Dalton Transactions

COMMUNICATION

Check for updates

Cite this: *Dalton Trans.*, 2024, **53**, 6178

Received 16th November 2023, Accepted 11th March 2024 DOI: 10.1039/d3dt03843b

rsc.li/dalton

Unusual nucleophilic reactivity of a dithiolene-based N-heterocyclic silane†

Phuong M. Tran, (D) Yuzhong Wang, (D) Mitchell E. Lahm, Pingrong Wei, (D) Henry F. Schaefer, III (D) and Gregory H. Robinson (D) *

While the dithiolene-based N-heterocyclic silane (4) reacts with two equivalents of BX_3 (X = Br, I) to give zwitterionic Lewis adducts 5 and 8, respectively, the parallel reaction of 4 with BCl_3 results in 10, a dithiolene-substituted N-heterocyclic silane, *via* the Si–S bond cleavage. Unlike 5, the labile 8 may be readily converted to 9 *via* Bl₃-mediated cleavage of the Si–N bond. The formation of 5 and 8 confirms that 4 uniquely possesses dual nucleophilic sites: (a) the terminal sulphur atom of the dithiolene moiety; and (b) the backbone carbon of the N-heterocyclic silane unit.

Silvlenes, the silicon analogues of carbenes, have evolved from transient reaction intermediates^{1,2} to versatile ligands impacting transition metal coordination chemistry, catalysis, small molecule activation, and the stabilization of novel lowoxidation state main group species.³⁻²³ A variety of four-, fiveand six-membered N-heterocyclic silvlenes (NHSis) have been reported3,8,10,24,25 since the first such molecule was synthesized by the West group three decades ago.²⁶ N-Heterocyclic silylenes have demonstrated considerably different reactivity toward boron halides than their carbon analogues, N-heterocyclic carbenes (NHCs). N-Heterocyclic carbenes usually form stable Lewis adducts with boron halides.²⁷⁻²⁹ Although stable Lewis adducts have been isolated (Scheme 1a),^{30,31} reactions between N-heterocyclic silylenes and boron halides often proceed beyond this stage. Braunschweig et al. reported that Xyl-substituted NHSi (A in Scheme 1b, Xyl = 2,6-dimethylphenyl) may react with organoborane halides to give the corresponding oxidative addition products (B), which were subsequently converted to C via ring expansion.³² Subsequently, a series of oxidative additions of the B-X (X = halide) bonds of boron halides at the silvlene

centers and silylene ring expansion reactions have been reported.^{33–36} When the amidinate-supported four-membered silylene is combined with organoborane halides (Scheme 1c), migration of the amidinate ligand from the silicon atom to the boron atom was reported by Roesky *et al.*³⁰ In addition, Cui *et al.* recently reported that reaction of the five-membered NHSi (**D**) with BBr₃ produced the N-heterocyclic boryl-substituted silicon bromide **E** *via* silicon-boron exchange reaction (Scheme 1c).³⁷ Notably, the literature does not reveal any reports of boron halide-mediated backbone activation of N-heterocyclic silylene rings.

Recently this laboratory investigated the silylene^{38,39} (**1**, in Scheme 2a)-mediated sulphur–sulphur bond cleavage of an imidazole-based dithione dimer (3),⁴⁰ affording a dithiolenebased N-heterocyclic silane (**4**, Scheme 2a).⁴¹ Herein, we report the dual nucleophilic reactivity of the carbon backbone of the N-heterocyclic silyl framework and the terminal sulphur atom of the dithiolene unit in **4** with BX₃ (X = Br, I)—resulting in the formation of zwitterionic Lewis adducts **5** and **8**, respectively. This discovery is a unique example of Lewis acid-induced charge separation of a five-membered N-heterocyclic silyl ring.

Consistent with the D-to-E conversion (Scheme 1c),³⁷ NHSi (1) reacts with BBr_3 to give 2 (Scheme 2a). Compound 2 may also be prepared via reaction of the 2-alkoxysilane-1,3,2-diazaborole with BBr₃.⁴² In contrast, room-temperature reaction of 4 with BBr₃ (in a 1:2 molar ratio) in toluene gave 5 (81% yield) (Scheme 2b).43 X-ray quality yellow crystals of 5 were obtained via recrystallization in toluene. While 4 shows one singlet olefin proton resonance at 5.68 ppm,⁴¹ the backbone protons of the N-heterocyclic silyl framework in 5 exhibit two resonances in the ¹H NMR spectrum:⁴³ a broad singlet at 5.61 ppm (for HC:BBr₃) and a singlet at 6.05 ppm (for N=CH). The singlet (-6.36 ppm) and doublet (-10.97 ppm, ${}^{2}J_{BH}$ = 7.8 Hz) ¹¹B NMR resonances of 5 correspond to the BBr₃ units bound to the sulphur and carbon atoms, respectively.43 The 4-to-5 conversion results in the downfield shift of the ²⁹Si NMR resonance from -4.67 ppm (for 4, in C_6D_6)⁴¹ to 8.12 ppm (for 5, in toluene-d₈).⁴³ Compound 5 may be converted back to 4 in



View Article Online View Journal | View Issue

Department of Chemistry, The University of Georgia, Athens, Georgia 30602-2556, USA. E-mail: robinson@uga.edu

[†]Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Synthetic and computational details and structural and spectral characterization. CCDC 2294615– 2294617, 2327747–2327749. For ESI and crystallographic data in CIF or other electronic format see DOI: https://doi.org/10.1039/d3dt03843b



 $\label{eq:scheme1} \begin{array}{l} \mbox{Scheme1} & \mbox{Typical reactions of N-heterocyclic silylenes with boron halides (Xyl = 2,6-dimethylphenyl, Dipp = 2,6-diisopropylphenyl). \end{array}$

THF. Compound 5, in the presence of BBr₃, readily decomposes at room temperature, giving an acyclic doubly borylated (E)-N,N'-diaminoethene (6) and other uncharacterized products. Further reaction of 5 with BBr₃ (in a 1:2 ratio) in toluene at an elevated temperature (100 °C) gave a ca. 1:1 mixture of 6 and a dithiolene-based bromoborane complex (7) according to the ¹H NMR data (Scheme 2b).⁴³ The mixture of 6 and 7 may also be obtained via the 1:4 reaction of 4 with BBr₃ in toluene at 100 °C (Scheme 2b). Due to the similar solubilities, crystals of 6 (square blocks) and 7 (long rods) were manually separated for NMR measurements. The ¹¹B NMR resonance of 6 (28.60 ppm) compares well to that of an aminodichloroborane analogue (13) (32.60 ppm), Cl₂B=N $(Aryl)-CH=CH-N(Aryl)=BCl_2 (Aryl = 2,6-Me_2C_6H_3).^{44}$ The ¹¹B NMR spectrum⁴³ of 7 shows a singlet at -6.36 ppm and a broad singlet at 51.15 ppm, which correspond to the four-coordinated boron (in the SBBr₃ moiety) and three-coordinated boron (in the five-membered C₂S₂B ring), respectively. While the mechanistic details of the formation of 6 and 7 from reaction of 5 with BBr₃ remain unclear, this transformation may



Scheme 2 Synthesis of 2, 5-10 (R = 2,6-diisopropylphenyl) and canonical forms of 5 and 8.

View Article Online

plausibly involve consecutive insertions of the BBr₃ species into the Si–N bonds in 5, accompanied by the migration of one bromide from the boron atom to the silicon atom, rendering to 6 and an intermediate 11 (*i.e.*, the dithiolene-based SiBr₂ analogue of 7). The BBr₃ residing at the backbone carbon in 5 could be released during this process and subsequently react with the intermediate 11 to yield 7 and SiBr₄ (as a byproduct) *via* silicon-boron exchange. However, our repeated attempts to isolate intermediate 11 were unsuccessful.

As a comparison, we also investigated the parallel reactions of 4 with BX_3 (X = Cl, I). The 1:2 reaction of 4 with BI_3 in toluene over 2h resulted in the isolation of 8 (the analogue of 5) (Scheme 2c). In contrast to 5, compound 8 may be readily converted to 9 via the BI3-mediated silicon-nitrogen bond cleavage (Scheme 2c). While 9 can be isolated as pale-yellow crystalline powder in 72% yield, attempts to obtain pure 8 was unsuccessful due to its high lability. Isolation of 9 further supports our proposed mechanism for the BBr₃mediated decomposition of 5 (Scheme 2b). The formation of 8 has been confirmed by both single crystal X-ray diffraction technique (Fig. 1) and NMR studies. The singlet ¹H NMR resonances of 8 [5.58 ppm (HC:BI₃) and 6.06 ppm (N=CH)] compare well to those for 5 [5.61 ppm (HC:BBr₃) and 6.05 ppm (N=CH), respectively]. The singlet $(-82.90 \text{ ppm}, C=SBI_3)$ and doublet (-70.43 ppm, ${}^{2}J_{BH}$ = 7.0 Hz, C(H)BI₃) ${}^{11}B$ NMR resonances of 8 are shifted highfield compared to those for 5 (-6.36 ppm, C=SBBr₃ and -10.97 ppm, ${}^{2}J_{BH}$ = 7.8 Hz, C(H)BBr₃). Due to the high lability of 8 (which was converted to 9 during the ²⁹Si NMR measurement), we only observed the ²⁹Si NMR resonance for 9 (-18.80 ppm). The singlet ¹¹B NMR resonances (at 6.02 ppm and -82.85 ppm) of 9 correspond to the three-coordinate NBI2 and four-coordinate C=SBI3 units, respectively.

Interestingly, the parallel reaction of 4 with BCl₃ gave 10 as colourless crystalline powder (in 19% yield) (Scheme 2d) *via* BCl₃-mediated cleavage of the Si–S bond in 4. Formation of the zwitterionic analogue of 5 and 8 was not observed in terms of the ¹H NMR tube experiments. 10 exhibits singlet ¹¹B NMR (53.28 ppm) and ²⁹Si NMR (-33.47 ppm) resonances, revealing the presence of three-coordinate boron atom and four-coordinate silicon atom. Compound 10 is labile in solution, which may gradually decompose to give 12, the analogue of 6, in benzene. Compound 12 can be directly synthesized *via* 1:5 reaction of 4 with BCl₃ (in 58% yield). The ¹¹B NMR resonance of 12 (32.27 ppm) compares to that of 6 (28.60 ppm).

The molecular structures of **5–10** were determined by single crystal X-ray diffraction and supported by DFT computations (**5-Ph**, **7**, and **10-Ph** models, B3LYP/6-311G** level; **8-Ph** and **9-Ph** models, mPW1PW91/LANL2DZ level).⁴³ The crystal unit cell contains an enantiomeric pair of **5** (with identical bonding parameters) (Fig. 1). The formation of **5** reveals that **4** can serve as a double donor ligand to bind two equivalents of BBr₃ at two nucleophilic sites: the terminal sulphur atom of the dithiolene unit and the backbone carbon of the C₂N₂Si ring in **4**. Each boron atom in **5** is four-coordinate and adopting a dis-

torted tetrahedral geometry. The backbone protons of the $C_2N_2Si \text{ ring } [i.e., H(28) \text{ and } H(29)]$ were located from difference Fourier map.⁴³ With the BBr₃ coordination, the C(1)-S(1) bond is elongated from 1.6638(9) Å (as observed in 4)⁴¹ to 1.725(2) Å, which compares well to that [1.7256(18) Å] of the zwitterionic boron dithiolene complex with a terminal SR group (R = cyclohexyl) residing at the C2 carbon.45 Accordingly, the Wiberg bond index (WBI) of the C(1)-S(1) bond in 5 (1.17) is somewhat lower than that in 4 (1.49),⁴¹ indicating its modest multiple bond character. The S-B bond in 5 [1.932(2) Å] is shorter than that in C₄H₈S·BBr₃ [1.966(13) Å].⁴⁶ The C–B bond in 5 [1.656(3) Å] is similar to that [1.660(2) Å] in [{Ph₂(S=)P}(H)(Ph₃Si)C (BH₃)][Li(THF)₃].⁴⁷ The structural features of the C₂N₂Si ring in 5 are remarkably different from those of 4.41 While the C=C double bond [1.3375(15) Å] in the C_2N_2Si ring of 4 is elongated to the C(28)–C(29) single bond in 5 [1.462(3) Å], one of the two C–N single bonds in the C_2N_2Si ring of 4 [1.414 Å, av] is concomitantly shortened to the N(4)-C(29) double bond in 5 [1.293(3) Å]. The Si(1)–N(3) bond in 5 [1.6797(18) Å (experimental value), 1.703 Å (theoretical value)] compares to the covalent Si-N single bonds in 4 [1.713 Å, av].⁴¹ The obviously elongated Si(1)–N(4) bond in 5 [1.8197(18) Å (experimental value), 1.829 Å (computed value)] is comparable with the reported dative Si-N single bonds (such as that [1.858(9) Å] in $[Me_3Si(py)]^+[I]^{-48}$ and those [1.8290(18) Å and 1.8617(18) Å] in a chlorosilyliumylidene complex).49 Accordingly, the WBI of the Si(1)-N(4) bond in 5 (0.49) is considerably smaller than that (0.70) of the Si(1)-N(3) bond in 5. However, there have no obvious changes for the structural parameters of the C2S2Si rings in both 4 and 5. Compound 5 may be regarded as an intramolecular base-stabilized dithiolene-based silylium species. Natural bond orbital (NBO) analysis of 5-Ph model supports its zwitterionic feature (as shown in Scheme 2)-the silicon atom has a positive charge of +1.57, whereas the carbon atom [i.e., C(28)] bound to the BBr₃ unit bears a negative charge of -0.37. The electrostatic potential map of 4 (Fig. S22[†]) reveals the negative potential resides predominately in the region around the terminal sulphur atom of the dithiolene unit, while the region of the backbone carbon atoms of the N-heterocyclic silyl unit has a very weak negative electrostatic potential. Thus, it is somewhat surprising to observe the nucleophilic behaviour of the backbone carbon atom of the N-heterocyclic silyl ring in 4.

The X-ray structural analysis⁴³ of **6** (Fig. 1) reveals a planar Br₂B–N–C–C–N–BBr₂ framework, while the two 2,6-diisopropylphenyl substituents are nearly perpendicular to this plane. The structural parameters of the C₂N₂B₂(BBr₂)₂ core in **6** [$d_{C=C}$ = 1.310(9) Å; $d_{N=B}$ = 1.387(6) Å] compare well to those for **13** [$d_{C=C}$ = 1.333(2) Å; $d_{N=B}$ = 1.395(1) Å].⁴⁴ In the solid state⁴³ (Fig. 1), the terminal S(1) atom of 7, as that in 5, is capped by a boron tribromide species. The C₂S₂B ring in 7 is nearly planar [bend angle (η) between the BS₂ plane and the C₂S₂ plane = 1.7°]. The three-coordinate boron atom, involved in the five-membered dithiolene ring, adopts a trigonal planar geometry. In 7, the B(1)–S bonds [1.809(4) Å, av; WBI = 1.24, av] are somewhat shorter than the B(2)–S(1) bond [1.935(4) Å, av; WBI =



Fig. 1 Molecular structures of 5–10. Thermal ellipsoids represent 30% probability. All hydrogen atoms (except H(28) and H(29) in 5 and 8) have been omitted for clarity.

0.83], which should be due to π -donation of the S-lone pairs into the empty p orbital of the B(1) atom. The C–S bonds (1.737 Å, av) in the C₂S₂ unit of 7 are longer than those (1.710 Å, av) for the reported four-coordinate boron-based dithiolate complex,⁴⁵ which may be attributed to the electron donation from the sulphur atoms to the three-coordinate boron in 7. X-ray structural analysis (Fig. 1) shows that **8** is isostructural with **5**. The BI₃ bound to the backbone carbon of the N-heterocyclic silyl unit in **8** was released and subsequently cleaved one of the two Si–N bonds to give the NHSi-ring opened product **9**. The solid-state structure of **9** (Fig. 1) shows that while a BI₂ species is bonded to a nitrogen atom $[d_{N=B} = 1.393(11) \text{ Å}]$, one iodine atom is attached to the central four-



Fig. 2 Molecular orbitals of the simplified 5-Ph model.

coordinate silicon atom. The Si(1)-I(4) bond distance in 9 [2.406(3) Å] is somewhat shorter than the computed value (2.492 Å). The B_{sp^2} -I bonds (2.128 Å, av) in **9** is shorter than those B_{sp3}-I bonds in 8 and 9 (2.236 Å, av). NBO analysis shows that while the silicon atom in 9-Ph bears a positive charge of +1.10, the silicon atom and the carbon atom (next to BI_3) in zwitterionic 8 have a charge of +1.56 and -0.39, respectively. These results, coupled with the elongated Si(1)-N(4)bonds in 5 and 8, suggest that the canonical form A (Scheme 2) represents the predominant formulation of both 5 and 8. The X-ray structure of 10 (Fig. 1) indicates that one Si-S bond in 4 is cleaved by BCl₃ via the formation of a Si-Cl bond and a B-S bond. The Si-Cl bond distance in 10 [2.0522(11) Å] is marginally shorter than the computed value (2.088 Å). The B-S bond in 10 [1.793(4) Å] compares well to those (involving the three-coordinate boron atom) in 7 [1.809(4) Å, av].

Computations of the simplified **5-Ph** model⁴³ (Fig. 2) show that while the LUMO involves both C–B bonding and C–N π -anti-bonding character, the HOMO is dominated by the sulphur- and bromine-based lone pair character of the terminal SBBr₃ unit. HOMO–2 and HOMO–3 contain the S–B and C–B σ -bonding character, respectively. According to natural bond orbital (NBO) analysis, the C–B σ bond polarization is 28.2% toward boron and 71.8% toward carbon that has 28.90% s-, 71.08% p-, and 0.02% d-character.

Conclusions

Dithiolene-based N-heterocyclic silane (4) reacts with two equivalents of BX₃ (X = Br, I) to give zwitterionic Lewis adducts 5 and 8, respectively, whereas the parallel reaction of 4 with BCl₃ gives 10 *via* the Si–S bond cleavage. Further reaction of 5 with BBr₃ (in a 1 : 2 ratio) in toluene at an elevated temperature (100 °C) resulted in its decomposition, giving a mixture of 6

and 7. In contrast to 5, the labile zwitterion (8) may be readily converted to 9 via BI_3 -mediated Si–N bond cleavage. The 4-to-(5 and 8) conversions reveal that both the terminal sulphur atom of the dithiolene unit and the backbone carbon of the N-heterocyclic silyl moiety in 4 may serve as nucleophilic sites to bind BX_3 (X = Br and I) moieties. The potential broad utility of 4 as a species with dual nucleophilic sites is being investigated in this laboratory.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to the National Science Foundation (CHE-2153978 to G. H. R. and Y. W.) and the Department of Energy (DOE-SC0015512 to H. F. S.) for support.

References

- 1 P. S. Skell and E. J. Goldstein, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1964, 86, 1442–1443.
- 2 W. H. Atwell and D. R. Weyenberg, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* Engl., 1969, **8**, 469–477.
- 3 M. Haaf, T. A. Schmedake and R. West, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2000, 33, 704–714.
- 4 S. S. Sen, S. Khan, P. P. Samuel and H. W. Roesky, *Chem. Sci.*, 2012, **3**, 659–682.
- 5 Y. Xiong, S. Yao and M. Driess, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2013, 52, 4302-4311.
- 6 R. Waterman, P. G. Hayes and T. D. Tilley, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2007, **40**, 712–719.
- 7 B. Blom, D. Gallego and M. Driess, *Inorg. Chem. Front.*, 2014, 1, 134–148.
- 8 M. Asay, C. Jones and M. Driess, *Chem. Rev.*, 2011, **111**, 354–396.
- 9 B. Blom, M. Stoelzel and M. Driess, *Chem. Eur. J.*, 2013, 19, 40-62.
- 10 S. Yao, Y. Xiong and M. Driess, Organometallics, 2011, 30, 1748–1767.
- 11 L. Álvarez-Rodríguez, J. A. Cabeza, P. García-Álvarez and D. Polo, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2015, **300**, 1–28.
- 12 S. Yao, A. Saddington, Y. Xiong and M. Driess, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2023, **56**, 475–488.
- 13 W. J. Yang, Y. H. Dong, H. J. Sun and X. Y. Li, *Dalton Trans.*, 2021, **50**, 6766–6772.
- 14 M. J. Krahfuss and U. Radius, *Dalton Trans.*, 2021, 50, 6752–6765.
- 15 M. Ghosh and S. Khan, *Dalton Trans.*, 2021, **50**, 10674–10688.
- 16 S. Fujimori and S. Inoue, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.*, 2020, 2020, 3131–3142.

- 17 Y. P. Zhou and M. Driess, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2019, 58, 3715–3728.
- 18 C. Shan, S. Yao and M. Driess, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2020, **49**, 6733–6754.
- 19 S. Raoufrnoghaddam, Y. P. Zhou, Y. Wang and M. Driess, J. Organomet. Chem., 2017, 829, 2–10.
- 20 R. S. Ghadwal, R. Azhakar and H. W. Roesky, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2013, **46**, 444–456.
- 21 M. Driess, Nat. Chem., 2012, 4, 525-526.
- 22 S. S. Sen, S. Khan, S. Nagendran and H. W. Roesky, Acc. Chem. Res., 2012, 45, 578–587.
- 23 N. Muthukumaran, K. Velappan, K. Gour and G. Prabusankar, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2018, **377**, 1–43.
- 24 Y. Mizuhata, T. Sasamori and N. Tokitoh, *Chem. Rev.*, 2009, **109**, 3479–3511.
- 25 Y. Mizuhata, T. Sasamori and N. Tokitoh, *Chem. Rev.*, 2010, **110**, 3850–3850.
- 26 M. Denk, R. Lennon, R. Hayashi, R. West, A. V. Belyakov, H. P. Verne, A. Haaland, M. Wagner and N. Metzler, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1994, **116**, 2691–2692.
- A. J. Arduengo, F. Davidson, R. Krafczyk, W. J. Marshall and R. Schmutzler, *Monatsh. Chem.*, 2000, 131, 251–265.
- 28 Y. Wang, B. Quillian, P. Wei, C. S. Wannere, Y. Xie,
 R. B. King, H. F. Schaefer III, P. v. R. Schleyer and
 G. H. Robinson, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2007, **129**, 12412–12413.
- 29 P. Bissinger, H. Braunschweig, K. Kraft and T. Kupfer, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2011, 50, 4704–4707.
- 30 J. C. Li, Y. S. Liu, S. Kundu, H. Keil, H. P. Zhu, R. Herbst-Irmer, D. Stalke and H. W. Roesky, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2020, 59, 7910–7914.
- 31 H. Wang and Z. Xie, Organometallics, 2021, 40, 3819–3824.
- 32 A. Gackstatter, H. Braunschweig, T. Kupfer, C. Voigt and N. Arnold, *Chem. Eur. J.*, 2016, **22**, 16415–16419.
- 33 H. Braunschweig, T. Brückner, A. Deißenberger,R. D. Dewhurst, A. Gackstatter, A. Gärtner, A. Hofmann,

T. Kupfer, D. Prieschl, T. Thiess and S. R. Wang, *Chem. – Eur. J.*, 2017, 23, 9491–9494.

- 34 S. Khoo, Y.-L. Shan, M.-C. Yang, Y. Li, M.-D. Su and C.-W. So, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2018, 57, 5879–5887.
- 35 L. Zhu, J. Zhang and C. Cui, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2019, 58, 12007– 12010.
- 36 M. K. Bisai, V. S. V. S. N. Swamy, K. V. Raj, K. Vanka and S. S. Sen, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2021, **60**, 1654–1663.
- 37 Y. Ding, Y. Li, J. Zhang and C. Cui, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2022, 61, e202205785.
- 38 L. Kong, J. Zhang, H. Song and C. Cui, *Dalton Trans.*, 2009, 5444–5446.
- 39 P. Zark, A. Schäfer, A. Mitra, D. Haase, W. Saak, R. West and T. Müller, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, 2010, **695**, 398–408.
- 40 Y. Wang, Y. Xie, P. Wei, H. F. Schaefer III and G. H. Robinson, *Dalton Trans.*, 2019, **48**, 3543–3546.
- 41 Y. Wang, P. M. Tran, Y. Xie, P. Wei, J. N. Glushka, H. F. Schaefer III and G. H. Robinson, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2021, **60**, 22706–22710.
- 42 Z. Liu and C. Cui, J. Organomet. Chem., 2020, 906, 121041.
- 43 See the ESI[†] for synthetic, computational, and crystallographic details.
- 44 L. Weber, J. Forster, H. G. Stammler and B. Neumann, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.*, 2006, 5048–5056.
- 45 Y. Wang, Y. Xie, P. Wei, S. A. Blair, D. Cui, M. K. Johnson, H. F. Schaefer III and G. H. Robinson, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2018, 57, 7865–7868.
- 46 B. Krebs, G. Schwetlik and M. Wienkenhöver, Acta Crystallogr., Sect. B: Struct. Sci., 1989, 45, 257–261.
- 47 S. Molitor and V. H. Gessner, *Chem. Eur. J.*, 2013, **19**, 11858–11862.
- 48 K. Hensen, T. Zengerly, P. Pickel and G. Klebe, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 1983, 22, 725–726.
- 49 F. Hanusch, D. Munz, J. Sutter, K. Meyer and S. Inoue, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2021, 60, 23274–23280.