


 Cite this: *Chem. Commun.*, 2024, 60, 1000

 Received 19th October 2023,
 Accepted 11th December 2023

DOI: 10.1039/d3cc05153f

rsc.li/chemcomm

Deuteration of heptamethine cyanine dyes enhances their emission efficacy†

 Hana Janeková,^a Hannah C. Friedman,^b Marina Russo,^a Mergime Zyberaj,^a Tasnim Ahmed,^b Ash Sueh Hua,^b Anthony V. Sica,^b Justin R. Caram^{b,*} and Peter Štacko^{b,*}

The design of bright short-wave infrared fluorophores remains a grand challenge. Here we investigate the impact of deuteration on the properties in a series of heptamethine dyes, the absorption of which spans near-infrared and SWIR regions. We demonstrate that it is a generally applicable strategy that leads to enhanced quantum yields of fluorescence, longer-lived singlet excited states and suppressed rates of non-radiative deactivation processes.

The move towards short-wave infrared (SWIR; 900–2000 nm) region has recently emerged as a complementary approach to enhance bioimaging techniques with unrivaled spatiotemporal resolution.¹ The feasibility of SWIR imaging was demonstrated *in vivo* using carbon nanotubes,² quantum dots,³ rare-earth nanomaterials⁴ and small molecules.⁵ The full potential of SWIR imaging in terms of deep penetration, high spatial resolution, multicolor imaging and fast acquisition rates was showcased using quantum dots.⁶ Their high emission efficacy enabled heartbeat and breathing rate quantification in awake animals and construction of a brain vasculature map. SWIR imaging was recently employed to realize excitation multiplexing in awake animals with video-rate *in vivo* imaging.^{7,8}


However, the full potential of bioimaging in SWIR region is restricted by critically underperforming organic small-molecules-based fluorescent probes with fluorescence quantum yields (Φ_F) generally below 1%. The existing probes are usually based on donor–acceptor–donor motif or, more commonly, cyanine scaffold (Cy7).^{5,9–14} We and Schnermann have shown that the low Φ_F of Cy7 cyanines are linked to fast non-radiative deactivation processes in the singlet excited state instead of *E–Z* photoisomerization.^{15,16} The rate of these processes increases exponentially with the decreasing HOMO–LUMO energy gap (*i.e.* red-shifted absorption

maximum), also known as the “energy gap law”.¹⁷ As a result, the design of bright and efficient organic SWIR fluorophores remains a grand challenge. Besides SWIR applications, extending the lifetimes is crucial also in the context of other applications that rely on chemistry occurring from the excited state.^{18–24}

Some of us recently conceptualized the deuteration of cyanine scaffold as a potentially productive avenue to highly emissive SWIR fluorophores (Fig. 1) *via* suppression of non-radiative deactivation rates, owed to stiffer and less energetic C–D stretching vibrations ($\bar{\nu} \sim 2200 \text{ cm}^{-1}$) compared to those of C–H ($\bar{\nu} \sim 3100 \text{ cm}^{-1}$).²⁵ Recently, deuteration of *N*-methyl substituents in rhodamines resulted in a significant increase of their Φ_F .²⁶ Besides affecting emission properties, deuteration has been shown to increase the thermal stability of indocyanine green (ICG) and extend its shelf life harnessing the kinetic isotope effect.²⁷ Herein, we investigate the deuteration along the entire central chain in a series of near-infrared- and SWIR-emitting Cy7 dyes bearing different terminal heterocycles as a general strategy to enhance their emissive properties.

Cyanines **1–4D** were synthesized from pyridine- d_5 as a cheap and readily available source of deuterium atoms (Scheme 1). Pyridine- d_5 was transformed to the corresponding Zincke salt **5**,²⁸ and subsequently ring-opened using aniline in D_2O/CD_3OD to

PREVIOUS WORK



THIS WORK




Fig. 1 Comparison of the state-of-the-art with this work.

^a Department of Chemistry, University of Zurich, Winterthurerstrasse 190, Zurich 8057, Switzerland. E-mail: peter.stacko@uzh.ch

^b Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of California, Los Angeles, 607 Charles E. Young Drive, Los Angeles, CA 90095-1569, USA. E-mail: jcaram@chem.ucla.edu

 † Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Synthetic, photophysical and spectroscopic data. See DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1039/d3cc05153f>

Scheme 1 Synthesis of deuterated cyanines **1–4D** and **1DD**. Protonated analogues **1H–3H** were prepared in analogous fashion using non-deuterated solvents.

provide intermediate **6D** in a good overall yield. The intermediate **6D** was activated by *in situ* acetylation using Ac_2O and condensed with the corresponding heterocycles **9–13** to provide deuterated Cy7 **1–4D** as mixtures of $d_7:d_6:d_5$ in variable ratios determined from isotope pattern of HRMS. In case of **1H–D**, intensive degassing was necessary to prevent oxidation of the flavylium heterocycle **10** as observed previously by Sletten and co-workers.²⁹ The deuteria contained in the heterocycle **9** required for **1DD** were introduced by methylation of the starting 3-aminophenol with CD_3I (see ESI[†]). The protonated derivatives **1–3H** were prepared in analogous fashion starting from the commercial **6H**.

Photophysical and photochemical properties of the synthesized fluorophores are summarized in Table 1. The measurements were performed in dichloromethane (DCM) to decouple the investigations from aggregation phenomena, and to facilitate straightforward comparison with the values reported in the literature. Deuteration of the heptamethine chain showed negligible effect on the absorption properties of **1–4D** and led only to a minor shift of their absorption maxima (Fig. 2A and B). The derivatives **1H**, **1D**, **1DD** and **2H–D** possess absorption maxima at ~ 990 nm and emission maxima above ~ 1020 nm, consistent with analogous derivatives.¹² Consistent with the literature,^{16,27} **3D** and **4D** display more blue shifted absorption maxima at 832 and 782 nm, respectively, and ~ 30 and ~ 50 nm Stokes shifts, respectively. All the derivatives show large molar absorption coefficients typical for Cy7




Fig. 2 (A) UV-Vis absorption spectra of **1D–4D** in DCM. (B) Emission spectra of **1D–4D** in DCM.

Table 1 Photophysical properties of the studied Cy7 fluorophores

| | λ_{abs}^a nm | λ_{em}^a nm | ϵ^{ab} | $d^c/\%$ | $\Phi_{\text{F}} \times 10^{2ad}$ | $\chi^e/\%$ | $\epsilon\Phi_{\text{F}}$ |
|------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------|----------|-----------------------------------|---------------|---------------------------|
| 1H | 992 | 1029 | 280 800 | — | 1.33 ± 0.08 | — | 3730 |
| 1D | 990 | 1022 | 268 700 | 14 | 1.56 ± 0.09 | 17 ± 0.9 | 4190 |
| 1DD | 982 | 1015 | 296 300 | 47 | 2.39 ± 0.02 | 80 ± 0.8 | 7080 |
| 2H | 987 | 1014 | 236 900 | — | 0.33 ± 0.026 | — | 780 |
| 2D | 986 | 1011 | 219 900 | 21 | 0.36 ± 0.025 | 9.9 ± 0.9 | 790 |
| 3H | 832 | 860 | 224 300 | — | 0.06 ± 0.004 | — | 130 |
| 3D | 832 | 860 | 237 900 | 26 | 0.05 ± 0.004 | -17 ± 1.0 | 120 |
| 4H | 784^f | 830 | $226\,000^f$ | — | 11.5 ± 0.6 | — | 26 000 |
| 4D | 782^f | 834 | $169\,700^f$ | 12 | 13.7 ± 0.6 | 19 ± 0.7 | 23 100 |

^a Determined in DCM with 0.4% of DMSO. ^b The molar absorption coefficient, $\epsilon_{\text{max}}/\text{mol}^{-1} \text{dm}^3 \text{cm}^{-1}$. ^c Overall degree of the deuteration. ^d Quantum yield of fluorescence relative to the reference. Average and standard deviations of the mean are given. ^e Enhancement of the quantum yield of fluorescence by deuteration defined as $\chi = 100 \times (\Phi_{\text{D}}/\Phi_{\text{H}} - 1)$. ^f Determined in MeOH with 0.4% DMSO due to its aggregation in DCM.

dyes,^{7,16,30} and small Stokes shifts (25–52 nm) which did not display trend nor were they significantly altered by deuteration.

The emerging importance of cyanines as SWIR fluorophores motivated us to investigate the effect of deuteration on their quantum yields of fluorescence (Φ_{F}). In general, the deuterated analogues demonstrated a clear enhancement of Φ_{F} ($\chi = 100 \times (\Phi_{\text{D}}/\Phi_{\text{H}} - 1)$, Fig. 3C), whereas the protonated parent compounds showed Φ_{F} values consistent with the literature.^{7,16} Specifically, cyanines **1D**, **1DD**, **2D** and **4D** display χ of 17%, 80%, 10%, and 19%, respectively, whereas the quinolinium derivative **3D** exhibits a relative decrease of 17%. We attribute the origin of this outlier to its very weak emission ($\Phi_{\text{F}} < 0.06\%$) that is at the very limit of the InGaAs detector, introducing a large experimental error. We determined χ for **4H–D** determined also by an absolute method using an integrating sphere, and the value of χ (20%) was in an excellent agreement with the relative method (19%). The observed χ for **4H–D** was also comparable to the results of Smith and co-workers obtained in






Fig. 3 (A) Enhancement (χ) of Φ_F in **1D**–**4D** induced by deuteration of the Cy7 scaffold. (B) Percent difference for k_{tot} . (C) Percent difference for k_{nr} .

DMSO.²⁷ **1DD** was prepared to evaluate if χ increases with the degree of deuteration. Indeed, **1DD** incorporating higher deuterium content experienced significantly higher χ compared to that of **1D**. With the total brightness of $7080 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$, **1DD** is 4.8-fold brighter than the previously best performing flavylium-based Cy7, and substantially brighter than the structurally related chromenylium analogue ($\sim 65\%$).⁸

Excluding **1DD**, no clear correlation of χ with the HOMO–LUMO energy gap (*i.e.* the absorption maxima) was observed in our series as would be expected from the energy gap law. Intrigued by this, we decided to consider other potential deactivation pathways since the efficacy of the fluorophore is limited by the worst deactivation pathway. Φ_F of **1D** is not improved in more viscous DMSO ($\eta = 2.0 \text{ cP}$) compared to DCM ($\eta = 0.5 \text{ cP}$), suggesting that rotation of the phenyl substituent at the flavylium core is also not significantly involved in the deactivation (Fig. S52, ESI†). The excellent work of Sletten also provides important insights in this regard.⁸ They showed that, unlike in other chromophores, introduction of julolidine to restrict the motion around the C–N bond does not improve Φ_F , suggesting twisted intramolecular charge transfer (TICT) is not a major deactivation pathway. At the same time, introduction of a *tert*-Bu substituent in the 2-position of the heterocycles improved Φ_F by 2.8-fold. Therefore, we conclude that different C–H vibrations, *e.g.* located on the terminal aromatic rings, are likely significantly more efficient at dissipating the energy of the excited state in different Cy7 scaffolds. This view is consistent with the previous work of Hirata on perdeuterated aromatic amines.³¹ In this context, deuteration of the heterocycles in combination with their modification, especially in the 2-position, may be a path forward to bright SWIR fluorophores.

Notably, we also observed a large, 3-fold increase of Φ_F in **1H** compared to its analogue containing a cyclohexenyl ring embedded in the central chain.^{8,25} This is contrary to the popular notion in the literature that the ring increases Φ_F of Cy7 dyes through rigidification and suppression of the potential *E*–*Z* photoisomerization. While this structural feature provides benefits from the synthetic point of view, we have recently shown that it provides no improvements of photophysical properties.¹⁶ Little improvement of Φ_F via complete rigidification of the Cy7 scaffold reported by Schnermann and co-workers further corroborates this notion.¹⁵ Nevertheless, the explicit negative influence of the ring on Φ_F was unexpected. We speculated whether the large Φ_F increase is due to elimination of the deactivation pathways conferred by the additional C–H bonds in the ring, decreased Φ_{ISC} due to eliminating potential heavy atom effects of Cl atom. Alternatively, the increase could come from **1H-DD** being blue-shifted compared to the flavylium derivatives in the literature ($\sim 40 \text{ nm}$) due to absence of electron accepting Cl atom. While the latter complicates the direct comparison, independent energy gap parameter as described by Caram and coworkers which decouples the phenomena,²⁵ shows a value of 0.5 which is indicative of an increase quantum yield compared to the cyclohexyl ring analogue beyond that of the blue shift.

To corroborate observations from steady-state emission spectroscopy, we utilized time-resolved emission spectroscopy to gain additional insight into the effect of deuteration on the excited state lifetimes and the rates of non-radiative deactivation processes. We observed a statistically significant increase of lifetimes upon deuteration in the entire series of Cy7 dyes (Fig. 3B and C, Table 2). An overall decrease in non-radiative rates upon deuteration was also

Table 2 Time resolved fluorescence lifetimes and rates of Cy7 fluorophores^a

| | τ/ps | $k_{tot}/10^9 \text{ s}^{-1}$ | $h^b/\%$ | $k_r/10^6 \text{ s}^{-1}$ | $h^b/\%$ | $k_{nr}/10^9 \text{ s}^{-1}$ | $h^b/\%$ |
|------------|------------------|-------------------------------|------------------|---------------------------|------------------|------------------------------|----------------|
| 1H | 138.5 ± 0.1 | 7.22 ± 0.01 | — | 95 ± 6 | — | 7.1 ± 0.4 | — |
| 1D | 141.5 ± 0.4 | 7.06 ± 0.02 | 2.10 ± 0.01 | 110 ± 6 | -15 ± 1 | 7.0 ± 0.4 | 2.2 ± 0.2 |
| 1DD | 158.7 ± 1.0 | 6.2 ± 0.05 | 11.74 ± 0.02 | 142 ± 1 | -48 ± 3 | 6.23 ± 0.06 | 12.5 ± 8 |
| 2H | 67.5 ± 0.2 | 14.81 ± 0.05 | — | 49 ± 5 | — | 15 ± 1 | — |
| 2D | 69.9 ± 0.3 | 14.31 ± 0.05 | 3.38 ± 0.02 | 52 ± 4 | -5.4 ± 0.5 | 14 ± 1 | 3.4 ± 0.4 |
| 3H | 80.69 ± 0.09 | 12.39 ± 0.01 | — | 7 ± 0.5 | — | 12 ± 1 | — |
| 3D | 84.44 ± 0.09 | 11.84 ± 0.01 | 4.43 ± 0.01 | 5.9 ± 0.5 | 20 ± 2 | 11.8 ± 0.9 | 4.43 ± 0.5 |
| 4H | 481.2 ± 0.3 | 2.076 ± 0.001 | — | 239 ± 10 | — | 1.8 ± 0.1 | — |
| 4D | 547 ± 0.3 | 1.828 ± 0.001 | 11.93 ± 0.01 | 239 ± 16 | -0.32 ± 0.03 | 1.6 ± 0.1 | 14 ± 1 |

^a Determined in pure DCM. ^b Percent of the rate of decrease by deuteration defined as $h = 100 \times (1 - k_D/k_H)$, $k_r = \Phi_F \times k_{tot}$, $k_{nr} = k_{tot} - k_r$.



observed, with **1DD** exhibiting improvement that is statistically significant *via t*-test ($p < 0.05$), which indicates that deuteration is eliminating deactivation pathways in all dyes. Additionally, all these dyes are at least 40 nm blue shifted from the parent cyclohexyl **Cy7Flav** analogue, which means that the non-radiative energy gap law for internal conversion would be less impactful to the change in quantum yield. On the other hand, **1DD** may show decrease in non-radiative rate because TICT of the amine may dominate the rate in the linear dyes more because of this blue shift. When analyzing a decrease in rate compared to the rate for the protonated analogue, we observe smaller changes than those found in the quantum yield analysis. Using this analysis on the previously reported **Cy7Flav** derivatives,²⁵ we observe similar decrease values for non-radiative rate for the partially deuterated compounds ($4.1 \pm 0.01\%$ and $5.5 \pm 0.02\%$ for deuteration degrees of 2 and 2.12 in **Cy7Flav** scaffold, respectively). More interestingly, the rate decrease for the radiative rate is $-1.11 \pm 0.06\%$ and $-2.2 \pm 0.2\%$, respectively, which is much lower change than the **1D** change in the radiative rate. Though much more scanning of the synthetic space must be considered, this may be indicative of deuteration impacting the transition dipole moment in certain derivatives.

In conclusion, we demonstrate that deuteration is a valuable strategy applicable across the family of SWIR-absorbing Cy7 fluorophores to increase their emission efficacy and suppress the competing non-radiative deactivation pathways. We believe that the valuable lessons learned herein will guide the rational design of SWIR fluorophores and spur the investigations to identify bond vibrations which represent the greatest offenders in this context, or inspire alternative approaches to suppress these non-productive pathways, *e.g. via* perfluorination of the central polymethine chain or the appending heterocycles ($\bar{\nu}$ of C–F $\sim 1200 \text{ cm}^{-1}$).

We acknowledge Swiss National Science Foundation (P.Š/PZ00P2_193425), the Department of Chemistry, University of Zurich (Legerlotz Stiftung, UZH Candoc), and especially the Prof. Hans E. Schmid Stiftung for funding this research project. We would like to thank Prof. Cristina Nevado, Prof. Karl Gademann and Prof. Michal Juriček (University of Zurich) for the generous support of our research. Justin, Hannah, Tasnim, Ash, and Anthony would like to acknowledge support from the U.S. National Science Foundation (CHE-2204263, CHE-1945572), and the Cottrell foundation.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

References

- G. Hong, A. L. Antaris and H. Dai, *Nat. Biomed. Eng.*, 2017, **1**, 0010, DOI: [10.1038/s41551-016-0010](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41551-016-0010).

- K. Welsher, Z. Liu, S. P. Sherlock, J. T. Robinson, Z. Chen, D. Daranciang and H. Dai, *Nat. Nanotechnol.*, 2009, **4**, 773–780.
- G. Hong, J. T. Robinson, Y. Zhang, S. Diao, A. L. Antaris, Q. Wang and H. Dai, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2012, **51**, 9818–9821.
- D. J. Naczynski, M. C. Tan, M. Zevon, B. Wall, J. Kohl, A. Kulesa, S. Chen, C. M. Roth, R. E. Riman and P. V. Moghe, *Nat. Commun.*, 2013, **4**, 1–10.
- Z. Tao, G. Hong, C. Shinji, C. Chen, S. Diao, A. L. Antaris, B. Zhang, Y. Zou and H. Dai, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2013, **52**, 13002–13006.
- O. T. Bruns, T. S. Bischof, D. K. Harris, D. Franke, Y. Shi, L. Riedemann, A. Bartelt, F. B. Jaworski, J. A. Carr, C. J. Rowlands, M. W. B. Wilson, O. Chen, H. Wei, G. W. Hwang, D. M. Montana, I. Coropceanu, O. B. Achorn, J. Kloepper, J. Heeren, P. T. C. So, D. Fukumura, K. F. Jensen, R. K. Jain and M. G. Bawendi, *Nat. Biomed. Eng.*, 2017, **1**, 1–11.
- E. D. Cosco, A. L. Spearman, S. Ramakrishnan, J. G. P. Lingg, M. Saccomano, M. Pengshung, B. A. Arús, K. C. Y. Wong, S. Glasl, V. Ntziachristos, M. Warmer, R. R. McLaughlin, O. T. Bruns and E. M. Sletten, *Nat. Chem.*, 2020, **12**, 1123–1130.
- E. D. Cosco, B. A. Arús, A. L. Spearman, T. L. Atallah, I. Lim, O. S. Leland, J. R. Caram, T. S. Bischof, O. T. Bruns and E. M. Sletten, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2021, **143**, 6836–6846.
- S. Zhu, R. Tian, A. L. Antaris, X. Chen and H. Dai, *Adv. Mater.*, 2019, **31**, 1900321.
- F. Ding, Y. Zhan, X. Lu and Y. Sun, *Chem. Sci.*, 2018, **9**, 4370–4380.
- S. Wang, Y. Fan, D. Li, C. Sun, Z. Lei, L. Lu, T. Wang and F. Zhang, *Nat. Commun.*, 2019, **10**, 1–11.
- E. D. Cosco, J. R. Caram, O. T. Bruns, D. Franke, R. A. Day, E. P. Farr, M. G. Bawendi and E. M. Sletten, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2017, **56**, 13126.
- B. Li, L. Lu, M. Zhao, Z. Lei and F. Zhang, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2018, **57**, 7483.
- Z. Lei, C. Sun, P. Pei, S. Wang, D. Li, X. Zhang and F. Zhang, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2019, **58**, 8166–8171.
- S. S. Matikonda, G. Hammersley, N. Kumari, L. Grabenhorst, V. Glembockyte, P. Tinnefeld, J. Ivanic, M. Levitus and M. J. Schnermann, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2020, **85**, 5907–5915.
- L. Štacková, E. Muchová, M. Russo, P. Slavíček, P. Štacko and P. Klán, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2020, **85**, 9776–9790.
- B. R. Henry and W. Siebrand, *Organic Molecular Photophysics*, Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH, 1973.
- H. Janeková, M. Russo, U. Ziegler and P. Štacko, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2022, e202204391.
- G. Alachouzos, A. M. Schulte, A. Mondal, W. Szymanski and B. L. Feringa, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2022, e202201308.
- L. Štacková, M. Russo, L. Muchová, V. Orel, L. Vítek, P. Štacko and P. Klán, *Chem. – Eur. J.*, 2020, **26**, 13184–13190.
- H. Janeková, M. Russo and P. Štacko, *Chimia*, 2022, **76**, 763.
- R. Tovtik, E. Muchová, L. Štacková, P. Slavíček and P. Klán, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2023, **88**, 6728.
- N. G. Medeiros, C. A. Braga, V. S. Câmara, R. C. Duarte and F. S. Rodembusch, *Asian J. Org. Chem.*, 2022, **11**, e202200095.
- L. K. Reimann, D. D. S. Fortes, F. D. S. Santos, H. D. C. Silva Junior, A. M. Morás, D. J. Moura, R. D. C. Duarte and F. S. Rodembusch, *Chemosensors*, 2023, **11**, 47.
- H. C. Friedman, E. D. Cosco, T. L. Atallah, S. Jia, E. M. Sletten and J. R. Caram, *Chemistry*, 2021, **7**, 3359–3376.
- K. Roßmann, K. C. Akkaya, P. Poc, C. Charbonnier, J. Eichhorst, H. Gonschior, A. Valavalkar, N. Wendler, T. Cordes, B. Dietzek-Ivanšić, B. Jones, M. Lehmann and J. Broichhagen, *Chem. Sci.*, 2022, **13**, 8605.
- D. H. Li and B. D. Smith, *Chem. – Eur. J.*, 2021, **27**, 14535–14542.
- L. Štacková, P. Štacko and P. Klán, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2019, **141**, 7155.
- J. A. VanAllan and G. A. Reynolds, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1969, **10**, 2047.
- J. L. Bricks, A. D. Kachkovskii, Y. L. Slominskii, A. O. Gerasov and S. V. Popov, *Dyes Pigm.*, 2015, **121**, 238–255.
- S. Hirata, K. Totani, T. Watanabe, H. Kaji and M. Vacha, *Chem. Phys. Lett.*, 2014, **591**, 119–125.

