# **RSC** Advances



View Article Online

View Journal | View Issue

## PAPER

Check for updates

Cite this: RSC Adv., 2023, 13, 2213

Received 28th November 2022 Accepted 23rd December 2022 DOI: 10.1039/d2ra07554q

rsc.li/rsc-advances

### Introduction

**Ca/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> dual functional materials**<sup>†</sup> Lingcong Li,<sup>a</sup> Ziyang Wu,<sup>a</sup> Shinta Miyazaki,<sup>a</sup> Takashi Toyao, <sup>D</sup><sup>a</sup> Zen Maeno <sup>\*\*</sup> and Ken-ichi Shimizu <sup>\*\*</sup>

Although Ni–Ca-based dual functional materials (DFMs) have been examined for CO<sub>2</sub> capture and reduction with H<sub>2</sub> (CCR) for the synthesis of CH<sub>4</sub>, their performance has generally been investigated using single reactors in an oxygen-free environment. In addition, continuous CCR operations have scarcely been investigated. In this study, continuous CCR for the production of CH<sub>4</sub> was investigated using a double reactor system over Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-supported Ni–Ca DFMs in the presence of O<sub>2</sub>. We found that a high Ca loading (Ni(10)–Ca(30)/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, 10 wt% Ni, and 30 wt% CaO) was necessary for reaction efficiency under isothermal conditions at 450 °C. The optimized DFM exhibited an excellent performance (46% CO<sub>2</sub> conversion, 45% CH<sub>4</sub> yield, and 97% CH<sub>4</sub> selectivity, respectively) and good stability over 24 h. The structure and CCR activity of Ni(10)–Ca(30)/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> were studied using X-ray diffraction (XRD), scanning transmission electron microscopy (STEM), energy-dispersive X-ray spectrometry (EDS), temperature-programmed desorption (TPD), and temperature-programmed surface reaction (TPSR) techniques.

Continuous CO<sub>2</sub> capture and methanation over Ni-

As a major component of greenhouse gases,  $CO_2$  is a significant contributor to global warming. To reduce  $CO_2$  emissions and establish a low-carbon society, carbon capture and utilization (CCU) strategies, including  $CO_2$  capture and reduction (CCR) using  $H_2$  or hydrocarbons, provide valuable approaches.<sup>1</sup> Among these,  $CO_2$  capture and methanation is an effective protocol for the synthesis of carbon-neutral  $CH_4$ .<sup>2</sup> Alkali and alkaline earth metals are widely used for  $CO_2$  capture, owing to their high capacity for  $CO_2$  uptake and the ability to form metal carbonates. To promote carbonate hydrogenation, transition metals, such as Ru, Pt, Fe, Ni, and Cu are utilized. Thus, dual functional materials (DFMs) consisting of alkali/earth alkaline metal salts and transition metal species have attracted considerable interest in recent years.<sup>3</sup>

CaO is generally used for CO<sub>2</sub> capture, and Ni is particularly promising owing to its low price.<sup>4</sup> Therefore, Ni–Ca DFMs hold promise for CO<sub>2</sub> capture and methanation processes. For example, Yang *et al.*<sup>5</sup> found that CO<sub>2</sub> conversion and CH<sub>4</sub> selectivity were higher with the use of Ni/CaO–Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> than with that of Ni/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> as a result of surface coverage by CO<sub>2</sub>-derived species on the CaO–Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> surface. Alipour *et al.*<sup>6</sup> reported that

the capacity for CO<sub>2</sub> capture was improved noticeably when CaO, MgO, or BaO was loaded onto a Ni/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst. Bermejo-Lopez et al.4 investigated the effect of Ni content in DFMs on CCR using CaO as a sorbent. They established that the maximum CH<sub>4</sub> formation (142  $\mu$ mol g<sup>-1</sup>) at 520 °C was achieved using 15Ni15Ca (15 wt% Ni, and 15 wt% CaO). Sun et al.7 studied the effect of the interactions between the Ni active sites and CaO sorbents on the CCR process. They found that CO<sub>2</sub> conversion and CH<sub>4</sub> selectivity using 1% Ni/CeO<sub>2</sub>-CaO, obtained by physical mixing of 1% Ni/CeO<sub>2</sub> and CaO in a mass ratio of 1:1, increased significantly to 62% and 84%, respectively, when the distance between the catalytic sites and sorbents was increased to a suitable scale. Although CCR over DFMs aims to utilize diluted CO<sub>2</sub> in air or flue gas, most previous studies on Ni-based DFMs were conducted under O2free conditions.4-7 Recently, Kuramoto and co-workers8 studied the CCR activity of Ni-based DFMs comprising Na, K, or Ca, in the presence of oxygen. They found that when the operational pressure was increased from 0.1 to 0.9 MPa using 400 ppm  $CO_{2}$ , CH<sub>4</sub> production over Ni-Na/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> increased from 111 to 160  $\mu$ mol g<sup>-1</sup>. Although pressure elevation effectively enhances the CCR performance, mild reaction conditions are preferable from an economic viewpoint.

Recently, we developed DFMs comprising Na-modified Pt NPs on  $Al_2O_3$  as effective DFMs for  $CO_2$  capture in the presence of  $O_2$  and reduction with  $H_2$  to generate CO.<sup>9</sup> A continuous CCR operation was achieved using a double reactor system, whereby the valves on the top and bottom were controlled to switch the gas supply. This protocol was proposed by Urakawa *et al.* in the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Institute for Catalysis, Hokkaido University, N-21, W-10, Sapporo 001-0021, Japan. E-mail: kshimizu@cat.hokudai.ac.jp

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup>School of Advanced Engineering, Kogakuin University, 2665-1, Nakano-cho, Hachioji, 192-0015, Japan. E-mail: zmaeno@cc.kogakuin.ac.jp

<sup>†</sup> Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: https://doi.org/10.1039/d2ra07554g

View Article Online Paper

first time.<sup>10</sup> In this study, continuous CCR was investigated using Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-supported Ni–Ca DFMs. We optimized the loading amounts of Ni and Ca and found that a high Ca loading (Ni = 10 wt% and CaO = 30 wt%, Ni(10)–Ca(30)/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>) was optimal for CCR under isothermal conditions at 450 °C. Characterization of the high Ca-content Ni–Ca/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> DFM revealed the formation of a Ca–Al mixed oxide phase derived from the mayenite (Ca<sub>12</sub>Al<sub>14</sub>O<sub>33</sub>) structure.<sup>11</sup> The impact of mixed oxide formation on CO<sub>2</sub> adsorption and desorption, as well as on the hydrogenation of adsorbed CO<sub>2</sub> was discussed.

### Experimental

#### **DFM preparation**

Ni-Ca/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> DFMs were synthesized using the wetness impregnation method. The  $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> support was obtained by calcination of boehmite (y-AlOOH, Sasol Chemicals) at 900 °C for 3 h. An appropriate amount of aqueous  $Ca(NO_3)_2 \cdot H_2O$  (AR 98.5%, FUJIFILM Wako Pure Chemical Corporation) was stirred for 3 h with calcined  $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> for impregnation (Ca: 6, 20, 30, 40, and 50 wt%). The resultant suspension of Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and Ca(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> was then evaporated at 50 °C using a vacuum pump, followed by drying at 100 °C overnight. The Ca/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> support was obtained after calcination at 600 °C for 2 h. Next, Ca-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> was impregnated with  $Ni(NO_3)_2 \cdot 6H_2O$  (AR > 99%, FUJIFILM Wako Pure Chemical Corporation) (Ni: 1, 5, 10, and 15 wt%) as follows. A Ca/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> suspension in Ni precursor solution was stirred at room temperature for 30 min, and then the mixture was evaporated, dried, and calcined, as described for the Ca-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> support preparation procedure. Finally, Ni-Ca/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> DFMs with varying Ca loadings were obtained, denoted as  $Ni(x) - Ca(y)/Al_2O_3$ (where *x* and *y* are the loadings of Ni and Ca, respectively).

For the synthesis of Ca<sub>12</sub>Al<sub>14</sub>O<sub>33</sub>, mixed aqueous solutions of Ca(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>·H<sub>2</sub>O and  $\gamma$ -AlOOH in a molar ratio of 12:7 were continuously stirred at room temperature for 3 h and then evaporated at 50 °C using a vacuum pump, followed by drying at 100 °C overnight. The dried powder was calcined at 1050 °C for 2 h to obtain Ca<sub>12</sub>Al<sub>14</sub>O<sub>33</sub>. Finally, Ni/Ca<sub>12</sub>Al<sub>14</sub>O<sub>33</sub> was obtained using the above-described procedure for the synthesis of Ni–Ca/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> DFMs.

#### Characterization

Powder X-ray diffraction (XRD) measurements were carried out on a Rigaku MiniFlex II/AP diffractometer with Cu K $\alpha$  radiation. High-Angle Annular Dark Field Scanning Transmission Electron Microscopy (HAADF-STEM) images were recorded on a FEI Titan G2 microscope equipped with an energy dispersive X-ray (EDX) analyzer. The specific surface area was calculated using Brunauer–Emmet–Teller (BET) theory over the range  $P/P_0 = 0.1$ – 0.3. Temperature programmed desorption of carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub> TPD) was performed on a vertical quartz fixed-bed flow reactor connected with a mass spectrometer (Microtrac BEL Corp.). A 100 mg of sample was put on quartz wool in the middle of the reactor. The reactor set in an electric tube furnace and purged under N<sub>2</sub> flow (95 mL min<sup>-1</sup>) for 30 min at 450 °C, and then cool down the sample to room temperature. Next, the 1%  $CO_2/N_2$  (100 mL min<sup>-1</sup>) mixed gases fed into the reactor for 30 min and then flowed N<sub>2</sub> for 15 min. After that, the TPD profile was obtained by heating the sample from 30 to 650 °C in N<sub>2</sub> flow with elevating temperature by 10 °C min<sup>-1</sup>. Temperature program surface reaction (TPSR) was performed on the same equipment as described for TPD measurement. First, sample with 100 mg was put on quartz wool in the middle of the reactor. The reactor set in an electric tube furnace and pretreatment under 10% H<sub>2</sub>/N<sub>2</sub> flow (100 mL min<sup>-1</sup>) for 30 min at 450 °C. The sample was cooled down to room temperature in subsequently. Next, 1%  $CO_2/10\% O_2/N_2$  (100 mL min<sup>-1</sup>) flow was fed into the reactor for 30 min and then flowed N<sub>2</sub> for 15 min. Finally, TPSR profile was obtained by heating the sample from 30 to 650 °C by 10 °C min<sup>-1</sup> with 5% H<sub>2</sub>/N<sub>2</sub> flow (100 mL min<sup>-1</sup>).

#### **Continuous CCR operation**

CCR was performed in continuous separated fixed-bed flow reactors (Fig. 1). The similar reaction system has been developed in our previous study.9 Two vertical quartz reactors were utilized (reactors A and B), and 100 mg of the sample was placed on quartz wool in the middle of the reactor. Two sides of the tube were filled with sea sand. Both reactors were equipped with the same DFM and placed in an electric tube furnace. Reactors were heated to 500 °C under N<sub>2</sub> flow (90 mL min<sup>-1</sup>), followed by the introduction of 10%  $H_2/N_2$  (100 mL min<sup>-1</sup>) for pretreatment over 20 min at 500 °C. The two timer-control 4-way valves were switched simultaneously to continuously collect the effluent gases containing uncaptured CO<sub>2</sub> (effluent 1) and generated CO and  $CH_4$ , and desorbed  $CO_2$  (effluent 2) from each outlet line. The compositions of effluents 1 and 2 were monitored employing Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) spectroscopy (JASCO FT/IR-4600) using gas cells. The background spectrum was acquired once the temperature decreased to 450 °C after H<sub>2</sub> pretreatment followed by N<sub>2</sub> purging. Next, 100 mL min<sup>-1</sup> of 1%  $CO_2/10\% O_2/N_2$  was fed to reactor A for 30 s, and then the gas feed was switched to 100 mL min<sup>-1</sup> of pure H<sub>2</sub> for 30 s. Reactor B underwent reverse treatment; thus, 100 mL min<sup>-1</sup> of pure H<sub>2</sub> was fed into reactor B for 30 s, and then the gas was switched to 100 mL min<sup>-1</sup> of 1% CO<sub>2</sub>/10% O<sub>2</sub>/N<sub>2</sub> for 30 s. CCR was also conducted using a single reactor for comparison. Thus, only one reactor was equipped with a DFM (100 mg) and the other reactor was filled with pure sea sand. The subsequent steps implemented for the single reactor were the same as those described for the continuous operation of the double reactor system. The CO<sub>2</sub> capture amount  $(q_{CO_2})$ , amount of generated CO and CH<sub>4</sub> (denoted as  $q_{CO}$ ,  $q_{CH_4}$ , respectively), selectivity for CO (Sel<sub>CO</sub>), and conversion of captured  $CO_2$  (Conv<sub>capCO<sub>2</sub></sub>) were calculated as follows:

$$q_{\rm CO_2}(\text{mmol } g^{-1}) = \frac{\int_0^{t_a} \left[ F_{\rm CO_2}^{\rm in}(t) - F_{\rm CO_2}^{\rm out}(t) \right] dt}{m}$$
(1)

$$q_{\rm CO} \left(\mu \text{mol } \mathrm{g}^{-1}\right) = \frac{\int_0^{t_{\rm H}} F_{\rm CO}^{\rm out}(t) \mathrm{d}t}{m} \tag{2}$$



Fig. 1 Diagram of the continuous-operation CCR double reactor system.

$$q_{\rm CH_4} \; \left(\mu \rm{mol} \; g^{-1}\right) = \frac{\int_0^{t_{\rm H}} F_{\rm CH_4}^{\rm out}(t) dt}{m} \tag{3}$$

$$\operatorname{Sel}_{\rm CO}(\%) = \frac{q_{\rm CO}}{q_{\rm CH_4} + q_{\rm CO}} \times 100 \tag{4}$$

$$Conv_{capCO_2}(\%) = \frac{q_{CO} + q_{CH_4}}{q_{CO_2}} \times 100$$
(5)

where  $F_{CO_2}^{in}$  and  $F_{CO_2}^{out}$  are the CO<sub>2</sub> molar flow rates at the column inlet and outlet, respectively;  $F_{CO}^{out}$  and  $F_{CH_4}^{out}$  are the CO and CH<sub>4</sub> molar flow rates at the column outlet, respectively; *m* is the DFM

mass;  $t_a$  is the duration of the adsorption stage;  $t_H$  denotes the duration of the H<sub>2</sub> reduction process.

## **Results and discussion**

DFMs comprising different loading amounts of Ni and Ca on Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> were screened to determine the optimal composition for the continuous CCR system. First, the effect of Ca content was studied, while the Ni loading was kept constant at 10 wt% (CaO: 0, 6, 20, 30, and 40 wt%). As shown in Fig. 2, Ni(10)/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> without Ca adsorbed a moderate amount of  $CO_2$  (132 µmol g<sup>-1</sup>), but CH<sub>4</sub> production was low (2  $\mu$ mol g<sup>-1</sup>). The CO<sub>2</sub> capture amount gradually increased from 228 to 390  $\mu$ mol g<sup>-1</sup> as the Ca content increased from 6 to 40 wt% whereas CH4 formation was the highest (153  $\mu$ mol g<sup>-1</sup>) for Ni(10)–Ca(30)/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>. This result illustrates the importance of optimizing the Ca loading on Ni- $Ca/Al_2O_3$  DFMs. The  $CO_2$  conversion,  $CH_4$  yield, and  $CH_4$ selectivity in CCR using Ni(10)-Ca(30)/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> were 46%, 45%, and 97%, respectively. These values were comparable with those for reported Ni–Ca DFMs (Table S1<sup>+</sup>). Next, Ni(x)–Ca(30)/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> containing varying Ni loadings (Ni: 5, 10, and 20 wt%) were also prepared and tested for continuous CCR (Fig. 2). A Ni loading of 10 wt% was found to be optimal for both CO<sub>2</sub> adsorption and CH<sub>4</sub> formation. Thus, the optimal loading amounts of Ca and Ni were 30 and 10 wt%, respectively, for continuous CCR operation under isothermal conditions at 450 °C. Furthermore, 30 wt% CaO was loaded onto  $Ni(10)/Al_2O_3$  and then applied to continuous CCR to investigate the effect of the Ni and Ca loading sequence (Fig. 2). The amounts of  $CO_2$  captured and CH<sub>4</sub> formed over Ca(30)–Ni(10)/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> were 217 and 64  $\mu$ mol g<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. These values are lower than those for Ni(10)- $Ca(30)/Al_2O_3$  (340 and 153 µmol g<sup>-1</sup>), suggesting the importance of the loading sequence of Ni and Ca onto Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>. Thus, Ni(10)-Ca(30)/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> was applied in further investigations in this study.

Next, the comparison of continuous CCRs using double and single reactor systems using Ni(10)–Ca(30)/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> is shown in



Fig. 2 Effluent gas compositions for continuous CCR operation over Ni(x)–Ca(y)/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> with varying Ca and Ni loadings. Conditions: 100 mg sample for each reactor, 450 °C, 100 mL min<sup>-1</sup> of 1% CO<sub>2</sub>/10% O<sub>2</sub>/N<sub>2</sub> for 30 s, then switched to 100 mL min<sup>-1</sup> of H<sub>2</sub> for 30 s.



Fig. 3 XRD patterns of (a)  $Al_2O_3$ ,  $Ca(30)/Al_2O_3$ , and  $Ca_{12}Al_{14}O_{33}$  without Ni loading; (b) calcined Ni(10)/Al\_2O\_3, Ni(10)-Ca(30)/Al\_2O\_3 and Ni/  $Ca_{12}Al_{14}O_{33}$ ; (c) reduced Ni(10)/Al\_2O\_3 and Ni(10)-Ca(30)/Al\_2O\_3; (d) calcined and reduced Ca(30)-Ni(10)/Al\_2O\_3.

Fig. S1.<sup>†</sup> The effluent concentration profiles of uncaptured  $CO_2$ , generated  $CH_4$  and CO, and desorbed  $CO_2$  during continuous CCR are shown in Fig. S1a.<sup>†</sup> The concentration of uncaptured

 $CO_2$  ranged from 1100 to 2200 ppm. The highest concentration of formed  $CH_4$  was 4600 ppm, with a selectivity of 97%, and the amount of CO formed was <150 ppm. The concentration of



Fig. 4 STEM images and EDS mapping of (a) Ni(10)/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and (b) Ni(10)-Ca(30)/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>.

Paper



Fig. 5 CO<sub>2</sub> TPD profiles for Ni(10)/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, Ca(30)/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, Ni(10)–Ca(30)/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, Ca<sub>12</sub>Al<sub>14</sub>O<sub>33</sub>, and Ni(10)/Ca<sub>12</sub>Al<sub>14</sub>O<sub>33</sub>. Conditions: 100 mg of DFM, N<sub>2</sub> pretreatment at 450 °C for 30 min, followed by cooling down to room temperature and capture using 1% CO<sub>2</sub>/N<sub>2</sub> (100 mL min<sup>-1</sup>), and then an increase in temperature to 650 °C under pure N<sub>2</sub> (100 mL min<sup>-1</sup>).

desorbed  $CO_2$  ranged from 1300 to 2300 ppm. In the single reactor system containing Ni(10)–Ca(30)/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (Fig. S1b†), the concentration of uncaptured  $CO_2$  (100–7500 ppm) was higher than that in the double reactors. The desorbed  $CO_2$  in the single reactor ranged from 200 to 2700 ppm, whereas the highest concentrations of  $CH_4$  (680 ppm) and CO (40 ppm) were considerably lower than those in the double reactors. These results indicate that  $CO_2$  capture and methanation efficiency were significantly improved using a double reactor system.

Fig. 3a shows XRD patterns of  $Al_2O_3$  and  $Ca(30)/Al_2O_3$  without Ni. Peaks at 19.6°, 31.9°, 37.6°, 39.5°, 45.8°, 60.5°, and 66.8° were observed for the  $Al_2O_3$  support, assignable to the  $\gamma$ - $Al_2O_3$  phase (JCPDS No. 50-0741). For  $Ca(30)/Al_2O_3$ , peaks arising from the CaO (JCPDS No. 37-1497) and CaCO<sub>3</sub> (JCPDS No. 17-0763) phases were observed. In addition, the diffraction pattern of  $Ca_{12}Al_{14}O_{33}$ (mayenite, JCPDS No. 48-1882) appeared, and the  $\gamma$ - $Al_2O_3$  phase almost disappeared, suggesting that  $\gamma$ - $Al_2O_3$  was possibly converted to  $Ca_{12}Al_{14}O_{33}$ . A pure  $Ca_{12}Al_{14}O_{33}$  phase was also synthesized using calcium nitrate and boehmite as precursors in

a suitable stoichiometric ratio and calcination at 1050 °C. The XRD patterns indicated that the prepared sample largely comprised Ca12Al14O33 (Fig. 3a) and a very small amount of CaO. This verified the formation of the Ca<sub>12</sub>Al<sub>14</sub>O<sub>33</sub> structure over Ca(30)/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>. Fig. 3b shows the XRD patterns of Ni-loaded Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, Ca(30)/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, and Ca<sub>12</sub>Al<sub>14</sub>O<sub>33</sub>. For calcined Ni(10)/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, in addition to the Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> peaks, a peak appeared at 43.3°, which was attributed to the (200) facet of NiO (JCPDS No. 47-1049). A comparison of the XRD patterns of Ni(10)/Al2O3 and Ni(10)-Ca(30)/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> revealed that the intensity of the NiO peak was higher for the latter, indicating that Ca-loaded Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> promoted NiO crystal growth. Notably, the Ca<sub>12</sub>Al<sub>14</sub>O<sub>33</sub> phase was not detected after Ni loading, whereas the CaO and CaCO<sub>3</sub> phases were still present in Ni(10)-Ca(30)/Al2O3. For Ni(10)/Ca12Al14O33, the diffraction peaks assignable to the Ca<sub>12</sub>Al<sub>14</sub>O<sub>33</sub> phase decreased dramatically, and a new phase did not appear. These results suggest that Ni loading likely induced the transformation of the Ca12Al14O33 phase to amorphous structures. After H2 reduction at 500 °C, the Ni metal phase (JCPDS No. 04-0850) appeared in both Ni(10)/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and Ni(10)-Ca(30)/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (Fig. 3c), indicating that NiO was converted to Ni metal under H<sub>2</sub> flow. When 10 wt% of Ni was supported on Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> first, followed by the introduction of 30 wt% of CaO onto Ni/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> Ca(30-Ni(10)/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>), a diffraction pattern indicative of CaNiO<sub>3</sub> (ID: mvc-3998) was observed with peaks assignable to CaO, CaCO<sub>3</sub>, and Ca<sub>12</sub>Al<sub>14</sub>O<sub>33</sub> phases (Fig. 3d). The CaNiO<sub>3</sub> phase was maintained after H<sub>2</sub> treatment at 500 °C, and peaks attributable to Ni metal did not appear, suggesting that Ca-Ni composite oxides are difficult to reduce to Ni metal at CCR operation temperature (450 °C). The formation of CaNiO<sub>3</sub> possibly led to a decrease in the amount of Ni active species, resulting in the inferior CCR performance of Ca(30)-Ni(10)/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> compared to that of Ni(10)–Ca(30)/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>.

The specific surface areas of Ni(10)/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, Ni(10)–Ca(30)/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, and Ca(30)/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> were 154, 37, and 34 m<sup>2</sup> g<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. Ni(10)–Ca(30)/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and Ca(30)/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> have similar specific surface areas, which are appreciably lower than that of Ni(10)/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>. This is possibly due to the structural transformation of  $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> to Ca<sub>12</sub>Al<sub>14</sub>O<sub>33</sub> phase. The similar surface area values were (20–40 m<sup>2</sup> g<sup>-1</sup>) obtained in the solid-phase



**Fig. 6** TPSR profiles of (a)  $CO_2$  and (b)  $CH_4$  for Ni(10)/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, Ni(10)–Ca(30)/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, and Ni (10)/Ca<sub>12</sub>Al<sub>14</sub>O<sub>33</sub>. Conditions: 100 mg of DFM, H<sub>2</sub> pretreatment at 450 °C for 30 min, followed by cooling to room temperature and capture under 1%  $CO_2/10\% O_2/N_2$  (100 mL min<sup>-1</sup>), and then an increase in temperature to 650 °C under 5% H<sub>2</sub>/N<sub>2</sub> (100 mL min<sup>-1</sup>).



Fig. 7 Effluent gas composition during continuous CCR operation over Ni(10)–Ca(30)/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> using a decreased CO<sub>2</sub> concentration of 1000 ppm in the gas mixture. Conditions: 100 mg of DFM, 450 °C, 100 mL min<sup>-1</sup> of 0.1% CO<sub>2</sub>/10% O<sub>2</sub>/N<sub>2</sub> for 30 s, switched to 100 mL min<sup>-1</sup> of H<sub>2</sub> for 30 s.

synthesis of  $Ca_{12}Al_{14}O_{33}$  in the previous literature.<sup>12</sup> The morphology and distribution of the Ni nanoparticles on Ni(10)/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and Ni(10)–Ca(30)/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> were characterized using STEM and EDS mapping (Fig. S2† and 4). In the case of Ni(10)/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, the Ni nanoparticles were dispersed on Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> with an average size of 6.9 nm (Fig. S2†), whereas for Ni(10)–Ca(30)/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, the Ni nanoparticles were aggregated and the particle size increased (Fig. 4), which is consistent with the XRD results.

CO<sub>2</sub> TPD measurements were conducted to explore the CO<sub>2</sub> desorption properties of Ni(10)/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, Ca(30)/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, Ni(10)–Ca(30)/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, Ca<sub>12</sub>Al<sub>14</sub>O<sub>33</sub>, and Ni(10)/Ca<sub>12</sub>Al<sub>14</sub>O<sub>33</sub> (Fig. 5). Ni(10)/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> gave rise to two desorption peaks at 80 and 160 °C, possibly arising from the physical adsorption of CO<sub>2</sub> onto the surface of Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>. In contrast, the TPD profiles for Ni(10)–Ca(30)/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and Ca(30)/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> displayed a dominant CO<sub>2</sub> desorption peak during the temperature increase from 400 to 600 °C. A similar desorption peak was observed for Ni(10)/Ca<sub>12</sub>Al<sub>14</sub>O<sub>33</sub> and Ca<sub>12</sub>Al<sub>14</sub>O<sub>33</sub>, implying that this CO<sub>2</sub> desorption was derived from adsorbed CO<sub>2</sub> over Ca–Al mixed oxides.

TPSR measurements were conducted using Ni(10)/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, Ni(10)–Ca(30)/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, and Ni(10)/Ca<sub>12</sub>Al<sub>14</sub>O<sub>33</sub> to obtain insights into the formation of CH<sub>4</sub> through the reduction of adsorbed  $CO_2$  with  $H_2$  (Fig. 6). Fig. 6a shows the  $CO_2$  desorption peaks during reduction with H<sub>2</sub>. Compared to the CO<sub>2</sub> TPD profiles (Fig. 5), the temperature for the  $CO_2$  desorption peaks over  $Ni(10)/Al_2O_3$  was similar to that of the  $CO_2$  adsorption peaks, while for Ni(10)–Ca(30)/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and Ni(10)/Ca<sub>12</sub>Al<sub>14</sub>O<sub>33</sub>, the CO<sub>2</sub> desorption peaks were nearly absent at temperatures above 400 °C. Fig. 6b shows the  $CH_4$  (m/z = 16) formation profiles. For  $Ni(10)/Al_2O_3$ , the CH<sub>4</sub> formation temperature was similar to that of CO<sub>2</sub> desorption, implying that once the adsorbed CO<sub>2</sub> species were desorbed, the desorbed CO<sub>2</sub> was converted to CH<sub>4</sub> through reduction with H2. For Ni(10)-Ca(30)/Al2O3 and Ni(10)/  $Ca_{12}Al_{14}O_{33}$ , the CH<sub>4</sub> formation peaks were clearly observed at 225, 290, and 345 °C, being lower than the temperature range for CO<sub>2</sub> desorption in their CO<sub>2</sub> TPD profiles. This strongly indicates that the adsorbed CO2 species were directly converted to CH<sub>4</sub>. Additional CH<sub>4</sub> formation peaks were observed at 175 and 520 °C for Ni(10)–Ca(30)/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>. The CH<sub>4</sub> formation peak below 200 °C resulted from the activity of Ni supported on Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, and the peak at 520 °C possibly appeared due to the Ni supported on calcium oxide or carbonate species. Considering the CCR operation temperature of 450 °C, the Ni species



Fig. 8 Long-term continuous CCR operation using the double reactor system for 24 h. Conditions: 300 mg of Ni(10)–Ca(30)/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> for each reactor, 450 °C, 100 mL min<sup>-1</sup> of 1% CO<sub>2</sub>/10% O<sub>2</sub>/N<sub>2</sub> for 30 s, switched to 100 mL min<sup>-1</sup> of H<sub>2</sub> for 30 s.

supported on amorphous Ca–Al mixed oxides most likely played the dominant role in the reaction. The performance of Ni/  $Ca_{12}Al_{14}O_{33}$  in continuous CCR verified this hypothesis, whereby high CO<sub>2</sub> capture and CH<sub>4</sub> formation concentrations were obtained (Fig. S3<sup>†</sup>).

Regarding the utilization of low-concentration  $CO_2$ , we decreased the flow rate of  $CO_2$  in the mixed gases from 1%  $CO_2$ /  $10\% O_2/N_2$  to 0.1% CO<sub>2</sub>/10% O<sub>2</sub>/N<sub>2</sub> (100 mL min<sup>-1</sup>) over Ni(10)-Ca(30)/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>. As shown in Fig. 7, the concentration of uncaptured CO<sub>2</sub> was approximately 350 ppm. The highest concentration of produced CH<sub>4</sub> was 965 ppm; the concentrations of both the produced CO and desorbed CO<sub>2</sub> were below 30 ppm. The conversion of captured CO<sub>2</sub> and the selectivity for CH<sub>4</sub> were 94% and 95%, respectively, indicating that Ni(10)-Ca(30)/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> favored the conversion of low concentrations of CO<sub>2</sub>. A longterm CCR operation experiment was also conducted using 300 mg of Ni(10)-Ca(30)/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> with flowing 1% CO<sub>2</sub>/10% O<sub>2</sub>/N<sub>2</sub> for 24 h (Fig. 8). Initially, the produced  $CH_4$  concentration ranged from 3200 to 7800 ppm. After 24 h, the highest concentration of CH4 was 7400 ppm. The highest CO and uncaptured CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations were below 150 and 250 ppm, respectively, for all the reaction times. The desorbed CO<sub>2</sub> concentration ranged from 0 to 1500 ppm in the whole reaction process. Meanwhile, after reaction for 24 h, the CO<sub>2</sub> conversion and CH<sub>4</sub> yield were stable at 60% and 59%, respectively. These results indicate that the Ni(10)-Ca(30)/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> DFM not showed obvious deterioration in the CCR performance for at least 24 h, which exhibited a good durability. The effect of 20% water vapor on continuous CCR operation over Ni(10)-Ca(30)/Al2O3 was investigated to evaluate the potential for application to real natural gas power plant effluent (Fig. S4<sup> $\dagger$ </sup>). The uncaptured CO<sub>2</sub> concentration was maintained between 1000 and 2000 ppm; however, the maximum concentration of formed CH<sub>4</sub> decreased to 2700 ppm. Therefore, water removal from exhaust gas is necessary for real-world applications.

## Conclusion

Continuous CO2 capture and methanation reactions over Ni-Ca based DFMs were studied using double reactors in the presence of oxygen. The utilization of a double reactor system increased the amounts of CO<sub>2</sub> captured and CH<sub>4</sub> produced when compared to the performance of a single reactor system. A relatively high Ca loading (30 wt%) in the DFM was found to be the most effective for continuous CCR operation under isothermal conditions at 450 °C; thus, the Ni(10)-Ca(30)/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> sample displayed an excellent activity and good durability, maintaining its high CCR performance for at least 24 h. The XRD results revealed that a high Ca loading on Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> induced the formation of Ca12Al14O33. Subsequent Ni loading resulted in the transformation of Ca<sub>12</sub>Al<sub>14</sub>O<sub>33</sub> into amorphous structures, which was responsible for the favorable performance of Ni(10)- $Ca(30)/Al_2O_3$  as indicated by TPD and TPSR measurements. The order of Ca and Ni introduction also affected the structure of the Ni-Ca DFM and its CCR performance, and better results were obtained when Ni was introduced last.

## Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

## Acknowledgements

This study was financially supported by KAKENHI (Grant No. JP20H02518, JP20H02775, and JP21H04626) from the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (JSPS) and by the Japanese Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science, and Technology (MEXT) within the projects "Integrated Research Consortium on Chemical Sciences (IRCCS)". This study was also supported by the JST-CREST project JPMJCR17J3 and obtained from a project, "Moonshot Research and Development Program" (JPNP18016), commissioned by the New Energy and Industrial Technology Development Organization (NEDO). Z. M. thanks a JACI Prize for Encouraging Young Researcher. L. L. acknowledges a JSPS postdoctoral fellowship (No. P22049). S. M. acknowledges a JST SPRING fellowship (No. JPMJSP2119). The authors sincerely thank the technical division of the Institute for Catalysis (Hokkaido University) for manufacturing experimental equipment as well as the technical staff at the Open Facility of Hokkaido University for their assistance.

## References

- 1 S. Sun, H. Sun, P. T. Williams and C. Wu, *Sustainable Energy Fuels*, 2021, **5**, 4546–4559.
- 2 J. Lin, C. Ma, Q. Wang, Y. Xu, G. Ma, J. Wang, H. Wang,
  C. Dong, C. Zhang and M. Ding, *Appl. Catal.*, B, 2019, 243, 262–272.
- 3 I. S. Omodolor, H. O. Otor, J. A. Andonegui, B. J. Allen and A. C. Alba-Rubio, *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.*, 2020, **59**, 17612– 17631.
- 4 A. Bermejo-Lopez, B. Pereda-Ayo, J. A. Gonzalez-Marcos and J. R. Gonzalez-Velasco, *J. CO*<sub>2</sub> *Util.*, 2019, **34**, 576–587.
- 5 W. Yang, Y. Feng and W. Chu, *Int. J. Chem. Eng.*, 2016, **2016**, 1–8.
- 6 Z. Alipour, M. Rezaei and F. Meshkani, J. Ind. Eng. Chem., 2014, 20, 2858–2863.
- 7 H. Sun, Y. Wang, S. Xu, A. I. Osman, G. Stenning, J. Han, S. Sun, D. Rooney, P. T. Williams, F. Wang and C. Wu, *Fuel*, 2021, 286, 119308.
- 8 F. Kosaka, Y. Liu, S. Y. Chen, T. Mochizuki, H. Takagi, A. Urakawa and K. Kuramoto, *ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng.*, 2021, **9**, 3452–3463.
- 9 L. Li, S. Miyazaki, S. Yasumura, K. W. Ting, T. Toyao, Z. Maeno and K. Shimizu, ACS Catal., 2022, 12, 2639–2650.
- 10 L. F. Bobadilla, J. M. Riesco-García, G. Penelás-Pérez and A. Urakawa, *J. CO<sub>2</sub> Util.*, 2016, **14**, 106–111.
- 11 A. R. Keshavarz and M. Soleimani, *Res. Chem. Intermed.*, 2018, 44, 1485–1503.
- 12 C. Sriwong, C. Phrompet, W. Tuichai, A. Karaphun, K. Kurosaki and C. Ruttanapun, *Sci. Rep.*, 2022, **10**, 11077.