# RSC Advances



## PAPER



Cite this: RSC Adv., 2023, 13, 9418

Received 8th January 2023 Accepted 8th March 2023

DOI: 10.1039/d3ra00153a

rsc.li/rsc-advances

### 1. Introduction

Schiff-base complexes can be considered as the one of the most significant stereochemical models in transition metal coordination chemistry due to the fact that they are structurally diverse and are easily prepared.<sup>1,2</sup> They have a very significant role in the development of coordination chemistry which has resulted in a wide variety of research ranging from physicochemical and biochemical studies to purely synthetic studies.<sup>3</sup> There has been much research into metal complexes with chelate ligands with the aim of mimicking the reactions found in biochemical systems, including the redox functions of different metalloenzymes and the coordination and reactivity of dioxygen. Metalloenzymes can have specific functions, such as redox<sup>4-9</sup> and structural and catalytic roles<sup>10-14</sup> and

b Nahavand Higher Education Complex, Bu-Ali Sina University, Hamedan, 65174, Iran c Department of Science, Faculty of Science, Farhangian University, Tabriz, Iran d School of Chemistry, University of Melbourne, Victoria 3010, Australia

## Theoretical and solid-state structures of three new macroacyclic Schiff base complexes and the investigation of their anticancer, antioxidant and antibacterial properties†

Mohammad Taher Rezaei,<sup>a</sup> Hassan Keypour, D<sup>\*a</sup> Saadat Hajari,<sup>a</sup> Fereshteh yaghoobi,<sup>b</sup> Sey[ed](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-4626-0217) Hamed Moazzami Farida,<sup>c</sup> Masoud Saadati <sup>b c</sup> and Robert William Gable D<sup>d</sup>

Three symmetrical macroacyclic Schiff base complexes were prepared from a ligand derived from the condensation reaction of 1,4-bis(2-aminophenyl)piperazine and salicylaldehyde (L) with Cu(II), Ni(II) and Co(ii) perchlorates. The ligand and the Schiff base complexes were characterized by elemental analyses, UV-vis, FT-IR and mass spectrometry, with the structure of [CoL] being determined by a single crystal Xray structural analysis. In this complex, the cobalt is in a distorted trigonal prismatic coordination environment, surrounded by the six donor atoms of the deprotonated hexadentate ligand. In order to compare the experimental and theoretical data and determine structure parameters in all complexes, Density Functional Theory (DFT) calculations at the B3lyp and BP86 levels with Def2-TZVP basis set have been carried out. NBO and QTAIM analyses have been used to describe the nature of M–O and M–N bonding in these complexes. The synthesized complexes were screened for their antioxidant activities using the DPPH free radical scavenging assay while their bactericidal activity was evaluated by the paper disc diffusion method against both Gram-negative and Gram-positive bacteria, revealing strong antioxidant activities and moderate effectiveness against all bacteria tested. The cytotoxicity of the metal complexes was also investigated against AGS (gastric) and A549 (lung) adenocarcinoma cells. PAPER<br> **EXERCISE CONSULTER CONSULT CONSULTER CONSULT CONSULT** 

accordingly there has been intensive research into metal complexes that can mimic the active sites of metalloproteins. In order for the metal to have a similar coordination environment to those found in proteins, many model metal complexes have been obtained using sterically bulky polydentate ligands. 2-Hydroxy Schiff base ligands and their complexes derived from the reaction of derivatives of salicylaldehyde with amines have been extensively studied in great detail for their various crystallographic, structural and magnetic features.15,16 Schiff bases and their metal complexes have been studied for their important bio-medicinal properties including antibacterial, fungicidal, antioxidant, anticancer, and herbicidal activities. $17,18$  The origin of some pathophysiological abnormalities in the human body, including cancers, is free radicals ('OH $^-$  and 'O<sub>2</sub> $^-$ ). Antioxidants can be used to protect the human body from such abnormalities.19,20 Thus, it is important to produce potential drugs with both strong antioxidant and strong anticancer properties to protect the body from the various types of cancers. In recent years our research group has reported on the synthesis and biological properties of a number of macrocyclic and macro-acyclic Schiff base ligands incorporating the piperazine group, together with their metal complexes.<sup>20</sup>–<sup>25</sup> Of particular interest has been

a Faculty of Chemistry, Bu-Ali Sina University, Hamedan 65174, Iran. E-mail: haskey1@yahoo.com; Fax: +98 (81) 38272404; Tel: +98 (81) 38242044

<sup>†</sup> Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. CCDC 2109477. For ESI and crystallographic data in CIF or other electronic format see DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1039/d3ra00153a>

### 2. Experimental

### 2.1. Materials

#### 2.2. Physical measurements

Infrared spectra were collected using KBr pellets on a BIO-RAD FTS-40A spectrophotometer (4000–400  $\rm cm^{-1}$ ). CHN analyses were carried out using a PerkinElmer, CHNS/O elemental analyzer model 2400 series 2.  $^{1}$ H and  $^{13}$ C NMR spectra were taken in CDCl<sub>3</sub> on a Bruker Avance 400 MHz spectrometer using  $Si(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub>$  as an internal standard. Electron impact mass spectra were performed on an Agilent technologies (HP) 5973 mass (EI at 70 eV) spectrometer.

#### 2.3. X-ray crystal structure determination

Orange single crystals of formula  $[C_{30}H_{26}N_4O_2Co]$  were crystallized by vapour diffusion of ether into methanol. Data were collected at 100 K at the MX2 beamline at the Australian Synchrotron, fitted with a silicon double crystal monochromator and Dectris Eiger 16M detector, the wavelength being tuned to approximate Mo-K $\alpha$  radiation ( $\lambda = 0.710872$ Å).<sup>27</sup> Data reduction was performed with XDS<sup>28</sup> using multiscan absorption corrections. Using Olex2,<sup>29</sup> the structure was solved with the ShelXT<sup>30</sup> structure solution program using Intrinsic Phasing and refined with the ShelXL $31$ refinement package using Least Squares minimization on  $\mathrm{F}^2,$ using all data. All non-hydrogen atoms were refined with anisotropic displacement parameters, while all hydrogen atoms were placed at geometrical estimates and refined using the riding model. The maximum and minimum electron density peaks were 0.43 and  $-0.59$  e Å<sup> $-3$ </sup>. Crystallographic data are given in Table 1. Selected bond lengths and angles are given in Table 2.

Paper		<b>View Article Online</b> <b>RSC Advances</b>
those hexadentate ligands which have a potentially $N_4O_2$ donor		Table 1 Crystal data and structure refinement for the complex [CoL]
set. <sup>26</sup> In the current study, we have extended this work by the		
synthesis of a new hexadentate Schiff base ligand from the	CCDC number	2109477
	Empirical formula	$C_{30}H_{26}CoN_4O_2$
condensation of 1,4-bis(2-aminophenyl)piperazine with sali-	Formula weight	533.48
cylaldehyde and prepared the $Co(n)$ , $Ni(n)$ and $Cu(n)$	Temperature/K	100.0(2)
complexes. The cytotoxicity of the complexes were then	Crystal system	Monoclinic
investigated against AGS (gastric) and A549 (lung) adenocar-	Space group	C2/c
cinoma cells, their antioxidant activity was studied by the in	a/A	19.669(4)
	$b/\AA$	7.3570(15)
vitro DPPH free radical scavenging method while their anti-	$c/\AA$	17.509(4)
bacterial activity was measured against a variety of bacterial	$\alpha$ /°	90
strains. To enable a comparison between experimental and	$\beta$ /°	104.87(3)
theoretical data and determine structure parameters Density	$\gamma$ / $\circ$	90
functional theory (DFT) calculations been utilized while NBO	Volume/ $\AA^3$	2448.8(9)
and QTAIM analyses have been used to describe the nature of	Ζ	4
	$\rho_{\rm calc}$ $\rm g/cm^3$	1.447
$M-O$ and $M-N$ ( $M = Co$ , Ni and Cu) bonding in these	$\mu$ /mm	0.737
complexes.	F(000)	1108.0
	Crystal size/mm <sup>3</sup>	$0.1 \times 0.05 \times 0.015$
2.	Radiation	Synchrotron ( $\lambda = 0.71087$ )
Experimental	$2\theta$ range for data collection/ $\circ$	5.564 to 64.148
<b>Materials</b> 2.1.	Index ranges	$-27 \le h \le 27, -10 \le k \le 10,$ $-26 \le l \le 25$
Salicylaldehyde, 1-fluoro-2-nitrobenzene, piperazine, metal	Reflections collected	43 102
salts and solvents were commercial products from Merck and	Independent reflections	3866 $[R_{\text{int}} = 0.0358, R_{\text{sigma}} = 0.0164]$
used without further purification.	Data/restraints/parameters	3866/0/168
	Goodness-of-fit on $F_2$	1.156
Caution! Perchlorate salts are potentially explosive. Only	Final <i>R</i> indexes $[I \geq 2\sigma(I)]$	$R_1 = 0.0315$ , $wR_2 = 0.0961$
small amounts of materials should be prepared, with caution,	Final $R$ indexes [all data]	$R_1 = 0.0321$ , $wR_2 = 0.0966$
and handled in small quantities.	Largest diff. peak/hole/e $\AA^{-3}$	$0.43/-0.59$
2.2. Physical measurements		
	Table 2 Bond lengths $(\hat{A})$ and selected angles ( $\degree$ ) for $[Col]^a$	
Infrared spectra were collected using KBr pellets on a BIO-RAD		
FTS-40A spectrophotometer (4000-400 $cm^{-1}$ ). CHN analyses	Atom-atom	Length/Å
were carried out using a PerkinElmer, CHNS/O elemental	$Co1-O1$	1.9769(9)
analyzer model 2400 series 2. $^{1}$ H and $^{13}$ C NMR spectra were	$Co1-N1$	2.1228(11)
taken in CDCl <sub>3</sub> on a Bruker Avance 400 MHz spectrometer using	Co1N2	2.2874(11)
$Si(CH3)4$ as an internal standard. Electron impact mass spectra		
	$A$ tom $-$ gtom $-$ gtom	Anol <sub>2</sub>

Table 2 Bond lengths  $(A)$  and selected angles ( $\degree$ ) for  $[Col]^a$ 



<sup>*a*</sup> Symmetry code *i*:  $-x$ ,  $y$ ,  $\frac{1}{2} - z$ .

#### 2.4. Computational methods

The geometries of all complexes studied here, were fully optimized in the gas phase at the B3lyp<sup>32</sup> and BP86 (ref. 33,34) levels of theory with Def2-TZVP basis set<sup>35</sup> using the Gaussian 09 package.<sup>36</sup> Vibrational frequency analysis, computed at the same levels of theory, show that the optimized structures of all complexes are at the stationary points without any imaginary frequency. The crystal structure coordinates of the  $Co(n)$  complex [CoL] were used as the basis for the DFT calculations for the three  $\text{[ML]}$  (M = Co, Ni and Cu) complexes. It should be noted that the  $Co(n)$  complex is in the high-spin state and the  $Ni(n)$  complex is in the low-spin state (magnetic measurements are included in result and discussion) were studied using density functional methods. The nature of coordinated bonds has been investigated using Atoms-In-Molecules (AIM)<sup>37</sup> at the BP86/TZVP level of theory. The topological parameters of the QTAIM analysis were calculated using the AIM2000 program.<sup>38</sup> Also, Natural Bond Orbital (NBO) analysis was carried out with the internal module Gaussian 09 at the BP86/TZVP level of theory.<sup>39</sup>

### 2.5. Cytotoxicity in vitro

Gastric Cell Line (AGS; IBRC C10071) and lung cell line (A549; IBRC C10080) were purchased from the Iranian Biological Resource Center (IBRC; Tehran, Iran). DMEM: Ham's F12, used in culturing the lung cancer cell line and Gastric cell line, was supplemented with L-glutamine, 10% Fetal Bovine Serum (FBS), 100 units mL<sup>-1</sup> penicillin and 100 μg mL<sup>-1</sup> streptomycin. The cells were kept in a humidified atmosphere at 37  $\degree$ C with a concentration of 5%  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ . Standard solutions were made by dissolving the compounds in DMSO to give a concentration of 20 mM  $L^{-1}$ . These solutions were then diluted to give concentrations of 1.56, 3.13, 6.25, 12.50, 25 and 50 mM L<sup>-1</sup> which were subsequently added to the culture medium. Assessment of cell viability was performed using the modified cell viability (MTT) method in which the reduction of MTT (3-[4,5 dimethylthiazolyl]-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide) was detected. In each well of a 96-well plate 25 was allocated  $5 \times 10^4$ cells with 100 µL RPMI medium supplemented with 10% FBS. After allowing 24 hours for cell adhesion, diluted solutions of the tested compounds (0 to 50  $\mu$ M) were added. In order to prevent the toxicity of the solvent, 0.5% (v/v) was chosen as the final DMSO concentration. After a further 12 h 10  $\mu$ L MTT was added followed by incubating the plates at 37 °C for an additional 4 h. The formazan blue that was subsequently formed was dissolved in DMSO (100  $\mu$ L) and the optical density (OD) of the solution in each well was measured at 490 nm using an ELISA plate reader to determine the cell survival curves. Each test was carried out in triplicate and the mean value was used to determine the  $IC_{50}$  value – the concentration of the tested compound which inhibits the growth of the cells by 50%. RSC Advances Could we see the sign of the DTT calculations (F% = [1 - td. - 4.b/4] <br/>2023. Download the mean Form intervent in the properties are the commonstrated under the first article is likely about the sign of th

#### 2.6. Antioxidant property

The electron donation abilities of the complexes were evaluated by bleaching of the purple-colored chloroform solution of DPPH (2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl).<sup>9</sup> Briefly, 2.5 mL of different concentrations of each sample (0.2–1 mg mL<sup>-1</sup> in methanol) were added to 1 mL of 0.3 mM DPPH solution and the mixture incubated for 30 min at room temperature in the dark. The reduction of free radicals was measured by reading the absorbance at 517 nm. Ascorbic acid and quercetin, standard antioxidants, were used as standards. The percentage inhibition of the production of free radicals by DPPH  $(I\%)$  was calculated using the following formula:

$$
I\left(\frac{\%}{\text{}}\right) = [1 - (A_{\text{s}} - A_{\text{b}})/A_{\text{c}}] \times 100
$$

where,  $A_s$  is the absorbance of the reaction mixture containing 2.5 mL of sample + 1 mL of DPPH,  $A<sub>b</sub>$  is the absorbance of the reaction mixture containing 2.5 mL of sample + 1 mL methanol, and  $A_c$  is the absorbance of the control sample containing 1 mL of DPPH + 2.5 mL methanol. The  $IC_{50}$  value, defined as the concentration of the sample leading to 50% reduction of the initial DPPH concentration, was calculated from the linear regression plot of the concentration of the test sample against the mean percentage of the antioxidant activity.40,42,43

#### 2.7. Antibacterial activity

The antibacterial activity of synthesized compounds was studied against two Gram-positive (Staphylococcus aureus, and Bacillus subtilis) and two Gram-negative bacteria (Escherichia coli, and Klebsiella oxytoca). Each of the complexes was dissolved in methanol to give a concentration of 1 mg  $mL^{-1}$  which was then filtered through a 0.45 µm Millipore. Each solution was then added to a suspension of  $1.5 \times 10^8$  bacteria mL<sup>-1</sup> (10 mL) which were then spread out on the agar medium. Vancomycin, tetracycline, ampicillin, and penicillin were also applied as antibiotic standards. The volume of swelling from the edge and the diameter of the inhibition region of each sample was given in mm.

#### 2.8. Synthesis

2.8.1 Ligand synthes'is  $(H<sub>2</sub>L)$ . 1,4-Bis(2-aminophenyl) piperazine (A) was synthesized according to the literature procedure.<sup>41</sup> A solution of salicylaldehyde (1 mmol, 0.15 g) in absolute ethanol (30 mL) was added drop wise to a solution of A (0.5 mmol, 0.13 g) in absolute ethanol (20 mL) and the mixture was gently refluxed and stirred overnight. The resulting product was then filtered off, washed with ethanol and dried in vacuum (Scheme 1).

Yield: 0.19 g (84%). Anal. Calc. for  $C_{30}H_{28}N_4O_2$  (MW: 476.57): C, 75.61; H, 5.92; N, 11.76 Found: C, 75.52; H, 6.08; N, 11.64. EI-MS  $(m/z)$ : 476.4 IR (KBr, cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3230  $\nu$  (OH), 1618  $\nu$  (C=N). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>–d6, ppm)  $\delta_{\rm H} = 2.55$ –2.86 (s, H), 6.58–7.88(H<sub>aromatic</sub>), 8.62 (H<sub>imini</sub>), 13.43 (OH). <sup>1</sup>C NMR(CDCl<sub>3</sub>, ppm):  $\delta_c$ : 55.8-57.8(C<sub>py</sub>), 115.8–149.8(Caromatic), 160.6(Cimini), 161.4(C–OH).

2.8.2 Synthesis of complexes. General procedure: in order to prepare the metal complexes, the ligand (L) (1 mmol), dissolved in 25 mL of methanol, was added to a solution of  $M(CIO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>·6H<sub>2</sub>O$  (1 mmol) (M: Ni, Co, Cu) dissolved in 20 mL of methanol. The solution was then refluxed for 24 h, after which triethylamine (3 drops) was added and the reaction mixture was allowed to cool to room temperature. The precipitate was filtered off, washed with excess of ethanol and then dried in vacuum. The products were characterized as the pure compounds (Scheme 2).

[CoL]: orange single crystals. Yield: 0.45 g (76%). Anal. Calc. for  $C_{30}H_{26}CoN_4O_2$  (MW: 533.49): C, 67.54; H, 4.91; N, 10.50. Found: C, 67.47; H, 4.75; N, 10.32. EI-MS (m/z): 533IR  $(KBr, cm^{-1})$ : 1611  $\nu$  (C=N).



Scheme 1 The processes for the synthesis of ligand



Scheme 2 The processes for the synthesis of macrocyclic complexes.

[NiL]: green powder. Yield: 0.5 g (82.3%). M. p. 315 °C. Anal. Calc. for  $C_{30}H_{26}NiN_4O_2$  (MW: 533.25): C, 67.57; H, 4.91; N, 10.51. Found: C, 67.49; H, 4.83; 10.42%. IR (cm−<sup>1</sup> , KBr): 1610 (s, m C=N). MS (EI):  $(m/z) = 533.19$ .

[CuL]: green powder. Yield: 0.49 g (87%). Anal. Calc. for  $C_{30}H_{26}CuN_4O_2$  (MW: 537.14): C, 66.96; H, 4.87; N, 10.41. Found: C, 66.79; H, 4.71; N, 10.32. EI-MS  $(m/z)$ : 537.09 IR  $(KBr, cm^{-1})$ : 1606  $\nu$  (C=N).

### 3. Result and discussion

We prepared the hexadentate Schiff base ligand with a  $N_4O_2$ donor set by condensation of 1,4-bis(2-aminophenyl) piperazine, with 2-hydroxy-benzaldehyde in a 1:2 ratio. Elemental analysis, IR, mass,  $^{1}$ H and  $^{13}$ C NMR spectroscopy were used to characterize the formation of the ligand (L). The infrared spectrum shows a strong absorption band at  $\sim$ 1618 cm<sup>-1</sup>, assigned to the C=N stretching vibration, and with the absence of bands due to  $C=O$  and  $NH<sub>2</sub>$  stretching vibrations, indicates the formation of the Schiff-base ligand. The mass spectrum of the ligand shows the expected peak at 476.4  $m/z$ . The Ni(II), Co(II) and Cu(II) complexes were prepared by direct reaction of the ligand and metal salts in a 1 : 1 ratio in methanol and characterized by mass and IR spectroscopy and by elemental analysis. In the IR spectra the imine stretching bands found for the Ni(II),  $Co(\Pi)$  and  $Cu(\Pi)$  complexes,  $1610\,\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ,  $1611\,\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$  and  $1606\,\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ , respectively, were shifted to lower frequency compared to the free ligand  $(1618 \text{ cm}^{-1})$ 

consistent with the coordination of the imine nitrogen to the metal ions. Furthermore, there no absorption bands in the 3400–3200 cm<sup>-1</sup> region, due O–H stretching bands, confirming that the deprotonation of the phenol had occurred. Considering the great similarity of the IR spectra of the complexes, it can be concluded that their structure is similar. Also, the calculated spectra of the ligand and complexes were almost identical with the experimental spectra (Fig. S1†–S8). The UV-visible absorption spectra of the ligand and its complexes were measured in 10−<sup>4</sup> M DMSO solutions at room temperature. The intense bands 266 nm of the ligand, may be assigned to  $\pi \to \pi^*$  transitions of the azomethine. The other peak which has appeared in the 310 nm region of the ligand spectrum is attributed to  $n \rightarrow$  $\pi^*$  transition. In the spectra of the related complexes, the bands due to the ligand ( $\pi \to \pi^*$  and  $n \to \pi^*$  transitions) are still pronounced with a small shift in position with respect to the corresponding bands in the free ligand. In addition, a charge transfer band at 400–437 nm has been also observed in the electronic spectra of the ligand and complexes. The band at 483–494 nm in electronic spectra of the complexes can be attributed to MLCT (Fig. S9–S12†).

The magnetic susceptibility of the complexes was measured at room temperature. Co-L effective magnetic moment value  $(\mu_{\text{eff}} = 4.23 \text{ B.M.})$  is typical of high-spin d7 systems with three unpaired electrons. The Ni–L complex is a low spin (with d8 configuration) paramagnetic moiety because its magnetic moment is  $(\mu_{\text{eff}} = 2.6 \text{ B.M.})$ .

In the  $^1\mathrm{H}$  NMR spectrum of the ligand (L) exhibits peaks for the aromatic hydrogen atoms, in the range of  $\delta$  6.55–7.88, and peaks for the aliphatic hydrogen atoms in the range of  $\delta$  2.55– 2.86 ppm. The signal exhibited at 8.62 ppm in the spectra has been assigned to the imine protons and the signal at 13.43 ppm has been assigned to the OH protons (Fig. S13†). <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum of the ligand (L) shows peaks ranging from  $\delta$  115.8 to 149.80 for the carbons of the aromatic ring and peaks in the range of  $\delta$  55.8 to 58.8 for the aliphatic carbons. The signal at 160.6 ppm is assigned to the carbon of  $C=N$  group while the signal at 161.4 ppm is assigned to the carbon attached to the OH group (Fig. S14†).

### 3.1. X-ray structure

The structure of [CoL] is almost identical to those found for [2,2'-{(piperazine-1,4-diyl)bis[(2,1-phenylene)(azanylylidene) methanylylidene]}bis(6-methoxyphenolato)]-cobalt $(\text{II})$   $[\text{Col}^1]$ ,<sup>44</sup> and [2,2'-{(piperazine-1,4-diyl)bis[(2,1-phenyl-

ene)(azanylylidene)methanylylidene]}bis(4-nitrophenolato)] cobalt $\text{(II)}$   $\text{[Col}^2\text{]}^{45}$  cobalt complexes of the hexadentate ligand that have either methoxy groups or nitro groups, respectively, attached to the phenol rings. In the present compound the cobalt atom lies on a 2-fold axis, so that the asymmetric unit comprises half the molecule. As with  $[CoL<sup>1</sup>]$  and  $[CoL<sup>2</sup>]$ , the cobalt atom is in a distorted trigonal prismatic coordination environment (Fig. 1 and 2), surrounded by the six donor atoms of the deprotonated hexadentate ligand. The absence of a counter ion and the values of the Co–O and Co–N bond lengths are consistent with the oxidation state being  $Co(\Pi)$ . The atoms N1, N2 and O1<sup>*i*</sup> and O1, N1<sup>*i*</sup> and N2<sup>*i*</sup> (symmetry code *i*:  $-x$ ,  $y, \frac{1}{2} - z$ ) form the two triangular faces, which are almost coplanar, the dihedral angle being 6.81(8)°, while the angles between the rectangular faces are  $56.21(4)^\circ$ ,  $61.90(3)^\circ$  and 61.90(3)°. Continuous Shape Measure (CShM) calculations, based on a trigonal prismatic geometry, $47$  give a value of 2.536 (compared to 2.099 for  $\left[ \mathrm{CoL}^1 \right]$  and 1.249 for  $\left[ \mathrm{CoL}^2 \right]$ ). The Co–O distances are 1.9769(9) Å, while the Co-N<sub>piperazine</sub> distances,



Fig. 1 ORTEP<sup>46</sup> diagram of [CoL], ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level. Hydrogen atoms have been omitted for clarity; symmetry code *i*:  $-x$ , y,  $\frac{1}{2} - z$ .



Fig. 2 ORTEP<sup>46</sup> diagram of [CoL], ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level for Co, O and N atoms and at the 10% probability level for carbon atoms. Hydrogen atoms have been omitted for clarity; symmetry code *i*:  $-x$ , *y*,  $\frac{1}{2}$  – *z*.

2.2874(11) Å, are longer than the Co- $N_{\text{imine}}$  distances of 2.1228(11) Å, similar to that observed for  $[CoL<sup>1</sup>]$ . Trigonal prismatic coordination for metal complexes is rare,<sup>48</sup> a search of the Cambridge Structural Database (CSD Version 5.43 June 2022 Update)<sup>49</sup> found only 11 cobalt(II) structures with a  $N_2O_4$ trigonal prismatic coordination environment, other than  $\text{[Col^1]}$ and  $[CoL<sup>2</sup>]$ , (out of a total of 151 trigonal prismatic Co complexes), only one of which, ((2,2'-((piperazine-1,4-diyl)bis( propane-3,1-diyl(nitrilo)methylylidene))bis(6-methox-

yphenolato))-cobalt $(n)$ ,<sup>50</sup> had a N<sub>3</sub>O donor set in each of the rectangular faces, as found here for the present complex. The cobalt atom lies in the plane of the atoms of the two (aminomethyl)phenol groups, while the 5-membered metallocyclic rings are in an envelope conformation with the Co atom lying out of the plane of the other 4 atoms. The dihedral angle between the two (aminomethyl)phenol groups is 59.71(3)° while the dihedral angle between the diaminophenyl rings is  $72.34(5)$ °. There are no hydrogen bonds in the structure.

#### 3.2. Theoretical studies

The optimized structures of the ML  $(M = Co, Ni and Cu)$ complexes, at the B3lyp and BP86 levels with the Def2-TZVP basis set, are shown in Fig. 3; the starting point for the calculations were the crystal structure coordinates of the  $Co(II)$ complex. The calculations show that the optimized structure of the CoL complex is similar to its X-ray structure, in which the two oxygen atoms and four nitrogen atoms of the macroacyclic ligand are coordinated to the Co atom in a distorted



Fig. 3 Optimized structures of ML ( $M = Co$ , Ni and Cu) complexes at the BP86 levels with Def2-TZVP basis set.

trigonal-prismatic environment. Selected calculated bond lengths and bond angles of optimized structures of ML  $(M =$ Co, Ni and Cu) complexes and corresponding experimental values obtained from the X-ray crystal are reported in Table 3.

A comparison between experimental and calculated bond lengths values for CoL complex show that there is a good agreement between the experimental and calculated values using both B3lyp and BP86, but that BP86 shows slightly better agreement than B3lyp (Table 3). For example, as can be seen in Table 1, the calculated bond length values using BP86 for the  $Co \leftarrow O$  and  $Co \leftarrow N2$  bonds are about 0.031 Å and 0.065 Å greater than experimental values, respectively, while the Co $\leftarrow$ N1 bond length is similar to the experimental value. For B3lyp the values for the Co $\leftarrow$ O, Co $\leftarrow$ N2 and Co $\leftarrow$ N1 bond lengths are about 0.031 Å, 0.096 Å and 0.039 Å greater than experimental values, respectively. A comparison of the experimental and calculated bond angles for CoL show small differences. For example, the calculated values of the bond angles differ from the corresponding experimental values by around 0.09°– 3.5° for BP86 and around 0.48°–7.7° for B3lyp. A can also be seen in Table 3, changing the M atom from  $Co(\Pi)$  to Ni $(\Pi)$  and Cu(II), leads to a decrease in the values of the M $\leftarrow$ O and M $\leftarrow$ N1 bond lengths and an increase in the values of the  $M \leftarrow N2$ bond length. Therefore, values of the  $M \leftarrow N2$  bond lengths in the Ni( $\pi$ ) and Cu( $\pi$ ) complexes are very large and thus outside the range of the usual  $M \leftarrow N$  bonds, which shows that these complexes can have a square planar geometries. The QTAIM and NBO analyses were also used to characterize the type and nature of  $M \leftarrow O$  and  $M \leftarrow N$  bonds in the ML (M = Co, Ni and Cu) complexes. The results of AIM and NBO analyses were summarized in Table 4. The NBO results for all complexes studied here, demonstrate that the M  $(M = Co, Ni \text{ and } Cu)$ atom has a positive charge which is an acceptor atom and two oxygen atoms and four nitrogen atoms of the macroacyclic ligand as donor atoms have negative charges. As can be seen, Paper<br>
March 2023. Downloaded on 22 March 2023. Downloaded on 22 March 2023. Downloaded the common and the second under a common and the second under a creative Common and Second Unported Unported Unported Uncorrelated Un

Table 3 The experimental and calculated important bond lengths  $(\hat{A})$  and bond angles (°) of ML (M = Co, Ni and Cu) complexes



Table 4 Natural charges of M, N and O atoms, Wiberg bond indices (WBI) and the topological properties calculated at bond critical points of M–L bonds in ML (M = Co, Ni and Cu) complexes at the BP86/def2-TZVP level of theory



the amount of the charge transfer from the ligand to metal ions are about 1.12 e, 1.40 e and 1.11 e in the CoL, NiL and CuL complexes, respectively. These results also show that in the above complexes, maximum charge transfer occurs between M and L atoms in  $M \leftarrow O$  and  $M \leftarrow N1$  bonds and minimum charge transfer occurs in  $M \leftarrow N2$  bond. The Wiberg bond indices (WBIs) for are also reported in Table 4. The largest

values of WBI are attributed to  $M \leftarrow O$  and  $M \leftarrow N1$  bonds and the smallest ones are attributed to  $M \leftarrow N2$  bonds. Also, the AIM analysis was used to investigate the bond critical points (BCPs) at  $M \leftarrow O$  and  $M \leftarrow N$  bonds bond for above complexes. The topological properties calculated at bond critical points for  $M \leftarrow O$  and  $M \leftarrow N$  bonds are listed in Table 4. The AIM results show that there is a good agreement between the



Fig. 4 Graphical representation of the cytotoxicity of the synthesized compounds against (A) lung (A549) and (B) gastric (AGS) cancer cell lines obtained by MTT assay.

amounts of electron density  $\rho(r_c)$  of M $\leftarrow$ O and M $\leftarrow$ N bonds with calculated WBI in above complexes. Also, the amounts of  $\nabla^2 \rho(r_c)$  and  $-Gc/Vc$  for the M←O and M←N bonds show that the nature of these bonds is partly covalent (of  $\nabla^2 \rho(r_{\rm c})$  > 0 and  $-Gc/Vc < 1$ ).

#### 3.3. Cytotoxicity in vitro

MTT assay was used to analyze the cytotoxicity of the synthesized compounds against lung (A549) and gastric (AGS) cell lines. The reduction of the yellow MTT by the mitochondrial dehydrogenase's enzymatic activity was followed by analyzing the cell viability. Fig. 4 illustrates the percentage of the cell viability of the comparative cytotoxicity patterns The  $IC_{50}$  values of the complexes, the amount of material that kills 50% of the cells, was measured from the dose-survival curves for the growth inhibition of the two cell lines (Table 5). A comparison of the  $IC_{50}$  values shows that AGS cells (average IC<sub>50</sub> value; 6.48  $\mu$ M) are more chemosensitive than the A549 cells (average IC<sub>50</sub> value; 25.46  $\mu$ M) and that Cu–L has the highest efficiency in stopping the growth of both cell lines. In addition, while the  $IC_{50}$  values of all three compounds against AGS cells are similar, the cytotoxic potency of CuL against the A549 cell line is much greater than found for the other two complexes. Accordingly, all three complexes may warrant further investigation as therapeutic agents against AGS cell lines, while it would appear that only CuL may warrant further investigation against A549 cell lines. In this regard, as lung cancer is the leading cause of death through the world, causing 1.6 million deaths with ∼1.8 million new cases each year, new therapeutic agents against lung cancer are needed.<sup>51,52</sup> These values are much lower than the values found for  ${\rm [Col^2]}$  (73),  $[NiL<sup>2</sup>]$  (67), and  $[CuL<sup>2</sup>]$  (67),<sup>45</sup> indicating that the absence of nitro groups in the present ligand has signicantly enhanced the cytotoxic potency. **Paper**<br> **Society** constants of the chosen connections, the arrows are commented on 2<sup>2</sup> million connections are connections and the common and th



Ni-L 7.29 $\pm$ 0.24 Cu-L $5.01 \pm 0.19$	
Co-L 7.14 $\pm$ 0.21	$29.32 \pm 0.6$ $13.86 \pm 0.91$ $33.21 \pm 1.02$

Table 6 The Antioxidant activity of the synthesized compounds and two standards (ascorbic acid and quercetin)



Table 7 Antibacterial activity of synthesized compounds and four antibiotics against selected bacterial strains

	Inhibition zone (mm)					
Sample	B. subtilis	S. aureus	E. coli	K. oxytoca		
Ni	17	19.3	22.5	24.3		
Co	14.5	17	19	22.5		
Cu	22	23.8	25.8	27.5		
Penicillin	13	13	18	15		
Ampicillin	14	16	12	14		
Vancomycine	17	15	23	21		
Tetracycline	21	22	27	23		
Methanol	Nа	Na	Na	Na		

#### 3.4. Antioxidant property

The antioxidant proficiency of the synthesized compounds was studied by carrying out the DPPH' (2,2-diphenyl-2-picryl-hydrazyl) free radical scavenging technique and the results were reported in terms of radical scavenging percentage and  $IC_{50}$  values. Table 6 shows the antioxidant activity of all complexes along with standards, ascorbic acid, and quercetin. It can be seen that the compounds displayed moderate to significant antioxidant activity ranging from 36.6 to 79.7% with  $IC_{50}$  values of 0.33 to 0.56 mg  $mL^{-1}$  which were less than the standards ascorbic acid and quercetin. The effectiveness of the samples as DPPH radical scavengers were in the order: Cu (IC<sub>50</sub> = 0.33 mg mL<sup>-1</sup>) > Ni (IC<sub>50</sub>  $= 0.39 \text{ mg} \text{ mL}^{-1}$ ) > Co (IC<sub>50</sub> = 0.56 mg mL<sup>-1</sup>) indicating that the presence of Cu in Schiff base complexes can be effective in producing antioxidant activity in lower concentrations. These  $IC_{50}$ values are, on average, higher than the values found for  $\text{[Col}^2\text{]}$  $(0.20)$ , [NiL<sup>2</sup>]  $(0.40)$ , and [CuL<sup>2</sup>]  $(0.19)$ ,<sup>45</sup> indicating that the absence of nitro groups in the present ligand has signicantly reduced the antioxidant activity of the complexes.

#### 3.5. Antibacterial activity

Antibacterial activity studies of the complexes were carried out by the in vitro disc diffusion method. All compounds were screened against two Gram-positive bacterial strains Staphylococcus aureus (S. aureus), Bacillus subtilis (B. subtilis), and two Gram-negative bacteria strain Escherichia coli (E. coli), and Klebsiella oxytoca (K. oxytoca). The antibacterial activities of the synthesized compounds, presented in Table 7, are greater against the Gramnegative bacteria than towards the Gram-positive ones. This behavior can be attributed to the structures of bacteria cell wall which results in different rates of diffusion of the compounds into the cells. A large part of cell walls in the Gram-positive bacteria consists of thick layers of peptidoglycan, which is a more rigid structure than the Gram-negative bacteria which has a much thinner layer of peptidoglycan. Based on the obtained results, the Cu-complex (with average inhibition zone: 24.8 mm) has the most effect on both Gram-negative and -positive bacteria. B. subtilis (+) showed the most resistance, and K. oxytoca ( $-$ ) was the most sensitive bacteria to the synthesized compounds. Compared to the reference antibiotics the antibacterial activities of synthesized compounds show signicant inhibitory potency against the tested bacteria and that the Cu complex has shown greater antibacterial activity than the other complexes.

### 4. Conclusions

We have prepared a new hexadentate  $N_4O_2$  Schiff-base ligand (L) derived from the condensation of 1,4-bis(2-aminophenyl) piperazine with 2-hydroxy-benzaldehyde and prepared the Ni( $\pi$ ), Cu( $\pi$ ) and Co( $\pi$ ) complexes the direct reaction of the ligand with the metal perchlorates. The ligand and complexes were characterized by IR and Mass spectroscopy, elemental analysis and by the determination of the single crystal X-ray structure of [CoL]. In this complex, the cobalt is in a distorted trigonal prismatic coordination environment, surrounded by the six donor atoms of the deprotonated hexadentate ligand, similar to the other complexes with the ligands containing methoxy or nitro substitutents on the phenol rings.44,45 The geometries of  $[ML]$  ( $M = Co$ , Ni and Cu) complexes were fully optimized using the B3lyp and BP86 levels of theory with def2- TZVP basis set. The results show that the CoL complex studied here has the distorted trigonal-prismatic geometry, similar to Xray crystal structure of its complex, and  $Ni(II)$  and  $Cu(II)$ complexes can have the square planar geometries. Therefore there are possible structures of square planar for  $Ni(n)$  and  $Cu(n)$ complexes. The nature of the  $M \leftarrow O$  and  $M \leftarrow N$  bonds in the ML  $(M = Co, Ni and Cu)$  complexes were determined by QTAIM and NBO analyses. According to the NBO analysis, the maximum values of the Wiberg bond indices and charge transfer are attributed to the  $M \leftarrow O$  and  $M \leftarrow N1$  bonds in NiL complex. The topological properties that were calculated confirm the covalent character of the  $M \leftarrow O$  and  $M \leftarrow N$  bonds in the [ML] complexes. (CuL) illustrated its greater toxicity properties than other complexes. The antibacterial studies have shown that the complex [CuL] has the greatest activity, on both on Gramnegative and Gram-positive bacteria, with E. coli  $(-)$  being the most sensitive bacteria to  $\lbrack \textrm{CuL} \rbrack$  while *S. aureus*  $(+)$  was the most resistant bacteria. The antioxidant activity of the synthesized compounds showed that, at high concentrations, the complex [CuL], had a similar scavenging activity to quercetin. Also [CuL] illustrated its greater toxicity properties than other complexes. The absence of nitro groups in the present ligand, compared to the complexes with the ligand containing nitro groups,<sup>45</sup> has enhanced the cytotoxic potency of the complexes but lowered the antioxidant activity. **PSC** Advances Area original show significant inhibitor poiency **References** Articles. The results and the results are completely and the the commons are complete the entire of the theoretical 3.4 American and A. Charles

### Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

### Acknowledgements

We are grateful to the Faculty of Chemistry of Bu Ali Sina University, for financial support. This research was undertaken, in part, using the MX2 beamline at the Australian Synchrotron, part of ANSTO, Victoria, Australia, and made use of the Australian Cancer Research Foundation (ACRF) detector.

### References

- 1 M. Rezaeivala and H. Keypour, Coord. Chem. Rev., 2014, 280, 203–253.
- 2 B. K. Panda and A. Chakravorty, J. Organomet. Chem., 2005, 690, 3169–3175.
- 3 K. R. Krishnapriya and M. Kandaswamy, Polyhedron, 2005, 24, 113–120.
- 4 K. N. Kumar and R. Ramesh, Polyhedron, 1999, 18, 1561– 1568.
- 5 J. M. Lehn, Supramolecular Chemistry Concepts and Perspectives, Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, Germany, 1st edn, 1995.
- 6 B. Belghoul, I. Maier, A. Weiterlich, A. Toutianoush, A. Raman Rabindranath and B. Tieke, Langmuir, 2007, 23, 5062–5069.
- 7 B. K. Tripuramallu, R. Kishore and S. K. Das, Polyhedron, 2010, 29, 2985–2990.
- 8 R. E. Mewis and S. J. Archibald, Coord. Chem. Rev., 2010, 254, 1686–1712.
- 9 C. X. Ding, J. Ni, Y. H. Yang, S. W. Ng, B. W. Wang and Y. S. Xie, CrystEngComm, 2012, 14, 7312–7319.
- 10 L. D. S. Yadav and S. Singh, Indian J. Chem., Sect. B: Org. Chem. Incl. Med. Chem., 2001, 40, 440–442.
- 11 H. Zaiyin, L. Yi, Q. Songsheng and F. Ying, Thermochim. Acta, 1998, 320, 121–126.
- 12 Z. Guo, R. Xing, S. Liu, Z. Zhong, X. Ji, L. Wang and P. Li, Carbohydr. Res., 2007, 342, 1329–1332.
- 13 Z. H. A. El-Wahab and M. R. El-Sarrag, Spectrochim. Acta, Part A, 2004, 60, 271–277.
- 14 M. Wang, L. F. Wang, Y. Z. Li, Q. X. Li, Z. D. Xu and D. M. Qu, Transition Met. Chem., 2001, 26, 307–310.
- 15 A. Th. Chaviara, P. J. Cox, K. H. Repana, R. M. Papi, K. T. Papazisis, D. Zambouli, A. H. Kortsaris, D. A. Kyriakidis and C. A. Bolos, J. Inorg. Biochem., 2004, 98, 271–1283.
- 16 M. M. Omar, G. G. Mohamed and A. A. Ibrahim, Spectrochim. Acta, Part A, 2009, 73, 358–369.
- 17 K. Shanker, R. Rohini, V. Ravinder, P. M. Reddy and Y. Ho, Spectrochim. Acta, Part A, 2009, 73, 205–211.
- 18 X. Qiao, Z.-Y. Ma, C.-Z. Xie, F. Xue, Y.-M. Zhang, J.-Y. Xu, Z.-Y. Qiang, D.-S. Lou, G.-J. Chen and S.-P. Yan, J. Inorg. Biochem., 2011, 5, 728–737.
- 19 C. X. Ding, C. Gao, S. W. Ng, B. W. Wang and Y. S. Xie, Chem. – Eur. J., 2013, 19, 9961–9972.
- 20 A. Pal, B. Biswas, S. K. Mondal, C.-H. Lin and R. Ghosh, Polyhedron, 2012, 31, 671–675.
- 21 K. Rissanen, J. Huuskonen and A. Koskinen, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1993, 771–772.
- 22 H. Keypour, M. Rezaeivala, L. Valencia and P. Perez-Lourido, Polyhedron, 2008, 27, 3172–3176.
- 23 H. Keypour, M. Rezaeivala, L. Valencia, S. Salehzadeh, P. Perez-Lourido and H. Khavasi, Polyhedron, 2009, 28, 3533–3541.
- 24 H. Keypour, M. Rezaeivala, L. Valencia, S. Salehzadeh, P. Perez-Lourido and A. H. Mahmoudkhani, Polyhedron, 2009, 28, 3415–3418.
- 25 H. Keypour, M. Rezaeivala, L. Valencia and P. Perez-Lourido, Polyhedron, 2009, 28, 4096–4100.
- 26 H. Keypour, N. Rahpeyma, N. Arzhangi, M. Rezaeivala, Y. Elerman, O. Buyukgungor and L. Valencia, Polyhedron, 2010, 29, 144–1148.
- 27 D. Aragão, J. Aishima, H. Cherukuvada, R. Clarken, M. Clift, N. P. Cowieson, D. J. Ericsson, C. L. Gee, S. Macedo, N. Mudie, S. Panjikar, J. R. Price, A. Riboldi-Tunnicliffe, R. Rostan, R. Williamson and T. T. Caradoc-Davies, J. Synchrotron Radiat., 2018, 25, 885–891.
- 28 W. Kabsch, Acta Crystallogr., 2010, D66, 125–132.
- 29 O. V. Dolomanov, L. J. Bourhis, R. J. Gildea, J. A. K. Howard and H. Puschmann, J. Appl. Crystallogr., 2009, 42, 339–341.
- 30 G. M. Sheldrick, Acta Crystallogr., 2015, A71, 3–8.
- 31 G. M. Sheldrick, Acta Crystallogr., 2015, C71, 3–8.
- 32 A. D. Becke, J. Chem. Phys., 1993, 98, 5648–5652.
- 33 A. D. Becke, Phys. Rev. A, 1988, 38, 3098–3100.
- 34 J. P. Perdew, Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys., 1986, 33, 8822–8824.
- 35 F. Weigend and R. Ahlrichs, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys., 2005, 7, 3297–3305.
- 36 M. J. Frisch, G. W. Trucks, H. B. Schlegel, G. E. Scuseria, M. A. Robb, J. R. Cheeseman, G. Scalmani, V. Barone, B. Mennucci, G. A. Petersson, H. Nakatsuji, M. Caricato, X. Li, H. P. Hratchian, A. F. Izmaylov, J. Bloino, G. Zheng, J. L. Sonnenberg, M. Hada, M. Ehara, K. Toyota, R. Fukuda, J. Hasegawa, M. Ishida, T. Nakajima, Y. Honda, O. Kitao, H. Nakai, T. Vreven, J. A. Montgomery Jr, J. E. Peralta, F. Ogliaro, M. Bearpark, J. J. Heyd, E. Brothers, K. N. Kudin, V. N. Staroverov, T. Keith, R. Kobayashi, J. Normand, K. Raghavachari, A. Rendell, J. C. Burant, S. S. Iyengar, J. Tomasi, M. Cossi, N. Rega, J. M. Millam, M. Klene, J. E. Knox, J. B. Cross, V. Bakken, C. Adamo, J. Jaramillo, R. Gomperts, R. E. Stratmann, O. Yazyev, A. J. Austin, R. Cammi, C. Pomelli, J. W. Ochterski, R. L. Martin, K. Morokuma, V. G. Zakrzewski, G. A. Voth, P. Salvador, J. J. Dannenberg, S. Dapprich, A. D. Daniels, O. Farkas, J. B. Foresman, Published Access Articles. Published Access Articles. Published on 22 March 2023. Downloaded on 22 March 2023. Downloaded on 22 March 2023. Downloaded under a Creative Commons Articles. The method of the summercial 3.0 Ame
	- J. V. Ortiz, J. Cioslowski and D. J. Fox, GAUSSIAN 09, Revision D.01, Gaussian, Inc., Wallingford, CT, 2013.
	- 37 R. F. W. Bader, Atoms in Molecules: A Quantum Theory, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1990.
	- 38 R. F. W. Bader, AIM2000 program package, Ver. 2.0, McMaster University, Hamilton, Canada, 2000.
	- 39 E. D. Gladdening, A. E. Reed, J. A. Carpenter and F. Weinhold, NBO Version 3.1.
	- 40 L. L. Mensor, F. S. Menezes, G. G. Leitão, A. S. Reis, T. C. dos Santos, C. S. Coube and S. G. Leitão, Phytother. Res., 2001, 15(2), 127–130.
	- 41 M. B. Hursthouse, M. E. Light and I. A. Fallis, CSD Communication, Private Communication 218006, 2003.
	- 42 A. J. Hataway and D. E. Underhill, J. Chem. Soc., 1961, 3091.
	- 43 W. J. Geary, Coord. Chem. Rev., 1971, 7, 81.
	- 44 M. M. Keypour, F. Forouzandeh, R. Azadbakht, J. Khanabadi and M. A. Moghadam, J. Mol. Struct., 2021, 1232, 130024.
	- 45 A. Tafazzoli, H. Keypour, S. H. M. Farida, Z. Ahmadvand and R. W. Gable, J. Mol. Struct., 2023, 1276, 134770.
	- 46 C. K. Johnson and M. N. Burnett, ORTEPIII. Report ORNL-6895, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Tennessee, USA, 1996.
	- 47 M. Llunell, D. Casanova, J. Cirera, J. Bofill, P. Alemany, S. Alvarez, M. Pinsky and D. Avnir, SHAPE v. 2.1. Program for the Calculation of Continuous Shape Measures of Polygonal and Polyhedral Molecular Fragments, University of Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain, 2013.
	- 48 E. Cremades, J. Echeverría and S. Alvarez, Chem.-Eur. J., 2010, 34, 10380.
	- 49 C. R. Groom, I. J. Bruno, M. P. Lightfoot and S. C. Ward, Acta Crystallogr., 2016, B72, 171–179.
	- 50 Y. Peng, T. Bodenstein, K. Fink, V. Mereacre, C. E. Anson and A. K. Powell, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys., 2016, 18, 30135.
	- 51 H. Keypour, M. Mahmoudabadi, A. Shooshtari, M. Bayat, F. Mohsenzadeh and R. W. Gable, J. Mol. Struct., 2018, 1155, 196.
	- 52 H. Keypour, M. T. Rezaei, M. Jamshidi, S. H. Moazzami Farida and R. Karamian, Inorg. Chem. Commun., 2021, 125C, 108443.