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Fabrication of MoS$_2$ nanosheets@TiO$_2$ nanotubes hybrid nanostructures for lithium storage
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MoS$_2$ nanosheets@TiO$_2$ nanotubes hybrid nanostructures were successfully prepared by a facile two-step method: prefabricate porous TiO$_2$ nanotubes based on a sol–gel method template again polymeric nanotubes, and then assemble MoS$_2$ nanoclusters that consist of ultrathin nanosheets through a solvothermal process. These hybrid nanostructures were characterized by scanning electron microscopy (SEM), transmission electron microscopy (TEM), energy dispersive X-ray (EDX) spectroscopy, X-ray diffraction (XRD) and Brunauer–Emmett–Teller (BET) analyzer. When evaluated as an electrode material for lithium ion batteries, the results of electrochemical test show that the unique MoS$_2$ nanosheets@TiO$_2$ nanotubes hybrid nanostructures exhibits outstanding lithium storage performances with high specific capacity and excellent rate capability. The smart architecture of the MoS$_2$ nanosheets@TiO$_2$ nanotubes hybrid nanostructures makes a prominent contribution to the excellent electrochemical performance.

1. Introduction

Rechargeable lithium ion batteries (LIBs) are considered as one of the most promising energy storage devices and a priority candidate for all electrical vehicles, which is crucial for the reduction of pollutant gaseous emissions arising from transportation.$^1$ Although widely used as anode materials in commercial Li-ion batteries (LIBs), graphite has a relatively low theoretical capacity (372 mA h g$^{-1}$) and cannot meet the need of large-scale batteries in the future.$^{2,3}$ In recent years, transition metal oxides and sulfides have been widely investigated as the active materials for lithium storage based on their relatively high energy density, long cycle life, design flexibility and environmental friendliness.$^{4,5}$ Nevertheless, the charge/discharge process in current lithium ion batteries at a high current rate can cause a high level of polarization for bulk electrode materials and degrade the electrochemical properties of the batteries.$^8$ In addition, the electrode materials' large volume change accompanying the process of Li$^+$ ion charge–discharge (alloying/de-alloying) and the cyclic stress break down the conductive path between the active material and black carbon give rise to the fast fading in capacity upon extended cycling. To solve the problem above, one feasible strategy is to design and prepare hollow, hierarchical and porous nanostructures. During the charge/discharge process of lithium ion batteries, the space exists in these electrode nanomaterials can store more lithium ions, buffer the large volume change to some extent, decrease lithium ions' and electrolyte diffusion length simultaneously, thus leading to improvement in cycling capacity retention upon extended cycling.$^9$

Molybdenum disulfide (MoS$_2$), a typical layered inorganic material, has received great interest as a kind of promising electrode material for LIBs in recent years.$^{10-13}$ Thus far, many nanostructures of MoS$_2$ such as nanoflakes, nanotubes and nanoflowers have been reported for lithium storage.$^{14-16}$ Although these MoS$_2$ nanostructures exhibit high capacities up to 1000 mA h g$^{-1}$, the inferior cycling stability impedes their practical application as electrode materials of LIBs. Titanium dioxide (TiO$_2$), which possesses a low Li-ion diffusivity and electronic conductivity, reveals a relatively low discharge capacity. However, due to the low volume variation (<<4%) during the charge–discharge process,$^{17-19}$ TiO$_2$ based electrode materials generally display favorable cyclcial stability compared to other transition metal oxides and sulfides based nanomaterials.$^{20,21}$ To overcome the inherent defects of the MoS$_2$ and TiO$_2$ based electrode...
materials, one doable strategy is to design smart hybridization of them. By combining the individual constituents, some new properties which are difficult to achieve in a single system were presented, such as a high capability to absorb the volume variation of the active material during lithium insertion and the ability to react reversibly with a larger amount of lithium.

On the basis of this guesswork, a great deal of hybrid nanostructures have already been fabricated for lithium ion batteries such as SnO$_2$–TiO$_2$,$^{19,25,26}$ NiO–ZnO,$^{27,28}$ SnO$_2$–NiO,$^{29}$ Fe$_2$O$_3$–NiO$^{30}$ and TiO$_2$–Fe$_3$O$_4$.$^{31}$ To build up an integrated smart architecture, an inactive or less active composition is usually used as a supporting or conducting matrix to buffer the volume change or facilitate the reactions.$^{16,21}$ Accordingly, to design the hybridization TiO$_2$–MoS$_2$ system, the stable TiO$_2$ should be used as the skeleton of the hybrid system, and the MoS$_2$ with high capacity can be loaded on the skeleton. To the best of our knowledge, a number of TiO$_2$–MoS$_2$ composites have been investigated as photocatalyst,$^{12-34}$ but this hybrid system has rarely been studied as electrode materials for LIBs.

Based on the aforementioned strategy, we construct MoS$_2$ nanosheets@TiO$_2$ nanotubes hybrid nanostructures and used them as electrode materials for the first time. The synthetic process is illustrated in Scheme 1. Firstly, we pre-fabricate TiO$_2$@PNT coaxial nanocables based on a sol–gel method, after being calcined in air, the porous TiO$_2$ nanotubes were obtained. Then the TiO$_2$ nanotubes are assembled by MoS$_2$ nanoclusters that consist of ultrathin porous nanosheets after a solvothermal process. The as-prepared MoS$_2$@TiO$_2$ were further treated at 800 °C in the atmosphere of 10% H$_2$ balanced by N$_2$ to obtain the highly crystalline MoS$_2$. Finally, the hierarchical and porous MoS$_2$ nanosheets sustained by porous TiO$_2$ nanotubes were successfully obtained, which possessed improved cyclic capacity retention and rate capability.

**2. Experimental Section**

**2.1 Material Synthesis**

Sulfonated PNTs: Polymeric nanotubes (PNTs) were synthesized by cationic polymerization of divinylbenzene using immiscible initiator nanodroplets of boron trifluoride etherate complex.$^{35}$ PNTs (3 g) were added to concentrated sulfuric acid (PNTs : H$_2$SO$_4$ = 1: 30, w/w) and the mixtures were ultrasonicated for 10 min to disperse them. After stirring at 40 °C for 24 h, the yellow precipitate was collected by centrifugation and washed thoroughly with ethanol.$^{36-38}$

TiO$_2$@PNT: 0.1 g of sulfonated PNTs was dispersed in 10 ml of ethanol under sonication for 90 min. The suspension was placed in 0 °C ice bath under high speed magnetic stirring for 20 min. 1.33 g of tetrabutyl titanate (TBT) was rapidly added to the mixture and stirred for 2 h to allow a saturated adsorption of TBT on the surface of sulfonated PNTs. Afterwards, 1 ml of water was introduced into the system and kept stirring at 0 °C for 2 h. The precipitates were separated by centrifugation (4000 rpm) and the product was dried at ambient temperature and subsequently stored in a vacuum oven at 50 °C overnight.$^{20}$

TiO$_2$ nanotubes: the as-prepared TiO$_2$@PNT composite was subjected to calcination at 450 °C for 2 h to remove the PNT template and obtain the porous TiO$_2$ nanotubes. The TiO$_2$ nanotubes were also calcined at 800 °C in the atmosphere of 10% H$_2$ balanced by N$_2$ for 2 h to obtain the rutile TiO$_2$.

MoS$_2$@TiO$_2$: 100 mg of TiO$_2$ nanotubes were dispersed into glucose solution (30 mL, 0.05 M) by ultrasonication for 5 minutes. And then, 0.3 g sodium pyroantimonate (Na$_2$MoO$_4$·2H$_2$O) and 0.6 g of thiourea was added. After stirring for 5 minutes, the reaction solution was transferred to a 50 mL Teflon-lined stainless steel autoclave and kept in an electric oven at 200 °C for 24 h. The autoclave was then left to cool down to room temperature in the oven. The black precipitate was collected by centrifugation, washed thoroughly with ethanol, and dried at 80 °C for 2 h. The as-prepared MoS$_2$@TiO$_2$ were further treated at 800 °C in the atmosphere of 10% H$_2$ balanced by N$_2$ for 2 h with a heating rate of 1 °C min$^{-1}$ to obtain the highly crystalline MoS$_2$ nanosheets.

The preparation process of MoS$_2$ flakes is similar to the one for MoS$_2$@TiO$_2$, except the addition of TiO$_2$ nanotubes and glucose.

**2.2 Characterization**

The product morphology was examined using field-emission scanning electron microscopy (FESEM; HITACHI, su-8010) and transmission electron microscopy (TEM; JEOL, JEM-2100). Crystallographic information of the samples was collected using powder X-ray diffraction (XRD; SHIMADZU, Lab X XRD-6000). The specific surface area and pore size distribution of the products were measured using a Brunauer-Emmett-Teller analyzer (BET; ASAP 2020M) at 77 K.

**2.3 Electrochemical measurements**

The electrochemical tests were performed under ambient temperature using two-electrode coin cells (CR 2016) with lithium serving as both the counter electrode and the reference electrode. The working electrode consisted of an active material (MoS$_2$@TiO$_2$), a conductive agent (carbon black, C-NERGY™ Super C65), and a polymer binder (poly(vinylidenedifluoride), PVDF, Aldrich) in a 70: 20: 10 weight ratio. The electrolyte used was 1.0 M LiPF$_6$ in a 50: 50 (w/w) mixture of ethylene carbonate and diethyl carbonate. Cell assembly was carried out in an Ar-filled glovebox with concentrations of moisture and oxygen below 1.0 ppm. Cyclic voltammetry was performed using an electrochemical workstation (CHI 660D). The charge–discharge tests were performed using a NEWARE battery tester.
3. Results and discussion

Fig. 1 shows the morphology of the TiO$_2$@PNT composite and calcined TiO$_2$ nanotubes. It can be seen from the scanning electron microscope (SEM) image that the TiO$_2$ layers are successfully and uniformly coated on the PNTs surface, leading to the formation of TiO$_2$@PNT nanotubes (Fig. 1A). Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) image indicates the TiO$_2$ layer is around 85 nm (Fig. 1B). This may be attributed to hydrophilic layer with sulfonic acid groups produced by the sulfonation process, which can induce a favorable adsorption of the tetrabutyl titanate. After calcining the TiO$_2$@PNT composite in air at 450 °C in air for 2 h, the PNT templates were totally removed and the TiO$_2$ nanotubes were obtained, as shown in Fig. 1C. It is very clear from the TEM image (Fig. 1D) that the thickness of the TiO$_2$ shell decreases to approximately 80 nm, due to the slight shrinkage during annealing. In addition, it can be observed that the shell of the nanotubes is mesoporous.

Fig. 2A-D shows the SEM and TEM images of the MoS$_2$@TiO$_2$ composite after a solvothermal process and treated at 800 °C in the atmosphere of 10% H$_2$ balanced by N$_2$. It reveals that almost every TiO$_2$ nanotube is uniformly covered with MoS$_2$ nanosheets, which can be attributed to the assist function of glucose and thiourea (Fig. 2A). A hierarchical structure of the MoS$_2$@TiO$_2$ composite’s surface can be clearly revealed from the TEM image and the height of the MoS$_2$ nanosheets is around 120 nm (Fig. 2B). Fig. 2C shows the morphology of the MoS$_2$@TiO$_2$ composite after calcination at 800 °C for 2 h to obtain the highly crystalline MoS$_2$ nanosheets and the MoS$_2$@TiO$_2$ composite maintains its tubular and sheets-like structures. The TEM image of the calcined MoS$_2$@TiO$_2$ composite displays that the TiO$_2$ nanotube have an extra porous structure, and the MoS$_2$ nanosheets is approximately 70 nm in height, on account of the slight shrinkage during annealing (Fig. 2D). Moreover, most of the MoS$_2$ nanosheets are very thin (~5 nm), less than 7 layers (Fig. 2E). From the HRTEM image in Fig 3F, the
lattice fringes of TiO$_2$ nanotubes and MoS$_2$ nanosheets can be clearly observed, suggesting the well-defined crystal structure and heterojunction (Fig. 2F).

The detailed local elemental composition and the core/shell nanostructure of the as-formed MoS$_2$@TiO$_2$ composite was further analyzed by energy dispersive X-ray (EDX) spectroscopy and scanning transmission electron microscopy (STEM) with the results shown in Fig. 3. The elemental mapping images show that the Ti and O elements formed the core of the MoS$_2$@TiO$_2$ composite, the shell is consist of Mo and S elements, respectively. It gives a direct proof for the uniform surface modification of MoS$_2$ nanosheets on TiO$_2$ nanotubes. Furthermore, the atomic ratio of Mo and Ti is about 1:1.

The chemical composition of different samples was characterized by using X-ray diffraction (XRD; Fig. 4A). It is clear from pattern of curve I, curve II and curve III that pure MoS$_2$ (JCPDS card no. 37-1492), anatase TiO$_2$ (JCPDS card no. 21-1272) and rutile TiO$_2$ (JCPDS card no. 21-1276) were fabricated after a calcination process. All the diffraction peaks of curve I, curve II and curve III can be discovered from curve IV clearly, indicating that the MoS$_2$@TiO$_2$ hybrid nanostructures were successfully obtained, and the TiO$_2$ nanotubes are mixed with rutile and anatase TiO$_2$. The

![Fig. 4](A) XRD patterns of MoS$_2$ flakes (I), TiO$_2$ nanotubes after being calcined in air at 450 °C (II) TiO$_2$ nanotubes treated at 800 °C (III) and MoS$_2$@TiO$_2$ composite (IV). (B) N$_2$ adsorption–desorption isotherms of the MoS$_2$@TiO$_2$ composite, the inset shows the pore-size distribution calculated from the desorption branch.

![Fig. 5](A) Representative CVs at a scan rate of 0.5 mV S$^{-1}$ for the first, second, and fifth cycles of MoS$_2$@TiO$_2$ hybrid nanostructures. (B) Charge–discharge voltage profiles at a current density of 100 mA g$^{-1}$ of MoS$_2$@TiO$_2$ hybrid nanostructures. (C) Comparative cycling performance of MoS$_2$ flakes (I) and MoS$_2$@TiO$_2$ hybrid nanostructures (II) at a current density of 100 mA g$^{-1}$. (D) Cycling performance of MoS$_2$ flakes (I) and MoS$_2$@TiO$_2$ hybrid nanostructures (II) at different current densities indicated (mA g$^{-1}$).
The excellent electrochemical performance of the MoS$_2$@TiO$_2$ hybrid nanostructures as an electrode material for LIBs can be attributed to the following. Fig. 5A shows the cyclic voltammograms (CVs) of MoS$_2$@TiO$_2$ for the first, second and fifth cycles in the potential window of 0.005-3 V vs. Li$^+$/Li. As can be seen, the CV behavior is generally consistent with MoS$_2$ and TiO$_2$ nanostructures reported previously.\textsuperscript{7,10,11,20,21} In the first cathodic sweep, the peak that at 0.7 V is attributed to the intercalation of lithium ions into the MoS$_2$ lattice which transforms the triangular prism (coordination of Mo by six S atoms) into an octahedral prism structure.\textsuperscript{11,33} This peak disappears in the second and fifth discharge processes owing to few amorphous MoS$_2$ is reformed after the first charge process (lithium extraction).

The peak appears at 0.2 V is attributed to the complete reduction reaction:

\[
\text{MoS}_2 + 4\text{Li} \rightarrow 4\text{Mo} + 2\text{Li}_2\text{S}
\]

(1)

In the succedent cathodic sweeps, a couple of new peaks appear at 1.8 V and 1.0 V, perhaps suggesting the presence of a multi-step lithium insertion mechanism.\textsuperscript{10,11} There is no significant change in the potentials of the oxidation peaks at 2.4 V, corresponding to the lithium extraction process and the transformation of Mo to MoS$_2$. In addition, in the second and fifth discharge processes, a pair of weak peaks appear at 1.7 V in the cathodic sweep and 1.8V in the anodic sweep can be discovered, which are attributed to the discharge/charge process of TiO$_2$:

\[
\text{TiO}_2 + x(\text{Li}^+ + \text{e}^-) \rightarrow \text{Li}_x\text{TiO}_2 (0 < x < 1)
\]

(2)

Besides, several insertion/extraction peaks between ca. 1.9 and 2.5 V indicate that monoclinic TiO$_2$ (B) were probably existed in this hybrid nanostructures, these complex TiO$_2$ constituents were also interesting for the lithium storage.\textsuperscript{40,41} These results illustrate that the MoS$_2$ nanosheets in the MoS$_2$@TiO$_2$ hybrid nanostructures play a major role in the electrochemical performance, and the TiO$_2$ nanotubes also make a contribution to the charge/discharge capacity. Fig. 5B shows the charge-discharge voltage profiles of the sample for the first, second and fiftieth cycles. As can be seen, two potential plateaus are observed for the composite electrode in the first discharge, which is in agreement with the above CV study, and it shows a discharge capacity of 931 mA h g$^{-1}$. The subsequently charge process delivers a capacity of 693 mA h g$^{-1}$, showing a Coulombic efficiency of 74\% (Fig. 5B), which should be mainly due to the gel-like polymeric layer formation on the MoS$_2$@TiO$_2$ hybrid nanostructures.

The charge and discharge capacities in the second cycle are 590 and 737 mA h g$^{-1}$, respectively, giving a higher Coulombic efficiency of 80\%. This value can be retained as 93\% even after 50 charge-discharge cycles. The cycling performance of these MoS$_2$@TiO$_2$ hybrid nanostructures (curve I) is shown in Fig. 5C, and MoS$_2$ flakes (curve II) fabricated by a similar method are used as comparison.\textsuperscript{11} As can be seen, in the case of the MoS$_2$@TiO$_2$ electrode, the initial discharge step delivers relatively lower capacity of 931 mA h g$^{-1}$. This is mainly on account of the influence by the TiO$_2$ nanotubes. However, in the subsequent cycles, the MoS$_2$@TiO$_2$ hybrid nanostructures possess more stable cycle stability and higher discharge capacities obviously than the MoS$_2$ flakes. When the cycle number reached about 60\textsuperscript{29}, the MoS$_2$ flakes reveal a fast fading in capacity. Oppositely, the capacity of the MoS$_2$@TiO$_2$ hybrid nanostructures becomes extremely stable even at the end of the 100\textsuperscript{th} cycle, which display a value of 472 mA h g$^{-1}$. The theoretical capacity of the MoS$_2$@TiO$_2$ hybrid nanostructures can be calculated as follows:

\[
C_{\text{MoS}_2@\text{TiO}_2} = C_{\text{MoS}_2} \times \text{mass}\%_{\text{MoS}_2} + C_{\text{TiO}_2} \times \text{mass}\%_{\text{TiO}_2} (3)
\]

On account of the theoretical capacity of the TiO$_2$ (335 mA h g$^{-1}$) and MoS$_2$ (670 mA h g$^{-1}$), the result is 578 mA h g$^{-1}$. The above results show that the MoS$_2$@TiO$_2$ hybrid nanostructures can deliver a stable capacity equal to 80\% of their theoretical value even after 100 cycles. It is worthy to be mentioned that the average discharge capacity of our MoS$_2$@TiO$_2$ hybrid nanostructures is preferable compared to previously reported hierarchical MoS$_2$ products,\textsuperscript{42} a part of hybrid nanostructures such as the SnO$_2$@TiO$_2$ double-shell nanotubes,\textsuperscript{23} TiO$_2$ supported Sn$_2$O$_3$ nanocomposites,\textsuperscript{43} TiO$_2$@α-Fe$_2$O$_3$ core/shell arrays\textsuperscript{31} and core-sheath TiO$_2$@MoO$_3$ nanocomposite.\textsuperscript{44} Furthermore, the capacity of up-to-date TiO$_2$ electrode materials is much less than our hybrid nanostructures.\textsuperscript{45-47} Fig. 5D displays the comparative cycling performance of MoS$_2$@TiO$_2$ hybrid nanostructures (curve I) and MoS$_2$ flakes (curve II) at various current densities. At current densities of 100, 200, 500 and 1000 mA g$^{-1}$, the capacities of MoS$_2$@TiO$_2$ hybrid nanostructures are 713, 636, 533 and 461 mA h g$^{-1}$, respectively. As a comparison, the MoS$_2$ flakes only reveal poor capacities as follows: 420, 309, 203 and 129 mA h g$^{-1}$. When the current density returns to 100 mA g$^{-1}$, the MoS$_2$@TiO$_2$ hybrid nanostructures still deliver a capacity of 611 mA h g$^{-1}$. Comparably, an inferior reversible capacity of 262 mA h g$^{-1}$ can be retained by the MoS$_2$ flakes after 60 cycles. It is thus evident that the MoS$_2$@TiO$_2$ hybrid nanostructures exhibit much improved cyclic capacity retention and rate capability. The reasons for the enhanced lithium storage properties of the MoS$_2$@TiO$_2$ hybrid nanostructures could be explained in detail as follows: i) The TiO$_2$ nanotubes can be regarded as the skeleton of the hybrid nanostructures, thus the electrode materials’ large volume change accompanying the process of Li$^+$ ion charge-discharge can be buffered, which brings about stable cycling performance even at high current rate.\textsuperscript{24} ii) The one-dimensional TiO$_2$ nanotubes make the MoS$_2$ more dispersed, thus the larger specific surface area could be acquired comparing to the bulk-like and particle-like materials. iii) The porous structure of the TiO$_2$ nanotubes together with the hierarchical MoS$_2$ can store more lithium ions, buffer the large volume change to some extent, decrease lithium ions’ and electrolyte diffusion length simultaneously.
3. Conclusions

In summary, we have prepared a kind of MoS$_2$@TiO$_2$ hybrid nanostructures via a facile two-step method. The characterizations demonstrate that the MoS$_2$ nanosheets are uniformly supported on the TiO$_2$ nanotubes surface, which then form the MoS$_2$@TiO$_2$ hybrid composites. The existence of the TiO$_2$ skeleton plays an irreplaceable role to enhance the electrochemical performance of the high-capacity MoS$_2$. In comparison with the MoS$_2$ flakes, these unique hybrid nanostructures exhibit greatly enhanced lithium storage properties owing to the integrated smart architecture.
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