Analyst Accepted Manuscript



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this Accepted Manuscript with the edited and formatted Advance Article as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the **Information for Authors**.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard <u>Terms & Conditions</u> and the <u>Ethical guidelines</u> still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



www.rsc.org/analyst

4

9

10 11

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56 57 58

59 60

On the importance of image formation optics in the design of infrared spectroscopic imaging systems

David Mayerich^{*a*}, Thomas van Dijk^{*a*}, Michael Walsh^{*b*}, Matthew Schulmerich, P. Scott Carney^{*ac*}, and Rohit Bhargava^{**acd*}

12 13 Infrared spectroscopic imaging provides micron-scale spa-14 tial resolution with molecular contrast. While recent work 15 demonstrates that sample morphology affects the recorded spectrum, considerably less attention has been focused on 16 17 the effects of the optics, including the condenser and ob-18 jective. This analysis is extremely important, since it will 19 be possible to understand effects on recorded data and 20 provides insight for reducing optical effects through rig-21 orous microscope design. Here, we present a theoreti-22 cal description and experimental results that demonstrate 23 the effects of commonly-employed cassegranian optics on 24 recorded spectra. We first combine an explicit model of 25 image formation and a method for quantifying and visu-26 alizing the deviations in recorded spectra as a function of 27 microscope optics. We then verify these simulations with 28 measurements obtained from spatially heterogeneous sam-29 ples. The deviation of the computed spectrum from the 30 ideal case is quantified via a map which we call a deviation 31 map. The deviation map is obtained as a function of op-32 tical elements by systematic simulations. Examination of 33 deviation maps demonstrates that the optimal optical con-34 figuration for minimal deviation is contrary to prevailing 35 practice in which throughput is maximized for an instru-36 ment without a sample. This report should be helpful for 37 understanding recorded spectra as a function of the op-38 tics, the analytical limits of recorded data determined by 39 the optical design, and potential routes for optimization of 40 imaging systems. 41

Mid-infrared (IR) microscopy and spectroscopic imaging¹ provide both quantitative molecular contrast and spatial resolution. These imaging methods are useful in a variety of fields, including biomedical,^{2,3} polymeric⁴ and forensic analysis.^{5,6} In the most common instrumental configuration, a Fourier Transform Infrared (FT-IR) imaging spectrometer uses an interferometer to record light intensity at each pixel of a focal

plane array detector. Intensity transmitted through a sample (I_s) is compared to that without the sample (I_0) to determine the absorbance A:

$$A(\mathbf{r},\bar{\mathbf{v}}) = -log_{10} \left[\frac{I_s(\mathbf{r},\bar{\mathbf{v}})}{I_0(\mathbf{r},\bar{\mathbf{v}})} \right]$$

where \bar{v} denotes wavenumber (in cm⁻¹) and **r** is a spatial coordinate. The recorded spectrum is ideally related to the imaginary part of the refractive index $\kappa(\bar{v})$ and the sample path length *d* through Beers law:

$$A(\bar{\mathbf{v}}) = \frac{4\pi\kappa(\bar{\mathbf{v}})d}{log_e(10)} \tag{1}$$

The expression above, which can be termed the ideal case for the absorbance, ignores all boundary phenomena at the sample, such as diffraction and scattering, and is only valid for an incident plane wave. In practice, the measured spectrum from a heterogeneous sample will also depend on the real part of the refractive index and will differ from that predicted in Equation 1. The changes have been recognized and rigorous theoretical models are now available to predict differences between recorded and expected spectra.^{7,8} Differences between recorded spectra and those predicted from the simple Beers law expression of Equation 1 are due both to the morphology (e.g., sample boundaries, shapes of domains) as well as the microscope optics (e.g. spectral range, angular diversity of the focused light and collected light).

A comprehensive theory has recently been provided that allows for an understanding of recorded spectra if the parameters of the optical system and sample are known, as noted above. The same framework has been extended to predict and validate recorded spectra from simple geometries, such as cylinders⁹ and spheres.¹⁰ In these comprehensive approaches, both morphology and refractive index (real and imaginary parts) are sought to be rigorously obtained. These are typically termed "physically-based" methods. Other approaches have also been proposed to extract spectral information from a range of samples by considering a model for the morphology to be spherical scattering. centers¹¹ These methods essentially seek to separate the effects of scattering from the recorded data to obtain the ideal absorbance. They are typically termed "model-based" methods. The study of scatter-

^aBeckman Institute for Advanced Science and Technology, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, Urbana, IL

^bDepartment of Pathology, University of Illinois at Chicago, Chicago, IL

^cDepartment of Electrical and Computer Engineering, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, Urbana, IL

^dDepartment of Bioengineering, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, Urbana, IL

This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry [year]

ing in mid-infrared spectroscopic imaging is an active area of research, particularly in biology where heterogeneous tissue samples involve a tight coupling between the sample's structure and the recorded absorption spectrum at every pixel.¹² Previous work suggests that scattering through cellular structures can be approximated using Mie theory¹³ and efforts have been made to understand this behavior.¹⁴ Hence, spheres are an excellent model to study the effect of the sample geometry in a microscope, whether one is pursuing physics-based or model-based approaches.

1 2 3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56 57 58

59 60

While significant attention has been paid to understanding recorded spectra as a function of sample morphology, less attention has been paid to the optical design of the microscope itself and to its effects on the recorded data. The use of optical systems analysis to design IR microscopy systems was previously demonstrated, ¹⁵ where a comprehensive analysis of a high-resolution imaging spectrometer provided design guidance to obtain the highest quality images as a function of the optical setup. That study, however, did not examine the coupled nature of spectral recording from a sample and the optical setup of the microscope. Other recent work characterizes the effects of dispersion due to the substrate¹⁶ or surrounding windows,¹⁷ demonstrating that additional optical components can be added to compensate for these effects. However, we demonstrate that deviations from the ideal case seen in current FT-IR imaging systems are due to the loss of angular components due to the numerical aperature and inner obscuration of both the objective and condenser. Introducing intermediate lenses cannot easily retrieve these components.

In this paper, we focus on the dependence of recorded spectra on the optical configuration of the microscope. Since the most important optics used in image formation are the objective and the condenser, we focus on these two elements. We first demonstrate that recorded spectra from a sample are highly dependent on the optics used. By simulating a scattering sample of known optical properties and shape, we show that the recorded spectrum can be explicitly calculated and employed to study the effect of the microscope optics. We first identify the effects of the imaging system on deviations from the ideal case in the absorption spectrum using a forward model based on Mie theory.¹⁸ We measure the difference between the recorded spectrum and one that would result from an equivalent sample without any morphological or optical coupling using the mean-centered Mean Squared Error (MSE). We then propose a visualization method for these deviations using a new approached we term. deviation maps Deviation maps are used to quantify the effects of optical-system components and deduce which of them significantly influence recorded data. Finally, we validate the developed methodology using a commercially-available instrument and suggest methods for improving current imaging systems to minimize the effect of morphology on the recorded data.



Fig. 1 The optics in an IR imaging system consist of a mid-infrared source (modulated by an interferometer here), two Cassegrain reflectors for condensing (C_{α}) and collecting light (C_{β}) and a detector. Each Cassegrain is characterized by the collecting angles (α_1 and β_1) specified by the numerical aperture (NA), and the angle subtended by the secondary (internal) mirror (α_2 and β_2). Note that scattering from a sample may change the angle of the propagating wave, as shown schematically here.

An FT-IR spectroscopic imaging system consists of a broad-band source passed through an interferometer and into an infrared microscope. A sample is placed between a condenser C_{α} and objective C_{β} . These two optical elements are almost universally implemented using Schwarzschild objectives (specialized Cassegrains) at the present time, which are characterized by a numerical aperture (NA) and the angle subtended by the central obscuration (Figure 1). The NA specifies the light entering each Cassegrain in terms of the solid angles $\alpha_1 = \sin^{-1}(NA_{\alpha})$ and $\beta_1 = \sin^{-1}(NA_{\beta})$. The solid angles α_2 and β_2 specify the light that is blocked by the central mirrors. Anecdotally, it is considered optimal to match the condenser and objective in order to maximize light throughput and obtain optimal magnification. This assumption, to our knowledge, has not been tested using a sample in a microscope and rigorous theory. Further, the dogma likely propagates from single point mapping microscopes¹⁹ in which throughput was low due to aperatures and the primary goal was to obtain a high signal-to-noise ratio. Here we first sought to examine whether, in the presence of a sample characterized by Mie scattering, a matched condenser and objective provide the optimal arrangement in terms of spectral quality. The first step in this process is to describe light propagation through the system.

The signal at the detector is computed by integrating the intensity of the field at the objective,

$$I(\mathbf{r},\bar{\mathbf{v}}) = \int \int |U_s(r,\theta,\bar{\mathbf{v}}) + U_i(r,\theta,\bar{\mathbf{v}})|^2 r^2 \sin(\theta) dr d\theta$$

Here, the incident field U_i is approximated using Debye focusing,²⁰ where a superposition of plane waves are summed over all directions that lie within the solid angle α of the focusing optics:

$$U_i(\mathbf{r}, ar{\mathbf{v}}) = \int \int e^{i2\piar{\mathbf{v}}\mathbf{v}\cdot\mathbf{r}} d^2\mathbf{v}$$

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56 57 58

59 60

2 where v is the normalized direction vector from the sphere to a point at the objective in the far zone.
6



Fig. 2 An idealized sample (sphere) in the x-y plane and focused in a microscope, the idealized case considered in this manuscript, is shown schematically in (a). Consider two points: Point 1 at the center of the 5μ m diameter sphere (indicated by the dashed line) and Point 2 that is outside the sphere. Ideally, the spectra recorded at 1 and 2 would correspond only to the imaginary part of the refractive index at 1 and produce no signal at 2 (as indicated by the lines labeled 1 and 2 in (b)). The presence of a sample causes deviations from this ideal, however, resulting in a spectrum being recorded that is closely coupled to both the sample morphology and imaging optics. Spectra labeled 3 and 4 in (c) demonstrate the recorded spectra from points 1 and 2 in a real sample. The resulting image from a physical samples is shown in (c) and points 3 and 4 plot the absorbance indicated by the on- and off-sample spectra in (c) labeled as 3 and 4. The perpendicular plane can also be examined. Intensities in the focal plane for 800 and 4000 cm^{-1} in the presence of the sample in the transverse plane (the y-z plane) are shown in (d) and (e), respectively.

The scattered field U_s is given by

$$U_s(\bar{\mathbf{v}},r,\theta) = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} C_l B_l h_l^{(1)}(2\pi \bar{\mathbf{v}}r) P_l(\cos\theta)$$

where $h_l^{(1)}$ is the order-*l* spherical Hankel function, P_l is the order-*l* associated Legendre polynomial, and C_l is the integral across the focusing optics:

$$C_l(\bar{\mathbf{v}}, \theta) = 2\pi i^l (2l+1) \int_{\alpha_2}^{\alpha_1} P_l(\cos\theta) \sin\theta d\theta$$

which has the following closed-form solution:

$$C_l(\bar{\mathbf{v}}, \boldsymbol{\theta}) = 2\pi i^l [P_{l-1}(\cos \alpha_1) - P_{l+1}(\cos \alpha_1) - P_{l-1}(\cos \alpha_2) + P_{l+1}(\cos \alpha_2)]$$

The scattering coefficients B_l are determined by enforcing the appropriate boundary conditions at the sphere surface:

$$B_{l} = \frac{m(\bar{\mathbf{v}}) j_{l}(2\pi\bar{\mathbf{v}}a) j_{l}'[2\pi\bar{\mathbf{v}}m(\bar{\mathbf{v}})a] - j_{l}[2\pi\bar{\mathbf{v}}m(\bar{\mathbf{v}})a] j_{l}'(2\pi\bar{\mathbf{v}}a)}{h_{l}'^{(1)}(kr) j_{l}[2\pi\bar{\mathbf{v}}m(\bar{\mathbf{v}})a] - m(\bar{\mathbf{v}})h_{l}^{(1)}(2\pi\bar{\mathbf{v}}a) j_{l}'(\bar{\mathbf{v}})}$$

where *a* is the radius of the sphere, j_l is an order-1 spherical Bessel function of the first kind, the material properties are given by the complex index of refraction $m(\bar{v}) = n(\bar{v}) + i\kappa(\bar{v})$, and the prime indicates differentiation with respect to the argument.

The absorption spectrum is computed by dividing the intensity of the scattered field $I(\bar{v})$ by the intensity of the incident field $I_0(\bar{v}) = \int_{\beta_2}^{\beta_1} |U_i(\bar{v}, \theta)|^2 d\theta$. Using asymptotic expressions for the spherical Hankel functions and incident plane waves, the expressions for the incident and scattered field are given by:

$$U_{i}(\bar{\mathbf{v}}, \theta) = \begin{cases} 2\pi & \text{if } \theta \ge \alpha_{2} \text{ and } \theta \le \alpha_{1}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$
$$U_{s}(\bar{\mathbf{v}}, \theta) = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} C_{l} B_{l} e^{\frac{-il\pi}{2}} P_{l}(\cos \theta)$$

The proposed Mie-scattering simulation is implemented using a graphics processor, where the intensity at the detector is computed in parallel for each wavenumber. The integral is computed numerically for n = 1000 samples along the range $[\beta_1...\beta_2]$. For a given set of parameters $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_1, \beta_2)$, we simulate a non-absorbing sphere and measure the resulting distortion in the absorbance spectrum due to Mie scattering. Given a fixed objective C_{β} and a set of material properties, we then characterize the effect of the condenser parameters on distortion as a function of α_1 and α_2 .

Several options exist for quantifying the degree of spectral deviation from the desired absorbance. Here, we evaluate the effects by determining the mean-centered mean squared error (MSE) of a simulated spectrum with the ideal case of an equivalent volume whose morphology does not affect the spectrum. The ideal case is simply a calculation of the spectrum for a given volume of material interacting with a propagating plane wave. It must be noted that we use this terminology only to adhere to the notion of chemometric methods in which a prediction is compared to the expected value. We emphasize that the term error here must not be used to mean that the recorded data are somehow inaccurate. Hence, we use the term deviation to imply the difference between recorded and expected from a sample in which morphology plays no role. Since spectral deviations are modeled to arise from the scattering from a sphere and can be calculated using the real part of the index of refraction $n(\bar{v})$, their contribution can be conveniently studied independently of absorbance, by assuming $\kappa(\bar{\nu}) = 0$, when

0

Cassegrain

Cassegrai

Ger. 11mm

Ger. 8mm

Ger. 6mm

Ger. 1mm

Ger. 10mr

needed. For a given sphere with index of refraction $n(\bar{v})$ with radius r, the extinction spectrum is simulated and compared to the ideal spectrum $A(\bar{v}) = \kappa(\bar{v}) = 0$. The comparison is computed by finding the mean squared error of the mean-centered absorption spectrum given by $E = \sum_{\bar{v}_0}^{\bar{v}_1} [A(\bar{v}) - A_{\mu}]^2$, in which A_{μ} is the mean given by $A_{\mu} = \sum_{\bar{v}=\bar{v}_0}^{\bar{v}_1} A(\bar{v})$. Note that our definition is over the entire spectrum to not prejudice specific spectral regions. It is well known, however, that specific spectral regions may be more important for specific applications and our methodology can be used to optimize for specific cases without loss of generality. The effect on data of a given objective C_{β} is characterized by computing the absorption spectrum for a range of values of α_1 and α_2 . At each set of values for C_{α} , the spectrum and corresponding deviation are calculated. The results are then displayed using a deviation map, which displays the total difference from the spectrum that would be obtained if morphology had no effect and only as a function of optical parameters of the lenses.

1 2 3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56 57 58

59 60 The range of values simulated are specified by $\alpha_1 = (\beta_2, 1.0)$ and $\alpha_2 = (0.0, \min(\alpha_1, \beta_1))$. These ranges are selected because they are the only values for α that allow incident light to reach the detector in an empty instrument, making an absorbance measurement possible. However, we do note that light scattered by the sample can arrive at the detector for values of α outside of these ranges. However, these effects are expected to be very small and simulations are difficult to compare to measurements, since the deviation metric must then compensate for the complex spectral profile of the source as well as atmospheric correction. Hence, the developed approach likely accounts for almost all effects while being practical in implementation.

The modeling and visualization methods are implemented in the I-Mie simulation package, which is open-source and available for download from our group's website. We also tested the predictions of the simulation against experimental data. We modified a commercial FT-IR imaging instrument to be equipped with multiple Cassegrains and lenses with attendant apertures to control the angles α and β . A 74X 0.65NA cassegrain objective was used to image 10µm diameter spheres on a barium fluoride (BaF2) slide using an Agilent FT-IR spectroscopic imaging system, as per previously described methods.¹⁰ Two condensers were tested: (a) a 15X 0.55NA cassegrain with a 0.2NA internal obscuration, and (b) a 0.6NA germanium (Ge) lens with an adjustable pupil. Measurements of the spheres were taken using both the cassegrain objective and Ge lens. An adjustable pupil was used to block higher-order components of the image through the Ge lens, simulating a varying NA. The measured results are shown in Figure 3. To our knowledge, these are the first reports that provide a systematic analysis of the optical effects introduced by the objective and condenser in an FT-IR imaging system. While this was expected from previous theoretical studies and anecdotally by several scientists, the actual demonstration and precise effects of changing optics can be observed here.

Cassegrain Distortions

(74X 0.65NA Objective)

2000 2500 3000 3500 1000 1500 Wavenumber Fig. 3 The dependence of spectra on optical configuration can be seen in recorded spectra from a single polystyrene sphere, approximately 10µm in size. Spectra were recorded using a 74X 0.65NA cassegrain objective and various condenser parameters, including a 15X 0.5NA cassegrain (green) and a 0.6NA germanium lens with a varying pupil to simulate changing NA. The NA of the germanium lens was artificially reduced using a pupil between 1mm and 11mm in diameter (black-red). Note the variation in recorded spectra and changes in chemical signal as the condenser NA is reduced.

While one aspect of this demonstration is that practitioners should take into account the optics of the imaging system to correct for the effects of morphology on recorded spectral data, another is that this framework can be used to design better imaging systems. While the results above indicate what might happen if the NA were changed, we sought to examine the optimal configuration that may reduce baseline deviations. We constructed deviation maps for spectra that would be obtained by spanning the typical parameters that are encountered for IR imaging lenses, as shown in Figure 4. A number of lessons are apparent from these plots. The most important conclusion is that a matched 15X configuration, which is close to the current standard in IR imaging, strongly couples the effects of the optical setup to data from a scattering sample. While current commercial systems optimize for resolution and signal-to-noise by matching condenser and objective optics, our results suggest that this often provides the worst results in terms of spectral deviations. In general, we note that the use of a Schwarzschild objective with a central obscuration appears to play a role in both spectral deformation and reducing the amplitude of chemically relevant features. While



4

5

6

7 8

9

36

37

38

39

40

41 42 43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56 57 58

59 60



Fig. 4 Deviation maps are computed for a 10μ m and 5μ m polystyrene spheres using parameters for a commercial 15X objective. The condenser geometry is summarized in (a) using circles do show the relative NA (α_1) and center obscuration (α_2). A contour plot and series of sample points are shown in (b), with the corresponding simulated spectra in (d). Note that a reduction in obscuration size and an increase in condenser NA dramatically improves spectral quality over matched optics (D), while condensers B and C show a reduction in both distortion and chemical signal. The spectrum for a low NA shows very little baseline deviation, while the chemical signal is significantly reduced (A). The deviation map for a 5μ m sphere is shown in (c), demonstrating that the sample can affect the optimal optical system. MSE Values: A = 0.02, B = 0.12, C = 0.24, D = 0.26.

their use is indicated to reduce aberrations, especially when the spectral region is as wide as the typical mid-IR measurement, the figure clearly demonstrates that the central obscuration leads to a very strong coupling between morphology and data recorded. An alternative is available in the form of germanium or zinc selenide lenses, whose use should be considered. In our view, whatever lenses are used, some form of correction will be required. Hence, the use of refracting lenses may require the correction of morphological distortions. In cases where the optical image provides sufficient morphologic information and the use of imaging is to understand chemical changes, the use of refracting lenses may prove favorable.

These lenses may play an important role in improving spectral quality for biomedical applications.

In addition, measuring spectral deviations can be a challenging problem. In particular, a majority of the error in Figure 4 is due to dispersion in regions exhibiting chemical absorption. Simulating the deviation map for a dispersion-free material creates a similar deviation map without the highdeviation spot at $\alpha_1 = 0.9$ and $\alpha_2 = 0.2$ (Figure 5). While non-dispersive materials are uncommon in mid-infrared absorbance imaging, this does provide a method for characterizing the deviations resulting from scattering for general samples. Finally, consideration should be given to the desired

Analyst Accepted Manuscript



Fig. 5 Deviation map produced by a non-dispersive 10μ m sphere with a real refractive index of n = 1.48. Using a non-dispersive material provides a method for characterizing the spectral deviations that may be expected for a variety of chemical constituents. In this case, all deviations in the spectrum are due to the filtering of angular components introduced by the optical components of the imaging system. Compare to Figure 4b for an identical optical system and sample morphology, when dispersion occurs due to chemical absorption.

metric. We have selected the mean-centered MSE since its behavior is well-understood. This results in a quadratic relation in the underlying error, which may not be desirable for optimization. Another option for a deviation metric includes the normalized outer product of the spectrum with the ideal absorbance. Finally, metrics that weight deviations within regions expected to have exhibit chemical absorbance may be useful for optimizing instruments used in biomedicine.

These results suggest that practitioners should consider the combined effects of the optical components on the recorded spectra when optimizing FT-IR spectroscopic imaging systems. This is particularly true in biomedical imaging, where scattering due to tissue heterogeneity plays a significant role in limiting chemical specificity and could be a large source of variance.²¹ In some studies, scattering from biological samples has been shown to closely match that obtained from the Mie scattering model, ^{14,22,23} as used in our experiments. Hence, the results presented here likely present valid guidance for biomedical analysis. For scattering samples, this model can be used to examine what combination of lenses may provide ideal performance in terms of spectral fidelity. We note that the developed methodology is independent of the spectral recording mode and is equally applicable to laser-based²⁴ or filter-based²⁵ FT-IR imaging systems.

1 Acknowledgements

This work was funded in part by the National Institutes of Health (NIH) #K99LM011390-01A1, NIH #R01CA138882, and NIH #R01EB009745.

References

- 1 R. Bhargava, Applied Spectroscopy, 2012, 66, 1091-1120.
- 2 M. Walsh, R. Reddy and R. Bhargava, IEEE Journal on Selected Topics in Quantum Electronics, 2012, 18, 1502–1513.
- 3 G. Steiner and E. Koch, Analytical and Bioanalytical Chemistry, 2009, 394, 671–678.
- 4 R. Bhargava, S.-Q. Wang and J. Koenig, *Advances in Polymer Science*, 2003, **163**, 137–191.
- 5 M. Tahtouh, J. Kalman, C. Roux, C. Lennard and B. Reedy, *Journal of Forensic Sciences*, 2005, 50, 64–72.
- 6 R. Bhargava, R. Schwartz Perlman, D. C. Fernandez, I. W. Levin and E. G. Bartick, *Analytical and Bioanalytical Chemistry*, 2009, **394**, 2069–2075.
- 7 B. J. Davis, P. Scott Carney and R. Bhargava, *Analytical Chemistry*, 2010, **83**, 525–532.
- 8 B. J. Davis, P. S. Carney and R. Bhargava, *Analytical Chemistry*, 2010, 82, 3474–3486.
- 9 B. J. Davis, P. S. Carney and R. Bhargava, Analytical Chemistry, 2010, 82, 3487–3499.
- 10 T. van Dijk, D. Mayerich, P. S. Carney and R. Bhargava, Applied Spectroscopy, 2013, 6, 546–552.
- 11 J. Pijanka, A. Kohler, Y. Yang, P. Dumas, S. Chio-Srichan, M. Manfait, G. Sockalingum and J. Sul-Suso, *Analyst*, 2009, **134**, 1176–1181.
- 12 R. Bhargava, S.-Q. Wang and J. L. Koenig, *Applied Spectroscopy*, 1998, 52, 323–328.
- 13 P. Bassan, H. J. Byrne, F. Bonnier, J. Lee, P. Dumas and P. Gardner, Analyst, 2009, 134, 1586–1593.
- 14 P. Bassan, A. Kohler, H. Martens, J. Lee, E. Jackson, N. Lockyer, P. Dumas, M. Brown, N. Clarke and P. Gardner, *Journal of Biophotonics*, 2010, 3, 609–620.
- 15 R. Reddy, D. Mayerich, M. Walsh, M. Schulmerich, P. S. Carney and R. Bhargava, IEEE International Symposium on Biomedical Imaging, 2012, pp. 354–357.
- 16 K. L. A. Chan and S. G. Kazarian, Analytical Chemistry, 2013, 85, 1029– 1036.
- 17 K. L. A. Chan and S. G. Kazarian, Analyst, 2013, 138, 4040-4047.
- 18 G. Gouesbet and G. Grehan, Generalized Lorenz-Mie Theories, Springer,

1 2

1st	edn.	201
	,	

- 19 R. Bhargava, B. G. Wall and J. L. Koenig, *Applied Spectroscopy*, 2000, 54, 470–479.
- 20 P. Debye, Annalen der Physik, 1909, 335, 755-776.

1.

- 21 J. T. Kwak, R. Reddy, S. Sinha and R. Bhargava, Analytical Chemistry, 2012, 84, 1063–1069.
- 22 A. Kohler, J. Sul-Suso, G. D. Sockalingum, M. Tobin, F. Bahrami, Y. Yang, J. Pijanka, P. Dumas, M. Cotte, D. G. van Pittius, G. Parkes and H. Martens, *Applied Spectroscopy*, 2008, **62**, 259–266.
- 23 K. R. Bambery, B. R. Wood and D. McNaughton, *The Analyst*, 2012, **137**, 126.
- 24 M. R. Kole, R. K. Reddy, M. V. Schulmerich, M. K. Gelber and R. Bhargava, *Analytical Chemistry*, 2012, 84, 10366–10372.
- 25 A. K. Kodali, M. Schulmerich, J. Ip, G. Yen, B. T. Cunningham and R. Bhargava, *Analytical Chemistry*, 2010, 82, 5697–5706.