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Flexible lithium-ion batteries are the key to powering a new generation of flexible electronics such as roll-up displays, smart electronics, and wearable devices. Here we report, for the first time, one-step hydrothermal synthesis of a three-dimensional (3D) hierarchical MoS$_2$ nanoflake arrays/carbon cloth which shows potential for improving the performance of flexible lithium-ion batteries. Structural characterizations show that the 3D hierarchical MoS$_2$ nanoflakes arrays/carbon cloth has a similar ordered woven structure to the bare carbon cloth. Each carbon microfiber is covered with many highly ordered 3D nanoflake arrays, and the typical MoS$_2$ nanoflake, with expanded spacing of the (002) crystal plane, has a uniform width of about 400 nm and a thickness of less than 15 nm. The flexible 3D MoS$_2$ nanoflake arrays/carbon cloth as a flexible lithium-ion battery anode has a high reversible capacity of 3.0–3.5 mAh cm$^{-2}$ at a current density of 0.15 mA cm$^{-2}$ and outstanding rate discharging/charging stability. Moreover, the fabricated full battery, with commercial LiCoO$_2$ powder and the hierarchical architectures as electrodes, exhibits high flexibility and superior electrochemical performance, and can light a commercial red LED even after 50 cycles of bending the full battery.

1 Introduction

Recent advances in flexible and bendable electronics such as rollup displays, smart electronics, and wearable devices, promoted the development of flexible lithium-ion batteries (LIBs) due to their high power density.$^{1}$ Several kinds of flexible substrates used as electrodes have been developed. Electronically conducting polymers (ECPs), including polypyrrole, polyaniline, and polythiophene, are particularly interesting because these materials are inexpensive and bendable.$^{2-3}$ However, the main drawbacks with ECP-based batteries are, generally, their poor cycling stabilities, high self-discharge rates, and low capacities due to the insufficient doping levels and unstable doped states.$^{2,3}$

Recently, flexible electrodes based on inorganic materials, such as carbon nanotube (or graphene) paper, virus-templated Co$_3$O$_4$ nanowires, and TiO$_2$ cloths, have been developed, demonstrating their high capacity and good cycling stability.$^{4,5}$ However, it is still a great challenge to fabricate highly flexible LIBs with both high mechanical strength and excellent electrochemical properties.

Carbon cloths, as a new kind of substrate, possess some advantageous properties over ECPs and other inorganic materials, including their high conductivity, high strength, and good corrosion resistance and, at the same time, are available commercially. Recently, some one-dimensional nanostructures grown on carbon cloths have been successfully achieved. Liu et al. fabricated hierarchical three-dimensional ZnCo$_2$O$_4$ nanowire arrays/carbon cloth and used them to assemble a flexible LIB battery to power commercially available light-emitting diode (LED) and displays.$^{5}$ Wang et al. grown TiO$_2$ nanorods on carbon cloths and obtained flexible TiO$_2$ cloths through a simple post-heating treatment process.$^{10}$ The flexible TiO$_2$ cloths offers promising applications in many areas such as flexible electrodes of photoelectric devices, and recyclable photocatalysts.$^{10}$ However, so far, only a few nanomaterials are able to grow successfully on carbon cloths, and then can be used as electrodes in flexible devices.

As a typical layered structure, MoS$_2$ has been extensively investigated as potential anodes in LIBs because of its spacing between neighboring layers (0.615 nm) and the weak van der Waals forces between the layers, allowing Li ions to readily diffuse without a significant increase in volume.$^{11-15}$ Moreover, the rate capacity and long-term stability of MoS$_2$ could be significantly improved by several strategies including constructing MoS$_2$ based nanocomposites, growing MoS$_2$ nanostructures on conductive substrates, and expanding the spacing between neighboring layers.$^{16-22}$ However, to the best of our knowledge, the exfoliated MoS$_2$ nanostructure-based flexible electrodes have not yet been reported.

We recently demonstrated the synthesis of three-dimensional (3D) hierarchical graphene/MoS$_2$ nanoflake arrays with large surface areas and porous structures.$^{22}$ Due to the unique structures, the reversible discharging capacity is as high as 516 mAh g$^{-1}$ even at a high current density of 8 A g$^{-1}$. In the current work, we report the synthesis of 3D hierarchical MoS$_2$ nanoflake...
arrays/carbon cloth through a one-step method without any surfactants. The 3D architecture as a LIBs anode exhibited ultrahigh capacity, excellent cycling performance, and good rate discharging/charging stability. Using the 3D MoS₂ nanoflake arrays/carbon cloth as a binder-free anode, flexible full LIBs were assembled, which showed excellent mechanical strength and electrochemical properties and could light a commercial LED even while the full LIB was repeatedly bended.

2 Experimental Section

2.1 Materials

Carbon cloth with hydrophilic surfaces was purchased from Tianjin Kermel Chemical Reagent Co. Ltd. (Tianjin, China), and its through plate resistance was less than 5 mΩ cm⁻². The cathode (the LiCoO₂/Al foil) used as full battery was purchased from Shenyang Kejing Materials Technology Co., LTD, and loading amount of LiCoO₂ powder is about 150 mg m⁻². All other reagents were analytically pure, and used without further purification.

2.2 Synthesis of MoS₂ nanoflake arrays/carbon cloth

The growth method of MoS₂ nanoflake arrays on carbon cloth is similar to the one reported by our previous literature. The sample CCMS-2 was fabricated as follows: carbon cloth (12 cm²) was dispersed into distilled water (15 mL) under ultrasonication for 30 min. Then, ethanol (25 mL), MoO₃ (60 mg), thiacetamide (70 mg), and urea (0.3 g) were added to the suspension under vigorous stirring. After stirring for 1 h, the mixture was then transferred into a Teflon-lined stainless steel autoclave with a capacity of 50 mL for hydrothermal treatment at 220 °C for 24 h. The autoclave was cooled to room temperature naturally, and then the carbon cloth coated with MoS₂ was washed with distilled water and absolute ethanol, and dried in a vacuum oven at 60 °C for 12 h. For comparison, CCMS-1 and CCMS-3 were prepared by changing the addition amount of MoO₃ precursor to 30 and 90 mg, respectively, under the same conditions described above.

2.3 Characterization

The morphology and size of the synthesized 3D hierarchical MoS₂ nanoflake arrays/carbon cloth were characterized by scanning electron microscope [HITACHI S-5200] and an FEI Tecnai-F20 transmission electron microscope equipped with a Gatan imaging filter (GIF). The crystal structure of the sample was determined by X-ray diffraction (XRD) [D/max 2550 V, Cu Kα radiation]. XPS measurements were carried out using a spectrometer with Mg Kα radiation (ESCALAB 250, Thermo Fisher Scientific Co.). The binding energy was calibrated with the C 1s position of contaminant carbon in the vacuum chamber of the XPS instrument (284.8 eV). Raman spectroscopy was performed on an inVia Renishaw Raman microscope (λ = 488 nm). The mechanical strength of the 3D hierarchical MoS₂ nanoflake arrays/carbon cloth were measured by Zwick Materials Machine Z010 at room temperature and the carbon cloth composite was carefully cut into strips with a size of approximately 20 mm × 80 mm before the tensile test, and the initial tensile length of 30 mm and a drawing speed of 100 mm/min.

2.4 Electrode preparation and electrochemical measurements

The electrochemical performance of the as-synthesized MoS₂ nanoflake arrays/carbon cloth was investigated by using it as a binder-free anode in LIB in coin-type half-cells, which was laboratory-assembled by a CR2016 in an argon-filled glove box. A pure Li foil was used as the counter electrode. A piece of MoS₂ nanoflake arrays/carbon cloth was used as the working electrode without carbon black and any binder such as PVDF and PTFE. Celgard 2400 polymer separators were employed between the two electrodes. The electrolyte was made of LiPF₆ (1 M) in a mixture of ethylene carbonate (EC) and dimethyl carbonate (DMC) with the volume ratio 1:1. The cell was galvanostatically charged and discharged between 0.001 and 3.0 V versus Li⁺/Li at room temperature on a program-controlled test system.

2.5 Full battery assembly and electrochemical measurement

To make a full battery, the flexible MoS₂/carbon cloth and the LiCoO₂/Al foil are used as anode and cathode, respectively. Aluminium and copper foil as the current collectors were jointed to the side of cathode and anode electrodes, respectively. An aqueous solution of 1.0 mol L⁻¹ LiPF₆ in a mixture of ethylene carbonate (EC) and dimethyl carbonate (DMC) (v/v = 1:1) served as the electrolyte and a Celgard 2400 membrane was used as the separator. Nickel foams were used as shims to help the current collectors contact with cathode and anode. The whole battery was packaged by Kapton boards with epoxy resin as adhesive in the glovebox with argon condition. The cells were galvanostatically charged and discharged between 1.0 and 4.1 V versus Li⁺/Li at room temperature on a program-controlled test system.

3 Results and discussion

Figure 1. (a)-(d) Typical SEM images of 3D hierarchical MoS₂ nanoflake arrays/carbon cloth (CCMS-2) at different magnifications which is prepared by addition 60 mg MoO₃ precursor. Scale bars, a) 50 µm, b) 3 µm, c) 1 µm, d) 100 nm.

The obtained 3D hierarchical MoS₂ nanoflake arrays/carbon cloths with differing amount of MoO₃ precursor, 30 mg, 60 mg, and 90 mg, are denoted as CCMS-1, CCMS-2, and CCMS-3, respectively. The content of MoS₂ in these composites were determined by the method reported previously. Both the
composites and bar carbon cloth were weighed in a high-precision analytical balance (Shimadzu, max weight 42 g, \( d = \pm 0.01 \) mg). The reading difference was the exact mass for the MoS\(_2\) on carbon cloth. The loading densities of the MoS\(_2\) active materials in CCMST1, CCMST2, and CCMST3 are calculated as about 1.2, 1.6, 2.2 mg cm\(^{-2}\), respectively.
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**Figure 2.** (a)-(f) Typical SEM images of 3D hierarchical MoS\(_2\) nanoflake arrays/carbon cloth (CCMST1 and CCMST3) at different magnifications which is prepared by addition 30 mg (a, c, e) and 90 mg (b, d, f) of MoO\(_3\) precursor. Scale bars, a) 50 µm, b) 60 µm, c) 2 µm, d) 2 µm, e) 200 nm f) 200 nm.

The morphology and the structure of the final product were investigated by scanning electron microscopy (SEM) and transmission electron microscopy (TEM) analyses. Figure 1(a) shows a low-magnification SEM image of CCMST-2. A similar ordered woven structure to the bare carbon cloth templates can be clearly seen. The 3D hierarchical MoS\(_2\) nanoflakes arrays/carbon composite fibers have a uniform diameter of about 8.5 µm, as shown in Figure 1(b). Figure 1(c) shows that each carbon microfiber is covered with numerous highly ordered 3D MoS\(_2\) nanoflake arrays, and void space is presented among MoS\(_2\) nanoflakes. Magnified MoS\(_2\) nanoflakes have a uniform width of about 400 nm, as shown Figure 1(d).

Although the 3D hierarchical MoS\(_2\) nanoflakes arrays/carbon was still obtained, we noted that the added amount of MoO\(_3\) precursor had a very important effect on the density and size of the MoS\(_2\) nanoflakes in the composite, as shown in Figure 2(a) and (b). The density of MoS\(_2\) nanoflakes grown on the carbon cloths increased with the increasing amount of the precursor, as shown in Figure 2(c) and (d). However, excessive addition of MoO\(_3\) would lead to the deposition of large MoS\(_2\) spheres on MoS\(_2\) nanoflake arrays grown on the carbon fibers, as shown in Figure 2(d). The width of MoS\(_2\) nanoflake is increased to 500–600 nm as 30 mg of MoO\(_3\) precursor is added (Figure 2(e)), and is decreased to 200–400 nm if 90 mg of MoO\(_3\) precursor is added (Figure 2(f)). Thus, the addition amount of MoO\(_3\) precursor can be used to adjust the density and size of MoS\(_2\) nanoflakes grown on the carbon fibers. Moreover, the 3D hierarchical MoS\(_2\) nanoflake arrays/carbon demonstrates excellent flexibility and could be readily rolled up with an arbitrary angle (Figure S1, ESI).† The tensile strength of 3D MoS\(_2\) nanoflake arrays/carbon cloth is evaluated to be 3.7 MPa, tested by Zwick Materials Machine Z010 at room temperature. This result reveals that the as-prepared 3D MoS\(_2\) nanoflake arrays/carbon cloth is also of high strength. In addition, even after a long time of strong ultrasonication, MoS\(_2\) nanoflakes are still strongly adhered to the surface of carbon cloth, indicating that there is strong interaction between carbon cloth and MoS\(_2\) nanoflakes. Similar to the growth mechanism of MoS\(_2\) nanosheets on graphene, \(^{19}\) MoO\(_4\)\(^{2-}\) could tightly adsorb onto the carbon cloth due to the presence of functional groups such as hydroxyl groups on the surface of carbon cloth, and then reacts with thiacetamide to form MoS\(_2\)/carbon cloth composites.
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**Figure 3.** Structural characterization of MoS\(_2\) nanoflakes. (a) Low-resolution TEM image, (b), (c) and (d) HRTEM images, the inset in (d) SAED pattern of MoS\(_2\) nanoflakes. Scale bars, a) 50 nm, b) and c) 10 nm, and d) 5 nm.

Figure 3(a) shows a low-magnification TEM image of the MoS\(_2\) nanoflakes with folded edges (sample CCMST-2). It can be seen that the rim of MoS\(_2\) nanoflakes is thinner than the middle...
parts. The high-resolution TEM (HRTEM) image demonstrates that the thickness of the MoS$_2$ nanoflakes is less than 15 nm, as shown in Figure 3(a)-(c). The small size will lead to more edges exposed to environments with higher chemical activity.\textsuperscript{23,24} Furthermore, the interlayer distance of (002) crystal plane of MoS$_2$ nanoflakes at most of the rim regions (marked by a black frame) measured from HRTEM image is about 1.02 nm (Figure 3(b)), and, at the middle regions, the value is about 0.8 nm (Figure 3(c)). The interlayer distance of the (002) plane of the MoS$_2$ nanoflakes varies from 0.8 nm at middle regions to 1.02 nm at rim regions. In contrast, the bulk MoS$_2$ materials have an interlayer distance of the (002) plane of 0.616 nm. The results reveal that the spacing of the (002) crystal plane of MoS$_2$ nanoflakes on the carbon fibers is significantly expanded. Top view of the (002) crystal plane of MoS$_2$ from HRTEM images (Figure 3(d)) and the selected-area electron diffraction pattern (the inset in Figure 3(d)) show the polycrystalline structure of the MoS$_2$ nanoflakes.\textsuperscript{22}

Figure 4. XRD patterns of carbon cloth, CCMS-1, CCMS-2 and CCMS-3

The exfoliated MoS$_2$ is further confirmed by X-ray diffraction (XRD) measurements. Figure 4 shows XRD patterns of CCMS-1, CCMS-2, CCMS-3, and carbon cloth. In the patterns of CCMS-1, CCMS-2, and CCMS-3, the diffraction peaks at $2\theta = 26.2$ and 43.4$^\circ$ come from carbon cloth, whereas the one at $2\theta = 32.6^\circ$ corresponds to the (100) crystal plane of MoS$_2$ (JPCDS No. 37–1492). It should be noted that the peak at $2\theta = 14.4^\circ$ corresponding to the (002) plane of the bulk MoS$_2$ could not be found. However, two new diffraction peaks centered at $2\theta = 9.4$ and 19.0$^\circ$ are observed, and their lattice spacings are calculated to be 0.94 and 0.47 nm, respectively, using the Bragg equation. The $2\theta$ of the (002) diffraction peak is shifted to a low-angle of 9.4$^\circ$, compared to the standard angle of the bulk MoS$_2$ (14.4$^\circ$), revealing an expanded interlayer distance of the (002) plane of 0.94 nm, which coincides with the HRTEM measured result (0.8–1.02 nm).\textsuperscript{25,24,26} The diffraction peaks at $2\theta = 9.4$ and 19.0$^\circ$ are not presented in the XRD pattern of carbon cloth that was hydrothermally treated under the same conditions as CCMS-2 without Mo source (Figure S2). This reveals that expanded interlayer distance of the (002) plane of MoS$_2$ nanoflakes do not arise from the alkaline solvent in the reaction system. The expanded interlayer distance, smaller size, and more exposed edges of the MoS$_2$ nanoflakes are beneficial to the enhanced electrochemical performance of the 3D hierarchical MoS$_2$ nanoflake arrays/carbon cloth.\textsuperscript{21} The surface electronic state and composition of CCMS-2 were investigated by X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) analysis. The survey XPS spectrum indicates that the 3D architectures contain element C, Mo, S, and O, as shown in Figure 5(a). Figure 5(b) shows a high-resolution spectrum in the binding energy range of 223–241 eV. The peaks at 228.8 eV and 231.9 eV correspond to the Mo$^{4+}$ 3d$_{3/2}$ and Mo$^{4+}$ 3d$_{5/2}$ components of MoS$_2$, respectively. The 3d$_{3/2}$ and 3d$_{5/2}$ peaks have separation energies close to 3.1 eV, characteristic of Mo species.\textsuperscript{27–35} The presence of a weak peak at around 235.8 eV, assigned to Mo$^{6+}$ 3d$_{5/2}$, suggests that the oxidation of Mo is minimal.\textsuperscript{27} The peak at around 226 eV corresponds to the S 2s component of MoS$_2$.\textsuperscript{34,35} In the high-resolution S 2p spectra shown in Figure 5(c), the peaks at 161.7 eV and 163.0 eV are observed, corresponding to S$^{2-}$ 2p$_{3/2}$ and S$^{2-}$ 2p$_{1/2}$, respectively. The intensity ratio of the characteristic peaks is about 2:1, and their separation energies is about 1.2 eV, which are typical characteristics of S$^{2-}$ species. Furthermore, compared with the 2p$_{3/2}$ (164.1 eV) and 2p$_{1/2}$ (165.2 eV) peaks of elemental S, the peaks have negative binding energy shifts of about 2.3 eV, which is the typical 2p shift for S in MoS$_2$.\textsuperscript{29–37} Figure 5(d) shows the standard carbon peak at 284.8 eV. The presence of MoS$_2$ in the final product is further confirmed by Raman measurement (Figure S3).\textsuperscript{†} The peaks at 379 cm$^{-1}$ and 407 cm$^{-1}$, ascribed to E$_2g$ and A$_1g$, respectively, are typically characteristic peaks of hexagonal MoS$_2$.\textsuperscript{32,33} An energy-dispersive X-ray (EDX) pattern (Figure S4) demonstrates that the final product contains a small quantity of O besides C, Mo, and S.\textsuperscript{†} The calculated atomic ratio of S to Mo element is about 1.95, very close to the stoichiometric MoS$_2$. The C element comes from the carbon cloths and the Cu from the copper grid used to support the sample. The XPS, EDX and Raman measurements

Figure 5. The XPS spectra of the 3D hierarchical MoS$_2$ nanoflake arrays/carbon cloth. (a) The survey XPS spectrum, (b) the Mo 3d XPS spectrum, (c) S 2p XPS spectrum, and (d) C 1s XPS spectrum.
Figure 6. Electrochemical performance of 3D MoS$_2$ nanoflake arrays/carbon cloth. (a) Cycling performance of CCMS-1, CCMS-2, and CCMS-3, showing the reversible capacity value of 3.00 mAh cm$^2$ after 30 cycles with a coulombic efficiency of 97.6%, (b) typical voltage versus specific capacity profiles for the first, second, fifth and 15th discharge/charge cycle, (c) rate performance of CCMS-2, and (d) the capacity of carbon cloth as electrode at 0.15 mA cm$^2$.

The interesting 3D hierarchical architectures, composed of carbon cloth with high conductivity and MoS$_2$ nanoflake arrays with void spaces, and the expanded (002) crystal plane, are promising for high-performance LIB anodes.$^{26-27}$ We first assembled half-cells using the 3D hierarchical architectures and pure Li foil as electrodes. Figure 6(a) shows the discharge/charge capacities and cyclic stability of CCMS-1, CCMS-2, and CCMS-3 at a low current density of 0.15 mA cm$^2$. CCMS-1, CCMS-2, and CCMS-3 have nearly ideal coulombic efficiencies of 93.5%, 97.6%, and 97.3%, respectively, substantially higher than any other anode.$^{30-41}$ Their first discharge capacities are 3.1, 3.5, and 2.2 mAh cm$^2$, respectively. After 30 cycles, the capacities become 2.7, 3.0, and 2.0 mAh cm$^2$, respectively, suggesting that the 3D hierarchical MoS$_2$ nanoflake arrays/carbon cloth has good cycling stability at a low current density. Among the composites, CCMS-3 exhibits relatively low capacities, which may be ascribed to the presence of relatively large MoS$_2$ spheres in the sample.

Figure 6(b) shows voltage-capacity curves of the CCMS-2 at a low current density of 0.15 mA cm$^2$ for the first, second, 15th, and 30th charge/discharge cycles, respectively. In the initial discharge process, two clear voltage plateaus, at about 0.8 and 0.5 V, are observed. For the bulk MoS$_2$, the plateau at 1.1 V is usually present in the initial lithiation process, resulting from the insertion of Li ions into the layer-to-layer of MoS$_2$.$^{11}$ However, for the MoS$_2$ nanoflakes obtained in this work, the potential plateau shifts to 0.8 V. This is attributed to the presence of the defective sites in nanostuctured MoS$_2$ induced by the small size effect.$^{38-40}$ These defective sites allow additional Li ions to travel through the expanded MoS$_2$ nanoflakes and leads to the formation of Li$_x$MoS$_2$.$^{38-40}$ The plateau at 0.5 V can be attributed to a conversion reaction process, which first entails the in situ decomposition of MoS$_2$ into Mo particles embedded into a Li$_x$S matrix and then the formation of a gel-like polymeric layer resulting from electrochemically driven electrolyte degradation. This process makes the charging capacity decrease during the following cycle.$^{11-41}$ In the following discharge processes, two potential plateaus of reduction peaks at 2.2 and 0.9 V are observed, and the plateau at 0.5 V disappears, which agrees well with the reported lithiation profiles of MoS$_2$.$^{33, 44}$ In addition, an oxidation peak located at about 2.3 V is also observed during the charge processes, which is attributed to oxidation of Li$_2$S.

Due to their unique architectures, the 3D hierarchical MoS$_2$ nanoflake arrays/carbon cloth anode exhibits very good rate performances, as shown in Figure 6(c). The discharge capacities are 3.26, 2.73, 2.39, 1.72, 1.24, and 0.85 mAh cm$^2$ at the current densities of 0.15, 0.3, 0.75, 1.5, 2.25, and 3.0 mA cm$^2$, respectively. It is worth noting that even at the current density rate of 3.0 mA cm$^2$, the reversible capacity is still retained at 0.85 mAh cm$^2$ after 5 cycles. Moreover, the capacity is still reversible back to 3.05 mAh cm$^2$ once the charging/discharging current density is set back to 0.15 mA cm$^2$ after the charge/discharge processes. As a result, the 3D hierarchical MoS$_2$ nanoflake arrays/carbon cloth exhibits high specific capacity, high coulombic efficiency, good cycling stability, and outstanding rate performance, offering a very promising potential for application in the flexible battery.

Figure 7. Electrochemical performance of flexible full battery. (a) The voltage versus specific capacity profiles of full flexible battery for the first, second, and 15th discharge/charge cycles, (b) the cycling performance of full battery, and inset: The flexible full battery can lighten a red LED even after 50 cycles of bending the full battery.

It should be noted that the carbon cloth exhibits a negligible contribution to the total capacity of the 3D MoS$_2$ nanoflake arrays/carbon anode. As shown in Figure 6(d), the specific capacity is about 0.4 mAh cm$^2$ at the current density of 0.15 mA cm$^2$, which is much less than that of the 3D MoS$_2$ nanoflake arrays/carbon cloth. The size of the carbon cloth fibers is relatively large and the carbon fibers have no pores on the surfaces, leading to the insertion and deintercalation of Li on the
surface of the carbon fibers. The MoS₂ nanoflakes, grown on the surfaces of the carbon fibers, will act as the Li insertion and deintercalation reaction sites, enhancing overall capacity as a consequence. Therefore, the contribution of carbon cloth to the total capacity of the 3D hierarchical nanostructures is negligible, but its high conductivity plays an important role in the good stability of the anodes. In addition, MoS₂ flowers with a diameter of about 1 μm were prepared without substrates such as carbon cloth in the reaction system, described in our previous work.²² The capacity of the MoS₂ flowers is about 0.357 mAh cm⁻² at 0.15 mA cm⁻², lower than that of 3D hierarchical MoS₂ nanoflake arrays/carbon cloth.²²

As described above, the flexible 3D hierarchical MoS₂ nanoflake arrays/carbon cloth demonstrates excellent electrochemical performance. The excellence could be attributed to (1) the expanded (002) crystal plane of the MoS₂ nanoflakes, which provides sufficient space for a high rate of lithium intercalation; (2) the presence of highly conductive carbon cloth, which greatly improves the conductivity of the 3D architectures and facilitates rapid electron transport during the Li insertion/extraction reaction; (3) the thinness of the MoS₂ nanoflakes (less than 15 nm), which provides a shorter pathway for Li-ion diffusion and requires a lower activation energy for the Li-ion intercalation reaction;⁹, 17, 21, 22 (4) the unique structure of the 3D MoS₂ nanoflake arrays/carbon cloth, which affords a higher rate of performance. The woven carbon cloth is favorable for the formation of loose textures in the MoS₂ nanoflake arrays/carbon cloth anode, which buffers the mechanical stress and volume variation accompanying the lithium charging/discharging process;⁹, 19, 21, 22 (5) the void spaces between the neighboring MoS₂ nanoflake arrays, which makes the electrolyte contact efficiently with the 3D architectures and provides more reactive sites for Li ion intercalation, and, thus, enhances the reversibility of the anode significantly.²², 46, 47

The excellent electrochemical performance of the 3D MoS₂ nanoflake arrays/carbon cloth combined with high flexible mechanical characteristics make it an ideal candidate as an anode of a flexible Lithium ion full battery. The structure of the fabricated flexible full battery is shown in Figure S5 †. It consists of the flexible 3D MoS₂ nanoflake arrays/carbon cloth as anode, a flexible separator, a commercial LiCoO₂/Al foil as the cathode, LiPF₆-based electrolyte, nickel foam as shims, and a flexible Kapton board shell. Figure 7(a) presents the voltage-capacity profiles of the as-prepared flexible full battery device for the first, second, and 15th charge/discharge cycles at a current rate of 0.15 mA cm⁻² in the voltage window of 1.0–4.1 V. It can be observed that the potential plateau is between the range of 2.3 and 3.7 V in the initial discharge progress, and slightly increased after the second cycle. The specific capacity is much lower than that of the half cell. This is the result of the lower capacity of the LiCoO₂ cathode, which does not fit well with the anode capacity. The initial discharge capacity of the full cell is about 1.41 mAh cm⁻² (Figure 7(a)), and the reversible discharge capacity is increased, gradually, after the second cycle (Figure 7(b)). This may be attributed to the fact that the electrodes are infiltrated completely after the second cycle. Furthermore, the full cell has little change in the capacities under bending angle of 45° and 60° degree. To demonstrate its practical applications and its durability to sustain mechanical stress, we used the as-assembled full battery to power a commercial red LED light. As shown in the inset of Figure 7(b), the red LED can be lit even after 50 cycles of bending the full battery.

4 Conclusions
A process was developed to synthesize 3D hierarchical MoS₂ nanoflake arrays/carbon cloth, in which the spacing of the (002) crystal plane was significantly expanded. The 3D hierarchical MoS₂ nanoflake arrays/carbon cloth as a lithium ion battery anode exhibited high specific capacity, high coulombic efficiency, good cycling stability, and outstanding rate performance. We fabricated a flexible full battery with the flexible 3D hierarchical MoS₂ nanoflake arrays/carbon cloth anode and LiCoO₂ cathode, demonstrating excellent electrochemical performance and mechanical stability against external bending stress. Even after 50 cycles of continuous bending, the full battery can still be used to power a commercial red LED light. The bendable battery, with excellent electrochemical performances, could be used in the next generation of electronic devices such as rollup displays, smart electronics, and wearable devices.
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