



Cite this: *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*,  
2023, 25, 25701

# Simultaneous electrostatic trapping of merged cation & anion beams

Alon Bogot,<sup>a</sup> Oleg Lioubashevski,<sup>a</sup> Oded Heber,<sup>b</sup> Daniel Zajfman <sup>b</sup> and  
Daniel Strasser \*<sup>a</sup>

Simultaneous trapping of merged cation and anion beams in the hybrid electrostatic ion beam trap (HEIBT) opens new opportunities for the study of the interactions of isolated atomic molecular or cluster ions with oppositely charged ionic species. Application of the trapped merged beams requires a detailed understanding of the trapping dynamics and the effect of the Coulombic attractive and repulsive forces between the ions on their motion in the trap. The simultaneous trapping regime is explored experimentally for  $\text{SF}_6^-$  anion and  $\text{SF}_5^+$  cation beams and compared to realistic ion trajectory simulations. The respective stability of the simultaneously trapped cation and anion beams is experimentally tracked by nondestructive and mass sensitive image charge monitoring. An approximate analytical potential model is presented for modeling the dynamics of trapped ions, providing insight into the role of ion–ion interactions, and suggesting a simplified mirror design.

Received 30th July 2023,  
Accepted 11th September 2023

DOI: 10.1039/d3cp03633b

rsc.li/pccp

## Introduction

Electrostatic ion storage devices offer a powerful new technology to experimentally explore the interactions of atomic, molecular and cluster ion species with neutral targets,<sup>1,2</sup> electrons,<sup>1,3,4</sup> black-body radiation<sup>5,6</sup> and with various laser fields.<sup>7–15</sup> The detailed understanding of the interactions and time-evolution of ionic species is important for a fundamental understanding of the underlying quantum mechanical many-electron and many-atom dynamics,<sup>16,17</sup> as well as for application to studies of the chemical evolution of a broad range of partly ionized interstellar-medium,<sup>18–22</sup> planetary, atmospheric and man-made environments.<sup>23–25</sup> Electrostatic trapping of well-defined fast ion beams allows not only for extended interrogation times, but also characterization and isolation of ions of specific mass over charge ratios.<sup>5,26,27</sup> Furthermore, independent determination of the mass and charge was demonstrated for individual trapped highly charged ions.<sup>28–30</sup> Recent advances also offer the capability of exploring interactions during low energy ion-neutral collisions of a fast ion beam with a velocity matched fast beam of neutral atoms.<sup>31,32</sup>

Recording the time evolution of the trapped ions provides a valuable porthole for observing the relaxation of the typically high internal excitations of molecular and cluster ions. In particular, cryogenic electrostatic ion storage devices can

provide unprecedented observation times on the order of hours.<sup>33,34</sup> Moreover, electrostatic trapping of ionic products allows the identification and characterization of metastable intermediates and their decay mechanisms.<sup>35,36</sup> For example, in many cluster systems delayed fragmentation and delayed detachment were observed to occur long after the photoexcitation event and exhibit intricate competition with relaxation by black-body radiation as well as by exotic recurrent fluorescence mechanisms.<sup>11,14,35,37,38</sup>

Advances in merged cation–anion beams make it possible to study the interactions of oppositely charged ionic species at low collision energies.<sup>39–45</sup> In particular, mutual neutralization reactions between cationic and anionic atoms have been extensively studied in recent years.<sup>41,43,46–49</sup> The extension to molecular species requires careful control of the initial internal-excitation of the molecular ions. Slow ions can be cooled by collisions with a cold buffer gas,<sup>50</sup> where care must be taken to avoid heating in the process of acceleration. Alternatively cooling can be achieved by radiative thermalization of trapped ions.<sup>3,37,51,52</sup> Until this work, the double electrostatic ion ring experiment (DESIREE) was the only experimental setup that combines ion-trapping with a merged-beam section of velocity matched fast cation and anion beams.<sup>19,53,54</sup> In contrast to storage-ring devices, the electrostatic ion beam trap (EIBT) uses electrostatic mirrors to reflect and focus a fast ion beam in an analogous geometry to an optical resonator.<sup>55,56</sup> The EIBT technology has been implemented in a broad range of electrostatic trapping applications.<sup>38,57–59</sup> In particular, taking advantage of the repulsive ion–ion interaction that has been demonstrated to result in surprising counterintuitive

<sup>a</sup> The Hebrew University of Jerusalem, Institute of Chemistry, Jerusalem 91904, Israel. E-mail: strasser@huji.ac.il

<sup>b</sup> Weizmann Institute of Science, Department of Particle Physics and Astrophysics, Rehovot 7610001, Israel

ion-bunch dynamics with application to high-resolution mass-spectrometry,<sup>26,60</sup> as well as for ion beam cooling applications.<sup>37,57,61</sup> It will therefore be valuable to extend EIBT based technology to allow merged beam experiments.


Recently, Shahi *et al.*,<sup>62</sup> proposed and simulated an alternative hybrid experimental setup for simultaneous electrostatic trapping of cation & anion beams based on the EIBT technology.<sup>55,56</sup> On one hand, the hybrid EIBT (HEIBT) mirror allows the trapping of a fast ion beam, while on the other hand, analogous to optical dichroic mirrors, it transmits with minimal focusing a fast beam of oppositely charged species. Thus, allowing simultaneous trapping of an anion and cation beams between nested pairs of HEIBT mirrors. In principle the HEIBT is capable also of merging ion beams with the same charge sign. Here, we describe the first experimental measurements of the simultaneous trapping regimes for merged cation and anion beams. Nondestructive measurements show the evolution of charge and mass-specific ion populations during simultaneous trapping. The experimental results are compared to ion trajectories in a numerical simulation of the HEIBT potential, as well as to a simplified analytical model potential offering insight into a possible simplified mirror design as well as to the role of the coulombic ion-ion interactions.

### HEIBT design and experimental setup

The HEIBT experimental setup for simultaneous trapping of merged cation and anion is shown schematically in Fig. 1. As proposed by Shahi *et al.*,<sup>62</sup> the HEIBT itself is composed of two pairs of electrostatic mirrors. The inner pair of mirrors (blue electrodes in Fig. 1), separated by a 225 mm field-free region, is nested in the 585 mm gap between the outer mirrors (red electrodes in Fig. 1). When the inner mirrors are supplied with suitable negative potentials a fast-moving anion bunch can be trapped, oscillating back and forth between the two inner mirrors. Simultaneously, a cation bunch can be trapped between the outer pair of positive mirror potentials, passing through the potentials of the negative inner mirrors.

Each mirror is realized by 12 cylindrical electrodes, spaced by 6 mm gaps, where all electrodes have a 90 mm outer diameter and 24 mm apertures. Each mirror assembly can be conceptually divided into a reflecting section and a focusing section. The reflecting section includes ten, 4 mm wide, electrodes, separated by 6 mm gaps. These ten electrodes are typically supplied with monotonically rising potentials of  $0, \frac{1}{4}V_M, \frac{1}{2}V_M, \frac{3}{4}V_M$ , and  $V_M$ , followed by a symmetric descent from  $V_M$  to the ground potential. Focusing is achieved by the last two electrodes, an 11 mm wide electrode supplied with a  $V_Z$  potential and the inner ground electrode. Following the earlier EIBT work,<sup>63</sup> an oscillating few volt  $V_{RF}$  potential can be applied to one of the inner electrodes for synchronization of the ion bunch motion. The different potentials are separately controlled by external power supplies, with positive  $\frac{1}{4}V_{M+}, \frac{1}{2}V_{M+}, \frac{3}{4}V_{M+}, V_{M+}, V_{Z+}$  applied to the outer mirrors and negative  $\frac{1}{4}V_{M-}, \frac{1}{2}V_{M-}, \frac{3}{4}V_{M-}, V_{M-}, V_{Z-}$  applied to the inner anion mirrors.

Ions are formed in a pulsed supersonic expansion Even-Lavie ion source,<sup>64</sup> and accelerated by pulsed repeller ( $V_R$ ) and extractor ( $V_E$ ) potentials that are applied to grids on a differentially pumped acceleration stage shown schematically in Fig. 1. The acceleration stage is also equipped with an Einzel lens electrode that helps focusing the ions as they move towards the HEIBT entrance mirror. Additional steering and focusing ion-optics help guide the fast ion beam through two 4 mm diameter collimating apertures that are aligned with the HEIBT axis and are positioned on both sides of a differential pumping chamber, ensuring that the pressure in the HEIBT chamber is kept well below  $10^{-10}$  torr. In the experiments described here, a mixture of  $\sim 200$  PSI argon carrier gas, seeded by  $\sim 5\%$  of  $\text{SF}_6$  sample gas was expanded into the source chamber and ionized by a pulsed circular electron gun that directs  $\sim 200$  eV electrons into the supersonically expanding gas, generating a variety of cation and anion species.<sup>65–69</sup> The supersonic expansion is skimmed by an 8 mm skimmer located 100 mm downstream of the pulsed valve. Such setup enables trapping very heavy molecules without analyzing magnet mass limit.



**Fig. 1** HEIBT experimental setup. An Even-Lavie, cold ion source is used to generate ions which are then accelerated by a rotatable acceleration electrode stack. Following acceleration, a pair of deflectors for horizontal and vertical steering followed by an Einzel lens used for focusing. The ion beam then goes through a pair of differential pumping apertures into the HEIBT. Each HEIBT mirror is composed of cylindrical symmetry electrodes with monotonically rising potentials up to the main  $V_M$ , each provided by separate HV sources. The focusing potential is composed from two grounded electrodes in addition to an electrode ( $V_Z$ ) connected to a single HV source.

As shown schematically in Fig. 1, both cations and anions are produced in the same ion source. In each experimental cycle, typically repeated at  $\sim 1$  Hz rate, the ion source is pulsed twice. In the first pulse, negative acceleration voltages are used to accelerate anions towards the HEIBT, while in the 2nd pulse, arriving after a  $\sim 100$  ms delay time, during which all the ion optics potentials are adjusted before the second pulse, positive acceleration potentials are applied to accelerate the cation ion bunch. To allow the ion beam to enter the trap, we lower the highest entrance mirror electrode potential from  $V_M$  to  $\frac{3}{4}V_M$ . Once the ions of interest enter the trap, the trap is rapidly closed by raising the potential back to  $V_M$ , where the ion time of flight between the pulsed acceleration and the closing of the trap provides a rough selection of the velocity and charge over mass ratio of the ions of interest. In the experiments described here,  $\text{SF}_6^-$  anion and  $\text{SF}_5^+$  cation beams are accelerated typically to respective  $\sim 2.3$  keV and  $\sim 2.0$  keV beam energies, such that both species travel with the same velocity in the field free region.

The trapped ion beams are monitored by two methods: (1) an indirect destructive monitoring by detection of fast neutral products on an 80 mm diameter MCP detector, located 150 cm downstream of the “exit” mirrors. The MCP is equipped with a phosphor screen anode, providing both time and position information. In the experiments described here, the neutral yield on the MCP detector as a function of trapping time was read out by a CCD camera, which exposures were synchronized with the injection cycle. (2) A direct, nondestructive monitoring of the trapped ions is achieved by detecting the image charge of the ion bunches as they pass back and forth through a dedicated ring-electrode in the center of the trap. Following earlier designs, the diameter of the pickup ring is 18 mm and its length is 7 mm.<sup>63</sup> Due to the ions finite energy spread and trajectory differences, the injected ion bunch spreads and fills the ion beam trap.<sup>70–73</sup> Following Rahinov *et al.*,<sup>63</sup> we apply a low RF voltage (typically  $\sim 4$  V peak to peak) to the inner  $V_{\text{RF}}$  electrode at the 5th harmonic of the mass specific oscillation frequency. Monitoring of the Fourier transform of the pickup signal at the 1st harmonic of the oscillation frequency allows direct nondestructive and mass sensitive detection of the number of trapped ions.

## Results

The HEIBT configuration simulated by Shahi *et al.*<sup>62</sup> was optimized for trapping a 1:2 ratio of the anion and cation mass and beam energy ratio. The original rationale was to minimize as much as possible the effect of the inner trap potentials on the trajectories of the heavier ions in the outer trap. In the present study we show that the HEIBT can also be configured with the higher energy beam stored in the inner trap. We demonstrate simultaneous trapping of  $\text{SF}_6^-$  anions in the inner trap and  $\text{SF}_5^+$  cations in the outer trap, with a 146:127 mass ratio. Fig. 2(a) shows the simulated trapping efficiency of  $\text{SF}_6^-$ , as a function of inner trap parameters. The horizontal




Fig. 2 Two dimensional scan of the voltages applied to the main mirror electrode ( $V_M$ ) and the Einzel lens ( $V_Z$ ) with color coded Trapping efficiencies,  $P_{\text{sim}}$  for simulated data and relative experimental efficiencies  $P_{\text{exp}}$ . Figures a and b present SIMION simulation for the HEIBT inner (a) and outer (b) traps, while figures c and d show the normalized experimental results. (e)–(g) present the simulated ion current densities ( $I$ ) along the trap for selected  $V_M$  and  $V_Z$  potentials.

axis shows the dependence of trapping efficiency on the shape of the mirror potential modified by tuning a single  $V_Z-/V_M-$  ratio, while the vertical axis shows the dependence on the overall  $V_M-/E_{\text{SF}_6^-}$ , the overall scaling of the mirror potentials with respect to the ion beam energy. The simulated trapping efficiency ( $P_{\text{sim}}$ ) is derived from the number of stable trajectories, 400 microseconds after the injection of a realistic ion beam with a 4 mm diameter,  $\sim 1$  degree divergence and a  $\sim 2$  eV energy spread. Fig. 2(b) shows the simulated trapping efficiency of  $\text{SF}_5^+$  as a function of the outer trap parameters, while fixing the inner  $V_M-/E_{\text{SF}_6^-}$  scaling at 1.32 and  $V_Z-/V_M-$  focusing at 0.53. Similar to Shahi *et al.*, both inner and outer trap simulations are performed using SIMION, while neglecting the effect of ion–ion interactions. The simulated trapping efficiency can be directly compared with the experimentally measured data presented in Fig. 2(c) and (d). The number of trapped ions is evaluated by recording the number of neutral counts on the MCP detector within the 900 ms trapping cycle, that are proportional to the number of trapped ions. Neutral counts within the first 1 ms are excluded to avoid background contribution from the pulsed ion source. As the MCP cannot distinguish different neutral products, cation and anion experiments reported in Fig. 2(c) and (d) were performed separately for the inner and outer traps. Furthermore, due to the intrinsic differences between the cation and anion beams, including the number of ions and the respective beam alignment and emittance, it is difficult to define an absolute experimental trapping efficiency. We therefore consider a relative experimental efficiency ( $P_{\text{exp}}$ ), defined separately for the inner and outer traps as

a function of the trap parameters. For each trap, the relative efficiency is evaluated by the measured number of neutral counts as a function of trap parameters, normalized to the maximal neutral count.

The simulated and experimental results exhibit similar features. For the inner trap, two separate trapping regions can be identified. A low  $V_{Z-}/V_{M-}$  region with  $V_{Z-}/V_{M-} \sim < 0.6$ , and a high  $V_{Z-}/V_{M-}$  region. Fig. 2(e) and (f) show representative ion current density simulations for the respectively low and high focusing regions, calculated assuming injection of  $10^5$  ions into the trap. Both conditions were selected with the same scaling with respect to the ion beam energy. Under low focusing conditions, the inner trap exhibits high current density in the field free region in the center of the trap. In contrast, high focusing conditions result in increased current density in the mirrors close to the turning point of the oscillating ions. While low focusing conditions are found useful for ion–ion and ion–laser interaction studies in the field-free region, high focusing conditions offer a sensitive measure of the stored beam energy. Using this sensitivity, the presented experimental beam energy was scaled up by 4% with respect to the nominal average acceleration potential. Both simulated and experimental anion trapping efficiencies are found to be optimal near the  $V_{M-}/E_{SF_6^-} = 1.3$  and  $V_{Z-}/V_{M-} = 0.5$  configuration of the inner trap that was fixed while exploring the parameters of the outer cation trap. Interestingly, compared with the SIMION simulation, the experimental data exhibits reduced trapping efficiency for  $V_{Z-}/V_{M-} < 0.4$ . In the following, we show that the trapping efficiency in this region is sensitive to ion–ion interactions that are not included in the SIMION simulations.

For the outer trap, both experimental and simulated cation trapping in the outer trap show a single broad trapping region. The region of optimal simulated conditions includes the experimental optimum found at  $V_{M+}/E_{SF_5^+} = 1.33$  and  $V_{Z+}/V_{M+} = 0.44$ . However, unlike the experimental result, the simulated optimal region extends to lower  $V_{M+}/E_{SF_5^+}$ , indicating a possible effect of cation–cation interaction that is not included in the SIMION simulation. Fig. 2(g) shows the simulated current density at these optimal conditions, showing a typical rather uniform current density throughout the HEIBT with significant density at the field free region.

Fig. 3 shows the average, over 500 experimental cycles, of the measured yield of neutrals as a function of ion trapping time, recorded at an optimal  $V_{M-}/E_{SF_6^-} = 1.32$ ,  $V_{Z-}/V_{M-} = 0.53$ ,  $V_{M+}/E_{SF_5^+} = 1.33$ ,  $V_{Z+}/V_{M+} = 0.44$  mirror settings. A constant dark count background of  $\sim 7$  counts per second is subtracted from the measured number of neutral counts. The blue circles indicate neutral yield from a trapped anion beam in the inner trap. The blue curve shows a bi-exponential fit to the measured neutrals yield from the trapped anions, characterized by a long and a short lifetime. The short  $\sim 30$  ms lifetime can be attributed to autodetachment of vibrationally excited anions, which can be expected to occur in spite of the supersonic expansion.<sup>8,15,35,74</sup> The long 2.5 s lifetime provides a lower limit for the intrinsic trapping time in the inner trap due to




Fig. 3 Neutral CCD signal vs. trapping time for three sets of experiments. Blue for trapping of  $\sim 2.3$  keV  $SF_6^-$  ion beam in the inner HEIBT trap, red for a  $\sim 2.0$  keV  $SF_5^+$  ion beam in the outer trap and magenta diamonds for a merged beam experiment, in which both cations and anions produce neutral signal. The dotted magenta curve presents the sum of both single beam experiments (blue and red curves).

collisions with the residual background pressure of  $\sim 10^{-11}$  Torr, in agreement with typical trapping lifetimes in EIBT devices. The red crosses indicate the neutral yield from the trapped cation beam. As neutral products from the anion beam cannot be distinguished from neutral products of the cation beam, these experiments were performed separately. The neutral yield from the cation beam, trapped in the outer trap is fitted with a single exponential decay with a  $\sim 12 \pm 10$  second lifetime, which is essentially infinite on the experimental time scale. The acceleration potentials of the anions and cations are scaled according to the  $SF_6^-/SF_5^+$  mass ratio, such that the  $SF_6^-$  anions and  $SF_5^+$  cations move in the same velocity in the field free region. The relative neutral yields from the cation and anion beams depend not only on the trapping efficiency but also on the number of injected ions, neutralization efficiency and the detector acceptance for neutrals formed along the ion trajectories in the inner and outer traps. Magenta diamond symbols show the neutral product yield from simultaneously trapped anions and cations. To allow direct comparison of the neutral yields we perform the anion trapping, cation trapping, and simultaneous trapping experiments at the same source, background pressure and HEIBT conditions. The magenta dotted curve indicates the sum of the red and blue curves, *i.e.*, the expected estimate of neutrals formed independently from the anions trapped in the inner trap and cations trapped in the outer trap. The observed neutral rate is consistent with successful simultaneous trapping of merged cation and anion beams in the HEIBT. The slightly lower neutral yield in the simultaneous trapping may result from the systematic effect of a finite ion-source stability. Alternatively, it may reflect an effect due to cation–anion interaction. It is worth noting that the ion velocity was only roughly matched in these experiments by scaling the nominal accelerating potentials with the relative masses. Therefore, the actual beam velocities can still be



different due to ion specific space charge and alignment effects and we do not expect mutual neutralization of the trapped cations and anions play a significant role on the observed ion trapping.

As noted earlier, it is not possible to distinguish neutral products from the trapped anions from neutral products originating from cations. Furthermore, the neutral yield itself does not provide an indication of the mass of the trapped ions. We therefore implement an additional nondestructive detection of the ion image charge on a ring pickup electrode positioned in the center of the field free region. The amplitude of the oscillating pickup signal can be related to the charge of the oscillating ion bunch.<sup>63</sup> In order to avoid the spreading of the injected ion bunch we implement the so-called “RF-bunching” approach,<sup>63</sup> by applying a 4 V sine wave potential to the inner  $V_{RF}$  electrode, oscillating at the 5th harmonic of the mass specific frequency. Although both cations and anions are accelerated to the same velocity, the different effective lengths of the inner trap result in very different ion oscillation frequencies. Thus, anions moving in the shorter inner trap oscillate faster than the cations moving at the same velocity in the longer outer trap, making it possible to independently synchronize the motion of mass selected ions in the inner or in the outer trap according to their oscillation frequency.

Fig. 4 shows the Fourier transform of the ion resolved pickup signal. The anion signal is shown in panel 4a, exhibiting a peak at the 119 kHz oscillation frequency of  $SF_6^-$  in the inner trap. The blue circles show the observed  $SF_6^-$  signal, measured without simultaneous trapping of  $SF_5^+$ , while magenta circles show the signal measured with simultaneously trapping  $SF_5^+$  in the outer trap, shifted vertically by +40 mV. Fig. 4(b) shows the  $SF_5^+$  signal, oscillating at a 70.98 kHz frequency in the outer trap. The red crosses show the measured  $SF_5^+$  signal measured without simultaneous trapping of anions in the inner trap, while the magenta crosses show the signal measured with simultaneous trapping of  $SF_6^-$ . Within the error-bars on the average oscillation amplitude, the overall  $\sim 13$  mV anion and  $\sim 35$  mV cation signals appear to be stable under simultaneous trapping of both beams. Interestingly, although the neutral yield depends on the specific ion species and beam energy, as well as on the number of trapped ions, the  $\sim 2.6$  ratio of the

number of anions and cations detected by the pickup is in rough agreement with the  $\sim 3.8$  ratio of the long lived component of the corresponding neutral yields shown in Fig. 3.

In addition to the overall average oscillation amplitude, windowed Fourier transform analysis allows for ion-specific time resolved monitoring of the trapped ions.<sup>63</sup> Fig. 5 shows the evolution of the  $SF_6^-$  and  $SF_5^+$  FFT peak amplitudes as a function of trapping time. Within the ion source stability, we observe the same number of trapped  $SF_6^-$  anions with and without simultaneous trapping of cations, shown respectively by blue and magenta circles. Similar to neutral signal, the curve presents a bi-exponential fit of the  $SF_6^-$  signal, characterized by a short  $\sim 40$  ms and a long  $\sim 1.7$  s lifetimes. The short lived component, attributed to ion loss due to autodetachment of hot  $SF_6^-$  ions, is significantly smaller compared with the short-lived component in the neutral signal. This can be expected as the neutral yield depends not only on the number of ions but also on the neutralization rate which is higher for the short lived hot  $SF_6^-$  ions. The  $SF_5^+$  signal from the cation beam trapped in the outer trap also exhibits a bi-exponential decay, characterized by a short  $\sim 100$  ms and a long  $\sim 2.5$  s lifetimes, a different behavior from the one observed from the neutral signal. In addition to a time dependent neutralization rate, the difference could also arise from a contamination from smaller  $SF_n^+$  species that contribute to the neutral signal but not to the ion resolved pickup detection that is only sensitive to the trapped  $SF_5^+$  ion bunch.

### Analytic model simulations for a simple HEIBT design & ion-ion interaction

Fig. 6(a) shows the numerically calculated contours of the HEIBT mirror potential surface, implemented with 6 potentials applied to 12 electrodes, where the  $V_M/E_0$  scaling is set to 1.3 and the  $V_Z/V_M$  focusing is 0.5. An analytic function for representation of the trap potential can significantly simplify the computational effort in exact calculation of long ion




Fig. 4 Fourier transform of the image charge signal induced by the ions passing through the ring pickup. (a) Inner trap  $V_{RF} = 595$  kHz. (b) outer  $V_{RF} = 354.9$  kHz.




Fig. 5 Mass selective, time dependent pickup FFT detection of a merged beam experiment in the HEIBT. Blue for  $SF_6^-$  anions in the inner trap and red for  $SF_5^+$  cations in the outer HEIBT trap. Magenta for merged beam experiment while circles represents anions and crosses represent cations.




Fig. 6 Electric potentials along the HEIBT spatial axis. Gray boxes indicating the cylindrical electrodes. (a) Numerical calculation of the potential surface of the HEIBT mirror. (b) An approximate analytic model. (c) A numeric potential for a simpler set of electrodes.

trajectories. Fig. 6(b) shows an analytic potential based on an approximate analytical function that was proposed for describing an Einzel lens potential.<sup>75</sup> The presented potential is obtained by combining the analytic expressions of two Einzel lens potentials, where the lens parameters were optimized to fit the HEIBT mirror potential. The first analytic function corresponds to a  $V_M$  potential applied to a cylindrical electrode with a 26 mm diameter and 12 mm length, positioned within a 90 mm gap between infinite grounded tubes. The second analytical function is shifted by 60.5 mm along the HEIBT axis. It approximates a  $V_Z$  potential supplied to a cylindrical electrode, with the same 26 mm diameter and 11 mm length, positioned within a 27 mm gap between infinite grounded tubes.

Fig. 7(a) and (b) show the simulated trapping efficiency as a function of the focusing and the energy scaling mirror parameters for the respective inner and outer traps. The simulated trapping efficiency ( $P_{\text{sim}}$ ) is derived from the number of stable trajectories, 1000 microseconds after the injection of a realistic ion beam with a 4 mm diameter and 1-degree divergence. While we note small deviations from the numerical simulations




Fig. 7 Trapping efficiencies ( $P_{\text{sim}}$ ), simulated with the analytical HEIBT model. On the left (a) and (c) for the inner trap and on the right (b) and (d) for the outer HEIBT trap. Bottom panels (c) and (d) including and top panels (a) and (b) without ion–ion interaction.

shown in Fig. 2(a) and (b), trajectory simulations using the approximate analytic potential are in good agreement with both SIMION simulations and experimental data. The success of the simple model suggests that the HEIBT mirror potentials can be realized with a smaller number of cylindrical electrodes. Fig. 6(c) shows the numerically calculated potential corresponding to two cylindrical electrodes supplied with  $V_M$  and  $V_Z$  potentials and realistic finite grounded tubes. SIMION simulations of the trapping efficiency in this configuration (not shown) were found to be similar to the stability simulations using the approximate analytic potentials. Thus, indicating that a simpler version of the HEIBT mirror can be realized with only two high-voltage potentials.

Gupta *et al.* implemented advanced two-dimensional particle in-a-cell simulation to study ion–ion interactions in an EIBT.<sup>76,77</sup> Here, we propose that the approximate analytical model can be used to provide valuable insight into the effect of long-range Coulombic ion–ion interactions in the HEIBT. Fig. 7(c) and (d) show the simulated trapping efficiency for simultaneous injection of 500 cations and 500 anions into the analytic HEIBT potential. Where the cation and anion charges were scaled by a factor of 1000 to represent a realistic space charge effect. The scaling was tested by performing simulations for a representative set of trapping conditions with 1000 ions and a respectively smaller scaling factor. Two effects can be readily observed for both the inner and outer traps. First, the trapping efficiency deteriorates, in particular for the lower  $V_Z/V_M$  focusing and lower  $V_M/E_0$  scaling regions. Second, the parameter space in which trapping is observed seems to be extended. Both effects can be understood as the different ions can exchange energy by the soft Coulomb interaction potential, resulting in an effective broadening of the injected beam energy distribution. Thus, resulting in enhanced loss of ions which beam energy is outside the stable trapping regions simulated without ion–ion interaction in Fig. 7(a) and (b). The quenching of trapping efficiency by ion–ion interaction is therefore particularly pronounced in the low focusing region, in which only a narrow window of ion energies can be trapped. Furthermore, comparing 1000 microsecond long simulations using realistic space charge of individual ion beams with simultaneous trapping of merged cation and anion beams indicates only a small effect on the order of  $\sim 10\%$  lower trapping efficiency when the anion–cation attraction is included. This, in agreement with the experimental image charge measurements showing similar trapped ion signals for individual and simultaneous trapping. We conclude that the quenching of the experimentally measured trapping efficiency in the low focusing region, shown in Fig. 2(c) and (d), can be attributed to the ion–ion interactions that are not considered in the SIMION simulations presented in Fig. 2(a) and (b).

## Conclusions

Simultaneous trapping of merged cation and anion beams in the HEIBT has been demonstrated for  $\text{SF}_6^-$  anions and  $\text{SF}_5^+$

cations. The long trapping times allow extraction of both cations and anions from the same plasma source, by sequential injection of the anion and cation ion bunches into the HEIBT. Both neutral product yields, as well as nondestructive ion-specific charge monitoring were demonstrated under simultaneous trapping of merged beams. An approximate analytic model was presented for efficient simulation of the trapped ion trajectories and inclusion of ion-ion interactions. Moreover, the analytic model predicted that a simplified design of the HEIBT mirror can be implemented with only two high-voltage potentials. By demonstrating simultaneous trapping of merged cation and anion beams using EIBT based technology we pave the way for future work in “tabletop” single user experiments that will explore mutual neutralization of molecular and cluster ion species. Thus, providing detailed insight about the product channels in such reactions, the internal excitation of the neutral products and their dependence on the initial ion temperature. Furthermore, we plan to explore resonant ion-ion interaction in frequency matched anion and cation beams, oscillating between the inner and outer HEIBT mirrors.

## Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

## Acknowledgements

We acknowledge ISF grants 674/21 and 3874/21 as well as additional support from the Wolfson and the Minerva foundations. The authors also wish to acknowledge the contribution of Jehuda Mendelsohn's high-school project to the development of the analytic potential model for the HEIBT.

## References

- 1 D. Zajfman, A. Wolf, D. Schwalm, D. A. Orlov, M. Grieser, R. von Hahn, C. P. Welsch, J. R. C. Lopez-Urrutia, C. D. Schröter, X. Urbain and J. Ullrich, Physics with colder molecular ions: The Heidelberg Cryogenic Storage Ring CSR, *J. Phys.: Conf. Ser.*, 2005, **4**, 296–299.
- 2 D. Strasser, K. G. Bhushan, H. B. Pedersen, R. Wester, O. Heber, A. Lafosse, M. L. Rappaport, N. Altstein and D. Zajfman, Charge-transfer dissociation of vibrationally cold  $\text{HeH}^+$ : Evidence for and lifetime of the metastable state, *Phys. Rev. A: At., Mol., Opt. Phys.*, 2000, **61**, 060705.
- 3 D. Paul, M. Grieser, F. Grussie, R. von Hahn, L. W. Isberner, Á. Kálosi, C. Krantz, H. Kreckel, D. Müll, D. A. Neufeld, D. W. Savin, S. Schippers, P. Wilhelm, A. Wolf, M. G. Wolfire and O. Novotný, Experimental Determination of the Dissociative Recombination Rate Coefficient for Rotationally Cold  $\text{CH}^+$  and Its Implications for Diffuse Cloud Chemistry, *Astrophys. J.*, 2022, **939**, 122.
- 4 A. Diner, Y. Toker, D. Strasser, O. Heber, I. Ben-Itzhak, P. D. Witte, A. Wolf, D. Schwalm, M. L. Rappaport, K. G. Bhushan and D. Zajfman, Size-dependent electron-impact detachment of internally cold  $\text{C}_n^-$  and  $\text{Al}_n^-$  clusters, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 2004, **93**, 1–4.
- 5 I. Rahinov, Y. Toker, K. Hansen, D. Schwalm, O. Heber and D. Zajfman, Effect of a localized charge on the stability of van der Waals clusters, *Eur. Phys. J. D*, 2016, **70**, 260.
- 6 Y. Toker, I. Rahinov, D. Schwalm, U. Even, O. Heber, M. L. Rappaport, D. Strasser and D. Zajfman, Blackbody-induced radiative dissociation of cationic  $\text{SF}_6$  clusters, *Phys. Rev. A: At., Mol., Opt. Phys.*, 2012, **86**, 1–14.
- 7 I. Luzon, M. Nagler, V. Chandrasekaran, O. Heber and D. Strasser, Near-Threshold Photodetachment Cross Section of  $(\text{SF}_6)_n^-$  Cluster Anions: The Ion Core Structure, *J. Phys. Chem. A*, 2016, **120**, 221–226.
- 8 M. H. Stockett, M. Björkhage, H. Cederquist, H. T. Schmidt and H. Zettergren, Storage time dependent photodissociation action spectroscopy of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon cations in the cryogenic electrostatic storage ring DESIREE, *Faraday Discuss.*, 2019, **217**, 126–137.
- 9 M. K. Kristiansson, J. Sundberg, D. Hanstorp, G. Eklund, H. Cederquist, H. Zettergren and H. T. Schmidt, Photodetachment Studies of  $\text{Ir}^-$  Ions at DESIREE, *J. Phys.: Conf. Ser.*, 2020, **1412**, 132022.
- 10 D. Müll, F. Grussie, K. Blaum, S. George, J. Göck, M. Grieser, R. Von Hahn, Z. Harman, Á. Kálosi, C. H. Keitel, C. Krantz, C. Lyu, O. Novotný, F. Nuesslein, D. Paul, V. C. Schmidt, S. Singh, S. Sunil Kumar, X. Urbain, A. Wolf and H. Kreckel, Metastable states of Si- observed in a cryogenic storage ring, *Phys. Rev. A*, 2021, **104**, 1–13.
- 11 K. Najafian, M. S. Pettersson, B. Dynefors, H. Shiromaru, J. Matsumoto, H. Tanuma, T. Furukawa, T. Azuma and K. Hansen, Radiative cooling of  $\text{C}_7^-$ , *J. Chem. Phys.*, 2014, **140**, 104311.
- 12 K. Stöckel and J. U. Andersen, Photo excitation and laser detachment of  $\text{C}_{60}^-$  anions in a storage ring, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 2013, **139**, 164304.
- 13 U. Kadhane, J. U. Andersen, E. Bonderup, B. Concina, P. Hvelplund, M. B. S. Kirketerp, B. Liu, S. B. Nielsen, S. Panja, J. Rangama, K. Stöckel, S. Tomita, H. Zettergren, K. Hansen, A. E. K. Sundén, S. E. Canton, O. Echt and J. S. Forster, Near-infrared photoabsorption by  $\text{C}_{60}$  dianions in a storage ring, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 2009, **131**, 1–8.
- 14 S. Iida, S. Kuma, M. Kuriyama, T. Furukawa, M. Kusunoki, H. Tanuma, K. Hansen, H. Shiromaru and T. Azuma, IR-photon quenching of delayed electron detachment from hot pentacene anions, *Phys. Rev. A*, 2021, **104**, 1–11.
- 15 I. Luzon, M. Nagler, O. Heber and D. Strasser,  $\text{SF}_6^-$  photo-detachment near the adiabatic limit, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2015, **17**, 7670–7675.
- 16 I. Luzon, E. Livshits, K. Gope, R. Baer and D. Strasser, Making Sense of Coulomb Explosion Imaging, *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.*, 2019, **10**, 1361–1367.
- 17 K. Gope, E. Livshits, D. M. Bittner, R. Baer and D. Strasser, An “inverse” harpoon mechanism, *Sci. Adv.*, 2022, **8**, 2–9.
- 18 T. J. Millar, C. Walsh and T. A. Field, Negative Ions in Space, *Chem. Rev.*, 2017, **117**, 1765–1795.

- 19 H. T. Schmidt, H. A. B. Johansson, R. D. Thomas, W. D. Geppert, N. Haag, P. Reinhard, S. Rosén, M. Larsson, H. Danared, K. G. Rensfelt, L. Liljeby, L. Bagge, M. Björkhage, M. Blom, P. Löfgren, A. Källberg, A. Simonsson, A. Paál, H. Zettergren and H. Cederquist, DESIREE as a new tool for interstellar ion chemistry, *Int. J. Astrobiol.*, 2008, **7**, 205–208.
- 20 T. Oka, Interstellar  $\text{H}_3^+$ , *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 2006, **103**, 12235–12242.
- 21 T. R. Geballe and T. Oka, Detection of  $\text{H}_3^+$  in interstellar space, *Nature*, 1996, **384**, 334.
- 22 T. P. Snow and V. M. Bierbaum, Ion chemistry in the interstellar medium, *Annu. Rev. Anal. Chem.*, 2008, **1**, 229–259.
- 23 L. A. Capone, S. S. Prasad, W. T. Huntress, R. C. Whitten, J. Dubach and K. Santhanam, Formation of organic molecules on Titan, *Nature*, 1981, **293**, 45–46.
- 24 C. A. Nixon, A. E. Thelen, M. A. Cordiner, Z. Kisiel, S. B. Charnley, E. M. Molter, J. Serigano, P. G. J. Irwin, N. A. Teanby and Y.-J. Kuan, Detection of Cyclopropenylidene on Titan with ALMA, *Astron. J.*, 2020, **160**, 205.
- 25 S. I. Krashennnikov, A. Y. Pigarov, D. A. Knoll, B. Labombard, B. Lipschultz, D. J. Sigmar, T. K. Soboleva, J. L. Terry and F. Wising, Plasma recombination and molecular effects in tokamak divertors and divertor simulators, *Phys. Plasmas*, 1997, **4**, 1638–1646.
- 26 Z. Fradkin, D. Strasser, O. Heber, M. L. Rappaport, M. Sharon, B. A. Thomson, I. Rahinov, Y. Toker and D. Zajfman, Protein and peptide cross sections and mass spectra in an electrostatic ion beam trap, *J. Instrum.*, 2017, **17**, P05008.
- 27 A. Makarov, Electrostatic Axially Harmonic Orbital Trapping: A High-Performance Technique of Mass Analysis, *Anal. Chem.*, 2000, **72**, 1156–1162.
- 28 A. G. Elliott, S. I. Merenbloom, S. Chakrabarty and E. R. Williams, Single Particle Analyzer of Mass: A Charge Detection Mass Spectrometer with a Multi-Detector Electrostatic Ion Trap, *Int. J. Mass Spectrom.*, 2017, **414**, 45–55.
- 29 C. C. Harper, A. G. Elliott, L. M. Oltrogge, D. F. Savage and E. R. Williams, Multiplexed Charge Detection Mass Spectrometry for High-Throughput Single Ion Analysis of Large Molecules, *Anal. Chem.*, 2019, **91**, 7458–7468.
- 30 P. Fischer and L. Schweikhard, Multiple active voltage stabilizations for multi-reflection time-of-flight mass spectrometry, *Rev. Sci. Instrum.*, 2021, **92**, 063203.
- 31 H. Kreckel, O. Novotny and A. Wolf, Astrochemical studies at the Cryogenic Storage Ring, *Philos. Trans. R. Soc., A*, 2019, **377**, 20180412.
- 32 F. Grussie, A. P. O'Connor, M. Grieser, D. Müll, A. Znotins, X. Urbain and H. Kreckel, An ion-atom merged beams setup at the Cryogenic Storage Ring, *Rev. Sci. Instrum.*, 2022, **93**, 053305.
- 33 E. Bäckström, D. Hanstorp, O. M. Hole, M. Kaminska, R. F. Nascimento, M. Blom, M. Björkhage, A. Källberg, P. Löfgren, P. Reinhard, S. Rosén, A. Simonsson, R. D. Thomas, S. Mannervik, H. T. Schmidt and H. Cederquist, Storing keV Negative Ions for an Hour: The Lifetime of the Metastable  $^2\text{P}_{1/2}^0$  level in  $^{32}\text{S}^-$ , *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 2015, **114**, 1–5.
- 34 R. Von Hahn, A. Becker, F. Berg, K. Blaum, C. Breitenfeldt, H. Fadil, F. Fellenberger, M. Froese, S. George, J. Göck, M. Grieser, F. Grussie, E. A. Guerin, O. Heber, P. Herwig, J. Kartheim, C. Krantz, H. Kreckel, M. Lange, F. Laux, S. Lohmann, S. Menk, C. Meyer, P. M. Mishra, O. Novotný, A. P. O'Connor, D. A. Orlov, M. L. Rappaport, R. Repnow, S. Saurabh, S. Schippers, C. D. Schröter, D. Schwalm, L. Schweikhard, T. Sieber, A. Shornikov, K. Spruck, S. Sunil Kumar, J. Ullrich, X. Urbain, S. Vogel, P. Wilhelm, A. Wolf and D. Zajfman, The cryogenic storage ring CSR, *Rev. Sci. Instrum.*, 2016, **87**, 063115.
- 35 M. H. Stockett, J. N. Bull, H. Cederquist, S. Indrajith, M. C. Ji, J. E. Navarro Navarrete, H. T. Schmidt, H. Zettergren and B. Zhu, Efficient stabilization of cyanonaphthalene by fast radiative cooling and implications for the resilience of small PAHs in interstellar clouds, *Nat. Commun.*, 2023, **14**, 1–8.
- 36 Y. Albeck, K. G. Lunney, Y. Benitez, A. J. Shin, D. Strasser and R. E. Continetti, Resonance-Mediated Below-Threshold Delayed Photoemission and Non-Franck-Condon Photodissociation of Cold Oxyallyl Anions, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2019, **131**, 5366–5369.
- 37 Y. Toker, O. Aviv, M. Erutt, M. L. Rappaport, O. Heber, D. Schwalm and D. Zajfman, Radiative cooling of  $\text{Al}_4^-$  clusters, *Phys. Rev. A: At., Mol., Opt. Phys.*, 2007, **76**, 1–6.
- 38 K. Saha, A. Prabhakaran, V. Chandrasekaran, M. L. Rappaport, O. Heber and D. Zajfman, An experimental setup to study delayed electron emission upon photoexcitation of trapped polyatomic anions, *Rev. Sci. Instrum.*, 2017, **88**, 053101.
- 39 D. Auerbach, R. Cacak, R. Caudano, T. D. Gaily, C. J. Keyser, J. W. McGowan, J. B. A. Mitchell and S. F. J. Wilk, Merged electron-ion beam experiments. I. Method and measurements of  $(\text{e}-\text{H}_2^+)$  and  $(\text{e}-\text{H}_3^+)$  dissociative-recombination cross sections, *J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Phys.*, 1977, **10**, 3797–3820.
- 40 O. Heber, P. D. Witte, A. Diner, K. G. Bhushan, D. Strasser, Y. Toker, M. L. Rappaport, I. Ben-Itzhak, N. Altstein, D. Schwalm, A. Wolf and D. Zajfman, Electrostatic ion beam trap for electron collision studies, *Rev. Sci. Instrum.*, 2005, **76**, 013104.
- 41 N. De Ruette, A. Dochain, T. Launoy, R. F. Nascimento, M. Kaminska, M. H. Stockett, N. Vaeck, H. T. Schmidt, H. Cederquist and X. Urbain, Mutual Neutralization of  $\text{O}^-$  with  $\text{O}^+$  and  $\text{N}^+$  at Subthermal Collision Energies, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 2018, **121**, 83401.
- 42 V. F. DeTuri and K. M. Ervin, Competitive Threshold Collision-Induced Dissociation: Gas-Phase Acidities and Bond Dissociation Energies for a Series of Alcohols, *J. Phys. Chem. A*, 1999, **103**, 6911–6920.
- 43 G. Eklund, J. Grumer, P. S. Barklem, S. Rosén, M. Ji, A. Simonsson, R. D. Thomas, H. Cederquist, H. Zettergren and H. T. Schmidt, Final-state-resolved mutual neutralization of  $\text{Na}^+$  and  $\text{D}^-$ , *Phys. Rev. A*, 2021, **103**, 1–7.
- 44 M. Hamberg, W. D. Geppert, S. Rosén, F. Hellberg, A. Ehlerding, V. Zhaunerchyk, M. Kaminska, R. D. Thomas, M. Af Ugglas, A. Källberg, A. Simonsson, A. Paal and M. Larsson, Dissociative recombination of and Absolute cross sections and branching ratios, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2005, **7**, 1664–1668.



- 45 L. Vikor, A. Al-Khalili, H. Danared, N. Djuric, G. H. Dunn, M. Larsson, A. Le Padellec, S. Rosén and M. Af Ugglas, Branching fractions in the dissociative recombination of  $\text{NH}_4^+$  and  $\text{NH}_2^+$  molecular ions, *Astron. Astrophys.*, 1999, **344**, 1027–1033.
- 46 X. Urbain, J. Lecointre, F. Mezdari, K. A. Miller and D. W. Savin, Merged-beam study of mutual neutralization of  $\text{H}^-$  and  $\text{H}^+$ , *J. Phys.: Conf. Ser.*, 2012, **388**, 8–9.
- 47 G. Eklund, J. Grumer, S. Rosén, M. Ji, N. Punnakayathil, A. Källberg, A. Simonsson, R. D. Thomas, M. H. Stockett, P. Reinhed, P. Löfgren, M. Björkhage, M. Blom, P. S. Barklem, H. Cederquist, H. Zettergren and H. T. Schmidt, Cryogenic merged-ion-beam experiments in DESIREE: Final-state-resolved mutual neutralization of  $\text{Li}^+$  and  $\text{D}^-$ , *Phys. Rev. A*, 2020, **102**, 12823.
- 48 T. Launoy, J. Loreau, A. Dochain, J. Liévin, N. Vaeck and X. Urbain, Mutual Neutralization in  $\text{Li}^+-\text{D}^-$  Collisions: A Combined Experimental and Theoretical Study, *Astrophys. J.*, 2019, **883**, 85.
- 49 M. Poline, S. Rosén, M. Ji, A. Simonsson, P. Reinhed, M. Larsson, H. T. Schmidt, R. D. Thomas, A. Dochain, X. Urbain, J. Grumer, P. S. Barklem, S. G. Ard, N. S. Shuman and A. A. Viggiano, Storage-ring study of the mutual neutralization of  $\text{N}^+$  with  $\text{O}^-$  Mathias, *Phys. Rev. A*, 2022, **105**, 062825.
- 50 A. Kellerbauer, T. Kim, R. B. Moore and P. Varfalvy, Buffer gas cooling of ion beams, *Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect. A*, 2001, **469**, 276–285.
- 51 Z. Amitay, D. Zajfman, P. Forck, U. Hechtfisher, B. Seidel, M. Grieser, D. Habs, R. Repnow, D. Schwalm and A. Wolf, Dissociative recombination of  $\text{CH}^+$ : Cross section and final states, *Phys. Rev. A: At., Mol., Opt. Phys.*, 1996, **54**, 4032–4050.
- 52 H. Buhr, J. Stützel, M. B. Mendes, O. Novotný, D. Schwalm, M. H. Berg, D. Bing, M. Grieser, O. Heber, C. Krantz, S. Menk, S. Novotny, D. A. Orlov, A. Pettrignani, M. L. Rappaport, R. Repnow, D. Zajfman and A. Wolf, Hot water molecules from dissociative recombination of  $\text{D}_3\text{O}^+$  with cold electrons, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 2010, **105**, 1–4.
- 53 H. T. Schmidt, R. D. Thomas, M. Gatchell, S. Rosén, P. Reinhed, P. Löfgren, L. Brännholm, M. Blom, M. Björkhage, E. Bäckström, J. D. Alexander, S. Leontein, D. Hanstorp, H. Zettergren, L. Liljeby, A. Källberg, A. Simonsson, F. Hellberg, S. Mannervik, M. Larsson, W. D. Geppert, K. G. Rensfelt, H. Danared, A. Paál, M. Masuda, P. Halldén, G. Andler, M. H. Stockett, T. Chen, G. Källersjö, J. Weimer, K. Hansen, H. Hartman and H. Cederquist, First storage of ion beams in the Double Electrostatic Ion-Ring Experiment: DESIREE, *Rev. Sci. Instrum.*, 2013, **84**, 055115.
- 54 R. D. Thomas, H. T. Schmidt, M. Gatchell, S. Rosén, P. Reinhed, P. Löfgren, L. Brännholm, M. Blom, M. Björkhage, E. Bäckström, J. D. Alexander, S. Leontein, D. Hanstorp, H. Zettergren, M. Kaminska, R. Nascimento, L. Liljeby, A. Källberg, A. Simonsson, F. Hellberg, S. Mannervik, M. Larsson, W. D. Geppert, K. G. Rensfelt, A. Paál, M. Masuda, P. Halldén, G. Andler, M. H. Stockett, T. Chen, G. Källersjö, J. Weimer, K. Hansen, H. Hartman and H. Cederquist, DESIREE: Physics with cold stored ion beams, *EPJ Web Conf.*, 2015, **84**, 1–7.
- 55 D. Zajfman, O. Heber, L. Vejby-Christensen, I. Ben-Itzhak, M. Rappaport, R. Fishman and M. Dahan, Electrostatic bottle for long-time storage of fast ion beams, *Phys. Rev. A: At., Mol., Opt. Phys.*, 1997, **55**, R1577–R1580.
- 56 M. Dahan, R. Fishman, O. Heber, M. Rappaport, N. Altstein, D. Zajfman and W. J. Van Der Zande, A new type of electrostatic ion trap for storage of fast ion beams, *Rev. Sci. Instrum.*, 1998, **69**, 76–83.
- 57 F. Schotsch, I. Zebergs, S. Augustin, H. Lindenblatt, L. Hoibl, D. Djendjur, C. D. Schroeter, T. Pfeifer and R. Moshhammer, TrapREMI: A reaction microscope inside an electrostatic ion beam trap, *Rev. Sci. Instrum.*, 2021, **92**, 123201.
- 58 P. Fischer and L. Schweikhard, Multiple-ion-ejection multi-reflection time-of-flight mass spectrometry for single-reference mass measurements with lapping ion species, *Rev. Sci. Instrum.*, 2020, **91**, 023201.
- 59 O. Aviv, Y. Toker, M. Errit, K. G. Bhushan, H. B. Pedersen, M. L. Rappaport, O. Heber, D. Schwalm and D. Zajfman, A bent electrostatic ion beam trap for simultaneous measurements of fragmentation and ionization of cluster ions, *Rev. Sci. Instrum.*, 2008, **79**, 083110.
- 60 D. Strasser, T. Geyer, H. B. Pedersen, O. Heber, S. Goldberg, B. Amarant, A. Diner, Y. Rudich, I. Sagi, M. Rappaport, D. J. Tannor and D. Zajfman, Negative Mass Instability for Interacting Particles in a 1D Box: Theory and Application, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 2002, **89**, 2–5.
- 61 R. K. Gangwar, K. Saha, O. Heber, M. L. Rappaport and D. Zajfman, Autoresonance Cooling of Ions in an Electrostatic Ion Beam Trap, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 2017, **119**, 1–5.
- 62 A. Shahi, R. Singh, Y. Ossia, D. Zajfman, O. Heber and D. Strasser, Hybrid electrostatic ion beam trap (HEIBT): Design and simulation of ion-ion, ion-neutral, and ion-laser interactions, *Rev. Sci. Instrum.*, 2019, **90**, 113308.
- 63 I. Rahinov, Y. Toker, O. Heber, D. Strasser, M. Rappaport, D. Schwalm and D. Zajfman, Lifetime measurements in an electrostatic ion beam trap using image charge monitoring, *Rev. Sci. Instrum.*, 2012, **83**, 033302.
- 64 U. Even, The Even-Lavie valve as a source for high intensity supersonic beam, *EPJ Tech. Instrum.*, 2015, **2**, 17.
- 65 Y. Albeck, D. M. Kandhasamy and D. Strasser, Multiple Detachment of the  $\text{SF}_6^-$  Molecular Anion with Shaped Intense Laser Pulses, *J. Phys. Chem. A*, 2014, **118**, 388–395.
- 66 Y. Albeck, G. Lerner, D. M. Kandhasamy, V. Chandrasekaran and D. Strasser, Intense-Field Double Detachment of Electrostatically Bound  $\text{F}^-(\text{NF}_3)_n$  Cluster Anions, *J. Phys. Chem. A*, 2016, **120**, 3246–3252.
- 67 Y. Albeck, G. Lerner, D. M. Kandhasamy, V. Chandrasekaran and D. Strasser, Intense field double detachment of atomic versus molecular anions, *Phys. Rev. A: At., Mol., Opt. Phys.*, 2015, **92**, 061401(R).
- 68 A. Shahi, L. McCaslin, Y. Albeck, R. E. Continetti, R. B. Gerber and D. Strasser, Double photodetachment of  $\text{F}^-\text{H}_2\text{O}$ : Experimental and theoretical studies of  $[\text{FH}_2\text{O}]^+$ , *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.*, 2018, **9**, 6808–6813.

- 69 A. Shahi, Y. Albeck and D. Strasser, Intense-Field Multiple-Detachment of F<sub>2</sub>: Competition with Photodissociation, *J. Phys. Chem. A*, 2017, **121**, 3037–3044.
- 70 H. B. Pedersen, D. Strasser, S. Ring, O. Heber, M. L. Rappaport, Y. Rudich, Y. Rudich, I. Sagi and D. Zajfman, Ion motion synchronization in an ion-trap resonator, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 2001, **87**, 55001.
- 71 H. B. Pedersen, D. Strasser, B. Amarant, O. Heber, M. L. Rappaport and D. Zajfman, Diffusion and synchronization in an ion-trap resonator, *Phys. Rev. A: At., Mol., Opt. Phys.*, 2002, **65**, 18.
- 72 D. Strasser, O. Heber, S. Goldberg and D. Zajfman, Self-bunching induced by negative effective mass instability in an electrostatic ion beam trap, *J. Phys. B: At., Mol. Opt. Phys.*, 2003, **36**, 953–959.
- 73 D. Attia, D. Strasser, O. Heber, M. L. Rappaport and D. Zajfman, Transverse kinematics of ions stored in an electrostatic ion beam trap, *Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect. A*, 2005, **547**, 279–286.
- 74 S. Menk, S. Das, K. Blaum, M. W. Froese, M. Lange, M. Mukherjee, R. Repnow, D. Schwalm, R. Von Hahn and A. Wolf, Vibrational autodetachment of sulfur hexafluoride anions at its long-lifetime limit, *Phys. Rev. A: At., Mol., Opt. Phys.*, 2014, **89**, 1–5.
- 75 M. Rashid, Simple analytical method to design electrostatic einzel lens, *Proc. DAE Symp. on Nucl. Phys.*, 2011, **56**, 1132–1133.
- 76 D. Gupta, D. Sharma, R. Ringle, C. Nicoloff, I. Rahinov, O. Heber and D. Zajfman, Time-dependent dynamics of radio-frequency-bunched ions in an electrostatic ion beam trap, *Phys. Rev. E*, 2023, **045202**, 1–5.
- 77 D. Gupta, R. Singh, R. Ringle, C. R. Nicoloff, I. Rahinov, O. Heber and D. Zajfman, Particle-in-cell techniques for the study of space charge effects in an electrostatic ion beam trap, *Phys. Rev. E*, 2021, **104**, 1–12.