Biomolect Biomolecular Chemistry

PAPER

Cite this: Org. Biomol. Chem., 2023, 21, 2754

Synthesis and evaluation of NHC derivatives and 4'-fluorouridine prodrugs†

Li Xiang,^{a,b} Tia[nwe](http://orcid.org/0000-0001-9679-9934)n Hu, ^{b,c} Haitao Xue, ^{b,c} Wenfang Pan, ^{b,c} Yuanchao Xie^{*d} and Jingshan Shen D *a,b,c

β-D-N⁴-Hydroxycytidine (NHC) derivatives with structural modifications at the C₄', O₄' or C₆ position and 4'-fluorouridine prodrugs were synthesized and evaluated for their antiviral activities against respiratory syncytial virus (RSV) or influenza virus (IFV) in vitro. The NHC derivatives were found inactive, but 4'-fluorouridine and its prodrugs had potent anti-RSV and anti-IFV activities. 4'-Fluorouridine was proved to be a nucleoside with poor stability, but the tri-ester prodrugs exhibited enhanced stability, especially tri-isobutyrate ester 1a. This prodrug also showed excellent oral pharmacokinetic properties in rats, with potential to be an oral antiviral candidate. PAPER
 EXERCT SUBLICATE SUBLICATE SUBLICATE SUBLICATE SUBLICATE SUBLICATE SUBLICATES SUB

Received 21st February 2023, Accepted 2nd March 2023 DOI: 10.1039/d3ob00268c

rsc.li/obc

Introduction

The emergence or re-emergence of epidemic viruses poses a serious threat to human health and economic security, exemplified by SARS-CoV-2 which has been circulating for nearly three years since the global outbreak in early 2020 .¹ Using small-molecule antiviral agents is one of the most effective measures to cope with viral infections. As a well-known class of antiviral agents, nucleoside analogs that function by targeting the conserved active site of viral polymerase have been of research interest in the discovery of antiviral drugs. In recent years, two nucleoside drugs, remdesivir² and molnupiravir,³ used for treating COVID-19 have been marketed, and their application may be further expanded due to their broad-spectrum antiviral properties.^{4,5}

β-D-N4 -Hydroxycytidine (NHC, EIDD-1931), a cytidine analog, is the parent nucleoside of molnupiravir (EIDD-2801) (Fig. 1). During the catalysis of cellular kinases, it is converted to NHC triphosphate, which can substitute for cytidine triphosphate or uridine triphosphate by the SARS-CoV-2 RNA dependent RNA polymerase (RdRp) to embed in the growing RNA chain, thus resulting in a lethal mutation.⁶ NHC also demonstrates antiviral activities against multiple other RNA viruses,

including Ebola virus, 7 Venezuelan equine encephalitis virus (VEEV), 8 respiratory syncytial virus (RSV) and influenza A virus (IAV) .⁹ In BALB/cJ mice infected with RSV or IAV, oral administration of NHC significantly reduced the viral load in the lungs and alleviated disease biomarkers. This nucleoside showed favorable oral pharmacokinetic (PK) properties in mice and ferrets.⁹

From a chemical perspective, NHC bearing a hydroxylamine group at the base C_4 position is very similar to cytidine and uridine, and able to form hydrogen bonds with adenosine and guanosine, respectively. Because of the high structural similarity, viral polymerase is unable to discriminate NHC triphosphate from natural pyrimidine nucleoside triphosphates, and therefore accepts it as a substrate for viral RNA elongation. For the design of antiviral nucleoside analogs, we envisaged that large structural changes in natural nucleosides may have a negative impact on the antiviral activity. Accordingly, in this study, we designed and synthesized several NHC derivatives with minor structural modifications, including 4′-fluorination, replacement of the 4′-oxygen atom of ribose with a sulfur atom, and replacement of the C_6 carbon atom with a nitro atom in the base moiety of NHC. To our disappointment,

[†]Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1039/d3ob00268c) [10.1039/d3ob00268c](https://doi.org/10.1039/d3ob00268c)

Fig. 1 The structures of NHC and molnupiravir.

^aSchool of Chinese Materia Medica, Nanjing University of Chinese Medicine, Nanjing 210023, P. R. China. E-mail: shenjingshan@simm.ac.cn

^bState Key Laboratory of Drug Research, Shanghai Institute of Materia Medica, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Shanghai 201203, P. R. China

^cUniversity of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, P. R. China

d Lingang Laboratory, Shanghai 200031, P. R. China.

E-mail: xieyuanchao@lglab.ac.cn

Organic & Biomolecular Chemistry Paper

these nucleoside derivatives did not show inhibitory effects against IFV or RSV. However, 4′-fluorouridine tri-isobutyrate which was used for the synthesis of 4′-fluoro-substituted NHC was found to be a potent replication inhibitor against IFV. We further synthesized several ester prodrugs of 4′-fluorouridine and evaluated their antiviral activities, chemical stability in buffer solution and PK properties, which provided tri-isobutyrate ester 1a as a promising oral antiviral candidate.

Results and discussion

Synthesis of NHC derivatives and 4′-fluorouridine prodrugs

The synthetic approach towards compounds 1a–d is shown in Scheme 1. The methods for introducing a fluorine atom into the C4′ position of ribonucleosides have been well documented.10–¹² Starting from uridine 5, the 5′-hydroxyl group was readily converted into iodine through an Appel reaction, and the obtained intermediate 6 was subjected to elimination in the presence of sodium methoxide $(CH₃ONa)/\text{metha-}$ nol to yield 7. The synthesis of the key intermediate 10 involved three sequential steps: iodofluorination, isobutyrylation and oxidation. The isobutyrylation of the 5′-hydroxyl group of 10 afforded tri-isobutyrate ester 1a, which subsequently underwent hydroxylamination at the C_4 position of the base moiety to provide 1b in 18% yield using 2,4,6-triisopropylbenzenesulfonyl chloride (TPSCl), 4-dimethylaminopyridine (DMAP), triethylamine, and hydroxylamine hydrochloride. Compound 1c was obtained in the same way. We tried to synthesize 1b by direct hydroxylamination of 1c by following a recently reported method, 13 but it was not Organic & Biomolecular Chemistry

Were access Article consider the intervention in the base of the mean of the intervention when the same of the same

achieved. The reaction gave complicated products which was mainly due to the low stability of the 4′-fluorine skeleton when heated. The removal of the isobutyryl groups of 1b was performed with 0.2 equiv. of potassium carbonate in methanol, but we did not obtain the pure product 1d because it was easily decomposed during the purification process.

The synthesis of 2a–c is shown in Scheme 2. Compound 12 was synthesized starting from 2,3,5-tri-O-benzyl-p-ribonolactone 11 according to reported methods.¹⁴ The reaction of 12 with uracil under Vorbruggen glycosylation reaction conditions yielded an anomeric mixture 13 (α : β = 1:1) in 85% overall yield. After debenzylation with $BCI₃$, the obtained crude was purified by silica gel column chromatography to give β-nucleoside 2a in 76% yield. Compound 2a was then esterified with isobutyric anhydride, followed by hydroxylamination using the aforementioned method to give 2b in 54% yield over two steps. After the removal of the isobutyryl group, compound 2c was obtained.

The 6-azauridine derivatives 3a–d were synthesized as shown in Scheme 3. The reaction of commercial 6-azauracil 16 with peracylated sugar 15 yielded β-nucleoside 17 when using the general glycosylation method. Compound 17 was treated with phosphorus oxychloride/ Et_3N to generate an active intermediate, which then reacted with hydroxylamine hydrochloride and ammonia to give 3a and 3c, respectively. The removal of the acetyl group of 3a and 17 in ammonia methanol solution afforded nucleosides 3b and 3d that were solidified in methyl tert-butyl ether (MTBE).

Five ester prodrugs (4a–e) of 4′-fluorouridine were synthesized, and the routes are shown in Scheme 4. The 2′ and 3′ hydroxyl groups of intermediate 8 were protected with benzyl-

Scheme 1 Reagents and conditions: (i) PPh₃, I₂, pyridine, 0 °C to RT, 67%; (ii) CH₃ONa, MeOH, 67 °C, 57%; (iii) NIS, Et₃N·3HF, acetonitrile, 0 °C to RT, 77%; (iv) isobutyric anhydride, DMAP, Et₃N, CH₂Cl₂, RT, 47%; (v) TBAOH, TFA, m-CPBA, CH₂Cl₂, RT, 52%; (vi) isobutyric anhydride, DMAP, Et₃N, CH₂Cl₂, 0 °C to RT, 98%; (vii) TPSCl, DMAP, Et₃N, NH₂OH·HCl, CH₂Cl₂, 0 °C to RT, 18%; (viii) TPSCl, DMAP, Et₃N, 25% NH₃·H₂O, CH₂Cl₂, 0 °C to RT, 14%.

Scheme 2 Reagents and conditions: (i) (a) uracil, HMDS, (b) TMSOTf, acetonitrile, −10 °C to RT, 85%; (ii) BCl₃, CH₂Cl₂, −70 °C to −30 °C, 76%; (iii) isobutyric anhydride, DMAP, Et₃N, CH₂Cl₂, RT, 85%; (iv) (a). 2,4,6-Triisopropylbenzenesulfonyl chloride, DIPEA, DMAP, CH₂Cl₂, 0 °C to RT, (b). hydroxylamine hydrochloride, DIPEA, 64%; (v) NH₃/MeOH, RT, 80%.

Scheme 3 Reagents and conditions: (i) (a) (NH₄)₂SO₄, HMDS, 120 °C, (b) SnCl₄, CH₂Cl₂, RT, 64.5%; (ii) POCl₃, Et₃N, hydroxylamine hydrochloride, acetonitrile, 0 °C to RT, 17.3%; (iii) NH₃/MeOH, RT, 60.2%; (iv) POCl₃, Et₃N, 25% NH₃·H₂O, acetonitrile, 0 °C to RT, 45%; (v) NH₃/MeOH, RT, 60.2%.

oxycarbonyl (Cbz) to give 18, followed by oxidation to yield intermediate 19. The condensation of 19 with N-Boc-L-valine and isobutyric anhydride under general reaction conditions gave 20 and 21, respectively. After the removal of the protecting groups, compounds 4a and 4b were obtained. Notably, more than 50% of 4a was found to be decomposed after being stored for 30 days at room temperature in a sealed tube. The 4′-fluorine substituted uridine 22 was prepared by following the reported procedure¹⁵ and acetylation of 22 with acetic anhydride smoothly gave triacetate 4c. The treatment of 8 with 1,1′-carbonyldiimidazole in THF afforded 23 in a good yield, and compound 4d was obtained from 23 by the same reaction used for 19. Compound 4e was easily synthesized from intermediate 10 by condensation with N-Boc-L-valine and Boc-deprotection.

Antiviral activity assays

The antiviral activities of the synthesized compounds were evaluated by the cytopathic effect (CPE) assay against RSV (ATCC VR-26 strain) and IFV (H1N1 strain) in Hep-2 cells and MDCK cells, respectively. Data were expressed as the inhibition rate, EC_{50} (concentrations reducing viral replication by 50%) and CC_{50} (concentrations decreasing cell viability by 50%) values. The results are summarized in Table 1.

In the beginning, we designed and synthesized compounds 1b, 2c and 3b as NHC derivatives all possessing a C_4 hydroxylamine group. Compound 1b was a tri-isobutyrate ester of 4′ fluorine-substituted NHC; 2c and 3b were a 4′-thionucleoside and a 6-azanucleoside, respectively. In our study, NHC was

Scheme 4 4a: Reagents and conditions: (i) benzyl chloroformate, 1-methylimidazole, CH₂Cl₂, 0 °C to RT, 97%; (ii) TBAOH, TFA, CH₂Cl₂, 0 °C to RT, 32%; (iii) N-Boc-L-valine, HOBT, EDCI, DMAP, CH₂Cl₂, 0 °C to RT, 76%; (iv) (a) TFA, CH₂Cl₂, RT; (b) 10% Pd/C, H₂, ethanol, RT, 68% over two steps; (v) isobutyric anhydride, DMAP, Et₃N, CH₂Cl₂, RT, 70%; (vi) 10% Pd/C, H₂, ethanol, RT, 75%; (vii) acetic anhydride, DMAP, Et₃N, EA, 0 °C to RT, 89%; (viii) 1,1'-carbonyldiimidazole, THF, RT, 51%; (ix) TBAOH, TFA, m-CPBA, CH₂Cl₂, RT, 69%. 4b: (i) N-Boc-L-valine, HOBT, EDCI, DMAP, CH₂Cl₂, 0 °C to RT, 85%; (ii) TFA, CH₂Cl₂, RT, 83%.

found to inhibit the replication of RSV and IFV with an EC_{50} of 4.6 μ M and 0.77 μ M, respectively. However, the three compounds didn't show any inhibitory activity against the two viruses, neither did ester prodrugs 2b and 3a. Previous studies have indicated that the steric conformation of nucleoside triphosphates was crucial for binding with the active site of viral polymerase and being incorporated into the growing chain.¹² We speculated that the absence of antiviral activities of these NHC derivatives was due to the minor structural modifications which likely altered the steric conformation of nucleosides compared with NHC, thus leading to disfavored binding with the viral polymerase. In addition, the loss of antiviral activities may also be related to reduced phosphorylation efficiency.

Compound 2a is a thionucleoside and its phosphoramidate prodrugs were reported to exhibit anti-hepatitis C activity.¹⁶ Recently, a patent disclosed 2a as a potent antiviral agent against SARS-CoV-2 with EC_{50} values in a low micromolar range.17 In our study, 2a was found inactive against RSV and IFV, indicating that this nucleoside may have a narrow antiviral spectrum. 6-Azauridine and 6-azacytidine had been shown to have anti-cancer and antiviral activities.^{18,19} However, we observed that the acetate ester prodrug 3c was inactive against IFV and 6-azauridine 3d was highly cytotoxic to MDCK cells, so these nucleosides were not considered for further evaluation.

The 4'-fluorine nucleoside tri-isobutyrate esters 1a and 1c were found to be highly potent against IFV at a concentration

of 5.0 µM (with inhibition rates of 99.8% and 97.4%, respectively). Moreover, they did not show cytotoxic effects at this concentration. Because of the presence of the 4′-fluorine atom, the 4′-fluoro-nucleosides were likely susceptible to nucleophilic attack, as evidenced by poor stability in $H₂O$ described in previous research.20 In our synthetic study, we found that the stability of 4′-fluorouridine was better than that of the cytidine analog. Therefore, we further synthesized 4′-fluorouridine 22 and its several ester prodrugs with modifications on the hydroxyl groups. Compounds 1a and 4c were two tri-ester prodrugs; 4a and 4b were the 5′-L-valine ester and 5′-isobutyrate ester prodrug, respectively; 4d had a carbonate group masking the 2′ and 3′ hydroxyl groups and 4e contained a 5′-L-valine ester and two isobutyrate ester groups. Nucleoside 22 showed potent antiviral activities against RSV and IFV with an EC_{50} value of 2.32 μ M and less than 0.12 μ M, respectively. All these prodrugs exhibited similar activities with anti-RSV EC_{50} values ranging from 1.13 μ M to 4.90 μ M and anti-IFV EC₅₀ values ranging from less than $0.12 \mu M$ to $0.96 \mu M$. This result suggested that the prodrug moiety could be metabolized in cells to release the parent nucleoside.

Stability studies

4′-Fluorouridine had been shown to be very labile in water and it decomposed slower in phosphate buffer with uracil as the main degradation product. 21 The chemical stability of 4'-fluoro-

		RSV (Hep-2 cells)		IFV (MDCK cells)	
Compd.	Structure	$EC_{50}(\mu M)$	$CC_{50}(\mu M)$	$EC_{50}(\mu M)$	$CC_{50}(\mu M)$
1a	O NH Ω E, Ω	1.13	>10	$\rm 0.34$	>10
1 _b	Ô٠ $HN-OH$ F^{\prime}	-0.1% ^a		2.0% a	
1c	NH ₂ F^{\vee}			97.4% a	
2a	NΗ	-1.6% a		-1.2% a	
2 _b	HO O ÓН HÒ HN-OH			6.1% a	
2c	HN-OH	-8.9% a		6.5% ^a	
3a	HO. Ö HO OH HN-OH			2.0% a	
3 _b	AcO OAc AcO HN-OH	2.0% a		7.5% a	
$3\mathbf{c}$	HO ÓН HO NH ₂			-7.4% a	
$3\mathbf{d}$	AcO ÓAc AcO O			Cytotoxic a	

Table 1 Antiviral activity of the synthesized NHC derivatives and 4'-fluorouridine prodrugs against RSV (ATCC VR-26 strain) and IFV (H1N1 strain) viruses

Organic & Biomolecular Chemistry Paper

^a Inhibition rate at 5 μ M unless other specified. "-" means undetermined.

uridine 22 and four ester derivatives (1a and 4b–d) was determined through the content change by using HPLC in pH 2.0 and 4.0 buffer at 30 °C after 7 days, and the result is shown in Table 2. It was found that compound 22 had poor stability with 45% and 73% decrease in pH 4.0 and pH 2.0 buffer, respectively. Among the four ester derivatives, compound 1a exhibited the best stability profile with only a slight change of the content (0.24% and 0.46% decrease in pH 4.0 and pH 2.0 buffer, respectively). In another experiment, we observed that the level of uracil increased in a nearly linear manner within 7 days for 4′-fluorouridine (22) in pH 2.0 buffer (Fig. 2). Relatively, 4d showed significantly enhanced stability with only

Fig. 2 The stability of (A) 4'-fluorouridine and (B) compound 4d and formation of uracil (U) in pH = 2.0 buffer at 30 °C after 7 days.

^a The vehicle for administration is 5% DMSO + 5% ethanol + 40% PEG400 + 50% saline. ^b The vehicle for administration is 10% DMSO + 10% solutol + 80%(20%HP-β-CD).

a little amount of uracil being generated (1.09% at day 7, ESI†). Based on this result, it could be concluded that modifications of all three hydroxyl groups would greatly improve the stability of the 4′-fluorinated nucleoside.

Pharmacokinetic studies

Given the low chemical stability of 22, we were curious about the pharmacokinetic (PK) properties of this compound. Therefore, we conducted a PK study of 22 and prodrug 1a in SD rats. Compound 22 was administered at an intravenous dose of 5 mg kg⁻¹ and an oral dose of 10 mg kg⁻¹. The oral bioavailability was 82.9% calculated based on the plasma exposure of the parent nucleoside, suggesting that this nucleoside was efficiently absorbed (Table 3). The half-life of the nucleoside after oral administration was 7.75 h which was a little longer than that after intravenous administration (6.09 h). For the oral administration group at 10 mg kg^{-1} , the maximum concentration (C_{max}) reached 2495 ng ml⁻¹ (9.5 µM) which was much higher than the EC_{50} value against IFV and RSV. Generally, compound 22 showed excellent PK properties. In another experiment, we compared the PK parameters of compound 22 with those of 1a at the same molar dose (10 mg kg⁻¹ for 22 and 18 mg kg⁻¹ for 1a). It was pleasing to find that 1a had better oral PK properties than compound 22 in terms of C_{max} , $T_{1/2}$ and AUC_{0-t}.

Conclusions

In summary, we synthesized several NHC derivatives and 4′ fluorouridine prodrugs. The NHC derivatives did not exhibit activities against IFV or RSV in our lab, and innovative work

would be warranted for the discovery of novel nucleoside antiviral agents. Recent research has investigated the therapeutic potential of 4′-fluorouridine against RSV and SARS-CoV-2 infection in animal models.15 However, 4′-fluorouridine has poor chemical stability which may significantly limit its application. Our study presented that after esterification, the chemical stability of the derived 4′-fluorouridine prodrugs was improved. Tri-isobutyrate ester 1a with its excellent stability profile and PK properties has the potential to be a new oral antiviral candidate.

Experimental section

General methods

All reagents were directly used without further purification. All water-sensitive reactions were carried out under anhydrous conditions using raw materials dried in a vacuum drying chamber overnight; the glassware was kept in an oven at 100 °C for several hours and reagents were dried using molecular sieves. The reactions were monitored by TLC with 0.2 mm silica gel plates (HSGF 254). The purification procedure of some compounds was performed by silica gel column chromatography (200-300 mesh). ¹H-NMR spectra and 13 C-NMR spectra were determined on Bruker 400 MHz, 500 MHz or 600 MHz and Bruker 126 MHz, 201 MHz or 151 MHz instruments with TMS as an internal standard, respectively. All of the spectra were obtained with MestReNova software. LRMS was measured on a Finnigan LTQ and HRMS was measured on a 1290–6545 UHPLC-QTOF. The raw materials 5, 15 and 16 were purchased from Shanghai Haohong Scientific Co., Ltd, and intermediate 11 was obtained from Topharman Shanghai Co., Ltd.

Method A: General procedure for the synthesis of prodrugs with anhydrides (isobutyric anhydride and acetic anhydride)

To a solution of raw material in DCM (EA for the synthesis of 4c), triethylamine, DMAP and anhydrides were sequentially added at 0 °C. After stirring at rt until the raw material was completely consumed, the mixture was diluted with DCM and washed successively with aqueous 1 M hydrochloric acid solution and saturated aqueous $NaHCO₃$ solution. The organic layer was dried (Na₂SO₄), filtered and concentrated in vacuo. The crude was purified by silica gel column chromatography to afford target compounds.

Method B: General procedure for the synthesis of prodrugs with N-Boc-L-valine

To a solution of raw material (1 eq.) in DCM N-Boc-L-valine (1.4 eq.), HOBT (1.5 eq.), EDCI (2.2 eq.) and DMAP (4 eq.) were sequentially added at 0 °C. After stirring at rt until the substrate was completely consumed as monitored by TLC, the mixture was diluted with DCM and washed successively with aqueous 1 M hydrochloric acid solution and saturated aqueous NaHCO₃ solution. The organic layer was dried $(Na₂SO₄)$, filtered and concentrated *in vacuo*. The crude was purified by silica gel column chromatography ($PE: EA = 1: 1$) to afford the intermediate. After removing the Boc group using trifluoroacetic acid, the target compounds were obtained. Organic & Biomolecular Chemistry

Hackoray Sciencial Results Article is article in the synthesis of produces

Nether Articles Article is a solutional Science of the synthesis of produces

Method Articles (absolution and t

1-((2R,3R,4S,5S)-3,4-Dihydroxy-5-(iodomethyl)tetrahydrofuran-2-yl)pyrimidine-2,4 $(1H,3H)$ -dione (6)

To a solution of triphenylphosphine (9.43 g, 36 mmol) in pyridine (80 mL) at 0 °C, iodine (9.25 g, 36 mmol) was added and the mixture was stirred for 10 min at 20 °C. Uridine 5 (7.32 g, 30 mmol) was added, the mixture was stirred at rt for 6 h, and then quenched with saturated aqueous $Na₂S₂O₃$ solution. The resulting solution was concentrated and the residue was partitioned between water and tetrahydrofuran. The organic portion was washed with saturated brine, dried with $Na₂SO₄$ and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography (DCM : MeOH = $100:1 \rightarrow 15:1$) to give compound 6 as a yellow solid (8.5 g, yield 67%). $^{1} \rm H$ NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ 11.39 (s, 1H), 7.68 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 1H), 5.80 (d, $J = 5.9$ Hz, 1H), 5.68 (dd, $J = 8.1$, 2.1 Hz, 1H), 5.49 $(d, J = 5.8 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{H})$, 5.37 $(d, J = 5.1 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{H})$, 4.19 $(q, J = 5.7 \text{ Hz},$ 1H), 3.91–3.81 (m, 2H), 3.55 (dd, J = 10.5, 5.5 Hz, 1H), 3.40 (dd, $J = 10.5, 6.6$ Hz, 1H).

1-((2R,3R,4S)-3,4-Dihydroxy-5-methylenetetrahydrofuran-2-yl) pyrimidine-2,4(1H,3H)-dione (7)

A fresh solution of sodium methoxide in MeOH was prepared by adding sodium (830 mg, 36 mmol) to methanol (30 mL) in an ice bath. To a solution of 6 (8.5 g, 24 mmol) in anhydrous MeOH (30 mL), the above prepared solution was added. The reaction mixture was stirred under reflux (67 $\,^{\circ}$ C) for 3 h, then adjusted to $pH = 8-9$ with aqueous 1 M hydrochloric acid solution and concentrated to dryness. Chromatography on a silica gel column (DCM : MeOH = $15:1$) afforded 7 as a white solid (3.1 g, yield 57%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ 11.44 (s, 1H), 7.60 $(d, J = 8.1$ Hz, 1H), 5.98 $(d, J = 5.4$ Hz, 1H), 5.65 $(d, J = 1.4)$ 8.0 Hz, 1H), 5.61 (s, 1H), 5.47 (d, J = 5.4 Hz, 1H), 4.41 (s, 1H), 4.35 (s, 1H), 4.26 (d, $J = 5.0$ Hz, 1H), 4.19 (s, 1H).

1-((2R,3R,4S,5R)-5-Fluoro-3,4-dihydroxy-5-(iodomethyl) tetrahydrofuran-2-yl)pyrimidine-2,4(1H,3H)-dione (8)

To a solution of 7 (3.1 g, 13.7 mmol) and triethylamine trihydrofluoride (2.7 g, 16.5 mmol) in anhydrous acetonitrile (60 mL), N-iodosuccinimide (3.7 g, 16.5 mmol) was added in portions at 0 °C. After stirring for 5 min, the mixture was filtered and washed with DCM to give 8 as a white solid (4.1 g, yield 77%). $^{1} \text{H NMR}$ (500 MHz, DMSO- d_{6}) δ 11.50 (s, 1H), 7.67 $(d, J = 8.1 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{H})$, 5.91 $(d, J = 3.0 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{H})$, 5.76 $(d, J = 5.3 \text{ Hz},$ 1H), 5.68 (dd, $J = 8.0$, 2.2 Hz, 1H), 5.42 (d, $J = 8.9$ Hz, 1H), 4.41–4.33 (m, 1H), 4.31–4.26 (m, 1H), 3.62–3.46 (m, 2H).

(2R,3S,4R,5R)-5-(2,4-Dioxo-3,4-dihydropyrimidin-1(2H)-yl)-2 fluoro-2-(iodomethyl)tetrahydrofuran-3,4-diyl bis(2 methylpropanoate) (9)

Compound 9 was prepared by following the same procedure as described for method A. The reaction of 8 (2.0 g, 5.38 mmol) with triethylamine (4.35 g, 43.04 mmol), DMAP (0.33 g, 2.69 mmol) and isobutyric anhydride (1.78 g, 11.29 mmol) gave 9 as a white solid (1.3 g, yield 47%). 1 H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- d_6): δ 11.60 (s, 1H), 7.80 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 1H), 6.02 (d, J = 2.4 Hz, 1H), 5.78 (dd, $J = 19.0$, 7.3 Hz, 1H), 5.73 (d, $J = 8.0$ Hz, 1H), 5.59 (dd, $J = 7.3$, 2.4 Hz, 1H), 3.65-3.49 (m, 2H), 2.65-2.58 (m, 2H), 1.16–1.10 (m, 12H).

(2S,3S,4R,5R)-5-(2,4-Dioxo-3,4-dihydropyrimidin-1(2H)-yl)-2 fluoro-2-(hydroxymethyl)tetrahydrofuran-3,4-diyl bis(2 methylpropanoate) (10)

Tetrabutylammonium hydroxide (3.30 g, 40% aqueous solution, 12.7 mmol) was adjusted to pH \approx 4 by adding TFA. The resulting solution was added to a solution of 9 (1.3 g, 2.54 mmol) in DCM (10 mL). m-Chloroperbenzonic (2.19 g, 12.7 mmol) was added in portions under vigorous stirring and the resulting reaction mixture was stirred at rt for 7 h. The reaction was quenched with saturated aqueous $Na₂S₂O₃$ solution and extracted with EA and THF. The combined organic portions were dried $(Na₂SO₄)$ and concentrated in vacuo. The crude product was purified by silica gel column chromatography (PE: EA = 1:3) to give compound 10 as a white solid (520 mg, yield 52%). ¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- d_6): δ 11.54 (s, 1H), 7.79 $(d, J = 8.1$ Hz, 1H), 6.01 $(d, J = 2.5$ Hz, 1H), 5.74-5.65 $(m, 2H), 5.54 (dd, J = 7.1, 2.5 Hz, 1H), 5.50 (t, J = 6.3 Hz, 1H),$ 2.63-2.53 (m, $J = 6.8$ Hz, 2H), 1.14-1.06 (m, 12H).

(2S,3S,4R,5R)-5-(2,4-Dioxo-3,4-dihydropyrimidin-1(2H)-yl)-2 fluoro-2-((isobutyryloxy)methyl)tetrahydrofuran-3,4-diyl bis(2 methylpropanoate) (1a)

Compound 1a was prepared by following the same procedure as described for method A. The reaction of 10 (520 mg, 1.33 mmol) with triethylamine (653 mg, 5.32 mmol), DMAP (67 mg, 0.66 mmol) and isobutyric anhydride (231 mg, 1.46 mmol) gave 1a as a white solid (600 mg, yield 98%). 1 H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- d_6): δ 11.59 (s, 1H), 7.78 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 1H), 6.03 (d, $J = 2.2$ Hz, 1H), 5.81 (dd, $J = 20.0$, 7.3 Hz, 1H), 5.70 (dd, $J = 8.0$, 2.2 Hz, 1H), 5.58 (dd, $J = 7.2$, 2.1 Hz, 1H), 4.40–4.24 (m, 2H), 2.64–2.52 (m, 3H), 1.13–1.07 (m, 18H). ¹³C NMR (151 MHz, DMSO- d_6): δ 175.27, 175.18, 174.65, 163.18, 150.06, 144.13, 114.58 (d, J = 233.6 Hz), 102.17, 94.02, 70.29, 69.02 (d, $J = 19.5$ Hz), 61.33 (d, $J = 36.9$ Hz), 33.08, 33.05, 33.01, 18.62, 18.60, 18.55, 18.55, 18.50, 18.37. HRMS (ESI⁺) m/z: calcd for $\rm C_{21}H_{29}FN_{2}O_{9}\left[M + H\right]^{+}$, 473.1930; found, 473.1932.

(2S,3S,4R,5R)-2-Fluoro-5-(6-(hydroxyamino)-2-oxo-1l3,3-oxazin-3(2H)-yl)-2-((isobutyryloxy)methyl)tetrahydrofuran-3,4-diyl bis (2-methylpropanoate) (1b)

To a solution of 1a (200.0 mg, 0.43 mmol) in DCM (15 mL), triethylamine (173.7 mg, 1.72 mmol), DMAP (24.4 mg, 0.22 mmol) and TPSCl (260.6 mg, 0.86 mmol) were sequentially added at 0 °C. After stirring at rt for 1 h, TLC was used to monitor that the substrate was completely consumed, then triethylamine (347.4 mg, 3.44 mmol) and hydroxyamine hydrochloride (298.9 mg, 4.30 mmol) were added. After stirring at rt for 4 h, the mixture was diluted with DCM and washed successively with aqueous 1 M hydrochloric acid solution and saturated aqueous NaHCO₃ solution. The organic layer was dried $(Na₂SO₄)$, filtered and concentrated *in vacuo*. The crude was purified by silica gel column chromatography ($PE: EA = 1: 1$) to afford $1b$ as a white solid (40 mg, yield 18%). ^{1}H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- d_6): δ 10.15 (s, 1H), 9.90 (s, 1H), 7.00 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 5.77 (dd, $J = 19.5, 7.3$ Hz, 1H), 5.60 (dd, $J = 8.1, 1.8$ Hz, 1H), 5.52 (dd, J = 7.3, 2.4 Hz, 1H), 4.41–4.21 (m, 2H), 2.66–2.52 (m, 3H), 1.13–1.07 (m, 18H). ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, DMSO-d6): δ 175.30, 175.16, 174.67, 148.87, 142.98, 133.11, 114.21 (d, $J = 233.3$ Hz), 98.98, 93.34, 70.12, 69.10 (d, $J = 19.7$ Hz), 61.58 (d, J = 36.0 Hz), 33.01, 33.01, 32.96, 18.63, 18.57, 18.56, 18.55, 18.51, 18.39. HRMS (ESI−) m/z: calcd for $C_{21}H_{30}FN_{3}O_{9}$ [M – H]⁻, 486.1893; found, 486.1900.

$(2S, 3S, 4R, 5R)$ -5-(6-Amino-2-oxo-1 λ^3 ,3-oxazin-3 $(2H)$ -yl)-2-fluoro-2-((isobutyryloxy)methyl)tetrahydrofuran-3,4-diyl bis(2-methylpropanoate) (1c)

Compound 1c was obtained by following the same procedure as described for 1b. The reaction of 1a (100 mg, 0.215 mmol) with triethylamine (86.9 mg, 0.86 mmol), DMAP (12.2 mg, 0.11 mmol) and 25% ammonium hydroxide (301 mg, 2.15 mmol) gave 1c as a white solid (14 mg, yield 14%). 1 H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- d_6): δ 7.68 (d, J = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 7.43 (d, J $= 9.0$ Hz, 2H), 5.94–5.84 (m, 2H), 5.73 (d, $J = 7.4$ Hz, 1H), 5.56 $(dd, J = 7.2, 1.9 Hz, 1H$, 4.39-4.22 (m, 2H), 2.67-2.52 (m, 3H), 1.14–1.06 (m, 18H). ¹³C NMR (151 MHz, DMSO- d_6): δ 175.32, 175.29, 174.70, 166.33, 154.37, 145.28, 114.71 (d, J = 233.0 Hz), 95.75, 94.63, 70.61, 69.69 (d, $J = 19.2$ Hz), 61.70 (d, $J = 36.4$ Hz), 33.07, 33.07, 32.99, 18.61, 18.61, 18.58, 18.55, 18.55, 18.40. HRMS (ESI⁺) *m*/z: calcd for C₂₁H₃₀FN₃O₈ [M + H]⁺, 472.209; found, 472.2092.

1-O-Acetyl-2,3,5-tri-O-benzyl-4-thio-α,β-D-ribofuranose (12)

Compound 12 was synthesized according to the literature procedure $(α: β = 6:1).^{14}$ 12α: ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.43–7.26 (m, 15H), 6.26 (d, $J = 4.7$ Hz, 1H), 4.78–4.45 (m, 6H), 4.17–4.13 (m, 1H), 4.07 (t, $J = 4.4$ Hz, 1H), 3.80–3.77 (m, 1H), 3.43 (dd, $J = 10.0$, 5.4 Hz, 1H), 3.40 (dd, $J = 10.0$, 7.7 Hz, 1H), 2.04 (s, 1H). 12β: ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.40-7.24 (m, 15H), 6.01 (d, $J = 1.9$ Hz, 1H), 4.81-4.45 (m, 6H), 4.14 (dd, $J =$ 3.3, 2.0 Hz, 1H), 4.01 (dd, $J = 8.4$, 3.5 Hz, 1H), 3.91-3.86 (m, 1H), 3.82 $(dd, J = 9.8, 4.4$ Hz, 1H), 3.62 $(dd, J = 9.8, 7.0$ Hz, 1H), 2.06 (s, 3H). LRMS (ESI⁺) m/z : calcd for C₂₈H₃₀O₅S [M + H]⁺, 478.18; found, 479.6.

1-((3R,4S,5R)-3,4-Bis(benzyloxy)-5-((benzyloxy)methyl) tetrahydrothiophen-2-yl)pyrimidine-2,4(1H,3H)-dione (13)

Compound 12 (1.4 g, 3.0 mmol, a mixture of α and $β$) and silylated uracil (6.0 mmol) were dissolved in acetonitrile (20 mL) and then treated with TMSOTf (1.5 g, 6.6 mmol) at -10 °C. After stirring for 30 min, the temperature was raised to rt. When the starting materials were completely consumed, the reaction was quenched with saturated aqueous $NaHCO₃$ solution and then concentrated to remove acetonitrile. The residue was extracted with EA. The organic layer was washed with brine, dried using $Na₂SO₄$ and then filtered. After concentration, the residue was purified by flash chromatography ($PE : EA = 10 : 1$) to afford 13 (1.4 g, yield 85%, α : β = 1:1). 13 α : ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.04 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 7.39-7.19 (m, 15H), 6.33 (d, J = 3.4 Hz, 1H), 5.55 (dd, $J = 8.2$, 2.2 Hz, 1H), 4.75-4.44 (m, 6H), 4.00 $(dd, J = 5.9, 3.6 Hz, 1H), 3.96 (t, J = 3.5 Hz, 1H), 3.76-3.73 (m,$ 1H), 3.69 (dd, $J = 10.2$, 3.0 Hz, 1H), 3.49 (dd, $J = 9.9$, 6.2 Hz, 1H). 13β: ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.09 (d, $J = 8.2$ Hz, 1H), 7.39-7.19 (m, 15H), 6.04 (d, $J = 5.9$ Hz, 1H), 5.07 (dd, $J = 8.2$, 2.2 Hz, 1H), 4.75–4.44 (m, 6H), 4.24 (dd, J = 5.4, 3.6 Hz, 1H), 3.91 (t, J $= 3.5$ Hz, 1H), 3.89 (dd, $J = 17.7$, 4.0 Hz, 1H), 3.83 (dd, $J = 10.2$, 2.5 Hz, 1H), 3.56 (dd, $J = 9.9$, 5.6 Hz, 1H). LRMS (ESI⁺) m/z : calcd for $C_{30}H_{30}N_2O_5S$ $[M + H]^+$, 530.19; found, 531.5. Paper

1.53 mmal) with entirelying a 5.2 mmal), DMAP

167 mg, GaS email paperic anticlear Entirely, Comparent 11 mg, Comparent 12 mg, Comparent 12 mg, GaS email paperic is licensed accessing to the internet properties and

1-((2R,3R,4S,5R)-3,4-Dihydroxy-5-(hydroxymethyl) tetrahydrothiophen-2-yl)pyrimidine-2,4(1H,3H)-dione (2a)

A solution of 13 (700 mg, 1.32 mmol, β anomer) in DCM (5 mL) was treated with 1.0 M BCl₃ solution in DCM (5.3 mL, 5.28 mmol) at −78 °C. After the addition, the temperature was slowly increased to −30 °C. When the raw materials were consumed, the reaction solution was quenched with methanol, then the pH of the reaction solution was adjusted to $7-8$ with NaHCO₃ solution, concentrated and purified by reverse-phase silica gel column chromatography $(H_2O:MeOH = 100:1 \rightarrow 50:1)$ to obtain compound 2a (261 mg, yield 76%). ¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- d_6): δ 11.32 (s, 1H), 7.99 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 1H), 5.90 (d, J = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 5.68 (d, $J = 8.1$ Hz, 1H), 5.49 (d, $J = 5.8$ Hz, 1H), 5.28 (d, J $= 4.3$ Hz, 1H), 5.18 (t, $J = 5.3$ Hz, 1H), 4.16 (dt, $J = 8.2$, 4.0 Hz, 1H), 4.03 (q, J = 3.1 Hz, 1H), 3.68–3.52 (m, 2H), 3.22–3.18 (m, 1H). ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, DMSO- d_6): δ 162.97, 151.16, 141.52, 102.14, 76.33, 73.00, 63.11, 62.28, 52.98. HRMS (ESI⁺) m/z: calcd for C₉H₁₂N₂O₅S</sub> [M + Na]⁺, 283.0359; found, 283.0355.

Compound 14 was synthesized according to method A. The reaction of 2a (260 mg, 1.0 mmol) with triethylamine (1.0 g, 10.4 mmol), DMAP (43 mg, 0.35 mmol) and isobutyric anhydride (823 mg, 5.2 mmol) gave 14 as a white solid (400 mg, yield 85%). ¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- d_6): δ 11.46 (d, J = 1.8 Hz, 1H), 8.02 (d, $J = 8.1$ Hz, 1H), 6.19 (d, $J = 7.8$ Hz, 1H), 5.76 (dd, $J = 8.1$, 2.2 Hz, 1H), 5.68 (dd, $J = 7.7$, 4.0 Hz, 1H), 5.53–5.45 (m, 1H), 4.46 (dd, $J = 11.6$, 7.4 Hz, 1H), 4.34 (dd, $J =$ 11.6, 5.9 Hz, 1H), 3.70–3.64 (m, 1H), 2.69–2.56 (m, 2H), 2.50–2.46 (m, 1H), 1.15 (dd, $J = 14.0$, 7.0 Hz, 12H), 1.03 (dd, $J =$ 7.0, 4.1 Hz, 6H). LRMS (ESI⁺) m/z : calcd for C₂₁H₃₀N₂O₈S [M + H]⁺, 470.17; found, 471.3.

$(2R,3R,4S,5R)$ -2-(4-(Hydroxyamino)-2-oxopyrimidin-1(2H)-yl)-5-((isobutyryloxy)methyl)tetrahydrothiophene-3,4-diyl bis(2 methylpropanoate) (2b)

Compound 14 (150.0 mg, 0.32 mmol), DIPEA (207.0 mg, 1.6 mmol) and DMAP (4 mg, cat.) were sequentially added to DCM (10 mL), followed by TPSCl (194.0 mg, 0.64 mmol) at 0 °C for 10 min. After stirring at rt for 2 h, DIPEA (207.0 mg, 1.6 mmol) and hydroxyamine hydrochloride (111.0 mg, 1.6 mmol) were added at 0 °C for 10 min. After stirring at rt for 2 h, the mixture was diluted with DCM and washed successively with aqueous 1 M hydrochloric acid solution and saturated aqueous $NAHCO₃$ solution. The organic layer was dried $(Na₂SO₄)$, filtered and concentrated *in vacuo*. The crude was purified by silica gel column chromatography ($PE: EA = 1: 1$) to afford $2\mathbf{b}$ as a foam (100 mg, yield 64%). $^1\mathrm{H}$ NMR (600 MHz, DMSO- d_6): δ 10.09 (s, 1H), 9.72 (d, J = 2.2 Hz, 1H), 7.18 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 1H), 6.20 (d, $J = 8.3$ Hz, 1H), 5.68 (dd, $J = 8.2$, 2.0 Hz, 1H), 5.58 (dd, $J = 8.3$, 4.0 Hz, 1H), 5.47 (dd, $J = 4.0$, 2.2 Hz, 1H), 4.42–4.28 (m, 2H), 3.65–3.60 (m, 1H), 2.69–2.54 (m, 2H), 2.49–2.45 (m, 1H), 1.15 (d, $J = 7.0$ Hz, 3H), 1.12 (dd, $J = 6.9$, 3.2 Hz, 9H), 1.02 (dd, $J = 7.0$, 2.8 Hz, 6H). ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, DMSO-d₆): δ 175.70, 174.89, 174.80, 149.43, 142.89, 129.59, 99.74, 73.68, 72.22, 64.33, 60.19, 46.55, 33.17, 33.17, 33.08, 18.81, 18.66, 18.66, 18.49, 18.41, 18.32. HRMS (ESI⁺) m/z: calcd for $C_{21}H_{31}N_3O_8S$ $[M + H]^+$, 486.1905; found, 486.1902.

$1-(2R,3R,4S,5R)-3,4-Dihydroxy-5-(hydroxymethyl)$ tetrahydrothiophen-2-yl)-4-(hydroxyamino)pyrimidin-2(1H) one (2c)

Compound 2b (50 mg, 0.1 mmol) was added to ammonia solution in methanol (8 mL) and stirred at rt. After the raw material was completely consumed, the reaction solution was concentrated and slurried with methyl tert-butyl ether (MTBE) to obtain 2 ${\bf c}$ as an off-white solid (22 mg, yield 80%). $^1{\rm H}$ NMR (600 MHz, DMSO- d_6): δ 9.96 (s, 1H), 9.47 (s, 1H), 7.15 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 1H), 5.90 (d, $J = 8.0$ Hz, 1H), 5.61 (d, $J = 8.2$ Hz, 1H), 5.33 (d, $J = 6.3$ Hz, 1H), 5.21 (d, $J = 4.1$ Hz, 1H), 5.14 (t, $J = 5.4$) Hz, 1H), $4.12-4.06$ (m, 1H), 4.02 (td, $J = 3.8$, 2.1 Hz, 1H), 3.61–3.50 (m, 2H), 3.17–3.12 (m, 1H). ¹³C NMR (126 MHz,

DMSO- d_6): δ 149.89, 143.45, 130.38, 98.86, 75.44, 73.10, 63.56, 61.69, 52.72. HRMS (ESI⁻) m/z: calcd for C₉H₁₃N₃O₅S</sub> [M – H]−, 274.0503; found, 274.0502.

(2R,3R,4R,5R)-2-(Acetoxymethyl)-5-(3,5-dioxo-4,5-dihydro-1,2,4 triazin-2(3H)-yl)tetrahydrofuran-3,4-diyl diacetate (17)

6-Azauracil 16 (904 mg, 8 mmol) and $(NH_4)_2SO_4$ (52.8 mg, 0.4 mmol) were added to HMDS (20 mL) and stirred at 130 °C for 2 h. After the raw material was completely consumed, the reaction solution was concentrated. A solution of 1,2,3,5-tetra-O-acetyl-D-ribofuranose 15 (1.27 g, 4 mmol) in DCM (30 mL) was treated with the concentrate, followed by the addition of SnCl₄ (2.5 g, 9.6 mmol) at 0 °C. The reaction was stirred at rt for 3 h and extracted with EA. The organic layers were washed with saturated aqueous NaHCO₃ solution and brine, then dried using $Na₂SO₄$. After concentration, the residue was purified by flash chromatography (PE: EA = $2:1 \rightarrow 1:1$) to afford 17 as a white foamy solid (950 mg, yield 64.5%). 1 H NMR $(500 \text{ MHz}, \text{ DMSO-}d_6)$: δ 12.36 (s, 1H), 7.67 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 6.13 (d, $J = 3.2$ Hz, 1H), 5.54 (dd, $J = 5.5$, 3.3 Hz, 1H), 5.35 (t, $J =$ 5.9 Hz, 1H), 4.31 (ddd, J = 27.5, 10.8, 4.1 Hz, 2H), 4.10–4.02 (m, 1H), 2.10 (s, 3H), 2.07 (s, 3H), 2.03 (s, 3H). Open Access Article. Published on 03 March 2023. Downloaded on 10/10/2024 2:15:25 PM. This article is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 3.0 Unported Licence.](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0/) **[View Article Online](https://doi.org/10.1039/D3OB00268C)**

(2R,3R,4R,5R)-2-(Acetoxymethyl)-5-(5-(hydroxyamino)-3-oxo-1,2,4-triazin-2(3H)-yl)tetrahydrofuran-3,4-diyl diacetate (3a)

To a solution of 17 (100 mg, 0.27 mmol) and triethylamine (136 mg, 1.35 mmol) in acetonitrile (6 mL) , POCl₃ (82.9 mg) 0.54 mmol) was added at 0 °C. After stirring at rt for 10 min, a solution of hydroxylamine hydrochloride (187.7 mg, 2.7 mmol) and triethylamine (273 mg, 2.7 mmol) in acetonitrile (1 mL) was added. The mixture was stirred at rt for 1 h, and treated with the same post-processing operation. The residue was purified by thin layer chromatography (PE : EA = $2:1$), and then slurred in a mixed solution of petroleum ether and dichloromethane to obtain compound 3a as a white solid (18 mg, yield 17.3%). ¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- d_6): δ 11.10 (s, 1H), 10.79 (s, 1H), 7.54 (d, $J = 1.8$ Hz, 1H), 6.03 (d, $J = 3.7$ Hz, 1H), 5.49 (dd, J $= 5.6, 3.7$ Hz, 1H), 5.28 (t, $J = 5.8$ Hz, 1H), 4.32 (dd, $J = 12.0, 3.5$ Hz, 1H), 4.21 (q, $J = 5.3$ Hz, 1H), 4.03 (dd, $J = 12.0$, 5.3 Hz, 1H), 2.07 (s, 3H), 2.06 (s, 3H), 2.02 (s, 3H). 13C NMR (151 MHz, DMSO- d_6): δ 170.05, 169.49, 169.46, 146.60, 139.05, 135.60, 86.91, 78.22, 71.75, 70.24, 62.87, 20.54, 20.34, 20.34. HRMS $(ESI⁺)$ *m*/z: calcd for C₁₄H₁₈N₄O₉ [M + H]⁺, 387.1147; found, 387.1152.

2-((2R,3R,4S,5R)-3,4-Dihydroxy-5-(hydroxymethyl) tetrahydrofuran-2-yl)-5-(hydroxyamino)-1,2,4-triazin-3(2H)-one (3b)

Compound 3b was prepared by following the same procedure as described for 2c. 3a (59 mg, 0.15 mmol) was treated with ammonia solution in methanol (2 mL) to yield 3b as a white solid (24 mg, yield 60.2%). ¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- d_6): δ 10.95 (s, 1H), 10.62 (d, $J = 2.0$ Hz, 1H), 7.43 (d, $J = 1.7$ Hz, 1H), 5.80 (d, $J = 4.2$ Hz, 1H), 5.17 (d, $J = 5.5$ Hz, 1H), 4.97 (d, $J = 5.8$ Hz, 1H), 4.67 (t, $J = 5.8$ Hz, 1H), 4.21-4.13 (m, 1H), 3.92 (q, $J =$ 5.5 Hz, 1H), 3.75–3.69 (m, 1H), 3.52–3.43 (m, 1H), 3.39–3.35

(m, 1H). ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, DMSO- d_6): δ 147.03, 139.28, 134.55, 88.62, 84.06, 71.61, 70.44, 62.25. HRMS (ESI−) m/z: calcd for $C_8H_{12}N_4O_6$ [M – H]⁻, 259.0684; found, 259.0684.

(2R,3R,4R,5R)-2-(Acetoxymethyl)-5-(5-amino-3-oxo-1,2,4 triazin-2(3H)-yl)tetrahydrofuran-3,4-diyl diacetate (3c)

Compound 3c was obtained by following the same procedure as described for 3a. The reaction of 17 (20 mg, 0.054 mmol) with triethylamine (27.3 mg, 0.27 mmol), $POCl₃$ (16.9 mg, 0.11 mmol) and 25% ammonium hydroxide (78 mg, 0.57 mmol) gave 3c as a white solid (9 mg, yield 45%). 1 H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- d_6): δ 8.19 (s, 1H), 8.04 (s, 1H), 7.56 (s, 1H), 6.16 (d, $J = 3.5$ Hz, 1H), 5.51 (dd, $J = 5.6$, 3.4 Hz, 1H), 5.34 $(t, J = 5.9$ Hz, 1H), 4.32 (dd, $J = 12.0$, 3.5 Hz, 1H), 4.27-4.22 (m, 1H), 4.03 (dd, $J = 12.0$, 5.1 Hz, $1H$), $2.09 - 2.05$ (m, $6H$), 2.01 (s, 3H). ¹³C NMR (201 MHz, DMSO- d_6): δ 169.97, 169.48, 169.41, 158.84, 152.68, 128.54, 88.42, 78.53, 72.18, 70.34, 62.93, 20.48, 20.32, 20.30. HRMS (ESI^+) m/z: calcd for $C_{14}H_{18}N_4O_8 [M + Na]^+,$ 393.1017; found, 393.1019.

2-((2R,3R,4S,5R)-3,4-Dihydroxy-5-(hydroxymethyl) tetrahydrofuran-2-yl)-1,2,4-triazine-3,5(2H,4H)-dione (3d)

Following the procedure for the synthesis of 2c, 3d (24 mg, yield 60.2%) was obtained as a white solid from 17 (59 mg, 0.15 mmol). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- d_6): δ 12.24 (s, 1H), 7.53 (d, $J = 4.1$ Hz, 1H), 5.88 (d, $J = 3.8$ Hz, 1H), 5.26 (s, 1H), 5.04 (d, $J = 5.2$ Hz, 1H), 4.66 (s, 1H), 4.22 (s, 1H), 3.99 (d, $J =$ 6.3 Hz, 1H), 3.78 (d, $J = 5.3$ Hz, 1H), 3.54-3.34 (m, 2H). ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, DMSO- d_6): δ 157.25, 148.97, 136.31, 89.37, 84.53, 72.25, 70.36, 62.02. HRMS (ESI−) m/z: calcd for $C_8H_{11}N_3O_6[M-H]^-, 244.0575$; found, 244.0573.

Dibenzyl ((2R,3S,4R,5R)-5-(2,4-dioxo-3,4-dihydropyrimidin-1 $(2H)$ -yl)-2-fluoro-2-(iodomethyl)tetrahydrofuran-3,4-diyl) bis (carbonate) (18)

Benzyl chloroformate (68.08 g, 399.11 mmol) and 1-methylimidazole (43.66 g, 532.14 mmol) were added to a solution of 8 (33.0 g, 88.69 mmol) in DCM (330 mL) at 0 °C. The reaction was stirred for 2 h at rt, after which the solution was washed successively with aqueous 1 M hydrochloric acid solution and saturated aqueous NaHCO₃ solution. The organic layer was dried (Na₂SO₄), filtered and concentrated in vacuo. The crude was slurried in propan-2-ol for 2 h to afford 18 as an off-white solid (55 g, yield 97%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- d_6): δ 11.63 $(d, J = 2.2$ Hz, 1H), 7.79 $(d, J = 8.1$ Hz, 1H), 7.44–7.28 $(m, 10H)$, 6.14 (d, $J = 2.1$ Hz, 1H), 5.81 (dd, $J = 19.0$, 7.2 Hz, 1H), 5.71 (dd, $J = 8.0, 2.2$ Hz, 1H), 5.63 (dd, $J = 7.1, 2.1$ Hz, 1H), 5.25-5.06 (m, 4H), 3.68 (dd, $J = 11.9$, 8.3 Hz, 1H), 3.53 (dd, $J = 22.8$, 11.9 Hz, 1H). LRMS (ESI⁻) m/z: calcd for C₂₅H₂₂FIN₂O₉ [M – H]⁻, 640.04; found, 639.06.

Dibenzyl ((2S,3S,4R,5R)-5-(2,4-dioxo-3,4-dihydropyrimidin-1 (2H)-yl)-2-fluoro-2-(hydroxymethyl)tetrahydrofuran-3,4-diyl) bis (carbonate) (19)

Tetrabutylammonium hydroxide (60.77 g, 40% aqueous solution, 93.69 mmol) was treated with trifluoroacetic acid

(10.68 g, 93.69 mmol) at 0 \degree C, and the mixture was stirred for 10 min. A solution of 18 (20 g, 31.23 mmol) in DCM (160 mL) was added and the reaction mixture was stirred overnight at rt. After completion, the reaction was quenched by the addition of saturated aqueous $\text{Na}_2\text{S}_2\text{O}_3$ solution (160 mL). The organic phase was washed twice with water, dried with $Na₂SO₄$ and filtered. Solvent was evaporated and the residue was purified by column chromatography (PE: acetone = $1:1$) to give compound 19 as an off-white solid $(5.3 \text{ g}, \text{ yield } 32\%)$. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- d_6): δ 11.54 (s, 1H), 7.77 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.38–7.34 (m, 10H), 6.12 (d, $J = 2.3$ Hz, 1H), 5.75 (dd, $J = 19.2$, 7.0 Hz, 1H), 5.68 (d, $J = 8.0$ Hz, 1H), 5.60 (dd, $J = 7.0$, 2.2 Hz, 1H), 5.48 (t, $J = 6.3$ Hz, 1H), 5.23-5.09 (m, 4H), 3.62 (t, $J = 7.9$ Hz, 2H). Paper

(m, 1H), ¹³C FMM, (128 MHz, DMO-6.1: 3 417.03, 130.28, (10.68 g, 35.89 mmol) at 0 °C, and the mixture was stirred on

11455, Sa.63, Articles. D. 124, 612, 11866 (1571) access 10.60 mm chemistry and (1678), 212.3

$((2S, 3S, 4R, 5R)$ -3,4-Bis $(((\text{benzyloxy})\text{carbonyl})\text{oxy})$ -5- $(2, 4\text{-dioxo-}$ 3,4-dihydropyrimidin-1(2H)-yl)-2-fluorotetrahydrofuran-2-yl) methyl (tert-butoxycarbonyl)-L-valinate (20)

Compound 20 (314 mg, yield 76%) was synthesized according to method B from 19 (300 mg, 0.57 mmol). ¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- d_6): δ 11.61 (s, 1H), 7.77 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.40-7.33 $(m, 10H)$, 7.15 $(d, J = 8.2 \text{ Hz}, 1H)$, 6.19 $(d, J = 2.1 \text{ Hz}, 1H)$, 5.84 $(dd, J = 19.4, 7.2$ Hz, 1H), 5.70 $(dd, J = 8.0, 2.2$ Hz, 1H), 5.61 $(dd, J = 7.2, 2.0 Hz, 1H$, 5.24-5.10 (m, 4H), 4.39 (d, $J = 12.4 Hz$, 2H), 3.89 (dd, $J = 8.2$, 5.9 Hz, 1H), 2.06-1.99 (m, 1H), 1.37 (s, 9H), 0.82 (dd, *J* = 6.9, 5.1 Hz, 6H). LRMS (ESI⁻) m/z: calcd for $C_{35}H_{40}FN_{3}O_{13}$ [M – H]⁻, 729.25; found, 728.1.

$((2S, 3S, 4R, 5R) - 5 - (2, 4-Dioxo-3, 4-dihydropyrimidin-1(2H)-yl) - 2$ fluoro-3,4-dihydroxytetrahydrofuran-2-yl) methyl L-valinate trifluoroacetate (4a)

To a stirred solution of 20 (270.3 mg, 0.37 mmol) in DCM (3 mL), trifluoroacetic acid (0.3 mL, 3.7 mmol) was added. The reaction mixture was stirred at rt for 3 h and then concentrated to obtain the crude product. Then the crude product (233.2 mg, 0.37 mmol, yield calculated for 100%) was dissolved in ethanol (10 mL) and treated with 10% Pd/C (78.7 mg, 0.07 mmol) under hydrogen at rt for 2 h. The reaction solution was filtered and concentrated. The residue was slurried in MTBE and DCM to give 4a as a brown solid (120 mg, yield 68% over two steps). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, methanol- d_4): δ 7.61 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 5.78 (d, $J = 2.1$ Hz, 1H), 5.70 (d, $J = 8.0$ Hz, 1H), 4.65–4.53 (m, 3H), 4.47 (dd, $J = 7.1$, 2.1 Hz, 1H), 4.01 (d, $J = 4.4$ Hz, 1H), 2.42–2.31 (m, 1H), 1.09 (s, 3H), 1.08 (s, 3H). LRMS (ESI⁺) m/z: calcd for C₁₄H₂₀FN₃O₇ [M + H]⁺, 361.13; found, 362.2.

$((2S, 3S, 4R, 5R)$ -3,4-Bis $(((\text{benzyloxy})\text{carbonyl})\text{oxy})$ -5- $(2, 4\text{-dioxo-}$ 3,4-dihydropyrimidin-1(2H)-yl)-2-fluorotetrahydrofuran-2-yl) methyl isobutyrate (21)

21 was obtained by following method A. The reaction of 19 (800 mg, 1.51 mmol) with triethylamine (229.2 mg, 2.67 mmol), DMAP (36.9 mg, 0.30 mmol) and isobutyric anhydride (286.5 mg, 1.81 mmol) gave 21 as a white solid (623 mg, yield 70%). $^{1} \text{H}$ NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- d_{6}): δ 11.61 (s, 1H), 7.77 $(d, J = 8.1 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{H})$, 7.41–7.32 (m, 10H), 6.18 (d, $J = 2.0 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{H}$),

Organic & Biomolecular Chemistry Paper

5.86 (dd, $J = 19.9$, 7.1 Hz, 1H), 5.69 (dd, $J = 8.0$, 2.2 Hz, 1H), 5.62 (dd, $J = 7.1$, 1.9 Hz, 1H), 5.23-5.09 (m, 4H), 4.42-4.29 (m, 2H), 2.49–2.43 (m, 1H), 1.05–1.02 (m, 6H). LRMS (ESI−) m/z: calcd for $C_{29}H_{29}FN_{2}O_{11}$ [M + Cl]⁻, 600.18; found, 635.0.

$((2S, 3S, 4R, 5R) - 5-(2, 4-Dioxo-3, 4-dihydropyrimidin-1(2H)-yl)-2$ fluoro-3,4-dihydroxytetrahydrofuran-2-yl) methyl isobutyrate (4b)

By following the procedure for the synthesis of 4a, 4b (250 mg, yield 75%) was obtained as a white solid from 21 (606.3 mg, 1.01 mmol). ¹H NMR (500 MHz, methanol- d_4): δ 7.59 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 5.88 (d, $J = 2.1$ Hz, 1H), 5.70 (d, $J = 8.0$ Hz, 1H), 4.52 $(dd, J = 19.2, 6.8$ Hz, 1H), 4.42-4.38 (m, 1H), 4.38-4.24 (m, 2H), 2.70–2.58 (m, 1H), 1.19–1.16 (m, 6H). ¹³C NMR (151 MHz, DMSO- d_6): δ 175.46, 163.05, 150.22, 142.38, 115.45 (d, J = 231.1 Hz), 102.37, 93.46, 70.30, 70.00 (d, $J = 21.0$ Hz), 61.59 (d, $J =$ 39.1 Hz), 33.11, 26.86, 18.71. HRMS (ESI⁺) m/z: calcd for $C_{13}H_{17}FN_2O_7 [M+Na]^+, 355.0912$; found, 355.0912.

1-((2R,3R,4S,5S)-5-Fluoro-3,4-dihydroxy-5-(hydroxymethyl) tetrahydrofuran-2-yl)pyrimidine-2,4(1H,3H)-dione (22)

Compound 22 was synthesized starting from 8 by a reported method.^{15 1}H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- d_6): δ 11.14 (s, 1H), 7.69 $(d, J = 8.1 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{H})$, 5.99 $(d, J = 2.7 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{H})$, 5.67 $(dd, J = 10.2$, 6.5 Hz, 2H), 5.48 (d, $J = 6.1$ Hz, 1H), 5.18 (d, $J = 8.7$ Hz, 1H), 4.25 (dt, $J = 17.1$, 7.2 Hz, 1H), 4.14 (d, $J = 4.3$ Hz, 1H), 3.56 (t, J $= 5.2$ Hz, 2H). ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, DMSO- d_6): δ 163.03, 150.34, 140.91, 117.46 $(d, J = 230.8 \text{ Hz})$, 102.18, 91.28, 71.45, 68.76 (d, J) = 20.1 Hz), 60.02 (d, $J = 42.0$ Hz). HRMS (ESI⁺) m/z : calcd for $\rm C_9H_{11}FN_2O_6\left[M + H \right]^+, 263.0674$; found, 263.0676.

1-((2R,3R,4S,5S)-5-Fluoro-3,4-dihydroxy-5-(hydroxymethyl) tetrahydrofuran-2-yl)pyrimidine-2,4(1H,3H)-dione (4c)

Compound 4c was obtained by following method A. To a solution of 22 (100 mg, 0.38 mmol) in EA (2 mL), triethylamine (192.3 mg, 1.90 mmol), DMAP (9.3 mg, 0.08 mmol) and acetic anhydride (136.3 mg, 1.34 mmol) were sequentially added at 0 °C. After stirring at rt for 2 h, the mixture was diluted with EA and washed successively with aqueous 1 M hydrochloric acid solution and saturated aqueous $NaHCO₃$ solution. The organic layer was dried (Na₂SO₄), filtered and concentrated in vacuo. The crude was slurried to afford 4c as a white solid (132 mg, yield 89%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- d_6): δ 11.60 (s, 1H), 7.77 (d, $J = 8.0$ Hz, 1H), 6.06 (s, 1H), 5.79 (dd, $J = 19.9$, 7.3 Hz, 1H), 5.71 (d, $J = 8.0$ Hz, 1H), 5.56 (d, $J = 7.4$ Hz, 1H), 4.43 $(t, J = 11.7 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{H}), 4.25 (t, J = 11.5 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{H}), 2.10 (s, 6\text{H}), 2.04$ (s, 3H). ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, DMSO- d_6): δ 169.64, 169.49, 168.99, 163.12, 150.00, 143.97, 114.41 (d, J = 233.9 Hz), 102.18, 93.79, 70.27, 68.98 (d, $J = 19.5$ Hz), 61.37 (d, $J = 35.7$ Hz), 20.30, 20.26, 20.04. HRMS (ESI⁺) m/z : calcd for C₁₅H₁₇FN₂O₉ [M + $[\mathrm{H}]^{+}$, 389.0991; found, 389.0990.

1-((3ªR,4R,6R,6ªS)-6-Fluoro-6-(iodomethyl)-2-oxotetrahydrofuro [3,4-d][1,3]dioxol-4-yl)pyrimidine-2,4(1H,3H)-dione (23)

A solution of 8 (950 mg, 2.55 mmol) in THF (5 mL) was treated with 1,1′-carbonyldiimidazole (616 mg, 3.80 mmol) at rt for 30 min and then concentrated. The residue was purified by flash chromatography (PE: EA = $1:1 \rightarrow 1:2$) to obtain compound 23 as a white foamy solid (520 mg, yield 51%). 1 H NMR $(500 \text{ MHz}, \text{ DMSO-}d_6)$: δ 11.64 (s, 1H), 7.79 (d, $J = 8.0 \text{ Hz}, 1$ H), 6.31 (s, 1H), 5.77 (d, $J = 7.3$ Hz, 1H), 5.72 (d, 1H), 5.56 (dd, $J =$ 11.4, 7.3 Hz, 1H), 3.62 $(d, J = 18.2$ Hz, 2H).

1-((3aR,4R,6S,6aS)-6-Fluoro-6-(hydroxymethyl)-2 oxotetrahydrofuro[3,4-d][1,3]dioxol-4-yl)pyrimidine-2,4(1H,3H) dione (4d)

By following the procedure for the synthesis of 10, 4d (220 mg, yield 69%) was obtained as a white solid from 23 (440 mg, 1.1 mmol). ¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- d_6): δ 11.59 (s, 1H), 7.78 $(d, J = 8.0$ Hz, 1H), 6.27 (s, 1H), 5.73 $(d, J = 7.3$ Hz, 1H), 5.70 $(d,$ $J = 8.0$ Hz, 1H), 5.58 (t, $J = 6.5$ Hz, 1H), 5.53 (dd, $J = 12.1$, 7.3 Hz, 1H), 3.76-3.55 (m, 2H). ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, DMSO- d_6): δ 163.35, 153.65, 150.23, 144.13, 117.55 (d, J = 234.6 Hz), 102.08, 93.52, 81.28, 78.32 (d, $J = 20.0$ Hz), 61.55 (d, $J = 32.0$ Hz). HRMS (ESI⁺) m/z : calcd for C₁₀H₉FN₂O₇ [M + H]⁺, 289.0467; found, 289.0466. Open Access Article. Published on 03 March 2023. Downloaded on 10/10/2024 2:15:25 PM. This article is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 3.0 Unported Licence.](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0/) **[View Article Online](https://doi.org/10.1039/D3OB00268C)**

(2S,3S,4R,5R)-2-((((tert-Butoxycarbonyl)-L-valyl)oxy)methyl)-5- (2,4-dioxo-3,4-dihydropyrimidin-1(2H)-yl)-2 fluorotetrahydrofuran-3,4-diyl bis(2-methylpropanoate) (24)

Compound 24 (254.6 mg, yield 85%) was synthesized according to method B from 10 (200.0 mg, 0.50 mmol). 1 H NMR $(500 \text{ MHz}, \text{ DMSO-}d_6)$: δ 11.58 (s, 1H), 7.78 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 1H), 7.16 (d, $J = 8.2$ Hz, 1H), 6.05 (d, $J = 2.3$ Hz, 1H), 5.76 (dd, $J =$ 19.4, 7.3 Hz, 1H), 5.71 (dd, $J = 8.1$, 2.1 Hz, 1H), 5.56 (dd, $J =$ 7.3, 2.4 Hz, 1H), 4.41-4.29 (m, 2H), 3.91 (dd, $J = 8.2$, 5.9 Hz, 1H), 2.65–2.56 (m, 2H), 2.08–2.01 (m, 1H), 1.37 (s, 9H), 1.14–1.05 (m, 12H), 0.85 (t, $J = 7.4$ Hz, 6H). LRMS (ESI⁻) m/z : calcd for $C_{27}H_{40}FN_3O_{11}$ [M + Cl]⁻, 601.26; found, 636.2.

(2S,3S,4R,5R)-2-(((L-Valyl)oxy)methyl)-5-(2,4-dioxo-3,4 dihydropyrimidin-1(2H)-yl)-2-fluorotetrahydrofuran-3,4-diyl bis (2-methylpropanoate) (4e)

To a stirred solution of 24 (50.0 mg, 0.08 mmol) in DCM (1 mL), trifluoroacetic acid (0.1 mL, 0.83 mmol) was added. The reaction mixture was stirred at rt for 30 min and then concentrated. The residue was purified by thin layer chromatography $(DCM : MeOH = 20:1)$ to obtain 4e as a white foamy solid (34.6 mg, yield 83%). ¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- d_6): δ 7.78 (d, $J = 8.0$ Hz, 1H), 6.03 (d, $J = 2.2$ Hz, 1H), 5.81 (dd, $J =$ 19.7, 7.3 Hz, 1H), 5.70 (d, $J = 8.0$ Hz, 1H), 5.58 (dd, $J = 7.3$, 2.2 Hz, 1H), 4.41-4.27 (m, 2H), 3.14 (d, $J = 5.2$ Hz, 1H), 2.59 (pd, J = 7.0, 2.5 Hz, 2H), 1.92–1.81 (m, 1H), 1.15–1.08 (m, 12H), 0.86 $(d, J = 6.9$ Hz, 3H), 0.79 $(d, J = 6.8$ Hz, 3H). ¹³C NMR (151 MHz, DMSO-d6): δ 175.21, 174.85, 168.41, 163.23, 150.18, 144.02, 114.26 (d, $J = 233.9$ Hz), 102.31, 93.94, 70.41, 69.26 (d, $J = 18.9$ Hz), 62.77 (d, J = 36.4 Hz), 57.34, 33.07, 33.02, 29.30, 18.63, 18.59, 18.53, 18.40, 17.85, 17.56. HRMS (ESI⁺) m/z: calcd for $C_{22}H_{32}FN_{3}O_{9}[M+H]^{+}$, 502.2195; found, 502.2200.

Antiviral assays and cytotoxicity

Cytopathic effect (CPE) assay was used for testing the inhibitory activity of the compounds against respiratory syncytial virus (RSV) and influenza virus (IFV) replication.

Anti-RSV activity assay

Hep-2 cells were plated on 96-well plates (6×10^3 cells per well) and incubated with 5% $CO₂$ overnight at 37 °C. The following day, serial dilutions of the test compounds were added, with ALS-8112 as the positive control, followed by the addition of RSV/A Long (ATCC VR-26). After incubating for 5 days, the cell viability and cytotoxicity were evaluated using a spectrophotometric plate reader and calculated using GraphPad Prism software. The results are expressed as EC_{50} (concentrations reducing viral replication by 50%) and CC_{50} (concentrations decreasing cell viability by 50%) values.

Anti-IFV activity assay

Pre-seeded MDCK cells $(1.5 \times 10^4 \text{ cells per well})$ were treated with indicated concentrations of the test compounds, and then infected with IFV A (California/07/2009 (H1N1) pdm09 (ATCC, VR-1894)). After 5 days of incubation, CCK-8 was added, and the absorbance of each well was read on a spectrophotometric plate reader. The EC_{50} and CC_{50} values were calculated with GraphPad Prism software.

Stability assays

Ammonium dihydrogen phosphate (0.115 g) was dissolved in pure water (100 mL) to prepare the buffer solution (30 mL), and phosphoric acid was added to adjust the pH to 4.0. The pH 2.0 buffer solution was prepared in the same way. Compound 1a, 4b-d or 22 (0.5 mg) was added to a 1:1 (v/v) mixture of acetonitrile and buffer solutions ($pH = 2.0/4.0$) to prepare the incubation mixture (0.5 mg mL⁻¹), which was incubated at rt for 7 days. The samples $(5 \mu L)$ were analyzed by HPLC. The determination of the purity of compounds 1a, 4b–d and 22 was performed on a YMC Pack Pro C_4 column (250 \times 4.6 mm, 5 μ M). The wavelength of the UV detector was 210 nm. Eluent A: $H₂O$; B: acetonitrile. Gradient: from 0 to 5 min: 95% of A; from 20 to 25 min: 10% of A. The flow rate is 1.0 mL min^{-1} .

Pharmacokinetic studies

The PK studies of compound 22 in SD rats were conducted at HQ Bioscience Co., Ltd. Before the experiment, SD rats ($N = 3$ for each group, male, 180–280 g) were fasted for 12 h, except the intravenous group, and allowed to drink water. Four hours after administration, the rats were fed. The compound dissolved in 5% DMSO + 5% ethanol + 40% PEG400 + 50% saline was administered orally at 10 mg kg^{-1} and intravenously at 5 mg kg−¹ , respectively. 0.2 mL blood sample was collected from the jugular vein and placed in heparin sodium anticoagulation tubes at 0.25, 0.5, 1.0, 2.0, 4.0, 6.0, 8.0, and 24 h after administration, and centrifuged at 2000 g at 4 \degree C within 10 min. After centrifugation, the plasma samples $(30 \mu L)$ were

accurately aspirated into a plastic tube, which contained 600 μL methanol solution with the internal standard (tolbutamide, 100 ng mL^{-1}), and frozen in a refrigerator at an ultra-low temperature for testing. The concentration of 22 in plasma was determined by LC-MS/MS.

The tri-isobutyrate ester 1a and compound 22 dissolved in 10% DMSO + 10% solutol + 80% (20% HP-β-CD) were orally administered at the same molar dose (10 mg kg⁻¹ for 22, and 18 mg kg^{-1} for 1a). The procedure for PK studies in rats was the same as described above.

The analysis was performed on a system of LC-MS010 (5500) and data processing was performed using Analyst1.6.3 (Sciex). The analytes were separated using an Agela Venusil ASB-C₁₈ column (150 Å, 3 μ M, 4.6 \times 50 mm) with an injection volume of 3.00 µL. Mobile system: (A) water with 0.5 mM ammonium acetate; B: methanol. Gradient: from 0 to 0.40 min: 35% of B; from 0.40 to 1.20 min: 35%–90% of B; from 1.20 to 2.40 min: 90% of B; from 2.40 to 2.50 min: 90%– 35% of B; from 2.50 to 3.50 min: 35% of B. Flow rate: from 0 to 0.20 min: 0.5500 mL min−¹ , from 0.20 to 3.5 min: 0.700 mL min⁻¹ with the column oven temperature at 40 °C. General MS parameters were set as follows: ion source, ESI; injection voltage: −4500 V; Gas1: 50 psi; Gas2: 50 psi; ion source temperature: 500 °C; dwell time: 80 ms. Auto-MS negative mode was used. Paper
 Consention Consention Consention Con

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Shanghai Municipal Science and Technology Major Project and Lingang Laboratory Emergent Project (LG202103-04-04).

References

- 1 R. Ochani, A. Asad, F. Yasmin, S. Shaikh, H. Khalid, S. Batra, M. R. Sohail, S. F. Mahmood, R. Ochani, M. Arshad Hussham, A. Kumar and S. Surani, Infez. Med., 2021, 29, 20.
- 2 S. Sunny, J. Samaroo-Campbell, M. Abdallah, A. Luka and J. Quale, Infection, 2023, 51, 247.
- 3 Y. Y. Syed, Drugs, 2022, 82, 455.
- 4 E. Tchesnokov, J. Feng, D. Porter and M. Götte, Viruses, 2019, 11, 326.
- 5 Y. Li, M. Liu, Y. Yan, Z. Wang, Q. Dai, X. Yang, X. Guo, W. Li, X. Chen, R. Cao and W. Zhong, Viruses, 2022, 14, 1142.
- 6 E. Zarenezhad and M. Marzi, Med. Chem. Res., 2022, 31, 232.
- 7 O. Reynard, X. N. Nguyen, N. Alazard-Dany, V. Barateau, A. Cimarelli and V. E. Volchkov, Viruses, 2015, 7, 6233.
- 8 G. R. Painter, R. A. Bowen, G. R. Bluemling, J. DeBergh, V. Edpuganti, P. R. Gruddanti, D. B. Guthrie, M. Hager, D. L. Kuiper, M. A. Lockwood, D. G. Mitchell, M. G. Natchus, Z. M. Sticher and A. A. Kolykhalov, Antiviral Res., 2019, 171, 104597.
- 9 J. J. Yoon, M. Toots, S. Lee, M. E. Lee, B. Ludeke, J. M. Luczo, K. Ganti, R. M. Cox, Z. M. Sticher, V. Edpuganti, D. G. Mitchell, M. A. Lockwood, A. A. Kolykhalov, A. L. Greninger, M. L. Moore, G. R. Painter, A. C. Lowen, S. M. Tompkins, R. Fearns, M. G. Natchus and R. K. Plemper, Antimicrob. Agents Chemother., 2018, 62, e00766. Organic & Blomolecular Chemistry
 Form Access Articles. Published on Access Articles. The California Chemis Articles. A considerable Resolution Access Articles. A considerable and A Resolution-NonCommercial 3.1-25:25 PM.
	- 10 I. D. Jenkins, J. Verheyden and J. G. Moffatt, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1976, 98, 3346.
	- 11 S. Martínez-Montero, G. F. Deleavey, A. Kulkarni, N. Martín-Pintado, P. Lindovska, M. Thomson, C. Gonzalez, M. Gotte and M. J. Damha, J. Org. Chem., 2014, 79, 5627.
	- 12 G. Wang, N. Dyatkina, M. Prhavc, C. Williams, V. Serebryany, Y. Hu, Y. Huang, J. Wan, X. Wu, J. Deval, A. Fung, Z. Jin, H. Tan, K. Shaw, H. Kang, Q. Zhang, Y. Tam, A. Stoycheva, A. Jekle, D. B. Smith and L. Beigelman, J. Med. Chem., 2019, 62, 4555.
	- 13 V. Gopalsamuthiram, A. L. Kadam, J. K. Noble, D. R. Snead, C. Williams, T. F. Jamison, C. Senanayake, A. K. Yadaw,

S. Roy, G. Sirasani, B. F. Gupton, J. Burns, D. W. Cook, R. W. Stringham, S. Ahmad and R. Krack, Org. Process Res. Dev., 2021, 25, 2679.

- 14 J. M. Madern, T. Hansen, E. R. van Rijssel, H. A. V. Kistemaker, S. van der Vorm, H. S. Overkleeft, G. A. van der Marel, D. V. Filippov and J. D. C. Codee, J. Org. Chem., 2019, 84, 1218.
- 15 J. Sourimant, C. M. Lieber, M. Aggarwal, R. M. Cox, J. D. Wolf, J. J. Yoon, M. Toots, C. Ye, Z. Sticher, A. A. Kolykhalov, L. Martinez-Sobrido, G. R. Bluemling, M. G. Natchus, G. R. Painter and R. K. Plemper, Science, 2022, 375, 161.
- 16 M. Guinan, N. Huang, M. Smith and G. J. Miller, Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett., 2022, 61, 128605.
- 17 S. Raymond, A. Frank, Z. Che and Z. Keivan, US pat., 2022217155A2, 2022.
- 18 I. Alexeeva, N. Dyachenko, L. Nosach, V. Zhovnovataya, S. Rybalko, R. Lozitskaya, A. Fedchuk, V. Lozitsky, T. Gridina, A. Shalamay, L. Palchikovskaja and O. Povnitsa, Nucleosides, Nucleotides Nucleic Acids, 2001, 20, 1147.
- 19 F. Sorm and J. Skoda, Acta Unio Int. Cancrum, 1964, 20, 37.
- 20 Q. Li, J. Chen, M. Trajkovski, Y. Zhou, C. Fan, K. Lu, P. Tang, X. Su, J. Plavec and Z. Xi, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2020, 142, 4739.
- 21 S. Lee, C. Uttamapinant and G. L. Verdine, Org. Lett., 2007, 9, 5007.