Nanoscale Advances

PAPER

Cite this: Nanoscale Adv., 2023, 5, 6670

Received 3rd May 2023 Accepted 25th October 2023

DOI: 10.1039/d3na00294b

rsc.li/nanoscale-advances

1. Introduction

Human society mainly uses exhaustible energy sources, like natural gas, natural oil, and $coal¹$. The combustion of these fuels produces environmental pollutants as a by-product, thus creating an environmental threat. Signicant research efforts are being devoted to the development of clean and renewable energy sources as a replacement for traditional energy sources. In addition, urea is widely used as a significant nitrogen source in industry and agriculture.² Urea is produced in large quantities from bio-waste and is widely used in the chemical industry.³ Urea from agriculture, industries, and domestic waste enters fresh water and acts as a pollutant. Treating urea in wastewater is essential due to the rising water pollution caused by urea discharge.3,4 Urea-containing wastewater can produce green hydrogen energy and reduces environmental pollution through the urea oxidation process. The process of producing hydrogen gas from urea-containing wastewater is promising from the standpoint of sustainable energy. This H_2 energy is considered a potential fuel with high efficiency and no emission of harmful chemicals.5,6 The photoelectrochemical (PEC) urea oxidation

A TiO₂ nanorod and perylene diimide based inorganic/organic nanoheterostructure photoanode for photoelectrochemical urea oxidation†

Jasmine Bezboruah[,](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-7254-1012) ‡ Devendra Mayurdhwaj Sanke, \mathbb{D} † Ajay Vinayakrao Munde, Palak Trilochand Bhattad, Himadri Sh[e](http://orcid.org/0000-0001-8902-257X)khar Karmakar and Sanjio S. Zade ¹

Visible light-driven photoelectrochemical (PEC) urea oxidation using inorganic/organic nanoheterostructure (NH) photoanodes is an attractive method for hydrogen (H₂) production. In this article, inorganic/organic NHs (TiO₂/PDIEH) consisting of a N,N-bis(2-ethylhexyl)perylene-3,4,9,10tetracarboxylic diimide (PDIEH) thin layer over $TiO₂$ nanorods (NRs) were fabricated for the PEC urea oxidation reaction (UOR). In these NHs, a PDIEH layer was anchored on $TiO₂$ NR arrays using the spincoating technique, which is beneficial for the uniform deposition of PDIEH on TiO₂ NRs. Uniform deposition facilitated adequate interface contact between PDIEH and TiO₂ NRs. TiO₂/PDIEH NHs achieved a high current density of 1.1 mA cm⁻² at 1.96 V_{RHE} compared to TiO₂ NRs. TiO₂/PDIEH offers long-term stability under light illumination with 90.21% faradaic efficiency. TiO₂/PDIEH exhibits a solarto-hydrogen efficiency of 0.52%. This outcome opens up new opportunities for inorganic/organic NHs for high-performance PEC urea oxidation. PAPER

(a) Checkfore publishes **A TiO₂ nanorod and perylene diimide based increases the model of the m**

reaction (UOR) has been proven to be an effective approach for $H₂$ generation with low overpotential and energy input.^{7,8} Theoretically, the urea electrolysis voltage is only 0.37 $\rm{V_{RHE}}$. This makes PEC urea oxidation a vital method for H_2 generation. UOR not only generates H_2 but also reduces environmental pollution.

In 1972, the discovery of $TiO₂$ electrodes as a photoelectrocatalyst by Fujishima and Honda opened the door for PEC studies.¹⁰ Later, extensive research on TiO₂ in different forms, like TiO₂ nanorods (NRs),¹¹ nanotubes,¹² and nanoparticles,^{13,14} as photocatalysts was carried out. Cho et al. reported branched TiO₂ NRs for PEC H₂ production.¹⁵ Niu et al. reported corrugated nanowire TiO₂ as a versatile photoanode for PEC alcohol and water oxidation.¹⁶ Cho et al. reported codoping $TiO₂$ nanowires with tungsten and carbon for enhanced PEC performance.¹⁷ Hwang et al. reported a $TiO_2/BiVO_4/SnO_2$ triple-layer photoanode with enhanced PEC performance.¹⁸ Duan et al. reported a TiO₂ nanowire/microflower photoanode modified with Au nanoparticles for efficient PEC water splitting.¹⁹ Park et al. reported photocatalytic UOR on $TiO₂$ in water and urine.²⁰ In addition to increasing the conductivity, $TiO₂$ has been doped and blended with various metals $21-23$ and non-metals. $24-26$ To functionalize doped/undoped $TiO₂$, various organic semiconductors have gradually emerged as beneficial candidates for designing $TiO₂$ NH photoanodes.²⁷⁻²⁹

Perylene diimide (PDI) derivatives have received a lot of attention as organic semiconductors $(OSCs)^{30,31}$ in the fields of

Department of Chemical Sciences, Centre for Advanced Functional Materials, Indian Institute of Science Education and Research (IISER) Kolkata, Mohanpur, Nadia 741246, West Bengal, India. E-mail: sanjiozade@iiserkol.ac.in

[†] Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Synthesis of TiO2, synthesis of PDIEh, NMR. See DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1039/d3na00294b>

[‡] These authors contributed equally to this work.

fluorescent solar collectors,³² organic photovoltaics (OPVs),³³ and organic field-effect transistors (OFETs).³⁴ PDI derivatives possess excellent thermal and optical stability and good carrier transport properties.35,36 The relatively facile and reversible reduction process of PDI derivatives is important in many applications.³⁷ The relatively simple and reversible reduction of PDIs is important in many of these applications. They are widely used in basic studies on photoinduced energy and electrontransfer processes because of their facile reductions, combined with their easily identifiable excited states, anions, and dianions *via* absorption spectra.^{30,31} Due to their fused-ring structures and strong intermolecular forces, they are poorly soluble in organic solvents. N-Alkyl substitution improves the solubility of PDIs in various organic solvents and enables the integration of PDI derivatives into NHs.³⁰ To improve the photocatalytic activity of NHs, Zhang et al. reported NH composites of various PDI derivatives with TiO_2 .³⁸ A TiO_2 nanotube and a PDI were combined in an organic/inorganic heterojunction for PEC water splitting.³⁹ Paper

Access Article Control of Control of Control of The Michael Control of Commons are the common properties. See all the common p

This paper focuses on the engineering of inorganic/organic NHs with N,N-bis(2-ethylhexyl)perylene-3,4,9,10-tetracarboxylic diimide (PDIEH) anchored on TiO₂ NRs (represented as TiO₂/ PDIEH henceforth (Fig. S3†)). To synthesize PDIEH, commercially available PDA was used as the starting material and modified at an anhydride functional group with 2-ethylhexylamine (Scheme 1). The PEC properties of $TiO₂/PDIEH NHS$ towards PEC urea oxidation reaction (UOR) were investigated using various electrochemical measurements. A stability test was done by performing a chronoamperometry experiment. The morphological study was done by field emission scanning electron microscopy (FE-SEM).

2. Results and discussion

The FESEM images of the TiO₂ NRs reveal uniformly distributed $TiO₂$ NRs grown vertically on the FTO substrate with a rectangular surface (Fig. 1a). The thin coating of PDIEH over $TiO₂ NRs$ can be seen in the FESEM images of the $TiO₂/PDIEH$ NHs (Fig. 1b). Element mapping showed the even distribution of Ti

Scheme 1 Synthesis of N,N-bis(2-ethylhexyl)perylene-3,4,9,10-tetracarboxylic diimide (PDIEH).

Fig. 1 FESEM images of (a) $TiO₂$ NRs and (b) $TiO₂/PDIEH$ NHs. (c) SEM image of $TiO₂$ NRs for EDS measurement and corresponding element mapping of (d) Ti and (e) O. (f) EDX spectrum of $TiO₂$ NRs. Crosssection FESEM images of (g) $TiO₂$ NRs and (h) $TiO₂/PDIEH$ NHs.

and O elements in TiO₂ NRs (Fig. 1c-e). Furthermore, the energy-dispersive X-ray (EDX) spectrum of TiO₂ NRs (Fig. 1f) showed Ti and O elements, confirming the successful formation of $TiO₂$ NRs. Fig. 1g shows the cross-sectional FESEM image of the TiO₂ NRs, which reveals an average size of \sim 1 µm. The thick coating of PDIEH of \sim 0.3 μm on TiO₂ NRs is seen in the crosssectional image of TiO₂/PDIEH NHs with a strong interface between $TiO₂ NRs$ and PDIEH (Fig. 1h). Fig. S4a and c† show the top-view and cross-sectional FESEM images of TiO₂/PDIEH NHs with the ∼0.6 µm thick PDIEH layer and Fig. S4b and d† show the top-view and cross-sectional FESEM images of $TiO₂/PDIEH$ NHs with the ∼0.8 µm thick PDIEH layer. Fig. S5a† shows the PXRD pattern of powder PDIEH, revealing its crystalline nature. A comparison of the X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns for both photoanodes (TiO₂ NRs and TiO₂/PDIEH NHs) indicates the formation of NHs (Fig. $S5b\dagger$). The XRD pattern of TiO₂/PDIEH NHs shows additional peaks around 10° (enclosed in a red rectangle) corresponding to PDIEH. The Raman spectrum of PDIEH showed multiple peaks located at 1084 cm−¹ (C–H bending vibrations), 1301 cm⁻¹ (ring stretching), 1380 cm⁻¹ (ring stretching), 1454 cm⁻¹ (ring stretching), 1570 cm⁻¹ (C=C stretching), 1587 cm⁻¹ (C=C stretching), and 1612 cm⁻¹ (C=C stretching), matching well with reported values (Fig. $S4c\dagger$).^{40,41}

The absorption spectrum of PDIEH recorded in chloroform showed multiple peaks located at 429 nm, 457 nm, 489 nm, and 525 nm (Fig. 2a) which match the reported spectrum.^{42,43} The absorption bands at 457, 489, and 525 nm are attributed to the 0-0, 0-1, and 0-2 electronic transitions, respectively.⁴² The spectral intensity of the 0–0 transition is smaller than that of the 0–1 transition. This might be due to the existence of a face-toface-stacked dimer of PDI in the solvent.⁴² PDIEH showed maximum absorbance at 525 nm, which corresponds to the vibronic progression of the first S_0-S_1 transition, making PDIEH a good candidate as an organic semiconductor to be blended with TiO₂ for PEC application.⁴⁴ TiO₂ barely absorbs any light in

Fig. 2 Absorbance spectra of (a) PDIEH in CHCl₃, (b) $TiO₂$ NRs, and (c) PDIEH thin film coated on FTO and TiO₂/PDIEH NHs. The Kubelka-Munk plots of (d) PDIEH in CHCl $_3$, (e) TiO₂ NRs, (f) PDIEH thin film coated on FTO, and (g) $TiO₂/PDIEH$ NHs.

the visible spectrum, as shown in Fig. 2b. Fig. 2c displays the absorption spectra of PDIEH thin films on FTO (FTO/PDIEH) and TiO₂/PDIEH NHs. TiO₂/PDIEH NHs exhibited absorption in wide visible regions corresponding to the absorbance of PDIEH. FTO/PDIEH shows higher absorption intensity compared to $TiO₂/PDIEH$ NHs because of the higher concentration of PDIEH in FTO/PDIEH than in TiO₂/PDIEH NHs. The absorption spectra of TiO₂/PDIEH NHs were also recorded when changing the thickness of PDIEH on TiO₂ (Fig. S6†). On increasing the thickness of PDIEH, no absorption is observed because it blocks the incident beam of the UV-vis spectrophotometer and no absorption is observed by the detector. The photoluminescence (PL) spectrum of PDIEH in $CHCl₃$ exhibited emission peaks at 609 nm and 635 nm (Fig. S7a†). The PL spectrum for TiO₂ NRs (Fig. S7b†) shows an emission peak at 413 nm arising from the radiative recombination of self-trapped excitons localized within the octahedral $TiO₆$ matrix and oxygen vacancies (V_O) .⁴⁵ The peak at about 441 nm is due to V_O with two trapped electrons, and the peaks at 469 nm and 483 nm are due to V_O with a single trapped electron center.^{22,46} The peak at 527 nm is ascribed to oxygen vacancy-related trap states.²² The PL spectra of PDIEH thin film on FTO (FTO/PDIEH) and $TiO₂/$ PDIEH NHs are shown in Fig. S7c.† TiO₂/PDIEH NHs exhibit emission around 645 nm corresponding to the PDIEH as revealed from the emission spectrum of FTO/PDIEH. The Kubelka–Munk plots of PDIEH (Fig. 2d), TiO₂ NRs (Fig. 2e), FTO/PDIEH (Fig. 2f), and TiO₂/PDIEH NHs (Fig. 2g) were used to calculate their respective optical band gaps (E_{g}) . The E_{g} calculated for PDIEH, TiO₂ NRs, FTO/PDIEH, and TiO₂/PDIEH NHs were 2.28 eV, 3.2 eV, 2.05 eV, and 1.87 eV, respectively.

XPS was carried out to analyze the constituent elements of TiO₂ (Fig. S8†) and TiO₂/PDIEH NHs (Fig. 3). Fig. 3a shows that the XPS spectrum of C 1s for the NHs consists of three peaks at

Fig. 3 High-resolution peak-fitted XPS spectra of (a) C 1s, (b) N 1s, (c) Ti 2p, and (d) O 1s for TiO₂/PDIEH NHs.

283 eV, 284.9 eV, and 287.11 eV. The small peak at 283 eV is a signature of sp^2 hybridized C=C carbon.^{47,48} The 284.9 eV peak corresponds to benzenic and adventitious carbon.⁴⁷ The 288.8 eV peak corresponds to the carbon in the carbonyl group $(C=O).$ ⁴⁹ The high-resolution XPS spectrum of N 1s (Fig. 3b) consists of three peaks centered at 402.96 eV, 400.51 eV, and 397.53 eV. The high binding energy 402.96 eV peak is attributed to the X-ray oxidized charged nitrogen bipolarity.⁴⁷ The 400.51 eV peak is assigned to the imide $((O=C)-N-(C=O))$ functional group.⁵⁰ The 397.93 eV binding energy peak is related to the nitrogen involved in Ti–N bonds.⁴⁷ The Ti 2p XPS spectrum (Fig. 3c and S8a†) consists of two doublet peaks centered at 459 eV and 464.73 eV representing the Ti $2p_{1/2}$ and Ti $2p_{3/2}$ states of Ti⁴⁺.⁵¹ The XPS of Ti 2p for both TiO₂ and TiO₂/PDIEH reveals the same type of Ti cluster in both materials. The O 1s spectrum (Fig. S8b†) of TiO₂ depicts a 530.11 eV peak, corresponding to lattice oxygen (O_L) in the TiO₂ matrix. The secondary peak centered at 532.21 eV corresponds to oxygen vacancies (V_O) ^{47,49} The XPS of O 1s from TiO₂/PDIEH NHs (Fig. 3d) shows small shifts in the O_L peak (530.91 eV) and V_O peak (532.81 eV) which might be due to the interaction of PDIEH with $TiO₂$, as the peak centered at 532.81 eV is attributed to the carbonyl $(C=O)$ group present in the organic compound. Moreover, the small peak at 534.32 eV corresponds to the C–O group occurring in PDI due to the resonance phenomenon in the imide bond.⁴⁹ The above discussion reveals that there is covalent interaction between $TiO₂$ NRs and PDIEH.

3. Photoelectrochemical (PEC) studies

All PEC measurements of TiO₂ NR and TiO₂/PDIEH NH photoanodes were conducted in a three-electrode system on a CHI 660D electrochemical workstation (details are given in ESI†). Note that to convert the potential value $(V_{Ag/AgCl})$ measured against the Ag/AgCl reference electrode into the reversible Paper **Nanoscale Advances Paper** Nanoscale Advances **Nanoscale Advances**

hydrogen electrode (RHE), eqn (S1)† was employed. Fig. 4a shows the linear sweep voltammetry (LSV) graphs in aq. 0.5 M KOH solution. The TiO₂ NRs and TiO₂/PDIEH NHs exhibit photocurrent densities ($J_{\rm ph}$) of 0.29 mA cm^{−2} and 0.48 mA cm^{−2} at 1.965 V_{RHE}. This increment in J_{ph} after the formation of NHs is due to fast charge transmission at the electrode/electrolyte surface.⁴⁹ A thin layer of PDIEH facilitates the absorbance of the photon within a wide-range of visible light that results in better charge separation. The PEC water oxidation onset potential is the potential in the LSV plot recorded in KOH solution at which the slope of J_{ph} meets the dark current.⁵¹ The water oxidation onset potentials shown by the TiO₂ NR and TiO₂/PDIEH NH photoanodes are 0.20 V_{RHE} and 0.30 V_{RHE} , respectively (Fig. 4a) and exhibit anodic shifts of 0.1 V in the onset potential of the $TiO₂/PDIEH NH$ photoanode compared to the TiO₂ NRs. It is worth mentioning here that, despite having an anodically shifted onset value compared to the TiO₂ NR photoanode, the $TiO₂/PDIEH$ NH photoanode has a significantly higher J_{ph} than the TiO₂ NR photoanode. The anodically shifted onset value for the TiO₂/PDIEH NH photoanode suggests sluggish oxidation kinetics at a lower potential, which is probably attributable to the unfavorable surface properties toward PEC water oxidation arising from surface charge recombination at lower potential.⁵² Fig. 4c shows the LSV graphs in KOH solution with urea. The TiO₂ NRs and TiO₂/ PDIEH NHs exhibit $J_{\rm ph}$ of 0.42 mA cm⁻² and 1.1 mA cm⁻² at 1.965 V_{RHE}. This increment in $J_{\rm ph}$ of both photoanodes in the presence of urea is due to UOR over the photoanodes.⁵³ The fastchopped light illumination LSV curve was recorded in KOH solution without (Fig. 4b) and with urea (Fig. 4d), confirming the fast and reproducible light sensitivity of the photoanodes. The PEC urea oxidation onset potential (V_{op}) is the potential in the LSV plot at which the slope of $J_{\rm ph}$ meets the dark current.⁵⁴ A PEC system with high $J_{\rm ph}$ and low $V_{\rm op}$ exhibits higher efficiency toward UOR.⁵⁵ From the LSV graph of TiO₂ NRs and TiO₂/PDIEH Paper
 Published on 09 November 2023. November 2023. November 2023. Download the significant on the significant on the significant on 2023. Published on 2023. Published on 2023. Published on 2023. Downloaded on 22. Nove

Fig. 4 (a) The LSV plots in 0.5 M KOH solution. (b) The LSV plots under fast-chopped light in aq. 0.5 M KOH solution. (c) The LSV plots in aq. 0.5 M KOH + aq. 0.5 M urea solution. (d) The LSV plots under fastchopped light in 0.5 M KOH $+$ 0.5 M urea solution.

in KOH solution with urea, the V_{op} for TiO₂ NRs is found to be 0.40 V_{RHE} , which is higher than the standard PEC urea oxidation $V_{\rm op}$ (0.37 $\rm V_{\rm RHE}$).⁵⁶ This higher $V_{\rm op}$ of TiO₂ NRs towards PEC UOR reveals its lover activity towards PEC UOR. The V_{op} for TiO₂/PDIEH NHs was found to be 0.24 V_{RHE} , which is lower than the standard PEC urea oxidation V_{op} (0.37 V_{RHE}).⁵⁶ TiO₂/PDIEH NHs exhibit a cathodic shift of 0.2 V compared to $TiO₂$ NRs, indicating improved photocatalytic activity towards UOR after forming NHs. The improvement in the performance after forming NHs is due to the active surface, which allows fast charge transmission at the electrode/electrolyte surface.⁴⁹ From the results, it can be estimated that $TiO₂/PDIEH$ NHs is not an appropriate catalyst for the water oxidation reaction, while $TiO₂$ NRs is not an appropriate catalyst for UOR.⁵⁷ The thickness of the semiconductor layer in type II NHs is of great importance to understanding the electron transfer, which is important for optimizing the efficiency of the PEC system. The effect of changes in coating thickness on the PEC response of NHs was studied. Fig. S9a† shows the LSV plots of $TiO₂/PDIEH$ NHs with variable thicknesses of the PDIEH layer which reveal that with increasing PDIEH thickness, the $J_{\rm ph}$ decrease. This decrease in $J_{\rm ph}$ is observed because with the increasing thickness, the exposure of the $TiO₂$ to light decreases and thus the photogeneration of electron–hole pairs decreases. Additionally, this thick layer lacks the proper inter-junction contact between semiconductors which decreases the better charge transfer between NH layers.⁵⁸

The chronoamperometry $(i-t)$ experiments of TiO₂ NRs and TiO2/PDIEH NHs reveal that both photoanodes have good photostability (Fig. S9b†). In the $i-t$ experiments of TiO₂/PDIEH NHs, a gradual increase in the photocurrent density at the initial stage is observed which may be related to some surface phenomenon like rapid charge transfer at the electrode/ electrolyte interface in the initial stages. FT-IR spectra of $TiO₂/$ PDIEH NHs (Fig. S10†) before usage and after 10 hours of the PEC stability test also confirm the stability of $TiO₂/PDIEH NHS$. After the stability test, FT-IR spectra reveal that the structure of PDIEH has not changed. In PEC water oxidation, O_2 gas is generated over the anode. This experiment was performed in 0.5 M KOH solution. Fig. S12a† shows the quantities of $O₂$ collected using the TiO₂ NR and TiO₂/PDIEH NH photoelectrodes. The amounts of oxygen gas collected for the $TiO₂ NR$ and TiO₂/PDIEH NH electrodes are 1.9 µmol cm⁻² and 4.55 µmol cm⁻², respectively. Both photoanodes exhibited a stable $O₂$ generation ability. The faradaic efficiencies (FE) (details are given in ESI†) calculated for each photoelectrochemical OER are 55% and 81.3% for the TiO₂ NR and TiO₂/PDIEH NH electrodes, respectively (Fig. S12b†). The increment in the oxygen production in TiO₂/PDIEH NH electrodes could be attributed to the nanoheterostructure formation. The H_2 production capabilities of the photoanodes were tested by running an $i-t$ experiment under constant light irradiation for 60 min (Fig. 5a). In PEC UOR, H_2 was generated at the cathode. The amount of H_2 generated was measured using an inverted-burette technique (Fig. S6a†). Fig. 5b shows the quantity of H_2 collected after each 15 min at 0.96 V_{RHE} . The quantities of H_2 collected after 60 min for the TiO₂ NR and TiO₂/PDIEH NH electrodes are 3.32 μ mol

Fig. 5 (a) $i-t$ plots recorded at 0.96 V_{RHE} in 0.5 M KOH + 0.5 M urea solution. (b) The amounts of H_2 gas collected at different time intervals. (c) Faradaic efficiency plots. (d) Solar-to-hydrogen (STH) conversion efficiencies.

 $\rm cm^{-2}$ and 10.2 µmol $\rm cm^{-2}$, respectively. The generation of $\rm H_{2}$ over the Pt wire electrode with the $TiO₂/PDIEH$ photoanode can be seen in Video S1.† The calculated FE for the TiO₂ NR and TiO2/PDIEH NH electrodes are 58.85% and 90.21%, respectively (Fig. 5c). The FE of TiO₂ is almost half that of TiO₂/PDIEH NHs because the recombination rate of the photogenerated electrons and holes is high over TiO_2 ,^{25,59,60} which reduces the urea oxidation tendency of bare TiO₂ (LSV and i -t stability studies), resulting in a decrease in H_2 production on the cathode. This results in a lowering of the faradaic efficiency in the case of bare TiO₂. Hence, blending the semiconductor with TiO₂ is required to suppress the charge recombination rate. $TiO₂/PDIEH$ NHs cannot acquire 100% FE because, during PEC UOR, N_2 and CO_2 are produced as a product of UOR and, at the same time, O_2 generated from urea assists in water oxidation in urea solution.⁶¹ The urea assists water oxidation and consumes some fraction of the photogenerated holes to generate O_2 ; this generated O_2 reacts again with generated H⁺ to form water.⁶² This inhibits the FE from reaching 100%. The PEC catalytic activity of the TiO₂ NR and TiO₂/PDIEH NH electrodes towards PEC UOR was also computed from their solar-to-hydrogen (STH) conversion efficiencies (η_{STH}). The η_{STH} is the chemical (H2) energy generated against the incident light, calculated using eqn (S2).^{†63} The η_{STH} calculated for the TiO₂ NR and TiO₂/ PDIEH NH electrodes are found to be 0.17% and 0.52%, respectively (Fig. 5d). All the above results explain that $TiO₂/$ PDIEH NHs have better PEC catalytic activity toward UOR compared to $TiO₂$ NRs.

Mott–Schottky (M–S) plots for the PDIEH exhibit both negative and positive slopes versus the applied potential, revealing the simultaneous n-type and p-type nature of PDIEH (Fig. 6a).⁶⁴ Considering the initial positive slope at a lower potential, n-type PDIEH shows a flat band potential (V_{fb}) of 0.16 V_{RHE} . The M-S plots of TiO₂ NRs (Fig. 6b) and TiO₂/PDIEH NHs (Fig. 6c) exhibit positive slopes, expressing their n-type nature.⁴⁹

Fig. 6 Mott–Schottky plots of (a) PDIEH, (b) TiO₂ NRs, and (c) TiO₂/ PDIEH NHs. (d) Nyquist plots. (e) Equivalent circuit for $TiO₂$ NRs and TiO2/PDIEH NHs. Here, all the experiments were recorded under visible light illuminated conditions in aq. 0.5 M KOH + aq. 0.5 M urea solution.

The decrease in the slope of $TiO₂/PDIEH$ compared to that of $TiO₂$ is due to a significant increase in charge carrier density after forming NHs. The decrease in the M-S slope increases its charge donor density, resulting in enhancement of the electron charge concentration in the conduction band (CB) .⁴⁷ This enhancement of electrons in the CB moves the Fermi level towards the CB edge.⁶⁵ Here, the V_{fb} for the TiO₂ and TiO₂/ PDIEH electrodes are 0.35 V_{RHE} and 0.07 V_{RHE} , respectively. The V_{fb} of an n-type semiconductor corresponds to the CB edge.⁶⁶ The Nyquist plots of the photoanodes provide information about their charge transfer resistance (R_{CT}) (Fig. 6d). R_{CT} is used to study the kinetics enhancement and separation efficiency of charge carriers of the electrodes.⁵³ The equivalent circuit is used to explain the Nyquist plots (Fig. 6e). Since the charges generated in the photoanode undergo bulk recombination and surface recombination, an equivalent circuit with several resistors was used to distinguish them. R_s is the series resistance at the interface between FTO and the photoanodes, R_B is the bulk resistance in photoanodes, and CP_B is their capacity. Also, $R_{\rm ct}$ is the charge-transfer resistance at the interface between the photoanodes and electrolytes, and CP_{ct} is the constant phase element that represents the dielectrics of the electrical double layer at the electrode/electrolyte interfaces.⁶⁷ The detailed values of resistance obtained from the fitted data of the equivalent circuit are given in Table S1.† The obtained resistance values reveal that, after the formation of the NHs, there is a decrease in the equivalent series resistance (R_S) , bulk resistance (R_B) , and R_{CT} values. The drop in R_B indicates a rise in the ion-conducting channels. The fall in R_{CT} indicates the fast and better charge transfer at an electrode–electrolyte interface in NHs.⁶⁸ Nanoscale Advances

Sure $\frac{1}{2}$
 $\frac{1}{2}$

> For a quantitative analysis of the PEC UOR, the applied bias photon-to-current efficiency (ABPE) was calculated using eqn $(S3).$ ^{$+69$} Fig. 7a exhibits the maximum ABPE $(%)$ values of both photoanodes at different $\rm V_{RHE}$. TiO2 NRs exhibit 0.11% ABPE at 0.72 V_{RHE} , while TiO₂/PDIEH NHs show 0.25% ABPE at 0.63 V_{RHE} . Compared to TiO₂ NRs, the TiO₂/PDIEH NHs exhibit higher ABPE due to the TiO₂ NR being decorated with a visiblelight active organic semiconductor which greatly improves the

Fig. 7 (a) The η % plots, (b) LSV vs. RHE plots, and (c) surface charge transfer efficiencies of TiO₂ NRs and TiO₂/PDIEH NHs.

photoresponse under visible light. The ideal regenerative cell efficiency (η_{IRC}) is calculated from the LSV plots in Fig. 7b (replotted from LSV plots in Fig. 3c) using eqn (S4).† The maximum power densities (P_{max}) for TiO₂ NRs and TiO₂/PDIEH are indicated by the green and pink shaded areas, respectively. The η_{IRC} % values calculated for TiO₂ and TiO₂/PDIEH are 0.16% and 0.25%, respectively. Interestingly, the obtained η_{IRC} % and η % values of each photoanode are quite comparable. Table S2 \dagger compares the $TiO₂/PDEH$ NHs' UOR performance to a few recently published UOR results. This comparison shows that $TiO₂/PDEH$ NHs is a better photocatalyst than those reported in the past. LSV measurements for the photoelectrodes were also carried out in 0.5 M aq. $Na₂SO₃$ to understand the enhanced surface charge transfer efficiency (η_{CT}) . Na₂SO₃ acts as a hole scavenger and helps to investigate the η_{CT} . Owing to the low activation energy and fast kinetics for the oxidation of $\mathrm{SO_3}^{2-}$ species, the η_CT for $\mathrm{SO_3}^{2-}$ oxidation can be presumed to be 100%.^{70,71} η_{trans} is calculated using eqn (1) ,⁷¹ where $J_{\text{ph}}^{\text{H}_2\text{O}}$ and $J_{\rm ph}^{\rm Na_2SO_3}$ are the photocurrent densities obtained in 0.5 M aq. KOH and 0.5 M aq. $Na₂SO₃$, respectively.

$$
\eta_{\rm trans} \% = \frac{J_{\rm ph}^{\rm H_2O}}{J_{\rm ph}^{\rm Na_2SO_3}} \times 100 \tag{1}
$$

Fig. 7c displays that the $TiO₂$ NR photoanode shows a maximum η_{CT} value of ~51%, whereas the TiO₂/PDIEH NH photoanode exhibits a higher maximum $η_{CT}$ value of ∼96.99%.

Fig. 8a shows the PEC cell diagram with expected charge flow in the TiO₂/PDIEH NH photoanode for solar-driven UOR and H_2 generation. The following equations describe the chemical reactions at the electrodes.^{72,73}

Anode: $CO(NH_2)_2 + H_2O \rightarrow 6H + + CO_2 + N_2$, $E_0 = 0.37 V_{RHE}$

Cathode: $6H^+ + 6e^-$ → $3H_2$, $E_0 = 0$ V_{RHE}

Total: $CO(NH_2)_2 + H_2O \rightarrow CO_2 + N_2 + 3H_2$, $E_0 = 0.37 V_{RHE}$

Fig. 8 (a) PEC cell diagram with expected charge flow in the $TiO₂/$ PDIEH NH photoanode for UOR. (b) The energy diagram based on the V_{fb}

In this PEC cell, $TiO₂/PDIEH$ forms a type-II heterojunction with a staggered gap. Upon light irradiation, $TiO₂/PDIEH$ is excited by gaining photons, resulting in the photogeneration of electron (e^-) hole (h^+) pairs in the CB and valence band (VB) of PDIEH, respectively. As the CB of PDIEH is located at a higher energy level than that of TiO₂, the photogenerated e⁻ in the CB of PDIEH transfers to the CB of TiO₂. At the same time, the h⁺ travels in the reverse direction, *i.e.*, from the VB of TiO₂ to the VB of PDIEH. The photogenerated h^+ in the VB of PDIEH is positive enough to carry out UOR to produce $CO₂$, $N₂$, and $\operatorname{H}^{+, \mathbf{74,75}}$ The e^- in the CB of TiO₂ moves rapidly at the Pt electrode and reduces H^+ to produce H_2 . The working principle of the $TiO₂/PDIEH NHS$ is illustrated by the energy diagram (Fig. 8b) showing the e[−] transfer from the CB of PDIEH to the CB of TiO₂. The band diagram is constructed from the obtained V_{fb} and E_{g} values of PDIEH and TiO₂. The conduction band potential (V_{CB}) of TiO₂ was measured as 0.25 V_{RHE} (assuming 0.1 negatives of its V_{fb} (0.35 V_{RHE})⁷⁶ and the valence band potential (V_{VB}) of TiO₂ was obtained as 3.45 V_{RHE} from the E_g value (3.2 eV). Similarly, the V_{CB} of PDIEH is considered to be 0.06 V_{RHE} (assuming 0.1 negatives of its V_{fb} (0.16))⁷⁶ and the V_{VB} of PDIEH was obtained as 2.34 V_{RHE}, considering the E_g value (2.28 eV). Paper
 $\frac{1}{2}$
 $\frac{1$

4. Conclusions

In summary, an inorganic/organic nano-heterostructure was designed and synthesized by coating the surface of $TiO₂$ nanorods with a thin layer of PDIEH via the spin-coating technique. The spin-coating method established a PDIEH coating of uniform thickness. The resultant $TiO₂/PDIEH$ NHs as photoanodes were responsive to visible-light illumination and demonstrated an ultrahigh J_{ph} of 1.1 mA cm⁻² at 1.96 V_{RHE} compared to that of TiO₂ NRs derived from PEC urea oxidation. TiO₂/PDIEH NHs exhibit a PEC urea oxidation onset potential of 0.24 V_{RHE} , which is very low compared to that of the standard PEC V_{op} (0.37 V_{RHE}). The combination of PDIEH and $TiO₂$ NRs dramatically improved the PEC performance by enhancing light absorbance. The $TiO₂/$ PDIEH NHs also have high PEC stability under continuous light irradiation. Engineering these inorganic/organic NHs by taking advantage of the high electrical conductivity of the TiO₂ NRs and the structural flexibility of the PDIEH gives rise to increased activities and is a promising strategy for the systematic design of the next generation of UOR photoelectrocatalysts.

Author contributions

J. B. – conducted all experiments and writing, D. M. S. – data analysis, reviewed, and editing. A. V. M.-reviewed and edited, P. T. B. – contributed to some of the data analysis, H. S. K. – data analysis and editing, and SSZ – proposed and supervised the whole project, funding acquisition, and data analysis.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

This work has been financially supported by SERB, India vide CRG/2018/002784. J. Bezboruah Acknowledges the CSIR, India for the Junior Research Fellowship (09/0921(12025)/2021-EMR-I). D. M. Sanke acknowledges the UGC, India for the Senior Research Fellowship (16-6(DEC.2018)/2019(NET/CSIR)). H. S. Karmakar is thankful to DST-INSPIRE (IF 150259) for his fellowship. A. V. Munde is thankful to IISER-Kolkata (PDFDCS2021035) for the postdoctoral fellowship. P. T. Bhattad acknowledges IISER-Kolkata for fellowship. Open Access Article. Published on 09 November 2023. Downloaded on 11/4/2024 2:20:56 PM. This article is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 Unported Licence.](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/) **[View Article Online](https://doi.org/10.1039/D3NA00294B)**

Notes and references

- 1 D. M. Sanke, A. V. Munde, J. Bezboruah, P. T. Bhattad, B. R. Sathe and S. S. Zade, Energy Fuels, 2023, 37(6), 4616– 4623.
- 2 Z. Cao, H. Mao, X. Guo, D. Sun, Z. Sun, B. Wang, Y. Zhang and X.-M. Song, ACS Sustain. Chem. Eng., 2018, 6, 15570–15581.
- 3 S. Chakrabarty, I. Offen-Polak, T. Y. Burshtein, E. M. Farber, L. Kornblum and D. Eisenberg, J. Solid State Electrochem., 2021, 25, 159–171.
- 4 M. Han and G. Yan, Chem. Pap., 2020, 74, 4473–4480.
- 5 R. van de Krol and M. Grätzel, Photoelectrochemical Hydrogen Production, Springer US, 2011.
- 6 R. Solmaz and H. Yüksel, Int. J. Hydrogen Energy, 2019, 44, 14108–14116.
- 7 L. Wang, Y. Zhu, Y. Wen, S. Li, C. Cui, F. Ni, Y. Liu, H. Lin, Y. Li, H. Peng and B. Zhang, Angew. Chem., 2021, 133, 10671–10676.
- 8 J. Dabboussi, R. Abdallah, L. Santinacci, S. Zanna, A. Vacher, V. Dorcet, S. Fryars, D. Floner and G. Loget, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2022, 10, 19769–19776.
- 9 B. Zhu, Z. Liang and R. Zou, Small, 2020, 16, 1906133.
- 10 A. Fujishima and K. Honda, Nature, 1972, 238, 37–38.
- 11 J. Bian, C. Huang, L. Wang, T. Hung, W. A. Daoud and R. Zhang, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2014, 6, 4883–4890.
- 12 K. Lee, R. Kirchgeorg and P. Schmuki, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2014, 118, 16562–16566.
- 13 Y. Kitamura, N. Okinaka, T. Shibayama, O. O. P. Mahaney, D. Kusano, B. Ohtani and T. Akiyama, Powder Technol., 2007, 176, 93–98.
- 14 Y. A. Nasrallaa, N. M. Yeganehb, S. Piticharoenphuna, N. Shahtahmasebi, B. G. M. Kompany, M. Karimipour,

N. R. J. Poolton and L. Siller, Sci. Iran., Trans. F, 2013, 20, 1018–1022.

- 15 I. S. Cho, Z. Chen, A. J. Forman, D. R. Kim, P. M. Rao, T. F. Jaramillo and X. Zheng, Nano Lett., 2011, 11, 4978–4984.
- 16 F. Niu, P. Zhang, Z. Zhang, Q. Zhou, P. Li, R. Liu, W. Li and K. Hu, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2023, 11, 4170–4182.
- 17 I. S. Cho, C. H. Lee, Y. Feng, M. Logar, P. M. Rao, L. Cai, D. R. Kim, R. Sinclair and X. Zheng, Nat. Commun., 2013, 4, 1723.
- 18 S. W. Hwang, J. U. Kim, J. H. Baek, S. S. Kalanur, H. S. Jung, H. Seo and I. S. Cho, J. Alloys Compd., 2019, 785, 1245–1252.
- 19 Y. Duan, S. Zhou, Z. Chen, J. Luo, M. Zhang, F. Wang, T. Xu and C. Wang, Catal. Sci. Technol., 2018, 8, 1395–1403.
- 20 S. Park, J. T. Lee and J. Kim, Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res., 2019, 26, 1044–1053.
- 21 C. Wang, Z. Chen, H. Jin, C. Cao, J. Li and Z. Mi, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2014, 2, 17820–17827.
- 22 B. Santara, P. K. Giri, S. Dhara, K. Imakita and M. Fujii, J. Phys. D Appl. Phys., 2014, 47, 235304.
- 23 D. Pal, A. Sarkar, N. Gopal Ghosh, D. Mayurdhwaj Sanke, D. Maity, K. Karmakar, D. Sarkar, S. S. Zade and G. Gopal Khan, ACS Appl. Nano Mater., 2021, 4, 6111–6123.
- 24 P. S. Basavarajappa, S. B. Patil, N. Ganganagappa, K. R. Reddy, A. V. Raghu and C. V. Reddy, Int. J. Hydrogen Energy, 2020, 45, 7764–7778.
- 25 M. V. Dozzi and E. Selli, J. Photochem. Photobiol., C, 2013, 14, 13–28.
- 26 N. G. Ghosh, A. Sarkar, C. Kumar, H. S. Karmakar, D. M. Sanke and S. S. Zade, Sustainable Energy Fuels, 2022, 6, 2343–2357.
- 27 W. Cui, H. Bai, J. Shang, F. Wang, D. Xu, J. Ding, W. Fan and W. Shi, Electrochim. Acta, 2020, 349, 136383.
- 28 J. Bouclé, S. Chyla, M. S. P. Shaffer, J. R. Durrant, D. D. C. Bradley and J. Nelson, C. R. Phys., 2008, 9, 110–118.
- 29 H. S. Karmakar, A. Sarkar, N. G. Ghosh, D. M. Sanke, C. Kumar, S. Das and S. S. Zade, Chemosphere, 2022, 301, 134696.
- 30 F. Würthner, Chem. Commun., 2004, 1564–1579.
- 31 J. M. Serin, D. W. Brousmiche and J. M. J. Fréchet, Chem. Commun., 2002, 2605–2607.
- 32 R. Gvishi, R. Reisfeld and Z. Burshtein, Chem. Phys. Lett., 1993, 213, 338–344.
- 33 X. Zhan, Z. Tan, B. Domercq, Z. An, X. Zhang, S. Barlow, Y. Li, D. Zhu, B. Kippelen and S. R. Marder, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2007, 129, 7246–7247.
- 34 M. J. Ahrens, M. J. Fuller and M. R. Wasielewski, Chem. Mater., 2003, 15, 2684–2686.
- 35 R. K. Gupta and A. A. Sudhakar, Langmuir, 2019, 35, 2455– 2479.
- 36 J. D. Schultz, A. F. Coleman, A. Mandal, J. Y. Shin, M. A. Ratner, R. M. Young and M. R. Wasielewski, J. Phys. Chem. Lett., 2019, 10, 7498–7504.
- 37 C. Huang, S. Barlow and S. R. Marder, J. Org. Chem., 2011, 76, 2386–2407.
- 38 F. Zhang, W. Li, T. Jiang, X. Li, Y. Shao, Y. Ma and J. Wu, RSC Adv., 2020, 10, 23024–23037.
- 39 A. A. V. Yingzhi Chen, A. Li, X. Yue, Lu-N. Wang, Z.-H. Huang and F. Kangb, Nanoscale, 2016, 8, 13083–13524.
- 40 K. Akers, R. Aroca, A. M. Hort and R. O. Loutfy, Spectrochim. Acta, Part A, 1988, 44, 1129–1135.
- 41 M. Angelella, C. Wang and M. J. Tauber, J. Phys. Chem. A, 2013, 117, 9196–9204.
- 42 J. Pitchaimani, A. Kundu, S. P. Anthony, D. Moon and V. Madhu, ChemistrySelect, 2020, 5, 2070–2074.
- 43 J. Y. Kim, I. J. Chung, Y. C. Kim and J.-W. Yu, Chem. Phys. Lett., 2004, 398, 367–371.
- 44 M. Oltean, A. Calborean, G. Mile, M. Vidrighin, M. Iosin, L. Leopold, D. Maniu, N. Leopold and V. Chis¸, Spectrochim. Acta, Part A, 2012, 97, 703–710.
- 45 Y. Lei, L. D. Zhang, G. W. Meng, G. H. Li, X. Y. Zhang, C. H. Liang, W. Chen and S. X. Wang, Appl. Phys. Lett., 2001, 78, 1125–1127.
- 46 N. Serpone, D. Lawless and R. Khairutdinov, J. Phys. Chem., 1995, 99, 16646–16654.
- 47 N. G. Ghosh, A. Sarkar and S. S. Zade, Chem. Eng. J., 2021, 407, 127227.
- 48 D. M. Sanke, A. Sarkar, S. Das, N. G. Ghosh, H. S. Karmakar and S. S. Zade, Mater. Today Chem., 2023, 33, 101699.
- 49 D. M. Sanke, N. G. Ghosh, S. Das, H. S. Karmakar, A. Sarkar and S. S. Zade, J. Colloid Interface Sci., 2021, 601, 803–815.
- 50 Z. Qin, J. Zhang, H. Zhou, Y. Song and T. He, Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect. B, 2000, 170, 406–412.
- 51 D. M. Sanke, J. Bezboruah, A. V. Munde, S. Roy and S. S. Zade, Energy Fuels, 2023, 37(13), 9538–9547.
- 52 P. S. Shinde, S. H. Choi, Y. Kim, J. Ryu and J. S. Jang, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys., 2016, 18, 2495–2509.
- 53 S. Wang, P. Xu, J. Tian, Z. Liu and L. Feng, Electrochim. Acta, 2021, 370, 137755.
- 54 J. Bezboruah, D. M. Sanke, A. V. Munde, S. Das, H. S. Karmakar and S. S. Zade, Int. J. Hydrogen Energy, 2023, 48, 7361–7373.
- 55 G. Wang, Y. Ling, X. Lu, H. Wang, F. Qian, Y. Tong and Y. Li, Energy Environ. Sci., 2012, 5, 8215.
- 56 D. Zhu, C. Guo, J. Liu, L. Wang, Y. Du and S.-Z. Qiao, Chem. Commun., 2017, 53, 10906–10909.
- 57 S. A. Lee, J. W. Yang, T. H. Lee, I. J. Park, C. Kim, S. H. Hong, H. Lee, S. Choi, J. Moon, S. Y. Kim, J. Y. Kim and H. W. Jang, Appl. Catal., B, 2022, 317, 121765.
- 58 S. Han, Y.-C. Pu, L. Zheng, J. Z. Zhang and X. Fang, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2015, 3, 22627–22635.
- 59 C. Chen, W. Ma and J. Zhao, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2010, 39, 4206.
- 60 X. Chen, S. Shen, L. Guo and S. S. Mao, Chem. Rev., 2010, 110, 6503–6570.
- 61 J. Li, S. Wang, J. Chang and L. Feng, Adv. Powder Mater., 2022, 1, 100030.
- 62 J. Liu, J. Li, M. Shao and M. Wei, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2019, 7, 6327–6336.
- 63 J. S. L. Jin Hyun Kim, D. Hansora, P. Sharma and J.-W. Jang, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2019, 48, 1908–1971.
- 64 L. Chen, Y. Léger, G. Loget, M. Piriyev, I. Jadli, S. Tricot, T. Rohel, R. Bernard, A. Beck, J. Le Pouliquen, P. Turban, P. Schieffer, C. Levallois, B. Fabre, L. Pedesseau, J. Even, N. Bertru and C. Cornet, Adv. Sci., 2022, 9, 2101661. **Paper**

19 A. A. V. Funghis Chem, A. Lis November 2023. Lis Dans are selected on 11/4, Exp. 2. Channel Chem, November 2023. Detection and Creative Commons Articles. The Commons Attribution 3. Channel Access Articles. The
	- 65 R. C. F. Gongming Wang, H. Wang, Y. Ling, Y. Tang, X. Yang, Y. L. Changchun Wang and J. Z. Zhang, Nano Lett., 2011, 11, 3026–3033.
	- 66 K. Karmakar, A. Sarkar, K. Mandal and G. G. Khan, ACS Sustain. Chem. Eng., 2016, 4, 5693–5702.
	- 67 M. G. Lee, J. W. Yang, I. J. Park, T. H. Lee, H. Park, W. S. Cheon, S. A. Lee, H. Lee, S. G. Ji, J. M. Suh, J. Moon, J. Y. Kim and H. W. Jang, Carbon Energy, 2023, 5(6), 1–15.
	- 68 S. Wang, T. He, J. Yun, Y. Hu, M. Xiao, A. Du and L. Wang, Adv. Funct. Mater., 2018, 28, 1802685.
	- 69 A. Karrab, L. Lecarme, J. C. Lepretre, A. Nourdine, J. Deseure and S. Ammar, Appl. Phys. A, 2022, 128, 474.
	- 70 F. Li, J. Li, L. Gao, Y. Hu, X. Long, S. Wei, C. Wang, J. Jin and J. Ma, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2018, 6, 23478–23485.
	- 71 L. W. Songcan Wang, T. He, J.-H. Yun, Y. Hu, M. Xiao and A. Du, Adv. Funct. Mater., 2018, 28, 1802685.
	- 72 L. Bian, Q. Du, M. Luo, L. Qu and M. Li, Int. J. Hydrogen Energy, 2017, 42, 25244–25250.
	- 73 R. M. Abdel Hameed and S. S. Medany, Int. J. Hydrogen Energy, 2017, 42, 24117–24130.
	- 74 B. K. Boggs, R. L. King and G. G. Botte, Chem. Commun., 2009, 4859.
	- 75 R. L. King and G. G. Botte, J. Power Sources, 2011, 196, 2773– 2778.
	- 76 W. Fan, B. Zhang, X. Wang, W. Ma, D. Li, Z. Wang, M. Dupuis, J. Shi, S. Liao and C. Li, Energy Environ. Sci., 2020, 13, 238–245.