Chemical Science

EDGE ARTICLE

Cite this: Chem. Sci., 2023, 14, 9933

C All publication charges for this article have been paid for by the Royal Society of Chemistry

Received 13th July 2023 Accepted 19th August 2023

DOI: 10.1039/d3sc03612j

rsc.li/chemical-science

Introduction

All cells maintain physiological concentrations of Adenosine Triphosphate (ATP) in the 1-12 mM range, significantly higher than what is required for ATP to provide energy or serve as a phosphate source in phosphorylation processes.¹⁻⁵ Instead, the mM levels of ATP critically allow ATP to bind aggregationprone proteins and modulate their solubility.³⁻⁸ One protein for which this modulatory effect of ATP is particularly relevant – yet currently unclear – is alpha-synuclein (αS) , whose aggregation is closely linked to the pathology of Parkinson's Disease $(PD).⁹$

Age is the primary risk factor for idiopathic PD, with incidence of PD increasing from ∼1% for individuals over 60 to 5% for those over 85.¹⁰ Interestingly, aging is also accompanied by a dramatic decline of ATP levels in multiple model organisms.¹¹ Older Caenorhabditis elegans and Drosophila exhibit ATP levels approximately 80% and 50% lower than their younger counterparts, respectively.¹¹ In agreement with these observations, ATP levels decrease with age in the mouse brain, as well as in the cardiac muscles of both mice and humans.¹¹ Given the age-related parallels between increasing PD prevalence and decreasing ATP levels, it is possible that

Toward a molecular mechanism for the interaction of ATP with alpha-synuclein†

Evelyn Rose Kamski-H[enn](http://orcid.org/0000-0003-1164-2853)ekam,^a Jinfeng Huang,^a Rashik Ahmed^b and Giuseppe Melacini^{D*ab}

The ability of Adenosine Triphosphate (ATP) to modulate protein solubility establishes a critical link between ATP homeostasis and proteinopathies, such as Parkinson's (PD). The most significant risk factor for PD is aging, and ATP levels decline dramatically with age. However, the mechanism by which ATP interacts with alpha-synuclein (aS), whose aggregation is characteristic of PD, is currently not fully understood, as is ATP's effect on α S aggregation. Here, we use nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy as well as fluorescence, dynamic light scattering and microscopy to show that ATP affects multiple species in the aS self-association cascade. The triphosphate moiety of ATP disrupts long-range electrostatic intramolecular contacts in α S monomers to enhance initial aggregation, while also inhibiting the formation of late-stage β -sheet fibrils by disrupting monomer–fibril interactions. These effects are modulated by magnesium ions and early onset PD-related αS mutations, suggesting that loss of the ATP hydrotropic function on α S fibrillization may play a role in PD etiology. **EDGE ARTICLE**
 Toward a molecular mechanism for the interactional properties of a molecular mechanism for the interactional properties of the state of the state of the state of the state of the control of the control of

a modulating effect of ATP on aS aggregation could be a factor in PD onset.¹²

Indeed, in vivo studies show that adenine supplementation to increase ATP levels from 1 to 4 mM signicantly reduces the number of α S-GFP-positive foci/aggregates in yeast.¹³ Additionally, yeast strains with significantly reduced ATP levels show increased sensitivity to aS-GFP, as measured by reduced growth, suggesting that higher ATP levels likely reduce αS cytotoxicity.¹³ Therefore, the available data collectively suggest that ATP likely elicits a physiologically- and pathologically-relevant effect on αS aggregation.14,15 However, the mechanism by which ATP exerts this effect is currently unclear.

Alpha-synuclein is a 140-amino-acid protein divided into three regions with distinct net charges (Fig. 1a): a positivelycharged N-terminus (residues 1–60) rich in lysine residues, a predominantly hydrophobic, non-amyloid- β component or NAC region (residues 61–95) that drives aggregation and an acidic C-terminus (residues 96–140) that binds a variety of metal ions.^{16,17} Throughout the α S sequence the consensus motif "KTKEGV" is repeated nine times either completely or partially (Fig. 1a) and these pseudo-apolipoprotein-like repeats confer lipid-binding properties to αS .^{17,18} However, under pathological conditions α S monomers form insoluble, β -sheet-rich amyloid fibrils as well as neurotoxic oligomeric intermediates.¹⁸

Many factors influence αS aggregation, including αS point mutations such as E46K and A53T, which are associated with familial PD and cause disease onset decades earlier than under idiopathic conditions.¹⁹ In addition, tetra-polyphosphates interact electrostatically with the "KTK" segments of the Nterminal "KTKEGV" repeats of aS monomers to enhance

a Department of Chemistry and Chemical Biology, McMaster University, Hamilton, ON, L8S 4M1, Canada. E-mail: melacin@mcmaster.ca

^bDepartment of Biochemistry and Biomedical Sciences, McMaster University, Hamilton, ON, L8S 4M1, Canada

[†] Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Experimental procedures and ESI Fig. S1–S5. See DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1039/d3sc03612j>

Fig. 1 Hypothetical models of α S-ATP interactions. (a) Upper panel: WT α S amino acid sequence, containing nine pseudo-apolipoprotein-like repeats (underlined), acidic (bolded) and basic (italicized) residues.¹⁷ The N-terminus, NAC region and C-terminus are colored in blue, yellow and red, respectively.¹⁶ Lower panel: general aS aggregation mechanism involving disruption of the long-range N- to C-terminal monomer contacts, leading to opening and subsequent aggregation of α S into oligomers and fibrils.²¹⁻²⁴ (b) One hypothetical model of the ATP– α S interaction based upon the interaction of ATP with proteins in the crystalline lens, whereby the adenine group of ATP clusters over protein hydrophobic patches and the triphosphate electrostatically repels other bound monomers to inhibit aggregation.²⁵ (c) Another viable model for ATP- α S interactions, suggesting that ATP could bridge aS monomers and enhance aggregation via the phosphate-mediated targeting of lysine residues (designated by "+" symbols) in the α S N-terminus, as is the case for tau protein.⁶ (d) Model for Mg²⁺-mediated ATP– α S interaction proposed by Nishizawa et al., whereby Mg^{2+} ions interact with the αS C-terminus and "bridge" indirect, non-specific interactions between the protein and ATP.¹²

charge-driven aggregation by disrupting long-range electrostatic contacts between the N- and C-termini of αS monomers.²⁰ These contacts shield the aggregation-prone NAC region of α S monomers and thereby inhibit aggregation (Fig. 1a; closed monomer).²¹ Consequently, perturbation of these contacts by charged species, including heparin, spermine and Na $^\text{+}$, leads to exposure of the NAC region, allowing it to establish intermolecular interactions that drive pathological oligomer and fibril formation (Fig. 1a). $21-24$

It is currently unclear to what extent the mechanisms of polyphosphate- α S interactions can be transferred to ATP- α S complexes. A model proposed to explain the hydrotrope-like effect of ATP on aggregation-prone proteins suggests that the aromatic purine ring of ATP clusters over protein hydrophobic patches while the triphosphate chain interacts with bulk water to prevent the formation of aggregates by repelling other such bound monomers (Fig. 1b).²⁵ However, ATP can also elicit a pro-aggregation effect on positively-charged amyloidogenic proteins, e.g., tau and human muscle acylphosphatase, by electrostatically binding to lysine residues of multiple monomers to enhance nucleating dimer formation (Fig. 1c).6,7 Similar interactions have been reported for the complexes formed by ATP and proteins undergoing phase separation. Phase separation of Fused-In-Sarcoma (FUS) protein is modulated by the adenine ring of ATP forming π - π interactions with aromatic side chains, while the triphosphate interacts electrostatically with arginine and lysine residues.⁸ The ATP : protein ratio is also critical for determining the effect of ATP, as ratios less than 100 : 1 promote phase separation of TDP-43 and FUS proteins via bivalent binding, while ratios above 100 : 1 elicit the opposite effect.^{5,8} Finally, Nishizawa et al. proposed that ATP interacts indirectly with αS via weak, non-specific interactions that are driven by Mg^{2+} serving as a bridge between ATP and the αS Cterminus (Fig. 1d).¹²

While these studies provide important clues on α S-ATP complexes, several questions remain open on how ATP interacts with α S and how these interactions are modulated by pathologically-relevant factors, such as PD-related αS mutations. The effect of ATP on aS monomer conformations and on pathologically-relevant aS aggregation is also still unexplored. Addressing these gaps is critical to understanding the physiological and pathological roles of ATP.

Here, we probe how ATP interacts with multiple α S species and how these interactions modulate αS intramolecular and monomer-fibril contacts and aggregation. By complementing multiple Nuclear Magnetic Resonance (NMR) experiments with Thioflavin T (ThT) fluorescence, dynamic light scattering (DLS) and microscopy, we explore the effect of ATP on both early- and late-stage aS aggregation. We show that ATP causes an enhancement of early αS aggregation by disrupting longrange electrostatic contacts in α S monomers and thereby shortening the lag time for β -sheet fibril formation. Strikingly, elevated ATP levels also significantly inhibit late-stage α S aggregation as well as N-terminally-driven α S monomerfibril contacts that are critical for templated fibril elongation and pathologically-relevant secondary nucleation.^{9,26} We also show that the triphosphate moiety of ATP drives its primarily electrostatic interaction with the lysine- and threonine-dense "KTKEGV" N-terminal repeats in α S monomers.^{17,18} These ATP- α S interactions are modulated by Mg²⁺, which sequesters ATP from α S as ATP-Mg and vice versa, as well as by α S mutations that perturb the lysine- and threoninedistributions (E46K and A53T). Our data reveal that the E46K and A53T α S mutations dramatically alter the effect of ATP on α S aggregation, causing a significant increase in the population of soluble aggregates of intermediate-size. Overall, these results provide a mechanism to begin understanding the role of ATP in aS-related PD-pathophysiology.

Results and discussion

ATP elicits a concentration-dependent effect on αS aggregation that is phosphate-dependent and is preserved in the presence of Mg^{2+}

To determine the effect of ATP on α S aggregation, we measured ThT fluorescence of Wild-Type (WT) α S incubated with increasing concentrations of ATP.^{9,16-18} We found that ATP causes a concentration-dependent shortening of the lag time for aS aggregation (Fig. 2a). This effect is already evident at ATP concentrations as low as 0.25 mM, and is particularly significant at 10 mM. Interestingly, the aggregation-accelerating effect of ATP on α S is similar yet distinct from the effect of polyphosphates, as ATP causes a significant shortening of the αS lag

Fig. 2 ATP elicits a concentration-dependent effect on α S aggregation that is phosphate-driven and is preserved in the presence of magnesium. (a) ThT fluorescence of fresh 300 μ M WT α S in ThT buffer (20 mM K₂HPO₄, 5 mM KH₂PO₄, 100 mM KCl, 200 µM EDTA, 0.05% NaN₃), incubated for 85 h in a 37 °C plate reader. Plotted are average ThT measurements across four wells per condition, with measurements taken every six minutes with 30 s orbital shaking prior to each read and normalized to the final measurement for the aS sample. Inset shows non-normalized data, with standard deviations for the α S and α S + 10 mM ATP conditions shown. Error bars for ATP < 10 mM are not shown to avoid overcrowding, but are comparable to 10 mM ATP. (b) ThT fluorescence of fresh 300 μ M WT α S in ThT buffer, incubated for 72 h in a 37 °C shaker at 150 rpm then in a plate reader for 20 additional hours at plateau. Plotted are well-specific average ThT measurements from multiple independent experiments, taken at plateau every five min with 30 s orbital shaking prior to each read and normalized to the average measurement of all α S samples. Ligand concentrations are all 10 mM. Chemical structures of AMP, ADP, ATP and triphosphate at pH 7.4 are shown above the panel. (c) ThT fluorescence of fresh 440 μ M WT α S in ThT buffer, incubated for 47 h in a 37 °C plate reader. Plotted are average ThT measurements across four wells per condition, with measurements taken every six minutes with 30 s orbital shaking prior to each read and normalized to the final measurement of the α S + 10 mM MgCl₂ sample. (d) Well-specific average ThT fluorescence measurements of panel (c) samples at plateau (40–47 h), normalized to the average measurement of all α S + 10 mM MgCl₂ samples. Straight lines between samples in panels (b) and (d) represent no significant difference. Sample comparisons in panels (b) and (d) represent significance levels: $* = p < 0.05$, $*** = p < 0.001$ and $*** = p$ < 0.0001 .

time at much lower concentrations than do tri-polyphosphates.²⁰ In addition, 10 mM ATP causes a statisticallysignificant reduction of α S ThT fluorescence at plateau that is different from the enhancements caused by 0.25 and 1 mM ATP (Fig. 2a inset). The decreased plateau ThT fluorescence observed in the presence of 10 mM ATP reflects a loss in the amount of late-stage αS aggregates/fibrils, as confirmed by Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM) (ESI Fig. S1†). Furthermore, comparative DLS analyses show that 10 mM ATP also reduces the formation of soluble, intermediate-size αS aggregates at plateau (ESI Fig. S2†). The ability of 10 mM ATP to both enhance early aS aggregation by shortening the lag time and concurrently inhibit αS ThT fluorescence at plateau reveals a unique bi-phasic effect of ATP on protein aggregation. Overall, our ATP- and time-dependent ThT data provide an initial explanation for how ATP influences αS aggregation.

Since the formation of mature α S fibrils is a hallmark of PD pathology, we next sought to determine the driving force for the ATP-dependent modulation of α S ThT fluorescence at plateau.⁹ To this end, we compared the effect of 10 mM ATP on α S to that of ATP analogs which differ from ATP in the number of phosphate groups, i.e., Adenosine Monophosphate (AMP) and Adenosine Diphosphate (ADP). Interestingly, ADP – but not AMP – is able to significantly reduce α S ThT fluorescence at plateau to a similar extent as ATP, suggesting that ATP's effect on aS at plateau requires two or more phosphate groups (Fig. 2b). Additionally, the triphosphate-mediated reduction of α S ThT fluorescence at plateau is statistically different from that of ATP, suggesting that the observed effect of ATP on αS aggregation is not completely recapitulated by another small molecule with three negative phosphate groups (Fig. 2b). In addition, the effects of ammonium sulfate and guanidine hydrochloride, which were selected as they are at opposite ends of the Hofmeister series, are signicantly different than that of ATP (Fig. 2b). From this we conclude that the effect of ATP on pathologically-relevant late-stage αS fibril formation is not likely a general salting effect. Given the importance of the triphosphate moiety, the effect of ATP is instead likely to specifically require nucleotides and not inorganic salts (Fig. 2b).⁹ In this respect, the interaction between ATP and α S shares some common features with that between ATP and lysozyme, which was recently modelled by Ou et al. using MD simulations.²⁷ Ou et al. found that although the phosphate groups of ATP tend to form salt bridges primarily with positively-charged lysozyme residues, the adenine and ribose moieties of bound ATP molecules also form hydrogen bonds with the protein.²⁷ Edge Article

Results and discussion the simulation of the system o

> We next explored whether ATP is still able to shorten the lag time for α S aggregation and reduce its plateau ThT fluorescence in the presence of equimolar Mg^{2+} , since Mg^{2+} complexation is required for ATP's biological function as an energy source.^{28,29} In addition, Mg^{2+} levels decline with age and are often greatly reduced in PD patients.^{7,30} We observed that ATP can still cause a concentration-dependent decrease in the αS lag time, even in the presence of high Mg^{2+} (Fig. 2c). ATP concentrations above 5 mM also cause a significant reduction in α S ThT fluorescence at plateau (Fig. 2d). Thus, the bi-phasic effect of ATP on αS aggregation persists in the presence of high Mg^{2+}

concentrations, suggesting that Mg^{2+} is unable to silence the effect of ATP on aS aggregation.

As α S cytotoxicity correlates inversely with aggregate size, it is likely that the effects of ATP on α S aggregation in both the presence and absence of Mg^{2+} , as well as the altered levels of ATP and Mg^{2+} with increasing age, play a pleiotropic role in PD pathology.9,11,13,31 To understand the mechanisms underlying the effect of ATP on aS aggregation, we turned to NMR to characterize the interactions between ATP and α S and to evaluate how these interactions are modulated by Mg^{2+} .

The triphosphate group of ATP drives electrostatic interactions with N-terminal lysine and threonine residues in aS monomers

We next characterized the driving mechanism for the ATP– α S interaction by measuring the ATP-induced $^1\mathrm{H}^{-15}\mathrm{N}$ HSQC-based chemical shifts of WT α S monomers. Fig. 3a-c show that ATP induces concentration-dependent shifts primarily in lysine and threonine residues within the N-terminal pseudoapolipoprotein-like repeats of αS , leaving the NAC and Cterminal imperfect "KTKEGV" repeats largely unaffected and thereby suggesting a level of specificity for the ATP– α S interaction.^{16,17} Such specificity is notable as the binding of ATP to α S is weak with K_{ds} in the 4-10 mM range, as shown by the binding isotherms built using the most significant, N-terminal αS ATPinduced chemical shifts (Fig. 3e). Interestingly, these mM K_d values are similar to the reported range of cellular ATP concentrations, indicating that a signicant proportion of cellular αS is likely bound to ATP.^{4,5}

Another notable feature of the ATP-induced chemical shifts in Fig. 3a and b is their parallel pattern which is quantitatively confirmed by the cos θ_{ij} matrix in Fig. 3d and suggests that ATP approaches different αS residues in a consistent orientation.³² However, based on the chemical shift maps of Fig. 3a–c, it is clear that the high local concentrations of polar and positivelycharged residues in the αS N-terminus is critical for the ATP– αS interaction and predominates over $\pi-\pi$ stacking interactions since aromatic α S residues in the C-terminus and hydrophobic NAC region show less significant, concentration-dependent ATP-induced chemical shifts (Fig. 3b and c).¹⁷ These data rule out the hypothesis that the ATP– α S interactions are driven by the adenine ring of ATP clustering over protein hydrophobic patches (Fig. 1b), while ruling in other models in which ATP- α S binding is mediated primarily by the triphosphate (Fig. 1c).^{6,7,25}

To confirm the hypothesis that the triphosphate moiety of ATP drives its electrostatic interaction with αS , we compared the α S chemical shifts induced by ATP to those induced by AMP, ADP and triphosphate (Fig. 3f–h). These ATP analogs cause phosphate-dependent shifts mainly in the same N-terminal threonine residues targeted by polyphosphates and result in higher average K_d values for ADP and AMP vs. ATP and triphosphate (Fig. 3f–h).²⁰ In addition, linear correlations between the α S chemical shifts induced by ATP analogs versus ATP exhibit slopes comparable to the ratio of charges between the respective ATP analogs and ATP at physiological pH 7 (Fig. 3i), corroborating that charge is a primary driver of ATP

binding to aS. Given this charge-dependence, we hypothesized that Mg^{2+} complexation with the phosphates of ATP and the corresponding partial charge neutralization of the triphosphate moiety would influence the ATP- α S interaction.²⁸

Magnesium modulates the interaction of ATP with αS monomers and vice versa

Our data show that formation of the ATP-Mg complex attenuates but does not eliminate the significant chemical shifts induced by ATP or Mg^{2+} at the N- and C-termini of αS , respectively (Fig. 4a–c). This observation is consistent with the notion that the charge neutralization of both ATP and Mg^{2+} causes ATP-Mg to bind aS less strongly. As such, the increasing formation of ATP-Mg accounts for the concentration-dependent decreases in the C-terminal Mg-induced α S chemical shifts as well as the absence of increasing N-terminal ATP-induced αS residue shifts as more ATP is added to Mg-bound αS (Fig. 4d–f). The sequestration by ATP of Mg²⁺ ions away from α S is further confirmed by CHESPA (ESI Fig. $S3\dagger$), a type of NMR chemical shift projection analysis summarized in detail by Narayanan et al.³³ Conversely, as increasing concentrations of Mg²⁺ are added to ATP-bound αS , the N-terminal ATP-induced αS chemical shifts exhibit concentration-dependent decreases that are not accompanied by increased C-terminal Mg-induced αS residue shifts, indicating that the de-tuning effect of ATP on Mg^{2+} binding to αS is reciprocal (Fig. 4g). The dynamic interplay between ATP, Mg^{2+} , ATP-Mg and αS suggests that ATP could serve as a Mg²⁺ "sink," buffering its effects on αS . Chemical Science

Chemical Science are the published on 26 August 2023. Given this component with the many component with the published on 2023. The component of the system of the published on 2023. The common published o

We next explored Mg^{2+} sequestration by ATP's triphosphate moiety, and its consequences on the amount of free Mg^{2+} available to bind αS , by comparing the effects of ADP versus ATP on Mg-bound αS (Fig. 4h).²⁸ When considered relative to the Mginduced α S residue shifts, the significant C-terminal shifts induced by ATP and ADP support our hypothesis that the nucleotides are able to sequester Mg^{2+} away from αS in a phosphate-dependent manner (Fig. 4h). Fig. 4h also shows that the phosphate negative charges are the major driving force of the $ATP-\alpha S$ interaction, since despite the reduced de-tuning effect of ADP on the $\alpha S-Mg^{2+}$ interaction, the reduced number of phosphate groups still hinders ADP's relative association with the aS N-terminus, as evidenced by the absence of increased Nterminal shifts. Hence, our emerging model of an electrostatic, phosphate-driven and Mg²⁺-modulated effect of ATP on α S somewhat differs from previous hypotheses that Mg^{2+} bridges ATP primarily with the αS C-terminus (Fig. 1d).¹² However, it is possible that the Mg-sequestration and Mg-bridging models are not mutually exclusive and represent two viable mechanisms of $ATP-\alpha S$ interactions, one of which may prevail under diverse experimental conditions.

Considering the pathologically-relevant effect of free ${ {\rm Mg} ^{2+} }$ on aS aggregation, we tested whether an equimolar ATP-Mg "buffer" leaves residual free Mg²⁺ available to interact with αS . We measured the αS chemical shifts in solutions of AMP-Mg, ADP-Mg and ATP-Mg, relative to those induced by AMP, ADP or ATP alone and saw a clear pattern of increasing, Mg-induced C-terminal αS chemical shifts, suggesting that the decreasing

Fig. 3 The triphosphate moiety of ATP drives electrostatic interactions between ATP and the N-terminal pseudo-apolipoprotein repeats of WT αS. (a and b) ¹H–¹⁵N HSQC spectral regions of WT αS monomers with increasing ATP concentrations. (c) ATP-induced Compounded Chemical Shifts (ACCS) of WT aS monomers, with ACCS greater than the region-specific average shift (dashed line) plus one standard deviation (dotted line) labelled. (d) Cos θ cross-peaks > 0.97 for α S WT ("apo") versus 10 mM ATP-bound α S WT ("nolo"). (e) 0–20 mM ATP-induced Δ CCS of significantly-shifted N-terminal aS residues fitted to a one-site specific binding model.³⁸⁻⁴⁰ Upper and lower-limit model-calculated K_d values are shown. (f) Average significant aS ACCS induced by AMP, ADP, ATP or triphosphate, fitted to one-site specific binding models and with approximate fitted K_d values shown. Error bars represent the standard deviation of well-resolved peaks at each concentration. (g) HSQC spectral regions used to calculate panel (h) Δ CCS. (h) α S Δ CCS induced by 10 mM AMP, ADP, ATP or triphosphate. The HSQC spectrum of α S in the presence of 10 mM ATP and the resulting ATP-induced αS Δ CCS profile shown in (a) and (c) are reproduced in (g) and (h), respectively, for ease of comparison. aS net regional charges are shown above panels (c) and (h), with dark grey boxes representing the "KTKEGV" aS repeats.¹⁷ (i) Correlations between 10 mM ATP-induced αS ΔCCS and those induced by 10 mM AMP, ADP or triphosphate. Approximate slopes are shown with lines of best fit and errors. Structures of AMP, ADP, ATP and triphosphate at pH 7.4 are shown. ACCS were calculated as $\Delta CCS = (0.5*(\delta H_{\rm AMP, ADP, ATP\; or \; triphosphate} - \delta H_{\alpha S})^2 + (0.15*(\delta N_{AMP, ADP, ATP\; or \; triphosphate} - \delta N_{\alpha S})^2)))^{1/2}.$ ⁴²

numbers of phosphate groups in ATP, ADP and AMP, respectively, leave consecutively more Mg^{2+} available to bind αS (Fig. 4i). Nevertheless, the pattern of C-terminal αS shifts induced by ATP-Mg versus ATP indicate that ATP is unable to chelate 100% of equimolar Mg^{2+} away from αS , suggesting that some free ligands in a biologically-relevant ATP-Mg "buffer" can bind αS (Fig. 4i).²⁸ This is consistent with our CHESPA results, which show that ATP-Mg accentuates the effect of residual $Na⁺$ cations on the α S C-terminus by shifting it farther from the α S alone state relative to the ATP-bound state (Fig. 4j).³³ Meanwhile, Mg^{2+} complexation with ATP shifts the αS N-terminus back toward the unbound state, as evidenced by the predominantly negative $\cos \theta$ CHESPA values, which are consistent with our hypothesis of ATP-Mg sequestering ATP from αS (Fig. 4j).³³

Given the lack of major ATP- or Mg-induced NAC-region αS chemical shifts (Fig. 3c; 4c and d), we hypothesize that the negative $\cos \theta$ NAC-region CHESPA values report on a perturbation of long-range contacts between α S residues, which have been shown to shield the NAC region.^{20,21,33} We therefore tested this hypothesis using intramolecular Paramagnetic Relaxation Enhancement (PRE) NMR experiments, which report on longrange contacts between the N- and C-termini of αS monomers.²⁰

ATP disrupts long-range electrostatic contacts in α S monomers

To explore the effect of ATP on long-range N- to C-terminal contacts in α S monomers, we measured residue-specific PRE

Fig. 4 Magnesium modulates the $ATP-\alpha S$ monomer interaction and vice versa. (a and b) 1 H 15 N HSQC spectral regions of α S in the absence or presence of 10 mM ATP, ATP-Mg or MgCl₂, colored as per legend in (a). (c) \triangle CCS from panels (a) and (b) spectra. (d) α S \triangle CCS calculated from panels (e) and (f) spectra, showing α S pre-incubated overnight with 10 mM MgCl₂ or with the subsequent addition of 5 or 10 mM ATP. Panels (e) and (f) are colored as per legend in (e) and include the aS alone spectrum for reference. (g) 10 mM ATP-induced α S Δ CCS from pre-incubation overnight or with subsequent addition of 5 or 10 mM MgCl₂. (h) 10 mM MgCl₂-induced α S Δ CCS from preincubation overnight or with subsequent addition of 5 or 10 mM ADP or 10 mM ATP. \triangle CCS for this panel calculated as: \triangle CCS = (0.5*((δ H_{(ADP}) or ATP)+10 mM $_{\text{MgCl}_2} - \delta H_{\text{aS+10, mM MgCl}_2}^2 + (0.15*(\delta N_{\text{(ADP or ATP)+10, mMMgCl}_2})$ $_{\text{MgCl}_2}$ – $\delta N_{\alpha S+10 \text{ mM MgCl}_2}$ $\frac{(2)}{2})$))^{1/2}.⁴² (i) αS ΔCCS induced by 10 mM ATP-Mg vs. ATP, ADP-Mg vs. ADP and AMP-Mg vs. AMP. ACCS are plotted versus α S residue number and calculated for panels (c), (d), (g) and (i) relative to α S. (j) Cos θ profile from CHESPA analysis of 10 mM ATP-Mg binding to α S relative to α S and α S bound to 10 mM ATP, plotted versus α S residue number and with a 0.001 ppm cut-off. θ angle is between the perturbation vector from α S and 10 mM ATP to α S and 10 mM ATP-Mg and the reference vector of αS to αS and 10 mM ATP. αS spectra in the absence or presence of 10 mM ATP are shown for reference. aS regional charges are shown above plots (c), (d) and (g)–(j), with dark grey boxes representing the imperfect "KTKEGV" repeats.¹⁷

 Γ_2 relaxation rates of spin-labelled S87C α S in the absence and presence of 10 mM ATP (Fig. 5).^{20,41} Our Γ_2 data reveal that ATP causes widespread decreases in residue-specific αS Γ_2 values that are particularly significant for the first ~50 residues as well as residues 110–140, indicating that these monomer regions are comparatively farther from the S87C spin label in the presence of ATP than in its absence (Fig. 5). Based on these results, we

Fig. 5 ATP disrupts long-range N- to C-terminal interactions in αS monomers. Residue-specific Γ_2 values for spin-labelled, fresh 120 μ M S87C¹⁵N α S +/- 10 mM ATP. Γ_2 differences between the samples without and with ATP greater than region-specific averages plus one standard deviation are labelled. Blue-labelled residues exhibit significantly shifted ppm values by 10 mM ATP. The 10 residues on either side of 87 are not shown, and the aS regional charges are shown. Grey boxes represent "KTKEGV" aS repeats.¹⁷

hypothesize that the phosphate-driven targeting of ATP to the aS N-terminus disrupts long-range electrostatic contacts between the N- and C-termini of 'closed' α S monomers, causing opening (Fig. 1a).^{20,21} These results offer a viable explanation for the ATP-driven, concentration-dependent shortening of the αS lag time in Fig. 2a, and suggest that ATP acts similarly to other charged species which perturb the electrostatic contacts in αS monomers to expose the hydrophobic NAC region and drive increased αS aggregation.²¹⁻²⁴

ATP inhibits the N-terminally-driven interaction between αS monomers and fibrils

Since secondary nucleation is a major driver of α S aggregation and is electrostatically-driven by the N-terminal residues of αS monomers binding to fibrils, we hypothesized that the inhibition of late-stage αS fibril formation by ATP may involve the suppression of fibril elongation and/or secondary nucleation due to the N-terminal binding of αS monomers by ATP.^{9,26,34-37} To test this hypothesis, we measured residue-specific transverse $15N$ α S R₂ amide relaxation rates in the presence of late-stage $WT \alpha S$ amyloid fibrils in both the absence and presence of ATP (Fig. 6a). We observed dramatic reductions in N-terminal α S R₂ rates upon addition of ATP to preformed fibrils (Fig. 6). In fact, the general effect of ATP in the presence of fibrils is a widespread decrease in R_2 rates that, while most significant in the N-terminus, extends throughout almost the entire αS sequence (Fig. 6b). By contrast, 10 mM ATP does not induce dramatic changes in R_2 rates of WT α S monomers in the absence of fibrils (ESI Fig. $S4\dagger$). These experiments were modelled after those of Kumari et al., who showed that the primary interaction site between αS monomers and fibrils is the monomeric N-terminus, which displays concentrationdependent increases in R_2 relaxation rates with increasing amounts of fibrils.⁹ A significant enhancement of amide

Fig. 6 ATP inhibits N-terminally-driven αS monomer–fibril interactions. (a) ¹⁵N–R₂ profiles of 250 µM WT αS monomers in the presence of 1.3 mM WT ¹⁴N fibrils +/− 10 mM ATP. (b) R₂ differences between the two profiles shown in panel a.

relaxation rates is expected if an isotopically-labelled αS monomer residue interacts with unlabelled fibrils.⁹ Therefore, the fact that ATP abolishes the fibril-dependent, N-terminal R_2 increases of α S monomers suggests that ATP inhibits interactions between α S monomers and fibrils, particularly at the monomer N-terminus.

Since the α S monomer-fibril interactions are predominantly electrostatic, it is likely that the muted interactions in the presence of ATP are due to ATP's N-terminal binding and positive-charge neutralization of αS monomers.⁹ Overall, the inhibition of monomer-fibril interactions and the likely consequent suppression of templated fibril elongation and secondary nucleation provide a viable explanation for the ATPmediated suppression of αS cross β -sheet fibrils at plateau (Fig. 2b).9,26 As secondary nucleation is the dominant mechanism of both αS oligomer and fibril formation under physiologically-relevant quiescent conditions, it is likely that ATP's inhibition of the αS monomer-fibril contacts vital for secondary nucleation may play a role in PD-relevant αS aggregation *in vivo*.³⁵

The PD-related mutations E46K and A53T alter the effect of ATP on aS

Finally, we characterized how the effect of ATP on α S is influenced by the presence of PD-related point mutations E46K and A53T, which modify residues targeted by ATP, as shown by chemical shift mapping of WT α S (Fig. 3a-c).¹⁹ Fig. 7a shows that the overall patterns of ATP-induced residue shifts are similar for WT and A53T α S. By contrast, the residue-specific effect of ATP on E46K aS is extremely pronounced relative to WT, with significant chemical shift changes occurring throughout the α S sequence (Fig. 7a). Since E46K α S monomers exhibit increased N- to C-terminal contacts relative to WT, we hypothesized that ATP binding could disrupt these electrostatic contacts and result in widespread changes in overall monomer conformation, leading to the significant chemical shifts shown in Fig. 7a.²⁴ Indeed, intramolecular PRE experiments involving S87C E46K α S reveal larger differences in residue-specific Γ_2 values caused by ATP at both the N- and C-termini of E46K α S

monomers relative to WT (Fig. 7b). These data suggest that ATP causes a more signicant disruption of long-range electrostatic contacts in α S monomers in the presence of the PD-related E46K mutation.²⁴ We hypothesize that the presence of an additional positive charge in the E46K aS N-terminus leads to an enhanced electrostatic interaction with ATP, which then causes more significant N-terminal charge neutralization and overall monomer conformational changes toward open states.

To assess whether the residue-specific effects of ATP on E46K and A53T α S monomers lead to altered, pathologically-relevant aggregation, we next measured how ATP influences the ThT fluorescence of E46K and A53T α S at plateau and analyzed the aggregated species by DLS, sodium dodecyl-sulfate polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) and TEM (Fig. 7c–j, ESI S5†).⁹ Contrary to its inhibitory effect on WT α S at plateau, ATP causes a significant enhancement of E46K α S plateau ThT fluorescence, suggesting that the E46K mutation eliminates the inhibitory effect of ATP on late-stage αS β -sheet fibrillization (Fig. 7c). By contrast, the effect of ATP on A53T aS plateau ThT fluorescence is not significant (Fig. 7d), suggesting nonetheless that the A53T mutation attenuates the inhibitory effect of ATP on α S fibrillization (Fig. 2b). Consistent with the ThT data, our TEM images (Fig. 7g-j) show that E46K and A53T α S fibrils form in both the absence and presence of ATP.

In addition, our DLS data (Fig. 7e) shows that ATP shifts the population of soluble A53T α S away from small, low-molecularweight species towards intermediately-sized (∼100 nm) aggregates in a manner distinct from its effect on WT. This effect is moreover independently confirmed by SDS-PAGE (Fig. 7f), which shows that the A53T mutation increases the population of High-Molecular-Weight (HMW) assemblies relative to Low-Molecular-Weight (LMW) species. Interestingly, similar mutation-induced DLS and SDS-PAGE changes are observed for E46K α S, albeit less extreme (Fig. 7e and f). Nonetheless, these changes are likely pathologically-significant, as Emin et al. recently showed that soluble, small – but not monomeric – αS oligomers induce the greatest release of tumour necrosis factor α , a pro-inflammatory cytokine linked to PD progression, upon addition to mouse microglia.³¹ As the size of α S aggregates

Fig. 7 Effect of ATP on E46K and A53T α S. (a) 10 mM ATP-induced Δ CCS of WT, E46K and A53T α S monomers, calculated relative to the corresponding variant alone. (b) PRE-derived Γ_2 value differences induced by 10 mM ATP on spin-labelled, fresh 120 μ M ¹⁵N S87C or S87C E46K aS, calculated relative to the corresponding S87C variant alone. The ten residues on either side of 87 are not shown. (c and d) Well-specific plateau ThT fluorescence measurements of fresh 300 μM E46K (c) or A53T (d) αS in ThT buffer +/- 10 mM ATP, normalized to the average measurement of the corresponding variant protein alone and incubated as for Fig. 2b. (e) DLS measurements of soluble aS from panels (c) and (d) plateau samples, following centrifugation to pellet large aggregates. (f) SDS-PAGE of soluble aS from panels (c) and (d) samples following centrifugation that were subsequently either retained by (IMW) or passed through (LMW) a Pall Nanostep 100 kDa centrifugal filter. Resuspended centrifugation pellets constitute HMW samples. Bands are in reference to PageRuler Prestained Protein Ladder (26616, Thermo Scientific), shown in the left-most lane. Numbers indicate a quantification of the [∼]15 kDa monomer band intensities by ImageJ, expressed as the ratio of the HMW/ LMW lane monomer bands for each sample. (g–j) Negative stain TEM images of pelleted large aggregates from panels (c) and (d) plateau samples: E46K (g) or A53T (i) aS alone or in the presence of 10 mM ATP (h and j). All scale bars represent lengths of 400 nm.

Fig. 8 Proposed summary model of $\alpha S/ATP$ interactions and their effects.

correlates inversely with their cytotoxicity, the fact that ATP affects the size distribution of soluble αS aggregates is likely particularly pathologically-relevant.³¹ Overall, our ThT, TEM, DLS and SDS-PAGE data consistently indicate that the A53T and E46K α S mutations markedly inhibit the ATP-induced suppression of intermediate-size and fibrillar assemblies observed for WT aS, consistent with their pronounced earlyonset PD phenotype.¹⁹

Experimental

Detailed experimental procedures and ESI data are described in the ESI.†

Conclusions

Here we provide a residue-resolution picture of the α S-ATP interactions and show how ATP influences the aggregation of

WT α S as well as its PD-related variants E46K and A53T.¹⁹ Our results reveal that ATP directly binds αS via its triphosphate moiety and elicits a direct and bimodal effect on WT aS aggregation by disrupting long-range electrostatic contacts in monomers to shorten the aggregation lag time (Fig. 8). ATP also inhibits late-stage cross β -sheet αS fibril formation as well as the α S monomer-fibril interactions needed for secondary nucleation (Fig. 8).⁹ We also show that Mg^{2+} inhibits the ATP– αS interactions and vice versa, suggesting that ATP-Mg serves as a "sink" to buffer the amount of free ATP and Mg^{2+} available to interact with αS (Fig. 8). This study provides a viable molecular mechanism to explain the hydrotrope-like function of ATP as it relates to a prototypical amyloid system.⁴³–⁴⁹ As well, our results reveal that the loss of this novel function of ATP caused by known aS pathogenic mutations offers a new perspective on early-onset PD progression.¹⁹ Edge Article

WT 25 as well as its Predectory interactions Article interact

Author contributions

EKH prepared samples, designed, executed, and analysed all NMR/ThT/DLS/TEM experiments and wrote the manuscript. JH and RA contributed to the acquisition and analysis of select NMR, ThT and TEM data. GM contributed to the experimental design, the analysis of the data, and the writing of the manuscript.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

This research was funded by Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada Grant RGPIN-2019-05990 (to G. M.). We thank H. Krivic, M. Rheinstadter, H. Mohamed, M. Akimoto, N. Jafari, O. Byun and B. Van Shouwen (McMaster U.) for helpful discussions.

References

- 1 I. Beis and E. A. Newsholme, Biochem. J., 1975, 152, 23–32.
- 2 T. Yoshida, A. Kakizuka and H. Imamura, Sci. Rep., 2016, 6, 39618.
- 3 A. Patel, L. Malinovska, S. Saha, J. Wang, S. Alberti, Y. Krishnan and A. A. Hyman, Science, 2017, 356, 753–756.
- 4 S. Sridharan, N. Kurzawa, T. Werner, I. Gunthner, D. Helm, W. Huber, M. Bantscheff and M. M. Savitski, Nat. Commun., 2019, 10, 1155.
- 5 M. Dang, L. Lim, J. Kang and J. Song, Commun. Biol., 2021, 4, 714.
- 6 C. E. Heo, J. Y. Han, S. Lim, S. Lee, D. Im, M. J. Lee, Y. K. Kim and H. I. Kim, ACS Chem. Neurosci., 2020, 11, 3144–3152.
- 7 M. Calamai, J. R. Kumita, J. Mifsud, C. Parrini, M. Ramazzotti, G. Ramponi, N. Taddei, F. Chiti and C. M. Dobson, Biochem., 2006, 45, 12806–12815.
- 8 J. Kang, L. Lim and J. Song, Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun., 2018, 504, 545–551.
- 9 P. Kumari, D. Ghosh, A. Vanas, Y. Fleischmann, T. Wiegand, G. Jeschke, R. Riek and C. Eichmann, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A., 2021, 118, e2012171118.
- 10 A. Reeve, E. Simcox and D. Turnbull, Ageing Res. Rev., 2014, 14, 19–30.
- 11 S. N. Chaudhari and E. T. Kipreos, Bioessays, 2018, 40, e1800005.
- 12 M. Nishizawa, E. Walinda, D. Morimoto, B. Kohn, U. Scheler, M. Shirakawa and K. Sugase, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2021, 143, 11982–11993.
- 13 M. Takaine, H. Imamura and S. Yoshida, eLife, 2022, 11, e67659.
- 14 X. H. Zhu, B. Y. Lee, P. Tuite, L. Coles, A. G. Sathe, C. Chen, J. Cloyd, W. C. Low, C. J. Steer and W. Chen, Metabolites, 2021, 11, 145.
- 15 C. M. Deus, S. P. Pereira, T. Cunha-Oliveira, F. B. Pereira, N. Raimundo and P. J. Oliveira, Biochim. Biophys. Acta, Mol. Basis Dis., 2020, 1866, 165615.
- 16 R. Moons, A. Konijnenberg, C. Mensch, R. Van Elzen, C. Johannessen, S. Maudsley, A.-M. Lambeir and F. Sobott, Sci. Rep., 2020, 10, 16293.
- 17 U. Dettmer, A. J. Newman, V. E. von Saucken, T. Bartels and D. Selkoe, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A., 2015, 112, 9596–9601.
- 18 N. P. Alza, P. A. I. González, M. A. Conde, R. M. Uranga and G. A. Salvador, Front. Cell. Neurosci., 2019, 13, 175, DOI: [10.3389/fncel.2019.00175](https://doi.org/10.3389/fncel.2019.00175).
- 19 A. D. Stephens, M. Zacharopoulou, R. Moons, G. Fusco, N. Seetaloo, A. Chiki, P. Woodhams, I. Mela, H. A. Lashuel, J. J. Phillips, A. De Simone, F. Sobott and G. S. Kaminski Schierle, Nat. Commun., 2020, 11, 1–15.
- 20 K. Yamaguchi, M. So, C. Aguirre, K. Ikenaka, H. Mochizuki, Y. Kawata and Y. Goto, J. Biol. Chem., 2021, 296, 100510.
- 21 R. Ramis, J. Ortega-Castro, B. Vilanova, M. Adrover and J. Frau, Biomacromolecules, 2020, 21, 5200–5212.
- 22 J. A. Cohlberg, J. Li, V. N. Uversky and A. L. Fink, Biochemistry, 2002, 41, 1502–1511.
- 23 W. Hoyer, D. Cherny, V. Subramaniam and T. M. Jovin, Biochemistry, 2004, 43, 16233–16242.
- 24 N. Bisi, L. Feni, K. Peqini, H. Pérez-Peña, S. Ongeri, S. Pieraccini and S. Pellegrino, Front. Chem., 2021, 9, 666585.
- 25 J. V. Greiner and T. Glonek, Exp. Eye Res., 2020, 190, 107862.
- 26 X. Yang, B. Wang, C. L. Hoop, J. K. Williams and J. Baum, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A., 2021, 118, e2017452118.
- 27 X. Ou, Y. Lao, J. Xu, Y. Wutthinitikornkit, R. Shi, X. Chen and J. Li, JACS Au, 2021, 1, 1766–1777.
- 28 N. G. Holm, Geobiology, 2012, 10, 269–279.
- 29 R. Yamanaka, S. Tabata, Y. Shindo, K. Hotta, K. Suzuki, T. Soga and K. Oka, Sci. Rep., 2016, 6, 30027.
- 30 N. Golts, H. Snyder, M. Frasier, C. Theisler, P. Choi and B. Wolozin, J. Biol. Chem., 2002, 277, 16116–16123.
- 31 D. Emin, Y. P. Zhang, E. Lobanova, A. Miller, X. Li, Z. Xia, H. Dakin, D. I. Sideris, J. Y. L. Lam, R. T. Ranasinghe, A. Kouli, Y. Zhao, S. De, T. P. J. Knowles, M. Vendruscolo, F. S. Ruggeri, F. I. Aigbirhio, C. H. Williams-Gray and D. Klenerman, Nat. Commun., 2022, 13, 5512.
- 32 R. Ahmed, B. Van Schouwen, N. Jafari, X. Ni, J. Ortega and G. Melacini, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2017, 139, 13720–13734.
- 33 C. Narayanan, K. Bafna, L. D. Roux, P. K. Agarwal and N. Doucet, Arch. Biochem. Biophys., 2017, 628, 71–80.
- 34 W. Hoyer, T. Antony, D. Cherny, G. Heim, T. M. Jovin and V. Subramaniam, J. Mol. Biol., 2002, 322, 383–393.
- 35 C. K. Xu, G. Meisl, E. Andrzejewska, G. Krainer, A. J. Dear, M. Castellana Cruz, S. Turi, R. Jacquat, W. E. Arter, M. Vendruscolo, S. Linse and T. P. J. Knowles, bioRxiv, 2023, preprint, DOI: DOI: [10.1101/2023.05.28.542651](https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.05.28.542651). Chemical Science

30. C. Nanyanan, K. Bufust, E. D. Ross, P. K. Ngarisa and 4: 1, Isahara, C. Tang and G. M. Clour, J. Migne, Reson, 2023.

4. W. Newert, Article. Published on 7/23/2024. Downloaded and C. New Articles. Att
	- 36 M. M. Wördehoff and W. Hoyer, Bio-Protoc., 2018, 8(14), e2941.
	- 37 R. Ahmed, J. Huang, D. K. Weber, T. Gopinath, G. Veglia, M. Akimoto, A. Khondker, M. C. Rheinstädter, V. Huynh, R. G. Wylie, J. C. Bozelli Jr., R. M. Epand and G. Melacini, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2020, 142, 9686–9699.
	- 38 R. Selvaratnam, S. Chowdhury, B. VanSchouwen and G. Melacini, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A., 2011, 108, 6133– 6138.
	- 39 S. Boulton, M. Akimoto, R. Selvaratnam, A. Bashiri and G. Melacini, Sci. Rep., 2014, 4, 7306, DOI: [10.1038/srep07306](https://doi.org/10.1038/srep07306).
	- 40 H. Shao, S. Boulton, C. Olivieri, H. Mohamed, M. Akimoto, M. V. Subrahmanian, G. Veglia, J. L. Markley, G. Melacini and W. Lee, Bioinformatics, 2021, 37, 1176–1177.
- 41 J. Iwahara, C. Tang and G. M. Clore, J. Magn. Reson., 2007, 184, 185–195.
- 42 R. Ahmed, J. Huang, M. Akimoto, T. Shi and G. Melacini, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2021, 143, 4668–4679.
- 43 J. R. Brender, A. Ramamoorthy, O. Gursky and A. Bhuni, Biophys. Chem., 2022, 292, 106912.
- 44 I. Oganesyan, C. Lento, A. Tandon and D. J. Wilson, J. Am. Soc. Mass Spectrom., 2021, 32, 1169–1179.
- 45 P. H. Nguyen, A. Ramamoorthy, B. R. Sahoo, J. Zheng, P. Faller and J. E. Straub, Chem. Rev., 2021, 121, 2545–3264.
- 46 J. Zhao, R. Nussinov and B. Ma, J. Biol. Chem., 2017, 292, 18325–18343.
- 47 C. Navarro-Paya, M. Sanz-Hernandez and A. De Simone, Life, 2020, 10, 98.
- 48 S. Mansueto, G. Fusco and A. De Simone, Chem. Commun., 2023, 59, 8769–8778.
- 49 J. Mehringer, T.-M. Do, D. Touraud, M. Hohenschutz, A. Khoshsima, D. Horinek and W. Kunz, Cell Rep. Phys. Sci., 2021, 2, 100343.