Journal of Materials Chemistry C

Cite this: *J. Mater. Chem. C*, 2022, 10, 9748

Received 28th March 2022, Accepted 2nd June 2022 DOI: 10.1039/d2tc01253g

rsc.li/materials-c

Distortion-driven spin switching in electrondoped metal porphyrins†

Iulia Cojocariu, D^{*ab} Silvia Carlotto, D^{*cd} Matteo Jugovac, D^{ab} Luca Floreano, D^e Maurizio Casarin,^c Vitaliy Feyer D^{*af} and Claus Michael Schneider^{af}

Electron injection into electrode-supported metal complexes allows for charge redistribution within the molecule to be controlled. Here we show, for the first time, how the structural flexibility in electrondoped porphyrins is critical in defining charge localization by following the evolution of the spin state and charge distribution in the thermodynamically favored structure as a function of dopant dose and relaxation time. Two flexible transition metal-containing molecules are used as model systems, nickel and cobalt tetraphenylporphyrin, studied by combining a wide range of spectroscopic techniques with detailed DFT calculations.

Organic semiconductors, and in particular transition metal porphyrins (TMPs) and TM phthalocyanines (TMPcs), may be considered as a class of materials that can be used to create a wide range of adaptable and low-cost molecular-based electronic devices. $1-4$ To fully exploit the potential of these interfaces, the understanding and capability to tune the physical, chemical, and transport properties of organic semiconductor components is critical.^{5,6} Within this framework, the capacity of controlling the metal charge and spin states in the organic array is a step toward molecular spintronics realization, and it has been shown that single electron injection in the molecule can drastically change its properties. Along these lines, scanning tunneling microscopy (STM) investigations on a singlemolecule junction have shown that electrons travel through the FePc molecule via two different 3d atomic orbitals (AOs), and the electron pathway within the tip-FePc-Au junction can be selected just by changing the magnetic field. Tunable giant magnetoresistance in this molecular device originates from the PAPER
 (a) Gheek for undates
 **EVENTION CONSTRANT COMPOSITION CONTINE (DEPENDITION CONTINUEST)

2013

2013 Distribute Control Contr**

F. Marzolo 1, Padova, 35131, Italy. E-mail: silvia.carlotto@unipd.it

- ^e CNR-IOM, Lab. TASC, S.S. 14 km 163.5 in AREA Science Park, Trieste, 34149, Italy
- f Fakultat f. Physik and Center for Nanointegration Duisburg-Essen (CENIDE), Universitat Duisburg-Essen, Lotharstrase 1, Duisburg, 47048, Germany

re-orientation of the iron magnetic moment, which alters the electron distribution in the Fe 3d AOS .⁷ As such, it has been recently reported that, under the force field of an STM tip, it is also possible to reversibly modify both the Fe oxidation and spin states of the $Fe(III)$ octaethylporphyrin-chloride anchored on a $Pb(111)$ substrate.^{8,9} In addition, both TMPs and TMPcs can coordinate electron acceptor/donor ligands to the metal center, thus resulting in a change of the valence electrons number in the chelated metal, which induces a variation in its oxidation and spin state. $10,11$ For instance, the spin state could be controlled even by coordinating single H atoms to the magnetic core of the adsorbed molecule.^{12–14}

It is also noteworthy that X-ray magnetic circular dichroism (XMCD) measurements have recently brought to light that the molecular properties of surface-supported TMPc can also be modified by the donation of a single electron provided by an alkali metal (AM), with final oxidation and spin states being strongly TM dependent. Specifically, the $TM(II)$ species in CuPc, NiPc, and FePc molecular layers decrease their oxidation state upon alkali metal deposition, whereas MnPc preserves its formal oxidation state $Mn(\pi)$, but the magnetic configuration switches from an intermediate (IS) to a high-spin (HS) state.¹⁵ Besides the TM and AM nature, the electron donation is also influenced by the adsorption site and TM reduction is observed only when the AM adsorbs in TM proximity.¹⁶

Changes in the molecular properties of TMPcs and TMPs can also be induced by the coordination of polyatomic molecules to the TM. In this respect, it has been shown that axial coordination may be exploited to modulate the TM oxidation and spin states.^{17–19} In fact, the spin state of the Mn ion in the tetra-pyrrolic pocket may sweep across different configurations by subtle modification of the N ligand field, e.g., by changes of the Mn-ligand distance (HS in Mn-porphyrins, 20 IS in

^a Peter Grunberg Institute (PGI-6), Forschungszentrum Julich GmbH, Leo-Brandt-Strase, Julich, 52428, Germany. E-mail: i.cojocariu@fz-juelich.de, v.feyer@fz-juelich.de

^b Elettra-Sincrotrone, S.C.p.A, S.S. 14 - km 163.5 in AREA Science Park, Trieste, 34149, Italy

^c Dipartimento di Scienze Chimiche, Universita degli Studi di Padova, via

^d Institute of Condensed Matter Chemistry and Technologies for Energy (ICMATE), National Research Council (CNR), c/o Department of Chemistry, University of Padova, via F. Marzolo 1, Padova, 35131, Italy

[†] Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1039/d2tc01253g) [10.1039/d2tc01253g](https://doi.org/10.1039/d2tc01253g)

 $Mn-Pc¹⁵$ or of the ligand peripheral coordination (HS in Mn-TCNQ₄ metal-organic covalent networks).²¹ Interestingly, it has been recently demonstrated that ruthenium tetraphenylporphyrin (RuTPP) on Ag(111) can be stabilized in two different conformations, saddle-shaped and planar, and only the former may axially interact with CO as a consequence of its greater molecular flexibility. 22 As such, the role played by the molecular flexibility in prompting the electronic and magnetic properties of TMTPP after electron doping is an essential aspect whose potential applications are not yet sufficiently investigated.

In this work, the influence of the molecular conformation in the metal reduction of AM-doped TMTPP is tackled by combining X-ray photoemission and absorption spectroscopies with photoemission tomography and density functional theory (DFT) calculations. The charge donation induced by the bonding of a single K atom to NiTPP, and determining the Ni reduction, is almost unaffected by the K adsorption site. However, for higher K doses and then larger electron donation, the charge transfer is not limited to the Ni-based 3d AOs, but also involves the TPP-based lowermost unoccupied MOs. Two different adsorption configurations involving two K atoms per molecule are found to be energetically stable. In the flattest macrocycle, the Ni(II) + K \rightarrow Ni(I) + K⁺ redox reaction takes place, while the relaxation to the energetically-favored distorted form leads to the concomitant nickel re-oxidation and the macrocycle doping increase. Such a result demonstrates the pivotal role played by the macrocycle flatness of the K-doped NiTPP in stabilizing the Ni(I) species. In addition to that, the TM role has been studied by investigating the K doping of CoTPP. The susceptibility to molecular conformation decreases for CoTPP and, unlike NiTPP, the Co(π) \rightarrow Co(π) reduction takes place in both energetically stable configurations involving two K atoms per molecule. Paper

Ma-Pc²⁾ or of che ligand peripheral coordination (its in Mn-13). Charge and spin download researches Coordination coordination coordination components are a creation commons and the basebook and continues are a c

1 Results and discussion

TM oxidation and spin state variations in TMPc and TMPsbased systems can be triggered by coordinating them to metal surfaces, and the charge transfer eventually taking place is strongly TM and substrate-dependent. Indeed, the adsorption on the highly reactive copper surface implies the filling of the adsorbate lowermost unoccupied MOs (up to the LUMO+3) and the concomitant TM(II) \rightarrow TM(I) reduction.^{18,19,23,24} Charge injection into the metal complex may also be induced by exploiting alternative pathways such as AM doping. Aimed to look into the TM reduction process, a NiTPP layer deposited on the Au(111) surface has been stepwise K doped. The chemical inertness of the substrate assures that any variation of the NiTPP molecular properties eventually revealed can be traced back to the K doping. Valence band (VB) and near-edge X-ray absorption fine structure (NEXAFS) spectra recorded at the N Kedge were used to track the progressive filling of TPP-based MOs as the K doping is increased. Moreover, the K doping effect on the Ni 3d AOs was studied by using Ni 2p photoemission spectroscopy and Ni $L_{2,3}$ -edges NEXAFS measurements.

1.1 Charge and spin donation to macrocycle molecular orbitals

Work function changes, $\Delta\phi$ (a), the angle-integrated VB spectra (b) and the N K-edge spectra (d) of a single layer of NiTPP deposited on the Au(111) substrate as a function of the K doping dose are displayed in Fig. 1. Dose-dependent K 2p core-level spectra are reported in Fig. S1 in ESI,† together with a semi-quantitative analysis of the K coverage with respect to the molecular layer. Before going on, it may be useful to remind the reader that VB probes high-lying occupied levels, while NEXAFS provides information about electronic transitions from core levels to low-lying empty MOs. Spectra of the pristine NiTPP layer $(0')$ have also been recorded and added to the figure for comparison.

The VB spectrum of the pristine NiTPP layer deposited on Au(111) is consistent with a weak adsorbate–substrate interaction: no peak due to charge transfer phenomena, frequently present at strongly interacting adsorbate–substrate interfaces,^{23,25,26} is observed and only features determined by the ionization from the topmost occupied MOs (HOMO/HOMO-1) are detected (see Fig. 1(b) and (c)) and assigned using the photoemission tomography (PT) method (see Methods section for further details). The assignment is in agreement with Scudiero et al ,²⁷ according to whom the topmost occupied MOs correspond to the quasi-degenerate TPPbased a_{2u} and $a_{1u} \pi$ MOs, as corroborated by exploiting the Slater transition state (STS) approximation.²⁸

The weak interaction of NiTPP on Au(111) is confirmed by the shape of the N K-edge NEXAFS spectra (Fig. 1(d)). The major characteristics of the N K-edge NEXAFS spectra pre-edge region, both in terms of energy position and line-shape, are comparable to those recorded for NiTPP deposited on an inert substrate^{24,29} either as multilayer or as a self-assembled monolayer. The sharp peak at 398.9 eV is associated with excitations from N 1s into the doubly degenerate LUMO/LUMO+1 (e_g) and LUMO+3 (b_{2u}) levels having π^* character.³⁰ Excitations to higher-lying unoccupied π^* MOs lead to the spectral feature at 401.1 eV.³¹ A σ^* -symmetry resonance observed in the spectra obtained with s-polarized light at a photon energy of 398.6 eV results from the N 1s level to the nickel-based σ type LUMO+2 (b_{1g}) transition.^{29,30} The strong linear dichroism in the N K-edge spectra in the range of the π *-symmetry resonances indicates that the porphyrin macrocycle adsorbs parallel to the surface plane. On metal substrates, extended π -conjugated molecules frequently adopt such a comparable flat-lying geometry.^{11,32,33}

The electron injection into low-lying empty MOs induced by the K doping should determine complementary changes in the NiTPP VB and NEXAFS spectra; specifically, the partial filling of TPP-based π^* MOs should be accompanied by the emergence of new features in the VB spectra and intensity decrease of the low-lying NEXAFS resonances. Indeed, there is a dramatic change in the N K-edge NEXAFS spectra with increasing K doping, where the lowest energy resonance significantly alters the intensity weight after the K dosage, as a result of the partial filling of the lowermost unoccupied MOs. The inspection of Fig. 1(b) reveals that, at high K doses, new features enrich the VB spectrum around 0.7 and 1.4 eV. Theoretical and

Fig. 1 (a) Work function changes $(\Delta\phi)$, (b) valence band spectra of NiTPP on Au(111) exposed to increasing K doses, (c) Simulated (top) and experimental (bottom) momentum maps identifying the last occupied molecular orbital before and after 15 min K dose, (d) N K-edge spectra of NiTPP on Au(111) exposed to increasing K doses (5, 10 and 15 minutes).

experimental momentum maps at the corresponding peak maximum (Fig. 1(c) top and bottom, respectively) provide information about the former empty MOs, now partially occupied by electron injection. In particular, simulated patterns are assigned to the LUMO/LUMO+1 and LUMO+3 levels, which is consistent with the NEXAFS results. Such a remarkable charge transfer (up to the LUMO+3) has already been revealed for the aforementioned NiTPP/Cu(100) and CoTPP/Cu(100) interfaces.18,19,23 As a final remark, the peculiar work function (WF) trend as a function of the K dose (see Fig. 1(a)) deserves to be highlighted: (i) a significant WF drop, indicative of the formation of a new surface dipole induced by the adsorption of the K atoms at the interface, is present at the lower K doping level; such WF change is accompanied by a HOMO blue shift with respect to the Fermi level; (ii) a plateau region leading to the complete filling of the gas-phase unoccupied MOs up to LUMO+3 characterizes the intermediate K coverage; this range corresponds to the region of interest for the electron doping of the molecular layer; (iii) a further, less pronounced, WF drop determining a blue-shift of low-lying empty MO (LUMO/+1 and LUMO+3) takes place at higher K doses, which corresponds to the appearance of neutral K species in the K 2p XPS spectrum (see Fig. S1 in ESI†). Similar effects on the VB spectra were previously reported for AM-doped TMPc layers.³⁴⁻³⁶

1.2 Spin and oxidation states of the Ni ion in electron-doped NiTPP

So far, the effects associated with the filling of the low-lying empty TPP-based MOs have been discussed just by referring to

the VB and N K-edge NEXAFS outcomes. Next, we look at the K doping effect on the nickel 3d levels. To that end, X-ray photoemission spectroscopy (XPS) spectra at the Ni 2p core level and Ni L_3 -edge NEXAFS measurements on the pristine NiTPP deposited on Au(111) have been recorded; their evolution as a function of the K doping has been then tracked (see Fig. 2). The Ni 2p photoemission spectrum of the undoped NiTPP layer on Au(111) (see Fig. 2(a)) shows a single and wellresolved peak at 855.1 eV accompanied by a satellite feature located at higher BE. Such evidence would indicate a $Ni(II)$ oxidation state, which has been confirmed by the corresponding Ni L_3 -edge spectrum (see Fig. S2 in ESI†). The L_3 spectra is characterized by a primary peak at 854.3 eV and the strong satellite A at higher photon energy (856.2 eV). The observed spectral shape is a fingerprint of a $Ni(II)$ in a tetracoordinated square-planar geometry.^{15,24,37-39} Moreover, the presence of the extra satellite peak A in the spectra of NiTPP/ Au(111) is indicative of a nickel in a low spin (LS) 3d⁸ electronic configuration.¹⁵

The K doping of the NiTPP monolayer significantly affects both XPS and NEXAFS spectra. In particular, the gradual $Ni(n) \rightarrow Ni(n)$ reduction induced by the electron injection due to the K adsorption on the NiTPP layer is well evident in the Ni $2p_{3/2}$ core-level spectra (Fig. 2(a)) where a new, red-shifted feature with $BE = 852.8$ eV progressively increases its intensity with K doping. Likewise, in the Ni L_3 -edge NEXAFS spectra (see Fig. S2 in ESI†), as the K concentration increases, a new peak emerges on the low-energy side of the original L_3 main peak. The shift to lower photon energies, as well as the energy

Fig. 2 (a) Ni 2p_{3/2} XPS spectra measured on the pristine NiTPP/Au(111) layer and after exposure to increasing K doses (5 and 15 minutes). Nickel oxidation states are evidenced in the spectra. Time dependent (b) Ni 2p $_{3/2}$ XPS and (c) N K-edge NEXAFS spectra. The waiting time (t_w) after the dose is evidenced for every spectrum. The reference spectrum (t_w = 0') is recorded immediately after the 15 minutes K dose on freshly prepared NiTPP/Au(111).

position of the new resonance, is consistent with the Ni ion reduction²⁴ induced by the electron doping *via* AM. As such, it has to be noted that the intensity of the new $Ni(I)$ peak increases at the expense of the $Ni(n)$ resonance and its satellite A, whose drop in intensity implies a partial filling of the Ni 3d $_{x^2-y^2}$ -based b_{1g} MO. Filling one of the spin-paired holes in the $d_{x^2-y^2}$ orbitals results in a free spin 1/2, thus inducing a magnetic moment in the Ni ion. Current data reveal that NiTPP behaves similarly to NiPc, where magnetism is induced by the adsorption of Li atoms on top of the NiPc layer, as confirmed by XMCD measurements.¹⁵ To investigate the stability of the Ni(I)TPP species, Ni 2p XPS spectra have been collected as a function of the time (t_w) after the 15 minutes K dose (see Fig. 2(b)). To this end, the NiTPP/Au(111) interface has been freshly reprepared before the 15 minutes K doping. The main feature of the pristine Ni $2p_{3/2}$ core level spectrum has been assigned to $Ni(i)$ which, after tens of minutes, re-oxidizes to $Ni(i)$. Such a process takes a 90 minute t_w interval to complete at room temperature. This reconversion process is also observed on the same time scale for smaller doses of K, namely 5 and 10 minutes, proving to be dose-independent. In addition, time-dependent N K-edge NEXAFS spectra (Fig. 2(c)) show distinct changes when the $Ni(I)$ to $Ni(II)$ transition takes place. As such, it is noteworthy that the spectra linear dichroism at $t_w = 0'$ is perfectly consistent with a flat adsorption geometry of the porphyrin macrocycle, while the dichroic behavior of π^* resonances in s-polarization at $t_w = 120'$ suggests its distortion. Moreover, the different relative intensity of the two π^* resonances in p-polarization at $t_w = 0'$ and 120' indicates an increase of the overall charge transfer on the macrocycle MOs when observing the $Ni(I) \rightarrow Ni(II)$ conversion.

1.3 Structural determination of the Ni (i) and Ni (ii) molecular species

At this point, the question that spontaneously arises concerns the structure of the K doped NiTPP species, not only because it is probably related to the Ni(I) \rightarrow Ni(II) conversion, but it also involves the bonding site of K atoms on NiTPP. As such, DFTbased numerical experiments may provide insights into the electronic and geometrical structure of adsorbed species upon increasing the K dosing. As previously remarked, the NEXAFS measurements of the bare molecular adlayer reveal that its electronic structure is unaffected by the Au substrate, hence it may be regarded as a free-standing layer. In this case, a molecular cluster approach provides a quantitative analysis of the atomic and molecular orbitals modification and corresponding charge exchange.^{15,21} We tested different adsorption geometries by increasing the number of K atoms directly bonded to NiTPP.

Low K doses have been modeled by bonding a single K atom to NiTPP. Different stable K adsorption sites are found: (i) K directly bonded to Ni in the top position, (ii) K adsorbed in the hollow position, and (iii) K adsorbed in the bridge position (see Fig. 3). While the K atom has a single unpaired electron in its isolated electronic structure, the chelated TM ion in the NiTPP on the gold surface is stabilized in the $Ni(II)$ LS 3d⁸ closed-shell configuration with no unpaired electron. A single unpaired electron is then assumed for the different K-NiTPP clusters. The structure analysis (see Table 1) reveals that the hollow adsorption configuration is more stable than the top and bridge ones by 0.12 eV and 0.07 eV, respectively. The higher stability of the hollow configuration may be justified by the

Table 1 Adsorption site-dependent DFT calculation for the K-NiTPP complex. K-NiTPP adsorption energies (E_{ads}), relative energies (ΔE) with respect to the most favorable (hollow) one, number of unpaired electrons on the nickel ion, nominal Ni oxidation state, NM indexes for the Ni–N and Ni–K bond are reported

*In the K-NiTPP (far) complex, there is not a direct Ni–K bond. See methods for details.

formation of a higher number of interactions between K and the TPP moiety, as can be appreciated in the structural models reported in Fig. 3. Such small energy differences among the structures suggest that all absorption sites are accessible at room temperature. Data reported in Table 1 also point out that, independently of the assumed geometry, the Ni(II) + K \rightarrow Ni(I) + K^+ redox reaction systematically takes place. It is of some interest to underline that, at large $K \cdot \cdot N$ iTPP distances (see Fig. 3 and Table 1), the Ni (n) reduction is inhibited and the $K \cdot \cdot$ NiTPP system is destabilized by 1.20 eV with respect to the hollow geometry. In tune with the Ni–N antibonding character of the Ni 3d $_{x^2-y^2}$ -based MO, its partial filling induced by electron injection implies that the Ni–N Nalewajski–Mrozek index $(^{NM}I_{Ni-N}$ in Table 1) decreases upon K binding; the opposite is true for $^{NM}I_{Ni-K}$.

Higher K doses on the NiTPP/Au(111) interface, which retrace experimental data, have been modeled by bonding two K atoms to NiTPP. Numerical experiments outcomes indicate that two stable structures are present, labeled as A and B in Fig. 4.

The inspection of the results reported in Table 2 indicates that, both in A and B, the dopant species is present in its oxidized form. Interestingly, the porphyrin macrocycle in A is very flat, while it is significantly distorted in B (see Fig. 4); in addition, the former conformation is less stable than the latter one by 0.23 eV (see Table 2). These conformational changes induce a different charge donation from K atoms to NiTPP. More specifically, the electron injection determined by the high K dosing involves both the Ni $(\mathrm{_{II}})$ $3\mathrm{d}_{x^2-y^2}$ -based MO and a TPP $^{2-}$ based MO in A, while in B, the charge transfer does not involve the metal center, which maintains the same LS $3d⁸$ configuration of the pristine NiTPP on Au(111). Similarly to K-NiTPP, the Ni (n) –N bond is stronger than the Ni (n) –N one (see the $N^M I_{Ni-N}$ in Table 2). As a whole, the DFT outcomes pertaining to the models adopted to mimic low and high K doping of the NiTPP/Au(111) interface agree well with experimental evidence. In particular, they provide a rationale for the experimental temporal evolution from the flat conformation A, characterized by the presence of the paramagnetic $Ni(i)$ species, to the thermodynamically most stable distorted geometry B typified by the occurrence of the diamagnetic $Ni(n)$ species (see Fig. 2(b) and (c)).

The interaction of NiTPP with three K atoms has also been considered and, in agreement with the experiment and 2K-NiTPP theoretical results, the 3K-NiTPP outcomes confirm that: (i) once again, the most stable species is associated with the $Ni(\pi)$ presence (see Table S1 in ESI†); (ii) $Ni(\pi)$ is always associated to the most distorted geometries (see Fig. S3 in ESI†), thus evidencing the relevant role played by the molecular distortion in determining the Ni oxidation state even in the presence of a large number of the electron donor K atoms.

2 From NiTPP to CoTPP

TMPcs with diverse TM act differently upon AM doping; 15 in the following, we focused on CoTPP deposited on Au(111) to look into its eventually different behavior from NiTPP upon the AM dosing.

The Co $2p_{3/2}$ core level spectrum of CoTPP self-assembled on the Au (111) surface (see Fig. 5(a)) is similar to the spectra reported in ref. 40, and analogously to the free molecule, CoTPP deposited on Au(111) has an LS $3d^7$ configuration with its single unpaired electron occupying the Co (n) 3d_{z2}-based MO.17,33,41,42 The K binding to CoTPP red-shifts the spectrum

Fig. 4 A schematic representation of the different conformations (A) and (B) calculated for the 2K-NiTPP complex. Color codes are the same adopted in Fig. 3. On the right side of each configuration is reported the energy level diagram for selected MOs, Ni-based and TPP-based levels are highlighted in black and green, respectively. Both α and β spin are reported.

Table 2 Conformational-dependent DFT calculation for the 2K-NiTPP complex. 2K-NiTPP adsorption energies (E_{ads}), relative energies (ΔE) with respect to the most favorable (B) one, number of unpaired electrons on the nickel ion, nominal Ni oxidation state, NM indexes for the Ni–N and Ni–K bond are reported

		Ni ΔE E_{ads} unpaired Ni ox. $^{NM}I_{Ni-N}$ $^{NM}I_{Ni-K}$ eV eV el. state avg		avg
$A - 2K-NiTPP$ flat $0.23 - 2.19$ 1.00 $B - 2K$ -NiTPP distorted 0.00 -2.41 0.04			$Ni(i) d9$ 0.384 0.075 Ni(II) d ⁸ 0.492 0.038	

main feature by 0.85 eV, a behavior tightly linked to the $Co(n) \rightarrow Co(1)$ reduction.¹⁹ Moreover, the inspection of Fig. 5(b), where the N K-edge spectra of the pristine CoTPP/ Au(111) and after 15 minutes K dosing in s- and p-polarization are reported, clearly shows that the macrocycle distortion takes place right after the K doping.

Similarly to NiTPP, different K doses have been modeled by directly bonding either one or two K atoms to CoTPP. K-NiTPP and K-CoTPP DFT results are very similar; specifically: (i) three distinct adsorption sites are possible when a single K atom is considered (top, bridge, hollow position) (see Fig. 6(a)), (ii) the most stable configuration is the one with the K atom in the hollow site, (iii) followed by the bridge (+0.05 eV) and the top (+0.11 eV) adsorption structures (see Table 3), closely spaced in energy. In addition, the bonding energy of the K-CoTPP cluster is tightly and directly related to the K-CoTPP bonding interaction, which determines the distortion of the macrocycle fragment. Indeed, the largest distortion is predicted for the most stable hollow configuration (see Fig. 6), while an almost CoTPP flat geometry is foreseen for the top position. K-CoTPP

Fig. 5 (a) Co $2p_{3/2}$ core level and (b) N K-edge NEXAFS spectra for pristine CoTPP/Au(111) (black curves) and after exposure to K for 15 minutes (red curves).

adsorption energies are -1.76 , -1.82 and -1.87 eV for top, bridge and hollow sites, respectively. Interestingly, the comparison of theoretical outcomes pertaining to K-CoTPP and K-NiTPP clearly indicates that the K-CoTPP interaction is stronger than the Ni-TPP one (see Tables 1 and 3).

Both the free CoTPP molecule and the K atom hold a single unpaired electron in their electronic structure hence two spin

Fig. 6 A schematic representation of the different K adsorption geometries calculated for the K-CoTPP (a) and 2K-CoTPP (b) complexes. Black, white blue, light blue, and violet spheres represent C, H, N, Co, and K, respectively.

Table 3 Adsorption site-dependent DFT calculation for the K-CoTPP and 2K-CoTPP complexes. K-CoTPP and 2K-CoTPP adsorption energies (E_{ads}) , relative energies (ΔE) with respect to the most favorable (hollow and B) ones, number of unpaired electrons on the cobalt ion, nominal Co oxidation state, NM indexes for the Co–N and Co–K bond are reported

	ΔE eV eV	$E_{\rm ads}$	Co unpaired Co ox. $^{NM}I_{Co-N}$ el.	state	avg	$\mathrm{^{NM}I_{Co\text{-}F}}$
K-CoTPP (top) 0.11 -1.76 0.36				Co(I) d ⁸ 0.659		0.253
K-CoTPP (hollow) $0.00 -1.87 0.42$				$Co(i) d^8$ 0.662		0.191
K-CoTPP (bridge)		$0.05 -1.82 0.40$		Co(i) d ⁸ 0.662		0.210
$A - 2K$ -COTPP		$0.30 -2.59 0.39$		Co(I) d ⁸ 0.644		0.142
$B - 2K$ -CoTPP		$0.00 -2.88 0.37$		Co(i) d ⁸ 0.636		0.158

states are possible in the K-CoTPP complexes: zero or two unpaired electrons. The zero unpaired electron structure is more stable than the two unpaired electrons one by more than 1 eV. The detailed electronic analysis reveals that in all structures reported in Fig. 6, the chelated Co ion gains an electron from K (see Table 3) and is stabilized in the closed-shell configuration with the empty $3d_{x^2-y^2}$ MO. This scenario is consistent with an electron transfer from K to Co and the stabilization of the Co(i) and K⁺ ions in K-CoTPP complexes.

Analogously to NiTPP, higher K doses have been modelled by bonding to CoTPP two K atoms. Even though once again, LS (one unpaired electron) and HS (three unpaired electrons) states are possible, the LS one is always more stable than HS by 0.7 eV. Similarly to 2K-NiTPP, two different stable structures (see Fig. 6) are obtained for LS; moreover, the B arrangement is more stable than the A one by 0.30 eV (see Table 3). Incidentally, the presence of two K atoms induces significant structural perturbations both in A and B arrangements but does not affect the $Co(I)$ oxidation state. As such, the A and B spin population analysis indicate that, consistently with a $Co(i)$ 3d⁸ configuration, Co has 0.39 unpaired electron in A and 0.37 in B. Such a result clearly states that the two electrons transferred from K to COTPP in 2K-COTPP are used for inducing the Co $(n) \rightarrow$ Co (i) reduction and the partial occupation of a low-lying TPP-based empty MO. Differently from NiTPP, no stable structure with two K atoms and $Co(n)$ is found.

DFT outcomes agree well with the experimental evidence. In particular, time-dependent Co $2p_{3/2}$ spectra on a freshly prepared K-doped CoTPP layer demonstrate, differently from NiTPP, the $Co(i)$ high stability not only at room temperature but also when annealed up to 500 K (see Fig. S4 in ESI†). The charge donation from K atoms in both the CoTPP conformations stabilizes the chelated ion in the $Co(I)$ oxidation state. Moreover, the time-dependent NEXAFS spectra measured at N K-edge, in particular the linear dichroic spectral behavior, show strong distortion of TPP macrocycle already in the as-deposited configuration and no significant time-dependent change is observed.

3 Conclusion

In this paper, we investigated the role of molecular conformation on the reduction of a chelated metal ion and, as a result, the stabilization of various oxidation and spin states. Two different MTPPs, characterized by a different electron affinity towards the K dopant, have been studied. We show that when the alkali metal is strongly bonded to the central ion, the doping is very little influenced by molecular distortion, while for the more labile 2K-NiTPP complex, the molecular distortion plays a crucial role. Moreover, the flattening of the macrocycle moiety of AM doped metal TPP is a key factor in the $Ni(n) \rightarrow Ni(n)$ reduction and this transition is not observed in distorted NiTPP molecules. Thus, multiple spin configurations can be achieved depending on the conformational shape, proving the importance of flexibility in determining the molecular properties.

4 Methods

4.1 Sample preparation

The clean Au(111) surface was prepared by a standard procedure involving repeated cycles of Ar^+ ion sputtering at 2.0 keV followed by annealing at 800 K. NiTPP and CoTPP molecules (Porphyrin system, \geq 95% purity) were thermally sublimated at

520 K from a home-made Knudsen cell-type evaporator. Before the experiments, the molecules were carefully outgassed at 480 K for several days while the UHV system base pressure was monitored. The coverage has been calibrated with a quartz micro-balance. The resulting deposition rate was 15 $\mathrm{min}~\mathrm{mL}^{-1}$. The nominal coverage for all the experiments presented here is monolayer coverage. Potassium was sublimated from a properly outgassed SAES-getter dispenser, keeping the sample at room temperature and without any detectable pressure enhancement during deposition.

4.2 X-ray photoemission and absorption spectroscopies

The valence band photoemission spectra were measured at the NanoESCA beamline of Elettra, the Italian synchrotron radiation facility in Trieste, using an electrostatic photoemission electron microscope (PEEM) set-up described in detail in ref. 43. Data were collected with a photon energy of 30 eV and a total energy resolution of 100 meV, using p-linearly polarized light, while keeping the sample at 80 K. The XPS and NEXAFS spectra were collected using the end-station at the ALOISA beamline situated at the Elettra synchrotron radiation facility in Trieste and analyzed following the procedure described elsewhere.^{44,45} The orientation of the surface in the NEXAFS experiment with respect to the linear polarization (s and p) of the synchrotron beam was changed by rotating the sample around the beam axis while keeping the incident angle (6°) of the synchrotron light fixed. The binding energy scale was referred to as the metallic Fermi level. XPS spectra at the Ni 2p and Co 2p levels were acquired using a photon energy of 1020 and 980 eV, respectively. Paper

S20 X from a bonu-made Kuudsen cell-pipe ceaporator. Before the methods are a the common and by frecesing

the Creative Common and S2 M Creative Macchedon and was 15 min and 0.16 Article is licensed to 16. Are a Na

4.3 Photoemission tomography and DFT calculations

The photoemission tomography (PT) method combines twodimensional momentum mapping with density functional theory simulations. The momentum pattern originating from a certain initial molecular state can be represented as the Fourier transform (FT) of the initial-state wave function within certain assumptions about the final state of the photo-emitted electron.^{46,47} The momentum maps, or photoelectron momentum distribution patterns at a specific binding energy, allow each emission to be ascribed to a specific molecular orbital, allowing for a proper description of the energy level alignment. $23,48-51$ Within the PT approach, density functional calculations for gas-phase NiTPP ($\text{NiC}_{44}\text{N}_{4}\text{H}_{28}$) have been performed by employing the NWCHEM code.⁵² The geometries of the molecules have been optimized using the Becke, 3 parameter, Lee–Yang–Parr (B3LYP) exchange-correlation functional^{53,54} and the 6-31G* basis set. The simulated momentum maps of the gas-phase molecules were obtained as the Fourier transforms of the respective Kohn–Sham orbitals as described previously.7,46 Calculations can be found online at the Organic Metal Interfaces database ([https://143.50.77.](https://143.50.77.12:5001) [12:5001](https://143.50.77.12:5001)). All optimized structures have been obtained without any constraint by exploiting the Amsterdam Density Functional (ADF) suite of programs⁵⁵ by using the GGA functional BP86,^{56,57} by employing a triple- ζ with one polarization

Paper Journal of Materials Chemistry C

function Slater-type basis set⁵⁸ for all the atoms and by freezing throughout the calculations the K, C, N and O 1s atomic orbital (AO), the Co and Ni 1s–2p AOs. 3D contour plots (CPs) have also been obtained to get information about the localization and the character of frontier MOs. To compare the different structures, their stabilities, the adsorption energies (E_{ads}) and the Nalewajski-Mrozek bond multiplicity indexes⁵⁹ are considered. The stabilities (ΔE) are calculated with respect to the most stable structure. Thus, they are relative stabilities, but allow to compare different systems with the same number of K dopant in different positions. Adsorption energies (E_{ads}) of the K dopant to the TMTPP have been obtained as the difference between system after the interaction with the dopant and the isolated ones:

$$
E_{\rm ads} = E_{\rm K\text{-}TMTPP} - (E_{\rm K} + E_{\rm TMTPP})
$$

where $E_{\text{K-TMTPP}}$ is the energy of the TMTPP system after the interaction with the K dopant, E_K and E_{TMTPP} are the energy of the isolated K atom and TMTPP molecule, respectively. The calculated adsorption energies are further supported by the analysis of the Nalewajski–Mrozek bond multiplicity indexes (NMI), which provide a quantitative estimate of bond strengths.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

References

- 1 A. Wang, J. Li and T. Zhang, Nat. Rev. Chem., 2018, 2, 65–81.
- 2 A. A. Khajetoorians, J. Wiebe, B. Chilian and R. Wiesendanger, Science, 2011, 332, 1062–1064.
- 3 F. D. Natterer, K. Yang, W. Paul, P. Willke, T. Choi, T. Greber, A. J. Heinrich and C. P. Lutz, Nature, 2017, 543, 226–228.
- 4 D. A. Reed, B. K. Keitz, J. Oktawiec, J. A. Mason, T. Runčevski, D. J. Xiao, L. E. Darago, V. Crocellà, S. Bordiga and J. R. Long, Nature, 2017, 550, 96–100.
- 5 V. A. Dediu, L. E. Hueso, I. Bergenti and C. Taliani, Nat. Mater., 2009, 8, 707–716.
- 6 W. Xu, G. J. Szulczewski, P. LeClair, I. Navarrete, R. Schad, G. Miao, H. Guo and A. Gupta, Appl. Phys. Lett., 2007, 90, 072506.
- 7 K. Yang, H. Chen, T. Pope, Y. Hu, L. Liu, D. Wang, L. Tao, W. Xiao, X. Fei, Y.-Y. Zhang, H.-G. Luo, S. Du, T. Xiang, W. A. Hofer and H.-J. Gao, Nat. Commun., 2019, 10, 3599.
- 8 B. W. Heinrich, L. Braun, J. I. Pascual and K. J. Franke, Nano Lett., 2015, 15, 4024–4028.
- 9 B. W. Heinrich, C. Ehlert, N. Hatter, L. Braun, C. Lotze, P. Saalfrank and K. J. Franke, ACS Nano, 2018, 12, 3172–3177.
- 10 W. Hieringer, K. Flechtner, A. Kretschmann, K. Seufert, W. Auwärter, J. V. Barth, A. Görling, H.-P. Steinrück and J. M. Gottfried, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2011, 133, 6206–6222.
- 11 J. M. Gottfried, Surf. Sci. Rep., 2015, 70, 259–379.
- 12 L. Liu, K. Yang, Y. Jiang, B. Song, W. Xiao, L. Li, H. Zhou, Y. Wang, S. Du, M. Ouyang, W. A. Hofer, A. H. Castro Neto and H.-J. Gao, Sci. Rep., 2013, 3, 1210.
- 13 Y. Wang, X. Li, X. Zheng and J. Yang, J. Chem. Phys., 2017, 147, 134701.
- 14 B. Liu, G. Miao, W. Zhong, X. Huang, N. Su, J. Guo and W. Wang, ACS Nano, 2022, 16, 2147–2153.
- 15 S. Stepanow, A. Lodi Rizzini, C. Krull, J. Kavich, J. C. Cezar, F. Yakhou-Harris, P. M. Sheverdyaeva, P. Moras, C. Carbone, G. Ceballos, A. Mugarza and P. Gambardella, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2014, 136, 5451–5459.
- 16 C. Krull, R. Robles, A. Mugarza and P. Gambardella, Nat. Mater., 2013, 12, 337–343.
- 17 C. Wäckerlin, D. Chylarecka, A. Kleibert, K. Müller, C. Iacovita, F. Nolting, T. A. Jung and N. Ballav, Nat. Commun., 2010, 1, 61.
- 18 H. M. Sturmeit, I. Cojocariu, A. Windischbacher, P. Puschnig, C. Piamonteze, M. Jugovac, A. Sala, C. Africh, G. Comelli, A. Cossaro, A. Verdini, L. Floreano, M. Stredansky, E. Vesselli, C. Hohner, M. Kettner, J. Libuda, C. M. Schneider, G. Zamborlini, M. Cinchetti and V. Feyer, Small, 2021, 17, 2104779. **Journal of Materials Chemistry C**
 Some Access Articles. The Some Newton C. Will and V. A Heriton C. Will and V. The Some C. Published
	- 19 I. Cojocariu, S. Carlotto, G. Zamborlini, M. Jugovac, L. Schio, L. Floreano, M. Casarin, V. Feyer and C. M. Schneider, J. Mater. Chem. C, 2021, 9, 12559–12565.
	- 20 M. E. Ali, B. Sanyal and P. M. Oppeneer, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2009, 113, 14381–14383.
	- 21 S. Carlotto, J. D. Fuhr, A. Cossaro, A. Verdini, M. Casarin, M. Lingenfelder, J. E. Gayone, L. Floreano and H. Ascolani, Appl. Surf. Sci., 2021, 551, 149307.
	- 22 P. Knecht, J. Reichert, P. S. Deimel, P. Feulner, F. Haag, F. Allegretti, M. Garnica, M. Schwarz, W. Auwärter, P. T. P. Ryan, T.-L. Lee, D. A. Duncan, A. P. Seitsonen, J. V. Barth and A. C. Papageorgiou, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2021, 60, 16561–16567.
	- 23 G. Zamborlini, Z. Lüftner, D. Feng, B. Kollmann, P. Puschnig, C. Dri, M. Panighel, G. Di Santo, A. Goldoni, G. Comelli, M. Jugovac, V. Feyer and C. M. Schneider, Nat. Commun., 2017, 8, 335.
	- 24 G. Zamborlini, M. Jugovac, A. Cossaro, A. Verdini, L. Floreano, D. Lüftner, P. Puschnig, V. Feyer and C. M. Schneider, Chem. Commun., 2018, 54, 13423–13426.
	- 25 M. Wießner, D. Hauschild, A. Schöll, F. Reinert, V. Feyer, K. Winkler and B. Krömker, Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys., 2012, 86, 045417.
	- 26 I. Cojocariu, S. Carlotto, H. M. Sturmeit, G. Zamborlini, M. Cinchetti, A. Cossaro, A. Verdini, L. Floreano, M. Jugovac, P. Puschnig, C. Piamonteze, M. Casarin, V. Feyer and C. M. Schneider, Chem. – Eur. J., 2021, 27, 3526–3535.
	- 27 L. Scudiero, D. E. Barlow, U. Mazur and K. W. Hipps, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2001, 123, 4073–4080.
	- 28 J. C. Slater and J. C. Phillips, Phys. Today, 1974, 27, 49–50.
	- 29 I. Cojocariu, H. M. Sturmeit, G. Zamborlini, A. Cossaro, A. Verdini, L. Floreano, E. D'Incecco, M. Stredansky, E. Vesselli, M. Jugovac, M. Cinchetti, V. Feyer and C. M. Schneider, Appl. Surf. Sci., 2020, 504, 144343.
- 30 K. Diller, F. Klappenberger, M. Marschall, K. Hermann, A. Nefedov, C. Wöll and J. V. Barth, J. Chem. Phys., 2012, 136, 014705.
- 31 G. Mangione, M. Sambi, S. Carlotto, A. Vittadini, G. Ligorio, M. Timpel, L. Pasquali, A. Giglia, M. V. Nardi and M. Casarin, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys., 2016, 18, 24890–24904.
- 32 F. Petraki, H. Peisert, U. Aygül, F. Latteyer, J. Uihlein, A. Vollmer and T. Chassé, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2012, 116, 11110–11116.
- 33 W. Auwärter, D. Écija, F. Klappenberger and J. V. Barth, Nat. Chem., 2015, 7, 105–120.
- 34 M. G. Betti, F. Crispoldi, A. Ruocco and C. Mariani, Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys., 2007, 76, 125407.
- 35 V. Aristov, O. Molodtsova, V. Maslyuk, D. Vyalikh, T. Bredow, I. Mertig, A. Preobrajenski and M. Knupfer, Org. Electron., 2010, 11, 1461–1468.
- 36 A. Calabrese, L. Floreano, A. Verdini, C. Mariani and M. G. Betti, Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys., 2009, 79, 115446.
- 37 H. Wang, P. Ge, C. G. Riordan, S. Brooker, C. G. Woomer, T. Collins, C. A. Melendres, O. Graudejus, N. Bartlett and S. P. Cramer, J. Phys. Chem. B, 1998, 102, 8343–8346.
- 38 H. Wang, C. Y. Ralston, D. S. Patil, R. M. Jones, W. Gu, M. Verhagen, M. Adams, P. Ge, C. Riordan, C. A. Marganian, P. Mascharak, J. Kovacs, C. G. Miller, T. J. Collins, S. Brooker, P. D. Croucher, K. Wang, E. I. Stiefel and S. P. Cramer, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2000, 122, 10544–10552.
- 39 C. Y. Ralston, H. Wang, S. W. Ragsdale, M. Kumar, N. J. Spangler, P. W. Ludden, W. Gu, R. M. Jones, D. S. Patil and S. P. Cramer, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2000, 122, 10553–10560.
- 40 Y. Bai, M. Sekita, M. Schmid, T. Bischof, H.-P. Steinrück and J. M. Gottfried, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys., 2010, 12, 4336–4344.
- 41 C. Wäckerlin, K. Tarafder, D. Siewert, J. Girovsky, T. Hählen, C. Iacovita, A. Kleibert, F. Nolting, T. A. Jung, P. M. Oppeneer and N. Ballav, Chem. Sci., 2012, 3, 3154–3160.
- 42 S. Carlotto, I. Cojocariu, V. Feyer, L. Floreano and M. Casarin, Nanomaterials, 2022, 12, 218.
- 43 C. Schneider, C. Wiemann, M. Patt, V. Feyer, L. Plucinski, I. Krug, M. Escher, N. Weber, M. Merkel, O. Renault and N. Barrett, J. Electron Spectrosc. Relat. Phenom., 2012, 185, 330–339.
- 44 L. Floreano, G. Naletto, D. Cvetko, R. Gotter, M. Malvezzi, L. Marassi, A. Morgante, A. Santaniello, A. Verdini, F. Tommasini and G. Tondello, Rev. Sci. Instrum., 1999, 70, 3855–3864.
- 45 L. Floreano, A. Cossaro, R. Gotter, A. Verdini, G. Bavdek, F. Evangelista, A. Ruocco, A. Morgante and D. Cvetko, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2008, 112, 10794–10802.
- 46 P. Puschnig, S. Berkebile, A. J. Fleming, G. Koller, K. Emtsev, T. Seyller, J. D. Riley, C. Ambrosch-Draxl, F. P. Netzer and M. G. Ramsey, Science, 2009, 326, 702–706.
- 47 D. Brandstetter, X. Yang, D. Lüftner, F. S. Tautz and P. Puschnig, Comput. Phys. Commun., 2021, 263, 107905.
- 48 N. Haag, D. Lüftner, F. Haag, J. Seidel, L. L. Kelly, G. Zamborlini, M. Jugovac, V. Feyer, M. Aeschlimann, P. Puschnig, M. Cinchetti and B. Stadtmüller, Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys., 2020, 101, 165422.
- 49 X. Yang, L. Egger, P. Hurdax, H. Kaser, D. Lüftner, F. C. Bocquet, G. Koller, A. Gottwald, P. Tegeder, M. Richter, M. G. Ramsey, P. Puschnig, S. Soubatch and F. S. Tautz, Nat. Commun., 2019, 10, 3189. **Faper** Access Article. Published on 2022. Downloaded on 10/8/2024 9:27:16 PM. The enterpret on Common Common
	- 50 M. Grimm, C. Metzger, M. Graus, M. Jugovac, G. Zamborlini, V. Feyer, A. Schöll and F. Reinert, Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys., 2018, 98, 195412.
	- 51 P. Kliuiev, G. Zamborlini, M. Jugovac, Y. Gurdal, K. v Arx, K. Waltar, S. Schnidrig, R. Alberto, M. Iannuzzi, V. Feyer, M. Hengsberger, J. Osterwalder and L. Castiglioni, Nat. Commun., 2019, 10, 5255.
	- 52 M. Valiev, E. Bylaska, N. Govind, K. Kowalski, T. Straatsma, H. Van Dam, D. Wang, J. Nieplocha, E. Apra, T. Windus and W. de Jong, Comput. Phys. Commun., 2010, 181, 1477–1489.
- 53 P. J. Stephens, F. J. Devlin, C. F. Chabalowski and M. J. Frisch, J. Phys. Chem., 1994, 98, 11623–11627.
- 54 A. D. Becke, J. Chem. Phys., 1993, 98, 5648–5652.
- 55 ADF2014, SCM, Theoretical Chemistry, Vrije Universiteit, Amsterdam, The Netherlands, <https://www.scm.com>.
- 56 J. P. Perdew, Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys., 1986, 33, 8822–8824.
- 57 A. D. Becke, Phys. Rev. A: At., Mol., Opt. Phys., 1988, 38, 3098–3100.
- 58 E. Van Lenthe and E. J. Baerends, J. Comput. Chem., 2003, 24, 1142–1156.
- 59 R. F. Nalewajski and J. Mrozek, Int. J. Quantum Chem., 1994, 51, 187–200.