

Dalton Transactions

Accepted Manuscript



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the RSC Publishing peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, which is prior to technical editing, formatting and proof reading. This free service from RSC Publishing allows authors to make their results available to the community, in citable form, before publication of the edited article. This *Accepted Manuscript* will be replaced by the edited and formatted *Advance Article* as soon as this is available.

To cite this manuscript please use its permanent Digital Object Identifier (DOI®), which is identical for all formats of publication.

More information about *Accepted Manuscripts* can be found in the [Information for Authors](#).


Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics contained in the manuscript submitted by the author(s) which may alter content, and that the standard [Terms & Conditions](#) and the [ethical guidelines](#) that apply to the journal are still applicable. In no event shall the RSC be held responsible for any errors or omissions in these *Accepted Manuscript* manuscripts or any consequences arising from the use of any information contained in them.

GRAPHICAL ABSTRACT

Binding Multidentate Ligands to Ni²⁺: Kinetic Identification of Preferential Binding Sites

Dilek Nartop*, William Clegg, Ross W. Harrington,
Richard A. Henderson and Corinne Y. Wills

Kinetic studies on binding of Ni²⁺ to various multidentate ligands indicates preferential binding to the sites: phenolate O⁻ > NH > pyridyl N > phenolic OH ~ imine N.



Cite this: DOI: 10.1039/c0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/xxxxxx

ARTICLE TYPE

Binding multidentate ligands to Ni²⁺: kinetic identification of preferential binding sites[†]

Dilek Nartop,^{*a} William Clegg,^b Ross W. Harrington,^b Richard A. Henderson^{*b} and Corinne Y. Wills^b

Received (in XXX, XXX) Xth XXXXXXXXX 20XX, Accepted Xth XXXXXXXXX 20XX

DOI: 10.1039/b000000x

The kinetics of the reactions between [Ni(MeOH)₆]²⁺ (hereafter Ni²⁺) and a variety of neutral Schiff base multidentate ligands have been measured in methanol at 25.0 °C using stopped-flow spectrophotometry. The ligands contain a variety of different potential donor sites (phenolic OH, imine N, pyridyl N and NH groups), different structural components and substituents. The kinetic studies explore how systematic changes to the composition of the ligands affect the rates of binding. The results are consistent with the Eigen-Wilkins mechanism in which the ligand initially forms an outer-sphere association with Ni²⁺ prior to dissociation of a coordinated solvent molecule and binding to the metal ion. The general features which emerge from these studies are as follows. (i) For ligands with the same donor set, the rates of binding are all similar irrespective of changes to the ligand framework (bridge and substituents). (ii) Comparison of structurally analogous ligands shows that the presence of pyridyl or NH groups in the multidentate results in significantly faster reactions. (iii) With ligands containing multiple NH groups, the rate of ligand binding increases as the number of NH groups increases. The extent to which these kinetic features can be attributed to preferential binding of particular donor groups is discussed.

Introduction

The kinetics of the reactions of multidentate ligands binding to metal ions can obviously be more complicated than the reactions of unidentate ligands because of the multi-stage time courses associated with coordination of the large number of sites.^{1,2} An intriguing aspect of the reaction mechanisms with multidentate ligands containing a variety of different types of donor groups is whether the metal discriminates between the various donors and preferentially binds to one type of site. Recent studies have explored the binding of Cu²⁺ to the polytopic ligands shown in Fig. 1 and observed different types of reactivity.^{3,4} For the phenanthroline-based ligand (phenL), Cu²⁺ initially binds to the phenanthroline residue and subsequently moves into a macrocyclic cavity. In contrast, for the analogous pyridyl-based ligand, pyL, the pyridyl-residue appears to be just a spectator, with the Cu²⁺ going directly to the macrocyclic cavity. Similar behaviour, in which a metal ion initially binds at one site before moving to its final residence, has been observed with other polytopic ligands.^{5,6} Important questions which need to be addressed are whether binding of metal ions to multidentate ligands (containing various types of donors) involves preferential binding at a specific site, and whether this can be detected using kinetics. In this paper we report kinetic studies on the reactions of [Ni(MeOH)₆]²⁺ (hereafter written as Ni²⁺) with a variety of neutral multidentate Schiff base ligands (Fig. 2) and investigate if the differences in

rates can be attributed to preferential binding of different donors to Ni²⁺.




Fig. 1 Structures of polytopic ligands phenL and pyL and representation of the pathway for incorporation of Cu²⁺ into the macrocyclic cavity for phenL.

Schiff bases and their metal complexes have been studied widely due to their important chemical and biological applications.⁷⁻¹¹ Recently, unsymmetrical Schiff base ligands (H₂L^{a,x}) were reported, and herein the similar ligands H₂L_x-Cl are reported.^{12, 13}




Fig. 2 Structures of the ligands used in this study and the abbreviations used.

$H_2L^a_X$, H_2L_X-Cl , $H_2L^n_X$ and L^n_{py} have structures in which there are different types of binding sites: imine nitrogen, terminal phenolic, terminal pyridyl and NH groups. In this paper we present kinetic studies which indicate that the quadridentate ligands $H_2L^a_X$, H_2L_X-Cl , $H_2salphen$ and $H_2L^n_X$ all have very similar reactivities with only minor modulation of the reactivity which is attributable to subtle changes in the outer-sphere association of the ligand with Ni^{2+} , prior to binding. However, for L^n_{py} (where a pyridyl formally replaces a phenol group of $H_2L^n_X$) binding is about 10^3 times faster. Furthermore, introduction of NH groups into either the pyridyl- or salicaldimine-based ligands results in an increase in the rate. The origin of these labilising effect has been explored.

Experimental

All chemicals were purchased from Aldrich or Merck and used without further purification. Elemental analyses were determined using a Leco CHNS-932 analyzer. IR spectra were recorded using a Mattson-5000 FT-IR instrument with KBr pellets. UV-visible absorption spectra were recorded on a Perkin Elmer spectrophotometer. 1H -NMR spectra were carried out on a Bruker Avance 500 MHz or a Bruker Avance 300 MHz instrument. MS analysis was performed using an Agilent Technologies 6410 Triple Quad instrument.

Synthesis of Unsymmetrical Schiff Bases H_2L_X-Cl (X = H, Me or Cl): General Procedure

The Schiff bases HL_H (or HL_{Me} or HL_{Cl}) were synthesized by adding 50 mmol of 2-aminophenol (or 2-amino-4-methylphenol or 2-amino-4-chlorophenol) to a stirred solution of 1-nitro-2-naphthaldehyde (50 mmol) in ethanol (100 mL) and heating for 1 hour at 60 °C (Fig. 3). The unsymmetrical Schiff bases (H_2L_H-Cl , $H_2L_{Me}-Cl$, $H_2L_{Cl}-Cl$) were prepared by using a two-stage method, as shown in Figure 3. In the first stage, 2 mmol of the Schiff base HL_H (or HL_{Me} or HL_{Cl}) was dissolved in 100 mL ethanol-water solution (1:1) at 70 °C. The nitro group of these Schiff bases was reduced to an amino group with solid sodium dithionite as

reducing agent. In this reduction process, 5 mmol of solid sodium dithionite was slowly added to the solution in small portions over the course of 1 hour, then the solution was stirred for a further 1 hour at 45–50 °C. In this way the amino derivative of the Schiff bases (A) was obtained in solution. In the second stage, 2 mmol of 2-hydroxy-5-chlorobenzaldehyde in 25 mL ethanol was added to the solution of the amino derivative and was heated to reflux for 2 hours. The mixture was evaporated at room temperature in about 1 day. The orange product was treated with warm water and filtered. The crude product was recrystallized from ethanol. The elemental analyses and mass spectral data for H_2L_X-Cl are presented in Table 1 and the 1H NMR and IR spectra of the compounds are presented in Table 2.¹⁴




Fig. 3 Synthesis of unsymmetrical diimines (H_2L_H-Cl , $H_2L_{Me}-Cl$, $H_2L_{Cl}-Cl$)

Synthesis of Unsymmetrical Schiff Bases $H_2L^a_X$ (X = H, Me or Cl): General Procedure

One of us recently reported the synthesis and characterization of the unsymmetrical Schiff bases, $H_2L^a_X$, together with some of their metal complexes.¹¹ The unsymmetrical Schiff bases were prepared by the method described in the literature and shown in Fig. 4. Initially, 2-hydroxy-*N*-(2-nitrobenzylidene)aniline was obtained by reacting 2-hydroxyaniline (50 mmol) with 2-nitrobenzaldehyde (50 mmol) in ethanol (100 mL) as described previously.^{15,16} Subsequently, the nitro group of (B) was reduced to an amino group using sodium dithionite (5 mmol) as reducing agent, in solution. Finally, 1 mmol of 2-hydroxybenzaldehyde (or 2-hydroxy-5-methylbenzaldehyde or 2-hydroxy-5-chlorobenzaldehyde) in ethanol (25 mL) was added to the solution to obtain the unsymmetrical diimines, $H_2L^a_H$, $H_2L^a_{Me}$ or $H_2L^a_{Cl}$. Spectroscopic data for all $H_2L^a_X$ have already been reported.¹¹




Fig. 4 Synthesis of unsymmetrical diimines ($H_2L^a_H$, $H_2L^a_{Me}$, $H_2L^a_{Cl}$)

Synthesis of Symmetrical Schiff Bases $H_2L^n_H$ ($n = 0 - 3$): General Procedure

All $H_2L^n_H$ were prepared by the same general procedure. A solution of salicaldehyde (4.25 mL; 40 mmol) in ethanol (50 mL) was heated to boiling. The polyamine (20 mmol) was added dropwise to the boiling, stirred solution. The solution turned bright yellow and was stirred for a further 5 minutes then left to cool. Upon cooling yellow crystals of the product formed. The crystals were removed by filtration, washed with diethyl ether and dried in air. Yields of the compounds were all in the range 83–92%. The spectroscopic characterization of these ligands is presented in the Supplementary Information.

Table 1. Elemental analyses and mass spectral data for H_2L_X-Cl

compound ^a	formula	elemental analysis (calc) %			mass spectrum		
		C	N	H	m/z	%	peak
H_2L_H-Cl	$C_{24}H_{17}N_2O_2Cl$	70.05 (71.91)	4.22 (4.24)	6.37 (6.99)	399.2	7.9	[M] ⁺
$H_2L_{Me}-Cl$	$C_{25}H_{19}N_2O_2Cl$	70.24 (72.38)	4.07 (4.58)	6.39 (6.76)	413.2	22.1	[M-H] ⁺
$H_2L_{Cl}-Cl$	$C_{24}H_{16}N_2O_2Cl_2$	65.13 (66.21)	4.39 (3.68)	6.85 (6.44)	433.0	18.4	[M-2H] ⁺

^a All compounds are orange

Table 2. The IR vibration frequencies (cm^{-1}) and 1H -NMR chemical shift (ppm) of the unsymmetrical diimines H_2L_X-Cl

compound	IR				1H -NMR			
	$\nu(OH)$	$\nu(CH)_{arom}$	$\nu(C=N)$	$\nu(C=C)_{imine}$	OH	CH=N	Arom.-H	CH_3
H_2L_H-Cl	3411	3066	1624, 1611	1589-1454	13.81(d) 1H 10.23(s) 1H	9.85(d) 1H 8.96(d) 1H	6.96-8.41(m)	-
$H_2L_{Me}-Cl$	3406	3044	1611, n.o.	1546-1462	13.87(s) 1H 9.90(s) 1H	9.57(s) 1H 8.31(s) 1H	6.98-8.41(m)	2.50 (s)
$H_2L_{Cl}-Cl$	3367	3056	1608, 1589	1547-1461	13.39(s) 1H 10.07(s) 1H	9.85(s) 1H 8.97(s) 1H	6.57-8.45(m)	-

^a s = singlet, d = doublet, m = multiplet, n.o. = not observed.

0.71073 Å). Semi-empirical absorption corrections were applied, based on repeated and symmetry-equivalent reflections. The structures were solved by direct methods, and refined on all F^2 values. H atoms bonded to ordered heteroatoms were refined freely; a riding model was used for other H atoms. Crystal and refinement data are presented in Table 3. Disorder was resolved for the unique methanol solvent molecule in $[\{NiCl(L^1_{py})\}_2]Cl_2 \cdot 2CH_3OH \cdot 2H_2O$. Diffraction for the small and poorly formed crystal of $[Ni(HL^1_H)]Cl$ was weak, especially at higher angles; significant residual electron density is found close to the Ni atom in this structure, and the R values are relatively high, but the refinement is otherwise satisfactory. Programs used were standard data collection and processing software, *SHELXTL* and *SHELXL-2013*.¹⁷

Table 3. X-ray crystallographic data

compound	$[Ni(HL^1_H)]Cl$	$[NiCl(L^1_{py})]Cl \cdot CH_3OH$	$[\{NiCl(L^1_{py})\}_2]Cl_2 \cdot 2CH_3OH \cdot 2H_2O$
Formula	$C_{18}H_{19}ClN_3NiO_2$	$C_{17}H_{27}Cl_2N_3NiO$	$C_{34}H_{50}Cl_4N_{10}Ni_2O_4$
$M_r / g mol^{-1}$	403.5	447.0	922.1
Crystal system	monoclinic	monoclinic	triclinic
Space group	$P2_1/c$	$P2_1/c$	$P\bar{1}$
$a / \text{Å}$	10.8103(12)	13.9076(7)	9.2913(5)
$b / \text{Å}$	14.5972(12)	11.3295(5)	10.2003(5)
$c / \text{Å}$	12.1913(14)	14.1620(7)	12.0495(7)
$\alpha / ^\circ$	90	90	83.850(4)
$\beta / ^\circ$	114.061(13)	117.8704(8)	67.556(5)
$\gamma / ^\circ$	90	90	82.657(4)
$V / \text{Å}^3$	1756.6(4)	1972.62(16)	1044.70(10)
Z	4	4	1
$D_{calc} / g cm^{-3}$	1.530	1.505	1.466
μ / mm^{-1}	1.27	1.27	1.21
Min., max. transmission	0.890, 0.950	0.700, 0.800	0.804, 0.890
Reflections measured	5795	11514	10097
Unique reflections, R_{int}	3100, 0.0613	4799, 0.0335	4900, 0.0221
Refined parameters	234	252	266
$R (F, F^2 > 2\sigma)$	0.0856	0.0348	0.0236
$R_w (F^2, \text{all data})$	0.2425	0.0776	0.0609
Goodness of fit on F^2	1.022	1.063	1.053
Max., min. electron density	2.10, -0.84	0.38, -0.37	0.32, -0.36
$/ e \text{ Å}^{-3}$			

60 Kinetics Studies

All kinetic studies were conducted using an Applied Photophysics SX. 18MV stopped-flow spectrophotometer. The temperature was maintained at 25.0 ± 0.1 °C using a Grant LTD6G recirculating thermostated tank. All solutions were prepared in air and were transferred into the stopped-flow spectrophotometer using all-glass syringes. The solutions of $NiCl_2 \cdot 6H_2O$ and the various Schiff base ligands were prepared from fresh stock solutions and used within 2 hours.

All kinetic studies were performed in methanol, with the concentration of $Ni^{2+} = 0.2$ or 0.5 $mmol dm^{-3}$. Because of limited solubility, the concentrations of the salicaldimine-based ligands were in the range 1–4 $mmol dm^{-3}$. The reactions of Ni^{2+} with the various Schiff base ligands were studied at $\lambda = 460$ nm. At this wavelength there is an increase in absorbance, as shown in Fig. 5 (insert). The reactions of Ni^{2+} with L_{py} , L^1_{py} and $H_2L^n_H$ ($n = 1-3$) were studied under pseudo first order conditions. However, the reactions with $H_2L^a_X$, H_2L_X-Cl , $H_2L^0_X$ and $H_2salphen$ were all studied using concentrations which are not strict pseudo first-order conditions so the suitability of exponential curve fits to the data needs to be justified. There are three lines of evidence which validate the use of exponential fits for the reactions with $H_2L^a_X$, H_2L_X-Cl , $H_2L^0_X$ and $H_2salphen$. (1) For the reactions of $H_2L^a_H$, H_2L_X-Cl ($X = H$ or Me), $H_2L^0_X$ ($X = H, MeO, Me$ or Cl) and $H_2salphen$ the traces were good fits to single exponential curves

20 Synthesis of Ni^{II} Complexes with Unsymmetrical Diimines $H_2L^a_X$ and Symmetrical Diimines $H_2L^n_H$: General Procedure

All the complexes were prepared by the same general procedure. A solution of the Schiff base ligand (5.0 mmol) in methanol (30 mL) was prepared in a round-bottom flask. A solution of $NiCl_2 \cdot 6H_2O$ (5 mmol) in methanol (30 mL) was then added to the ligand solution. The mixture immediately became a brown/orange colour. The mixture was stirred and heated for about 1 hour, maintaining the temperature at less than 75 °C. the solution was then allowed to cool. Volatiles were removed *in vacuo* until incipient crystallization. The solid was removed by filtration, washed with diethyl ether and dried in air. The solid was recrystallized by dissolving in the minimum volume of methanol, then layering diethyl ether (about 4–5 times the volume of methanol) on top. The purified products were all dark orange/brown complexes. The spectroscopic characterization of these complexes is presented in the Supplementary Information.

X-Ray Crystallography

Data for $[NiCl(L^1_{py})]Cl$ were collected on a Bruker SMART 1K diffractometer, those for $[Ni(HL^1_H)]Cl \cdot CH_3OH$ and $[\{NiCl(L^1_{py})\}_2]Cl_2 \cdot 2CH_3OH \cdot 2H_2O$ on an Oxford Diffraction (now Agilent Technologies) Gemini A Ultra diffractometer. All measurements were made at 150 K with Mo $K\alpha$ radiation ($\lambda =$

at all concentrations (examples shown in Supplementary Information). (2) For H_2L_X^a ($X = \text{Me}$ or Cl), $\text{H}_2\text{L}_{\text{Cl}}^a$ - Cl , and H_2L_H^n ($n = 1-3$), the absorbance-time traces could be fitted to two exponentials. Analysis of the same data applying second order kinetics¹⁸ also showed biphasic behaviour with rate constants for both phases in good agreement with those derived using exponential curve fits (examples shown in Supplementary Information). (3) In selected studies with $[\text{Ni}^{2+}] = 0.2 \text{ mmol dm}^{-3}$ and $[\text{ligand}] = 2-4 \text{ mmol dm}^{-3}$ (where $[\text{ligand}]/[\text{Ni}^{2+}] \geq 10$) the exponential curve fits gave observed rate constants in good agreement with those determined when $[\text{Ni}^{2+}] = 0.5 \text{ mmol dm}^{-3}$ (Supplementary Information). These observations indicate it is appropriate to fit the absorbance-time traces with exponential curves to obtain the observed first-order rate constants (k_{obs}). In the reactions with H_2L_X^a , H_2L_X^a - Cl , H_2L_H^n and $\text{H}_2\text{salphen}$, both the absorbance change and the final absorbance increase with increasing concentration of the ligand. This is a consequence of both the ligand absorbing at $\lambda = 460 \text{ nm}$ and the binding of these ligands to Ni^{2+} being equilibrium reactions. For the reactions with L_{py}^n and L_{py}^n , the absorbance change is independent of the concentration of ligand, but the final absorbance increases with increase in the concentration of ligand. This behaviour is because the ligands absorb at $\lambda = 460 \text{ nm}$ but binding of L_{py}^n or L_{py}^n to Ni^{2+} are not equilibrium reactions (spectroscopic changes are presented in Supplementary Information). That the binding of Ni^{2+} to H_2L_X^a , H_2L_X^a - Cl , H_2L_H^0 and $\text{H}_2\text{salphen}$ are equilibrium reactions suggests the reason why the absorbance-time curves are good fits to exponential curves. In equilibrium reactions, the concentrations of Ni^{2+} and ligand that are added to the solution are not good indicators of the concentrations which are reacting. Using the values of the equilibrium constants for the reactions, $K_a = k_a/k_{-a}$, derived from the kinetic studies (Table 5), we have calculated for solutions where added concentrations are $[\text{ligand}] = 1 \text{ mmol dm}^{-3}$, $[\text{Ni}^{2+}] = 0.5 \text{ mmol dm}^{-3}$, that the concentration of ligand is 4-40 times larger than the 'reacting concentration' of Ni^{2+} (Supplementary Information).




Fig. 5 (Main) Plot of k_{obs} versus concentration of $\text{H}_2\text{L}^a_{\text{Cl}}$ for the first phase of the reaction between $\text{H}_2\text{L}^a_{\text{Cl}}$ and Ni^{2+} in methanol at $25.0 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$. (Insert) Stopped-flow absorbance-time trace (black curve) for the reaction of $\text{H}_2\text{L}^a_{\text{Cl}}$ (4 mmol dm^{-3}) with Ni^{2+} (0.5 mmol dm^{-3}) in methanol at $25.0 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$, $\lambda = 460 \text{ nm}$. The curve is fitted to the expression $A_t = 1.90 - 0.24e^{-1.93t} - 0.24e^{-0.22t}$ (grey curve).

The dependences of k_{obs} on the concentrations of the ligands were determined from plots of k_{obs} versus concentration of Schiff base. For H_2L_H^a , H_2L_H^a - Cl , $\text{H}_2\text{L}_{\text{Me}}^a$ - Cl , H_2L_X^0 and $\text{H}_2\text{salphen}$ (traces fit single exponentials), such plots were straight line graphs with a

positive intercept, as shown by the example in Fig. 5 (main). For the reactions with $\text{H}_2\text{L}_{\text{Me}}^a$, $\text{H}_2\text{L}_{\text{Cl}}^a$, $\text{H}_2\text{L}_{\text{Cl}}^a$ - Cl and H_2L_H^n (traces fit two exponentials), the values of k_{obs} , for the fast phase, also showed a linear dependence on the concentration of ligand with a positive intercept. For the slow phase, the values of k_{obs} were independent of the concentration of ligand. The values of k_{obs} presented in Tables 5 and 6 are the average of at least three duplicate experiments where the values of k_{obs} all agree within 10%, and this is reflected in the error bars presented in Figs. 5 and 10.

Results and discussion

Products of the Reactions of the Ligands with Ni^{2+}

Not all the products from the reactions of Ni^{2+} with the various ligands shown in Fig. 2 have been isolated. Those that have been isolated show the structure of the product depends on the composition of the ligand.

The structure of $[\text{Ni}(\text{L}_H^0)]$ (*i.e.* $[\text{Ni}(\text{salen})]$) has been known for some time and is square planar with both oxygen and both nitrogen donors coordinated.²¹ The complexes NiL_H^a , NiL_{Me}^a , NiL_{Cl}^a have previously been characterized by spectroscopic techniques. All of the H_2L_X^a are potential N_2O_2 -type quadridentate ligands and the room-temperature magnetic properties of the reaction products of H_2L_H^a and $\text{H}_2\text{L}_{\text{Cl}}^a$ with Ni^{II} salts indicate the complexes are binuclear with Ni-Ni interactions.¹¹ The dimeric nature of these complexes was further indicated by mass spectrometry, where the molecular ion was observed at the position corresponding to a dimer. On the other hand, the analysis for the $\text{H}_2\text{L}_{\text{Me}}^a$ product indicates it is mononuclear.¹² Suggested structures of the complexes NiL_H^a , NiL_{Me}^a and NiL_{Cl}^a are shown in Fig. 6.




Fig. 6 Suggested structures of Ni complexes, NiL_{Me}^a (left hand side) and $\text{Ni}_2(\text{L}^a_X)_2$ ($X = \text{H}$ or Cl) (right hand side).

The further diversity of the structures of the products of the reactions between Ni^{2+} and the multidentate ligands is illustrated by the X-ray crystal structures for which the cations are shown in Fig. 7; selected bond lengths and angles describing the metal coordination geometry are given in Table 4. Although H_2L_H^1 , L_{py}^1 and L_{py} all have five potential donor atoms, only with L_{py} do all donor atoms bind to a single Ni. With H_2L_H^1 , and L_{py}^1 the inflexibility of the ligand results in a dimeric structure ($[\{\text{NiCl}(\text{L}_{\text{py}}^1)\}_2\text{Cl}_2]$) or a mononuclear species with a pendant arm ($[\text{Ni}(\text{HL}_H^1)]\text{Cl}$). The product with L_{py} is a mononuclear complex containing an octahedral Ni in $[\text{Ni}(\text{L}_{\text{py}})\text{Cl}]^+$, which is coordinated by the five donor nitrogens of L_{py} and a chloro ligand. In contrast, the Ni complex with L_{py}^1 is a dimeric dication $\{[\text{Ni}_2(\text{L}_{\text{py}}^1)_2\text{Cl}_2]\}^{2+}$. The coordination sphere of each Ni is identical. Each Ni is octahedral, with one L_{py}^1 acting as a tridentate ligand and the

other acting as a bidentate. The final coordination site on each Ni is occupied by a chloro ligand. It is impossible for all five nitrogen donors in L^1_{py} to coordinate to a single octahedral Ni because it is only the central NH group which is capable of subtending two chelate arms which are 90° to one another. $H_2L^1_H$ is also too inflexible to be pentadentate to a single Ni. However, in this case, the geometry at the Ni is square planar. The four donors to the Ni are one oxygen of a phenolate residue, the two imine nitrogens and the NH group. The remaining phenol residue is uncoordinated and remains protonated.

Table 4. Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles ($^\circ$) at the Ni atoms in $[Ni(HL^1_H)]^+$, $[NiCl(L_{py})]^+$ and $\{[NiCl(L^1_{py})]_2\}^{2+}$

$[Ni(HL^1_H)]^+$ (pendant arm)

Ni–N(1)	1.891(6)	N(1)–Ni–N(2)	86.2(3)
Ni–N(2)	1.883(6)	N(1)–Ni–O(2)	91.6(2)
Ni–N(3)	1.837(6)	N(2)–Ni–N(3)	86.4(3)
Ni–O(2)	1.823(4)	N(3)–Ni–O(2)	96.0(2)
		N(1)–Ni–N(3)	171.4(3)
		N(2)–Ni–O(2)	177.3(2)

$[NiCl(L_{py})]^+$ (mononuclear)

Ni–Cl(1)	2.4634(6)	Cl(1)–Ni–N(1)	91.73(5)
Ni–N(1)	2.0948(17)	Cl(1)–Ni–N(2)	91.26(5)
Ni–N(2)	2.0714(18)	Cl(1)–Ni–N(3)	95.06(5)
Ni–N(3)	2.1181(19)	Cl(1)–Ni–N(4)	171.51(5)
Ni–N(4)	2.1277(18)	Cl(1)–Ni–N(5)	91.82(5)
Ni–N(5)	2.0956(17)	N(1)–Ni–N(2)	79.23(7)
		N(1)–Ni–N(3)	160.01(7)
		N(1)–Ni–N(4)	92.56(7)
		N(1)–Ni–N(5)	100.96(7)
		N(2)–Ni–N(3)	81.85(7)
		N(2)–Ni–N(4)	96.74(7)
		N(2)–Ni–N(5)	176.91(7)
		N(3)–Ni–N(4)	83.31(7)
		N(3)–Ni–N(5)	97.60(7)
		N(4)–Ni–N(5)	80.17(7)

$\{[NiCl(L^1_{py})]_2\}^{2+}$ (dimeric)

Ni–Cl(1)	2.4222(4)	Cl(1)–Ni–N(1)	90.02(3)
Ni–N(1)	2.1014(11)	Cl(1)–Ni–N(2)	94.64(3)
Ni–N(2)	2.0020(11)	Cl(1)–Ni–N(3)	85.76(3)
Ni–N(3)	2.1293(11)	Cl(1)–Ni–N(4')	94.25(3)
Ni–N(4')	2.0726(11)	Cl(1)–Ni–N(5')	172.57(3)
Ni–N(5')	2.1226(11)	N(1)–Ni–N(2)	78.62(4)
		N(1)–Ni–N(3)	159.78(4)
		N(1)–Ni–N(4')	99.77(4)
		N(1)–Ni–N(5')	89.03(4)
		N(2)–Ni–N(3)	82.02(4)
		N(2)–Ni–N(4')	170.96(4)
		N(2)–Ni–N(5')	92.39(4)
		N(3)–Ni–N(4')	100.26(4)
		N(3)–Ni–N(5')	97.60(4)
		N(4')–Ni–N(5')	78.66(5)

All the structures are of chloride salts. Two of them are solvates, both containing methanol and one of them also water. The solvent molecules and chloride anions are involved in hydrogen bonding, with most N–H groups of the ligands also acting as donors. Full details available in Supporting Information.

We have isolated the products of the reactions of Ni^{2+} with L^1_H , L^2_H or L^3_H . Attempts to determine the X-ray crystal structures for the products of Ni^{2+} with L^2_H and L^3_H were unsuccessful, but the 1H NMR spectra of the isolated compounds (Supplementary Information) show sharp resonances in similar positions to those for $[Ni(HL^1_H)]^+$ indicating that in these systems similar square-planar complexes are formed, presumably with pendant groups.

However, the 1H NMR spectra also show some broad resonances (particularly for the product with L^3_H), indicating paramagnetic products (either octahedral or tetrahedral) are also present, possibly in equilibrium. It is worth noting that the kinetics of the reactions between all the ligands and Ni^{2+} do not reflect the composition (nuclearity) of the product.




Fig. 7. Crystallographically determined structures of the cations $[Ni(HL^1_H)]^+$, $[NiCl(L_{py})]^+$ and $\{[NiCl(L^1_{py})]_2\}^{2+}$. Displacement ellipsoids are shown at the 40% probability level, and selected atoms are labeled; 40 primes indicate atoms generated by inversion symmetry in the centrosymmetric dimeric cation

Kinetics of the Reactions of Ni²⁺ with H₂L^a_X, H₂L_X-Cl, H₂salphen or H₂L⁰_X

The kinetics of the reactions between Ni²⁺ and H₂L^a_X, H₂L_X-Cl, H₂salphen or H₂L⁰_X have been studied in methanol. Both the spectroscopic changes and the kinetics of these reactions (Experimental Section) indicate that binding of these ligands are equilibrium reactions. Furthermore, the reactions of H₂L^a_X (X = Me or Cl), and H₂L_{Cl}-Cl with Ni²⁺ are associated with two phases in which the second phase occurs at a rate independent of the concentration of ligand. For the reactions of H₂L^a_H, H₂L_X-Cl (X = H or Me), H₂salphen and H₂L⁰_X (X = H, Me, MeO or Cl), and the fast phase of the reactions with H₂L^a_X (X = Me or Cl) and H₂L_{Cl}-Cl the rate law is that shown in Equation (1). The rate law associated with the second phase of the reactions with H₂L^a_X (X = Me or Cl) and H₂L_{Cl}-Cl is shown in Equation (2). The values of *k*_a, *k*_{-a} and *k*_b are summarised in Table 5. For the reactions of all the ligands presented in Table 5, *k*_a is the rate constant for the binding and *k*_{-a} is the rate constant for the dissociation of the ligand with Ni²⁺.

$$\text{Rate} = \{k_{-a} + k_a[\text{ligand}]\}[\text{Ni}^{2+}] \quad (1)$$

$$\text{Rate} = k_b[\text{Ni}^{2+}] \quad (2)$$

Table 5. Summary of the rate constants in the reactions between Ni²⁺ and Schiff base ligands measured in methanol at 25.0 °C.

ligand	<i>k</i> _{-a} / s ⁻¹	<i>k</i> _a / dm ³ mol ⁻¹ s ⁻¹	<i>k</i> _b / s ⁻¹
unsymmetrical Schiff bases			
H ₂ L ^a _H	0.022±0.005	19.0±1.0	–
H ₂ L ^a _{Me}	0.35±0.02	87.5±10	0.06±0.01
H ₂ L ^a _{Cl}	0.43±0.02	350±30	0.20±0.05
H ₂ L _H -Cl	0.11±0.02	5.0±0.3	–
H ₂ L _{Me} -Cl	0.013±0.005	19.3±1.0	–
H ₂ L _{Cl} -Cl	0.22±0.02	32.5±2.0	0.04±0.01
symmetrical Schiff bases			
H ₂ L ⁰ _H	0.022±0.005	6.5±0.5	–
H ₂ L ⁰ _{OMe}	0.014±0.005	5.5±0.5	–
H ₂ L ⁰ _{Me}	0.018±0.005	2.0±0.2	–
H ₂ L ⁰ _{Cl}	0.013±0.005	12.0±1.0	–
H ₂ salphen	0.010±0.005	4.0±0.5	–

Comparison of the Reactivities of Ni²⁺ with H₂L^a_X, H₂L_X-Cl, H₂salphen or H₂L⁰_X

It is not clear if the observed reversible reactions for the ligands in Table 5 correspond to the complete attachment of the ligand to the metal, or just partial attachment. Although all the ligands are quadridentates, in no case do we observe a four-step time course. The rate constants presented in Table 5 relate to the first phase, or first and second phases of the wrapping. However, from these kinetics alone, it is not possible to establish if the first phase {Equation (1)} corresponds to the binding of the first donor of the ligand or to the first chelation of the ligand (*vide infra*). The second phase {Equation (2)} is independent of the concentrations of the ligands and this is consistent with an intramolecular chelation of the partially coordinated ligand. There are several possible reasons why two phases are observed in some reactions, but only one phase in others. It could be that for some systems the

second phase is too slow to be observed over the time-scale of the stopped-flow experiments (*ca* 100 s). Alternatively, it could be that for some ligands the second phase is faster than the first phase. Finally, it could be that, in some systems, the absorbance change of the second phase is too small to be detectable.

The kinetics observed for the reactions of Ni²⁺ with H₂L⁰_X, H₂salphen, H₂L^a_X and H₂L_X-Cl are consistent with the mechanism shown in the top line of Fig. 8. This is the Eigen-Wilkins mechanism²²⁻²⁴ which involves an initial outer-sphere association between the multidentate ligand and Ni²⁺ (*K*_L), followed by the dissociation of a coordinated solvent molecule from Ni²⁺ (*k*₂), and the subsequent binding of the first donor atom of the multidentate. Extensive studies have shown that in the reactions with Ni²⁺, the dissociation of the coordinated solvent occurs at a rate essentially independent of the nature of the nucleophile. Depending on the multidentate ligand, the rate-limiting step for the reaction can either be the formation of **A** {rate law shown in Equation (3), assuming *K*_L[L] < 1} or the formation of **B** {rate law shown in Equation (4), assuming *K*_L[L] < 1}. Clearly, the kinetics cannot distinguish between the rate-limiting step being the initial binding of the multidentate to Ni²⁺ or the subsequent chelation step.

$$\text{Rate} = K_L k_2 [L][\text{Ni}^{2+}] \quad (3)$$

$$\text{Rate} = \frac{K_L k_2 k_3 [L][\text{Ni}^{2+}]}{k_{-2} + k_3} \quad (4)$$

The kinetic data presented in Table 5 relate to the reactions of both conventional, symmetric types (H₂L⁰_X and H₂salphen) and asymmetric types (H₂L^a_X and H₂L_X-Cl) salcaldimine-based quadridentate ligands. The values of the rate constants {*k*_{-a} = 0.01–0.43 s⁻¹, *k*_a = 4.0–350 dm³ mol⁻¹ s⁻¹ and *k*_b = 0.04–0.20 s⁻¹} shows that there is not a large variation in any of the rate constants. Furthermore, the values of *k*_a and *k*_{-a} are very similar to the rate constants observed in many other kinetic studies on the binding of various N, O or F donor ligands to Ni²⁺.²⁴ Thus, changes of the bridging residue (CH₂CH₂, C₆H₄ or anthracene), the symmetry of the imine bonds and the substituent (on the phenolic residue) have little effect on *k*_a, *k*_{-a} or *k*_b. The minor differences observed in *k*_a are presumably attributable to slight variations in *K*_L. The similar reactivities of H₂L⁰_X, H₂salphen, H₂L^a_X and H₂L_X-Cl (Table 5), and particularly that electron-withdrawing and electron-donating substituents on the phenolic group have similar effects on the reactivity, indicates that the outer-sphere association (*K*_L) is rather non-specific.




Fig. 8 The mechanism for the reactions of multidentate ligands with Ni²⁺ in methanol (top line). Also included is the conjugate base pathway (bottom line) observed in the presence of mixtures of NHEt₃⁺ and NEt₃.

Kinetic Studies on the Reactions of Ni^{2+} with $\text{H}_2\text{L}^n_{\text{H}}$ and L^n_{py} ($n = 0-3$)

In a further series of studies, the kinetics of the reactions between Ni^{2+} and $\text{H}_2\text{L}^n_{\text{H}}$ ($n = 1-3$) have been investigated. The $\text{H}_2\text{L}^n_{\text{H}}$ ligands have features in common with the Schiff base ligands presented in Table 5 (*i.e.* neutral ligands with terminal salicylaldehyde residues). However, in $\text{H}_2\text{L}^n_{\text{H}}$, a number of NH groups are introduced into the ligand.

The reactions of all $\text{H}_2\text{L}^n_{\text{H}}$ ($n = 1-3$) with Ni^{2+} in methanol are associated with two phases with the rate law for the first phase being that presented in Equation (1) and the rate law for the second phase being that shown in Equation (2). The rate constants for these reactions are summarised in Table 6 and Fig. 9. It is clear that the presence of the NH groups results in significantly faster rates ($k_a \geq 1 \times 10^3 \text{ dm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$). Indeed, these rates are similar to, or faster than, the solvent exchange rate for $[\text{Ni}(\text{MeOH})_6]^{2+}$ which is in the range $k = 2 \times 10^2 - 1 \times 10^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$.²⁶ Similar behaviour has been observed (in aqueous solution) for the reactions between $[\text{Ni}(\text{OH}_2)_6]^{2+}$ and aliphatic polyamines.²⁷ It has been suggested, in these earlier studies, that a so-called 'internal conjugate base mechanism' could operate in these systems. The internal conjugate base mechanism involves hydrogen bonding of a coordinated MeOH to an NH group in the outer-sphere association between the ligand and Ni^{2+} . This hydrogen bonding imparts methoxide character to the methanol ligand which labilises the other Ni-methanol co-ligands (*vide infra*).

A further feature of the reactivities of $\text{H}_2\text{L}^n_{\text{H}}$ is evident in Fig. 9. It is clear that the rate of the reactions increase with the value of n . However, whilst there is a large increase in rate between $\text{H}_2\text{L}^0_{\text{H}}$ and $\text{H}_2\text{L}^1_{\text{H}}$, the subsequent increases in the rates for $\text{H}_2\text{L}^2_{\text{H}}$ and $\text{H}_2\text{L}^3_{\text{H}}$ are much smaller. The large difference in rates between $\text{H}_2\text{L}^0_{\text{H}}$ and $\text{H}_2\text{L}^1_{\text{H}}$ indicates that even a single NH group leads to a significant increase in the rate. The smaller increases in rate associated with $\text{H}_2\text{L}^2_{\text{H}}$ and $\text{H}_2\text{L}^3_{\text{H}}$ are a consequence of the increase in the number of potential NH donor atoms (statistical effect). Consistent with this interpretation, earlier studies on the reactions of Ni^{2+} with $(\text{NH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{NHCH}_2)_2$ or $(\text{NH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{NHCH}_2\text{CH}_2)_2\text{NH}$ in the presence of acid showed that the rates of the reactions with the various protonated forms of these ligands are dominated by an electrostatic effect, but statistical factors also contribute.²⁷




Fig. 9 (Main) Variation of rate of reaction between Ni^{2+} and $\text{H}_2\text{L}^n_{\text{H}}$ ($n = 0-3$). The data presented are for the formation pathway $\{k_a$ in Equation (1) $\}$. A similar trend is observed for the corresponding dissociation pathway $\{k_b$ in Equation (1) $\}$. (Insert) Variation of rate of reaction between Ni^{2+} and L^n_{py} ($n = 0-3$).

Studies on another series of ligands show a similar behaviour to that of $\text{H}_2\text{L}^n_{\text{H}}$. The L^n_{py} ligands are structurally similar to $\text{H}_2\text{L}^n_{\text{H}}$ but contain terminal pyridyl residues rather than phenolic groups. For the reactions between Ni^{2+} and L^n_{py} , both the spectrophotometric changes and the kinetics indicate that these reactions go essentially to completion (Experimental section). The associated rate law for the reactions is Equation (5).

$$\text{Rate} = k_a[\text{L}^n_{\text{py}}][\text{Ni}^{2+}] \quad (5)$$

The values of k_a are presented in Table 6 and Fig. 9 (insert). Two aspects of these data are worthy of comment. First, comparison of the data for the analogous ligands $\text{H}_2\text{L}^0_{\text{H}}$ and L^0_{py} shows that L^0_{py} reacts nearly 10^3 times faster than $\text{H}_2\text{L}^0_{\text{H}}$, indicating that a pyridyl residue, like an NH group, is a labilising influence in these reactions. Secondly, it is evident from the data for L^n_{py} that the rate of the reaction increases as the number of NH groups increase, in a similar fashion to that observed for $\text{H}_2\text{L}^n_{\text{H}}$. The rate of the reaction of Ni^{2+} with the saturated quinquedentate ligand, L_{py} also occurs at a rate similar to those observed for L^n_{py} . Finally, it is worth noting that both L_{py} and L^1_{py} have the same number, but different types, of N donors. Comparison of the rates of the reactions of Ni^{2+} with these two ligands indicates that the imine N has a minor or negligible effect on the rate of binding.

Table 6. Summary of the rate constants in the reactions between Ni^{2+} and $\text{H}_2\text{L}^n_{\text{H}}$ measured in methanol at 25.0 °C

ligand	n^*	k_a / s^{-1}	$k_a / \text{dm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$	k_b / s^{-1}
symmetrical Schiff bases				
$\text{H}_2\text{L}^0_{\text{H}}$	0	0.022 ± 0.005	6.5 ± 0.5	–
$\text{H}_2\text{L}^1_{\text{H}}$	1	0.35 ± 0.02	$1.0 \pm 0.1 \times 10^3$	0.04 ± 0.01
$\text{H}_2\text{L}^2_{\text{H}}$	2	1.1 ± 0.1	$1.1 \pm 0.1 \times 10^3$	0.05 ± 0.01
$\text{H}_2\text{L}^3_{\text{H}}$	3	2.0 ± 0.2	$1.3 \pm 0.1 \times 10^3$	0.01 ± 0.005
pyridyl Schiff bases				
L^0_{py}	0		$3.2 \pm 0.3 \times 10^3$	
L^1_{py}	1		$4.3 \pm 0.3 \times 10^3$	
L^2_{py}	2		$4.8 \pm 0.3 \times 10^3$	
L^3_{py}	3		$5.3 \pm 0.3 \times 10^3$	
pyridyl pentadentate				
L_{py}	3		$0.8 \pm 0.1 \times 10^3$	

* n = number of NH groups.

That ligands containing either, or both, pyridyl and NH groups result in fast reactions with Ni^{2+} requires further consideration. The increased rate of reaction (faster than that of the solvent exchange rate for $[\text{Ni}(\text{MeOH})_6]^{2+}$) could be either due to both pyridyl and NH groups being involved in 'internal conjugate base mechanisms' or that the reactions being observed involve rate-limiting chelate formation with the rate of chelation being rapid because initial coordination of a pyridyl or NH group affects the lability of the coordinated methanol. To further address this issue we have studied the effect of deprotonating a coordinated methanol (by a non-coordinating base, NET_3) has on the rate of reactions between Ni^{2+} and $\text{H}_2\text{L}^0_{\text{H}}$.

Effect of NET_3 on the Reactions between Ni^{2+} and $\text{H}_2\text{L}^0_{\text{H}}$: the conjugate base mechanism.

The rate of the reaction between Ni^{2+} and $\text{H}_2\text{L}^0_{\text{H}}$ is affected by the ratio $[\text{NHET}_3^+]/[\text{NET}_3]$ as shown in Fig. 10. At high

$[\text{NHEt}_3^+]/[\text{NEt}_3]$ the rate of the reaction is essentially that observed in the studies between Ni^{2+} and $\text{H}_2\text{L}^0_{\text{H}}$ described earlier in this paper. However, at low $[\text{NHEt}_3^+]/[\text{NEt}_3]$ the rate increases and the absorbance-time curves become biphasic with k_{obs} for the fast phase dependent on $[\text{NHEt}_3^+]/[\text{NEt}_3]$ and the slow phase independent of $[\text{NHEt}_3^+]/[\text{NEt}_3]$ ($k_{\text{obs}}^2 = 21.0 \pm 2.0 \text{ s}^{-1}$). The increase in k_{obs}^1 at low $[\text{NHEt}_3^+]/[\text{NEt}_3]$ indicates a deprotonation is labilising. We presume that at all values of $[\text{NHEt}_3^+]/[\text{NEt}_3]$ the reaction also exhibits a first order dependence on the concentration of $\text{H}_2\text{L}^0_{\text{X}}$. We have shown that when $[\text{NHEt}_3^+]/[\text{NEt}_3] = 2$, the reaction does indeed exhibit a first order dependence on the concentration of $\text{H}_2\text{L}^0_{\text{H}}$ (Supplementary Information). However, it is difficult to demonstrate a similar dependence on the concentration of $\text{H}_2\text{L}^0_{\text{H}}$ at low $[\text{NHEt}_3^+]/[\text{NEt}_3]$ because the rate of reaction, under these conditions, is close to the limit of the stopped-flow apparatus. Analysis of the data shown in Figure 10 yields the rate law shown in Equation (6), when $[\text{H}_2\text{L}^0_{\text{H}}] = 2.5 \text{ mmol dm}^{-3}$.

$$\text{Rate} = \frac{\{120 + 0.23[\text{NHEt}_3^+]/[\text{NEt}_3]\}[\text{Ni}^{2+}]}{1 + 15.5[\text{NHEt}_3^+]/[\text{NEt}_3]} \quad (6)$$

$$\text{Rate} = \frac{\{K_1 k_d + (K_1 k_c / K_1)[\text{NHEt}_3^+]/[\text{NEt}_3]\}[\text{H}_2\text{L}^0_{\text{H}}][\text{Ni}^{2+}]}{1 + (1/K_1)[\text{NHEt}_3^+]/[\text{NEt}_3]} \quad (7)$$




Fig. 10 Dependence of k_{obs} on the ratio $[\text{NHEt}_3^+]/[\text{NEt}_3]$ for the reaction of $\text{H}_2\text{L}^0_{\text{H}}$ (2.5 mmol dm^{-3}) with Ni^{2+} (0.5 mmol dm^{-3}) in MeOH at 25.0°C . Data points correspond to $[\text{NEt}_3] = 20 \text{ mmol dm}^{-3}$, $[\text{NHEt}_3^+] = 0\text{--}30 \text{ mmol dm}^{-3}$ (▲); $[\text{NEt}_3] = 10 \text{ mmol dm}^{-3}$, $[\text{NHEt}_3^+] = 0\text{--}30 \text{ mmol dm}^{-3}$ (●). Curve drawn is that defined by Equation (6).

The data in Fig. 10 shows that k_{obs} depends on the ratio $[\text{NHEt}_3^+]/[\text{NEt}_3]$, but not the absolute concentrations of either of these reactants. This behaviour indicates that only a single deprotonation occurs. There are two candidates for being deprotonated: either $[\text{Ni}(\text{MeOH})_6]^{2+}$ or $\text{H}_2\text{L}^0_{\text{H}}$. In methanol, the $\text{p}K_{\text{a}}$ of NHEt_3^+ is 10.7^{29} and the $\text{p}K_{\text{a}}$ of phenol is 14.3^{30} , and so, over the range of $[\text{NHEt}_3^+]/[\text{NEt}_3]$ used in these studies essentially all $\text{H}_2\text{L}^0_{\text{H}}$ remains diprotonated. Thus the dependence on $[\text{NHEt}_3^+]/[\text{NEt}_3]$ must be attributable to the formation of the conjugate base, $[\text{Ni}(\text{OMe})(\text{MeOH})_5]^+$.

The mechanism consistent with the kinetics in the presence of $[\text{NHEt}_3^+]/[\text{NEt}_3]$, is presented in Fig. 8. The top line of Fig. 8 presents the pathway described earlier (observed in the absence of base), whilst the lower pathway is the conjugate base mechanism. In this lower pathway, rapid deprotonation of a coordinated methanol produces $[\text{Ni}(\text{OMe})(\text{MeOH})_5]^+$ (K_1), which reacts more

rapidly with $\text{H}_2\text{L}^0_{\text{H}}$, presumably because $[\text{Ni}(\text{OMe})(\text{MeOH})_5]^+$ is more labile to methanol dissociation than $[\text{Ni}(\text{MeOH})_6]^{2+}$.

The rate law associated with the mechanism shown in Fig. 8 is presented in Equation (7), where $k_c = k_2$ (if binding of the first donor is rate-limiting) or $k_c = k_2 k_3 / (k_2 + k_3)$ (if chelation is rate-limiting) and $k_d = k_4$ (if binding of the first donor is rate-limiting) or $k_d = k_4 k_5 / (k_4 + k_5)$ (if chelation is rate-limiting). Equation (7) is derived assuming that both K_1 and K_1 are rapidly established equilibria and that both species A and C (in Fig. 8) are steady state intermediates. Comparison of Equations (6) and (7) yields the values $K_1 k_c = 6.0 \pm 0.5 \text{ dm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$, $K_1 k_d = (4.8 \pm 0.5) \times 10^4 \text{ dm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ and $K_1 = 0.065 \pm 0.01$. Consideration of these kinetic parameters for the reactions between Ni^{2+} and $\text{H}_2\text{L}^0_{\text{H}}$ in the presence of mixtures of NEt_3 and NHEt_3^+ allow us to calculate $\text{p}K_{\text{a}} = 11.9 \pm 0.1$ for $[\text{Ni}(\text{MeOH})_6]^{2+}$. This value is reasonable, because previous studies have shown that $\text{p}K_{\text{a}} = 9.2\text{--}10.9$ for $[\text{Ni}(\text{OH}_2)_6]^{2+}$.³² Using the relationship, $\text{p}K_{\text{a}}(\text{MeOH}) = 1.02 \text{p}K_{\text{a}}(\text{H}_2\text{O}) + 0.72$,³³ we can estimate $\text{p}K_{\text{a}} = 10.1\text{--}11.8$ for $[\text{Ni}(\text{MeOH})_6]^{2+}$, in good agreement with that measured in these studies. Furthermore, the ratio $k_d/k_c = (8.0 \pm 1.1) \times 10^3$ is a measure of the increased lability of $[\text{Ni}(\text{OMe})(\text{MeOH})_5]^+$ compared to $[\text{Ni}(\text{MeOH})_6]^{2+}$. The best fit value for $K_1 k_c = 6.0 \pm 0.5 \text{ dm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$, derived from this analysis, is in reasonable agreement with the value $K_1 k_c = k_a = 6.5 \pm 0.5 \text{ dm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ measured directly for the reaction of Ni^{2+} with $\text{H}_2\text{L}^0_{\text{H}}$ (Table 5).

The slow phase only becomes evident when $k_{\text{obs}}^1 \geq ca 50 \text{ s}^{-1}$. It seems likely that the slower phase, $k_{\text{obs}}^2 = 21.0 \pm 2.0 \text{ s}^{-1}$, corresponds to the chelation step and becomes distinct only when $k_{\text{obs}}^1 > k_{\text{obs}}^2$.

7.5 Kinetics of binding and evidence of preferential binding.

The results from the kinetic studies of the reactions between Ni^{2+} and $\text{H}_2\text{L}^0_{\text{X}}$ in the presence of mixtures of NEt_3 and NHEt_3^+ allow us to make some conclusions concerning: (i) the involvement of an internal conjugate base mechanism in the reactions with ligands containing pyridyl or NH groups and (ii) the preferential binding of certain groups to Ni^{2+} .

The increased rates observed in the reactions with $\text{H}_2\text{L}^n_{\text{X}}$ and L^n_{py} are consistent with an 'internal conjugate base mechanism' involving the NH groups ($\text{p}K_{\text{a}} \sim 11$).³⁰ However, it seems unreasonable that the increase in rate observed between $\text{H}_2\text{L}^0_{\text{H}}$ and L^0_{py} is due to the pyridyl groups operating an 'internal conjugate base mechanism' because the pyridyl group is a much weaker base ($\text{p}K_{\text{a}} \sim 5$).³⁰ It seems more likely that the enhanced rate observed with L^0_{py} is consistent with the mechanism shown in Fig. 8, where chelation is the rate-limiting step. Thus, the increased reactivity observed with L^0_{py} is attributable to the initial coordination of the pyridyl group to the Ni and the presence of the pyridyl group in the coordination sphere of the Ni labilises the methanol co-ligands hence facilitating the chelation step (k_3).

7.5 Conclusions

The aim of this work was to see if kinetics (specifically the rates of reactions) could be used to probe which donor site in a multidentate ligand binds preferentially to a metal ion. The kinetic studies reported herein for the reactions of Ni^{2+} with a variety of similar neutral multidentate ligands containing various types of donors (phenolic OH, imine N, pyridyl N and NH) certainly indicate that the rates of reactions depend on the composition of the ligands, and follows the order: $\text{NH} > \text{pyridyl N} > \text{phenolic OH} \sim \text{imine N}$. However, only with ligands containing pyridyl groups is there evidence that this order reflects preferential initial binding of specific groups to Ni^{2+} . The rapid reactions observed with ligands containing NH groups could also

be due to preferential initial binding of the NH group to Ni²⁺, but the NH groups are sufficiently basic to possibly be involved in an ‘internal conjugate base mechanism’, and this could be the origin of the increased reactivity observed with ligands containing NH groups.

Studies on ligands containing terminal phenolic groups (H₂L⁰_X, H₂L^a_X, H₂L_X-Cl) show that the rates of reactions are all similar, and rather insensitive to substituents on the phenolic groups, symmetry of the imine bonds and nature of bridge between phenolic groups. This indicates that for H₂L⁰_X, H₂L^a_X, H₂L_X-Cl that either the binding of the first donor is rate-limiting (*k*₂) or, that if chelation (*k*₃) is rate-limiting, the binding of the first donor to Ni has little effect on the lability of the methanol co-ligands.

In the long term it is anticipated that understanding the preferences of different donors binding to metal ions will contribute to understanding the dynamics of metal ions being encapsulated by biomolecules. In this study we deliberately chose to study reactions with Ni²⁺, where the substitution mechanism is predominantly dissociative. Future studies will explore the reactions of multidentate ligands with metal ions where associative substitution mechanisms can operate.

Acknowledgements

We thank Mr Thaer Al-Rammahi and Dr Ahmed Al-Saffar for assistance with some experiments. This work is supported by the Council of Higher Education (Turkey) and the Research Foundation of Nevşehir University (BAP 2010/17). We thank EPSRC (UK) for equipment funding.

Notes and references

^a Dilek Nartop, Nevşehir University, Nevşehir, Turkey. Fax: 90 384 215 39 48; Tel: 90 384 215 39 00; E-mail: dileknartop@nevsehir.edu.tr

^b Richard A. Henderson, Newcastle University, Newcastle upon Tyne, United Kingdom. Fax: 0191 222 6929; Tel: 0191 222 6636; E-mail: richard.henderson@ncl.ac.uk

† Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: [tables of spectroscopic and kinetic data; full crystallographic details in CIF format.]. See DOI: 10.1039/b000000x/

- 1 E. Horst, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 1999, **187**, 37, and refs. therein.
- 2 R. G. Wilkins, *Comments on Inorg. Chem.*, 1983, **2**, 187.
- 3 C. E. Castillo, M. A. Máñez, J. González, J. M. Llinares, H. R. Jiménez, M. G. Basallote and E. García-España, *Chem. Commun.*, 2010, **46**, 6081.
- 4 C. E. Castillo, J. González-García, J. M. Llinares, M. A. Máñez, H. R. Jimenez, E. García-España and M. G. Basallote, *Dalton Trans.*, 2013, **42**, 6131.
- 5 L. Siegfried, C. N. McMahon, J. Baumeister, M. Neuburger, T. A. Kaden, S. Anandaram and C. G. Palivan, *Dalton Trans.*, 2007, 4797.
- 6 J. Gonzalez, R. Gavara, O. Gadea, S. Blasco, E. Garcia-Espana and F. Pina, *Chem. Commun.*, 2012, **48**, 1994.
- 7 (a) T. Punniyamurphy, S. Velusamy and J. Iqbal, *Chem. Rev.*, 2005, **105**, 2329; (b) E. N. Jacobsen, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2000, **33**, 421.
- 8 C. M. Da Silva, D. L. Da Silva, L. V. Modolo, R. B. Alves, M. A. De Resende, C. V. B. Martins and A. De Fatima, *Journal of Advanced Research*, 2011, **2**, 1.
- 9 N. A. Negm and M. F. Zaki, *Colloids and Surfaces A: Physicochem. Eng. Aspects*, 2008, **322** 97.
- 10 Y-F. Ji, R. Wang, S. Ding, C.-F. Du and Z.-Li. Liu, *Inorg. Chem. Commun.*, 2012, **16**, 47.
- 11 D. Nartop, P. Gürkan, N. Sari and S. Çete, *J. Coord. Chem.*, 2008, **61**, 3516.
- 12 For both types of ligands (except for H₂L^a_H and H₂L_{Cl}-Cl), these ligands are unsymmetrical in two senses: they are unsymmetrical with respect to the ‘direction’ of the imine bond (*i.e.* -CH=N-aryl-
- 13 CH=N-) and they are unsymmetrical with respect to the substituents on the terminal phenolic residues. Throughout this paper, reference to unsymmetrical Schiff base ligands refers to the unsymmetrical nature of the imine bond.
- 14 Ö. Güngör and P. Gürkan, *Spectrochim. Acta Part A: Mol. Biomol. Spect.*, 2010, **77** (1), 304.
- 15 H₂L_X-Cl show two strong bands in the region 1589–1624 cm⁻¹, attributable to ν_{C=N}, and ν_{C=C} in the region 1454–1589 cm⁻¹.¹⁹ Two bands are observed because of the two asymmetric imine groups. A similar characteristic has been reported for H₂L^a_X.¹¹ Because of the different chemical environments of the unsymmetrical imine groups, the ¹H NMR spectra of all H₂L_X-Cl show two signals in the range 8.31–8.97 ppm and 9.57–9.85 ppm (Table 2). The two phenolic protons of all H₂L_X-Cl are observed in the ranges 9.90–13.81 ppm. Finally, the peak attributable to CH₃ in H₂L_{Me}-Cl is observed at 2.50 ppm. The CH₃ group in H₂L_{Me} is also observed at 2.50 ppm.²⁰ The mass spectroscopy results for H₂L_X-Cl are presented in Table 1. The values of the molecular ion peaks and the fragmentation products are consistent with the proposed structures of unsymmetrical Schiff bases. The molecular ion peaks are observed at the predicted values of *m/z*: 399.2 [M]⁺ (H₂L_H-Cl), 413.2 [M-H]⁺ (H₂L_{Me}-Cl) and 433.0 [M-2H]⁺ (H₂L_{Cl}-Cl). The same fragmentation pathways appear for the highest intensity peaks in each ligand. Thus, peaks at *m/z* = 242.3, *m/z* = 262.1 and *m/z* = 282.0 for H₂L_H-Cl, H₂L_{Me}-Cl and H₂L_{Cl}-Cl, respectively, are attributed to the loss of the [M-(C₇H₅NOCl)-4H]⁺, [M-(C₇H₅NOCl)+2H]⁺, [M-(C₇H₅NOCl)+3H]⁺ fragments, which is common to all H₂L_X-Cl.
- 16 R. Pohloudek-Fabini and D. Froehling, *Arch. Pharm.*, 1965, **298**, 423.
- 17 M. Nakamura, K. Komatsu, Y. Gondo, H. Ohta and Y. Ueda, *Chem. Pharm. Bull.*, 1967, **15**, 585.
- 18 SMART, SAINT and SADABS software, Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA, 2001; *CrysAlis Pro*, Agilent Technologies, Oxford, 2009; G. M. Sheldrick, *Acta Crystallogr. Sect. A*, 2008, **64**, 112.
- 19 J. Espenson, *Chemical Kinetics and Reaction Mechanisms*, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1981, p16.
- 20 R. M. Silverstein, G. C. Bassler and T. C. Morrill, *Spectrophotometric Identification of Organic Compounds*, Wiley, New York, 1981.
- 21 E. Erdik, *Organik Kimyada Spektroskopik Yontemler*, Gazi Büro Kitapevi, Ankara, 1993, 201.
- 22 M. A. Siegler and M. Lutz, *Cryst. Growth Des.*, 2009, **9**, 1194, and refs. therein.
- 23 M. L. Tobe and J. Burgess, *Inorganic Reaction Mechanisms*, 1999, Longman, Harlow, p 310.
- 24 R. G. Wilkins, *Kinetics and Mechanism of Reactions of Transition Metal Complexes* (2nd edition), 1991, VCH, Weinheim, chapter 4.
- 25 J. Burgess, *Metal Ions in Solution*, 1978, Ellis Horwood, Chichester, chapter 10.
- 26 R. G. Wilkins, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 1970, **3**, 409, and refs therein.
- 27 H. P. Benetto and E. F. Caldin, *J. Chem. Soc. A*, 1971, 2198.
- 28 (a) R. B. Jordan, *Reaction Mechanisms of Inorganic and Organometallic Systems*, 1991, OUP, New York, p84; (b) D. B. Rorabacher, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1966, **5**, 1891; (c) R. B. Jordan, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1976, **15**, 748.
- 29 D. W. Margerum, D. B. Rorabacher and J. F. G. Clarke Jr., *Inorg Chem*, 1963, **2**, 667.
- 30 N. F. Hall and M. R. Spinkle, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1932, **54**, 3469.
- 31 F. Rived, M. Roses and E. Bosch, *Anal. Chim. Acta*, 1998, **374**, 309.
- 32 Reference 21, p152.
- 33 Reference 23, p265.
- 34 B. G. Cox, *Acids and Bases Solvent Effects on Acid-Base Strength*, 2013, OUP, Oxford, p131.