{ K } T_{1/2}\uparrow: 244 \text{ K})$. The IP corresponds to a 50:50 ratio of HS and LS iron(II) sites distributed in the crystalline lattice.




Fig. 2 $\chi_M T$ versus temperature (1 K min⁻¹) for 1 (cooling (blue) and heating (red) curves). Inset: schematic of HS(yellow)-HS to HS-LS(purple) to LS-LS transition of Fe1 and Fe2 (interactions ---). (b) - Variable temperature synchrotron powder X-ray diffraction data single 55 peak evolution over the range 7.8-8.4 °

To gain an overall perspective of the structural changes accompanying the two-step switching in variable temperature synchrotron-based powder X-ray diffraction was used and most notably revealed, as expected, a single-phase behaviour over the

- ⁵ entire SCO temperature range (Fig. 2(b)). The powder diffraction studies additionally revealed pronounced, abrupt shifts in Bragg reflections that mirror the magnetic data (Fig. S11). Most importantly, parallel single crystal data collected at the IP (180 K) and LS state (100 K), both confirm the iron(II) spin state
- ¹⁰ progression (HS HS to HS LS to LS LS, respectively, for Fe1 Fe2) and reveal definitively the underlying fundamental reason for the prolonged thermal existence of the IP region, which surpasses the majority of other two-step SCO plots reported. Surprisingly, with cooling from 260 to 180 K,
- ¹⁵ concomitant with the HS to LS transition at **Fe2**, the octahedral distortion of **Fe1** increases dramatically $(11.3 \rightarrow 21.2^{\circ})$, Fig. 2(a) inset). Additionally, the sequence of octahedral distortion of **Fe2** with heating from 100 to 180 K (4.4 \rightarrow 1.2°) indicates that the LS to HS transition at **Fe1** stabilises the LS state at **Fe2**. In particular,
- ²⁰ with each respective HS \leftrightarrow LS transition at either **Fe1** or **Fe2**, as the Fe-N bond lengths and overall octahedral volume vary this causes a mechano-elastic stress resulting in stabilization through distortive forces and thus significantly enhancing the temperature stability range of the IP region. We attribute these findings to a
- ²⁵ negative cooperative impact imparted by the hydrogen-bonding network interconnecting the ligands associated with Fe1 and Fe2 which inhibits the entire lattice converting from HS to LS in onestep. Negative cooperativity has been noted previously, for example in dinuclear helical materials in which the lower
- ³⁰ temperature HS to LS transition is completely inhibited, resulting in a half one-step SCO.³⁴⁻³⁵ In summary, we have shown that the combination of 2-D

Hofmann-type materials and aromatic ligands with inherent binding asymmetry can promote the formation of structurally and

- ³⁵ magnetically distinct SCO sites towards predictably attaining multi-step SCO behaviours. Furthermore, we highlight that interconnecting spin switching sites can facilitate negative cooperativity and stabilize IP regions, where here a remarkable 120 K IP has been achieved by this method. We are currently
- ⁴⁰ looking into the host-guest properties within these flexible 2-D porous materials which promise an adaptable and versatile structure-function response.

Notes and references

^a School of Chemistry, The University of Sydney, Sydney, NSW, 2006 45 Australia; E-mail: suzanne.neville@sydney.edu.au

- ^b Department of Chemistry, Spitalstrasse 51, University of Basel, CH-4056, Switzerland
- † Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: experimental,
 ⁵⁰ single crystal and powder X-ray diffraction. See DOI: 10.1039/b000000x/
 †† This work was supported by a Fellowships and Discovery Project funding from the Australian Research Council. Use of the Advanced Photon Source was supported by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Science, Office of Basic Energy Sciences, under Contract No. DE-
- ⁵⁵ AC02-06CH11357; we thank Dr. Gregory J. Halder. We thank the International Synchrotron Access Program (ISAP) for funding. Use of the Australian Synchrotron was undertaken at MX-1.
 - 1 O. Kahn, C. J. Martinez, Science 1998, 279, 44-48.

- 60 2 P. Gütlich, H. A. Goodwin, Topics Curr. Chem. 2004, 233, 1-47.
- 3 J.-F. Létard, P. Guionneau, L. Goux-Capes, *Top. Curr. Chem.* 2004, **234**, 221-250.
- 4 M. A. Halcrow, *Spin-crossover materials: properties and applications*, John Wiley & Sons, Ltd., 2013.
- 65 5 P. Gütlich, A. B. Gaspar, Y. Garcia, *Beilstein J. Org. Chem.* 2013, 9, 342-391.
- 6 P. Guionneau, M. Marchivie, G. Bravic, J.-F. Létard, D. C. Chasseau, *Top. Curr. Chem.* 2004, **234**, 97-128.
- 7 G. Molnár, S. Cobo, J. A. Real, F. Carcenac, E. Daran, C. Vieu, A. 70 Bousseksou, *Adv. Mater.* 2007, **19**, 2163-2167.
- 8 I. Boldog, A. B. Gaspar, V. Martínez, P. Pardo-Ibañez, V. Ksenofontov, A. Bhattacharjee, P. Gütlich, J. A. Real, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2008, **47**, 6433-6437.
- 9 T. Forestier, S. Mornet, N. Daro, T. Nishihara, S.-I. Mouri, K. 75 Tanaka, O. Fouché, E. Freysz, J.-F. Létard, *Chem. Comm.* 2008, 4327.
- 10 F. Volatron, L. Catala, E. Rivière, A. Gloter, O. Stéphan, T. Mallah, *Inorg. Chem.* 2008, **47**, 6584-6586.
- 11 O. Kahn, Molecular Magnetism, VCH, New York, 1993.
- 12 S. Bonnet, M. A. Siegler, J. S. Costa, G. Molnar, A. Bousseksou, A. 80 L. Spek, P. Gamez, J. Reedijk, *Chem. Commun.* 2008, 5619-5621.
- M. Nihei, H. Tahira, N. Takahashi, Y. Otake, Y. Yamamura, K. Sato,
 H. Oshio, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2010, **132**, 3553-3560.
 W. Bauer, T. Pfaffeneder, K. Achterhold, B. Weber, *Eur. J. Inorg.*
- Chem. 2011, 3183-3192.
 ⁸⁵ 15 M. Griffin, S. Shakespeare, H. J. Shepherd, C. J. Harding, J.-F. Létard, C. Desplanches, A. E. Goeta, J. A. K. Howard, A. K. Powell, V. Mereacre, Y. Garcia, A. D. Naik, H. Müller-Bunz, G. G. Morgan, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2011, **50**, 896-900.

16 N. F. Sciortino, K. R. Scherl-Gruenwald, G. Chastanet, G. J. Halder,

- 90 K. W. Chapman, J.-F. Létard, C. J. Kepert, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 10154-10158.
- 17 R. Ohtani, A. Masashi, A. Hori, M. Takata, S. Kitao, M. Seto, S. Kitagawa, M. Ohba, *J. Inorg. Organomet. Polym.* 2013, **23**, 104-110.
- 18 B. J. Vieira, J. T. Coutinho, I. C. Santos, L. C. Pereira, J. C. 95 Waerenborgh, V. da Gama, *Inorg. Chem.* 2013, **52**, 3845-3850.
- 19 V. Martinez, Z. A. Castillo, M. C. Munoz, A. B. Gaspar, C. Etrillard, J.-F. Létard, S. A. Terekhov, G. V. Bukin, G. Levchenko, J. A. Real, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* 2013, 813-818.
- Z.-Y. Li, J.-W. Dai, Y. Shiota, K. Yoshizawa, S. Kanegawa, O. Sato,
 Chem. Eur. J. 2013, **19**, 12948-12952.
 - 21 J. Klingele, D. Kaase, M. Schmuker, Y. Lan, G. Chastanet, J.-F. Létard, *Inorg. Chem.* 2013, **52**, 6000-6010.
 - 22 J. J. Amoore, C. J. Kepert, J. D. Cashion, B. Moubaraki, S. M. Neville, K. S. Murray, *Chem. Eur. J.* 2006, **12**, 8220-8227.
- ¹⁰⁵ 23 M. Griffin, S. Shakespear, H. J. Shepherd, C. J. Harding, J.-F. Létard, C. Desplanches, A. E. Goeta, J. A. Howard, A. K. Powell, V. Mereacre, Y. Garcia, A. D. Naik, H. Bunz-Muller, G. G. Morgan, *Angew. Chem. Int. ed.* 2011, **50**, 896-900.
- 24 T. Kitazawa, Y. Gomi, M. Takahashi, M. Takeda, M. Enomoto, A. 110 Miyazaki, *J. Mater. Chem.* 1996, **6**, 119-121.
 - 25 V. Niel, J. M. Martinez-Agudo, M. C. Munoz, A. B. Gaspar, J. A. Real, *Inorg. Chem.* 2001, **40**, 3838-3839.
 - 26 A. B. G. M. Seredyuk, V. Ksenofontov, M. Verdaguer, F. Villain and P. Gutlich, *Inorg. Chem.* 2009, **48**, 6130-6141.

27 V. Martínez, A. B. Gaspar, M. C. Muñoz, G. V. Bukin, G. Levchenko, J. A. Real, *Chem. Eur. J.* 2009, **15**, 10960-10971.

P. D. Southon, L. Liu, E. A. Fellows, D. J. Price, G. J. Halder, K. W. Chapman, B. Moubaraki, K. S. Murray, J.-F. Létard, C. J. Kepert, *J. Am. 5 Chem. Soc.* 2009, **131**, 10998-11009.

- 29 G. Agusti, A. B. Gaspar, M. C. Mñnoz, P. G. Lacroix, J. A. Real, *Aust. J. Chem.* 2009, **62**, 1155-1165.
- 30 R. Ohtani, K. Yoneda, S. Furukawa, N. Horike, S. Kitagawa, A. B.
- Gaspar, M. C. Muñoz, J. A. Real, M. Ohba, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 10 8600-8605.
- 31 X. Bao, H. J. Shepherd, L. Salmon, G. Molnar, M.-L. Tong, A. Bousseksou, *Angew. Chem. Int. ed.* 2013, **52**, 1198-1202.

32 R. Otahani, M. Arai, H. Ohba, A. Hori, M. Takata, S. Kitagawa, M. Ohba, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* 2013, 738-744.

¹⁵ 33 F. J. Muñoz-Lara, A. B. Gaspar, M. C. Muñoz, A. B. Lysenko, D. K. V., J. A. Real, *Inorg. Chem.* 2012, **51**, 13078-13080.

34 S. G. Telfer, B. Bocquet, A. F. Williams, *Inorg. Chem.* 2001, 40, 4818-4820.

35 C. M. Grunert, S. Reiman, H.Spiering, J. A. Kitchen, S. Brooker, P. 20 Gütlich, *Angew. Chem. Int. ed.* 2008, **47**, 2997-2999.