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Nitrogen oxides are considered to be important astrochemical precursors of complex species and prebiotics. However, apart from the hydrogenation of solid NO that leads to the surface formation of hydroxylamine, little is known on the full solid state reaction network involving both nitrogen and oxygen. Our study is divided in two papers, hereby called Part I and Part II. In the accompanying paper, we investigate the surface reactions NO+O₂/O₃ and NO+N with a focus on the formation of NO₂ ice. Here, we complement this study by measurements on the surface destruction of solid NO₂, e.g., NO₂+H/O/N. Experiments are performed in two separate ultra-high vacuum setups and therefore under different experimental conditions to better constrain the experimental results. Surface reaction products are monitored by means of Fourier Transform Reflection Absorption Infrared Spectroscopy (FT-RAIRS) and Temperature Programmed Desorption (TPD) techniques using mass spectrometry. The surface destruction of solid NO₂ leads to the formation of a series of nitrogen oxides such as NO, N₂O, N₂O₃, and N₂O₄ as well as HNO, NH₂OH, and H₂O. When NO₂ is mixed in an interstellar more relevant apolar (i.e., CO) ice, solid CO₂ and HCOOH are also formed due to interactions between different reaction routes. The astrophysical implications of the full nitrogen and oxygen reaction network derived from Part I and II are discussed.

1 Introduction

Ice-covered dust grains play a key role in the chemistry of the interstellar medium. The cumulative outcome of recent observations, laboratory studies, and astrochemical models indicates that there is a strong interplay between the gas and the solid phase throughout the formation process of molecules in space.¹ ² Surface reaction mechanisms on cold dust grains initiate molecular chemistry starting with the formation of H₂, and dominate the formation of complex organic molecules (COMs) in space. Indeed, interstellar grains provide surfaces on which gas-phase species can accrete, meet, and react, and to which they can donate the excess energy. Therefore, in dense cold clouds, icy dust grains act both as a molecular reservoir and as sites for catalysis.

Complex grain surface chemistry is also triggered by photon-induced cosmic ray irradiation and particle bombardment, e.g., free atoms, electrons, and cosmic rays.¹ ³ During later stages of star formation, condensed-phase molecules can be thermally processed to form more complex species.⁴ Ultimately, ices may desorb from the dust grains and participate in second generation gas-phase reaction schemes.⁵ Some of this material can re-condense in the inner part of the protoplanetary disk, according to their snow-lines, and then be eventually delivered to icy planetesimals and planets.⁶

Nitrogen-containing molecules are essential for life on Earth. Therefore, understanding the nitrogen and oxygen chemistry in space is an important step to link the formation of interstellar COMs to the origin of life. However, to date, surface nitrogen chemistry is poorly understood. Laboratory studies showed that ion irradiation of interstellar relevant ices containing N₂ (e.g., H₂O:N₂ + 60 keV of Ar⁺; CO:N₂ + 200 keV of H⁺) leads to the formation of some nitrogen oxides, such as NO, N₂O, and NO₂. When carbon-bearing species are present in the ice and are irradiated in a mixture with N₂, also OCN⁻ is formed.⁷ ⁸ Laboratory studies of non-energetic surface processing showed that, for instance, ammonia (NH₃), hydroxylamine (NH₂OH), nitrogen dioxide (NO₂), dinitrogen trioxide (N₂O₃), nitrous acid (HNO₂), and nitric acid (HNO₃) are efficiently formed at low temperatures through hydrogenation of N-atoms, NO+H, NO+O₂, NO+NO₂, NO+OH, and NO₂+OH reactions, respectively.⁹–¹⁶

In the accompanying paper, hereafter referred to as Part I,¹⁷ we investigate the oxygenation of solid NO (NO+O/O₂/O₃) at low temperature, on different substrates (silicate, graphite, compact amorphous solid water - ASW, and gold), and in dif-
ifferent environments (pure NO, polar ice - NO:H$_2$O, and apolar ice - NO:CO). Solid NO$_2$ is shown to be efficiently formed in all cases indicating that the reaction NO+O is barrierless or has a small barrier. Despite these findings, interstellar NO$_2$ has not been observed yet. According to the UMIST database, NO$_2$ has an estimated abundance of $\sim 10^{-11}$ wrt molecular hydrogen in the early stage of an interstellar cloud, and $\sim 2 \times 10^{-10}$ later at steady state. The non-detection of NO$_2$ can be explained by efficient photodissociation and/or a non-energetic surface consumption pathway. The latter is the topic of the present study that shows the laboratory destruction of NO$_2$ through surface reactions (NO$_2$+H/O/N).

It should be noted that pure NO and NO$_2$ ice are not expected to exist in space, however interstellar NO and NO$_2$ should be present in the solid phase mixed with more abundant species. The astrophysical relevance of the work presented here aims at characterizing selected surface reaction processes, such as NO+H/O/N and NO$_2$+H/O/N, that occur in interstellar ices and that can explain the formation of more complex species in space (e.g., NH$_2$OH).

In order to achieve this goal, a systematic study is presented that starts from the simplest case of pure ices and also includes interstellar more relevant environments. In Part I, we studied the formation of NO$_2$ in both polar and apolar ices. Figure 3 of Part I shows that all the infrared features from N-bearing species present in a water-rich ice are broadened, shifted, and overlap with the strong OH bending mode of water ice. Thus, the unambiguous identification of species consumed or formed in the ice upon atom exposure is not trivial in a polar environment. On the other hand, Figure 4 of Part I shows that a CO-rich ice is a better environment to study surface reactions of N-bearing species, because all the spectral features are sharp and do not overlap with the CO modes. Therefore, only the results for pure and interstellar relevant apolar ices are presented here.

2 Experimental

As for Part I, experiments are performed in two different setups: SURFRESIDE$^2$ at the Sackler Laboratory for Astrophysics, Leiden University, The Netherlands, and FORMLISM at the University of Cergy-Pontoise, France. Here follows a brief description of the setups and the experimental methods, since both systems are discussed in detail elsewhere.\textsuperscript{12,15} The use of two ultra-high vacuum (UHV) systems allows for complementary studies of selected interstellar relevant surface reactions. Therefore, a combination of experiments performed in these setups gives information on surface reactions occurring under different surface coverage (submonolayer vs multilayer regime), different substrates (gold, silicates, graphite, and ASW ice), and different matrix environments (pure NO and NO$_2$, NO in polar ice, and NO and NO$_2$ in apolar ice).

2.1 SURFRESIDE$^2$ setup

SURFRESIDE$^2$ consists of three distinct UHV chambers. In the main chamber, ices are deposited with monolayer precision (where 1 ML is assumed to be $10^{12}$ molecules cm$^{-2}$) and processed at atmospherically relevant temperatures (13 – 300 K) on a gold substrate. Reflection Absorption Infrared Spectroscopy (RAIRS) and Temperature Programmed Desorption (TPD) are used as analytical tools to characterize the ice composition. In the other chambers different atom sources are mounted for the controlled production of well characterized atom (molecular) beams. Two different atom sources are used, one Hydrogen Atom Beam Source (HABS, Dr. Eberl MBE-Komponenten GmbH)\textsuperscript{19–21} based on thermal cracking, and a Microwave Atom Source (MWAS, Oxford Scientific Ltd)\textsuperscript{22,23} that implements a microwave discharge (300 W at 2.50 GHz). The HABS is used to hydrogenate/deuterate the sample, while the MWAS can produce beams of different atoms and radicals (e.g., H, D, O, OH, OD, and N).

The two custom-made atom line chambers present identical geometrical characteristics. Shutters separate the beam lines from the main chamber and allow for an independent operation of the individual atom beam lines. A quartz pipe is placed after each shutter and along the path of the dissociated beam. The nose-shaped form of the pipe is designed to efficiently quench the excited electronic and ro-vibrational states of species through collisions with the walls of the pipe before they reach the ice sample. The geometry is designed in such a way that this is realized through at least four wall collisions of the atoms before leaving the pipe. In this way, “hot” species cannot reach the ice directly. All atom fluxes are in the range between $10^{12}$ and $10^{13}$ atoms cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ and the calibration procedures are described in Ref.\textsuperscript{15}.

The system is designed to investigate selected surface reactions in the multilayer regime, on an optically flat gold substrate, in pure, polar, and apolar ices, and for different atom fluxes. As described in Part I, co-deposition and sequential deposition experiments can be performed with this system under fully controlled conditions. Since ices are studied in the multilayer regime, RAIR spectra are used to test the reaction routes \textit{in situ}, while QMS data are used as a complementary technique to constrain the RAIR results.

2.2 FORMLISM setup

FORMLISM consists of an UHV main chamber and two triply differentially pumped atom lines. The main chamber contains a silicate (or graphite) substrate that can be cooled down to 6.5 K. As for SURFRESIDE$^2$, ices are studied \textit{in situ} by a Fourier Transform Infrared (FTIR) spectrometer in RAIR mode, and a quadrupole mass spectrometer (QMS). Diversely from SURFRESIDE$^2$ that mounts the QMS on the back-side of the rotatable gold substrate, the substrate in FORMLISM
can be linearly translated in front of the rotatable QMS. In several experiments, compact ASW is deposited at 110 K on the substrate prior to the deposition of any reactants to study the substrate effect on thin ices (i.e., sub-monolayer regime). The two atom sources are both microwave driven dissociation sources (300 W at 2.50 GHz). The atom fluxes are characterized in Part I and elsewhere.\textsuperscript{12,24} FORMOLISM uses TPD and QMS data as main techniques to investigate surface reaction schemes. Due to the lower signal-to-noise ratio of the RAIR data acquired in the sub-monolayer regime, RAIR spectra are usually used to constrain the results from the more sensitive, however, non-in\textit{ situ} QMS technique.

SURFRESIDE\textsuperscript{2} and FORMOLISM are two complementary and unique systems that combined investigate interstellar relevant surface reaction schemes under an extensive physical and chemical range of conditions. Table I lists the experiments performed by the two systems to study the destruction of NO\textsubscript{2} molecules for astronomically relevant temperatures. In the next section, we show the results of the experiments listed in Table I. The possible destruction routes are presented in the discussion section. The paper completes with astrophysical implications and conclusions.

3 Results

3.1 NO\textsubscript{2}+H

Figure 1 shows the TPD curves of NO\textsubscript{2} (mass 46, left panel), H\textsubscript{2}O (mass 18, central panel), and NH\textsubscript{2}OH (mass 33, right panel) for two different sequential deposition experiments: 2.5 ML of NO\textsubscript{2} (black line) and 2.5 ML of NO\textsubscript{2} + 10 ML of H atoms (red line). A graphite plate held at 10 K is used as a substrate. To have similar background water contributions to the total water observed in both experiments (central panel), TPD experiments were started in both cases 50 minutes after the ice was deposited. Therefore, for the first experiment (2.5 ML of NO\textsubscript{2}) the ice was left at 10 K for 50 minutes before the TPD started, while for the second experiment (2.5 ML of NO\textsubscript{2} + 10 ML of H) the ice was exposed to 50 minutes of H atoms (red line). A graphite plate held at 10 K is used as a substrate e.g. for a high H-atom coverage, the final result in both experiments is the formation of a saturated species, such as water and hydroxylamine. This indicates that independent of these experimental settings, the reaction NO\textsubscript{2}+H → NO+OH is efficient in the solid phase.

![Fig. 1 TPD curves of NO\textsubscript{2} (m/z=46, left panel), H\textsubscript{2}O (m/z=18, central panel), and NH\textsubscript{2}OH (m/z=33, right panel) for two different co-deposition experiments at 10 K: 2.5 ML of NO\textsubscript{2} (black line) and 2.5 ML of NO\textsubscript{2} + 10 ML of H atoms (red line).](image-url)

Here indicates that either mass 33 is due to NH\textsubscript{2}OH trapped by a less volatile unidentified species formed in the ice, or mass 33 is actually caused by an unidentified species that desorbs at higher temperatures than NH\textsubscript{2}OH but fractionates via a NH\textsubscript{2}OH channel in the QMS.

A comparison between infrared spectra for pure NO\textsubscript{2}, H\textsubscript{2}O, and NH\textsubscript{2}OH depositions, and co-deposition of NO\textsubscript{2}+H with a ratio ~1:10 confirms the TPD results shown in Fig. 1 (see Figure 2). In particular, spectrum (a) shows the dimerization of solid NO\textsubscript{2}, while spectrum (b) presents all the absorption peaks due to H\textsubscript{2}O and NH\textsubscript{2}OH as confirmed in spectra (c) and (d). Table 2 lists all the absorption features visible in Figure 2. The results shown in Figures 1 and 2 are obtained under different experimental conditions: Fig. 1 - silicon substrate and sequential deposition; Fig. 2 - gold substrate and co-deposition. The ice thickness is similar for both experiments (~3 ML), while the analysis techniques are different: TPD with QMS (Fig. 1) and in\textit{ situ} FT-RAIR measurements (Fig. 2). Since both experiments use a high H-atom coverage, the final result in both experiments is the formation of saturated species, such as water and hydroxylamine. This indicates that independent of these experimental settings, the reaction NO\textsubscript{2}+H → NO+OH is efficient in the solid phase.

3.1.1 NO\textsubscript{2}+H in an apolar ice. The NO\textsubscript{2}+H reaction is studied in an apolar ice by co-depositing NO\textsubscript{2}, \textsuperscript{13}CO, and H atoms onto a gold substrate at 15 K. The choice of an astrochemically relevant CO ice matrix allows for the study of different reactants formed through hydrogenation of the ice and, therefore, a better understanding of the full reaction scheme. Figure 3 shows the co-deposition spectrum of \textsuperscript{13}CO+H=1:1.5 at 13.5 K (a) compared to the co-deposition of NO\textsubscript{2}+\textsuperscript{13}CO=1:50 (b) and the co-deposition of NO\textsubscript{2}+\textsuperscript{13}CO+H=1:50:10 (c), both at 15 K. All these experiments have the same H-atom fluence (1.5 × 10\textsuperscript{16} atoms cm\textsuperscript{-2}) as well as the same NO\textsubscript{2} and \textsuperscript{13}CO deposition rates (0.05 and
2.5 L min\(^{-1}\)) when deposited together. Therefore, a comparison of these spectra gives a qualitative picture of the destruction of solid NO\(_2\) and allows for the identification of new species formed through the hydrogenation of the ice. In particular, spectrum (a) confirms the formation of formaldehyde after hydrogenation of \(^{13}\)CO ice;\(^{25–27}\) spectrum (b) clearly

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Experiment</th>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Thickness</th>
<th>(R_{dep}) (L/min)</th>
<th>(T_{dep}) (K)</th>
<th>(T_{atom-add}) (K)</th>
<th>(P_{atm}) ((10^{-3}) mbar)</th>
<th>MWP (W)</th>
<th>Atom-fluence ((10^{16}) atoms/cm(^2))</th>
<th>(t) (min)</th>
<th>RAIRS</th>
<th>TPD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(30\text{ML NO}_2)</td>
<td>seq-dep</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2130</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>(30\text{Y})</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(30\text{ML NO}_2)</td>
<td>co-dep</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2150</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>QMS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(30\text{ML NO}_2)</td>
<td>co-dep</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>2150</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>QMS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(30\text{ML NO}_2)</td>
<td>co-dep</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>2150</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>QMS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(30\text{ML NO}_2)</td>
<td>co-dep</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2150</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(30\text{ML NO}_2)</td>
<td>dep</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sequential deposition (seq-dep), co-deposition (co-dep), and deposition of single species (dep) are performed under different laboratory conditions. The thickness in monolayers (ML); \(R_{dep}\) is the deposition rate of a selected molecule expressed in Langmuir (L min\(^{-1}\)); \(T_{dep}\) is the substrate temperature during deposition; \(T_{atom-add}\) is the substrate temperature during atom addition; \(P_{atm}\) is the atomic line pressure used during atom addition; MWP is the microwave power used in the experiments; atom-fluence is the total fluence at the end of the experiment; \(t\) is the total time of atom addition; RAIRS is the acquisition of RAIR spectra during seq-dep, co-dep, or dep of selected species; TPD is the temperature programmed desorption experiment performed afterward. *These two experiments are from the hydrogenation of the same ice, i.e., first with low and then with high H-atom flux.

**Table 1** List of experiments performed by SURFRESIDE\(^2\) and FORMALISM.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Experiment</th>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Thickness</th>
<th>(R_{dep}) (L/min)</th>
<th>(T_{dep}) (K)</th>
<th>(T_{atom-add}) (K)</th>
<th>(P_{atm}) ((10^{-3}) mbar)</th>
<th>MWP (W)</th>
<th>Atom-fluence ((10^{16}) atoms/cm(^2))</th>
<th>(t) (min)</th>
<th>RAIRS</th>
<th>TPD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(NO_2)</td>
<td>seq-dep</td>
<td>1:25:2</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>275</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(NO_2)</td>
<td>co-dep</td>
<td>1:50.1:10</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2150</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(NO_2)</td>
<td>co-dep</td>
<td>1:50</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2150</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(NO_2)</td>
<td>co-dep</td>
<td>1:15</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>275</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2** List of experiments performed by SURFRESIDE\(^2\) and FORMALISM.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Experiment</th>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Thickness</th>
<th>(R_{dep}) (L/min)</th>
<th>(T_{dep}) (K)</th>
<th>(T_{atom-add}) (K)</th>
<th>(P_{atm}) ((10^{-3}) mbar)</th>
<th>MWP (W)</th>
<th>Atom-fluence ((10^{16}) atoms/cm(^2))</th>
<th>(t) (min)</th>
<th>RAIRS</th>
<th>TPD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(NO_2)</td>
<td>seq-dep</td>
<td>10:1</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(NO_2)</td>
<td>co-dep</td>
<td>1:10</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(NO_2)</td>
<td>co-dep</td>
<td>1:10</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(H_2)</td>
<td>seq-dep</td>
<td>1:50:1:10</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(H_2)</td>
<td>seq-dep</td>
<td>50:0.1:10</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 3** List of experiments performed by SURFRESIDE\(^2\) and FORMALISM.
Fig. 2 Four RAIR spectra at 15 K of: (a) 3 ML of NO$_2$; (b) NO$_2$ co-deposited with H atoms with a ratio of ~1:10 and a H-atom flux of $1 \times 10^{13}$ atoms cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$; (c) a spectrum of pure H$_2$O ice; and (d) pure NH$_2$OH ice obtained by co-depositing NO molecules and H atoms with a ratio of 1:10 (see Refs. 12,13).

shows all the carbon monoxide isotopologues, as well as the NO$_2$ monomer, N$_2$O$_3$ and N$_2$O$_4$. The strong NO$_2$ feature seen in spectrum (b) at 1612 cm$^{-1}$ almost disappears in spectrum (c) where new features due to $^{13}$CO$_2$, HO$^{13}$CO, H$^{13}$COOH, HNO, and NH$_2$OH show up. The presence of HNO in the ice can be explained by the nature of the co-deposition experiments, where the continuous deposition of new molecules on the cold ice surface causes some of the formed HNO to get trapped in the ice matrix, where it is not further converted into NH$_2$OH as shown in Refs. 11–13. The formation of species not previously detected during the hydrogenation of pure NO$_2$ or pure CO indicates that the interaction of the two reaction pathways leads toward a higher degree of chemical complexity in the ice. The full reaction scheme leading to the formation of the aforementioned species is presented in the discussion section, while a list of spectral features and their assignments is shown in Table 2.

Although NO$_2$ is mixed in a $^{13}$CO matrix (NO$_2$ $\ll$ $^{13}$CO), the only hydrogenation products observed in spectrum (c) of Fig. 3 are due to the hydrogenation of NO$_2$: i.e., the hydrogenation products of solid $^{13}$CO (H$_2^{13}$CO and $^{13}$CH$_3$OH) are under the detection limit. HO$^{13}$CO, $^{13}$CO$_2$, and H$^{13}$COOH are all products of the reaction OH$^{+}$H$^{13}$CO as is discussed in Section 4. Thus, the reaction NO$_2$+H is more efficient than reaction $^{13}$CO+H for the experimental settings studied here, and proceeds most likely barrierless or with a small barrier in the solid phase.

3.1.2 H-atom flux dependence. Figure 4 shows NO$_2$:13CO hydrogenation experiments for different H-atom fluxes: spectrum (a) is a co-deposition of NO$_2$:13CO=1:50 at 15 K used for comparison with spectrum (b), that is the low H-atom flux co-deposition experiment (NO$_2$:13CO:H=1:25:2), and with spectrum (c), that is the high H-atom flux co-deposition experiment (NO$_2$:13CO:H=1:50:10). NO$_2$ monomer, N$_2$O$_3$, and all the isotopologues of $^{13}$CO are present in spectrum (a). Spectrum (b) shows that NO$_2$ monomer is consumed by the low H-atom flux to form NO monomer, HNO, and $^{13}$CO$_2$. At high H-atom fluences and for a high H-atom flux, the NO$_2$ concentration is further reduced, most of the formed NO is converted into NH$_2$OH, also the HO$^{13}$CO complex is above the detection limit as well as H$^{13}$COOH, and the $^{13}$CO$_2$ signal is further increased. The use of different H-atom fluxes in co-deposition experiments allows for the iden-
Table 2 Assigned infrared features with their corresponding references.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Frequency/cm⁻¹</th>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Mode</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>751</td>
<td>N₂O₅/NO₂</td>
<td>v₁₂/v₂</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>781</td>
<td>N₂O₃</td>
<td>v₄</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>870</td>
<td>NH₂OH</td>
<td>v₆</td>
<td>29.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1041</td>
<td>O₂</td>
<td>v₃</td>
<td>31–33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1117</td>
<td>H¹³COOH</td>
<td>v₆</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1143</td>
<td>NH₂OH</td>
<td>v₅</td>
<td>29.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1167</td>
<td>N₂O</td>
<td>2v₂</td>
<td>35.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1260</td>
<td>N₂O₄</td>
<td>v₁₁</td>
<td>28.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1290</td>
<td>N₂O</td>
<td>v₁</td>
<td>35.36,38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1303</td>
<td>N₂O₅/NO₂</td>
<td>v₃/v₁</td>
<td>28.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1494</td>
<td>H¹³CO</td>
<td>v₃</td>
<td>34.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1571</td>
<td>HNO</td>
<td>v₃</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1602</td>
<td>NH₂OH</td>
<td>v₃</td>
<td>29.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1615</td>
<td>NO₂/N₂O₃</td>
<td>v₃/v₂</td>
<td>28.38/28.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1693</td>
<td>H¹²CO</td>
<td>v₂</td>
<td>34.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1712</td>
<td>H¹³COOH</td>
<td>v₃</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1737</td>
<td>t-(NO)₂/N₂O₄</td>
<td>v₁/v₉</td>
<td>28/37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1754</td>
<td>c-HO¹³CO</td>
<td>v₂</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1766</td>
<td>c-(NO)₂/N₂O₄</td>
<td>v₃/v₉</td>
<td>28.42/37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1786</td>
<td>t-HO¹³CO</td>
<td>v₂</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1833-1848</td>
<td>N₂O₃</td>
<td>v₁</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1850</td>
<td>N₂O₃</td>
<td>v₁</td>
<td>28.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1875</td>
<td>NO (monomer)</td>
<td>v₁</td>
<td>28.37,42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2039</td>
<td>¹³C¹⁸O</td>
<td>v₁</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2066</td>
<td>¹³C¹⁷O</td>
<td>v₁</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2096</td>
<td>¹³CO</td>
<td>v₁</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2139</td>
<td>CO</td>
<td>v₁</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2235</td>
<td>N₂O</td>
<td>v₃</td>
<td>35.36,38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2280</td>
<td>¹³CO₂</td>
<td>v₃</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Note: N₂O₃: peak position shifts in different environments (see Figs. 5 and 7).*

3.2 NO₂+O

As for the hydrogenation of NO₂, NO₂+O is studied in an apolar astrochemically relevant CO ice at 15 K. Figure 5 shows the co-deposition spectrum of ¹³CO+O=50:0.1 with the O-atom beam arising from N₂O plasma dissociation (O:N₂O=0:1.1) (a), compared to the co-deposition of NO₂+¹³CO=1:50 (b), and the co-deposition of NO₂+¹³CO+O=1:50:0.1 (c). All these experiments have the same O-atom fluence (1.5 × 10¹⁴ atoms cm⁻²) as well as the same NO₂ and ¹³CO deposition rates (0.05 and 2.5 L min⁻¹).

Since O atoms are produced in a N₂O plasma in the MWAS, spectrum (a) of Fig. 5 shows features due to N₂O, in addition to all the isotopologues of ¹³CO, and the newly formed O₃ and ¹³CO₂. Spectrum (b) of Fig. 5 is very similar to spectrum (b) in Fig. 3 showing features from the ¹³CO isotopologues, NO₂ monomer, N₂O₃, and N₂O₄. A comparison between spectrum (b) and (c) reveals that NO₂ is destroyed during O-atom bombardment, while NO monomer and N₂O₃ are formed in this process (see Table 2).

A comparison between spectra (a) and (c) of Fig. 5 shows that less O₃ (and ¹³CO₂) is formed when NO₂ is present in the ice. Since the formation of ozone through the oxygenation of...
molecular oxygen is efficient, reaction NO$_2$+O has to be also fast (barrierless or with a small barrier) in the solid phase.\textsuperscript{48,49}

### 3.3 NO$_2$+N

Figure 6 shows the TPD curves of N$_2$O (mass 44, left panel), and NO$_2$ (mass 46, right panel) for three different sequential deposition experiments: 10 ML of N atoms (green line), 1 ML of NO$_2$ (black line), and 1 ML of NO$_2$+10 ML of N atoms (red line). A graphite substrate held at 60 K is used as a substrate. Figure 6 shows the destruction of NO$_2$ and the formation of N$_2$O upon N-atom exposure of the ice. The NO$_2$ desorption peak is slightly attenuated while the N$_2$O peak shows up between 70 and 80 K when N$_2$O is exposed to N atoms, confirming that new species are formed in the ice upon N-atom exposure.

Here, NO$_2$+N is also studied in an apolar astrochemically relevant CO ice at 15 K. Figure 7 shows the spectrum of a $^{13}$CO+N=50:0.1 with the N-atom beam generated from N$_2$ plasma dissociation (N:N$_2$=0.1:25) (a) compared to the co-deposition of NO$_2$+$^{13}$CO+N$_2$=1:50:10 (b), and the co-deposition of NO$_2$+$^{13}$CO+N=1:50:0.1 (c). All these experiments have the same N-atom fluence (1.2 x 10$^{14}$ atoms cm$^{-2}$) as well as the same NO$_2$ and $^{13}$CO deposition rates (0.05 and 2.5 L min$^{-1}$). Spectrum (b) of Fig. 7 is very similar to the spectra (b) from Figs. 3 and 5 showing features from the $^{13}$CO isotopologues, NO$_2$ monomer, N$_2$O$_3$ (ONNO$_2$), NO monomer, and O$_3$.

### 4 Discussion

In Part I, we discussed the surface destruction of NO by means of surface reactions with hydrogen atoms, oxygen allotropes (O/O$_2$/O$_3$), and nitrogen atoms. The formation pathway to solid NO$_2$ through the oxygenation of NO was thoroughly discussed and several reaction routes were presented (see Fig. 9 in Part I). As previously mentioned, the focus of Part II is the investigation of surface reactions involving solid NO$_2$ at low temperatures. Here, all the experiments presented in Section 3 show the destruction of NO$_2$ and the formation of new species such as NO, HNO, N$_2$O, ONNO$_2$, NH$_2$OH, and H$_2$O. Since NO is also one of the reaction products, offering a renewed starting point, all the reactions discussed in Part I should also be considered in the full reaction scheme presented here.
The hydrogenation of NO₂ follows:

\[ \text{NO}_2 + \text{H} \rightarrow \text{NO} + \text{OH}. \]  (1)

Reaction 1 is found to have a small barrier in the gas phase (<50 K). 30 Our results show that reaction 1 is efficient and leads to the formation of two reactants that act as parent species of more complex molecules observed in our experiments:

\[ \text{NO} \rightarrow \text{HNO} \rightarrow \text{NH}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{NH}_2\text{OH} \]  (2)

\[ \text{OH} + \text{H} \rightarrow \text{H}_2\text{O} \]  (3)

\[ \text{OH} + \text{H}_2 \rightarrow \text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{H}. \]  (4)

Congiu et al. 11,12 and Fedoseev et al. 13 studied reaction 2 under different laboratory conditions and found that the formation of NH₂OH proceeds barrierless. Water formation has been extensively studied in the solid phase over the past decade. 47–49,51–56 Figures 1 and 2 show that NH₂OH and H₂O are the final products of the hydrogenation of NO₂. Furthermore, if NO₂ is mixed in a \(^{13}\text{CO}\) matrix, NH₂OH is still the final reaction product at high H-atom fluences. However, the formation of water ice is in competition with other reaction routes leading to the formation of carbon dioxide and formic acid: 57,58

\[ \text{OH} + ^{13}\text{CO} \rightarrow ^{13}\text{COH} \rightarrow ^{13}\text{CO} + \text{H} \]  (5)

\[ ^{13}\text{COH} + \text{H} \rightarrow \begin{cases} \text{H}_2\text{O} + ^{13}\text{CO} \\ ^{13}\text{CO}_2 + \text{H}_2 \\ \text{H}^{13}\text{COOH} \end{cases} \]  (6)

with a purely statistical branching ratio. 57 Figure 3 shows the formation of NH₂OH, \(^{13}\text{COH}, ^{13}\text{CO}_2\), and H^{13}\text{COOH}, while the formation of water ice is under the detection limit.

OH radicals can also react with NO or NO₂ to form nitrous acid or nitric acid, respectively:

\[ \text{NO} + \text{OH} \rightarrow \text{HNO}_2 \]  (7)

\[ \text{NO}_2 + \text{OH} \rightarrow \text{HNO}_3. \]  (8)

Although our laboratory conditions are not suited to investigate these specific reactions (H atoms \(\gg\) OH radicals), HNO₂ and HNO₃ are potentially formed in the ice. However, spectral features from HNO₂ and HNO₃ either overlap with the spectral bands of other molecules or are under the detection limit. Therefore, these species cannot be unambiguously identified in the ice. Joshi et al. 16 investigated in the laboratory the reaction NO+OH. In a future laboratory study we will focus on the formation of nitrogen-bearing acids in space, i.e., through the surface reactions 7 and 8.

Other reactions that lead to the formation of nitrogen oxides are:

\[ \text{NO} + \text{NO} \rightarrow (\text{NO})_2 \]  (9)

\[ \text{NO} + \text{NO}_2 \rightarrow \text{N}_2\text{O}_3 \]  (10)

\[ \text{NO}_2 + \text{NO}_2 \rightarrow \text{N}_2\text{O}_4 \text{ or } (\text{NO}_2)_2. \]  (11)

These reactions are all discussed in Part I and are found to be barrierless in the solid phase. The choice to embed NO₂ in a \(^{13}\text{CO}\) matrix reduces the probability for reactions 9-11 to occur and, therefore, enables a better investigation of reactions 1-6 under more interstellar relevant conditions.

The hydrogenation of carbon monoxide is also visible in Fig. 3: 25–27

\[ ^{13}\text{CO} \rightarrow ^{13}\text{COH} \rightarrow ^{13}\text{COH} \rightarrow ^{13}\text{COH} \rightarrow ^{13}\text{COH} \rightarrow ^{13}\text{CH}_3\text{OH}. \]  (12)

Methanol is under the detection limit in all our co-deposition experiments because the used \(^{13}\text{CO}:\text{H}\) ratio leads to a low coverage of H atoms on the ice surface. This combined with a
Fig. 8 Top panel: NO₂ use up during co-deposition of NO₂:¹³CO:H versus the H-atom fluence (half-full squares). The NO₂ abundance during deposition of pure NO₂ (empty squares) and NO₂+H (full squares) is also plotted in the same panel. Bottom panel: NO₂ use up during co-deposition of NO₂:¹³CO:H (half-full diamonds) and NO₂:¹³CO:N (half-full circles) versus the atom fluence. As for the top panel, here the full diamonds and circles represent the NO₂ abundance during NO₂+O and NO₂+N experiments, respectively. The empty diamonds and circles represent the NO₂ abundance during deposition of pure NO₂ in the NO₂+O and NO₂+N experiments, respectively.

low penetration depth of H atoms into carbon monoxide, results in an incomplete hydrogenation of ¹³CO ice. H₂¹³CO is only clearly detected when NO₂ in not present in the mixture. Thus, reactions 1 and 12 are in competition when NO₂ and ¹³CO are co-deposited with H atoms, and reaction 1 is more efficient than reaction 12.

The oxygenation of NO₂ leads to the destruction of NO₂ and the formation of NO and O₂:

\[
\text{NO}_2 + \text{O} \rightarrow \text{NO} + \text{O}_2. \tag{13}
\]

This reaction is barrierless in the gas phase.⁵⁹ Subsequently, N₂O₃ is formed through reaction 10, while NO can also react with the oxygen allotropes to form NO₂, as shown in Part I:

\[
\text{NO} + \text{O} \rightarrow \text{NO}_2. \tag{14}
\]

\[
2\text{NO} + \text{O}_2 \rightarrow 2\text{NO}_2. \tag{15}
\]

\[
\text{NO} + \text{O}_3 \rightarrow \text{NO}_2 + \text{O}_2. \tag{16}
\]

O₂ is formed in the plasma beam, through reactions 13 and 16, while O₃ originates from:

\[
\text{O}_2 + \text{O} \rightarrow \text{O}_3. \tag{17}
\]

CO₂ is also formed through the reaction (see Fig. 5):

\[
^{13}\text{CO} + \text{O} \rightarrow ^{13}\text{CO}_2. \tag{18}
\]

Reactions 5 and 18 have been recently investigated and compared under the same laboratory conditions in Ref.¹⁵ N₂O is the final product of the nitrogenation of NO₂:

\[
\text{NO}_2 + \text{N} \rightarrow \text{N}_2\text{O} + \text{O}. \tag{19}
\]

Reactions N₂O+H/O/N have barriers and do not lead to the formation of other detectable species.¹⁵ Oxygen atoms can react with NO₂ to form NO and O₂ according to reaction 13. O atoms can also react with NO to form NO₂ through reaction 14 or with an N atom to form NO, although the latter reaction has not been unambiguously tested in the laboratory yet. N₂O₃ is formed through reaction 10. As discussed in Part I, the nitrogenation of NO₂ leads to the formation of:

\[
\text{NO} + \text{N} \rightleftharpoons \text{N}_2 + \text{O}. \tag{20}
\]

Reactions 19 and 20 are found to be both barrierless in the gas-phase.⁶⁰ However, Figure 6 shows that the nitrogenation of NO₂ is not an efficient process. This discrepancy can be explained by the dimerization of NO₂ that occurs when pure...
NO$_2$ is deposited in the solid phase. The dimerization process is barrierless, thus Figure 6 only shows that reaction (NO$_2$)$_2$+N is not efficient in the solid phase. Figure 8 shows the NO$_2$ use-up during co-deposition of NO$_2$:CO:H (top panel), NO$_2$:CO:N (bottom panel), and NO$_2$:CO:O (bottom panel) versus the atom fluence. The NO$_2$ use-up is calculated by subtracting the NO$_2$ abundance from the NO$_2$:CO experiments to the NO$_2$ abundance of the NO$_2$:CO:H/O/N experiments, respectively (see Figs. 3, 5, and 7). Although as already mentioned, the efficiency of the hydrogenation reactions cannot be compared directly to that of the oxygenation and nitrogenation reactions because the H-atom flux is orders of magnitude higher than the other atom fluxes, we can still draw conclusions on the (relative) efficiency of each surface reaction. In the results section we showed that reaction 1 is efficient and most likely barrierless. The linear trend of the NO$_2$ use up in the hydrogenation experiments is consistent with reaction 1 to be barrierless (top panel in Fig. 8). Moreover, since the O- and N-atom fluxes are similar (10$^9$ cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ and 8 × 10$^{10}$ atoms cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$, respectively) and the NO$_2$ deposition rates are reproducible within ~20%, a comparison between the NO$_2$ use up in the oxygenation and nitrogenation experiments can be made and indicates that reaction 13 is slightly faster and, therefore, more efficient than reaction 19 (bottom panel in Fig. 8).

In our experiments, O atoms come from the dissociation of N$_2$O, while N atoms are made by dissociating N$_2$. N$_2$O and N$_2$ stick to the cold surface at 15 K during co-deposition and, therefore, become part of the ice mixture. Moreover, the dissociation rate for the two atom beams is different (O:N$_2$O=0.1:1 and N:N$_2$=0.1:25). This means that, even though N$_2$O and N$_2$ are not participating in the surface reactions, their presence in different amounts can affect the surface coverage of NO$_2$ and the penetration depth of O and N atoms in the ice. Thus, although our results clearly indicate that the surface reaction efficiency follows the trend NO$_2$+H$>$NO$_2$+O$>$NO$_2$+N, the presence of N$_2$O and N$_2$ in the ice can partially influence the comparison of the NO$_2$ use up shown in Fig. 8.

A schematic cartoon of all the investigated reaction routes is shown in Figure 9. Table 3 lists the main surface reactions discussed in Parts I and II and divides them in different groups: from barrierless reactions to reactions with a high barrier that do not allow for the reaction to proceed in the solid phase at low temperatures. Given the overall complexity of the full reaction scheme, additional reaction products are expected to form as well, but for the experimental settings studied here, these clearly must be of less importance, as no clear spectroscopic or mass spectrometric data are found.

5 Astrophysical Implications

Sub-millimeter and millimeter wavelength observations of "hot cores" - i.e., compact (few tens of AU) regions of dense and warm gas where the chemistry is dominated by the evaporation of grain mantles - reveal a range of interstellar complex organic molecules, such as CH$_3$OH, HCOOH, HCOOCH$_3$, and NH$_2$CH$_2$CN. The exact origin of these species is still unclear, but recent models indicate that surface reaction mechanisms are most likely the main formation channel. Garrod showed that the gas-phase formation of glycine (NH$_2$CH$_2$COOH) in hot cores depends on the timescale of the hot-core evolution and the availability of precursor species in the gas phase. Therefore, glycine is found to form in his model almost exclusively on grain ice mantles.

A potential precursor of amino acids is hydroxylamine (NH$_2$OH). Congiu et al. and Fedoseev et al. showed that hydroxylamine is efficiently formed in the solid phase under dense core conditions through reaction 2. Pulliam et al., using the NRAO 12 m telescope, could not detect NH$_2$OH toward a range of interstellar sources and found an upper limit of 8 × 10$^{-12}$ wrt H$_2$ toward SgrB2(N). Due to their high desorption temperatures, rotational emission from molecules like hydroxylamine and glycine (still not unambiguously detected in the ISM) is expected for compact regions only. However, emissions from regions smaller than ~5" are not detectable with single-dish telescopes. This may explain the non-detection of these species and indicates that molecules like hydroxylamine can be potentially detected by ALMA at sub-arcsecond resolution toward bright, nearby sources with relatively narrow emission lines.
Understanding the origin of COMs is pivotal to link the formation of simple species in space to life on Earth. In Parts I and II of this work, we focus on the laboratory investigation of the surface formation of nitrogen oxides and more complex species like hydroxylamine. In the discussion section, we showed many reaction routes that proceed under cold cloud conditions and start from NO. In this scenario, NO can freeze-out onto the icy grains during the dense cloud phase. NO ice can be hydrogenated in a barrierless way to form solid hydroxylamine. The NO that reacts with the oxygen allotropes in the solid phase will form efficiently NO2. The nitrogenation of NO will lead to the destruction of NO and the formation of N2. A feasible reason for the non-detection of NO2 in the ISM is a very efficient solid-phase destruction pathway (i.e., photodissociation and/or non-energetic surface reactions). We showed that NO2 can be hydrogenated in a barrierless way to form NO and OH. These two reactants contribute in more realistic interstellar ice to form species like NH2OH, H2O, CO2, and HCOOH, as shown in this work. The oxygenation of NO2 forms again NO and O atoms, while the nitrogenation of NO2 forms also N2O, another interstellar relevant species detected in the ISM. Thus, many of the investigated surface reaction routes lead to the formation of NO molecules and therefore NH2OH. This result is in support of the formation of complex species in the solid phase and is in agreement with the most recent astrochemical models. Other nitrogen oxides, like N2O3 and N2O4, can be formed through the reaction of NO and NO2, and the reaction of two NO2 molecules, respectively. However, reactions 10 and 11 are potentially still limited by the interstellar low abundance of the parent species. Finally, nitrogen-bearing acids, like nitrous acid and nitric acid, can be formed through surface reactions 7 and 8. Although the formation of these acids was not verified here, their interstellar relevance should not be excluded.

We expect that future astrochemical models will benefit from the implementation of the efficiency of the single surface reactions investigated here and shown in Table 3. Moreover, the results found here indicate that it is worth to keep searching for NH2OH in the ISM, whereas a NO2 detection is not expected at this stage.

6 Conclusions (Parts I and II)

The surface formation and destruction of nitrogen oxides is tested under ultra high vacuum conditions, at low temperatures, and by means of RAIR spectroscopy, mass spectrometry, and TPD techniques. From this study we draw the following conclusions:

1. NO2 is efficiently formed in the solid state via NO+O/O2/O3 reactions. (Part I)
2. The non-detection of (gaseous) NO2 in space can be related to efficient destruction mechanisms, such as surface NO2+H/O/N reactions. (Part II)
3. The final products of NO2 hydrogenation are NH2OH and H2O. Hydroxylamine is found to be formed through a series of barrierless surface reactions as previously shown by Congiu et al. and Fedoseev et al. Both water and hydroxylamine are important molecules for the formation of COMs in space. (Part II)
4. N2O is formed through the nitrogenation of NO2 and is not destroyed in reactions with H-, O-, and N-atoms. (Part II)
5. Several nitrogen oxides, such as (NO)3, N2O3, and N2O4, are formed through surface NO+H/O/O2/O3/N and NO2+H/O/N reactions due to high concentration of parent species. (Parts I and II)
6. Surface coverage (submonolayer and multilayer regimes) have a small but noticeable impact on the final products. For instance, dimerization reactions are most likely to occur in a multilayer regime rather than in submonolayer thick ices. (Parts I and II)
7. Different substrates (silicate, graphite, compact ASW ice, and gold) and ice composition (pure ices, polar and apolar mixtures) do not affect the results in a detectable way. (Parts I and II)
8. Table 3 lists the efficiency of all the investigated reaction routes (see Fig. 9) and therefore can be used as an input in astrochemical models to better understand the formation and evolution of nitrogen oxides in space. (Parts I and II)
9. We expect ALMA to be ideally suited to detect species like hydroxylamine at sub-arcsecond resolution toward bright, nearby sources with relative narrow emission lines.
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