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$^{55}$Mn pulsed ENDOR of the Oxygen Evolving Complex ($S_2$ state) at 2.5K indicates the presence of a $\text{Mn}^{\text{III}}_3\text{Mn}^{\text{IV}}$ tetranuclear cluster.
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We report the first $^{55}$Mn pulsed ENDOR studies on the S$_2$ state multiline spin ½ centre of the Oxygen Evolving Complex (OEC) in Photosystem II (PS II), at temperatures below 4.2 K. These were performed on highly active samples of spinach PS II core complexes, developed previously in our laboratories for photosystem spectroscopic use, at temperatures down to 2.5 K. Under these conditions, relaxation effects which have previously hindered observation of most of the manganese ENDOR resonances from the OEC coupled Mn cluster are suppressed. $^{55}$Mn ENDOR hyperfine couplings ranging from ~50 to ~680 MHz are now seen on the S$_2$ state multiline EPR signal. These, together with complementary high resolution X-band CW EPR measurements and detailed simulations, reveal that at least two and probably three Mn hyperfine couplings with large anisotropy are seen, indicating that three Mn$^{III}$ ions are likely present in the functional S$_2$ state of the enzyme. This suggests a low oxidation state paradigm for the OEC (mean Mn oxidation level 3.0 in the S$_1$ state) and unexpected Mn exchange coupling in the S$_2$ state, with two Mn ions nearly magnetically silent. Our results rationalize a number of previous ligand ESEEM/ENDOR studies and labelled water exchange experiments on the S$_2$ state of the photosystem, in a common picture which is closely consistent with recent photo-assembly (Kolling et al., Biophys. J. 2012, 103, 313-322) and large scale computational studies on the OEC (Gatt et al., Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 12025-12028, Kurashige et al. Nature Chem. 2013, 5, 660-666).

Introduction

Photosystem II (PS II) in plants, algae and photo-synthetic bacteria oxidizes water within the Oxygen Evolving Complex (OEC), located near the photo-oxidizable reaction centre, P680. The OEC consists of a redox accumulating Mn$_4$/Ca catalytic cluster which binds water and electrons pass from this cluster to P680 through an oxidizable tyrosine on the D1 peptide, Tyr$^5$. The multi-nuclear Mn$_4$/Ca cluster proceeds through five intermediate 'S' states, four meta-stable (labelled S$_0$, S$_1$, S$_2$ and S$_3$) and one short-lived state, S$_4$ (subscripts indicate number of stored oxidizing equivalents). Water exchanges with the site up to S$_1$ and the oxidation of two water molecules to di-oxygen occurs in a concerted, four electron step. PS II is a membrane bound dimer and atomic detail of the Mn$_4$/Ca catalytic site has recently been revealed by a 1.9 Å resolution crystal structure. This and earlier lower resolution X-ray crystallographic structures, correspond formally to the 'dark stable' S$_1$ state.

S$_0$ and S$_1$ have odd numbers of unpaired electrons, with net spin ½ ground states arising from a predominantly antiferromagnetic coupling of all four Mn ions. They exhibit complex $^{55}$Mn hyperfine structured continuous wave electron paramagnetic resonance (CW EPR) signals at low temperature (multiline (ML) signals), the S$_2$ ML being first reported by Dismukes and Mn X-ray Absorption Near Edge Structure (XANES) measurements show the mean Mn oxidation states in the functional cluster to be significantly higher than II. Thus S$_1$ is either Mn$^{III}$ or Mn$^{II}$Mn$^{IV}$, (or equivalent combinations). Spectroscopic data (but not EPR) bearing upon these ‘low and high’ oxidation state paradigms have been recently reviewed. In the low and high oxidation state paradigms, S$_2$ is Mn$^{III}$/Mn$^{IV}$ or Mn$^{III}$/Mn$^{III}$, respectively. Since the mechanism of water oxidation in the OEC is yet undetermined, resolution of the actual Mn oxidation states will be crucial in defining reaction pathway possibilities.

The S$_2$ ML EPR spectrum contains 18-20 resolved hyperfine resonances, centred close to $g = 2.00$, with little g anisotropy and is at least 180 mT (i.e. ~5,000 MHz) wide at X-band frequencies (one resonance is typically obscured by the narrow Tyr$_2$ radical signal near $g = 2.00^5$). It may be generated by continuous illumination at low temperature (~200 K) with visible (e.g. green) light. The signal resembles the ‘classic’ 16 hyperfine line EPR signals seen in anti-ferromagnetically coupled Mn$^{III}$/Mn$^{IV}$ oxo bridged dimers below ~20 K. These signals are generally <130 mT wide, significantly narrower than the S$_2$ ML and have fewer resonances. However the S$_2$ ML EPR spectrum is narrower, with fewer resonances, than the only example of an authentic Mn$^{III}$/Mn$^{IV}$ net spin ½ tetramer (~26 resonances, ~195 mT).
Two approaches exist to modelling the magnetic coupling and Mn hyperfine interactions of the S\textit{2} state within the OEC cluster; (i) the Mn tetramer model or (ii) the effective dimer (or 'near dimer') model in which only two Mn ions dominate the hyperfine spectrum.

In 2002 Dismukes et al. provided a rationalisation of the EPR, ENDOR and X-ray absorption spectroscopic data for the S\textit{2} state which were consistent with a tetrameric magnetic organisation of the four Mn ions in the OEC\textsuperscript{15}. This was also supported by the early, low resolution X-ray diffraction data on PS II which was beginning to emerge\textsuperscript{40,41}. In addition, the CW EPR and pulsed \textsuperscript{55}Mn ENDOR data on the S\textit{2} ML signal reported by Britt et al. in 2000\textsuperscript{18b} were suggestive of a \textsuperscript{3} +1' organisation of the OEC cluster, with three Mn ions strongly internally exchange coupled, with variable coupling to a fourth 'dangler' Mn ion. Dismukes et al. considered a number of coupling modes ('butterfly, Y shaped' etc.) between the four Mn ions, for which an oxidation state organisation of Mn\textsuperscript{III}Mn\textsuperscript{IV} was favoured for S\textit{2}. Different combinations of internal inter-ion exchange couplings were shown to be consistent with net spin \textfrac{1}{2} or higher spin ground states, well known to be observable in S\textit{2} under appropriate experimental conditions (see discussions in refs, 5, 15 for details). In these and the modelling of Britt et al., the native S\textit{2} ML was inferred to arise form a configuration in which substantial hyperfine couplings were contributed by each of the four Mn in the coupled cluster. Interestingly however, Dismukes et al. proposed that a known, reduced spin \textfrac{1}{2} cluster state, (NO-reduced, probably equivalent to S\textit{0} in overall oxidation state, see ref. 15) was effectively 'dimer like', with two Mn internally coupled silent. However tetramer pictures have largely dominated interpretation of the OEC magnetic spectroscopy ever since and indeed the PS II crystal structures, at increasing resolution, gave general support to the idea.

Two dimer or near dimer models have been suggested\textsuperscript{16,17} and both require a means to increase the spectral width expected from conventional Mn\textsuperscript{III} and Mn\textsuperscript{IV} hyperfine parameters, to give a pattern \textgreater 170 MHz wide. A large, rhombic anisotropic Mn\textsuperscript{III} hyperfine tensor, with a substantial quadrupolar interaction, was assumed in the pure dimer model (remaining Mn coupled silent).\textsuperscript{16} Bonvoisin et al.\textsuperscript{17} assumed all the Mn hyperfine tensors were isotropic, with two contributing \textasciitilde 2/3 of the pattern width (ie near dimer) and one other being small. The crystallographic structures of PS II show all OEC Mn ions are close enough (with oxo, carboxylato bridging) for the presence of significant exchange coupling, which has been confirmed through computational chemistry calculations.\textsuperscript{10}

Recently we computationally examined the ground S\textit{2} spin \textfrac{1}{2} state\textsuperscript{18}, using OEC models based on the then available XRD data and using multiple single determinant DFT calculations. This showed that it was possible, under some circumstances, for the tetra-nuclear cluster to magnetically couple in near dimer like fashion, internally. This involved two Mn (in states III and IV) combining with net spin \textfrac{1}{2} and large effective hyperfine couplings, while the other two Mn ions (both III or IV) couple to be largely ‘silent’ (ie. net anti-ferromagnetic, spin \textasciitilde 0). Net coupling between these Mn pairs was weak. Now, detailed high level calculations on the OEC have appeared\textsuperscript{18}, using multi-dimensional quantum entanglement techniques and employing the most recent OEC XRD structure\textsuperscript{1}. These predict something quantitatively similar for the coupling within the OEC (see Discussion below). In both cases some small, or near cancelling Mn-Mn exchange interactions occur. Earlier tetramer\textsuperscript{15,18b} models however generally predicted effective hyperfine contributions for all Mn ions to have isolated ion values or greater (for one Mn\textsuperscript{III}).

The dimer like models require one hyperfine interaction to be in the isolated ion range (~ 200 - 300 MHz), one about twice this, as well as interactions below \textasciitilde 100 MHz, to give an S\textit{2} ML pattern of \textasciitilde 190 mT width (~ 5.4 GHz).

\textsuperscript{55}Mn electron nuclear double resonance (ENDOR) measurements on the S\textit{2} ML CW EPR signal should reveal the individual hyperfine frequencies directly. Several groups have performed such studies (plant, cyanobacterial PS II) at 4.2 K and hyperfine couplings from \textasciitilde 170 - 340 MHz were seen in a broad envelope of somewhat variable shape, with a maximum of \textasciitilde 240-280 MHz.\textsuperscript{18,20,25} In these no resonances from couplings above 400 MHz or below \textasciitilde 160 MHz have been reported but couplings above 400 MHz have been observed\textsuperscript{19} in plant PS II. We address this further in ESI Section E6.

All ENDOR spectra are sensitive to nuclear spin relaxation to some degree. Pulsed techniques, (e.g. Davies ENDOR) require the nuclear T\textsubscript{1}T\textsubscript{2} product to be sufficiently long for coherent magnetization rotation during the RF pulses.\textsuperscript{26} In paramagnetic systems at cryogenic temperatures, these rates depend strongly on the electron spin coupling to the nucleus through hyperfine fields experiencing time dependent, matrix phonon induced modulation etc. These effects may have non straight forward consequences near 0K. T\textsubscript{1} typically drops rapidly with increasing temperature (rates increase), but rotating frame T\textsubscript{2} rates can still remain relatively insensitive to temperature (and be high, ie short T\textsubscript{2}), as these are strongly influenced by very low frequency phonon modes (dominant at lowest temperatures). Then they cause nuclear magnetisation dephasing in the rotating (x, y) frame, with loss of ENDOR intensity.\textsuperscript{26} In general however ENDOR detectability should improve with decreasing temperature. Thus, nuclear spin relaxation may have hindered previous detection of some ENDOR transitions in the S\textit{2} state of the tetra-nuclear Mn cluster (particularly from Mn\textsuperscript{III} ions, see Discussion), as all previous\textsuperscript{55}Mn ENDOR studies have been performed at 4.2 K.

In addition, Davies ENDOR does not generally yield absolute signal intensities as the output (B\textsubscript{s}) from the radio-frequency (RF) synthesizer may vary dramatically as a function of frequency (1-400 MHz, particularly at high frequencies). This means that the total number of nuclear transitions contributing to a particular ENDOR resonance frequency region is not known. Thus the presence of partially resolved structure on the ENDOR signals seen and the absence of couplings outside 170 -340 MHz, suggested then that three nearly equivalent isotropic Mn couplings (~230 MHz and approximately overlapping in the spectra) and one axial anisotropc coupling with a perpendicular component ~ 350 MHz were present\textsuperscript{19,25}. The latter was assigned to a single Mn\textsuperscript{III} and the former to three Mn\textsuperscript{IV} ions in the high oxidation state models mostly used by these workers in detailed spectral interpretation.

Herein we report the first such studies at temperatures down to
2.5 K, together with complementary CW EPR studies at X-band frequencies. $^{55}$Mn hyperfine interactions ranging from ~ 50 to ~ 680 MHz are now seen on the $S_2$ state ML signal. At least two and probably three interactions of large hyperfine anisotropy are present (i.e. Mn$^{55}$, due to Jahn–Teller effects), suggesting the $S_2$ state is indeed Mn$^{55}$Mn$^{55}$, consistent with the low oxidation state paradigm.

Importantly, these new findings utilize highly active plant PS II core complex preparations, specifically developed by us previously for spectroscopic studies$^{27}$ which have already revealed new details of the P680 reaction centre.$^{28}$

### Experimental Methods

#### PSI II sample preparation and illumination treatment

PSII membrane and core samples were prepared from market spinach ($\textit{Synechocystis} \textit{aurea}$) essentially as per Smith et al.$^{27}$ with some modifications. 7.0 g of Poros HQ 20 Anion exchange media was packed into a Waters AP 10 glass column to a pressure of 200 PSI. PS II, solubilized, with up to 80 mg chlorophyll total content, was loaded onto the column. Eluted PS II cores comprised of 4 - 5 % of the total chlorophyll loaded. Column pressure was operated below 300 PSI. Eluent volumes with $A_{270}$ above 1.0 OD were pooled and concentrated using centrifugal concentrators with a 10 kDa cut pressure above 1.0 OD were pooled and concentrated using centrifugal concentrators with a 10 kDa cut-off membrane. Final volumes were 0.7 ml of concentrated Core complex solution. ENDOR measurements had Chl concentrations of 5 - 7 g/l with a chl a / b ratio above 16. Oxygen evolution was measured using a Clarke type electrode with p-Phenylbenzoquinone (pPBQ) as the added electron acceptor. Samples were selected with a minimum VO$_2$ of 3000 µmol O$_2$ / mg chl. / hr. These activity levels are the highest yet reported in plant PS II core material and comparable to those obtained from thermophylic bacterial PS II$^{27}$.

PSII core samples for ENDOR (stored in darkness at ~80 °C) were thawed on ice water in darkness and 250 µl aliquots were loaded into 3.0 mm ID quartz EPR tubes (Wilmad 707 SQ) and pre-illuminated (15 seconds) at 240 K. Samples were then annealed for ~30 minutes on ice water in total darkness to restore the $S_1$ state. $S_0$ to $S_2$ turnover occurred at 240 K, using a 250 W projector lamp, with a narrow window green filter. Samples were then frozen in liquid N$_2$ and were inserted into the EPR cavity within 20 minutes of illumination. For X band CW EPR measurements, samples were loaded into 4 mm OD EPR tubes without any additions and the $S_1$ state to $S_2$ state turnover occurred as above. PS II membrane samples for CW EPR were similarly treated, but the effective OEC concentration was ~ 30 µM.

#### X-Band CW EPR

X-band CW EPR measurements were performed at 6.5 K using a Bruker Biospin Elexys E500 spectrometer with a Bruker SHQX resonant cavity, fitted with an Oxford Instruments ESR900 helium flow cryostat in conjunction with an Oxford ITC4 temperature controller. Spectrometer frequency; 9.377 GHz, microwave power; 0.5 mW and modulation amplitude; 10 mT. The magnetic field was calibrated with a Bruker ER035M NMR Gaussmeter.

#### X-Band EPR and ENDOR

Pulsed EPR and ENDOR experiments were performed at the Centre for Advanced Imaging, The University of Queensland, using a Bruker Biospin Elexys E580 Pulsed EPR/ENDOR spectrometer fitted with an Oxford Instruments CF935LT helium cryostat. Temperatures below 4 K (down to ~ 2.5 K) were achieved by reducing the partial pressure (measured with a capacitance manometer and an Inficon CC3 gauge) of liquid He by pumping (40 l /min Leybold roughing pump) on the liquid He. The temperature was controlled using an Oxford Instruments ITC 503 temperature controller in conjunction with the transfer arm needle valve and valves on the side arm of the cryostat and between the cryostat and the roughing pump. The X- and Q-band spectrometer system employed an X-band ER4118XMD-4W1 ENDOR resonator, a DICE I ENDOR unit with an Amplifier Research 1-400 MHz RF amplifier (150A400), a Bruker frequency counter and a Bruker ER036TM teslameter for calibrating the microwave frequency and magnetic field, respectively.

Electron spin echo-detected (ESE) field-swept spectra were routinely measured using the pulse sequence: $\pi/2-\tau-\pi-\tau$-echo, where $\pi = 52$ ns and $\tau = 200$ ns to ensure measurement of either the $S_2$ ML state species or the background Mn$^{55}$ species. From these spectra appropriate resonant field positions were identified and $^{55}$Mn-Davies stochastic ENDOR spectra were measured with the pulse sequence: $\pi-\tau(RF)-T-\pi-\tau-\pi-\tau$-echo within two RF ranges (1-230 and 140-370 MHz, after output frequency doubling, (see below), with timings: $\pi = 24$ ns, $\tau = 200$ ns, $\pi(RF) = 6$ µs and a delay T = 600 ns. Typically 1500 scans were acquired per experimental run (~ 60 hours).

The Bruker Biospin DICE I has a bandwidth of 200 MHz and the radiofrequency magnetic field strength (B$_2$) output profile over 1-200 MHz is not linear, peaking around 14-20 MHz. Data were able to be collected up to 400 MHz using a frequency doubler (15542, Mini-circuits). At higher RF frequencies (140-370 MHz), the B$_2$ output from the DICE I unit was significantly attenuated. Consequently, the RF output from the frequency doubler was amplified using a BLAXXS300RS amplifier (from a Bruker NMR spectrometer) and then attenuated so as to not damage the 150 W Amplifier Research (AR) RF amplifier. A Tenuline coaxial attenuator (Model 8325, 30 dB) was used for both low and high frequency regions and an additional 10 dB attenuation was added for the low frequency region. The inability to achieve a flat B$_2$ profile from the DICE I unit during a stochastic ENDOR experiment prevents (i) spin quantitation and (ii) the use of transition intensities from orientation selective experiments.

#### X-Band T$_1$ Relaxation Measurements

Spin lattice relaxation times, T$_1$, were measured using an inversion recovery pulse sequence on the Bruker Biospin Elexys E580 pulse EPR spectrometer at the temperatures and field positions specified in the text. The pulse sequence used was: $\pi$(Inv) - $T$ - $\pi/2$ - $\tau$ - $\pi$ - $\tau$ - echo with timings: $\pi$(Inv) = 26 ns, $\pi$ = 32 ns, T = 500 ns, $\tau$ = 200 ns.

#### X-Band CW-EPR and $^{55}$Mn-ENDOR Simulations

The $S_2$ state X-Band CW-EPR and $^{55}$Mn-ENDOR spectra were simulated interactively, to maintain consistency. Essentially the same spin Hamiltonian (Eq. 3) was used for both simulations,
which assumed that the effective spin of the ground state is $S_{\text{eff}} = 1/2$. For the $S_2$ state ML simulations, the three-Mn system was treated using the complete matrix diagonalization method. For the four-Mn system the matrix approach was prohibitive computationally and this system was treated using second order perturbation theory. Spectral simulations were performed using the EasySpin package in MATLAB.$^{29}$

DFT Calculation Details
DFT calculations were performed as described previously$^{30,31}$ using the ADF program, to obtain initial values of the spin Hamiltonian parameters. Only Euler angles of the hyperfine matrices on each Mn were taken from the simulations and used as inputs for subsequent refinement. All calculated structures were optimized without restrictions, using the BP86 functional and TZP basis sets for all atoms. Geometries from the ‘Berlin’ (Type I) and ‘Hyogo’ (Type II) isolated clusters were used.$^{30,31}$

Results
X Band CW EPR Spectroscopy

It is known that several factors (PS II species/preparation, cryoprotectant, small alcohols at $< 1$ M concentration etc.) subtly influence the detailed, hyperfine structure of the $S_2$ ML CW EPR spectrum in functional PS II$^{5,32}$. A possible basis for this structural ‘flexibility’ is seen computationally by$^{30,31}$. So called, ‘broad’ and ‘narrow’ (or sharper) forms exist; narrow in cyanobacterial PS II and plant PS II membranes with MeOH, broad in the latter with EtOH (as in the first ML ENDOR studies$^{18a}$) and in our plant PS II core complexes (below). Since the core complexes allow very high (> 200 µM) reaction centre concentrations, vital for ENDOR study, we focus on these principally here, but some results from membrane PS II are also presented.

Fig. 1A shows $S_2 - S_1$ (annealed) CW EPR difference spectra (Experimental Methods) of PS II core complexes and PS II membrane particles, both from higher plants. The core complex OEC concentration is approximately seven times higher than in the membrane samples. Although with glycero cryoprotectant, the membrane spectrum is essentially the same as that seen for plant PS II in the presence of MeOH.$^5$ The core complex spectrum is very similar to that of membrane PS II in the presence of EtOH, the most ‘pure’ broad form observed by us,$^5$ although no mono-alcohol species are present in the PS II core samples. The spectra (Fig. 1) have virtually identical resonant field positions for the main hyperfine resonances, but differ in the detailed splitting on these resonances in the high resolution CW EPR spectra (Fig. 1B), particularly above $g = 2$. This is clearly not an intrinsic line-width effect and must arise from an anisotropic hyperfine interaction, as it is not seen fully on all of the main resonances (roughly every second resonance in the 300-400 mT region). The hyperfine coupling is of the order of 80 MHz (i.e. ~3 mT) in the broad form which is reduced to ~ 50 MHz (~ 2 mT) in the narrow form. A hyperfine interaction of this magnitude is inconsistent with all previous high oxidation state modelling of the tetra-nuclear Mn cluster.

X Band Pulsed EPR Spectroscopy
The $S_2$ and $S_1$ states of the PS II core complexes were studied by pulsed EPR, with $S_1$ formed by $S_2$ state dark relaxation (30 min, 0 °C). The non OEC paramagnetic centres (cyst b559, TyrD radical, Q$_e^\diamond$ centre$^1$) formed and trapped during $S_2$ state generation are largely unaltered by this dark annealing. Examples of echo detected field swept spectra are given in Fig. 2. The $S_2$ state spectrum shows six small sharp features from magnetically isolated Mn$^3$, typically found in these samples (< 2% of reaction centres). It is likely, they are of similar origin to the Mn$^{3+}$ in Sr substituted cyanobacterial PS II$^{23}$ and is probably OEC associated (‘fades’ variably with annealing). These sharp Mn$^{3+}$ positions were avoided in ENDOR measurements (below). The $S_2$ → $S_1$ conversion kinetics were found to be somewhat sample dependent, which might reflect the fact that the core complex $S_2$ states as prepared are probably still heterogeneous in some property, which is reflected in the electron spin lattice relaxation rates (below).

Cox et al.$^{24}$ have determined electron spin lattice relaxation times ($T_1$) of the tetra-nuclear Mn cluster in the $S_2$ state as a function of temperature (~6–4.2 K) and sample treatment (± MeOH) for PS II from spinach membranes and thermophilic
Formally, this means a paramagnetic centre of short $T_1$, interacts through space with the paramagnet of interest (e.g. ML, centre, etc.). If the fast species is effectively even spin, it might be difficult to detect in conventional CW EPR. While this model cannot exactly describe relaxation pathways within the coupled Mn cluster, a basis for its approximate validity will emerge below. To compare with other data, we report fast and slow component rate estimates for two exponential fits and an exponential average $1/T_1$ relaxation rate for our samples, defined by:

$$\frac{1}{T_{1,AV}} = \int_0^\infty \text{Sig}(t) \, dt$$

(1)

where Sig(t) is the (normalized to unity at $t = 0$) longitudinal echo amplitude decay.

A comparison (Fig. 4) of the data from Cox et al. with our results (Fig. 3) reveals that the weak temperature dependence seen for spinach PS II without MeOH is similar to that in both components of our spinach core complexes. Thus the $1/T_1$ rate depends weakly on temperature in the broad forms of plant PS II (core complexes and membranes without MeOH), while it has a strong dependence in the $+\text{MeOH}$ narrow form, similar to that seen in cyanobacterial PS II ($±$ MeOH). The $S_2$ $^{55}\text{Mn}$ ENDOR spectra of Cox et al. also show a significant influence of MeOH for spinach PS II, but not cyanobacterial PS II. Thus the MeOH induced structural modifications in plant PS II, appear ‘already in place’ in cyanobacterial PS II. This is consistent with the known functional insensitivity of PS II to MeOH at ~1 M solvent concentrations. We will not explore this further here, but note from Fig. 4 that our electron $1/T_1$ rates at 2.5 K are ~10 times or more slower than have likely prevailed in any previous $^{55}\text{Mn}$ ENDOR measurements on the $S_2$ ML signal. The significance of this emerges below.

cyanobacteria. MeOH has a much greater influence on the $T_1$’s
(presumed averages from bi-exponential fits, see also below) in plant, compared to cyanobacterial PS II. We have performed similar measurements on spinach cores, at 5.2 and 2.5 K (Fig. 3). At both temperatures the decay is a single exponential at long times, but faster and more complex at short times. We have previously shown that a ‘mixed dipolar’ model provides excellent fits to the $S_0$ and $S_2$ state multiline ESEEM envelope decays (dynamic $1/T_2$) as well as $1/T_1$ rates for species near the OEC like TyRD, (ESI Section ESI). This model assumes a fraction ($F$) of centres relax with a background plus dipolar (non exponential) rate, while the remainder relax with the background rate. Formally, this means a paramagnetic centre of short $T_1$, interacts through space with the paramagnet of interest (e.g. ML, centre,
MHz frequency interval used should access all Mn couplings likely for dimer or tetramer OEC models. In first order, a coupling of A MHz gives ENDOR frequencies at ~ A/2 MHz, for this spin ½ system with nuclear hyperfine strongly dominating nuclear Zeeman and quadrupole terms. Experimental factors relevant for Davies (35Mn) ENDOR with a system like the OEC include:25

1. The B2 profile across the RF radiation frequency range.
2. The nuclear hyperfine value itself (roughly its isotropic value, Aiso).
3. Hyperfine orientation selection at the envelope position where the pulsed ENDOR experiment is performed.

While the RF frequency is randomly varied to prevent heating artifacts (Experimental Methods), the B2 value is not separately controllable (other than preset attenuation of the instrument dependent profile). The B2 and hyperfine Aiso values determine the nuclear nutation 'flip angle' for a given RF pulse length.26 This strongly influences the intensity of the ENDOR response when, as here, the hyperfine frequencies are much larger than the nuclear Zeeman frequencies (by factors of 10-100 at X-Band). Point 3 is crucial when large, anisotropic hyperfine components are present. Then the narrow electron spin packets (here ~ 10 MHz wide), excited at a particular magnetic field position of the ENDOR experiment do not sample equally the full powder pattern range of these hyperfine components. Particular molecular orientations are then selectively seen (or enhanced), influencing the observed intensities of ENDOR lines in non obvious ways.

We return to this below in Data Analysis.

To (partially) address points 1 and 2, the RF acquisition range was divided into two overlapping segments, 0 - 230 MHz ('low frequency') and 140 - 370 MHz ('high frequency'), with 10 dB power attenuation applied in the low frequency measurements. Some Orientation selection, as in point 3, is inescapable even in simpler systems, (e.g. MnIII-IV dimers), where largely non-overlapping transitions near the pattern edges may be resolved.19,20 In these MnIII-IV dimers the ENDOR spectrum is readily interpreted.3 This is impractical here as the S2 ML CW EPR spectrum is too many overlapping resonances across the envelope and is very indistinct and of low intensity near the edges. Consequently, we obtained ENDOR spectra around regions a, b and c in Fig. 2. Typical data, for the low frequency region are shown in Fig. 5A, with high frequency data from point b in Fig. 5B.

The OEC 35Mn ENDOR spectra in Fig. 5 are far sharper, with more resolved detail than any previously reported. Resonances are seen across the full frequency range sampled, including features derived from magnetically isolated MnII. These occur as sharp peaks at well known positions around 105 MHz and 153-157 MHz (MII = ± ½) and at ~ 340 MHz (MII = ± ½).23,24 Weaker transitions are sometimes seen (depending on RF power) at half these frequencies, from harmonics generated by the RF frequency doubler. The relative intensities of some features vary significantly with magnetic field position, but all seem to be substantially present in spectra from the envelope peak, where most measurements were made (point b region, shows combination of several typical data sets). The results contrast sharply with previously reported 35Mn ENDOR data from the ML centre11,20,25 (Fig. 6), which mostly show only broad, partially structured resonances between ~ 85 - 170 MHz (at X or Q band) with little dependence on the field sampling position. Our data typically exhibit some baseline slope or weak, very broad features (may be real, see below), but we are not confident of observing these reliably. Therefore the data have been digitally levelled where necessary (linear baseline corrections, ESI Sections ES4,ES5).

![Figure 5](https://example.com/figure5.png)

**Figure 5.** 35Mn Davies ENDOR spectra of the S2 state of PS II core samples at 2.5 K. (A) Low frequency region (0-240 MHz range), from points a, b, c on the S2 ML envelope (Fig. 2, normalized to equal scan numbers). Point b spectrum is a combination of several data sets (same or different samples, see discussion in text, Fig. 5B), all taken at nominally same g value (2.05 ± 0.01), within an effective field range of < 3 mT and with 10 dB RF attenuation (Experimental Methods). Red spectrum is from point b for annealed S2. Single stressed peaks are from MnII = ± ⅓ related MnII transitions and doubled stressed peak is a 1$^\circ$ harmonic peak from the MnII = ± ⅓/2 related transition at ~ 340 MHz (see text, Fig. 5B). Transitions in the ~ 10 - 20 MHz region are expected from protons coupled to the S2 ML centre (see text). (B) High frequency region (150-350 MHz) of representative samples, taken at point b on the S2 ML envelope (0 dB RF attenuation). Red spectrum is from annealed S2. Variation in the individual positions, intensities, of the strong transitions in the ~ 200-230 MHz range between samples is evident (see Discussion).

Fig. 5A clearly shows several small, likely anisotropic Mn hyperfine couplings (~ 40 - 120 MHz). From the total S2 ML EPR spectral width, large couplings must then be present (see Discussion). Fig. 5B reveals these as resonances between 200 - 340 MHz, arising mostly from the S2 state, with only MnII features being significantly present in the S2 state samples. The sharp multiple peaks around 200 MHz and 300 MHz are unlike
anything previously reported for the ML ENDOR. Since they occur in groups, with variable intensity but roughly equal spacing within each group (~10 MHz near 200 MHz and ~15 MHz near 300 MHz), they likely arise from quadrupole transitions, presumably from the lower and upper edges respectively of a single large, highly anisotropic Mn hyperfine tensor, whose central component is responsible for the weak transitions around 250 MHz. We return to this in detail within the Data Analysis section below. The transition intensities near 200 MHz depend sensitively on field acquisition value, as the two spectra in Fig. 5B were taken (on separate samples) at similar field points in the b region (cf. the behaviour of these peaks in Fig. 5A). This was also seen in low frequency ENDOR measurements in the b region (ESI Fig. S1), so must arise from closely spaced (~1 mT) hyperfine determined positions in the ML envelope, which our sample/measurement conditions do not significantly broaden or smear. The narrow (~10 MHz) intrinsic linewidth (see below) of the ENDOR resonances contributes to this effect.

\[ H_{\text{tot}} = \sum_i H_i + \sum_{i,j} H_{ij} \]  

\[ H_i = S_i \cdot D_i \cdot S_i + \beta S_i \cdot g_i \cdot B + S_i \cdot A_i \cdot I_i + I_i \cdot Q_i \cdot I_i - g_i \beta_i \cdot B \cdot I_i \]  

\[ H_{ij} = J_{ij} S_i \cdot S_j + S_{ij} \cdot D_{ij} \cdot S_j + V_{ij} S_i \cdot S_j \]

where for each Mn ion \( S_i \) and \( I_i \) are the electron and nuclear spin operators, respectively, \( D_i \) the zero field splitting tensor, \( g_i \) and \( A_i \) the electron Zeeman and Mn hyperfine coupling tensors, respectively, \( Q \) the quadrupole tensor, \( g_n \) the nuclear \( g \)-value, \( \beta \) and \( \beta_n \) the Bohr and nuclear magnetons and \( B \) the applied magnetic field. The interaction Hamiltonian (\( H_{\text{int}} \), Eq. 2c) accounts for the isotropic (\( H_{\text{iso}} = -2 J S_i S_j \)) antisymmetric (\( H_{\text{as}} = V g S_i x S_j \)) and anisotropic exchange (dipole-dipole and spin-orbit coupling, \( H_{\text{as}} = S_i \cdot D_{ij} \cdot S_j \)) interactions between pairs of manganese centres \((i,j)\). In the strong exchange limit \((2J|D|)\) for dominant isotropic exchange couplings \((J)\), the spin system is best characterized by a total spin operator \( S_f = S_1 + S_2 + S_3 + S_4 \). With net anti-ferromagnetic coupling (as here), the \( S_z \)-state ML CW EPR spectrum arises from an \( S_f = \frac{1}{2} \) ground state and the general spin Hamiltonian can be simplified in first order (Eq. 3), enabling the overall \( g \) and manganese hyperfine interactions for the \( S_f = \frac{1}{2} \) manifold to be written as:

\[ g' = g - \sum_i A_i \]
\[ H_S = \beta S_T g_S \cdot B + \sum_i I_i \cdot A_i \cdot S_T + \sum_i I_i \cdot Q_i \cdot I_i - \sum g_n \beta_n I_i \cdot B \]

\[ g_S = \sum \rho_i g_i, \quad A_i = \rho_i A_{\text{ion}} \]  

Here, \( \rho_i \) is the spin projection coefficient of the ion within the \( S_T = \frac{1}{2} \) manifold. \( A_{\text{ion}} \) is a hypothetical 'isolated ion' value for the ion in a chemically 'equivalent' environment (ligands etc.). This is in principle obtainable from a single determinant quantum chemical calculation on the geometry optimized tetra-nuclear cluster in the \( S_2 \) state. The complexity of the \( S_2 \) EPR and ENDOR data seen here means that simple Spin Hamiltonian parameter sets (as previously employed), with all the \( g, \) \(^{55}\)Mn hyperfine and quadrupole tensors aligned with modest, at most, anisotropy, are inappropriate. Following inferences drawn above from the ENDOR measurements, we undertook systematic simulations using one large highly anisotropic coupling (Mn1), one medium (of the order of isolated ion values) coupling (Mn2) and two smaller sets of couplings (Mn3-4), corresponding to the ENDOR resonances below 100 MHz (and the resolved 'super-hyperfine' structure in the CW EPR spectra). Such a combination is actually consistent with some previous exchange coupling models \(^{30,15,19}\), suggesting values of (2, -1, 0, ~0) for the spin projection coefficients on Mn\( _1\.4 \) (see Discussion). All effective spin Hamiltonian tensors are permitted to be anisotropic and non-aligned, with the molecular axes defined by the principal directions of the largest hyperfine coupling. CW EPR simulations of the \( S_2 \) ML signal were performed in EasySpin\(^2\), using the 2\textsuperscript{nd} order perturbation option (4 Mn centres), or full matrix diagonalization (3 Mn ions). Quadrupole interactions were not included, as with previous studies involving \( > 2 \) coupled Mn centres.\(^{24}\) Full matrix diagonalization of the spin Hamiltonian is impractical for four exchange coupled Mn centres. From preliminary calculations on systems with 3 Mn centres, quadrupole terms of the magnitudes here (see below) had little influence on the simulated CW EPR spectra at X band. However, they possibly have some effect and studies using the Molecular Sophe\(^3,5\) computer simulation software suite are currently underway. These will be reported separately. Initial estimates of the Mn hyperfine tensors and their orientations within the Mn\( _3 \) Mn\( _4 \) cluster were obtained from DFT calculations on model structures.\(^{30,31}\) Principal components of hyperfine tensors and final adjustment of relative orientations were made manually. Because of the resolution in Fig. 1 (~ 1 mT), we used a 1.5 mT isotropic line width parameter, which is significantly less than that employed by others (generally ~ 3 mT by our estimates).

Computer simulation of the X-band CW EPR ML spectra (Fig. 1) utilizing the spin Hamiltonian parameters in Table 1, yields the red spectra for the broad and narrow forms (Fig. 7A,B respectively) which reproduce most of the resonances in both cases. Deviations near \( g = 2 \) may reflect imperfect subtraction of the strong background features (Tyr\( _2 \) etc.) in the \( S_2 - S_1 \) difference spectra. The broad form simulation shows more fine detail near the spectral edges than seen experimentally and we return to this below. The parameters for Mn\( _1\.2 \) appear robust, from our fitting and those for Mn\( _3 \) appear to be reasonable, although we have not tried to fit a quadrupole term here, which could be significant.

**Table 1:** CW EPR and ENDOR Simulation Parameters for (A) the ‘Broad’ and (B) ‘Narrow’ forms of the \( S_2 \) Multiline Signal, from spinach PS II.\(^6\)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A: Broad Form(^b)</th>
<th>X</th>
<th>Y</th>
<th>Z</th>
<th>Isotropic Value(^c)</th>
<th>( \rho )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( g )</td>
<td>1.989</td>
<td>2.008</td>
<td>1.964</td>
<td>1.987</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( A_{\text{Mn}}^{c,d} )</td>
<td>-668</td>
<td>-536</td>
<td>-337</td>
<td>-514</td>
<td>( &gt; 2.0 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( Q_{\text{Mn}}^{c} )</td>
<td>(-8)</td>
<td>(4.5)</td>
<td>(3.5)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( A_{\text{Mn}}^{c} )</td>
<td>185</td>
<td>242</td>
<td>273</td>
<td>233</td>
<td>-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( A_{\text{Mn}}^{c} )</td>
<td>-78</td>
<td>-12</td>
<td>-46</td>
<td>-45</td>
<td>( &lt; 0.2 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Euler angles(^d)</td>
<td>( \alpha )</td>
<td>( \beta )</td>
<td>( \gamma )</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( R_{\text{Mn}}^{c} )</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( R_{\text{Mn}}^{c} )</td>
<td>0.422</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>-0.737</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( R_{\text{Mn}}^{c} )</td>
<td>-0.135</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.284</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( R_{\text{Mn}}^{c} )</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>B: Narrow Form(^c)</th>
<th>X</th>
<th>Y</th>
<th>Z</th>
<th>Isotropic Value(^c)</th>
<th>( \rho )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( g )</td>
<td>1.989</td>
<td>2.008</td>
<td>1.964</td>
<td>1.987</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( A_{\text{Mn}}^{c,d} )</td>
<td>-668</td>
<td>-536</td>
<td>-337</td>
<td>-514</td>
<td>( &gt; 2.0 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( Q_{\text{Mn}}^{c} )</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( A_{\text{Mn}}^{c} )</td>
<td>185</td>
<td>242</td>
<td>273</td>
<td>233</td>
<td>-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( A_{\text{Mn}}^{c} )</td>
<td>-45</td>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-32</td>
<td>-28</td>
<td>( &lt; 0.1 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Euler angles(^d)</td>
<td>( \alpha )</td>
<td>( \beta )</td>
<td>( \gamma )</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( R_{\text{Mn}}^{c} )</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( R_{\text{Mn}}^{c} )</td>
<td>0.173</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>-0.338</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( R_{\text{Mn}}^{c} )</td>
<td>-0.14</td>
<td>-0.06</td>
<td>-0.08</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\( ^{4} \) ENDOR parameters in brackets. \( ^{5} \) Isotropic EPR (ENDOR) line width, 1.5 mT (2-3 MHz), \( v = 9.3766 \) GHz, ENDOR static field (\( g = 2.05 \)). Method EPR (ENDOR) 2\textsuperscript{nd} Order Perturbation Theory (Matrix Diagonalization). \( ^{6} \) Units for \( A \) and \( Q \), MHz. \( ^{7} \) Isotropic ENDOR line width, 1.5 mT (2-3 MHz), \( v = 9.3766 \) GHz, ENDOR static field (\( g = 2.05 \)) Method: 2\textsuperscript{nd} Order Perturbation Theory.
shown is reasonable from the experimental data. They are constrained by the ENDOR results. Thus Mn4 is virtually 'switched off' while both Mn1 and 3 are highly anisotropic and almost totally rhombic. Even Mn2 (likely oxidation state IV) has significant rhombic anisotropy for such an ion. While the number of free parameters is large, many of these principal component magnitudes of the $^{55}\text{Mn}$ hyperfine tensors) are constrained by the ENDOR results. The simulations (both CW and ENDOR) are insensitive to the relative signs of $A_{\text{Mn3}}$ and $A_{\text{Mn4}}$ and those shown are chosen on the basis of PELDOR experiments, described in the Discussion section. A computer simulation of the X-Band ENDOR spectrum (point b, Fig. 5A), using parameters from Table 1 (ENDOR) is shown in Fig. 8A. This assumes full powder pattern contributions for Mn2,3,4 and an approximate simulation for Mn1 (see below). Relative scaling between these is necessarily arbitrary, that shown is reasonable from the experimental data. They are quantitatively (within ~5 MHz) consistent with the data for

Figure 7. Simulations of the broad form (A) and narrow form (B) $S_z$ ML CW EPR spectra from Fig. 1, using the parameter values in Table 1 A, B. The regions near g = 2 in the experimental data are distorted (or omitted) due to Ty6 subtraction artifacts and are not part of the $S_z$ ML spectra.

since the effective hyperfine tensor is not large. The Mn4 parameters are merely indicative and simulations using Mn1,2,3 only (plus quadrupole for Mn1) give results hardly different from that in Fig 7A (not shown, but Fig 7B has no Mn4 contribution).

For Mn4 is virtually 'switched off' while both Mn1 and 3 are highly anisotropic and almost totally rhombic. Even Mn2 (likely oxidation state IV) has significant rhombic anisotropy for such an ion. While the number of free parameters is large, many of these (principal component magnitudes of the $^{55}\text{Mn}$ hyperfine tensors) are constrained by the ENDOR results. The simulations (both CW and ENDOR) are insensitive to the relative signs of $A_{\text{Mn3}}$ and $A_{\text{Mn4}}$ and those shown are chosen on the basis of PELDOR experiments, described in the Discussion section.

A computer simulation of the X-Band ENDOR spectrum (point b, Fig. 5A), using parameters from Table 1 (ENDOR) is shown in Fig. 8A. This assumes full powder pattern contributions for Mn2,3,4 and an approximate simulation for Mn1 (see below). Relative scaling between these is necessarily arbitrary, that shown is reasonable from the experimental data. They are quantitatively (within ~5 MHz) consistent with the data for

Figure 8. Computer simulation (solid red) of the $^{55}\text{Mn}$ ENDOR spectra (black) of PS II Cores with the spin Hamiltonian parameters listed in Table 1 (ENDOR). (A) Low frequency region of the ENDOR spectrum (Fig. 2, point b). The individual Mn regions have been indicated in the figure. Powder pattern from Mn2,3,4 shown dashed (constitutes a total simulation below 100 MHz). Mn1 contribution orientationally emphasized (see text). (B) High frequency region of the ENDOR spectrum (Fig. 2, point b). Calculation includes only Mn1 and describes the resonances in the region ~170 -360 MHz.

frequencies, but intensities are semi-quantitative only. The EasySpin simulation includes the quadrupole interaction, but for randomly oriented systems calculates a powder pattern average of nuclear transitions, assumed uniformly sampled at the field point. This is not the case for the highly resolved, anisotropic spin packets involved here, (particularly for Mn1 contributions, below), but is more reasonable for the weaker couplings (Mn 3,4) when sampling near the centre of the ML EPR spectrum. Strong transitions above 200 MHz arise from Mn1, but this ion also contributes (along A2 manifold) in the ~100 -150 MHz region.

Given the inferred effective hyperfine coupling for Mn1, whose magnitude and anisotropy is the largest known for a Mn$^{III}$ centre (ESI Fig. S2), a different approach is needed to simulate ENDOR contributions from this centre. At any given field measurement point, all molecular orientations are unlikely to be equally represented in the (narrow) electron spin packets sampled for ENDOR. While rigorous simulation is difficult an approximate approach is possible, consistent with the baseline
data levelling used here. Because the $A_I$ tensor is near rhombic (i.e. $A_{xx} \sim A_{yy}$), each principal axis is almost equally likely to be viewed along a given orientation within the powder pattern. We assume the sharp turning points associated with these directions are the prominent features seen in the experimental ENDOR data (particularly the extremes, $A_{xx}, A_{yy}$). This is analogous to the well known situation in CW EPR, with narrow intrinsic linewidth and high $g$ anisotropy (e.g. Fe systems). Here only the $g$ tensor turning points are well resolved in the powder pattern, for statistic selection reasons. Since we employed baseline levelling of the raw ENDOR data (ESI Sections ES4, ES5), those broadened contributions from non principal axis aligned centres would not be reliably preserved in the data analysed.

Given the magnitudes of the other Mn couplings, only ENDOR transitions from $|M_s| = \frac{1}{2}$ on the $A_{xx}$ manifold are likely to be seen, for sampling near the ML centre (ESI Section ES3). Transitions from all $M_s$ values on the $A_{xx}$ manifold should be seen, with some for $A_{yy}$ (all included for simplicity). Further, the local density of transitions (i.e. likely hood of detection) near the pattern centre should be inversely proportional to the principal axis $A_{yy}$ value ($i = x, y, z$) for Mn1 (ESI Fig. S2). Fig. 8B shows such a simulation of the spectra from Fig. 5B (point b), using the Mn1 parameters alone from Table 1. Again, frequencies are in reasonable agreement with the experimental data for most features, but some intensities (notably $y$ direction, ESI Fig. S2) are exaggerated. The intense peaks in the 200-225 MHz region arise from the quadrupole manifold of $A_{xx}$ (see also Fig. 8A), variably selected with precise observation point, while those above 300 MHz come mainly from the $A_{yy}$ manifold. Slightly different values of the Mn1 hyperfine terms are estimated from the CW EPR and ENDOR fits (TableS1). We suspect some spread of Mn1 ($A_{yy}$ especially) parameters exists in our samples, which would lead to ‘blurring’ of the fine detail seen in the simulations, but less experimentally, in the CW EPR pattern near the spectral edges (Fig. 7A). Further, we assume alignment of the quadrupole and hyperfine tensors for Mn1 (unlikely for a centre of its unusual nature), which may explain the slight deviations between predicted and observed peak positions. Nonetheless, the above confirms that the intrinsic line broadening in our samples at 2.5 K is small, as the 25 ns microwave pulse inverts electron spin packets that are only ~0.4 mT wide. The intensity distribution in the experimental ENDOR spectra suggests pronounced (largely uncontrolled) orientation selection (ESI Fig. S3).

While the anisotropy of the Mn hyperfine parameters in Table 1 is significantly greater than that in dinuclear MnIIIIV model compounds, the effective $A_{xy}$ values seen (~400-450 MHz for MnIII and ~200-240 MHz for MnIV) are not inconsistent with those in Table 1. The MnIII and MnIV centres of the model complexes have strong (coupling) projection coefficients of $\rho_D = 2.0$ and $\rho_{UV} = -1.0$ and on this basis the spin projection factors in Table 1 are estimated. We return to the anisotropy of the effective hyperfine parameters below (Discussion).

Discussion

Pulsed ENDOR, (e.g. Davies ENDOR) requires the nuclear $T_1,T_2$ product to be sufficiently long for coherent magnetization rotation during the RF pulses. The results here demonstrate the dramatic influence of magnetic relaxation (generally nuclear spin, related to electron spin, below) on detection of $^{55}$Mn ENDOR in the OEC. In our data at 4.2 K and in virtually all previous ET studies on the ML system (see Fig. 6A), the ENDOR is dominated by resonances from Mn2, (roughly, the principal axis values listed in Table 1). The repeating envelope structure in the 100-160 MHz region of the broad $^{1}$H +EtOH spectrum of Britt et al., with peak spacing ~14 MHz, is clearly similar to that predicted here for the quadrupole structure on the lower edge of the broad form $A_{xx}$ manifold (ESI Section ES4, spacing ~12.5 MHz). This is consistent with our well established observation that the membrane $+\text{EtOH}$ ML spectrum is the ‘broadest’ seen, with a quadrupole interaction on Mn1 somewhat larger again than that seen in our PS II core complexes and likely larger than that of the narrow form, which remains yet to be reliably resolved, but see ESI Section ES6. The narrow form ($\pm\text{MeOH}$ data) seem to reflect principally an envelope from Mn2.

Although further studies are planned, a revealing pattern now emerges. It is well established that electron $T_1$ relaxation, if too fast, prohibits observation of $^{55}$Mn ENDOR in mixed valence model systems. This is particularly true in MnIIIIV complexes, for which only one, $^{1}$Piv OH, has yielded resolved ENDOR spectra. At 4 K the electron relaxation rate $1/T_1$, for Piv OH is of the same order (1 x $10^4$ s$^{-1}$) as that of all PS II samples in Fig. 4, except the plant core complexes, whose average rate at 4 K is ~250 s$^{-1}$. For the extensively studied MnIIIIV complexes, which readily yield full range Mn ENDOR spectra, the $T_1$ rate at 4 K is typically ~10 s$^{-1}$. For the broad ($+\text{EtOH}$) form ENDOR spectra of Britt et al. in Fig. 6A, the corresponding electron relaxation rate is ~$10^3$ s$^{-1}$. Thus a clear phenomenology for Mn ENDOR detection near the pattern envelope peak (necessary for PS II, where effective centre concentrations are 5-10 times less than for model compounds) emerges as:

1. $T_1$ Rate $> 10^5$: No resolved ENDOR spectra (all MnIIIIV complexes except Piv OH
2. $T_1$ Rate $\sim 10^4$: Only resonances in the region ~90-160 MHz from nearly isotropic effective hyperfine tensors are clearly seen (MnIIIIV for the OEC, Fig. 6A, MnIV for Piv OH, Fig. 2$^a$). Broad resonances from MnIV in Piv OH are seen above 200 MHz. These are probably observable only due to high sample concentration (1 mM) used. Nothing resolved here from OEC.
3. $T_1$ Rate $\sim 10^3$: MnII envelope clearly seen (Fig. 6A), overlaid now with resolved broad form quadrupole peaks from $A_{xx}$ manifold of Mn1. These probably extend up to ~250 MHz at least. Nothing clearly resolved near 300 MHz or above.
4. $T_1$ Rate $\sim 250$: Now contributions from Mn1,2,3 are clearly seen, but the MnII envelope is most prominent (Fig. 6A). Mn1 resolved quadrupole structure comes mainly from $A_{xx}$ manifold and does not extend beyond 230 MHz (Fig. 6B).
5. $T_1$ Rate $< 100$: Finally full contributions from Mn1,2,3 (at least) are clearly seen in the OEC (Fig. 5A,B). All resonances in MnIIIIV models resolved, for example Cox et al.$^{26}$ and references therein.
It is now totally clear why no previous Mn ENDOR studies on the OEC $S_1$ state ML signal have revealed the range of hyperfine coupling seen here. This follows from the matters alluded to in the Introduction on nuclear relaxation. Sample nuclear relaxation conditions, correlating generally with electron $1/T_1$ rates $< 100$ s$^{-1}$ at the measurement temperature, are required. As noted the relevant nuclear rates are the dephasing rates (in the rotating frame, basically $T_1$ processes), which suppress the ENDOR. These effective $T_1$ rates can of course be much greater than $T_1$ rates, as they are strongly influenced by low frequency phonon modes, which generally dominate at low temperatures$^{36}$. However, the Electron $T_1$ rates are readily measured and serve as a convenient ‘proxy’ indicator for magnetic relaxation rates generally. Further, the Mn oxidation state itself likely plays a role. Mn$^{III}$ ions, which often have readily distortable Jahn-Teller axes (as for Mn$^{III}$,4 in our recent OEC modelling studies$^{36}$) will generally experience significant phonon induced electron/nuclear hyperfine modulation, thus driving nuclear relaxation rates$^{49}$. It is thus unremarkable that generally, only the ENDOR resonances arising from Mn2, which is in the IV oxidation state (uniquely in the low paradigm), are readily seen at 4.2 K. Following the initial studies of Brit$^{14}$ et al. (+EtOH samples), all subsequent studies up till the present involved PS II material with electron spin relaxation rates, $1/T_1$ at least two orders of magnitude faster than those seen here ($\sim 10^5$). Essentially only Mn2 resonances were reliably seen. Based on extrapolations of data in Fig. 4, measurement temperatures of 2 K or lower would be needed with conventional PS II samples for full ENDOR development, however our active core material allows this to be raised to ~3 K.

Our results also have significant implications for the redox organization of the Mn ions within the $S_1$ state of the OEC cluster. The observation of large fractional anisotropy on two, probably three Mn centres, with modest anisotropy in the remaining centre (near rhombic in all cases), suggests that three Mn$^{II}$ ions and one Mn$^{IV}$ ion are present, i.e. the low oxidation state paradigm. We have shown computationally$^{42}$ that Mn X-ray Absorption Near Edge Structure (XANES) data are consistent with this, as are other spectroscopic results$^{10}$ and the Mn oxidation states inferred from photo-assembly experiments. Finally, a very recent large scale computational study of the OEC region$^{13}$ rationalized both the 2.9 Å and 1.9 Å XRD structures,$^{1,4}$ within the low oxidation state paradigm, without invoking radiation damage or photo-reduction.

Another remarkable result is that the magnetic coupling in $S_2$ is ‘dimer like’ (spin projections $\rho_1 \sim 2$ and $\rho_2 \sim -1$ for Mn1,2) with two Mn ions (Mn3,4) almost ‘switched off’ (net spin $\rho_3, \rho_4 \sim 0$). This has been proposed empirically$^{32}$ and as mentioned above, is consistent with or admitted as one possibility within earlier magnetic exchange coupling models$^{10,15,19}$ of the OEC. The failure to realize this before, by detection of ENDOR resonances outside the $\sim 70$-170 MHz region, is a direct consequence of nuclear relaxation effects, fully overcome for the first time here. Our results allow rationalization of a number of earlier experiments, now interpretable as probing spin projections on the individual Mn of the OEC directly$^{30}$. Firstly, ESEEM/ENDOR determinations show that the effective $4I_{\text{iso}}$ of the single strong $^{14}$N coupling in the $S_1$ ML signal (from Nt of His332 to Mn1), is $\sim 6-7$ MHz.$^{15,46}$ This occurs only through $\pi$ interactions,$^{10}$ for which the ‘isolated ion’ $^{14}$N $\delta$ is $\sim 2-4$ MHz.$^{45,46}$ So $\rho_1$ must be $\sim 2$, as found here. Similarly, Brit$^{14}$ et al. showed from $^{15}$N terminal labelling of the D1 peptide that the $\beta$ value of Mn2 was most likely $-1.3$ (see also$^{32}$). The same group also showed that the fully functional His mutant at the D1 Asp170 position (ligates Mn4) showed no extra detectable $^{14}$N coupling in $S_2$. This was very surprising, but is now easily understood given our result that $\rho_4 \sim 0$. Similarly, the recent W-band studies of Lubitz$^{14}$ et al., together with the results here, yield a totally consistent model for the OEC $S_1$ state and locate one substrate water molecule and our molecular axis frame within it (Fig. 9 below).

Further, the very recent PELDOR studies of Mino$^{14}$ et al., exploring the through space dipole/dipole interaction between the stable Tyr$_{TD}$ radical ($\sim 30$ Å from the OEC cluster) and the $S_2$ ML spin $\frac{1}{2}$ centre, found a best match to their data assuming $\rho_1 \sim 2.0$ and $\rho_2 \sim -1.2$, with $\rho_3, \rho_4$ values such that $\rho_1 + \rho_4 \sim 0.2$. This is also quite consistent with the results obtained here.

Finally, the hyperfine parameters assigned here to Mn1 are notable, by comparison with those for Mn$^{IV}$ in model Mn$^{II}$,IV complexes and super-oxidised catalase (probably the closest protein analogue). Lubitz, Brit$^{14}$ et al. report extensive data on such systems$^{13}$. The Mn1 maximum hyperfine component is $\sim 660$ MHz and the total anisotropic range, $\sim 330$ MHz. The Mn hyperfine tensor is almost totally rhombic and the fractional anisotropy is $\sim 65\%$ of the $A_{\text{iso}}$ value. For the variety of model systems, the hyperfine symmetry of the Mn$^{III}$ centre ranges from near axial, to moderately rhombic, with a maximum fractional anisotropy of $\sim 45\%$ of $A_{\text{iso}}$ and maximal hyperfine component of $\sim 500$ MHz (but not both for the same compound). A structural comparison of the model systems in ref 13 (including catalase) with the OEC (Fig 9) shows that the OEC has the lowest ($C_1$) symmetry of any and that, unlike the model complexes, all Mn centres we assign to the Mn$^{III}$ state (Mn1,3,4) are essentially five co-ordinate (vs. six co-ordinate in model complexes). This is because the O(5) species is at least $\sim 2.5$ Å from any of these Mn$^{III}$ ions, presumably along the Jahn-Teller axes$^{14}$. The possible consequences of these and other factors for the hyperfine interactions are presently under computational study.

Model of the OEC $S_2$ State from this work and other EPR/Theoretical studies

The magnetic/electronic structure of the OEC in the $S_2$ state, which emerges from these considerations, is summarised in Fig. 9. Magnetically it is not too far removed from a simple model in which a strongly anti-ferromagnetically coupled spin 1/2 Mn$^{II}$,IV dimer (Mn1,2) is closely adjacent to, but only weakly exchange coupled with, an equi-valent Mn dimer (Mn3,4, probably Mn$^{III}$,III), whose internal coupling is also anti-ferromagnetic. The latter pair have zero net spin ground state but can thermally access excited states of even spin (Orbach like process), which would be powerful paramagnetic relaxation enhancers through dipole-dipole interactions. Thus the good fit of the mixed dipolar model to the ML relaxation in Fig. 3 is not surprising, particularly as the $F$ parameter increases with temperature.

The conclusion that the magnetic coupling in the $S_2$ OEC is nearly ‘dimer of dimers’, with $\rho_1 \sim 2.0, \rho_2 \sim -1$, and $\rho_3, \rho_4$ being of opposite sign and small in magnitude (near cancelling) is superficially odd given the Mn cluster geometry. However, it is totally consistent with what are the most advanced (and recent)
quantum chemical calculations yet undertaken on OEC models. These\textsuperscript{25} are the first such treatments beyond conventional density functional theory (DFT) and use density matrix renormalisation group theory (DMRG), generating near exact many electron wave function solutions (‘entangled states’). A robust conclusion from these, even in an assumed high oxidation state paradigm, was that $\rho_1 \approx 2.0$, $\rho_2 \approx -1.0$ and, $\rho_1$, $\rho_2$ were each of small, equal magnitude and opposite sign ($\sim 0.2$ to 0.3 magnitude). Because the total ML pattern width is $\sim 5.5$ GHz, and the dominant line spacing is $\sim 250$ MHz (ie 9.0 mT), these calculations essentially demand that one coupling be large, i.e. of order 600 MHz, since two Mn couplings are small. This arises because, in simple terms,

$$ML \text{ width (5.5 GHz)} \sim 5(A_{1_{\text{eff}}} + A_{2_{\text{eff}}} + A_{3_{\text{eff}}} + A_{4_{\text{eff}}})$$

where the $A_{\text{eff}}$ terms are appropriate (\sim angularly averaged) effective $^{55}$Mn hyperfine magnitudes. One, ($A_{3_{\text{eff}}} \text{ say}$) must be $\sim 250$ MHz (observed), two ($A_{3_{\text{eff}}} \text{ say}$) are ‘small’ (< 70 Mhz, quantum computational\textsuperscript{25}) and seen here, Fig 1A). So $A_{1_{\text{eff}}}$ is at least as stated above (600 MHz) and indeed as also seen here.

Conclusions

In conclusion, we have presented the first $^{55}$Mn pulsed ENDOR studies on the $S_2$ state multiline spin ½ centre of the OEC in PS II core complexes at temperatures down to 2.5 K. The longer electron/nuclear spin relaxation times found at 2.5 K, have allowed us to observe highly resolved $^{55}$Mn ENDOR resonances of the $S_2$ state of the OEC at frequencies beyond those observed previously. $^{55}$Mn ENDOR hyperfine couplings ranging from $\sim 50$ to $\sim 680$ MHz were observed for the $S_2$ state multiline EPR signal. In conjunction with complementary high resolution X-Band CW EPR measurements and detailed computer simulations, the data reveal that at least two and probably three Mn hyperfine couplings with large anisotropy are observed, indicating that three Mn$^{\text{III}}$ ions are likely present in the functional $S_2$ state of the enzyme. This suggests that the low oxidation state paradigm obtains for the OEC, with mean Mn oxidation levels of 3.0 and 3.25 in the $S_1$ and $S_2$ states respectively. An unexpected Mn exchange coupling exists in the $S_2$ state, with two Mn ions nearly magnetically silent, in agreement with very recent high level quantum computational studies (DMRG) of the OEC electronic states\textsuperscript{25}. Our results allow a systematic rationalization of a number of previous ligand ESEEM/ENDOR studies on the OEC, some previously puzzling. This yields a common picture which is closely consistent with recent photo-assembly\textsuperscript{25} and large scale structural modelling of the OEC,\textsuperscript{25} using DFT.
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Figure 9. Geometric and electronic structures of the OEC. (A). Magnetic and electronic spin coupling scheme for the $S_2$ OEC, based on DFT calculations of model OEC clusters,\textsuperscript{25} which would be consistent with the EPR studies on the $S_2$ ML state reported here. Mn numbered by our usual convention.\textsuperscript{25} Small values or near net cancellation of the $J_{2s}$ and $J_{2s}$ terms would need to occur. Very recent ‘entangled state’ quantum calculations support this.\textsuperscript{25} Then the system approximates two isolated dimers, one with net spin ½ ($^{55}$Mn$^{\text{III}}$ dimer ($Mn_{1,2}$)) and the other with net spin 0 ground state ($^{55}$Mn$^{\text{III}}$ dimer ($Mn_{3,4}$)). (B) Structural model of the OEC ($S_2$ state), based on the 1.9 Å XRD structure of Kiamiya, Shen et al.\textsuperscript{4} and our recent computational modelling of this structure.\textsuperscript{12} The O(5) species and its recent identification as a water molecule,\textsuperscript{11} as is the $W_1$ species, but $W_2$ is likely an hydroxide in $S_2$.\textsuperscript{12} The molecular axis system, consistent with our data and that of Lubitz, Cox et al.\textsuperscript{6} is shown. This has a z axis essentially along the Mn1 - O(5) direction. The y axis points along the Mn1 - N(His 332) direction.


