Catalysis Science & Technology

Accepted Manuscript

Catalysis Science & Technology



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the RSC Publishing peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, which is prior to technical editing, formatting and proof reading. This free service from RSC Publishing allows authors to make their results available to the community, in citable form, before publication of the edited article. This Accepted Manuscript will be replaced by the edited and formatted Advance Article as soon as this is available.

To cite this manuscript please use its permanent Digital Object Identifier (DOI®), which is identical for all formats of publication.

More information about *Accepted Manuscripts* can be found in the **Information for Authors**.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics contained in the manuscript submitted by the author(s) which may alter content, and that the standard **Terms & Conditions** and the **ethical guidelines** that apply to the journal are still applicable. In no event shall the RSC be held responsible for any errors or omissions in these *Accepted Manuscript* manuscripts or any consequences arising from the use of any information contained in them.

www.rsc.org/catalysis

RSCPublishing

			_
			-4
÷.	j		
1	i	ř	
	i	1	
	į	Ø.	
	1	i,	
	1	٤.	
			D
	ļ		_
	Î		7
	1		
	i	P	
			_
	1		
		Q	
			_
			_
	ė	2	2
-			
	ļ		-
	,		1
	l	2	- A
			-
	į	۴	
			4
	ĵ		i.
	ľ	2	1
		Ĉ.	5
	1	1	2
	į	P.	
		5	
	1		
		è	2
	í		
		C	
	Ì		-
	1	6	-
	(C	5
	(
	(
	(
	(
			ションシ
			ションション
			ションション
			ションションション
-			
-			ショニンシー ろ レンニ
-			
-			
-			
-			
- H <			

Efficient Three-Components Coupling Reactions Catalyzed by Cu^o-nanoparticles stabilized on Modified Montmorillonite

Bibek Jyoti Borah, Subrat Jyoti Borah, Lakshi Saikia and Dipak Kumar Dutta*

Materials Science Division, CSIR-North East Institute of Science and Technology, Jorhat 785006, Assam, India, Fax: (+) 91 376 2370011, *E-mail: dipakkrdutta@yahoo.com

Abstract

In situ generation of Cu^o-nanoparticles into the nanopores of modified Montmorillonite and their catalytic performance in three components (A³) coupling reactions of aldehyde, amine and alkyne to synthesize propargylamines have been described here. The modification of Montmorillonite was carried out with HCl under controlled conditions for generating nanopores into the matrix and these pores act as "host" for *in situ* generation of Cu^o-nanoparticles. The Cu^o-nanoparticles were generated into the nanopores of acid activated clay mineral matrix by successful loading of Cu(CH₃COO)₂ metal precursor by incipient wetness impregnation technique followed by reduction with NaBH₄. TEM study reveals that Cu^o-nanoparticles of size below 10 nm are evenly distributed on the support. The synthesized Cu^o-nanoparticles exhibit face centered cubic (fcc) lattice. The Cu^o-nanoparticles serve as efficient green and heterogeneous catalyst for three-component coupling via C-H alkyne-activation to synthesize propargylamines with excellent yields (82-94%) and 100% selectivity under mild reaction conditions without requiring any additives or inert atmosphere. The nanocatalysts can be recycled and reused several times without significant loss of their catalytic activity.

Keywords: Cu^o-nanoparticles; Montmorillonite; Propargylamines; C-H activation;
 Heterogeneous catalyst

Catalysis Science & Technology Accepted Manuscript

41 **1. Introduction**

42 Recently, in the route of development of green processes for the synthesis of fine 43 chemicals and bio-active molecules, the concept of atom economy / efficiency finds considerable attention.¹ In this regards, an increasing number of multicomponent reactions 44 45 have been designed for the synthesis of diverse complex molecules through a combination of 46 three or more starting materials in one-pot, which reduces the waste and increasing safety as well as reaction yield.² Among the different multicomponent reactions, the catalytic coupling 47 reaction of aldehyde, amine and alkyne (so-called A^3 coupling) is one of the best examples, 48 49 where propargylamines are produced as the main product. Propargylamines are versatile 50 intermediates for the preparation of various nitrogen-containing compounds and are the key components of biologically active pharmaceuticals and natural products.³ The 51 52 propargylamines derivatives have gain much importance after the pivotal discovery of rasagiline (AzilectTM), which is molecularly a propargylamine derivative used as a 53 54 monotherapy in early Parkinson's disease and some propargylamines derivatives also used as 55 potent anti-apoptotic agent and neuroprotective agent.⁴ Several classical methods are 56 available for the synthesis of propargylamines through addition of metallated alkynes to an 57 imine. The metallated alkynes are generated by using different strong bases such as alkylmetals, metallated amides, alkoxides and hydroxides.⁵ However, these metal alkynylides 58 59 are relatively difficult to handle, because these are moisture sensitive and require strictly 60 controlled reaction conditions otherwise forms large amount of waste materials. In recent 61 years, various mid- and late transition metals catalyzed coupling of aldehydes, amines and 62 alkynes has become a powerful tool that facilitates the coupling of alkynes to imines (generated *in situ*) via terminal alkyne C-H activation in a more efficient manner.^{3e-h,6} Among 63 64 the different metals, Cu(I), Cu(II) complexes and also various simple and inexpensive copper halides salts are extensively utilized as catalysts for the A³-coupling reaction with secondary 65 amines.⁷ However, most of the catalytic systems are homogeneous in nature, but only few 66 67 reports on heterogeneous catalysts are available in the literature. Li and Wang reported an 68 efficient and reusable heterogeneous Cu(I) silica based organic-inorganic composite material for the A³-coupling reaction under solvent free condition.⁸ Lei Wang and co-workers 69 70 prepared a silica supported complex of Cu(I) and an N-heterocyclic carbene and used it to achieve high yield in the A³-coupling reaction.⁹ Besides silica based materials, other supports 71 like molecular sieves, zeolites etc. have also been used for immobilizing the Cu metal.^{6d,10} 72 73 But these catalytic systems often show several disadvantages. Most of the catalyst supports 74 are synthetic and required laborious and time-consuming effort for their synthesis as well as

75

76

77

Catalysis Science & Technology

surface functionalization, which may poison the catalytic active sites of the supports and the particles, moreover, molecular sieves or zeolites like mesoporous matrixes in general exhibit low or restricted pore sizes.

78 In the last decade, supported metal nanocatalytic systems are considered as 79 sustainable and competitive alternative to the conventional catalysis, due to high surface 80 reactivity and high surface-to-volume ratio of metal nanoparticles, which enhances their 81 activity and selectivity and more importantly they satisfied the stringent criteria of heterogeneous catalyst.¹¹ Nowadays, nanoparticles of metals such as Cu, Au, Fe, In, etc. have 82 83 been used as substitutes of bulk metal in the various C-H activation reactions in order to 84 reduce the catalyst loading, reaction time and also to minimize the undesired products.^{2a,3g,6a,11,12} Particularly, Cu^o-nanoparticles supported on different supports have been 85 86 extensively utilized in the 1,3-dipolar cycloaddition of azides and terminal alkynes to synthesize 1.2.3-triazoles.¹³ But, limited numbers of reports are available in the literature 87 which describes the use of supported Cu^o- nanoparticles in A³ coupling reaction.^{12a,14} 88 Albaladejo et al. have reported an efficient catalytic system based on Cu^o-nanoparticles 89 supported on TiO₂ for A^3 coupling reaction and widely illustrated the substrate tolerance as 90 well as recyclability of the catalyst.^{12a} Although, homogeneous and heterogeneous catalysts 91 studied in A³ coupling reactions show good activity, there are still some drawbacks which 92 93 restrict their acceptance, such as high prices of the used precise metals and tedious catalyst 94 preparation methods. In addition, some catalytic systems used environmentally unacceptable 95 substances, harsh reaction conditions, long reaction times, and requirement for additives or inert atmosphere. Therefore, the development of novel, efficient and recoverable catalytic 96 system for A³ coupling reactions is a great challenge for researchers. With these precedents in 97 mind and encouraged by our recent works,¹⁵ herein, we have reported a well-defined 98 99 heterogeneous catalytic system i.e. Cu^o-nanoparticles supported on modified Montmorillonite for A³ coupling reaction at mild reaction condition. These nanoparticles maintain their 100 101 catalytic efficiency for several cycles and serve as potential reusable catalyst. The modified 102 Montmorillonite is considered as environmentally benign, cheap, easily available and robust support / stabilizer materials for the synthesis of different metal nanoparticles.¹⁵ Laszlo et al. 103 reported interesting Montmorillonite based catalytic system for organic synthesis.¹⁶ This 104 105 Montmorillonite is collected from the indigenous source i.e. natural deposits of western part 106 of India, which was purified and activated with mineral acid under controlled conditions to 107 generate a matrix having high surface area and contain micro- and mesopores with diameter 108 in the range 0-10 nm on the surface. These nano range pores act as "host" for in situ

Catalysis Science & Technology Accepted Manuscrip

Catalysis Science & Technology

109 generation of Cu^o-nanoparticles and also limit the growth of the particles upto desired range.

110 The other added advantages of this catalyst support is that it requires no surface 111 functionalization and can be customized their pore sizes by applying controlled acid 112 activation.^{15a-d}

113 **2. Experimental Section**

114 **2.1. Preparation of Support**

Purified Na⁺-Montmorillonite (10 g) was taken into a 250 mL three necked round bottom flask and 200 mL 4 M sulphuric acid was added to it. The resulting dispersion was refluxed for 1 h. After cooling, the supernatant liquid was discarded and the activated Montmorillonite was repeatedly washed with deionised water and finally dried in a hot air oven at 50 \pm 5 °C over night to obtain the solid product. The activated Montmorillonite was designated as AT-Mont. The surface characterizations of activated Montmorillonite were presented in Table 1.

122 **2.2. Preparation of Cu^o-nanoparticles**

123 0.5 g of AT-Mont. was taken into a 100 mL beaker and 15 mL (0.254 mmol) aqueous 124 solution of Cu(CH₃COO)₂ was added slowly under vigorous stirring condition. The stirring 125 was continued for another 6 h followed by evaporation to dryness in a rotary evaporator. 0.3 126 g of dry clay-Cu(CH₃COO)₂ composite was dispersed in 50 mL water in a 100 mL two 127 necked round bottom flask and 10 mL aqueous solution of NaBH₄ (134 mg, 3.54 mmol) was 128 then added slowly over 15 min in nitrogen environment under constant stirring condition. The 129 reaction started immediately and the colour changed from blue to black, due to conversion of 130 Cu(II) into Cu^o-nanoparticles. The black solid mass was recovered and washed with distilled 131 water for several times and then dried in a desiccator for 12 h. The sample thus prepared was 132 designated as Cu^o-Mont.

133 **2.3.** General procedure for the one-pot synthesis of propargylamines

134 Aldehyde (1 mmol), amine (1 mmol), alkyne (1.2 mmol), 20 mg catalyst and 5 mL 135 toluene were taken in a 25 mL round bottom flask and reaction mixture was refluxed at 110 136 ^oC for stipulated time period. The progress of the reactions was monitored by TLC. After 137 completion of the reaction, solid catalyst was separated from the mixture by filtered through 138 sintered funnel (G-3) and the solvent was removed under low pressure in a rotavapour. The 139 recovered catalyst was washed with acetone (30 mL), dried in a desiccator and stored for 140 another consecutive reaction run. The crude product obtained was then purified by silica gel 141 column chromatography using ethyl acetate and hexane as eluents. The products were

- 143 [supporting information].
- 144 **3. Results and Discussion**
- 145 **3.1. Characterization of Support**

146 The naturally occurring Montmorillonite is considered as cheap, robust, easily available and environmentally benign catalysts and catalyst supports.^{15a-f} The purified Montmorillonite 147 148 was modified with mineral acids treatment under controlled conditions in order to generate 149 high surface area and porous matrix. The characterization of modified Montmorillonite (AT-150 Mont.) was thoroughly carried out with the help of different sophisticated analytical instruments like Powder-XRD, FTIR, N2 adsorption-desorption, SEM, ²⁹Si and ²⁷Al MAS-151 NMR studies and are well documented in our earlier reports.^{15a-f} The parent Montmorillonite 152 153 (Parent Mont.) is 2 : 1 layered dioctahedral aluminosilicate and consists of two tetrahedral 154 silicate sheets which are bonded to either side of an octahedral aluminate sheet having basal reflection at 7.06° 2 θ corresponding to a basal spacing of 12.5 Å.¹⁷ The powder XRD reveals 155 that after 1 h acid activation, the layered structure is disrupted and becomes amorphorous 156 157 high silica containing matrix [supporting information]. During acid activation, the surface 158 area (upto 422 m²/g) (Table 1) as well as pore volume (~ 0.6 cm^3 /g) are increased due to the 159 leaching of Al from the octahedral sites of the Montmorillonite matrix, which also introduced 160 permanent porosity on the clay surface. The clay mineral matrix contained micro- (< 2 nm)161 and mesopores (2-50 nm) with average pore diameters ~ 4.28 nm and also the differential 162 volumes versus pore diameter plot, i.e. BJH plot indicated relatively narrow pore size 163 distributions and these nano range pores were advantageously utilized for the *in situ* synthesis of various metal nanoparticles as reported by us recently.^{15a-f} The shape of N₂ adsorption-164 165 desorption isotherm [Fig. 1] was of the type-IV with a H3 hysteresis loop at $P/P_0 \sim 0.4-0.9$, indicating mesoporous solid. The FTIR, ²⁹Si and ²⁷Al MAS-NMR measurements and SEM-166 EDX studies also confirmed that during acid activation, nano range pores are formed on the 167 168 surface of clay mineral matrix due to Al leaching and predominant amounts of Si compared to Al were present on the surface [supporting information].^{15a-f} 169

170

3.2. Characterization of Cu^o-nanoparticles

171 The evidence of formation of Cu^{\circ}-nanoparticles (Cu^{\circ}-Mont.) was obtained from 172 powder XRD analysis. The Fig. 2 shows three broad peaks of 2 θ values 43.3, 50.3 and 74.1^{\circ} 173 which are assigned to the (111), (200) and (220) indices of fcc lattice of metallic Cu. The 174 XRD peaks for any other oxidation states of Cu were not observed, that could be attributed to 175 the presence of Cu only in zero oxidation state. 176 The TEM image [Fig. 3] of nanoparticles composites showed that dispersed Cu^o-177 nanoparticles were formed in the micro- and mesopores of AT-Mont. The supported Cu^o-178 nanoparticles were spherical in shape, well separated from each other and with sizes of below 10 nm. From TEM study, it was also observed that, some of the Cu^o-nanoparticles were 179 180 found to be larger than the pore size of the support which may be due to the presence of Cu^o-181 nanoparticles on the outer surface of the support rather than inside the pores. The HRTEM 182 image [Fig. 3(inset)] of single Cu° -nanoparticles showed the reticular lattice planes inside the 183 nanoparticles and these planes are continuously extended to the whole particle without any 184 stacking faults or twins, indicating the single crystalline nature.

185 SEM-EDX analysis also substantiated the formation of Cu^o-nanoparticles on the well-186 tuned pores of the AT-Mont. Furthermore, EDX analysis indicates that Cu is present on the 187 surface of modified Montmorillonite along with other elements of clay [supporting 188 information].

189 Although, the encapsulation of the Cu^o-nanoparticles into the nanopores of the 190 modified clay mineral has changed some of the textural parameters of the materials but from 191 the Fig. 4, it is observed that Cu^o-Mont. exhibits similar type of isotherm and hysteresis loop 192 with that of AT-Mont. This reveals that the highly ordered porous structure of clay is still 193 maintained even after the encapsulation of Cu^o-nanoparticles. The appreciable decrease of the 194 specific surface area and the specific pore volume after supporting Cu^o-nanoparticles (Table 195 1) might be due to clogging of some pores by Cu^o-nanoparticles. In addition, the presence of 196 Cu^o-nanoparticles may cause complexities in porosity measurement with nitrogen sorption, 197 because the electrostatic forces between an adsorbate (i.e. nitrogen) and metallic surface may 198 affect the measured values to some extent. However, increase of pore diameter may be due to 199 rupture of some smaller pores to generate bigger ones during the formation of Cu^o-200 nanoparticles into the pores.

The Cu contents in Cu^o-Mont. as analyzed by ICP-AES, reveals the presence of 0.05 mol % of Cu in the catalyst.

203 **3.3. Catalytic activity**

In order to determine the best reaction condition (i.e. solvent, reaction time etc.) that are required to afford excellent yields of propargylamines, a series of three-component reactions were carried out using benzaldehyde, piperidine and phenylacetylene as the model substrates. After screening a wide range of reactions, we have found that our catalytic system (i.e. Cu^o-Mont.) is most efficient for the title reaction in toluene as a solvent at refluxing temperature. The reactions required 3 h time for completion. A control experiment under the 210 above conditions but in the presence of only acid activated clay (AT-Mont.) as catalyst was 211 done and gave no trace of product after 24 h. Using optimized reaction conditions, we 212 explored the versatility and limitations of some commercially available aldehydes, secondary 213 amines and alkynes as substrates as well as efficiency of our catalyst for the said three-214 component coupling to synthesise propargylamines derivatives and the results are 215 summarized in Table 2. Firstly, phenylacetylene and piperidine were allowed to couple with 216 different aromatic aldehydes (e.g. benzaldehyde, 4-methoxybenzaldehyde, 4-217 chlorobenzaldehyde, 4-methylbenzaldehyde, 3-bromobenzaldehyde, 2-hydroxybenzaldehyde) 218 and give corresponding propargylamines with very good to excellent yields. The substituent 219 of the aldehyde seemed to have pronounced effects both on the reaction time and on the 220 isolated yield. The model reaction, i.e. coupling between benzaldehyde, phenylacetylene and 221 piperidine gives 94% isolated yield (entry 1). In case of aldehydes containing electron 222 donating group 4-methoxybenzaldehyde, 4-methylbenzaldehyde and 2e.g. 223 hydroxybenzaldehyde, it is observed that they required relatively longer time and give 224 slightly lower yield compare to aldehyde containing electron withdrawing group e.g. 4-225 chlorobenzaldehyde, 3-bromobenzaldehyde. We have also used aliphatic alkyne i.e. 3-226 butyne-1-ol and allowed to react with two different iminium ions which is generated in situ 227 from benzaldehyde and piperidine (entry 8) and benzaldehyde and morpholine (entry 9), it is 228 found that they formed desired propargylamines with high yield. In an another strategy, 229 compared the synthesis of propargylamines by keeping benzaldehyde and phenylacetylene 230 constant and used different secondary amines such as piperidine, morpholine, diethyl amine. 231 The results obtained from the studies reveal that the aliphatic amine i.e. diethylamine (entry 232 7) shows lower conversion than the cyclic amines i.e. piperidine and morpholine (entries 1) 233 and 10). Next, we explored our methodology for the synthesis of symmetrical bis-234 propargylamines derivatives by coupling of dialkyne, viz. 1,6-heptadiyne with benzaldehyde 235 and piperidine (entry 11) and as expected, the desired product is formed with good isolated 236 yield (85%) but the reactions needed a comparatively longer time i.e. 5 h for completion.

In order to show the efficiency as well as the practical applicability of the catalyst (Cu^o-Mont.), we scaled up the reaction of benzaldehyde to 10 mmol with 10 mmol of piperidine and 13 mmol of phenylacetylene under similar optimised reaction conditions. The reaction proceeds well with 90% desired isolated yield and 100% selectivity at 5 h.

241 **3.4. Recyclability of the catalyst**

For practical applications of heterogeneous catalysts, the level of reusability is a very important factor. The recyclability of our catalyst for the three components coupling reactions

Technology Accepted Manuscript

త

Catalysis Science

were investigated (Table 2) in the coupling of phenylacetylene with benzaldehyde and piperidine (entry 1), 4-chlorobenzaldehyde and piperidine (entry 5) and benzaldehyde and morpholine (entry 10). The catalyst was recovered by simple filtration technique after each experiment. The recovered catalyst was washed with acetone, dried in a desiccator and reused directly with fresh reaction mixture without further purification for the desired coupling products synthesis upto 3rd run. The results (Table 2, entries 1, 5 and 10) showed that in each case the catalyst remain active for several run without significantly loss in efficiency.

251 The recovered catalyst was further investigated through N_2 adsorption-desorption, 252 powder XRD studies and HRTEM analysis. The identical shape of the isotherms and the 253 hysteresis loop reveal that the characteristics of the catalysts are retained even after 254 successive reactions [supporting information]. The specific surface areas of the recovered catalysts decrease compared to 299 m²/g of freshly prepared catalyst (Table 1). The decrease 255 256 of surface area of the recovered catalysts after each reaction may be due to the blockage of 257 pores by the reactant molecules. In the HRTEM analysis of recovered Cu^o-Mont. (after 3rd 258 run), it is found that a few particles aggregate, but the sizes are still below 10 nm [Fig. 5]. In 259 the powder XRD of recovered catalyst, the appearance of low intense broad peaks at around 2θ values 36 and 54° along with other characteristic peaks of metallic Cu indicates the 260 formation of small amount of CuO after 1st run of reaction [supporting information].¹⁸ 261 262 However, the efficiency of the recovered catalyst was not affected much though a fraction of 263 Cu(0) is converted to CuO. This may be, because, the Cu(II) is also known for exhibiting 264 high alkynophilicity. These experimental results are adequate enough to establish the 265 robustness character of the catalyst and also proof that the reactions undergo in a 266 heterogeneous process.

A tentative reaction mechanism for the three components (A^3 coupling) synthesis of 267 268 propargylamines catalyzed by Cu^o-nanoparticles under heterogeneous conditions is proposed. 269 The tentative mechanistic scheme is pictorially illustrated in Scheme 1. It is proposed that, in 270 the first step, terminal alkyne is coordinated to Cu^o-nanoparticles supported on AT-Mont., 271 which activates the C-H bond. As a result, corresponding copper-alkylidine complex is 272 formed on the surface of the AT-Mont. This is a very favourable step because Cu metal is well known to exhibit high alkynophilicity for terminal alkynes.^{12a,15a} In the second step, the 273 274 addition of copper-alkylidine complex to the iminium ion generated in situ from the reaction 275 between the aldehyde and the amine, to give the desired product and the catalyst is 276 regenerated. The theoretical by-product formed from the reaction is only water. The 277 regenerated catalyst continues the catalytic cycles till the completion of the reaction. The

8

Catalysis Science & Technology

drastic reduction in catalyst amount requirement as well as reaction time in these reactions are possibly due to the presence of highly active Cu° -nanoparticles as catalyst. The presence of robust and high surface area (422 m²/g) modified Montmorillonite as support for Cu^onanoparticles also might have a beneficial effect on the rate and selectivity of the reaction.

282 **4. Conclusion**

283 The nanoporous modified Montmorillonite was found to be excellent eco-friendly 284 support material for the *in situ* synthesis of Cu^o-nanoparticles. The Cu^o-nanoparticles were 285 spherical in shape and size in the range 0-10 nm. These nanoparticles demonstrated high 286 catalytic activity in promoting the three-component coupling of aldehyde, amine and alkyne 287 via C-H alkyne-activation to synthesize propargylamines with excellent yields and selectivity 288 under mild reaction conditions without requiring any additives or inert atmosphere. Further, 289 the nanocatalysts were reused for new batch of reactions without significantly loss of their 290 activity under the similar condition. The operational simplicity, robustness of the catalyst as 291 well as utilization of environmentally benign indigenous materials as catalyst support and use 292 of mild reaction condition, make it attractive for the large scale synthesis of biologically 293 active propargylamine molecules.

294 Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful to Dr. R. C. Boruah, Director, CSIR-North East Institute of Science and Technology, Jorhat, Assam, India, for his kind permission to publish the work. The authors also thank Council of Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR), New Delhi, India, for financial support (XIIth FYP Network projects: BSC 0112, CSC 0125, CSC 0135 and In-house project: MLP-6000/1). SJB thanks UGC, New Delhi for JRF.

References

- [1] (a) Green Chemistry: Theory and Practice, Eds. P. T. Anastas and J. C. Warner, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1998; (b) R. A. Sheldon, *Chem. Commun.* 2008, 3352; (c) R. A. Sheldon, *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 2012, 41, 1437.
- [2] (a) B. Karimi, M. Gholinejad, M. Khorasani, *Green Chem.* 2012, 48, 8961; (b) M. Syamala, *Org. Prep. Proced. Int.* 2009, 41, 1; (c) A. Dömling, W. Wang, K. Wang, *Chem. Rev.* 2012, 112, 3083; (d) E. Ruijter, R. Scheffelaar, R. V. A. Orru, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2011, 50, 6234; (e) D. Bonne, Y. Coquerel, T. Constantieux, J. Rodriguez, *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry* 2010, 21, 1085; (f) Multicomponent Reactions, Eds. J. Zhu, H. Bienaymé, Wiley-VCH Weinheim, 2005.
- [3] (a) M. A. Huffman, N. Yasuda, A. E. De Camp, E. J. J. Grabowski, *J. Org. Chem.*,1995, 60, 1590; (b) M. Konishi, H. Ohkuma, T. Tsuno, T. Oki, G. D. Van Duyne, J. Clardy, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1990, 112, 3715; (c) G. Dyker, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 1999, 38, 1698; (d) J. Gao, Q.-W. Song, L.-N. He, Z. -Z. Yang, X,-Y, Dou, *Chem. Commun.*, 2012, 48, 2024; (e) V. A. Peshkov, O. P. Pereshivko, E. V. V. Eycken, *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 2012, 41, 3790; (f) W. J. Yoo, L. Zhao, C. J. Li, *Aldrichimica Acta* 2011, 44, 43; (g) K. Layek, R. Chakravarti, M. Lakshmi Kantam, H. Maheswaran, A. Vinu, *Green Chem.* 2011,13, 2878; (h) M. A. Huffman, N. Yasuda, A. E. Decamp, E. J. J. Grabowski, *J. Org. Chem.* 1995, 60, 1590.
- [4] (a) M. Yogev-Falach, T. Amit, B.-O. Am, M. B. H. Youdim, *Faseb J.* 2003, 17, 2325;
 (b) C. Binda, F. Hubalek, M. Li, Y. Herzig, J. Sterling, D. E. Edmondson, A. Mattevi, *J. Med. Chem.*, 2004, 47, 1767; (c) D. A. Gallagher, A. Schrag, *CNS Drugs*, 2008, 22, 563;
 (d) C. W. Olanow, *Neurology* 2006, 66, S69.
- [5] (a) T. Harada, T. Fujiwara, K. Iwazaki, A. Oku, Org. Lett. 2000, 2, 1855; (b) T. Takahashi, F. Bao, G. Gao, M. Ogasawara, Org. Lett. 2003, 5, 3479; (c) A. Tuulmets, V. Pallin, J. Tammiku-Taul, P. Burk, K. Raie, J. Phys. Org. Chem. 2002, 15, 701.
- [6] (a) M. Kidwai, V. Bansal, A. Kumar, S. Mozumdar, *Green Chem.* 2007, 9, 742; (b) M. Rahman, A. K. Bagdi, A. Majee, A. Hajra, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2011, 52, 4437; (c) T. Zeng, W. –W. Chen, C. M. Cirtiu, A. Moores, G. Song, C. –J. Li, *Green Chem.* 2010, 12, 570; (d) M. J. Aliaga, D. J. Ramon, M. Yus, *Org. Biomol. Chem.* 2010, 8, 43; (e) D. Prajapati, R. Sarma, D. Bhuyan, W. Hu, *Synlett* 2011, 5, 625.
- [7] (a) M. A. Youngman, S. L. Dax, J. Comb. Chem. 2001, 3, 469; (b) B. Sreedhar, P. S. Reddy, B. V. Prakash, A. Ravindra, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2005, 46, 7019; (c) S. B. Park, H. Alper, Chem. Commun. 2005, 1315.

- [8] P. Li, L. Wang, Tetrahedron 2007, 63, 5455.
- [9] M. Wang, P. Li, L. Wang, Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2008, 2255.
- [10] (a) A. Fodor, A. Kiss, N. Debreczeni, Z. Hell, I. Gresits, *Org. Biomol. Chem.* 2010, 8, 4575; (b) M. K. Patil, M. Keller, B. M. Reddy, P. Pale, J. Sommer, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* 2008, 4440.
- [11] (a) C. Capello, U. Fischer, K. Hungerbuhler, *Green Chem.* 2007, 9, 927; (b) S. Wang, Z. Wang, Z. Zha, *Dalton Trans.* 2009, 9363; (c) H. Goesmann, C. Feldmann, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2010, 49, 1362; (d) K. K. R. Datta, B. V. Subha Reddy, K. Ariga, A. Vinu, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2010, 49, 5961; (e) L. D. Rogatis, M. Cargnello, V. Gombac, B. Lorenzut, T. Montini, P. Fornasiero, *ChemSusChem* 2010, 3, 24; (f) J. Virkutyte, R. S. Varma, *Chem. Sci.* 2011, 2, 837.
- [12] (a) M. J. Albaladejo, F. Alonso, Y. Moglie, M. Yus, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* 2012, 3093, (b) J. Gao, Q-W. Song, L-N. He, Z-Z. Yang, X-Y Dou, *Chem. Commun.* 2012, 48, 2024; (c) T. Zeng, W-W. Chen, C. M. Cirtiu, A. Moores, G. Song, C-J. Li, *Green Chem.* 2010, 12, 570; (d) X. Zhang, A. Corma, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2008, 47, 4358; (e) M. Rahman, A. K. Bagdi, A. Majee, A. Hajra, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2011, 52, 4437.
- [13] (a) A. Sarkar, T. Mukherjee, S. Kapoor, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2008, 112, 3334; (b) M. L. Kantam, V. S. Jaya, B. Sreedhar, M. M. Rao, B. M. Choudary, J. Mol. Catal. A: Gen., 2006, 256, 273; (c) F. Alonso, Y. Moglie, G. Radivoy, M. Yus, Eur. J. Org. Chem., 2010, 1875; (d) G. Molteni, C. L. Bianchi, G. Marinoni, N. Santo, A. Ponti, New J. Chem., 2006, 30, 1137; (e) H. Sharghi, R. Khalifeh, M. M. Doroodmand, Adv. Synth. Catal. 2009, 351, 207.
- [14] (a) Z. Lin, D. Yu, Y. Zhang, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2011, **52**, 4967; (b) J. Dulle, K. Thirunavukkrasu, M. C. M. Hazeleger, D. V. Andreeva, N. R. Shiju, G. Rothenberg, *Green Chem.* 2013, **15**, 1238.
- [15] (a) B. J. Borah, D. Dutta, P. P. Saikia, N. C. Barua, D. K. Dutta, *Green Chem.* 2011, 13, 3453; (b) B. J. Borah, D. K. Dutta, *J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem.* 2013, 366, 202; (c) P. P. Sarmah, D. K. Dutta, *Green Chem.* 2012, 14, 1086; (d) B. J. Borah, D. Dutta, D. K. Dutta, *Appl. Clay Sci.*, 2010, 49, 317; (e) D. Dutta, B. J. Borah, L. Saikia, M. G. Pathak, P. Sengupta, D. K. Dutta, *Appl. Clay Sci.* 2011, 53, 650; (f) L. Saikia, D. Dutta, D. K. Dutta, *Catal. Commun.* 2012, 19, 1; (g) D. K. Dutta, D. Dutta, P. P. Sarmah, S. K. Bhorodwaj, B. J. Borah, *J. Biomed. Nanotechnol.* 2011, 7, 76; (h) B. J. Borah, K. Saikia, P. P. Saikia, N. C. Barua, D. K. Dutta, *Catal. Today* 2012, 198, 174.

- [16] (a) P. Laszlo, *Science* 1987, 235, 1473; (b) P. Laszlo, A. Mathy, *Helvetica Chimica Acta*.
 1987, 70, 577; (c) L. Delaude, P. Laszlo, P. Lehance, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1995, 36, 8505;
 (d) P. Laszlo, *J. Phy. Org. Chem.* 1998, 11, 356.
- [17] (a) P. J. Wallis, W. P. Gates, A. F. Patti, J. L. Scott, E. Teoh, *Green Chem.*, 2007, 9, 980;
 (b) P. B. Malla, P. Ravindranathan, S. Komarneni, R. Roy, *Nature*, 1991, 351, 555.
- [18] G. Molteni, C. L. Bianchi, G. Marinoni, N. Santo, A. Ponti, *New J. Chem.*, 2006, 30, 1137.

Table 1: S	Surface p	properties of	different M	Iontmorillonite	based support/cata	lysts.
------------	-----------	---------------	-------------	-----------------	--------------------	--------

			Surface properties of support/catalysts				
	Samples		Average pore diameter (nm)	BJH pore size distribution (nm)	Specific pore volume (cm ³ /g)		
AT-Mont.		422	4.28	3.77	0.58		
	↓ Catal	yst 🚽					
	After run	Fresh 🔶 299	5.18	3.81	0.48		
Cu ^o -Mont.	1	255	6.43	3.90	0.38		
	2	210	7.25	4.27	0.32		
	3	192	8.53	3.89	0.30		

	R ₁ =	$\equiv + \bigvee_{R_2}^{CHO} +$	R ₃ N H R	Cu ^o -Mont.	R4 R1	
Entry	Alkyne	Aldehyde	Amine	Product	Time (h)	Yield* (%)
		CHO	\bigcap	\bigcirc	_	94 ^a
1			N N	N	3	90 ^b
		~~	H			88°
2		CHO OCH ₃	N H	H ₃ CO	5	89
3		CHO CH ₃	N H	H ₃ C	5	87
4		CHO Br	N H	N Br	3	92
		СНО	\bigcirc	\bigcirc		90 ^a
5				N N	3	88 ^b
		ĊI	Н	CI CI		85 ^c
6		CHO	N H H	OH N	5	87
7		СНО			3	82
8	≡Он	СНО	N H H	N ОН	3	87

Table 2: Cu^o-nanoparticles catalyst A³ coupling reaction of aldehyde, amine and alkyne.

Catalysis Science & Technology Accepted Manuscri



Reaction conditions: Aldehyde (1 mmol), amine (1 mmol), alkyne (1.2 mmol), 20 mg Cu^o-Mont. (0.05 mol %), solvent: toluene, temperature: 110 °C.

Yields are given for isolated products;

*The reaction products were free from copper as checked by analytical method followed from Vogel's Textbook of Macro and Semimicro Qualitative Inorganic Analysis; Revised by G. Svehla, Fifth Edition, 1979, Longman Group Limited, London, Page No.: 215-217. Methodology: 100 mg of each of the reaction products (from entries 1 or 2) were dissolved in 10 mL of medium concentrated HNO₃ (8 M). The solution was diluted by adding 10 mL of distilled water followed by passing H_2S gas through the solution for a period of 5 minutes. No black color precipitate was observed. Hence, reaction products were free from Cu. The detection concentration limit is: 1 in 5 x 10^6 .



Scheme 1. Tentative reaction mechanism of A³ coupling reaction.



Fig. 1. N₂ adsorption–desorption isotherm and BJH pore size distribution curve (inset) of AT-Mont.



Fig. 2. Powder XRD pattern of Cu^o-Mont.



Fig. 3. TEM and HRTEM (inset) images of Cu^o-nanoparticles.



Fig. 4. N_2 adsorption/desorption isotherm and BJH pore size distribution curve (inset) of Cu^o -Mont.



Fig. 5. HRTEM image of recovered Cu°-Mont. after 3rd run.

Efficient Three-Components Coupling Reactions Catalyzed by Cu^onanoparticles stabilized on Modified Montmorillonite Clay

Bibek Jyoti Borah, Subrat Jyoti Borah, Lakshi Saikia and Dipak Kumar Dutta*

Materials Science Division, CSIR-North East Institute of Science and Technology, Jorhat 785006, Assam, India, Fax: (+) 91 376 2370011, *E-mail: dipakkrdutta@yahoo.com

Graphical Abstract

In situ generation of Cu^o-nanoparticles into the nanopores of environmentally benign modified Montmorillonite clay and their catalytic performance in three components (A³) coupling reactions of aldehyde, amine and alkyne to synthesize propargylamines with excellent yield and selectivity.

