RSC Advances



View Article Online

View Journal | View Issue

PAPER

Check for updates

Cite this: RSC Adv., 2023, 13, 34012

Kinetic study of NADPH activation using ubiquinone-rhodol fluorescent probe and an Ir^{III}complex promoter at the cell interior

Hirokazu Komatsu,^a Nadiia Velychkivska,^{ab} Anastasiia B. Shatan,^{ab} Yutaka Shindo,^c Kotaro Oka,^{cde} Katsuhiko Ariga, ^{b af} Jonathan P. Hill^{b a} and Jan Labuta^{*}

Nicotine adenine dinucleotide derivatives NADH and NADPH are intimately involved in energy and electron transport within cells. The fluorescent ubiquinone-rhodol (Q-Rh) probe is used for NADPH activation monitoring. Q-Rh reacts with NADPH yielding its guenched hydroguinone-rhodol (H₂Q-Rh) form with concurrent NADPH activation (i.e. NADP⁺ formation). NADPH activation can be enhanced by the addition of an $|r^{III}$ -complex (*i.e.* $[(\eta^5-C_5Me_5)]r(phen)(H_2O)]^{2+})$ as a promoter. The rate of the Q-Rh fluorescence quenching process is proportional to the NADPH activation rate, which can be used to monitor NADPH. Experiments were performed in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) solution and on HeLa cell cultures to analyze the kinetics of Q-Rh reduction and the influence of the Ir^{III}-complex promoter on the activation of NADPH (in PBS) and of other intracellular reducing agents (in HeLa cells). There is a substantial increase in Q-Rh reduction rate inside HeLa cells especially after the addition of Ir^{III}-complex promoter. This increase is partly due to a leakage process (caused by Ir^{III}-complex-induced downstream processes which result in cell membrane disintegration) but also involves the nonspecific activation of other intracellular reducing agents, including NADH, FADH₂, FMNH₂ or GSH. In the presence only of Q-Rh, the activation rate of intracellular reducing agents is 2 to 8 times faster in HeLa cells than in PBS solution. When both Q-Rh and Ir^{III}-complex are present, the rate of the Ir^{III}-complex catalyzed reduction reaction is 7 to 23 times more rapid in HeLa cells. Concentration- and time-dependent fluorescence attenuation of Q-Rh with third-order reaction kinetics (reasonably approximated as pseudo-first-order in Q-Rh) has been observed and modelled. This reaction and its kinetics present an example of "bioparallel chemistry", where the activation of a molecule can trigger a unique chemical process. This approach stands in contrast to the conventional concept of "bioorthogonal chemistry", which refers to chemical reactions that occur without disrupting native biological processes.

Received 10th August 2023 Accepted 14th November 2023 DOI: 10.1039/d3ra05412h

rsc.li/rsc-advances

1 Introduction

The bioorthogonal chemistry approach is used to examine biomolecules in their native environment using chemical reactions that do not interfere with the biological processes.^{1–5} In contrast to biorthogonal chemistry, "bioparallel chemistry"

is attributed to chemical reactions involving artificial molecules interacting with native biological processes, and has been introduced by Komatsu et al. in 2014.6 The intracellular activation of acetyl coenzyme A (acetyl-CoA) by tributylphosphine (PBu₃), and its fluorescent detection, is considered the first successful example of the bioparallel chemistry concept.7 In a further study, an artificial reaction promoter (PBu₃) was used to control ATP concentration and acetylation of mitochondrial proteins.8 These results effectively illustrate that novel artificial reaction promoters can be excellent candidates for intracellular imaging and are promising for the modulation of cellular functions. In 2013, Sadler and coworkers reported the reduction of quinone by reduced coenzyme NADH involving a cyclopentadienyl-Ir^{III} catalyst complex in aqueous media.9,10 Subsequently, in 2014, Komatsu et al. reported the use of a fluorescent ubiquinone-rhodol (Q-Rh) conjugate containing a biocompatible rhodol fluorophore11 for intracellular activation and imaging of nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide (NAD) derivatives NADH and NADPH. Both NADH and NADPH act as

^aResearch Center for Materials Nanoarchitectonics (MANA), National Institute for Materials Science (NIMS), 1-1 Namiki, Tsukuba, Ibaraki, 305-0044, Japan. E-mail: Labuta.Jan@nims.go.jp

^bInstitute of Macromolecular Chemistry, Czech Academy of Sciences, Heyrovsky Sq. 2, Prague 6, 162 06, Czech Republic

^eDepartment of Bioscience and Informatics, Faculty of Science and Technology, Keio University, 3-14-1 Hiyoshi, Kohoku, Yokohama, Kanagawa, 223-8522, Japan

^dWaseda Research Institute for Science and Engineering, Waseda University, 2-2 Wakamatsucho, Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo, 162-8480, Japan

^eGraduate Institute of Medicine, College of Medicine, Kaohsiung Medical University, Kaohsiung City, 80708, Taiwan

¹Department of Advanced Materials Science, Graduate School of Frontier Sciences, The University of Tokyo, 5-1-5 Kashiwanoha, Kashiwa, Chiba, 277-8561, Japan

electron transporters in living cells and play a crucial role in metabolism.^{12,13} Here, a kinetic study has been undertaken to understand the reaction mechanism of NADPH in the presence of Q-Rh fluorescent dye and an Ir^{III}-complex (*i.e.* [(η^5 -C₅Me₅) Ir(phen)(H₂O)]²⁺) promoter (Fig. 1a). Information regarding rates of chemical reactions (including in the biological system), reaction order, and rate-determining steps^{14,15} is essential for the further development of quinone reduction processes that mimic the action of reductases, such as NADH ubiquinone oxidoreductase,¹⁶ NADH cytochrome- b_5 ,¹⁷ and NADPH cytochrome P-450 reductase.¹⁸

In this study, we present an analysis of the Ir^{III} -complex catalyzed reduction of Q-Rh by NADPH yielding hydroquinonerhodol (H₂Q-Rh) and activated NADP⁺ (Fig. 1a). This reaction is important as an one of the leading examples of bioparallel chemical processes in living organisms.

2 Results and discussion

2.1 Kinetics in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) solution

The Q-Rh fluorescent probe (synthesized according to Komatsu et al.6) has absorbance and fluorescence maxima at 492 and 518 nm, respectively (Fig. 1b), with a fluorescence quantum yield of 0.73 in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) at pH = 7.4. The typical fluorescence lifetime of rhodol-type dyes is around 4 ns in aqueous phosphate buffer.¹⁹ The reduced form of Q-Rh (i.e. H_2Q-Rh) obtained using sodium dithionite $(Na_2S_2O_4)^{20}$ has significantly attenuated UV-vis absorption and its fluorescence emission is strongly quenched involving photoinduced-electron transfer (PET) mechanisms, as shown in Fig. 1b. Micrographs illustrating the time dependence of fluorescence emission of Q-Rh at the interior of HeLa cells following addition of the Ir^{III}complex are shown in Fig. 1c. Also in this study, we elucidate the kinetics behind the time dependence of fluorescence emission intensity. However, first we will consider the normal kinetics of Q-Rh to H2Q-Rh conversion under simple conditions



Fig. 1 (a) Reduction reaction of ubiquinone-rhodol (Q-Rh) to hydroquinone-rhodol (H₂Q-Rh) by nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide derivatives (NAD(P)H) in the presence of $[(\eta^5-C_5Me_5)]r(phen)(H_2O)]^{2+}$ complex (Ir^{III}-complex). (b) Normalized fluorescence excitation (at λ_{em} = 520 nm) and fluorescence emission spectra (at λ_{ex} = 488 nm) of Q-Rh and its reduced (by Na₂S₂O₄) form H₂Q-Rh in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) at pH = 7.4 and 25 °C. (c) Micrographs of fluorescence quenching of Q-Rh stained (0.01 mM) HeLa cells at 1, 4 and 8 min following the addition of Ir^{III}-complex.

(in PBS, pH = 7.4) in the presence of NADPH and in the absence/presence of the Ir^{III} -complex promotor (which activates NADPH).

The following equation describes the reduction reaction of Q-Rh by NADPH.

$$NADPH + Q-Rh \xrightarrow{k} NADP^{+} + H_2Q-Rh$$
(1)

It should be noted that the overall reaction in eqn (1) consists of the following two processes.²¹

$$NADPH + Q-Rh \xrightarrow{k} NADP^{+} + HQ^{-}-Rh$$
(2)

$$HQ^{-}-Rh + H^{+} \xrightarrow{\text{fast}} H_2Q-Rh$$
(3)

The reaction in eqn (2) describes the direct hydride transfer leading to the formation of hydroquinone anion (HQ⁻-Rh) and is followed by the reaction in eqn (3), where hydroquinone H₂Q-Rh is formed due to the rapid protonation of HQ⁻-Rh by H⁺ from the medium.²¹ This indicates that the reaction shown in eqn (2) is the rate-limiting step. Therefore, the reaction rate constant, *k*, for the overall reaction (eqn (1)) is the same as that for the reaction in eqn (2).

In the presence of the Ir^{III}-complex reaction promoter, the following reversible association process between NADPH and Ir^{III}-complex is assumed to occur.

$$NADPH + Ir \xrightarrow{K_{Ir}} NADPH \cdot Ir$$
(4)

where Ir represents the promoter Ir^{III} -complex, NADPH·Ir is the complexed form of NADPH reactant with the Ir^{III} -complex, and $K_{Ir} = [NADPH·Ir]/([NADPH][Ir])$ is the equilibrium association constant (square brackets denote concentrations of the species). Kinetics of the reaction in the presence of reactant-promoter NADPH·Ir complex is governed by the following reaction.

$$NADPH \cdot Ir + Q \cdot Rh \xrightarrow{k_{Ir}} NADP^{+} + Ir + H_2Q \cdot Rh$$
(5)

where k_{Ir} is the reaction rate constant of this process (*i.e.* the process in which the NADPH · Ir complex reduces Q-Rh). Thus, in the presence of Ir^{III}-complex promoter, all three processes described by the reactions in eqn (1), (4) and (5) are simultaneous. The solution of these kinetics is done using the following approach. The reaction progress was monitored by fluorescence emission from the Q-Rh probe (at 518 nm). The reactions shown in eqn (1) and (5) lead to the following differential rate equation for the decrease of Q-Rh concentration.

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}[\mathrm{Q}\text{-}\mathrm{Rh}]}{\mathrm{d}t} = -k[\mathrm{NADPH}][\mathrm{Q}\text{-}\mathrm{Rh}] - k_{\mathrm{Ir}}[\mathrm{NADPH}\text{\cdot}\mathrm{Ir}][\mathrm{Q}\text{-}\mathrm{Rh}] \quad (6)$$

Substituting the [NADPH·Ir] term using the definition of K_{Ir} (given in the context of the reaction in eqn (4)) followed by rearrangement yields a differential rate equation for Q-Rh in the following form.

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}[\mathbf{Q}-\mathbf{R}\mathbf{h}]}{\mathrm{d}t} = -(k + k_{\mathrm{Ir}}K_{\mathrm{Ir}}[\mathbf{Ir}]_{0})[\mathbf{N}\mathbf{A}\mathbf{D}\mathbf{P}\mathbf{H}]_{0} \times [\mathbf{Q}-\mathbf{R}\mathbf{h}]$$
(7)

In this study, the concentration of Q-Rh fluorescent probe is always significantly lower than those of the NADPH reactant and Ir^{III} -complex promoter. Therefore, they can be assumed constant, *i.e.* [NADPH] = [NADPH]₀ and [Ir] = [Ir]₀, where [NADPH]₀ and [Ir]₀ are initial concentrations of NADPH and Ir^{III}-complex promoter, respectively. This situation is denoted by '0' subscripts in eqn (7). The above assumptions reduce the initially third-order rate eqn (7) (*i.e.*, first-order in [Ir], [NADPH] and [Q-Rh]) to pseudo-first-order in Q-Rh concentration with a pseudo-first-order rate constant

$$k' = (k + k_{\rm Ir} K_{\rm Ir} [\rm Ir]_0) [\rm NADPH]_0.$$
(8)

The eqn (7) can then be solved analytically in the form of eqn (9).¹⁴

$$[\mathbf{Q}-\mathbf{R}\mathbf{h}] = [\mathbf{Q}-\mathbf{R}\mathbf{h}]_0 \exp(-k't) \tag{9}$$

where $[Q-Rh]_0$ is the initial concentration of Q-Rh probe. The time dependency of hydroquinone H₂Q-Rh concentration can be readily derived considering the mass balance equation $[Q-Rh]_0 = [Q-Rh] + [H_2Q-Rh]$.

$$[H_2Q-Rh] = [Q-Rh]_0(1 - \exp(-k't))$$
(10)

Taking into account that the fluorescence emission of reacted quenched H₂Q-Rh (at 518 nm) is 1/30 (=q) of the Q-Rh starting fluorescence intensity (*i.e. ca.* 97% quenching efficiency) due to the operation of PET mechanism (see Fig. 1b and Experimental section for more details), we can assume that the time dependence of the normalized fluorescence intensity $I_n(t)$ at 518 nm is proportional to [Q-Rh] + q[H₂Q-Rh]. Then the resulting $I_n(t)$ can be expressed as eqn (11), where normalization means that $I_n(t = 0) = 1$.

$$I_{n}(t) = q + (1 - q)\exp(-k't)$$
(11)

In order to extract the kinetic parameters, the timedependent normalized fluorescence of Q-Rh (0.01 mM) with NADPH (1 mM) was measured at different Ir^{III}-complex promoter concentrations in PBS solution, as shown in Fig. 2a. In the absence of Ir^{III}-complex, the pseudo-first-order rate constant reduces to k' = k[NADPH]₀, and fitting of eqn (11) to experimental data (Fig. 2a) yields a value of the reaction rate constant $k = 0.28 \pm 0.06 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ describing the kinetics in eqn (1). After the addition of Ir^{III}-complex (0.5 and 1 mM) into the solution (and using already-known k), the fitting procedure (Fig. 2a) further yields the value of the product $k_{Ir}K_{Ir} = 764 \pm 85$ M^{-2} s⁻¹ contained in the unreduced pseudo-first-order rate constant k' in eqn (8). Table 1 summarises the kinetic parameters obtained. It can be seen that the presence of a small quantity of Ir^{III}-complex promoter (for example, 1 mM) enhances the rate of the Q-Rh reduction reaction by a factor of 4; i.e. the promoting effect of Ir^{III}-complex (1 mM) expressed as "enhancement ratio" is $r_{\rm enh} = k'_{\rm with-Ir}/k'_{\rm without-Ir} \approx 3.9$. Note that the enhancement ratio is independent of NADPH concentration and has the general formula shown in eqn (12).

$$r_{\rm enh}([{\rm Ir}]_0) = 1 + \frac{k_{\rm Ir}K_{\rm Ir}}{k}[{\rm Ir}]_0 \approx 1 + 2736[{\rm Ir}]_0$$
 (12)



Fig. 2 (a) Plot of Q-Rh time-dependent normalized fluorescence intensity $I_n(t)$ (at 520 nm) as a function of Ir^{III} -complex promoter concentration: $[Ir]_0 = 0$ mM (red data points), 0.5 mM (blue data points), and 1 mM (green data points). Experiments were performed in PBS solution (100 mM, pH = 7.4, 25 °C) at constant $[NADPH]_0 = 1 \text{ mM}$ and $[Q-Rh]_0 = 0.01$ mM. Solid lines are the best fits using eqn (11). (b) Plot of enhancement ratio renh as a function of Ir^{III}-complex promoter concentration. The data points are obtained from the evaluation of r_{enh} independently from each experiment in (a). The grey solid line is a plot of eqn (12). (c) Plot of time-dependent Q-Rh normalized fluorescent intensity $I_n(t)$ (at 520 nm) recorded after Q-Rh addition ([Q-Rh]₀ = 0.01 mM) at t = 0 min into the PBS solutions (100 mM, pH = 7.4, 25 °C) containing constant Ir^{III} -complex concentration ($[Ir]_0 = 0.5$ mM) and varying NADPH concentration ([NADPH] $_0 = 0-10$ mM). Solid lines are plots (not fits) of eqn (11) with k and $k_{lr}K_{lr}$ values from Table 1 and t is an independent variable. (d) Plot of Q-Rh normalized fluorescent intensity $I_{n}([NADPH]_{0})$ (at 520 nm) as a function of $[NADPH]_{0}$ concentration recorded at various times (t = 0-10 min) after Q-Rh addition ([Q-Rh]₀ = 0.01 mM) into the PBS solutions (100 mM, pH = 7.4, 25 °C) containing constant Ir^{III} -complex concentration ([Ir]₀ = 0.5 mM). Solid lines are plots (not fits) of eqn (11) with k and $k_{Ir}K_{Ir}$ values from Table 1 and [NADPH]₀ as an independent variable.

The enhancement ratio (plotted in Fig. 2b) can be used to determine how many times (*i.e.* $r_{\rm enh}$ -times) faster is the Q-Rh reduction reaction in the presence of Ir^{III}-complex promoter ([Ir]₀ in the units of M) compared to the uncatalyzed (unpromoted) reaction in eqn (1). The individual constants in the product $k_{\rm Ir}K_{\rm Ir}$ cannot be extracted from the available kinetic

Table 1 Kinetic data (reaction rate constants) of Q-Rh reduction in PBS solution at 25 °C as obtained from time-dependent fluorescence measurements in Fig. 2a

[Ir] ₀ / mM	$k' / s^{-1 a}$	Parameter ^b	Value
0.0	$(2.8\pm 0.4) imes 10^{-4}$	$k / M^{-1} s^{-1}$	0.28 ± 0.04
0.5	$(6.3\pm 0.2) imes 10^{-4}$	$k_{\rm Ir}K_{\rm Ir} / {\rm M}^{-2} {\rm s}^{-1}$	764 ± 85
1.0	$(11.0\pm 0.5)\times 10^{-4}$	$k_{\mathrm{Ir}}K_{\mathrm{Ir}}/k$ / M^{-1}	2736 ± 430

^{*a*} Value k' as obtained from fitting of eqn (11). ^{*b*} The values of k, $k_M K_M$, and the "boost" factor $k_{Ir} K_{Ir} / k$ are obtained from the definition of pseudo-first-order rate constant k' in eqn (8) using known concentrations of [NADPH]₀ and [Ir]₀.

data, although this does not preclude further analysis of the intracellular fluorescence behaviour of Q-Rh. It is clear that the non-zero $K_{\rm Ir}$ constant (*i.e.* NADPH·Ir complex formation in eqn (4)) plays a substantial role in the overall Q-Rh reduction kinetics. This is also emphasized by the relatively large value of the catalytic "boost" factor $\frac{(k_{\rm Ir}K_{\rm Ir})}{k} = 2736 \pm 430 \text{ M}^{-1}$ in eqn (12), which highlights the activity of the Ir^{III}-complex.

The pseudo-first-order kinetics represented in eqn (7) was further tested by experiments where the initial concentration of NADPH was varied (at constant $[O-Rh]_0 = 0.01 \text{ mM}$ and $[Ir]_0 =$ 0.5 mM), with Q-Rh fluorescence emission monitored over time after the addition of Q-Rh to the solution at $t = 0 \min$ (Fig. 2c). The solid lines represent calculated (not fitted) behaviour as obtained using eqn (7) with k and $k_{Ir}K_{Ir}$ values taken from Table 1, $[Q-Rh]_0 = 0.01 \text{ mM}$, $[NADPH]_0$ as a parameter (0–10 mM) and t as the independent variable. Data from Fig. 2c can also be used to generate the Q-Rh fluorescence decay as a function of NADPH concentration at a given constant time of 0-10 min (Fig. 2d). The solid lines again represent calculated (not fitted) behaviour using eqn (7) (with k and $k_{\rm Ir}K_{\rm Ir}$ from Table 1), [Q-Rh]₀ = 0.01 mM, t as a parameter (0-10 min) and $[NADPH]_0$ as the independent variable. It can be seen that the presented kinetic model describes well the experimental data.

Overall, from the above analyses, the kinetic model as introduced in eqn (1), (4) and (5), with the analytical solution represented by eqn (9) and (8) together with values in Table 1, yields a good description of the Q-Rh reduction process (*i.e.* formation of H₂Q-Rh) and NADPH activation process (*i.e.* formation of NADP⁺) in the presence of Ir^{III}-complex promoter in PBS solution (at pH = 7.4).

2.2 Kinetics at the interiors of HeLa cells

A series of experiments were performed using HeLa cells in order to analyze the intracellular kinetics of Q-Rh reduction with simultaneous NADPH activation. HeLa cells (with cellular passage number in the range 5-10) were incubated (30 min., 37 °C) with Q-Rh (0.01 mM) in Hanks' balanced salt solutions (HBSS) at pH = 7.4. Prior to fluorescence observation, HeLa cells placed in a glass bottom dish were rinsed twice with HBSS, and then the dish was filled with fresh HBSS. The average fluorescence intensity of each individual cell of the sample was obtained using a confocal laser scanning microscope on a cell culture maintained at 25 °C. Micrographs of Q-Rh fluorescence quenching in HeLa cells prior to and following the addition of Ir^{III} -complex ([Ir]₀ = 0.1 mM) are shown in Fig. 3a. Time dependence of the average fluorescence intensity as obtained from individual cells is plotted in Fig. 3b (for $[Ir]_0 = 0.1 \text{ mM}$) and Fig. 3c (for $[Ir]_0 = 0.5$ mM). The sudden increase in fluorescence intensity following the addition of Ir^{III}-complex is most likely due to injection shock (to some extent, an effect similar to addition of hypertonic solution and the corresponding change in the osmotic pressure). For further analyses, it is convenient to average and normalize the data before and after the addition of Ir^{III}-complex, as shown in Fig. 3d and e (black lines). We have also observed that upon addition of Ir^{III}-complex into the HeLa



Fig. 3 (a) Micrographs of fluorescence quenching of Q-Rh stained (0.01 mM) HeLa cells after addition of Ir^{III}-complex (0.1 mM) at 25 °C. Normalized fluorescence time profiles from individual cells are shown in (b) and (c), and averaged data are shown in (d) and (e). (b) and (c) Plots of normalized fluorescence time profiles as obtained from individual HeLa cells (λ_{ex} = 488 nm, observed at 500–600 nm, 25 °C) stained with Q-Rh (0.01 mM) after the addition (at t = 0 min) of (b) 0.1 mM and (c) 0.5 mM of Ir^{III}-complex. (d) and (e) Black lines show averaged and normalized Q-Rh fluorescence data from (b) and (c). Data are normalized prior to and following the addition of Ir^{III}-complex (at t = 0 min). Orange lines show averaged and normalized fluorescence data of calcein stained (0.01 mM) HeLa cells after the addition (at t = 0 min) of (d) 0.1 mM and (e) 0.5 mM of Ir^{III}-complex depicting the HeLa cell membrane disintegration (note that in this case, the Q-Rh is not present in HeLa cells). Grey and light orange backgrounds correspond to standard deviations. Blue and red lines are fitted according to eqn (14) and (16), respectively. Calcein data fitted parameters: (d) k_{leak} = (2.18 \pm 0.34) \times 10⁻³ s⁻¹, p = 0.14 \pm 0.03, t_0 = 1.5 min; (e) k_{leak} = $(4.82 \pm 0.21) \times 10^{-3} \text{ s}^{-1}$, $p = 0.10 \pm 0.01$, $t_0 = 1.2 \text{ min.}$

cell culture (in the absence of Q-Rh), the subsequent NADPH activation (or activation of other species) causes cell membrane disintegration, which is probably caused by the intracellular downstream signalling cascade (which regulates cell growth, proliferation, differentiation, and it can also trigger cell apoptosis²²). We have used calcein acetoxymethyl (calcein-AM), a green fluorescent dye useful for cell viability monitoring, to stain the cytosol and then observed the dye leakage through HeLa cell membranes following the addition of Ir^{III}-complex, as shown in Fig. 3d and e (orange lines) for addition of 0.1 mM and 0.5 mM of Ir^{III}-complex, respectively. Due to its considerable effect, the leakage rate (k_{leak}) has to be included in the overall Q-

View Article Online Paper

Rh reduction kinetics in HeLa cells. The leakage process was modelled as a release process in a confined space (*i.e.* the glass bottom dish), which is governed by the following differential equation.

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}[\mathrm{Cal}]}{\mathrm{d}t} = -k_{\mathrm{leak}} \left([\mathrm{Cal}] - [\mathrm{Cal}]_{\mathrm{eq}} \right) \tag{13}$$

where [Cal] is the time-dependent concentration of calcein in the HeLa cells and $[Cal]_{eq}$ ($\neq 0$) is the equilibrium calcein concentration at infinite time introduced due to confined space. The solution of eqn (13) can be expressed in terms of normalized intensity (similarly as for eqn (11)) as

$$I_{n,Cal}(t) = p + (1 - p)\exp(-k_{leak}(t - t_0)),$$
(14)

where $p = [Cal]_{eq}/[Cal]_0$ is the fraction of remaining calcein fluorescence due to the confined space, and $[Cal]_0$ is the initial calcein concentration at $t = t_0$. Normalization means that $I_{n,Cal}(t = t_0) = 1$, where t_0 can be interpreted as a lag time in fluorescence decrease after the addition of Ir^{III} -complex in the HeLa cell culture. Eqn (14) is then fitted into experimentally observed calcein fluorescence data, as shown in Fig. 3d and e (blue lines; values of fitted parameters are shown in the caption). The leakage rate (k_{leak}) has a power law type dependence on added Ir^{III} -complex concentration $k_{leak} = 0.216[Ir]_0^{-1/2}$, as shown in Fig. 4a (and the inset).

Using the above analysis of the HeLa cell membrane leakage rate after the addition of Ir^{III}-complex, we can construct the overall pseudo-first-order rate constant for Q-Rh reduction kinetics inside the HeLa cells in the following form:

$$k_{\text{cell}}^{\prime} = \left(\alpha_{\text{cell}}^{\text{eff}}k + \beta_{\text{cell}}^{\text{eff}}k_{\text{Ir}}K_{\text{Ir}}[\text{Ir}]_{0}\right)[\text{NADPH}]_{0} + k_{\text{leak}}.$$
 (15)

where k_{leak} can be well approximated as $k_{\text{leak}} = 0.216[\text{Ir}]_0^{-1/2}$ (see Fig. 4a). The $\alpha_{\text{cell}}^{\text{eff}}$ and $\beta_{\text{cell}}^{\text{eff}}$ are dimensionless coefficients, which account for the increase of the Q-Rh reduction rate inside HeLa cells due to the presence of other intracellular reduction agents, such as flavin adenine dinucleotide (FADH₂),^{23,24} flavin mononucleotide (FMNH₂)^{16,23} or glutathione (GSH).^{25–28}



Fig. 4 (a) Plot of leakage rate (k_{leak}) against the concentration of added $|r^{\text{III}}$ -complex $[|r]_0$ in HeLa cell cultures at 25 °C. The inset shows linear dependence of k_{leak} on $[|r]_0^{-1/2}$ indicating a power law dependence. (b) Effective rate increase coefficients $\alpha_{\text{cell}}^{\text{eff}}$ and $\beta_{\text{cell}}^{\text{eff}}$ for uncatalyzed and $|r^{\text{III}}$ -complex catalyzed Q-Rh reduction in HeLa cells, respectively, as a function of intracellular NADPH concentration. The grey region denotes HeLa cell available NADPH concentration range.

The α_{cell}^{eff} and β_{cell}^{eff} coefficients express effective rate increase of uncatalyzed Q-Rh reduction (shown in eqn (1)) and Ir^{III}complex catalyzed reduction (shown in eqn (5)), respectively, in HeLa cells as compared to the experiments in PBS solution (where of $\alpha_{cell}^{eff} = \beta_{cell}^{eff} = 1$). It can also be noted that the α_{cell}^{eff} and β_{cell}^{eff} coefficients refer to the increase of Q-Rh reduction rate for *t* < 0 min and *t* > 0 min, respectively, as shown in Fig. 3d and e.

The rate constant k'_{cell} is then used in eqn (16) (which is derived from eqn (7) analogously as eqn (11)) and fitted into normalized and averaged experimental data, as shown in Fig. 3d and e (red lines).

$$I_{\rm n}(t) = q + (1-q) \exp\left(-k'_{\rm cell}(t-t_0)\right)$$
(16)

The t_0 is a lag time in Q-Rh fluorescence decrease after the addition of Ir^{III}-complex. In eqn (16) α_{cell}^{eff} , β_{cell}^{eff} and t_0 are fitted parameters. The k'_{cell} rate constant (eqn (15)) contains [NADPH]₀ as a known parameter, however, the NADPH concentration in the HeLa cells can range from about 0.05 mM to 0.5 mM (from here on, referred to as HeLa cell available NADPH concentration range).²⁹⁻³³ Therefore, the fitting of the experimental data in Fig. 3d and e (red lines) has been performed for various NADPH concentrations, and α_{cell}^{eff} and β_{cell}^{eff} coefficients were obtained as a function of [NADPH]₀ (Fig. 4b). The data in Fig. 4b indicate that in the HeLa cell available NADPH concentration range (grey zone), the coefficients are within the following ranges: $2 < \alpha_{cell}^{eff} <$ 8 and 7 < β_{cell}^{eff} < 23. From the fitting procedure, it can also be noted that in equilibrium, the Ir^{III}-complex fluorescence quenching efficiency on Q-Rh seems to be the same (within the experimental error) as in PBS solution, i.e. 97%.

This large increase in reaction rates is due to the presence of the abovementioned reducing species in the cytosol, which apparently contribute to the Q-Rh reduction process before and, even more significantly, after the addition of Ir^{II} -complex promoter. This can be quantified by the "enhancement ratio" $r_{enh,cell}$, from which the component of leakage process has been removed, as

$$r_{\text{enh,cell}}([\text{Ir}]_0) = 1 + \frac{\beta_{\text{cell}}^{\text{eff}}}{\alpha_{\text{cell}}^{\text{eff}}} \frac{k_{\text{Ir}} K_{\text{Ir}}}{k} [\text{Ir}]_0 \approx 1 + 7610 [\text{Ir}]_0.$$
(17)

The ratio $\beta_{\text{cell}}^{\text{eff}}/\alpha_{\text{cell}}^{\text{eff}} \approx 2.8$ over the whole NADPH admissible concentration region (Fig. 4b), which indicates an about 2.8 times higher Ir^{III}-complex activity for the Q-Rh reduction process in HeLa cells over that in PBS solution (as seen by comparison with eqn (12)). Since the values of α_{cell}^{eff} and β_{cell}^{eff} are larger than 1 in the whole admissible region, it also suggests that other intracellular reducing agents besides NADPH (e.g. NADH, FADH₂, FMNH₂ or GSH) are also activated by the presence of the Q-Rh fluorescence probe alone $(2 < \alpha_{cell}^{eff} < 8)$ and more strongly by the further addition of Ir^{III} -complex (7 < β_{cell}^{eff} < 23). It is worth mentioning that GSH is the main agent in the reduction process of intracellular quinones.28 The GSH contribution to the increase in Q-Rh reduction rate is probably dominant due to its high intracellular concentrations from 0.5 to 10 mM compared to other reducing agents.²⁵⁻²⁸ The high values of α_{cell}^{eff} and β_{cell}^{eff} coefficients (and accordingly increased k'_{cell}) most likely reflect this situation. There might also be a small contribution to the value of α_{cell}^{eff} (and presumably also to the value of β_{cell}^{eff}) originating from the lower intracellular pH in HeLa cells (pH from *ca.* 5.7 to 7.1).³⁴⁻³⁸ Lower pH (less than 5.7) increases the reaction rate *k* in eqn (1).^{39,40} However, other research²¹ has shown that there is almost no effect on the reaction rate *k* in the pH range from 6 to 8. Therefore, there might be a limited contribution to the increase of the overall Q-Rh reduction rate constant in HeLa cells (k'_{cell}), which can be assigned to lower intracellular pH.

These results imply that the Q-Rh reduction process, which is accompanied by fluorescence quenching, can be used for the estimation of the relative rate of NADPH activation (i.e. the rate of NADP⁺ formation). The addition of Ir^{III}-complex promoter can further increase this activation rate. In PBS solution, this process can be well controlled with an enhancement factor due to the Ir^{III}-complex concentration expressed in eqn (12). In HeLa cells, the kinetics can also be analyzed, although the Q-Rh fluorescence quenching rate should be rather interpreted as the relative rate of activation involving several other intracellular reducing agents (not only NADPH). The presence of Ir^{III}complex also has a profound effect on the rate of the activation process, as expressed in eqn (17). Moreover, this activation caused by Ir^{III}-complex inside the HeLa cells initiates a downstream signalling cascade, which results in cell membrane disintegration and cell death. This cascade is perhaps caused by the generation of reactive oxygen species, such as H₂O₂, which has been reported for similar organoiridium complex systems.^{10,41,42} The intrinsic cytotoxicity of the Ir^{III}-complex is also evident from monitoring of calcein dye fluorescence after the addition of Ir^{III}-complex (in the absence of Q-Rh), which initiates the cytosol leakage and eventual cell death. On the other hand, HeLa cells incubated only with Q-Rh (without the addition of Ir^{III}-complex promoter) showed no signs of decreased cell viability.

3 Conclusions

We have shown that in the controlled environment of PBS solution, the fluorescent ubiquinone-rhodol (Q-Rh) probe reacts with NADPH leading to its quenched hydroquinone-rhodol (H₂Q-Rh) form with simultaneous NADPH activation. This activation can be further increased by the addition of Ir^{III}-complex (*i.e.* $[(\eta^5-C_5Me_5)Ir(phen)(H_2O)]^{2+})$ promoter. The rate of Q-Rh fluorescence quenching process is proportional to NADPH activation rate. The kinetics of this process can be well-modelled by first-order kinetics for Q-Rh concentration with the pseudo-first-order rate constant involving the concentrations of Ir^{III}-complex and NADPH.

Furthermore, we performed experiments on HeLa cells to analyze the intracellular kinetics of Q-Rh reduction and the influence of Ir^{III}-complex promoter on the activation of intracellular reducing agents. We found that this process can also be modelled by modified first-order kinetics for Q-Rh. However, the Ir^{III}-complex stimulates downstream intracellular processes, which result in HeLa cell membrane disintegration and leakage of the cytosol. Our kinetic model accounts for this process. Therefore, the actual fluorescence quenching of Q-Rh caused by reduction reactions can be quantified and their kinetic parameters extracted. There is a substantial increase in the Q-Rh reduction rate (accompanied by a corresponding increase of fluorescence quenching) inside the HeLa cells, especially after the addition of Ir^{III}-complex promoter. This increase is partially due to the leakage process but also due to the nonspecific activation of other intracellular reducing agents other than NADPH, such as NADH, FADH₂, FMNH₂ or GSH (which might have the dominant contribution due to high intracellular GSH concentrations at mM levels). In the presence only of Q-Rh, the activation rate of the intracellular reducing agents is about 2 to 8 times greater in HeLa cells than in PBS solution. In the presence of both Q-Rh and Ir^{III}-complex, the Ir^{III}-complex catalyzed reduction reaction is about 7 to 23 times faster in HeLa cells.

The activation of NADPH or other intracellular species with simultaneous monitoring of this process can be used to exploit unique chemical reactions. This concept stands in contrast to the conventional, widely recognized concept of bioorthogonal chemistry. We have coined the term "bioparallel chemistry" to differentiate this approach. The analyses of Ir^{III}-complex promoted NADPH activation, and its monitoring by Q-Rh fluorescence probe given in this study represents the first attempt to analyze the kinetics of a bioparallel reaction at the interiors of cells.

4 Experimental

4.1 General

Fluorescence spectra were measured on a JASCO FP-8500 spectrofluorophotometer using a quartz cuvette with a 1 cm path length. Phosphate-buffered saline (100 mM, pH 7.4) was used as a solvent. HeLa cells were obtained from RIKEN (Tsu-kuba, Japan), and cultured in Dulbecco's Modified Eagle Medium (DMEM) (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) containing 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS), 50 U mL⁻¹ of penicillin and 50 μ g mL⁻¹ streptomycin at 37 °C under a humidified atmosphere of 5% CO₂.

4.2 Time-dependent fluorescence measurements in PBS (Ir^{III}-complex concentration dependence)

Time-dependent fluorescence intensity of Q-Rh (0.01 mM) reduction in PBS solution (at pH 7.4) was measured in the absence of the promoter (blank measurement), and with 0.5 mM and 1 mM of the Ir^{III}-complex promoter, and with 1 mM NADPH which was added at time t = 0 min. During the measurements (with excitation wavelength $\lambda_{ex} = 488$ nm), the fluorescence was observed at its maximum at $\lambda_{em} = 518$ nm. Quenched fluorescence of Q-Rh reduced by sodium dithionite (Na₂S₂O₄)²⁰ to H₂Q-Rh in PBS buffer was lowered to 1/30 of its original value (at 518 nm).⁶

4.3 Time-dependent fluorescence measurements in PBS (NADPH concentration dependence)

Time-dependence of fluorescence intensity during Q-Rh (0.01 mM) reduction was measured in PBS solution (at pH 7.4) with

Open Access Article. Published on 20 November 2023. Downloaded on 11/5/2024 3:51:34 AM.

0.5 mM of the Ir^{III}-complex promoter following the addition (at t = 0 min) of various concentrations of NADPH (0, 0.25, 0.5, 1, 2.5, 5, and 10 mM). For these measurements, the excitation wavelength was $\lambda_{ex} = 488$ nm, and the fluorescence maximum was observed at $\lambda_{em} = 519$ nm.

4.4 Time-dependent fluorescence imaging of Q-Rh in HeLa cells

For fluorescent imaging experiments, a confocal laser scanning microscope system (FluoView FV1000; Olympus, Tokyo, Japan) mounted on an inverted microscope (IX81; Olympus) with a $40 \times$ or $60 \times$ oil-immersed objective lens was used. The fluorescence imaging measurements were performed on HeLa cells cultured on glass-bottomed dishes (Iwaki, Tokyo, Japan). For Q-Rh dye loading, the cells were incubated for 30 min at 37 °C in Hanks' balanced salt solutions (HBSS) containing NaCl (137 mM), KCl (5.4 mM), CaCl₂ (1.3 mM), MgCl₂ (0.5 mM), MgSO₄ (0.4 mM), Na₂HPO₄ (0.3 mM), KH₂PO₄, (0.4 mM), NaHCO₃ (4.2 mM), p-glucose (5.6 mM), HEPES (5.0 mM) (pH was adjusted to 7.4 using NaOH) in the presence of 0.01 mM Q-Rh. HeLa cells were washed twice with HBSS solution to remove the remaining extracellular dye, and fluorescence imaging measurements were subsequently performed. Q-Rh was excited at $\lambda_{ex} = 488$ nm, with the signal being observed at 500-600 nm. Fluorescence images were acquired and processed in the FluoView software package (Olympus). The fluorescence intensities were determined by calculating the average intensity within a defined region of interest that encompassed the cell body of each cell. Fluorescence imaging indicates that Q-Rh is uniformly distributed in the cytosol with partial accumulation in mitochondria.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by World Premier International Research Center Initiative (WPI Initiative), MEXT, Japan, and KAKENHI Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research No. 19K05229 (for J. L.). N. V. is also grateful for financial support from the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (JSPS) for a JSPS postdoctoral fellowship (P21764) supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number JP22KF0385.

Notes and references

- 1 H. C. Hang, C. Yu, D. L. Kato and C. R. Bertozzi, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 2003, **100**, 14846–14851.
- 2 E. M. Sletten and C. R. Bertozzi, Acc. Chem. Res., 2011, 44, 666–676.
- 3 E. M. Sletten and C. R. Bertozzi, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2009, **48**, 6974–6998.
- 4 J. Idiago-López, E. Moreno-Antolín, J. M. De La Fuente and R. M. Fratila, *Nanoscale Adv.*, 2021, **3**, 1261–1292.

- 5 W. Mao, J. Tang, L. Dai, X. He, J. Li, L. Cai, P. Liao, R. Jiang,
 J. Zhou and H. Wu, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2021, 60, 2393–2397.
- 6 H. Komatsu, Y. Shindo, K. Oka, J. P. Hill and K. Ariga, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2014, **53**, 3993–3995.
- 7 H. Komatsu, Y. Shindo, S. A. Kawashima, K. Yamatsugu, K. Oka and M. Kanai, *Chem. Commun.*, 2013, **49**, 2876–2878.
- 8 Y. Shindo, H. Komatsu, K. Hotta, K. Ariga and K. Oka, *Sci. Rep.*, 2016, **6**, 29224, 1–9.
- 9 Z. Liu, R. J. Deeth, J. S. Butler, A. Habtemariam, M. E. Newton and P. J. Sadler, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2013, **52**, 4194–4197.
- 10 Z. Liu, I. Romero-Canelón, B. Qamar, J. M. Hearn, A. Habtemariam, N. P. E. Barry, A. M. Pizarro, G. J. Clarkson and P. J. Sadler, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2014, 53, 3941–3946.
- 11 L. G. Lee, G. M. Berry and C. H. Chen, *Cytometry*, 1989, **10**, 151–164.
- 12 X. Luo, R. Li and L. J. Yan, *J. Diabetes Res.*, 2015, 2015, 512618, 1–12.
- 13 D. E. Metzler, *Biochemistry The chemical reaction of Living Cells*, Academic Press, 2nd edn, 2001.
- 14 K. A. Connors, *Chemical Kinetics: The Study of Reaction Rates in Solution*, VCH Publishers, Inc., New York, 1990.
- 15 J. E. House, *Principles of chemical kinetics*, Elsevier Inc., USA, 2nd edn, 2007.
- 16 J. Hirst, Biochem. J., 2010, 425, 327-339.
- 17 J. B. Schenkman and I. Jansson, *Pharmacol. Ther.*, 2003, **97**, 139–152.
- 18 R. Li, M. A. Bianchet, P. Talalay and L. M. Amzel, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A., 1995, 92, 8846–8850.
- 19 J. E. Whitaker, R. P. Haugland, D. Ryan, P. C. Hewitt, R. P. Haugland and F. G. Prendergast, *Anal. Biochem.*, 1992, 207, 267–279.
- 20 A. Pezzella, O. Crescenzi, A. Natangelo, L. Panzella,
 A. Napolitano, S. Navaratnam, R. Edge, E. J. Land,
 V. Barone and M. d'Ischia, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2007, 72, 1595–1603.
- 21 B. W. Carlson and L. L. Miller, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1985, **107**, 479–485.
- 22 F. J. Bock and S. W. G. Tait, *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.*, 2020, **21**, 85–100.
- 23 N. R. Bachur, S. L. Gordon, M. V. Gee and H. Kon, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 1979, **76**, 954–957.
- 24 A. L. Pey, C. F. Megarity and D. J. Timson, *Biosci. Rep.*, 2019, 39, BSR20180459.
- 25 G. Wu, Y.-Z. Fang, S. Yang, J. R. Lupton and N. D. Turner, *J. Nutr.*, 2004, **134**, 489–492.
- 26 M. P. Gamcsik, M. S. Kasibhatla, S. D. Teeter and O. M. Colvin, *Biomarkers*, 2012, **17**, 671–691.
- 27 L. Kennedy, J. K. Sandhu, M.-E. Harper and M. Cuperlovic-Culf, *Biomolecules*, 2020, **10**, 1429.
- 28 N. Watanabe, D. A. Dickinson, R.-M. Liu and H. Jay Forman, *Methods Enzymol.*, 2004, **378**, 319–340.
- 29 R. Tao, Y. Zhao, H. Chu, A. Wang, J. Zhu, X. Chen, Y. Zou, M. Shi, R. Liu, N. Su, J. Du, H.-M. Zhou, L. Zhu, X. Qian, H. Liu, J. Loscalzo and Y. Yang, *Nat. Methods*, 2017, 14, 720–728.

View Article Online RSC Advances

- 30 S. A. J. Trammell and C. Brenner, *Comput. Struct. Biotechnol. J.*, 2013, 4, e201301012.
- 31 A. A. Heikal, Biomarkers Med., 2010, 4, 241-263.
- 32 Q. Yu and A. A. Heikal, *J. Photochem. Photobiol.*, *B*, 2009, 95, 46–57.
- 33 N. Ma, M. A. Digman, L. Malacrida and E. Gratton, *Biomed. Opt. Express*, 2016, 7, 2441–2452.
- 34 M. Tafani, J. A. Cohn, N. O. Karpinich, R. J. Rothman, M. A. Russo and J. L. Farber, *J. Biol. Chem.*, 2002, 277, 49569–49576.
- 35 I. Druzhkova, M. Lukina, V. Dudenkova, N. Ignatova,
 L. Snopova, A. Gavrina, L. Shimolina, V. Belousov,
 E. Zagaynova and M. Shirmanova, *Cell Cycle*, 2021, 20, 1540–1551.

- 36 H. Hou, Y. Zhao, C. Li, M. Wang, X. Xu and Y. Jin, *Sci. Rep.*, 2017, 7, 1759.
- 37 L. Lin, J. Zhao, L. Zhang, Y. Huang, F. Ye and S. Zhao, *Chem. Commun.*, 2018, 54, 9071–9074.
- 38 M. H. Lee, J. H. Han, J. H. Lee, N. Park, R. Kumar, C. Kang and J. S. Kim, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2013, **52**, 6206–6209.
- 39 Y. Song and G. R. Buettner, *Free Radical Biol. Med.*, 2010, **49**, 919–962.
- 40 N. K. Bridge and G. Porter, *Proc. R. Soc. London, Ser. A*, 1958, **244**, 276–288.
- 41 H. Nguyen and L. H. Do, *Chem. Commun.*, 2020, **56**, 13381–13384.
- 42 T. Suenobu, S. Shibata and S. Fukuzumi, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2016, 55, 7747–7754.