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ABSTRACT

The purpose of this paper was to study in molecular level the enantioseparation mechanism of 4-hydroxypropranolol (4-OH-Prop) with carboxymethyl-β-cyclodextrin (CM-β-CD) using a sequential methodology which included Molecular Dynamics simulations (MD) Parametric Model 3 semiempirical (PM3) and Density Functional Theory (DFT) calculations. As results, a systematic structural analysis indicated that hydrogen bonds formed between host and guests play a major role on the complex stabilization. The inclusion complex (+)-(R)-4-OH-Prop/CM-β-CD showed three strong intermolecular hydrogen bonds. Moreover, the guest inclusion process made from wider CD rim presented lower energies (interaction and Gibbs free energy) in comparison to the inclusion made by narrower CD rim both in gas and aqueous phases. This difference in energies of drug/CM-β-CD inclusion complexes is probably a measure of chiral discrimination, which results in the separation of the enantiomers and the distinct separation factors as observed in previous experimental findings. Comparing the experimental results of the separation of 4-OH-Prop enantiomers by Capillary Electrophoresis (CE), the proposed theoretical model demonstrated good capability to predict chiral separation of 4-OH-Prop enantiomers as well as the qualitative estimative of chiral recognition mechanism.
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1. Introduction

Analytical methods such as Thin Layer Chromatography (TLC), High Performance Liquid Chromatography (HPLC) and Capillary Electrophoresis (CE) have attracted great interest in chiral separations of β-adrenergic antagonists class of compounds [1-6]. Among these analytical methods, CE has excelled due to their advantages for chiral separations, such as: (i) separation efficiency; (ii) low solvent; (iii) selector consumption and (iv) ability to readily change the types and concentration of chiral selectors.

The enantioseparation process can be performed using chiral selectors. This process consists in an enantioselective complexation between the enantiomers of the analyte and the chiral selector, giving rise to differences in the electrophoretic mobility of the enantiomers. In this sense, various chiral selectors such as polysaccharides, proteins, cyclodextrins (CDs), chiral crown ethers, chiral surfactants are currently available. Among them, CDs are the most widely used due to their excellent chiral recognition abilities [7,8]. When CDs are used as chiral selectors, the chiral recognition mechanism is usually based on inclusion complexation where the analyte fits into the CD cavity. The studies dealing with the enantiomer migration order (EMO) in CE are important from the mechanistic point of view. The concept here is that understanding the nature of the forces responsible for the each enantiomer affinity pattern means an elucidation of chiral recognition mechanisms of chiral selectors.

In last years, some works [9,10] have pointed out the chiral recognition mechanisms of β-adrenergic antagonists with CDs using Nuclear Magnetic Resonance (NMR), however detailed mechanisms concerning to the enantioseparation remain still not understood. The fundamental issue is the difficult to explain the influence of hydrogen bonding in the chiral recognition process due to the limitations of the
experimental methods to describe the molecular level scenario. In this sense, molecular modeling can be a useful tool in order to rationalize experimental findings. One of the strengths of theoretical investigation is the ability to generate data, in which an experimentalist may gain insight and thus rationalize the behavior of determined physical and chemical phenomena. In recent years, several molecular modeling studies have emerged in literature in order to investigate the interaction of inclusion complexes between CDs and enantiomers and then to elucidate chiral recognition processes [11-16]. Furthermore, there are some theoretical works regarding the EMO using CDs as chiral selectors [17-19].

The elucidation of EMO in CE is a constant problem for the analysts. EMO is not a popular topic in chiral CE [20,21]. Currently, there is not an instrument of CE with polarimetric or circular dichroism detector available which can provide on-line information regarding EMO. The small diameter of capillary requires a highly sensitive detector and the presence of chiral selector in high concentrations (100 fold compared to analytes) create serious problems for on-line determination of the EMO in CE [21]. So, to study the EMO in CE require at least one of the enantiomers optically pure or enriched form. Not all analytes are commercially available in enantiomerically pure form, and when available, they may be quite expensive [21]. Using the molecular modeling technique, analysts may be able to understand in advance whether a chiral discrimination could be achieved with a given chiral selector, and then select an appropriate chiral selector and predict the result of enantioseparation.

Among different metabolites of propranolol (Prop), 4-hydroxypropranolol (4-OH-Prop) was reported to be one of major metabolites in humans and animals. 4-OH-Prop was first identified in urine of humans and several animal species [22]. Later, it was identified as an equipotent to Prop for its β-adrenoreceptor blocking activity.
4-OH-Prop reaches similar peak plasma concentration in man after an oral administration of Prop but has a significant shorter half-life. As that of Prop it gets extensively metabolized in human liver and excreted mainly as a glucuronic acid and sulfate conjugate.

In this context, to understand the possible chiral recognition mechanisms of 4-OH-Prop enantiomers with carboxymethyl-β-cyclodextrin (CM-β-CD), host-guest binding procedures of CM-β-CD and 4-OH-Prop enantiomers (Fig. 1) were studied in the present work by Molecular Dynamic Simulations (MD), Parametric Model 3 (PM3) semiempirical and Density Functional Theory (DFT) both in gas and aqueous phase. Distinct arrangements possibilities were considered and their role on the stabilities discussed based on energetic quantities and structural analysis. The understanding of intermolecular host-guest interactions is very important, since the hydrogen bonding must be described using an adequate treatment of electron correlation that can be satisfactorily achieved by theoretical methods. In addition, our results can be relevant to elucidate the elution order of 4-OH-Prop enantiomers by CE using CM-β-CD as chiral selector. It is important to mention that, for the best of our knowledge, the present work reports for the first time, a high level ab initio calculations to investigate the process involving the formation of inclusion complex between 4-OH-Prop enantiomers and CM-β-CD.

2 Experimental

2.1 Standard solutions and chemicals

Rac-4-hydroxypropranolol (4-OH-Prop, 98%) was obtained from Toronto Research Chemicals® (North York, Canada). Stock standard solutions of 4-OH-Prop were prepared in methanol at concentrations of 200.0 µg mL⁻¹. The standard solutions
were stored at –20°C in the absence of light. CM-β-CD was obtained from Fluka® (Buchs, Switzerland). Triethylamine (TEA) was purchased from J. T. Baker (Phillipsburg, USA) and phosphoric acid (H₃PO₄, 85% in water) was obtained from Labsynth® (Diadema, Brazil). Sodium hydroxide was purchased from Nuclear® (Diadema, Brazil). All other chemicals were of analytical-grade in the highest purity available. Water was distilled and purified using a Millipore® Milli-Q Plus system (Bedford, USA).

2.2 Instrumentation and electrophoretic conditions

Analyses were conducted in a CE system from Agilent® Technologies, model 61600A (Waldbronn, Germany) consisting of an analyzer, an automatic sampler, a diode array detector (wavelength set at 210 nm for the detection of the analytes), and an Agilent® ChemStation for data acquisition. A fused silica uncoated capillary (MicroSolv® Technology Corporation, Eatontown, USA) 50 µm i.d., 50 cm in total length, and 41.5 cm in effective length was used. Before the first use, the capillary was conditioned by rinsing with 1.0 mol L⁻¹ NaOH for 10 min at 20°C, followed by 0.1 mol L⁻¹ NaOH for 10 min at 20°C, and water for 10 min at 20°C. At the beginning of each working day, the capillary was rinsed with NaOH 0.1 mol L⁻¹ for 10 min followed by water for 10 min. Between consecutive analyses, the capillary was rinsed with 0.1 mol L⁻¹ NaOH for 2 min, water for 2 min, and background electrolyte for 3 min. After daily use, the capillary was washed with 0.1 mol L⁻¹ NaOH for 10 min followed by water for 10 min. When not in use, the capillary was filled with water and its tips were stored immersed in water.

The electrophoretic conditions employed in this study were previously optimized by Box-Behnken design [5] and later the method was applied to study the Prop
biotransformation by endophytic fungi [6]. Briefly, the optimized conditions were as follows: 4% (w/v) CM-β-CD in 25 mmol L\(^{-1}\) TEA/H\(_3\)PO\(_4\) buffer at pH 9 as running electrolyte and 17 kV of voltage. Sample injections were performed hydrodynamically at a pressure of 50 mbar for 20 s and the capillary temperature was set at 25°C. All solutions used as CE running buffer and in CE rinse cycle procedure were filtered through a Millex-HA 0.45 µm disk filter from Millipore and degassed by ultrasound for 5 min. For more details of experimental conditions see ref. [5].

2.3 **Elution order for 4-OH-Prop enantiomers**

The pure enantiomers of 4-OH-Prop enantiomers were obtained using the procedure previously described by Herring and Johnson [30]. Briefly, standard solutions of rac-4-OH-Prop were injected into the chromatographic system, under the conditions established by these authors: Chiralcel OD column and hexane: ethanol: diethylamine (91: 9: 0.1%, v/v/v) as mobile phase. Under these conditions, (+)-(R)-4-OH-Prop eluted later than the (-)-(S)-enantiomer. So, to establish the migration order by CE, the fractions containing each enantiomer were collected at the end of the column, the mobile phase was evaporated, the residues were dissolved in 25 mL of the running buffer and analyzed by the electrophoretic conditions described in the present paper [5].

2.4. **Computational Methodology**

Initially, the geometries for the isolated species (+)-(R)-4-OH-Prop and (-)-(S)-4-OH-Prop and CM-β-CD were fully optimized in gas phase without any geometrical or symmetry constraints at BLYP/6-31G(d,p) level of theory.

Considering the inclusion process between host and guest molecules in 1:1 ratio, four orientations were assumed for the CM-β-CD/4-OH-Prop complexes: *form A*, when
the 1-naphthol ring of guest molecules (4-OH-Prop) is inserted in the hydrophobic cavity of the host (CM-β-CD) by the wider rim, and form B, when the guest is included by the narrower rim of CM-β-CD, form C, when the aliphatic part of 4-OH-Prop is inserted in the hydrophobic cavity CM-β-CD by the wider rim and form D, when the aliphatic part of 4-OH-Prop is included by the narrower rim of CM-β-CD. **Fig. 2** schematically depicts the spatial orientations of the complexes, considering the four modes of inclusion. Therewith, eight distinct spatial 4-OH-Prop/CM-β-CD arrangements were then generated considering the 4-OH-Prop enantiomers (R) and (S) named as: (+)-(R)-4-OH-Prop/CM-β-CD (form A), (+)-(R)-4-OH-Prop/CM-β-CD (form B), (-)-(S)-4-OH-Prop/CM-β-CD (form A), (-)-(S)-4-OH-Prop/CM-β-CD (form B), (+)-(R)-4-OH-Prop/CM-β-CD (form C), (-)-(S)-4-OH-Prop/CM-β-CD (form C), (+)-(R)-4-OH-Prop/CM-β-CD (form D) and (-)-(S)-4-OH-Prop/CM-β-CD (form D).

Once designed the inclusion complexes, we performed eight long length MD simulations in vacuum in order to provide detailed information on the fluctuations and conformational changes of the complexes. The simulations were carried out separately for each 4-OH-Prop/CM-β-CD complex employing the AMBER* (*Assisted Model Building with Energy Refinement*) force field. The main goal here was to obtain the global minimum geometries on the equilibrium for each complex after the MD simulations.

Once selected the equilibrium complexes geometries from MD simulations, PM3 semiempirical and Density Functional Theory (DFT) calculations were carried out in order to obtain reliable energetic properties for the inclusion process between CM-β-CD and 4-OH-Prop molecules.

The initial guess geometries for the distinct inclusion complexes arrangements were fully optimized without any geometrical or symmetry constraints at the PM3
semiempirical level. PM3 harmonic frequency calculations were also performed for the equilibrium structures, characterizing them as true minima on the potential energy surface (all frequencies are real). The PM3 frequencies were then used for the evaluation of the internal energy ($\Delta E_{\text{int}}$) and thermal energy ($\Delta G_T$) corrections, with the aid of the well known formulas of Statistical Thermodynamics [31]. We have calculated the Gibbs free energy ($\Delta G$) using the equations (1) and (2) below:

\[
\begin{align*}
(1) & \quad \Delta G = \Delta E_{\text{ele-nuc}} + \Delta G_T \\
(2) & \quad \Delta G_T = \Delta E_{\text{int}} - T \Delta S
\end{align*}
\]

Afterward, the electronic plus nuclear repulsion energy contribution ($\Delta E_{\text{ele-nuc}}$) was evaluated by DFT calculations as single point BLYP/6-31G(d,p)//PM3 calculations using the fully optimized PM3 geometries. This sequential methodology has been successfully used for CDs inclusion compounds in our previous works [32-34].

Within the quantum mechanical formalism the solvent effect was considered using the polarized continuum model (PCM). In the condensed phase, the presence of the solvent is replaced by its dielectric constant (for water $\varepsilon = 78.35$). The solute is placed in a cavity of suitable shape to enclose the whole molecule, which is immediately contemplated in the continuum dielectric. The PCM single-point calculations in solution were carried out at the BLYP/6-31 G(d,p)/PM3 level theory.

All theoretical calculations were carried out using Hyperchem 8.0 Computational Package and Gaussian 2009 quantum mechanical package [35].

3. **Results and discussion**

3.1. **Electrophoresis analysis**

The optimized electrophoretic conditions are summarized in Table 1 as well as the obtained electrophoretic parameters. Chiral selectivity (a) was calculated using the
expression: $\alpha = \frac{(T_2 - T_0)}{(T_1 - T_0)}$; where $T_2$ is the migration time for the second enantiomer, $T_1$ is the migration time for the first enantiomer and $T_0$ is the migration time of a neutral marker, which was measured by using a system peak and/or dimethyl sulfoxide. The software ChemStation® was programmed to automatically calculate the resolution factor ($Rs$) and number of theoretical plates ($N$). The $Rs$ (between all peaks) and migration times were selected for the determination of the optimal conditions [5].

3.2. 4-OH-prop enantiomers inclusion in CM-β-CD

A theoretical investigation based on MD simulations, PM3 semiempirical method and DFT calculations were performed to determine the possible 1:1 arrangements for the inclusion complexes formed by 4-OH-Prop and their respective (+)-(R) and (-)-(S) enantiomers with CM-β-CD. Our main goal was an attempt to model the structural and energetic parameters of the inclusion complexes, which could be used to predict the most favorable inclusion complex conformation and consequently give us an insight concerning the elution order 4-OH-Prop enantiomers.

As previously mentioned four distinct spatial 4-OH-Prop/CM-β-CD arrangements were generated considering the two modes of inclusion. Each complex was separately submitted to MD simulations in vacuum with a length of 10 ns, time step of 1.5 fs, at temperature of 298 K using the force field AMBER*. The Results from MD lead to a quite stable arrangement formed by host and guest molecules. In each spatial arrangement, the electrostatic and van der Waals terms in the force field were pronounced, accounting for almost 60% of the association energy, which ensures the noticeable stability for the complexes association in gas phase. Thus, the global minimum for each 4-OH-Prop/CM-β-CD arrangement obtained from de equilibrium
was used as the starting geometries submitted subsequently to semiempirical and DFT calculations.

The structures of the two 4-OH-Prop/CM-β-CD complexes optimized at the PM3 semiempirical level of theory considering the form A inclusion are depicted in Fig. 3. Likewise, the structures of two host-guest 4-OH-Prop/CM-β-CD complexes, considering the form B inclusion, optimized at the PM3 semiempirical level of theory are depicted in Fig. 4.

Table 2 contains the Binding Energy (∆E) and Gibbs Free Energy (∆G) evaluated at the BLYP/6-31G(d,p)//PM3 level for the inclusion process of 4-OH-Prop in CM-β-CD, calculated both in gas and aqueous phases. Analyzing Table 2, more specifically on gas phase results, one can observe from binding energy (∆E) that the most favorable inclusion complex, among the four possible arrangements considering the enantiomeric species (R) and (S), was found to be the (+)-(R)-4-OH-Prop/CM-β-CD, on form A spatial orientation (inclusion made from wider CD rim). The reason for such considerable stabilization observed for this complex can be explained by a meticulous structural analysis at the molecular level, which revealed that three strong hydrogen bonds are established between the 4-OH-Prop hydroxyl group (located on chiral carbon) and the secondary hydroxyl group of the CM-β-CD (see Fig. 3a). These hydrogen bonds may be considered the main forces responsible for the stabilization of the complex which leads the (+)-(R)-4-OH-Prop/CM-β-CD complex to be the most energetically favored compared to the others three complexes. Still observing the optimized complexes geometries shown on Fig. 3b, 4a and 4b one can verify that no intermolecular hydrogen bonds were established between host and guest molecules. This may explains why these complexes presented lower binding energies when compared with the (+)-(R)-4-OH-Prop/CM-β-CD specie.
The solvent effect was also considered in the PCM method using water as the solution phase. The results support the previous conclusion obtained in gas phase concerning not only the energetic stability order of the inclusion complexes arrangements, but also the higher stability of the (+)-(R)-4-OH-Prop/CM-β-CD specie. In this case, the presence of the solvent medium increases the binding energy (ΔE) by 5.7 kcal/mol on the stabilization of (+)-(R)-4-OH-Prop/CM-β-CD complex from gas phase (-24.8 kcal/mol) to aqueous phase (-30.5 kcal/mol).

The Gibbs free energy (ΔG) was also evaluate by combining the BLYP/6-31G(d,p)//PM3 ΔE_{ele-nuc} values with the PM3 ΔE_{int} and ΔG_{T} quantities using Statistical Thermodynamics (eqs. 1 and 2). The calculated ΔG values both in gas phase and aqueous solution are also reported in Table 2. The ΔG negative values encountered for all four complexes, on both phases, indicated the binding spontaneity of the guest molecule to the host. Besides, the ΔG results also pointed out that the (+)-(R)-4-OH-Prop/CM-β-CD should be preferred based on energetic grounds on both phases, at the normal pressure and room temperature. Taking to account the solvent effect on ΔG values, the results clearly shown the same behavior obtained in the gas phase regarding not only to the spontaneity order of the inclusion complexes arrangements, but also to the higher spontaneity of the (+)-(R)-4-OH-Prop/CM-β-CD specie. In this case, the presence of the solvent medium increases by 3.7 kcal/mol the ΔG of (+)-(R)-4-OH-Prop/CM-β-CD complex from gas phase (-5.7 kcal/mol) to solvent phase (-9.4 kcal/mol).

From Table 2 results, it is also interesting to point out though that these inclusion modes by the aliphatic group inclusion were not participating at the enantiomers separation, once their stability differences are insignificant, being less or equal
1 kcal mol\(^{-1}\) at aqueous phase. Also, these structures should not be present as inclusion products because of the formation free energies are all positive. Besides the thermodynamic analysis, we can also observe the optimized structures for these inclusion complexes as depicted in Figure 5 and check out the intermolecular interactions formed by the guest inclusion. Looking into the complexes arrangements there are not hydrogen bond established between host and guest in any of those structures. So, according to the Table 2 data, these complexes show no attractive intermolecular interactions that could be source for an energetic stabilization or to take them into account to the equilibrium involved in this work. These results corroborate the fact that aromatic part is more favored and so on, responsible for the enantiomer migration order differences.

3.3. 4-OH-prop enantiomers inclusion in β-CD

All cyclodextrins are recognized as chiral selectors, the native ones, however, are less selective, so modified ones are being employed with more success. The use of CM-β-CD as chiral selector in detriment to native one has experimental reasons as for example, stabilization in different pH range and also increasing on the electrophoretic mobility. On the other hand, the stabilized interactions provided by the modified cyclodextrin are not involving the carboymethyl group being described though by secondary hydroxyl groups. Thus, the results for native β-CD inclusion complexes were pretty similar to those reported for 4-OH-Prop /CM-β-CD complexes. The choice by CM-β-CD is more attractive due to its solubility in water (or background solution - BGS) to be higher than the β-CD. Table 3 summarized the thermodynamic parameters obtained in this analysis.
The complex (+)-(R)-4-OH-Prop/\(\beta\)-CD \textit{(form A)} is the only one which shows spontaneous thermodynamics among four complexes calculated. Besides this result, \(\beta\)-CD would be indeed used as chiral selector, just using the relative stability of the preferred enantiomer and the presence of two hydrogen bonds, as visualized in Figure 6. These interactions are quite in the same arrangement observed for the CM-\(\beta\)-CD complex.

3.4. \textit{Molecular modeling for elution order elucidation}

It is important to highlight that the binding energies and Gibbs free energies results for the species in solution can be regarded as a fair estimative of the enthalpy values for the inclusion process in solution. These theoretical data are quite useful for the experimentalist, since a direct relationship of the thermodynamic quantity with a specific spatial molecular arrangement for the inclusion complex is made, and so, an understanding of the intermolecular interactions in solution at a molecular level is possible.

The obtained results showed that (+)-(R)-4-OH-Prop/CM-\(\beta\)-CD complex in both gas and solvent phase was more energetically favorable (lower \(\Delta E\) and \(\Delta G\) values). This significant difference in energies detected for the inclusion process of 4-OH-Prop with CM-\(\beta\)-CD can be a fair measure of chiral discrimination, which results in the separation of the enantiomers and the different separation factors as observed in our previous experimental studies \([5,6]\). In addition, the presence of water as solvent did not interfere in enantiomer migration order, which does not discard this kind of study for other molecules and conditions. Finally, one can notice that the inclusion by the wider CDs cavity \textit{(form A)} is the most stable arrangement regardless the enantiomer observed.
The elution order for 4-OH-Prop enantiomers was established based on the methods previously reported in literature [5, 6, 30]. The migration order for the electrophoretic conditions obtained in our previous papers is in complete accordance with the theoretical results reported, since the α-EMO for 4-OH-Prop enantiomers was: (1) (-)-(S)-4-OH-Prop and (2) (+)-(R)-4-OH-Prop (Fig. 7). Therefore, the molecular modeling techniques provides us with a good perspective of enantioseparation and serves as a useful method for studying chiral recognition mechanisms and predicting chiral separation.

4. CONCLUSIONS

In the present study MD simulations, PM3 semiempirical and DFT calculations were performed for the inclusion process of 4-OH-Prop in CM-β-CD. As result we described energetic parameters for the inclusion complexes at a molecular level which were in good agreement with the experimental findings concerning the elution order of guest 4-OH-Prop enantiomers. In addition, a systematic structural analysis indicated that hydrogen bonds formed between host and guests played a major role on the complex stabilization. Moreover, the difference in energies of drug/CM-β-CD inclusion complexes, concerning the 4-OH-Prop enantiomers and the two distinct modes of inclusion, is probably a measure of chiral discrimination, which results in the separation of the enantiomers and the distinct separation factors as observed in the previous experimental studies.
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Figure Captions

**Fig. 1.** Molecular structure of 4-hydroxypropranolol (4-OH-Prop) enantiomers (the chiral centers are indicated by an asterisk) and CM-β-CD.

**Fig. 2.** Modes of inclusion (a) *form A*: naphtyl ring of 4-OH-Prop is inserted in the hydrophobic cavity CM-β-CD by the wider rim; (b) *form B*: naphtyl ring of 4-OH-Prop is included by the narrower rim of CM-β-CD; (c) *form C*: aliphatic part of 4-OH-Prop is inserted in the hydrophobic cavity CM-β-CD by the wider rim; (d) *form D*: aliphatic part of 4-OH-Prop is included by the narrower rim of CM-β-CD. The R- in figure represents carboxymethyl group.

**Fig. 3.** PM3 fully optimized structures for 4-OH-Prop/CM-β-CD inclusion complexes arrangements. The geometries are depicted in two views (front and side): (a) (+)-(R)-4-OH-Prop/CM-β-CD (*form A*) and (b) (-)-(S)-4-OH-Prop/CM-β-CD (*form A*). The dashed lines represent the intermolecular hydrogen bonds (three bonds) established between host and guest. The zoomed image is also depicted to highlight the H-bonds.

**Fig. 4.** PM3 fully optimized structures for 4-OH-Prop/CM-β-CD inclusion complexes arrangements. The geometries are depicted in two views (front and side). (a) (+)-(R)-4-OH-Prop/CM-β-CD (*form B*) and (b) (-)-(S)-4-OH-Prop/CM-β-CD (*form B*).

**Fig. 5.** PM3 fully optimized structures for 4-OH-Prop/CM-β-CD aliphatic modes of inclusion complexes. The geometries are depicted in two views (front and side): a) (+)-(R)-4-OH-Prop/CM-β-CD (form C) and b) (-)-(S)-4-OH-Prop/CM-β-CD (form D).
**Fig. 6.** (+)-(R)-4-OH-Prop/β-CD (form A) optimized PM3. The dashed lines represent the two hydrogen bonds formed between host and guest.

**Fig. 7.** Electropherograms of TEA buffer (a) and 4-OH-Prop enantiomers (b). (1) (-)-(S)-4-OH-Prop; (2) (+)-(R)-4-OH-Prop. Conditions: TEA buffer concentration 25 mM, buffer pH 9, 4% of CM-β-CD and voltage of 17 kV. Other conditions see item 2.2.
Table 1. Electrophoretic parameters for the resolution of 4-OH-Prop enantiomers using 4% CM-β-CD in 25 mM TEA/H₃PO₄ buffer at pH 9 as running electrolyte and 17 kV of voltage.¹)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>(-)-(S)-4-OH-Prop</th>
<th>(+)-(R)-4-OH-Prop</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Migration time (min)</td>
<td>13.25</td>
<td>13.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>µA (cm²/V s)ᵇ)</td>
<td>1.52 x 10⁻⁴</td>
<td>1.47 x 10⁻⁴</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>µE (cm²/V s)ᶜ)</td>
<td>-1.33 x 10⁻⁴</td>
<td>-1.37 x 10⁻⁴</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>67 537</td>
<td>63 456</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rs</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.08</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹) Electrosmotic mobility, µEOF (cm²/V s) = 2.86 x 10⁻⁴, T_EOF = 7.10 min, l = 41.5 cm, L = 50.0 cm and V = 17 000 V. The other conditions: hydrodynamic injections at a pressure of 50 mbar for 20 s and the capillary temperature was set at 25°C.

b) Apparent mobilities.

c) Electrophoretic mobility.
Table 2. Binding Energy (ΔE) and Gibbs Free Energy (ΔG) calculated by BLYP/6-31G(d,p)//PM3 level of theory for inclusion complexes formed by 4-OH-Prop enantiomers with CM-β-CD.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inclusion Complexes (forms)</th>
<th>BLYP/6-31G(d,p)//PM3&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Gas Phase (kcal/mol)</em></td>
<td>ΔE</td>
<td>ΔΔE&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>ΔG</td>
<td>ΔΔG&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td><em>Aqueous Phase (kcal/mol)</em></td>
<td>ΔE</td>
<td>ΔΔE&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(+)-(R)-4-OH-Prop/CM-β-CD (form A)</td>
<td>-24.8</td>
<td>11.7</td>
<td>-5.7</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>-30.5</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>-9.4</td>
<td>5.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(-)-(S)-4-OH-Prop/CM-β-CD (form A)</td>
<td>-13.1</td>
<td>-1.2</td>
<td>-2.5</td>
<td>-0.6</td>
<td>-16.9</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(-)-(R)-4-OH-Prop/CM-β-CD (form B)</td>
<td>-13.9</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>-3.8</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>-15.1</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>-5.0</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(+)-(S)-4-OH-Prop/CM-β-CD (form B)</td>
<td>-12.0</td>
<td>-2.0</td>
<td>-3.8</td>
<td>-0.8</td>
<td>-13.7</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>-2.9</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(+)-(R)-4-OH-Prop/CM-β-CD (form C)</td>
<td>-3.3</td>
<td>-1.2</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>-6.4</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(-)-(S)-4-OH-Prop/CM-β-CD (form C)</td>
<td>-2.5</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>-4.6</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(+)-(R)-4-OH-Prop/CM-β-CD (form D)</td>
<td>-2.9</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>-5.3</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(-)-(S)-4-OH-Prop/CM-β-CD (form D)</td>
<td>-2.3</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>-3.8</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> Values in kcal/mol estimated on 298.15 K and 1 atm;
<sup>b</sup> |ΔΔE| as referred to relative interaction energies between 4-OH-Prop enantiomers (R) and (S);
<sup>c</sup> |ΔΔG| as referred to relative interaction Gibbs free energy between 4-OH-Prop enantiomers (R) and (S).
Table 3. Binding Energy ($\Delta E$) and Gibbs Free Energy ($\Delta G$) calculated by BLYP/6-31G(d,p)//PM3 level of theory for inclusion complexes formed by 4-OH-Prop enantiomers with native $\beta$-CD.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inclusion Complexes (forms)</th>
<th>BLYP/6-31G(d,p)//PM3 $^a$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Gas Phase (kcal/mol)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\Delta E$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(+)-(R)-4-OH-Prop/$\beta$-CD (form A)</td>
<td>-18.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(-)-(S)-4-OH-Prop/$\beta$-CD (form A)</td>
<td>-8.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(+)-(R)-4-OH-Prop/$\beta$-CD (form B)</td>
<td>-8.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(-)-(S)-4-OH-Prop/$\beta$-CD (form B)</td>
<td>-7.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$Values in kcal/mol estimated on 298.15 K and 1 atm;

$^b$ $|\Delta \Delta E|$ as referred to relative interaction energies between 4-OH-Prop enantiomers (R) and (S);

$^c$ $|\Delta \Delta G|$ as referred to relative interaction Gibbs free energy between 4-OH-Prop enantiomers (R) and (S).
Fig. 1. Molecular structure of 4-hydroxypropranolol (4-OH-Prop) enantiomers (the chiral centers are indicated by an asterisk) and CM-β-CD.
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Fig. 2. Modes of inclusion (a) form A: naphtyl ring of 4-OH-Prop is inserted in the hydrophobic cavity CM-β-CD by the wider rim; (b) form B: naphtyl ring of 4-OH-Prop is included by the narrower rim of CM-β-CD; (c) form C: aliphatic part of 4-OH-Prop is inserted in the hydrophobic cavity CM-β-CD by the wider rim; (d) form D: aliphatic part of 4-OH-Prop is included by the narrower rim of CM-β-CD. The R- in figure represents carboxymethyl group.
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Fig. 3. PM3 fully optimized structures for 4-OH-Prop/CM-β-CD inclusion complexes arrangements. The geometries are depicted in two views (front and side): (a) (+)-(R)-4-OH-Prop/CM-β-CD (form A) and (b) (-)-(S)-4-OH-Prop/CM-β-CD (form A). The dashed lines represent the intermolecular hydrogen bonds (three bonds) established between host and guest. The zoomed image is also depicted to highlight the H-bonds. 620x545mm (96 x 96 DPI)
Fig. 4. PM3 fully optimized structures for 4-OH-Prop/CM-β-CD inclusion complexes arrangements. The geometries are depicted in two views (front and side). (a) (+)-(R)-4-OH-Prop/CM-β-CD (form B) and (b) (-)-(S)-4-OH-Prop/CM-β-CD (form B).
Fig. 5. PM3 fully optimized structures for 4-OH-Prop/CM-β-CD aliphatic modes of inclusion complexes. The geometries are depicted in two views (front and side): (a) (+)-(R)-4-OH-Prop/CM-β-CD (form C) and (b) (-)-(S)-4-OH-Prop/CM-β-CD (form D).
Fig. 6. (+)-(R)-4-OH-Prop/β-CD (form A) optimized PM3. The dashed lines represent the two hydrogen bonds formed between host and guest.
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Fig. 7. Electropherograms of TEA buffer (a) and 4-OH-Prop enantiomers (b). (1) (-)-(S)-4-OH-Prop; (2) (+)-(R)-4-OH-Prop. Conditions: TEA buffer concentration 25 mM, buffer pH 9, 4% of CM-β-CD and voltage of 17 kV. Other conditions see item 2.2.
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