


Chemical Science

Volume 13
Number 44
28 November 2022
Pages 12929-13250

rsc.li/chemical-science



ISSN 2041-6539

Cite this: *Chem. Sci.*, 2022, 13, 12971




All publication charges for this article have been paid for by the Royal Society of Chemistry

Received 12th July 2022
Accepted 13th October 2022

DOI: 10.1039/d2sc03879j

rsc.li/chemical-science

Ligand-free nickel catalyzed perfluoroalkylation of arenes and heteroarenes†

Shubham Deolka, Ramadoss Govindarajan, Serhii Vasylevskyi,  Michael C. Roy, Julia R. Khusnutdinova  and Eugene Khaskin *

We describe a “ligand-free” Ni-catalyzed perfluoroalkylation of heteroarenes to produce a diverse array of trifluoromethyl, pentafluoroethyl and heptafluoropropyl adducts. Catalysis proceeds at room temperature *via* a radical pathway. The catalytic protocol is distinguished by its simplicity, and its wide scope demonstrates the potential in the late-stage functionalization of drug analogues and peptides.

Introduction

Over the past decade, nickel has emerged as a cheaper alternative,^{1–6} to noble metals for a wide variety of carbon–carbon,^{6–11} and carbon–heteroatom,^{3,12,13} bond formation reactions traditionally mediated by second and third-row metals.^{14,15} First-row catalytic systems often require specialized ligands^{16,17} to stabilize the catalyst to enable reactivity similar to that of more expensive Pt group metals. For industrial-scale reactions however, specialized ligands can be the most expensive part of the protocol and can often raise the cost of chemical processes at an industrial scale to prohibitive levels.

The incorporation of a fluoroalkyl group,^{18–32} into drug molecules has recently become a powerful strategy to modulate a known drug's properties,³³ as fluoroalkyl modification is known to help with permeation through the blood–brain barrier,^{34,35} and to increase clearance half-life by inhibiting metabolic degradation. Other properties that are often significantly altered include hydrophobicity,³⁶ and a compound's pH. So far, the trifluoromethyl group has attracted much more attention from medicinal chemists^{37,38} compared to the longer fluoroalkyl chains, including even the two-carbon chain pentafluoroethyl (C₂F₅) group. However, adding an extra CF₂ spacer would cause significant changes in the above-listed properties,³⁹ as well as the sterics and dipole moment of the molecule when compared to a CF₃ group. For example, recently the incorporation of the C₂F₅ group in a bioactive molecule such as the anti-breast cancer drug fulvestrant,⁴⁰ demonstrated the potential utility of this strategy and a research interest to move beyond the CF₃ group in modulating properties of bioactive compounds.

Trifluoromethyl incorporation^{41–45} is often used as a ‘proof of concept’ for wider fluoroalkyl incorporation, but the properties of the longer fluoroalkyl radicals such as their stability under the reaction conditions, as well as the stability of intermediate nickel complexes change drastically when moving beyond one carbon. It is thus necessary to develop a functional and simple protocol for the general incorporation of larger fluoroalkyls into drug-like compounds.

Examples of inexpensive first-row transition metals being used as catalysts for perfluoroalkylation of arenes, in particular C₂F₅/C₃F₇ groups, are rare. One approach for the incorporation of the C₂F₅ group into organic molecules reported by Macmillan and coworker,⁴⁶ involves the cross-coupling of organobromides using Ruppert–Prakash type reagents as perfluoroalkyl precursors. The protocol uses a copper catalyst in the presence of photosensitizer along with aminosilane, and proceeds *via* a silyl-radical-mediated halogen atom abstraction pathway (Fig. 1A). While the conditions are mild, the system requires several inputs (photosensitizer, light, silane reagent) and the substrate has to be pre-functionalized (*i.e.* a C–Br bond has to be present). Beller has demonstrated the perfluoroalkylation of heteroarenes using C₁₀F₂₀I as a perfluoroalkyl source (Fig. 1B). Interestingly, the reaction proceeds *via* direct C–H bond functionalization of the substrate. However, the reaction is not selective, and it takes place at 130 °C using an expensive ((dppf) Ni(*o*-tol)Cl) catalyst,⁴⁷ and cesium carbonate as a stoichiometric base. These are quite harsh conditions that may be incompatible with a number of bioactive molecules. Further the reaction was expanded to terminal olefins at milder temperatures, with the concomitant difficulty of imperfect selectivity for the *E/Z* isomers.⁴⁸

Recently Vici and coworkers reported perfluoroalkylation of iodonium salts and diazonium salts using ligand-free (Fig. 1C), solvated tris perfluoroalkyl Ni complexes.⁴⁹ The reaction proceeded stoichiometrically in nickel to yield the desired fluoroalkylated arenes in good yield. In addition, the reaction was catalytic for trifluoromethylation in the presence of the

Coordination Chemistry and Catalysis Unit, Okinawa Institute of Science and Technology Graduate University, 1919-1 Tancha, Onna-son, 904-0495, Okinawa, Japan. E-mail: eugene.khaskin@oist.jp

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1039/d2sc03879j>






Fig. 1 Protocols for the perfluoroalkylation of (hetero)arenes.

Umamoto reagent (see also recent reports by Vivic on catalytic trifluoromethylation of brucine by a similar $[\text{NMe}_4]_2\text{Ni}(\text{II})(\text{CF}_3)_4$ catalyst,⁵⁰ or of trimethoxybenzene by $[\text{NMe}_4]\text{Ni}(\text{III})(\text{C}_4\text{F}_8)(\text{CF}_3)$ supported by an interesting, chelating C_4F_8 ligand⁵¹). In the stoichiometric reactions, the yields of the organic perfluorinated substrates were often comparable to those of the trifluoromethylated substrates, but the yield of the bis- C_2F_5 /bis- CF_3 -Ni byproduct, that forms from the Ni tris-fluoroalkyl intermediate concurrently with the organic product, was much lower in the C_2F_5 case, and catalysis with C_2F_5 was not attempted. The stoichiometric substrates used for perfluoroalkylation were also limited to activated hydrocarbons.

In our laboratory, we began to examine nickel in several coupling reactions, and recently we reported that $\text{Ni}^{\text{III}}(\text{CF}_3)_2$ complexes supported by simple naphthyridine ligands could form under aerobic conditions, with air serving as a Ni^{II} oxidant.⁵² These high valent nickel complexes were capable of trifluoromethylating arenes under air using blue LED light. During a further evaluation of the system, we found that a stoichiometric amount of simple nickel solvated complex $\text{Ni}(\text{C}_2\text{F}_5)_2(\text{MeCN})_2$ **1**, first reported as a precursor by Vivic in 2013,⁵³ in the presence of an oxidant can functionalize arenes and heteroarenes at room temperature in the absence of base. This complex however, is the byproduct that is formed in small

or negligible yields after the stoichiometric perfluoroalkylation with the tris-perfluoroalkyl Ni complex in the latter 2021 Vivic report.⁴⁹ Observing that **1** was capable of reacting with organic substrates, gave us hope that we could develop a simple, ligand-free catalytic protocol for higher perfluorocarbon CH functionalization.

After the publication of the above stoichiometric ligand-free Vivic protocol (Fig. 1C), we decided to focus on developing our perfluoroalkylation reaction as it would fill a valuable niche,^{54–58} since catalytic trifluoromethylation has been better developed both in the case of the ligand-free system,^{49,59} and for more challenging substrates with a ligand supported system.^{19,60}

In the current paper we report a catalytic protocol based on the solvated bis perfluoroalkyl nickel complex, which is able to CH functionalize a variety of (hetero)arenes and natural products, demonstrating the reaction's potential in the late-stage functionalization of drugs (Fig. 1D). The catalysis proceeds with a cheap and easy-to-prepare nickel precursor and a $\text{C}_2\text{F}_5/\text{C}_3\text{F}_7$ reagent set; it works under mild conditions and does not require photosensitizer/light. Our preliminary radical trap studies suggest that catalysis proceeds *via* a radical pathway. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first example of a ligand-free, room temperature, Ni-catalyzed, catalytic $\text{C}(\text{sp}^2)\text{-H}$ perfluoroalkylation ($\text{C}_2\text{F}_5/\text{C}_3\text{F}_7$ groups) protocol. The yields obtained are moderate to almost quantitative, with the reaction requiring equimolar amounts of substrate and perfluoroalkylating Togni oxidant.

Results and discussion

Stoichiometric perfluoroalkylation using solvated nickel precursors







During initial screening of a stoichiometric protocol, we found that **1** did not react with 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene substrate when simply mixing the two reactants in DMSO (Table 1, entry 1) suggesting that Ni-C bond homolysis and perfluoroalkyl transfer do not occur at the Ni^{II} oxidation state.^{2,9,52,61} We then added an oxidant to enable the formation of radical perfluorocarbons *via* homolysis of the Ni-C bond from a putative higher oxidation state nickel complex. As we expected, addition of potassium persulfate ($\text{K}_2\text{S}_2\text{O}_8$) immediately gave 75% mono-pentafluoroethylated product (Table 1, entry 2).

A number of other substrates were also found to react stoichiometrically with this simple $\text{Ni}(\text{II})/\text{oxidant}$ system. While electron-rich six-member rings (1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene and 2,4,6-trimethoxypyrimidine) gave high yields of 75–80%, pyrroles (Table 1, entry 4 and 5) resulted in moderate yields of 38–50%. A relatively low yield of 37% was observed for 3-methylindole (Table 1, entry 6). Overall, these results showed that adding an oxidant to a solvated Ni^{II} bis-perfluoroalkyl complex does lead to a stoichiometric reaction and may eventually lead to a catalytic protocol.


We generalized the stoichiometric results to longer chain fluoroalkyls by preparing a new solvated nickel precursor $\text{Ni}(\text{MeCN})_2(\text{C}_3\text{F}_7)_2$ (**2**) (Scheme 1). The addition of an equivalent amount of $\text{K}_2\text{S}_2\text{O}_8$ to **2** results in an effective functionalization of 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene and 2,4,6-trimethoxypyrimidine in



Table 1 Stoichiometric C–H bond pentafluoroethylation by **1**^a


Entry	Substrate	Conditions	Yield [%]
1		—	0
2		K ₂ S ₂ O ₈ (1 equiv.)	75
3		K ₂ S ₂ O ₈ (1 equiv.)	80
4		K ₂ S ₂ O ₈ (1 equiv.)	38
5		K ₂ S ₂ O ₈ (1 equiv.)	50
6		K ₂ S ₂ O ₈ (1 equiv.)	37

^a Reactions were performed for 24 hours using 1 equiv. of the substrate, and 1 equiv. of **1** in DMSO at RT under N₂ unless indicated otherwise. The yields were determined by ¹⁹F NMR based on integration against α,α,α -trifluorotoluene as an internal standard.

Scheme 1 Synthesis of Ni^{II}–R_f precursors.

moderate yields of 71–63% (see ESI, Fig. S7 and S9[†]). We also found that **2** reacts more effectively than **1** with 1-(4-chlorophenyl)-1*H*-pyrrole affording the product in a yield of 84% as opposed to 50% with **1** (see ESI, Fig. S11[†]).

To confirm that radical formation is involved in stoichiometric reactivity, we carried out (2,2,6,6-tetramethylpiperidin-1-yl)oxyl (TEMPO) and 1,1-diphenylethylene (DPE) radical trap experiments (Scheme 2). In the presence of 2 equiv. of TEMPO or DPE, no product was observed with **1** (Scheme 2a and b). We could not however, detect the TEMPO–C₂F₅ adduct, which may be due to its direct reaction with the K₂S₂O₈ oxidant (see also Scheme 7 with a different oxidant below). However, the DPE–C₂F₅ adduct was confirmed by GC-MS (see ESI, Fig. S51[†]). We




Scheme 2 Radical trap experiments under stoichiometric conditions.

further confirmed the importance of oxidant by carrying out the DPE radical trap experiment in the absence of K₂S₂O₈. In this case (Scheme 2c), we could not detect the DPE–C₂F₅ adduct by GC-MS. Overall, these results confirm that **1** reacts to generate a C₂F₅ radical in the presence of K₂S₂O₈ oxidant.

Catalytic protocol

To develop a catalytic protocol based on the stoichiometric reaction, we looked for an accessible and commercially available reagent, which can act both as an oxidant and Ni perfluoroalkylation agent. We found that 1-pentafluoroethyl-1,2-benziodoxol-3(1*H*)-one (acid C₂F₅–Togni reagent; Fig. 1D) in the presence of 10 mol% of **1** can functionalize 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene in 17% yield (Table 2, entry 1) under air in DMSO.

Table 2 Optimization of reaction conditions for C–H pentafluoroethylation using acid C₂F₅–Togni as a source^{a,b}


Entry	Catalyst	Solvent, conditions	Yield [%]
1	1	DMSO, air	17
2	1	DMSO	97
3	1	DMSO, pyridine	95
4	1	MeCN	78
5	1	MeOH	58
6	NiCl ₂ (glyme)	DMSO	0
7	NiBr ₂ (glyme)	DMSO	0
8	AgF	DMSO	26
9 ^c	AgF	DMSO	56
10 ^{c,d}	AgF	DMSO	13
11	Ag(OSO ₂ CF ₃)	DMSO	0
12	AgBr	DMSO	0
13 ^c	AgBr	DMSO	0
14	None	DMSO	6*

^a The reaction was performed under nitrogen unless indicated otherwise. ^b NMR yield was based on integration against α,α,α -trifluorotoluene as an internal standard. ^c 100 equiv. of silver salt used. ^d *Para*-chloro-*N*-phenylpyrrole used as substrate (*) indicates the average yield of three reactions.



Performing the reaction under nitrogen atmosphere however, we obtained the mono-pentafluoroethylated product in 97% yield (entry 2) after 24 h at RT. A similar alcohol C₂F₅-Togni reagent gave a lower yield. The yield did not change in the presence of pyridine acting as a possible ligand (95% yield) (entry 3). This reaction can be performed in different solvents, such as MeCN and MeOH, affording the product in 78 and 58% yields (entries 4 and 5) respectively. While the acid Togni reagent is commercially available, it can also be easily prepared with the desired perfluoroalkyl. Either commercially or synthetically, it is cheaper than the modified Umemoto reagents used in previous reports.⁶²

To investigate the role of metal precursor, we carried out the reaction in the presence of simple nickel salts such as NiCl₂-glyme and NiBr₂(glyme) (entries 6 and 7; glyme = dimethoxyethane) in catalytic amounts. No detectable pentafluoroethylated product was observed, suggesting that presence of **1** is important. Since the synthesis of **1** involves the use of AgF, minute residue of silver may remain in the nickel complex product; thus silver salts were evaluated as possible contributors to catalysis.⁶³ However, silver fluoride and silver triflate (entry 8–11) under catalytic conditions afforded 26% and 0% yields respectively for 10 mol% silver, showing only a 56% yield of product if 100 mol% of silver was used.

The control reaction in the absence of **1** afforded only 6% of pentafluoroethylated product (entry 14), indicating that **1** is a necessary catalyst, and that some nickel and silver species (entries 6, 7, 11–13) effectively shut down this background reaction.

Adding more equivalents of the Togni reagent did not improve yield for a more difficult substrate, tryptophan (*vide infra*); the NMR yield increased from 52% for one equivalent of acid-C₂F₅-Togni to 54% with three equivalents.

Interestingly, using the same conditions (Table 2, entry 2) with the (MeCN)₂Ni(CF₃)₂ complex and the associated acid Togni-CF₃ reagent to attempt ligand-free trifluoromethylation gave only 30% of product. However, increasing the amount of the Togni reagent in this case significantly improved yields and 73% of trimethoxybenzene-CF₃ was obtained with three equivalents of the Togni-CF₃ reagent, while the control reaction with three equivalents and without the catalyst gave 8% of product. While a full study of ligand free fluoroalkylation is beyond the scope and purpose of this paper, we have included data in the ESI† on the trifluoromethylation of five representative substrates with three equivalents of the Togni reagent. The yields obtained ranged from 44–84% (see below; also ESI Section 9; p. S82).

Substrate scope


We applied the optimized protocol for trimethoxybenzene (Table 2, entry 2) to a broad number of other heteroarene substrates (Scheme 3). Expectedly, related substrates based on the original electron-rich trimethoxybenzene (**a**–**f**) resulted in pentafluoroethylated product in good to high 32–86% isolated yields, with exclusive selectivity for the mono-functionalized product.

Other five to six membered heterocycles, such as pyrazines, pyrimidine, pyrroles, furan, and thiophene (**b**, **g**–**q**) gave the fluoroalkyl product with remarkable selectivity in 38–87% yields. Only *N*-methyl pyrrole (**n**) gave the bis-fluoromethylated species as a minor side product. *N*-Phenyl pyrrole (**i**) and *N*-benzyl pyrrole (**j**) have similar isolated yields (62% and 71%) with functionalization occurring at the sp² carbon position for **j**. *N*-Parachlorophenyl pyrrole (**k**), 73% isolated yield, demonstrated the reaction's tolerance to halogenated substrates. However, the sterically demanding substrate **l** gave only a 39% NMR yield. The less bulky tosyl substituted entry **m** resulted in a 58% NMR yield. The Boc protected pyrrole, as well as a furan and a thiophene substrate were also shown to participate in the reaction (entries **o**–**q**), albeit at lower 38–49% NMR yields. The thiophene starting material for product **q** is a commercially important compound used as a flavouring substance by the food industry. The indole motif, which is found in many natural products and drugs was explored in seven varied substrates, giving the desired product (**r**–**x**) in up to 51% yield. Indoles substituted in the 3 position are of interest as possible drugs that are neuroactive. As fluorination helps with permeation of the blood–brain barrier, such substrates are natural candidates to explore under our protocol. The seven indoles we looked at had divergent reactivity based on the nature of the substituent. While the aldehyde (**s**) gave only a 28% NMR yield, the natural product indole-3-carbinol substituted species (entry **t**) was fluoroalkylated in 49% yield. The latter substance is found in high amounts in green vegetables and cabbage related plants and has been investigated for its anticarcinogenic and antioxidant properties.

3-Indolepropionic acid (**u**) gave a 34% isolated yield after 48 hours of reaction time. The parent compound is being studied as a possible therapeutic in Alzheimer's disease and is a potent hydroxyl radical inhibitor. Indole-3-acetamide is a plant metabolite and a precursor of the plant hormone auxin that is widely studied in plant science. We obtained its perfluoroalkylated product in 48% NMR yield after 48 hours of reaction time (entry **v**). We also investigated the reactivity of melatonin, a plant hormone which is used to treat insomnia (**w**), and tryptophan, an essential amino acid (**x**). Isolated yields for the two important natural products were 38% and 42% respectively after 48 hours of reaction time.

For the last four examples we investigated non-indole natural products with industrial applicability. A drug containing multiple functional groups, tadalafil, was found to undergo selective mono-pentafluoroethylation in a specific position on the phenyl ring that is part of the indole moiety; NMR did not show the presence of other isomers. The isolated yield was 26% after 48 hours of reaction time (entry **y**). Caffeine (**z**) and essential amino acid tyrosine (**a2**) are examples of a drug and a natural product that are significantly smaller than tadalafil and also do not contain an indole moiety. The pentafluoroethylation was found to occur at a specific sp² carbon with isolated yields of 39% and 32% respectively. Finally, resorcinol is a fairly simple molecule (1,3 bis-hydroxy alcohol, entry **a1**) that is expensive to produce and has medical uses as an acne treatment and an anaesthetic. Although less electron rich than





Scheme 3 Substrate scope of pentafluoroethylation catalyzed by **1** using acid- C_2F_5 -Togni reagent. General conditions: 1 equiv. of 1-pentafluoroethyl-1,2-benziodoxol-3(1*H*)-one, 1 equiv. of (hetero)arene, 10 mol% **1** in DMSO for 24 h. Isolated yield is shown here. Asterisk symbol signifies the reaction was performed for 48 hours. Arrows signify the second site of polyfluoroalkylation in the minor isomer.

the tris-methoxy benzene substrates, resorcinol was amenable to our protocol and an isolated yield of 43% was obtained. The reaction is highly selective for the 2 position on the phenol ring.


The indole and drug/natural product results highlight the utility of our new perfluoroalkylation method in the late-stage functionalization of pharmaceuticals and other bioactive molecules. However, the protocol was not always successful in the case of relatively electron-deficient arenes. A list of these substrates that worked poorly is given in the ESI.†

Since we wanted to demonstrate that the reaction acts as a general method for perfluoroalkylation, we applied the catalytic protocol to heptafluoropropylation (the C_3F_7 group) in some heteroarenes (Scheme 4).

Under the same catalytic conditions, catalyst **2** can catalyze the functionalization of 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene in the presence of the reaction specific 1-heptafluoropropyl-1,2-benziodoxol-3(1*H*)-one (acid C_3F_7 -Togni) reagent in 74% yield (**A1**) (Scheme 4). As in Scheme 4, we found that this protocol was able to functionalize pyrimidine, pyrrole, and indole derivatives (**A2**–**A6**), including melatonin, in moderate to good yields (38–64%). Thus, the catalytic results of Scheme 3 could be generalized to a longer-chain C_3F_7 substituent.

For trifluoromethylation, 3 equiv. of the CF_3 -acid Togni reagent had to be used to obtain yields similar to those obtained for perfluoroalkylated products; the reaction is not as competitive with other methods published for catalytic nickel







Scheme 4 Substrate scope of heptafluoropropylation catalyzed by 2.

trifluoromethylation. Nevertheless, it may be preferred when considering the cost of the Umemoto against the Togni reagents. The five substrates that were modified are given below (Scheme 5).

Finally, we targeted functionalization of short peptides for the installation of the C_2F_5 group. While a C_2F_5 substituted protein or polypeptide could be generated by solid state synthesis by including a C_2F_5 substituted amino acid (see Scheme 3, substrates, **x** and **a2**), the functionalization of polypeptides shows off the versatility of the method and its possible applicability to the modification of larger proteins, including difficult to access heme and metalloproteins. Such transformations can enhance the development of methods that advance therapeutics and diagnostics. To model such late-stage functionalization, we explored the functionalization of 2–4 amino acid long peptides. Under catalytic conditions, **1** can functionalize the sequences tyrosine–alanine, valine–tyrosine–valine, glycine–leucine–tyrosine, and glycine–glycine–tyrosine–arginine (**P1–P4**) (Scheme 6), as confirmed by an LC-MS analysis, hinting that late-stage functionalization of large natural products and proteins may be possible. By analogy with the



Scheme 5 Substrate scope of trifluoromethylation.



Scheme 6 Substrate scope of peptides. The yields were approximated as the ratio of area of product/area total determined by LC-MS spectrum.


tyrosine functionalization result (Scheme 3, entry **a2**), the functionalization is here assumed to also occur at the tyrosine, with the selectivity being for the *ortho* position next to the hydroxyphenyl moiety. The reaction is selective for mono-functionalization.

Mechanistic experiments

To prove the homogeneity of the reaction, a mercury drop test was carried out. As the yields were not affected, the reaction is assumed to be homogeneous (see ESI Fig. S50†). We investigated whether radical formation occurs under typical catalytic conditions for **1** catalyzed perfluoroalkylation in the presence of substrate and acid C_2F_5 -Togni reagent, by using TEMPO and 1,1-diphenylethylene (DPE) radical traps (Scheme 7).

In all cases the formation of TEMPO- C_2F_5 and DPE- C_2F_5 adducts was observed (Scheme 7a and b), while product formation was inhibited.

The quantification of the radical trap products was determined *via* ^{19}F NMR spectroscopy for TEMPO- C_2F_5 and DPE-



Scheme 7 Radical trap experiments under catalytic conditions.



C_2F_5 , which formed in 66% and 27% yield respectively. Similarly, the reaction between 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene and acid C_3F_7 -Togni reagent catalyzed by **2** at room temperature was performed in the presence of DPE to form a DPE- C_3F_7 adduct as confirmed by HRMS, while product formation was inhibited (Scheme 7c). In a control reaction between the acid C_2F_5 -Togni reagent and 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene in the presence of TEMPO but in the absence of catalyst, no TEMPO- C_2F_5 adduct was observed, indicating that nickel is necessary for radical formation (see ESI, Fig. S55†).

Next, the reaction of **1**, acid C_2F_5 -Togni reagent, and substrate was followed by UV/vis (Fig. 2). Reacting an equivalent amount of yellow **1** with the acid C_2F_5 -Togni reagent results in a color change to green. A new intense absorption band appears at λ 624 nm; this band is not present in the absence of **1**, and it slowly disappears after two hours. The same band at λ 624 nm could be observed under catalytic conditions five minutes after mixing the reagents (see ESI Fig. S70†). These experiments confirm the formation of a new complex resulting from the interaction of **1** with the acid C_2F_5 -Togni reagent.

The reaction between **1** and acid C_2F_5 -Togni was followed by mass spectrometry (ESI-MS) to detect any high valent Ni intermediates formed during the oxidation process. Mixing **1** and acid C_2F_5 -Togni at room temperature in an acetonitrile solvent generates the green color instantly.

The green color species gives an intense signal corresponding to complex **3** ($m/z = 583.8720$), where two C_2F_5 groups are bound to the nickel along with carboxylate group of the reacted Togni reagent and an acetonitrile ligand. It is likely this complex that is responsible for the λ 624 nm band. We were unsuccessful in isolating this unstable complex, precluding its structural determination. In a cross experiment, the reaction between **1** and acid C_3F_7 -Togni also results in green color to form high valent nickel species **4**. The reverse reaction with **2** also gives complex **4** ($m/z = 633.8625$), where one C_2F_5 and C_3F_7 molecules are bound to nickel along with iodo benzoate





Fig. 2 UV/vis spectra of the reaction mixture containing **1** and acid C_2F_5 -Togni reagent in equivalent amount to form a new absorption band at 624 nm.

(Scheme 8). In addition, the reaction between $(MeCN)_2Ni(CF_3)_2$ and the CF_3 -Togni reagent results in a similar Ni^{III} intermediate that could be detected by ESI-MS. These experiments suggests that the acid-Togni reagent acts as both a perfluoroalkyl group source and an oxidant.

Based on these observations and previous studies,^{19,52,59} we propose that the initial step involves addition of the Togni reagent to Ni^{II} complex **1** to give either an unobserved intermediate from which homolysis occurs, or concurrent homolysis with the addition of the Togni reagent to generate the Ni^{III} complex **3**, observed by UV/vis and mass spectroscopy, and a C_2F_5 radical (Scheme 9). The organic radical reacts with an aromatic substrate **A** to form a functionalized intermediate **B**. Oxidation/deprotonation of **B** by **3** gives the perfluoroalkyl product **C**, free 2-iodobenzoic acid, and regenerates complex **1**.


The mechanism does not rule out the intermediacy of an elusive short-lived $Ni^{IV}(C_2F_5)_3$ intermediate formed from the reaction of **1** and the Togni reagent that quickly decays to a Ni^{III} species **3** and a C_2F_5 radical. We were not able to detect an elusive Ni^{IV} intermediate by low temperature NMR. We also observed an anisotropic signal in EPR spectrum obtained after mixing **1** and acid- C_2F_5 -Togni reagent and freezing the reaction mixture at 94 K (Fig. 3). The anisotropic EPR signal with g values significantly shifted from 2.0023 ($g_1 = 2.230$, $g_2 = 2.185$, $g_3 = 2.026$; $g_{ave} = 2.147$) is consistent with the presence of a $d^7 Ni^{III}$ center (see ESI† for details).

The proposed mechanism indicates that acidification of the reaction mixture occurs as the reaction progresses. We



Scheme 8 Reaction between **1** and acid C_2F_5/C_3F_7 -Togni to form high valent nickel intermediates **3**, **4** and reaction between $Ni(CF_3)_2(-MeCN)_2$ and acid- CF_3 to form **5** detected by ESI-MS.





Scheme 9 Proposed radical pathway for perfluoroalkylation.




Fig. 3 EPR spectrum of the sample of the reaction mixture containing 1 and acid- C_2F_5 -Togni reagent (PrCN : EtCN glass, 94 K, 9.07 GHz).

tested the stability of 1 towards acidic conditions by reacting it with acid C_2F_5 -Togni in the presence of 10 equivalents of 2-iodobenzoic acid, the reaction byproduct. In this experiment we were still able to observe the UV/vis band at 624 nm (see ESI, Fig. S76[†]).

Conclusions

In conclusion, we demonstrated that a “ligand-free” $[(MeCN)_2Ni(C_2F_5)_2]$ and $[(MeCN)_2Ni(C_3F_7)_2]$ system can successfully functionalize heteroarenes to incorporate fluoroalkyl groups in the presence of an oxidant. We showed that simple, inexpensive nickel precursors can catalytically functionalize natural products, drug analogues, and peptides containing an aromatic moiety, using the acid- C_2F_5 -Togni reagent. This method is easy to set up, highly efficient, and is performed under mild conditions without special equipment such as a light setup. Preliminary mechanistic studies suggest that catalysis proceeds *via* a radical mechanism. In the future, we plan to investigate the mechanism of this reaction in more detail and attempt to develop a protocol/system that is capable of functionalizing sp^3 C–H bonds.

Data availability

All original data is deposited in the OIST Institutional Repository (OISTir) within one year of publication and is available to the public free of charge.

Author contributions

SD and EK designed the study, interpreted the data, and wrote the manuscript; SD and RG carried out the experiments; SV collected and refined crystallographic data; MR helped to carry out the peptide experiments and mass spectrometry; JR helped in designing and carrying out the mechanistic EPR experiments, and helped in writing the manuscript.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

The authors acknowledge CF Plus Chemicals for synthesizing acid-Togni- C_3F_7 on special order. All funding was provided by an OIST internal grant.

Notes and references

- 1 S. Z. Tasker, E. A. Standley and T. F. Jamison, *Nature*, 2014, **509**, 299–309.
- 2 F. Le Vaillant, E. J. Reijerse, M. Leutzsch and J. Cornella, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2020, **142**, 19540–19550.
- 3 N. M. Camasso and M. S. Sanford, *Science*, 2015, **347**, 1218–1220.
- 4 E. A. Standley, S. Z. Tasker, K. L. Jensen and T. F. Jamison, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2015, **48**, 1503–1514.
- 5 C. M. Lavoie and M. Stradiotto, *ACS Catal.*, 2018, **8**, 7228–7250.
- 6 H. Xu, J. B. Diccianni, J. Katigbak, C. Hu, Y. Zhang and T. Diao, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2016, **138**, 4779–4786.
- 7 N. Nebra, *Molecules*, 2020, **25**, 1141.
- 8 L. K. G. Ackerman, J. I. Martinez Alvarado and A. G. Doyle, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2018, **140**, 14059–14063.
- 9 J. R. Bour, N. M. Camasso, E. A. Meucci, J. W. Kampf, A. J. Canty and M. S. Sanford, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2016, **138**, 16105–16111.
- 10 M. B. Watson, N. P. Rath and L. M. Mirica, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2017, **139**, 35–38.
- 11 J. W. Schultz, K. Fuchigami, B. Zheng, N. P. Rath and L. M. Mirica, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2016, **138**, 12928–12934.
- 12 M. Yuan, Z. Song, S. O. Badir, G. A. Molander and O. Gutierrez, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2020, **142**, 7225–7234.
- 13 S. L. Zultanski and G. C. Fu, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2013, **135**, 624–627.
- 14 X. Ji, H. Huang, Y. Li, H. Chen and H. Jiang, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2012, **51**, 7292–7296.



- 15 R. Martinez, M.-O. Simon, R. Chevalier, C. Pautigny, J.-P. Genet and S. Darses, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2009, **131**, 7887–7895.
- 16 L. Alig, M. Fritz and S. Schneider, *Chem. Rev.*, 2019, **119**, 2681–2751.
- 17 M. P. Doyle, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2009, **48**, 850–852.
- 18 F. D'Accriscio, P. Borja, N. Saffon-Merceron, M. Fustier-Boutignon, N. Mézailles and N. Nebra, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2017, **56**, 12898–12902.
- 19 E. A. Meucci, S. N. Nguyen, N. M. Camasso, E. Chong, A. Ariafard, A. J. Canty and M. S. Sanford, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2019, **141**, 12872–12879.
- 20 D. A. Nagib and D. W. C. MacMillan, *Nature*, 2011, **480**, 224–228.
- 21 L. Li, X. Mu, W. Liu, Y. Wang, Z. Mi and C.-J. Li, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2016, **138**, 5809–5812.
- 22 K. Niedermann, N. Früh, R. Senn, B. Czarniecki, R. Verel and A. Togni, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2012, **51**, 6511–6515.
- 23 L. An, F.-F. Tong, S. Zhang and X. Zhang, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2020, **142**, 11884–11892.
- 24 H.-Y. Zhao, X. Gao, S. Zhang and X. Zhang, *Org. Lett.*, 2019, **21**, 1031–1036.
- 25 M. Zhou, H.-Y. Zhao, S. Zhang, Y. Zhang and X. Zhang, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2020, **142**, 18191–18199.
- 26 Z. Feng, Y.-L. Xiao and X. Zhang, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2018, **51**, 2264–2278.
- 27 L. Xu and D. A. Vovic, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2016, **138**, 2536–2539.
- 28 L. An, C. Xu and X. Zhang, *Nat. Commun.*, 2017, **8**, 1460.
- 29 C. Xu, W.-H. Guo, X. He, Y.-L. Guo, X.-Y. Zhang and X. Zhang, *Nat. Commun.*, 2018, **9**, 1170.
- 30 W.-Q. Hu, S. Pan, X.-H. Xu, D. A. Vovic and F.-L. Qing, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2020, **59**, 16076–16082.
- 31 C. F. Harris, C. S. Kuehner, J. Bacsá and J. D. Soper, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2018, **57**, 1311–1315.
- 32 M. Paeth, W. Carson, J.-H. Luo, D. Tierney, Z. Cao, M.-J. Cheng and W. Liu, *Chem.–Eur. J.*, 2018, **24**, 11559–11563.
- 33 L. Amini-Rentsch, E. Vanoli, S. Richard-Bildstein, R. Marti and G. Vilé, *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.*, 2019, **58**, 10164–10171.
- 34 W. K. Hagmann, *J. Med. Chem.*, 2008, **51**, 4359–4369.
- 35 K. Müller, C. Faeh and F. Diederich, *Science*, 2007, **317**, 1881–1886.
- 36 E. Prchalová, O. Štěpánek, S. Smrček and M. Kotora, *Future Med. Chem.*, 2014, **6**, 1201–1229.
- 37 M. Jagodzinska, F. Huguenot, G. Candiani and M. Zanda, *ChemMedChem*, 2009, **4**, 49–51.
- 38 L. A. McAllister, C. R. Butler, S. Mente, S. V. O'Neil, K. R. Fonseca, J. R. Piro, J. A. Cianfrogna, T. L. Foley, A. M. Gilbert, A. R. Harris, C. J. Helal, D. S. Johnson, J. I. Montgomery, D. M. Nason, S. Noell, J. Pandit, B. N. Rogers, T. A. Samad, C. L. Shaffer, R. G. da Silva, D. P. Uccello, D. Webb and M. A. Brodney, *J. Med. Chem.*, 2018, **61**, 3008–3026.
- 39 B. Jeffries, Z. Wang, J. Graton, S. D. Holland, T. Brind, R. D. R. Greenwood, J.-Y. Le Questel, J. S. Scott, E. Chiarparin and B. Linclau, *J. Med. Chem.*, 2018, **61**, 10602–10618.
- 40 I. Vergote and P. Abram, *Ann. Oncol.*, 2006, **17**, 200–204.
- 41 N. Früh and A. Togni, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2014, **53**, 10813–10816.
- 42 H. Jia, A. P. Häring, F. Berger, L. Zhang and T. Ritter, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2021, **143**, 7623–7628.
- 43 Y. Wu, H.-R. Zhang, R.-X. Jin, Q. Lan and X.-S. Wang, *Adv. Synth. Catal.*, 2016, **358**, 3528–3533.
- 44 S. Martínez de Salinas, Á. L. Mudarra, C. Odena, M. Martínez Belmonte, J. Benet-Buchholz, F. Maseras and M. H. Pérez-Temprano, *Chem.–Eur. J.*, 2019, **25**, 9390–9394.
- 45 S. Martínez-Salvador, J. Forniés, A. Martín and B. Menjón, *Chem.–Eur. J.*, 2011, **17**, 8085–8097.
- 46 X. Zhao and D. W. C. MacMillan, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2020, **142**, 19480–19486.
- 47 S. Zhang, N. Rotta-Loria, F. Weniger, J. Rabeah, H. Neumann, C. Taeschler and M. Beller, *Chem. Commun.*, 2019, **55**, 6723–6726.
- 48 S. Zhang, F. Weniger, F. Ye, J. Rabeah, S. Ellinger, F. Zaragoza, C. Taeschler, H. Neumann, A. Brueckner and M. Beller, *Chem. Commun.*, 2020, **56**, 15157–15160.
- 49 S. T. Shreiber and D. A. Vovic, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2021, **60**, 18162–18167.
- 50 S. T. Shreiber, G. I. Puchall and D. A. Vovic, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2022, **97**, 153795.
- 51 S. T. Shreiber, F. Amin, S. A. Schafer, R. E. Cramer, A. Klein and D. A. Vovic, *Dalton Trans.*, 2022, **51**, 5515–5523.
- 52 S. Deolka, R. Govindarajan, E. Khaskin, R. R. Fayzullin, M. C. Roy and J. R. Khusnutdinova, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2021, **60**, 24620–24629.
- 53 C.-P. Zhang, H. Wang, A. Klein, C. Biewer, K. Stirnat, Y. Yamaguchi, L. Xu, V. Gomez-Benitez and D. A. Vovic, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2013, **135**, 8141–8144.
- 54 B. P. Carrow and J. F. Hartwig, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2010, **132**, 79–81.
- 55 S. Fantasia, J. Windisch and M. Scalone, *Adv. Synth. Catal.*, 2013, **355**, 627–631.
- 56 D. R. Williams and S. A. Bawel, *Org. Lett.*, 2017, **19**, 1730–1733.
- 57 S. L. Wiskur, A. Korte and G. C. Fu, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2004, **126**, 82–83.
- 58 J. Yin and C. J. T. Hyland, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2015, **80**, 6529–6536.
- 59 X. Gao, Y. Geng, S. Han, A. Liang, J. Li, D. Zou, Y. Wu and Y. Wu, *Org. Lett.*, 2018, **20**, 3732–3735.
- 60 X. Liu, G. Mao, J. Qiao, C. Xu, H. Liu, J. Ma, Z. Sun and W. Chu, *Org. Chem. Front.*, 2019, **6**, 1189–1193.
- 61 J. R. Bour, P. Roy, A. J. Canty, J. W. Kampf and M. S. Sanford, *Organometallics*, 2020, **39**, 3–7.
- 62 N. Shibata, A. Matsnev and D. Cahard, *Beilstein J. Org. Chem.*, 2010, **6**, 65.
- 63 L. Demonti, N. Saffon-Merceron, N. Mezailles and N. Nebra, *Chem.–Eur. J.*, 2021, **27**, 15396–15405.

