RSC Advances



View Article Online

View Journal | View Issue

PAPER

Check for updates

Cite this: RSC Adv., 2024, 14, 3044

Au/ZnO/In₂O₃ nanoparticles for enhanced isopropanol gas sensing performance[†]

Yuhong Zhang, 🗅 * Lvqing Wang, Shenghui Li, Shengjue Yang and Hang Liu 🕩 *

In this paper, a series of $Au/ZnO/In_2O_3$ nanoparticles are synthesized by a facile one-step hydrothermal

method. The gas sensing properties of Au/ZnO/ln₂O₃ materials are investigated in detail. The response of 2%Au/1%ZnO/ln₂O₃ material to isopropanol increases to six times that of pure ln₂O₃ materials. In contrast to a pure ln₂O₃ sensor, the optimal working temperature of the 2%Au/1%ZnO/ln₂O₃ sensor decreases to 40 °C. The sensing mechanism of Au/ZnO/ln₂O₃ nanoparticles is mainly explained through the influence of the n-n heterojunction formed by ln₂O₃ and ZnO. In addition, the introduction of Au contributes to an increase in the gas response. A possible reason is that the introduction of Au produces smaller sized particles on the sensor surface, creating a larger surface area, enhancing the response.

Received 3rd November 2023 Accepted 23rd December 2023

DOI: 10.1039/d3ra07507a

rsc.li/rsc-advances

1 Introduction

Recently, many industrial exhaust gases have been generated with the development of industry, which will continuously contaminate the air and harm human health.¹ Isopropanol (C_3H_8O) , a typical volatile organic compound (VOC) gas, has attracted attention and has been used in many fields, such as pharmaceuticals, cosmetics, plastics, spices, and coatings.^{2,3} In particular, isopropanol is slightly toxic and shows a certain carcinogenicity, so the World Health Organization (WHO) lists it as a category-III carcinogen.3,4 When the concentration of isopropanol is less than 400 ppm, some symptoms such as dizziness and vomiting can be observed and can even irritate the eyes and respiratory tract, causing discomfort, while internal bleeding, high blood pressure and paralysis of the central nervous system will occur at high levels that can cause grave danger to humans.^{5,6} To sum up, the effective detection of isopropanol is undoubtedly crucial.

In the last few years, metal oxide semiconductor (MOS) gas sensors have shown plenty of attractive advantages compared to other gas detection equipment that is excessively bulky, which is making people pay more and more attention to this type of sensor.³ Therefore, MOS sensors with advantages, such as low working temperature, high sensitivity, simple operation, and low cost have gradually become the guiding ideology for future exploration into sensors.^{7–9} So far, people have developed a lot of MOS sensors based on ZnO,¹⁰ In₂O₃,¹¹ SnO₂,¹² and NiO.¹³ However, in terms of sensitivity, response time and other aspects, MOS gas sensors are still not suitable to satisfy market demand; that is, they need to be improved and explored through other methods.14,15 Liu et al. have prepared an In2O3/ZnO composite with a fern-like mesoporous structure via a simple template method and measured the sensing characteristics, which showed that the optimal response is 44.6 ($S = R_a/R_g$) for triethylamine at 100 ppm.¹⁶ Hou et al. reported that a Cd-doped In₂O₃ gas sensor produced by a facile solvothermal method displayed a sensitivity of 20.12 $(S = R_a/R_g)$ under 100 ppm acetone at the optimized operating temperature.17 Bai et al. also reported an isopropanol gas sensor based on 4 at% Ce/In2O3 nanosheets, which showed a gas sensitivity of 93 ($S = R_a/R_g$) towards 100 ppm isopropanol at an optimized working temperature of 220 °C.18 As mentioned above, even though many scientific research teams are researching sensors based on In₂O₃, there are still constraints, such as high operating temperature and low sensitivity. It is well known that In₂O₃ is a typical n-type semiconductor that exhibits good electrical conductivity and high photochemical stability, with a wide bandgap (3.5-3.7 eV), and it is a candidate for a gas sensor.¹⁹⁻²¹ Due to these advantages, In₂O₃ is widely used in the field of gas sensors. Nevertheless, it is necessary to improve the gas sensing characteristics of pure In2O3 by controlling its morphology or changing the structure of the material itself.²²⁻²⁴ In addition, an n-n heterojunction based on ZnO-In₂O₃ may be a useful route for enhancing the response of In₂O₃.^{25,26}

In this work, a $ZnO-In_2O_3$ n-n heterojunction is fabricated by a simplified one-step hydrothermal method, then it is modified by employing a noble metal, Au. These nanomaterials are used to fabricate isopropanol sensors and their performance is investigated, including sensitivity, optimal temperature, response/recovery time, and selectivity. At the end of the paper, the gas sensing mechanisms that lead to improved performance of isopropanol gas sensors are documented.

School of Electrical and Computer Engineering, Jilin Jianzhu University, Changchun 130118, China. E-mail: zhangyuhong@jlju.edu.cn

[†] Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: https://doi.org/10.1039/d3ra07507a

2 Experimental

2.1 Preparation of Au/ZnO/In₂O₃ nanoparticles

Pure In₂O₃, pure ZnO, xmol%ZnO/In₂O₃ (x = 1,2,3) and ymol% Au/1mol%ZnO/In₂O₃ (y = 1,2,3) are synthesized by a relatively simple hydrothermal method. Raw materials of analytical grade, consisting of indium nitrate hydrate (In(NO₃)₃·4.5H₂O, 99.9%), zinc nitrate hexahydrate $(Zn(NO_3)_2 \cdot 6H_2O, 99\%)$, chloroauric acid (HAuCl₄·4H₂O, \geq 47.8% (the content of Au)), and anhydrous ethanol (C2H6O, 99.7%), were supplied by Sinopharm Chemical Reagent Co. Ammonia (NH₃, 25-28w/%) was supplied by the Tianjin Guangfu Technology Development Co. The synthetic path of pure In₂O₃ and ZnO/In₂O₃ was as follows. First, 0.1 M In(NO₃)₃·4.5H₂O was added to 15 mL of deionized water, and Zn(NO₃)₂·6H₂O (0 mM, 0.05 mM, 0.1 mM, 0.3 mM) was added to 15 mL of deionized water. After 20 minutes of separate stirring, the two solutions were mixed with the addition of ammonia. Then, the mixture was put into an Teflonlined stainless-steel autoclave. It was kept in a desiccator for 20 h at 180 °C. After cooling, centrifugation of the reaction solution was carried out. It was washed alternately with deionized water and ethanol. Then, the sample was dried at 80 °C for 10 h in a drying oven. Finally, the sample was calcined in a muffle furnace to obtain pure In_2O_3 and $xmol\%ZnO/In_2O_3$ (x = 0.5,1,3) at 500 °C.

Nanoparticles of ymol%Au/1mol%ZnO/In₂O₃ were obtained by modifying 1 mol%ZnO/In₂O₃ with different molar ratios of HAuCl₄·4H₂O. Three portions of 0.572 g of In(NO₃)₃·4.5H₂O and 0.004 g of Zn(NO₃)₂·6H₂O were weighed and added to a beaker containing 15 mL of deionized water. Different amounts of Au (0.006, 0.012 and 0.018 g) were weighed and added to the three beakers and stirred continuously during the process. The rest of the steps are consistent with the preparation of ZnO/In₂O₃; that is, ymol%Au/1mol%ZnO/In₂O₃ (y = 1, 2, 3) samples were synthesized.

2.2 Characterization

The crystal phase of the fabricated materials was analyzed by Xray diffraction (XRD, Rigaku Ultima IV X-ray Diffractometer) with Cu K α 1 radiation ($\lambda = 0.154056$ nm, 40 kV, 100 mA). The morphology of the materials was scanned using scanning electron microscopy (SEM, FEI QUANTA FEG 450). The elemental composition was analyzed by energy dispersive spectroscopy (EDS, OXFORD Xplore). An inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectrometer r(ICP-OES, Agilent 725) was used to evaluate the concentration of Au and Zn in In₂O₃ samples. Gas-sensitive properties were tested with a Chemical Gas Sensor-8 Intelligent Gas Sensing Analysis System (CGS-8, SINO AGGTECH).

The isopropanol gas sensor consisted of a prepared sample, a ceramic tube with two gold electrodes and four Pt wires, a heating wire of Ni–Cr alloy used to manage the operating temperature, and a hexagonal base. First, a small amount of material was put into a grinding bowl, then a small amount of deionized water was added, with anhydrous ethanol to make it into a paste. Finally, the paste sample was coated onto the ceramic tube. Then, the sensor was annealed in a muffle furnace at 400 °C. The final step was to weld the ceramic tube with a gas-sensitive layer on the base, and it was inserted into an aging table for aging until the resistance stabilized. After everything was complete, various performance studies of the sensor could be carried out.

In this paper, the target gas used is initially a volatile liquid, and the final measured gas is obtained after liquid gas distribution. The formula is shown as eqn (1):

$$Q = \frac{V \times C \times M}{22.4 \times d \times \rho \times 10^{-9}} \times \frac{273 + T_{\rm R}}{273 + T_{\rm B}},\tag{1}$$

where *Q* is the volume of the injected liquid (ml), *V* is the volume of the test chamber (ml), *M* is the molecular weight of the substance (g), *d* is the purity of the liquid, *C* is the concentration of the gas to be prepared (ppm), ρ is the density of the liquid (g cm⁻³), and *T*_R and *T*_B are the testing temperature and room temperature, respectively. In addition, the process of gas testing is shown in Fig. S1.† First, the corresponding concentration of gas is pumped into a glass bottle using a syringe. Then, the sensor is quickly put into the glass bottle and the response is observed.

3 Results and discussion

3.1 Characterization

Herein, the XRD schemes of all fabricated samples are displayed in Fig. 1. The peaks of strong diffraction for each of the five samples are in perfect agreement with the standard card (JCPDS # 06-0416) for pure \ln_2O_3 , corresponding to (211), (222), (400), (411), (332), (431), (440), (611), and (622) planes at 21.501°, 30.524°, 35.481°, 37.577°, 41.835°, 45.647°, 51.112°, 56.005° and 60.643°, respectively. The XRD patterns of the 1% ZnO/In2O3 sample are similar to the standard card for pure In₂O₃. The diffraction peaks of ZnO are dismissed due to the low doping amount of ZnO. However, for Au/ZnO/In2O3 samples, the diffraction peaks of Au are obtained, which correspond to (111), (200), (220), and (311) planes at 38.212°, 44.416°, 64.601° and 77.55°, respectively. There are no other excess peaks, indicating that all prepared samples are pure phase. The XRD schemes of pure ZnO and x%ZnO/In₂O₃ (x =0.5, 1, 3) are matched with the corresponding standard cards, as shown in Fig. S2.†



Fig. 1 XRD spectra of In_2O_3 , 1%ZnO/In_2O_3 and x%Au/1%ZnO/In_2O_3 (x = 1, 2, 3) samples.

The SEM of In_2O_3 , 1%ZnO/In_2O_3 and 2%Au/1%ZnO/In_2O_3 nanoparticles at the 1 µm scale are displayed in Fig. 2(a)–(c). It can be seen that the morphology of pure In_2O_3 presents agglomerating nanoparticles in Fig. 2(a) and that of the 1%ZnO/In_2O_3 sample becomes looser in Fig. 2(b). Once Au is doped, the SEM image of 2%Au/1%ZnO/In_2O_3 becomes loose and porous in Fig. 2(c). Fig. 2(d) shows 2%Au/1%ZnO/In_2O_3 nanoparticles with a size of 100 nm, and the loose and porous structure of the sample can be clearly seen. A histogram is used to present the distribution of particle sizes, with a Gaussian fit to a red curve in Fig. 2(e). This confirms an average particle size of 33.23 nm for 2%Au/1%ZnO/ In_2O_3 nanoparticles. In addition, the morphology of the Au/ZnO/ In_2O_3 sample is adjusted through the catalysis of Au and ZnO.

The elemental distributions of the 2%Au/1%ZnO/In₂O₃ sample are tested in Fig. 2(f)–(i). It can be clearly seen in In, Au and Zn. The result indicates that ZnO and noble metal Au have been successfully loaded in In₂O₃. Fig. 2(f) shows the EDS semi-quantitative analytical spectrum, which determines the atomic percentage of the elements contained in the final product, *i.e.*, 97.78% for In, 1.7% for Zn, and 0.52% for Au, which also proves the successful preparation of Au/ZnO/In₂O₃.

The doping concentration of Au and Zn was analyzed by ICP-OES, and the results are shown in Table S1.[†] It is obvious that

the observed concentrations of the Au are 0.65 mol%, 1.46 mol% and 2.45 mol%, and the concentrations of Zn are 0.73 mol%, 0.76 mol% and 0.72 mol%. Thus, the results are suitable for further studies.

3.2 Gas sensing performance of Au/ZnO/In₂O₃ nanoparticles

The gas sensing properties of pure In₂O₃, pure ZnO, ZnO/In₂O₃ and Au/ZnO/In₂O₃ for isopropanol are illustrated in detail. The responses of pure In₂O₃, pure ZnO and x%ZnO/In₂O₃ (x = 1, 2, 2, 33) to 100 ppm of isopropanol were measured at 180-300 °C, and the optimum operating temperature for the gas sensor was determined, as presented in Fig. 3(a). The sensitivities of pure In₂O₃ and pure ZnO sensors reach their maximum values (18 and 14) at 240 °C and 280 °C, respectively. Surprisingly, the response of the ZnO/In2O3 sample is enhanced, and its optimal working temperature is reduced. The response of the 1%ZnO/ In₂O₃ sensor reached 35.5 at an optimal working temperature of 220 °C, which is twice as high as that of pure In₂O₃. The ZnO/ In₂O₃ composite has an n-n heterojunction structure. According to a previous report, the construction of an n-n heterojunction helps to boost the responsiveness of gas sensors. To explore the sensing performance of ZnO/In2O3 samples, the Au/ ZnO/In₂O₃ sample was designed, and the responses of y%Au/



Fig. 2 SEM of (a) pure ln_2O_3 , (b) 1%ZnO/ ln_2O_3 , (c) and (d) 2%Au/1%ZnO/ ln_2O_3 ; (e) size distribution of 2%Au/1%ZnO/ ln_2O_3 nanoparticles; (f)–(i) EDS of the 2%Au/1%ZnO/ ln_2O_3 sample.



Fig. 3 Comparison graph of the response and optimal working temperature of (a) pure ln_2O_3 , pure ZnO and x%ZnO/ ln_2O_3 (x = 1, 2, 3) and (b) pure ln_2O_3 , 1%ZnO/ ln_2O_3 and y%Au/1%ZnO/ ln_2O_3 (y = 1, 2, 3) for 100 ppm isopropanol.

1%ZnO/In₂O₃ (y = 1, 2, 3) were tested, as shown in Fig. 3(b). The sensitivity of the 2%Au/1%ZnO/In₂O₃ isopropanol gas sensor reached 110, an improvement of more than 6 times that of pure In₂O₃.

The reasons for the increase in sensitivity and decrease in optimum operating temperature of Au/ZnO/In₂O₃ sample are discussed as follows. For the optimum operating temperature, the temperature curve of all samples is volcanic, as shown in Fig. 3. Inadequate chemical activation at lower temperature leads to an inert reaction, which prevents them from adsorbing onto the surface of the gas-sensitive material, whereas, when the temperature is excessive, the gas molecules spill out before reacting with the adsorbed oxygen due to their high activation energy, thus the sensitivity is the highest only at the optimal working temperature.²⁷⁻²⁹ The optimal working temperature of pure In₂O₃ decreases continuously with the doping of ZnO and Au, which is the same situation as that observed by Wang et al. and Ma et al.^{30,31} The reason may be the lowering of the grain boundary potential barrier due to doped Au/ZnO and higher activation energy of the Au/ZnO/In₂O₃ sample. For sensitivity, the n-n heterojunction structure of ZnO/In2O3 can form a wider electron depletion layer, greatly improving the gas sensor response to the target gas;18 the response of the Au/ZnO/In2O3 sample is further increased. The results may be induced by the catalysis of Au. The looser nanoparticles can contribute to more absorbed oxide, which can increase the active sites involved in the reaction.12

Furthermore, the response–recovery times are key parameters for a gas sensor. The three cycle curves of response–recovery of four sensors for 100 ppm isopropanol were measured and are shown in Fig. 4(a). The outcomes demonstrate the remarkable reproducibility of the four sensors. Generally, response time (T_{Res}) and recovery time (T_{Rec}) are the times required for the gas sensor resistance to reach 90% of the total change in the value when the target gas is adsorbed and desorbed, respectively. When the response of the sensor reaches a certain value, there is no longer a significant upward trend; that is to say, the sensor is judged to be fully responsive to the target gas. The response– recovery times of the 2%Au/1%ZnO/In₂O₃ sensor are shown in Fig. 4(b), where the response time is 78 seconds and the recovery time is 49 seconds.

The dynamic response–recovery cycle curves of the gas sensors for various gas contents (5 ppm, 10 ppm, 20 ppm, 30 ppm, 40 ppm, and 50 ppm) of isopropanol at their corresponding optimal operating temperatures are illustrated in Fig. 5(a). It can be seen that the response values of the 2%Au/1% ZnO/In₂O₃ gas sensor have a much higher response than all other sensors. The linear fitting curves between isopropanol concentration and response for the four sensors are shown in Fig. 5(b). The error bar in the figure is the error obtained after repeating the experiment three times. The fitted correlation coefficients R^2 for 2%Au/1%ZnO/In₂O₃, 1%ZnO/In₂O₃, pure In₂O₃ and pure ZnO are 0.994, 0.993, 0.980 and 0.970,



Fig. 4 (a) Dynamic response-recovery cycle curves of gas sensors for 100 ppm isopropanol gas at their respective optimal operating temperatures. (b) Response-recovery time curve of the 2%Au/1%ZnO/In₂O₃ sensor.



Fig. 5 (a) Response of sensors to different concentrations of isopropanol at their respective optimal operating temperatures. (b) Linear fit curves of the response to the concentration of isopropanol.

respectively. Their values are very close to 1, which means the fit of the sensor conforms to the linear law.

The selectivity of the gas sensor for different gases is also a particularly important aspect. The sensitivities of pure In₂O₃, pure ZnO, 1%ZnO/In₂O₃ and 2%Au/1%ZnO/In₂O₃ sensors for different VOC gases (isopropanol, acetone, toluene, formaldehyde, xylene, and methanol) were tested and are shown in Fig. 6. The concentration of all test gases is 100 ppm. Compared with all tested VOC gases, the Au/ZnO/In₂O₃ sensors show a significant advantage in response to isopropanol. Subsequently, the order of 2%Au/1%ZnO/In2O3 response from strong to weak is isopropanol (104.5) > formaldehyde (31.1) > acetone (22.3) > methanol (9.8) > xylene (8.5) > toluene (2.2). The response of 2% Au/1%ZnO/In₂O₃ to isopropanol is 47.5 times higher than that to toluene, demonstrating excellent gas selectivity. The higher selectivity to isopropanol might be associated with the bond dissociation energy and amounts of electrons released for a onemolecule reaction. The lower C-C bonding energy of isopropanol than those of the O-H bond and C-O bond enhances the oxygen reaction and dehydration of isopropanol. Moreover, a single isopropanol molecule can expend more adsorbed O and release more electrons (e⁻) back to the conduction band,



Fig. 6 Selectivity of sensors to 100 ppm isopropanol, acetone, toluene, formaldehyde, xylene, and methanol.

which induces a lot of change in resistance. The above effect will lead to a high response to isopropanol.

Finally, the prepared gas sensor is compared with other sensors in Table 1. It is found that other gas sensors either have higher optimum operating temperatures or lower sensitivity, but the isopropanol sensor based on pure In_2O_3 doped with pure ZnO and modified with noble metal Au in this study has the merits of superior sensitivity and lower optimal operating temperature.

3.3 Gas sensing mechanism

The sensing mechanism of the isopropanol sensor in this work is analyzed as given below. Generally, the most likely mechanism of the MOS gas sensor is based on the change in sensor resistance in air and in the target gas. The equation " $S = R_a/R_g$ " represents the sensitivity, where R_a and R_g are the resistance of the gas sensor in the atmosphere and in the gas to be measured, respectively. When the gas sensor is in air, oxygen is adsorbed on the surface of the material, oxygen molecules O_2 (gas) become adsorbed oxygen O_2 (ads), and the electrons in the conduction band of the material are captured at the optimum operating temperature (T < 300 °C) to generate O_2^- and O^- . When the gas sensor is placed into isopropanol, the gas immediately adsorbs onto the surface of the material and reacts with O_2^- and O^- on the surface,³⁸ generating H₂O and CO₂ and releasing electrons to the surface of the material.

The energy level diagram of the Au/ZnO/In₂O₃ sensor is shown in Fig. 7. The work function and forbidden bandwidth are 5.0 eV and 3.6 eV for In₂O₃ and 4.9 eV and 3.37 eV for ZnO, respectively.^{39,40} Generally, electrons will flow from materials with a low work function (or higher Fermi energy level) to materials with a higher work function (or lower Fermi energy level), and the process continues until the Fermi energy levels of the two substances are in agreement.⁴¹ In this way, electrons will flow from ZnO to In₂O₃, leading to equilibrium of their Fermi energy levels; therefore, the In₂O₃ in the heterojunction gains more electrons, making the oxygen easier to adsorb, which helps to promote the properties of the gas sensors.⁴²

Finally, the morphology of the sample also has a great influence on the response of the gas sensor. From pure In_2O_3 nanoparticles to $2\%Au/1\%ZnO/In_2O_3$ nanoparticles, the sample

Materials	Temp. (°C)	Target gases	Conc.(ppm)	Response (R_a/R_g)	Ref.
Ce-In ₂ O ₃	220	Isopropanol	100	93	18
$NiO/NiCo_{0.06}Fe_{1.94}O_4$	183.5	Isopropanol	100	11.2	32
$BCO/In(OH)_3 \cdot xH_2O$	100	Isopropanol	100	20.39	33
In ₂ O ₃	260	Hydrogen	500	18	34
ZnO/In ₂ O ₃	240	Xylene	50	16	35
Fe-In ₂ O ₃	350	Ethanol	100	133	36
ZnO@In ₂ O ₃	275	NO_2	70	68	37
Pure In ₂ O ₃	240	Isopropanol	100	18	This work
Au/ZnO/In ₂ O ₃	200	Isopropanol	100	110	This work



Fig. 7 Schematic diagram of the energy band structure of 2%Au/1% ZnO/In_2O_3.

gradually becomes loose and porous, which means that the specific surface area of the sample increases and is more favorable for gas adsorption.

4 Conclusion

In conclusion, a series of Au/ZnO/In₂O₃ nanoparticles were prepared *via* a hydrothermal method. The XRD patterns and SEM images of the samples have been displayed. The Au/ZnO/ In₂O₃ sample presents loose and porous nanoparticles. In addition, the gas sensing performances of the Au/ZnO/In₂O₃ sensor toward isopropanol were researched. The sensor based on 2%Au/1%ZnO/In₂O₃ nanoparticles shows the maximum response to isopropanol. Further, the sensor has a response time of 78 seconds and a recovery time of 49 seconds. The nn heterojunction composed of In₂O₃ and ZnO, catalytic effect of Au and loose nanoparticles were used to illustrate the gas sensing mechanism. In sum, the Au/ZnO/In₂O₃ nanoparticles are suitable for the design of an isopropanol sensor.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 61705077); Project of Jilin Provincial Science and Technology Department (No. 20200403072SF,

20230508055RC); and Project of Jilin Province Development and Reform Commission (2019C048-4, 2020C021-5).

References

- 1 T. Yang, S. Ma, P. Cao, X. Xu, L. Wang, S. Pei, T. Han, P. Yun and H. Sheng, Synthesis and characterization of $ErFeO_3$ nanoparticles by a hydrothermal method for isopropanol sensing properties, *Vacuum*, 2021, **185**, 110005.
- 2 S. Li, Z. Chu, F. Meng, T. Luo, X. Hu, S. Huang and Z. Jin, Highly sensitive gas sensor based on SnO2 nanorings for detection of isopropanol, *J. Alloys Compd.*, 2016, **688**, 712– 717.
- 3 H. Zhang, Z. Jin, M. Xu, Y. Zhang, J. Huang, H. Cheng, X. Wang, Z. Zheng and Y. Ding, Enhanced isopropanol sensing performance of the CdS nanoparticle decorated ZnO porous nanosheets-based gas sensors, *IEEE Sens. J.*, 2021, **21**(12), 13041–13047.
- 4 Y. Luo, A. Ly, D. Lahem, C. Zhang and M. Debliquy, A novel low-concentration isopropanol gas sensor based on Fedoped ZnO nanoneedles and its gas sensing mechanism, *J. Mater. Sci.*, 2021, **56**, 3230–3245.
- 5 N. Jayababu, M. Poloju, J. Shruthi and M. Reddy, NiO decorated CeO_2 nanostructures as room temperature isopropanol gas sensors, *RSC Adv.*, 2019, **9**(24), 13765–13775.
- 6 Y. Ngo, M. Brothers, J. Martin, C. Grigsby, K. Fullerton, R. Naik and S. Kim, Chemically enhanced polymer-coated carbon nanotube electronic gas sensor for isopropanol detection, *ACS Omega*, 2018, 3(6), 6230–6236.
- 7 H. Liu, B. Liu, P. Li, W. Kang and Y. Zhang, High sensitivity and anti-humidity gas sensor for nitrogen dioxide based on Ce/SnO₂ nanomaterials, *Sens. Actuators, A*, 2022, **344**, 113717.
- 8 Z. Lee, H. Hawari, G. Djaswadi and K. Kamarudin, A highly sensitive room temperature CO_2 gas sensor based on SnO2-rGO hybrid composite, *Mater.*, 2021, **14**(3), 522.
- 9 S. Yu, X. Jia, J. Yang, S. Wang, Y. Li and H. Song, Highly sensitive and low detection limit of ethanol gas sensor based on CeO2 nanodot-decorated ZnSnO3 hollow microspheres, *Ceram. Int.*, 2022, **48**(10), 14865–14875.
- 10 J. Huang, H. Liang, J. Ye, D. Jiang, Y. Sun, X. Li, Y. Geng, J. Wang, Z. Qian and Y. Du, Ultrasensitive formaldehyde gas sensor based on Au-loaded ZnO nanorod arrays at low temperature, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2021, 346, 130568.

- 11 H. Liu, P. Li, B. Liu, T. Zhang and Y. Zhang, Au/La Co-Modified In₂O₃ Nanospheres for Highly Sensitive Ethanol Gas Detection, CS, 2022, 10(10), 392.
- 12 H. Ji, Z. Yuan, H. Zhu, W. Qin, H. Wang and F. Meng, Dynamic Temperature Modulation Measurement of VOC Gases Based on SnO₂ Gas Sensor, *IEEE Sens. J.*, 2022, 22(15), 14708–14716.
- 13 T. Mokoena, H. Swart, K. Hillie, Z. Tshabalala, M. Jozela, J. Tshilongo and D. Motaung, Enhanced propanol gas sensing performance of p-type NiO gas sensor induced by exceptionally large surface area and crystallinity, *Appl. Surf. Sci.*, 2022, 571, 151121.
- 14 U. Nakate, Y. Yu and S. Park, High performance acetaldehyde gas sensor based on pn heterojunction interface of NiO nanosheets and WO₃ nanorods, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2021, **344**, 130264.
- 15 J. Zhang, S. Ma, B. Wang and S. Pei, Hydrothermal synthesis of SnO2-CuO composite nanoparticles as a fast-response ethanol gas sensor, *J. Alloys Compd.*, 2021, **886**, 161299.
- 16 X. Liu, H. Wang, X. Li, D. Liu, J. Wan, X. Lai, S. Hao, Q. Zhang and X. Chen, Fern-like metal-organic frameworks derived In₂O₃/ZnO nanocomposite for superior triethylamine sensing properties, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2021, 345, 130424.
- 17 X. Hou, H. Liu, Y. Zhang, M. Jiang, L. Yuan, J. Shi and C. Hou, Oxygen vacancies enhancing acetone-sensing performance, *Mater. Today Chem.*, 2020, 18(1), 100372.
- 18 Y. Bai, H. Fu, X. Yang, S. Xiong, S. Li and X. An, Conductometric isopropanol gas sensor: Ce-doped In2O3 nanosheet-assembled hierarchical microstructure, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2023, 377, 133007.
- 19 Q. Ma, S. Chu, H. Li, J. Guo, Q. Zhang and Z. Lin, Flower-like In₂O₃/ZnO heterostructure with accelerated multiorientation electron transport mechanism for superior triethylamine detection, *Appl. Surf. Sci.*, 2021, 569, 151074.
- 20 S. Li, L. Xie, M. He, X. Hu, G. Luo, C. Chen and Z. Zhu, Metal-Organic frameworks-derived bamboo-like CuO/In_2O_3 Heterostructure for high-performance H_2S gas sensor with Low operating temperature, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2020, **310**, 127828.
- 21 Y. Wang, L. Yao, L. Xu, W. Wu, W. Lin, C. Zheng, Y. Feng and X. Gao, Enhanced NO₂ gas sensing properties based on Rbdoped hierarchical flower-like In₂O₃ microspheres at low temperature, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2021, 332, 129497.
- 22 Q. Zhao, G. Zhuang, Y. Zhao, L. Yang and J. Zhao, Y-doped In₂O₃ hollow nanocubes for improved triethylamine-sensing performance, *New J. Chem.*, 2021, **45**(15), 6773–6779.
- 23 C. Chen, Y. Chen and W. Tseng, Morphology-dependent NO₂ gas sensing for needle-like In₂O₃ chemiresistor nanosensors, *Mater. Sci. Eng.*, *B*, 2021, **265**(7), 115011.
- 24 X. Tian, L. Yao, X. Cui, R. Zhao, X. Xiao and Y. Wang, Novel Al-doped CdIn₂O₄ nanofibers based gas sensor for enhanced low-concentration n-butanol sensing, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2022, **351**, 130946.
- 25 X. Liang, J. Zhang, L. Du and M. Zhang, Effect of resonant tunneling modulation on ZnO/In₂O₃ heterojunction nanocomposite in efficient detection of NO₂ gas at room temperature, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2021, **329**, 129230.

- 26 K. Zhang, Y. Shen, Y. Li and W. Zhang, Synthesis of ZnO@In₂O₃ heterojunction with unique hexagonal threedimensional structure for ultra-sensitive ethanol detection, *Mater. Sci. Semicond. Process.*, 2022, 143, 106523.
- 27 Y. Cai, S. Ma, T. Yang, L. Wang, N. Ma and M. Liu, A fast responsive triethylamine gas sensor based on heterostructured YVO4/V2O5 composites, *Ceram. Int.*, 2022, 48(17), 25202–25212.
- 28 Y. Cai, S. Ma, T. Yang, L. Wang, N. Ma, M. Liu, P. Cao and H. Sheng, Preparation of YVO_4 octahedral nanomaterials and gas-sensing characteristics to triethylamine, *J. Alloys Compd.*, 2022, **897**, 163167.
- 29 Y. Cui, M. Zhang, X. Li, B. Wang and R. Wang, Investigation on synthesis and excellent gas-sensing properties of hierarchical Au-loaded SnO2 nanoflowers, *J. Mater. Res.*, 2019, 34(17), 1–11.
- 30 Y. Wang, M. Yao, R. Guan, Z. Zhang and J. Cao, Enhanced methane sensing performance of NiO decorated In_2O_3 nanospheres composites at low temperature, *J. Alloys Compd.*, 2021, **854**, 157169.
- 31 Q. Ma, S. Chu, H. Li, J. Guo, Q. Zhang and Z. Lin, Flower-like In₂O₃/ZnO heterostructure with accelerated multiorientation electron transport mechanism for superior triethylamine detection, *Appl. Surf. Sci.*, 2021, 569, 151074.
- 32 W. Wang, J. Han, C. Liu, J. Zhou, Y. Ma, X. Li and S. Ruan, An isopropanol sensor based on MOF-derived NiO/NiCoxFe₂-xO₄ porous nanocube with improved response and selectivity, *J. Alloys Compd.*, 2023, **933**, 167734.
- 33 X. Huang, Z. Chi, W. Yang, Y. Deng and W. Xie, Synthesis of Bi₂O₂CO₃/In(OH)₃ ⋅ xH₂O nanocomposites for isopropanol sensor with excellent performances at low temperature, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2022, **361**, 131715.
- 34 Z. Li, S. Yan, Z. Wu, H. Li, J. Wang, W. Shen, Z. Wang and Y. Fu, Hydrogen gas sensor based on mesoporous In_2O_3 with fast response/recovery and ppb level detection limit, *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy*, 2018, **43**(50), 22746–22755.
- 35 S. Satpute, J. Jagtap, P. Bhujbal, S. Sonar, P. Baviskar and H. Pathan, Mercurochrome sensitized ZnO/In2O3 photoanode for dye-sensitized solar cell, *ES Energy Environ.*, 2020, **9**(5), 89–94.
- 36 X. Chen, N. Deng, X. Zhang, Y. Yang, J. Li, B. Hong, J. Fang, J. Xu, D. Jin, X. Peng, X. Wang and H. Jin, Preparation of Fedoped In₂O₃ gas sensing semiconductor by one-step impregnation with enhanced ethanol sensing, *Chem. Phys. Lett.*, 2019, 722, 96–103.
- 37 S. Shah, S. Hussain, G. Qiao, J. Tan, M. S. Javed, Zulfqar, C. Ge, M. Wang and G. Liu, Decorating spherical In₂O₃ nanoparticles onto ZnO nanosheets for outstanding gassensing performances, *J. Mater. Sci.: Mater. Electron.*, 2020, **31**, 3924–3933.
- 38 G. Lia, Y. Shen, P. Zhou, F. Hao, P. Fang, D. Wei, D. Meng and X. San, Design and application of highly responsive and selective rGO-SnO₂ nanocomposites for NO₂ monitoring, *Mater. Charact.*, 2020, **163**, 110284.
- 39 B. Zhang, W. Fu, X. Meng, R. A. P. Su and H. Yang, Synthesis of actinomorphic flower-like SnO₂ nanorods decorated with

CuO nanoparticles and their improved isopropanol sensing properties, *Appl. Surf. Sci.*, 2018, **456**, 586–593.

- 40 L. Ma, H. Fan, H. Tian, J. Fang and X. Qian, The n-ZnO/n- In_2O_3 heterojunction formed by a surface-modification and their potential barrier-control in methanal gas sensing, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2016, **222**, 508–516.
- 41 B. Wang, H. Jin, Z. Zheng, Y. Zhou and C. Gao, Lowtemperature and highly sensitive C₂H₂ sensor based on Au

decorated ZnO/In₂O₃ belt-tooth shape nanoheterostructures, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2017, **244**, 344–356.

42 T. Nguyen, D. Dao, I. Lee, Y. Yu and S. Oh, High response and selectivity toward hydrogen gas detection by In_2O_3 doped Pd@ZnO core-shell nanoparticles, *J. Alloys Compd.*, 2021, **854**, 157280.