

Showcasing research from Professor Miyoshi's laboratory, FIRST, Konan University, Kobe, Japan.

Simple and fast screening for structure-selective G-quadruplex ligands

We developed new structure-selective G-quadruplex ligands utilizing a simple and fast screening system, which was able to be carried out even in the presence of excess DNA duplex. The identified G-quadruplex ligands demonstrated a structural selectivity–cytotoxicity relationship of G-quadruplex ligands.

See Daisuke Miyoshi *et al*., *Chem*. *Commun*., 2023, 59, 4891.

ChemComm

Cite this: *Chem. Commun.*, 2023, 59, 4891

Received 7th February 2023, Accepted 5th April 2023

DOI: 10.1039/d3cc00556a

rsc.li/chemcomm

Simple and fast screening for structure-selective G-quadruplex ligands†

Yoshiki Hashimoto,^a Yoshiki Imagawa,^a Kaho Nagano,^a Ryuichi Maeda,^a Naho Nagahama,^a Takeru Torii,^a Natsuki Kinoshita,^a Nagisa Takamiya,^a Keiko Kawauchi,^a Hisae Tatesishi-Karimata,^b Naoki Sugimoto Dab and Daisuke Miyoshi^{D*a}

Structural selectivity of G-quadruplex ligands is essential for cellular applications since there is an excess of nucleic acids forming duplex structures compared to G-quadruplex structures in living cells. In this study, we developed new structure-selective G-quadruplex ligands utilizing a simple and fast screening system. The affinity, selectivity, enzymatic inhibitory activity and cytotoxicity of the structureselective G-quadruplex ligands were demonstrated along with a structural selectivity–cytotoxicity relationship of G-quadruplex **ligands**

Guanine-rich sequences can form G-quadruplex (G4) structures, which encapsulate two to four planar layers of four guanine bases (G-quartets) via Hoogsteen hydrogen bonds.^{1,2} The human genome contains about 7 \times 10⁶ putative G4-forming G₂₋₅N₁₋₇G₂₋₅N₁₋₇ $G_{2-5}N_{1-7}G_{2-5}$ sequences³ which are frequently observed in human telomeres and promoters of genes, especially oncogenes.⁴ The most well-known and extensively studied G4-forming sequence in the human genome is the telomere, which is at the ends of chromosomes and is composed of $d(GGGTTA)_n$. At the very 3'-end, the telomere has a characteristic single-stranded G-rich overhang which has a propensity to form G4 and inhibit telomerase activity. $5-9$ This finding has spurred huge interest in the development of G4-targeting small molecules (G4 ligands) to inhibit telomerase activity and to obstruct telomere elongation by binding and stabilizing the telomeric G4. The human genome comprises ca. 6×10^9 bases, most of which fold into canonical duplex structures. The telomere overhang regions involve 200 bases in each of the 46 chromosome ends, and approximately 1.8×10^4 bases in total. However, the number of duplex-forming bases is over $10⁵$ times that of the number of G4-forming telomeric bases. G4 ligands therefore require high structural selectivity towards the duplex for

cellular applications but only a few structure-selective G4 ligands, such as pyridostatin and telomestatin, have been reported. $10,11$ Zhang et al. developed a key intracellular ligand displacement assay and demonstrated that small molecules, which bind to G4 in the presence of excess DNA duplex in a test tube experiment, can bind G4 in living cells.¹² This G4 ligand screening assay which can be used in the presence of excess DNA duplex is a promising approach to identifying G4-selective ligands that remain functional in cells. However, although G4 screening methods have been developed, $13-15$ a simple, one-pot screening system applicable in the presence of excess DNA duplex has yet to be reported. COMMUNICATION

Simple and fast screening for structure-selective

Si

Here, we developed a thioflavin T (ThT)-displacement assay (TD assay). ThT was identified as a G4-selective fluorescence indicator, 16 raising the possibility of screening for G4 ligands in the presence of excess DNA duplex to identify G4 ligands with high selectivity for G4 over duplex. In the proposed scheme (Fig. 1), ThT binds G4 and emits fluorescence even in the presence of excess DNA duplex. If the test molecule binds G4 but cannot bind duplex, ThT is displaced, leading to a decrease in fluorescence intensity. However, fluorescence intensity would not change if the test molecule has affinity for duplex or if it binds neither G4 nor duplex.

We confirmed that ThT binds to a G4-forming target oligonucleotide derived from human telomere DNA (22AG: 5'-AGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGG-3[']) in a structure-selective manner. 22AG was titrated into ThT in the absence or presence of excess calf thymus DNA duplex (CT-DNA) (2 mM nucleotide concentration). The fluorescence intensity of ThT at 485 nm (F485) drastically increased upon the addition of 22AG (Fig. S1A, ESI†) under both conditions. In addition, the binding stoichiometry of ThT and 22AG G4 was confirmend to be 1 : 1 (Fig. S2, ESI[†]). The dissociation constant, $K_{d\textrm{-}ThT}$, at 25 °C was calculated to be 7.0 μ M and 13 μ M in the absence and presence of 2 mM CT-DNA, respectively (Fig. S1B, see ESI† for details regarding evaluation of the $K_{d\text{-ThT}}$ values of ThT). This result confirmed that ThT binds to 22AG G4 selectively and maintains its binding affinity even in the presence of excess DNA duplex. Note that it was reported that ThT boound to DNA duplex,

^a Frontiers of Innovative Research in Science and Technology, Konan university,

⁷⁻¹⁻²⁰ Minatojima-minamimachi, Chuo-ku, Kobe, Hyogo, 650-0047, Japan

 b Frontier Institute for Biomolecular Engineering Research, Konan university, 7-1-20 Minatojima-minamimachi, Chuo-ku, Kobe, Hyogo, 650-0047, Japan

[†] Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1039/d3cc00556a) [10.1039/d3cc00556a](https://doi.org/10.1039/d3cc00556a)

Communication ChemComm

Fig. 1 Schematic illustration of G4-selective ligand screening with the TD-assay. ThT binds to 22AG G4 in the presence of excess duplex and emits fluorescence. When a compound binds to G4 in the presence of duplex DNA, ThT is displaced by the compound, resulting in a decrease in the fluorescence of ThT.

although its fluoresence emission with DNA duplex was much weaker than that with DNA G4.¹⁷ The small difference between the K_d values may reflect the ThT binding with CT-DNA. From these results, ThT is a suitable fluorescence probe for the screening of G4 ligands in the presence of excess DNA duplex.

The affinity index (I_{Affinity}) showing the degree of ThT displacement by a test compound was evaluated from the F_{485} value of ThT as follows: $(F_{485}$ with the compound)/ $(F_{485}$ without the compound). The smaller the $I_{Affinity}$ value, the higher the affinity of the compound with 22AG, which forms a mixed G4 under these experimental conditions.¹⁸ We demonstrated the concept behind the TD assay by using the two G4 ligands TMPyP4 and pyridostatin (PDS) (Fig. 2A). TMPyP4,¹⁹ a nonselective G4 ligand, provided I_{Affinity} values of 0.18 and 0.79 in the absence and presence of excess DNA duplex, respectively. In contrast, PDS, a typical G4-selective ligand, exhibited I_{Affinity}

Fig. 2 (A) $I_{Affinity}$ of PDS and TMPyP4 in the absence (blue bar) or presence (red bar) of 2 mM CT-DNA. (B) Plots of IAffinity as a function of [PDS] (orange) and [TMPyP4] (black) in the absence (dotted line) or presence (solid line) of 2 mM CT-DNA. All measurements were carried out in KCl buffer at 25 °C.

values in the absence (0.09) and presence (0.12) of excess DNA duplex, showing that PDS maintains G4 binding affinity even in the presence of excess DNA duplex. Furthermore, we measured the F_{485} value of ThT with various concentrations of TMPyP4 and PDS and evaluated the observed K_d (K_i) values in the absence and presence of excess DNA duplex (please see ESI† for evaluation of the K_i values of the compounds). The K_i values of TMPyP4 were 0.95 \pm 0.07 uM and 5.5 \pm 0.3 uM in the absence and presence of excess DNA duplex, respectively (Fig. 2B), whereas the values for PDS were 0.43 \pm 0.03 μ M and 0.72 \pm 0.08 µM, respectively. Although other factors, such as a direct binding of ThT with the added compound with ThT and a fluorescence quenching of ThT by the added compound, these K_i values confirm that the TD assay is suitable for screening structure-selective G4 ligands.¹³

We conducted the TD assay for an in-house library composed of 35 small molecules (Fig. S3, ESI†) which we considered to show structure-selective G4 binding. Fig. 3 shows the IAffinity values in the absence (blue) and in the presence of excess DNA duplex (red). The compounds were arranged in the order of increasing I_{Affinity} value in the absence of excess DNA duplex. Many of the compounds with small I_{Affinity} values in the absence of excess duplex showed larger values in the presence of excess duplex. For example, the $I_{Affinity}$ values of compound 1 (methyl violet 2B; MV) were 0.22 and 0.76, respectively, demonstrating that the binding of MV is reduced by excess DNA duplex. The five compounds that showed the lowest I_{Affinity} values in the presence of excess DNA duplex are listed in Table S1 (ESI†), together with their K_i values. Furthermore, the selectivity index $(I_{\text{Selectivity}})$, an indicator of selectivity of a compound, was evaluated as follows: $I_{\text{Selectivity}} = (K_i \text{ without excess DNA duplex})/(K_i \text{ with excess DNA}$ duplex). A compound with an $I_{\text{selectivity}}$ value close to 1 is more selective. The I_{Selectivity} values of TMPyP4 and PDS were 0.17 and 0.59, respectively, consistent with previous findings and supporting the validity of the TD assay. Communication

Communication Communication Communication Communication Communication Communication Communication Communication

Communication Communication Communication Communication Communication Communication Communica

> We identified compound 3 (crystal violet; CV, Fig. 4A) as a moderate G4-selective compound, although it was previously reported as a structure-selective G4 ligand. 20 We also identified compound 1 (methyl violet; MV) and compound 5 (ethyl violet; EV), which possess the same structural scaffold as CV but have different lengths of terminal alkyl chains (Fig. 4A). The K_i values of these compounds are evaluated (Fig. 4B) and listed in Table S1 (ESI†). The binding affinities of these compounds in the absence of excess DNA duplex were $MV > CV > EV$, whereas in the presence of excess DNA duplex the binding affinity order was the opposite. Thus, the order of the $I_{\text{selectivity}}$ values is EV > CV > MV (Table S1, ESI† and Fig. 4C), suggesting that the bulkiness of the terminal alkyl chain, which impedes non-selective electrostatic interaction of the positive functional groups with negatively charged DNA duplex, is an important factor for the structural selectivity of G4 ligands. In addition, structural and thermal studies of 22AG showed that a local structure change and thermal stabilization were induced by MV, CV, and EV (Fig. S4, ESI†), confirming the binding of the compounds to 22AG.

> Based on the K_i values of the compounds screened, we further attempted to evaluate the inhibitory effects of EV on

Fig. 3 Affinity index ($I_{Affinity}$) of 20 µM compounds in the absence and presence of excess DNA duplex. All measurements were carried out in KCl buffer at 25 °C. Error bars represent mean \pm SD; n = 3.

Fig. 4 Chemical structure of (A) methyl violet 2B (MV), crystal violet (CV) and ethyl violet (EV). (B) Plots of $I_{Affinity}$ as a function of [MV] (black), [CV] (green), and [EV] (blue) for $I_{Affinity}$ in the absence (dotted line) or presence (solid line) of 2 mM CT-DNA. All measurements were carried out in KCl buffer at 25 °C. (C) The $I_{\text{Selectivity}}$ value of MV, CV, and EV for 22AG. Error values represent mean \pm SD; n = 3.

the transcription reaction. We used two transcription reaction templates: 22AG template containing the 22AG sequence (Table S2, ESI†) which folds into a mixed G4, and mut22AG template containing a mutated 22AG sequence which cannot fold into the G4 structure. T7 RNA polymerase stop assays with the two templates were performed in the absence and presence of excess DNA duplex (Fig. 5A). Inhibition of the polymerase reaction by G4 results in a short transcript (about 35 nucleotides long) and the amount of completed transcript (79 nucleotides long) is decreased. Fig. 5B shows a denaturing polyacrylamide gel of the transcripts at $0 \mu M$ and 100 μ M EV in the absence of excess DNA duplex, demonstrating the inhibitory effect of EV on transcription. As shown in Fig. S5 (ESI†) and Fig. 5C, the amount of arrested (shown in orange) and completed (shown in blue) transcript increased and decreased, respectively, with increasing concentration of EV, when the G4 template was used in the absence of excess DNA duplex (squares with dotted line). In contrast, the transcription reaction with the mut22AG template was not inhibited regardless of the

Fig. 5 (A) Schematic illustration of the T7 RNA polymerase stop assay with the G4-forming template strand. (B) Transcripts from the 22AG template in the absence or presence of a 100 μ M EV. (C and D) Relative amounts of completed (blue) and arrested (orange) transcripts at various concentrations of EV with the 22AG template (C) or with the mut22AG template (D) in the absence (squares) or presence (circles) of excess DNA duplex.

concentration of EV (squares with dotted line in Fig. 5D): the relative amounts of the transcripts (completed and arrested) were independent of the EV concentration. Therefore, transcriptional inhibition by EV is likely mediated by the G4 formed in the template. More importantly, this trend was maintained even in the presence of excess DNA duplex (circles with dotted lines in Fig. 5C and D). Although similar results were obtained for MV and CV, their inhibitory effects were weaker than that of EV (Fig. S6, ESI†). These results demonstrate that the binding of and transcriptional inhibition by EV are preserved even in the presence of excess DNA duplex and occur in a G4 structure-specific manner.

Based on the G4-specific binding of and enzyme inhibition by EV, we examined the effects of MV, CV, and EV, as well as TMPyP4 and PDS on the proliferation of HeLa cells. Fig. S7 (ESI†) shows images of HeLa cells 48 hours after the addition of

Fig. 6 (A) Relative viability of HeLa cells 48 hours after the addition of various concentrations of TMPyP4, PDS, MV, CV, or EV. (B) Plots of the IC_{50} values of the ligands on cell proliferation versus the $I_{\text{selectivity}}$ values of TMPyP4, PDS, MV, CV, and EV. Error bars represent mean \pm SD; $n = 3$.

100 mM of each test compound. No significant decrease in cell viability was observed with TMPyP4, consistent with a previous study, 21 whereas the other compounds decreased cell viability. Fig. 6A shows the viability of HeLa cells in the presence of various concentrations of TMPyP4, PDS, MV, EV, or EV. The IC_{50} value of the compounds were obtained from plots in Fig. 6A (see ESI† for the evaluation of the IC_{50} values). We then plotted the IC_{50} value versus the $I_{\text{selectivity}}$ values to find a relationship between structural selectivity and anti-proliferative effect (Fig. 6B). Interestingly, there is a strong correlation between the anti-proliferative effect and the structural selectivity, showing that the structural selectivity of the G4 ligand is essential for the anti-proliferative effect on cancer cells. In addition, EV, a simple and relatively small compound, exhibits sufficient structural selectivity to reduce cancer cell viability. Communication Website articles. Published on 2023. Downloaded articles. This article is likely and the commons are computed by the same of th

Although the strong correlation between the selectivity and the anti-proliferative effect was observed, these componds may bind not only DNA G4 but also proteins. We then attempted to carry out the TD assay and the T7 polymerase assay of MV, CV, and EV in the presence of excess protein, HSA (human serum albmin). In the TD assay, it was found that the fluoresence decremet ThT was observed in the presence of HSA, although the degree of the decrement was smaller than that in the presence of exess DNA duplex (Fig. S8, ESI†). Moreover, the results of T7 RNA polymerase assay in the presence of 0, 5, 10, or $20 \mu M$ HSA showed that these compounds preserve the G4specific inhibititory effect on T7 RNA polymerase (Fig. S9, ESI†). These results suggest that these compounds barely maintain the bindng affinity and selectivity in the presence of the protein, although further studies with other proteins are required. Thus, it is possible to consider that the between the anti-proliferative effect and the structural selectivity is reasonable.

In summary, we have established a new structure-selective G4 ligand screening system, the TD assay, which allowed the identification of novel structure-selective G4 ligands that showed a G4-specific transcriptional inhibitory effect and cell proliferative inhibition. These results clearly demonstrate that structural selectivity by G4 ligands is critical for cellular applications. Moreover, we quantitatively evaluated the effect of bulkiness of the positively charged functional group on the affinity and selectivity of the G4 ligands. Although the rational design of structure-selective G4 ligands remains difficult, a series of structure-selective G4 ligands identified using the TD assay will be useful for the rational design of structure-selective G4 ligands.

This work was supported by JSPS KAKENHI grant numbers 22H04975, 21H05107, 21H02062, 20K21259, and 17H06351, a Research Grant of the Asahi Glass Foundation, Japan, the Hirao Taro Foundation of Konan Gakuen for Academic Research, Japan, and JST SPRING, grant number JPMJSP2117.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Notes and references

- 1 M. Gellert, M. N. Lipsett and D. R. Davies, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A., 1962, 48, 2013–2018.
- 2 D. Sen and W. Gilbert, Nature, 1988, 334, 364–366.
- 3 V. S. Chambers, G. Marsico, J. M. Boutell, M. Di Antonio, G. P. Smith and S. Balasubramanian, Nat. Biotechnol., 2015, 33, 877–881.
- 4 S. Balasubramanian, L. H. Hurley and S. Neidle, Nat. Rev. Drug Discovery, 2011, 10, 261–275.
- 5 H. A. Pickett, J. D. Henson, A. Y. Au, A. A. Neumann and R. R. Reddel, Hum. Mol. Genet., 2011, 20, 4684–4692.
- 6 V. F. S. Kahl, J. A. M. Allen, C. B. Nelson, A. P. Sobinoff, M. Lee, T. Kilo, R. S. Vasireddy and H. A. Pickett, Front. Cell Dev. Biol., 2020, 8, 493.
- 7 W. E. Wright, V. M. Tesmer, K. E. Huffman, S. D. Levene and J. W. Shay, *Genes Dev.*, 1997, 11, 2801-2809.
8 A. De Cian, G. Cristofari, P. Reichen
- De Cian, G. Cristofari, P. Reichenbach, E. De Lemos, D. Monchaud, M. P. Teulade-Fichou, K. Shin-Ya, L. Lacroix, J. Lingner and J. L. Mergny, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A., 2007, 104, 17347–17352.
- 9 H. Yu, X. Gu, S. Nakano, D. Miyoshi and N. Sugimoto, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2012, 134, 20060–20069.
- 10 R. Rodriguez, S. Müller, J. A. Yeoman, C. Trentesaux, J. F. Riou and S. Balasubramanian, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2008, 130, 15758–15759.
- 11 M. Y. Kim, H. Vankayalapati, K. Shin-Ya, K. Wierzba and L. H. Hurley, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2002, 124, 2098–2099.
- 12 S. Zhang, H. Sun, D. Yang, Y. Liu, X. Zhang, H. Chen, Q. Li, A. Guan and Y. Tang, Anal. Chim. Acta: X, 2019, 2, 100017.
- 13 D. Monchaud, C. Allain and M. P. Teulade-Fichou, Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett., 2006, 16, 4842–4845.
- 14 A. De Cian, L. Guittat, M. Kaiser, B. Saccà, S. Amrane, A. Bourdoncle, P. Alberti, M. P. Teulade-Fichou, L. Lacroix and J. L. Mergny, Methods, 2007, 42, 183–195.
- 15 C. Platella, D. Musumeci, A. Arciello, F. Doria, M. Freccero, A. Randazzo, J. Amato, B. Pagano and D. Montesarchio, Anal. Chim. Acta, 2018, 1030, 133–141.
- 16 V. Gabelica, R. Maeda, T. Fujimoto, H. Yaku, T. Murashima, N. Sugimoto and D. Miyoshi, Biochemistry, 2013, 52, 5620–5628.
- 17 P. Hanczyc, P. Rajchel-Mieldzioć, B. Feng and P. Fita, J. Phys. Chem. Lett., 2021, 12, 5436–5442.
- 18 A. T. Phan, V. Kuryavyi, K. N. Luu and D. J. Patel, Nucleic Acids Res., 2007, 35, 6517–6525.
- 19 R. T. S. Wheelhouse, D. Sun, H. Han, F. X. Han and L. H. Hurley, J. Med. Chem., 1998, 120, 3261–3262.
- 20 D. M. Kong, Y. E. Ma, J. Wu and H. X. Shen, Chemistry, 2009, 15, 901–909.
- 21 H. Yaku, T. Murashima, D. Miyoshi and N. Sugimoto, J. Phys. Chem. B, 2014, 118, 2605–2614.