RSC Advances

PAPER

Cite this: RSC Adv., 2022, 12, 18806

Received 28th April 2022 Accepted 21st June 2022

DOI: 10.1039/d2ra02694e

rsc.li/rsc-advances

1. Introduction

Detailed theoretical studies of pharmaceutically important pyrimido[4,5-b]quinolines (PQs) are important to explore unknown properties of PQs and they help to design a new application based on the theoretical outcome. Density functional theory (DFT) calculations help to predict the crystal structure of PQs, their reactive behaviour and physicochemical properties after the validation process. DFT calculations have become a highly essential and commonly used method in this study for developing a strong relationship between theoretical and experimental data by providing insights about molecular geometry as well as physicochemical properties.¹ Once the DFT calculation validates with known PQs, one can use the same for unknown pyrimido[4,5-b]quinoline. So, the crystals of novel 2,4 dimethoxy-tetrahydropyrimido[4,5-b]quinolin-6(7H)-ones (2,4 dimethoxy-THPQs) were developed and analysed by singlecrystal X-ray diffraction (SCXRD) and DFT calculation.

b Department of Chemistry, Indian Institute of Technology, Madras, India

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. CCDC 2133239, 2149722, 2157100, and 2157099. For ESI and crystallographic data in CIF or other electronic format see <https://doi.org/10.1039/d2ra02694e>

Synthesis, crystal structure and in silico studies of novel 2,4-dimethoxy-tetrahydropyrimido[4,5-b] quinolin-6(7H)-ones†

Subham G. Patel[,](http://orcid.org/0000-0001-6805-6367) \mathbf{D}^{a} Ruturajsinh M. Vala, \mathbf{D}^{a} Paras J. Patel, \mathbf{D}^{a} Dipti B. Upadhyay, \mathbf{D}^{a} V. Ramkumar, <[s](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-6185-5825)up>b</sup> Ramesh L. Gardas \mathbf{D}^{b} and Hitendra M. Patel \mathbf{D}^{ka}

Herein, acetic acid mediated multicomponent synthesis of novel 2,4-dimethoxy-tetrahydropyrimido[4,5-b] quinolin-6(7H)-one (2,4-dimethoxy-THPQs) was reported. Single-crystal XRD analysis of four newly developed crystals of 2,4-dimethoxy-THPQs and their DFT study were also reported. The structure of all molecules was optimized using DFT B3LYP/6-31G(d) level and compared with the corresponding singlecrystal XRD data. As a result, the theoretical and experimental geometrical parameters (bond lengths and bond angles) were found to be in good agreement. Frontier molecular orbital (FMO) and molecule electrostatic potential (MEP) analyses were used to investigate the physicochemical properties and relative reactivity of 2,4-dimethoxy-THPQs. The formation of strong C-H \cdots O and N-H \cdots O interaction was investigated by Hirshfeld analysis. Furthermore, electronic charge density concentration in 2,4 dimethoxy-THPQs was analysed by the Mulliken atomic charges which helps to predict the ability of 2,4 dimethoxy-THPQs to bind in the receptor. The molecular docking of the crystal structure of 2,4 dimethoxy-THPQs in the main protease (MPro) of SARS-CoV-2 suggested that all four 2,4-dimethoxy-THPQs efficiently docked in MP^{ro}. Furthermore, 2,4-dimethoxy-THPQs with a 3-chloro substitution in the phenyl ring have the highest binding affinity because of the additional formation of halogen bonds and highest dipole moment. PAPER

Synthesis, crystal structure and *in silico* studies

Creens nsc As, 2022 2 18806

Creens and As and 22 2 18806

Synthesis, crystal structure and *in silico* studies

(application of 2.4 -dimethoxy-tetrahydropyrimi

Pyrimido[4,5-b]quinolines exhibited biological activities such as MDM2 ubiquitin ligase inhibitory, 2 anticancer, 3 Src kinase inhibitory,⁴ antimicrobial,⁵⁻⁷ antioxidant⁷ and antiallergy activity.⁸ Due to their diverse functionality, there is also scope to develop a new biological application of PQs. In recent times, novel coronavirus (COVID-19) became a major concern in medical and pharmaceutical science. Widespread community transfer of COVID-19 creates severe socio-economic consequences. So, the development of potent antiviral agents is ontrend. Quinoline based chloroquine and hydroxychloroquine have been proposed for COVID-19 treatment according to the protocols published in 2020 (Fig. 1).⁹ Due to lacking experimental evidence, its efficiency is still questioned and needs detailed investigation.^{10,11} Molnupiravir (EIDD-2801) is a pyrimidine based antiviral drug which was approved by FDA-USA,¹² MHRA-UK¹³ and DCGI-India¹⁴ for emergency use. So, quinoline and pyrimidine based pyrimido[4,5-b]quinolines are best candidate for antiviral agent. Here, crystal structures of newly synthesised 2,4-dimethoxy-THPQs were analysed by molecular docking. Recently, some study report molecular docking in main protease (M^{pro}) of SARS-CoV-2.¹⁵⁻¹⁹ Here we report effective docking of 2,4-dimethoxy-THPQs in terms of binding energy and inhibition constant.

To determine the relationship between substitution in 2,4 dimethoxy-THPQs and their binding affinity in main protease

a Department of Chemistry, Sardar Patel University, Vallabh Vidyanagar, 388120, Gujarat, India. E-mail: hm_patel@spuvvn.edu

(M^{pro}) of SARS-CoV-2, the study of molecular structure, physicochemical properties and intermolecular interaction is required. Frontier molecular orbital (FMO) is used to investigate the stability and reactivity of the molecule.²⁰–²² It also help to investigate intermolecular interaction which affect the binding affinity. In addition, molecular electrostatic potential (MEP) were used to investigate the most reactive nucleophilic and electrophilic site in crystal against biologically reactive potentials.²⁰ Mulliken atomic charge analysis gives information about the changes distribution on atoms in terms of population of molecular orbitals.²³ It suggests the formation of donor– acceptor pair²³ which helps to predict the ability to bind in the receptor. Moreover, Hirshfeld surface and fingerprint plots were used to understand strong hydrogen bonding interaction in crystals.¹ As a result, these approaches have shown to be quite accurate in predicting a variety of molecular properties of the molecule.

To synthesise novel 2,4-dimethoxy-THPQs, a multicomponent strategy was utilised which is used to generate a wide variety of heterocyclic compounds with multifaceted medicinal uses.²⁴ Previous methods utilised various catalyst such as nano- $[Fe₃O₄(@SiO₂(@R-NHMe₂][H₂PO₄]²⁵$ graphene oxide,²⁶ MIL-100(Cr)/NHEtN(CH₂PO₃H₂)₂,²⁷ choline chloride/ oxalic acid,²⁸ IR-MOF-3-ILOAc-Fe(acac)₃,²⁹ N,N-diethyl-N-sulfoethanaminium chloride³⁰ and nano- $[Fe₃O₄(@SiO₂/N-propyl-1-$ (thiophen-2-yl)ethanimine][$ZnCl₂$]³¹ to synthesised 2,4dimethoxy-THPQs. Catalyst-free synthesis of THPQs were also reported using absolute ethanol,³² acetic acid,³³⁻³⁵ and electrolysis in presence of alcohol.³⁶ In continuation of our work in multicomponent synthesis, $37-42$ here, we reported the simple acetic acid-mediated multicomponent synthesis of novel PQs. This simple protocol generated 2,4-dimethoxy-THPQs 4(a–d) with good yield of products (68–73%). Due to the crystalline nature of compound, single crystal of (a–d) by simple recrystallisation method using methanol/dichloromethane solvent.

2. Experimental

2.1. General remarks

All chemical reagents were purchased from the TCI, Sisco Research Laboratories Pvt. Ltd. and Sigma-Aldrich and used without further purification. The open capillary tube method was used to determine the melting points of all solid compounds that are uncorrected.

2.2. General experimental procedure

A mixture of aromatic aldehydes (1, 1 mmol), dimedone (2, 1 mmol), 6-amino-2,4-dimethoxypyrimidine (3, 1 mmol) and acetic acid (3 mL) were charged in a 50 mL round bottom flask. The reaction mixture was refluxed for 90 minutes. The reaction progress was monitored on TLC using the n -hexane : ethyl acetate $(70:30, v/v)$ as the mobile phase. After complete consumption of starting material, the reaction mixture was cooled at room temperature and poured into 30 mL of cold water. The obtained crude product was filtered and dried in an oven. Further purification, the crude product was stirred in 10 mL ice-cold diethyl ether to obtain pure product.

2.3. X-ray analysis

Single crystals of 2,4-dimethoxy-THPQs 4(a–d) are grown by recrystallisation method using a mixture of 20 mL dichloromethane and 2 mL methanol. The X-ray intensity measurements were taken at 296(2) K using Mo K α radiation with a wavelength of 0.71073 on a Bruker X8 Kappa APEX II diffractometer with an X-ray generator operating at 50 kV and 30 mA. The Bruker SAINT software suite was used to collect intensity data and correct frames using a narrow-frame algorithm. The empirical absorption correction (multiscan) was performed on each structure using SADABS. SHELXL-2014/7, which is part of the Sheldrick-2014 programme suite, was used to solve the structure using direct methods. The positional and anisotropic temperature parameters of non-hydrogen atoms were refined by the full-matrix least-squares method on F^2 using the SHELXL-2014/7. Crystallography tools PLATON, ORTEP and MERCURY were used for structure analysis and demonstration of results. Details of data collection, crystal parameters and structure refinement process of $4(a-d)$ are given in Table 3.

2.4. Computational details

The DFT calculations were accomplished in the ground state (in $vacuo$) with Gaussian 16 programme package⁴³ by using the B3LYP method with the 6-31G(d) basis set. To understand, the nature, reactivity and stability of the compound, Becke's three parameters (B3LYP) diffusion functionals were carried out at 6- 31G(d) basis set. The frontier molecular orbital (FMO) and molecule electrostatic potential (MEP) analyses were performed at the B3LYP level of DFT and 6-31G(d) basis set. The energy gap values and quantum chemical descriptors were computed from

Scheme 1 Three-component synthesis of 4a from 4-chlorobenzaldehyde, dimedone and 6-amino-2,4-dimethoxypyrimidine

the frontier molecular orbitals with the same conceptual level and by using known equations. Geometry and data visualisation was done using Gauss view 6.1.1.⁴⁴ Crystal Explorer 17.5 program⁴⁵ was used for the Hirshfeld surface analysis and the development of their related 2D fingerprint plot.

2.5. Molecular docking study

All calculations were done using autodock 4.2.6 software installed on 2.5 GHz intel® Core™ i5-7200U CPU. AutoDock Tools (ADT) version 1.5.6 was utilised for structure preprocessing as stated previously.⁴⁶ PyMOL and PLIP⁴⁷ were employed to investigate bound conformations and different interactions. The crystal structure of M^{pro} (PDB ID: 6lu7) was retrieved from the Protein Data Bank (<https://www.rcsb.org/>). All crystal structures were stored as SYBYL2 (.mol2) file format for input to ADT. A grid box with a dimension of 40 \times 40 \times 40 A^3 with 0.547 Å spacing and centred on -13.145, 11.568, 68.702 was created surrounding co-crystallised ligand N3 using ADT. After that, grid energy calculations were performed. Default docking parameters were employed in the AutoDock docking computation and 50 docked conformations were obtained for each compound. Using genetic algorithms, the energy calculations were accomplished.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Chemistry

In this study, we selected 4-chlorobenzaldehyde 1a, dimedone 2 and 6-amino-2,4-dimethoxypyrimidine 3 as a model substrate

for the preparation of compound 4a via multicomponent synthesis (Scheme 1). To achieve our target molecule 4a, the reaction was optimised by using different solvents and p-TSA as mentioned in Table 1. We did not observe the complete conversion of 1a to 4a under catalyst-free conditions using water and ethanol as solvents at room temperature (entries 1 and 2). The reaction is also performed without catalyst under reflux in ethanol and we found a trace amount of the 4a with the incomplete conversion of 1a. p-TSA is an efficient catalyst for this similar type of reaction. So, we selected it for the optimisation of our target molecule (entries 4–7). We observed that glacial acetic acid is the best suitable solvent for this reaction. At room temperature, p-TSA/acetic acid converted from 1a to 4a in 5 hours. In comparison, 1a converted to 4a in 1.5 hours under reflux in p -TSA/acetic acid. Similar results were also observed in the case of glacial acetic acid only (entries 8 and 9). So, we decided to proceed with the best-optimised condition (entry 9) in which desired product 4a was obtained in only 1.5 hours by glacial acetic acid under reflux. Using this best-optimised condition reaction was then carried out using different aryl aldehydes such as p-chlorobenzaldehyde (1a, 1 mmol), Benzaldehyde (1b, 1 mmol), 3-methoxybenzaldehyde (1c, 1 mmol) and m -chlorobenzaldehyde (1d, 2 mmol) with dimedone (2, 1 mmol) and 6-amino-2,4-dimethoxypyrimidine (3, 1 mmol) in 3 mL acetic acid. 4(a–d) were obtained with yield ranging from 68– 73% in the 90 minutes as shown in Table 2. In presence of electron-withdrawing and electron-releasing substituents of aldehyde, this protocol worked well and consumed all subtracts in 90 min to achive target molecule. Pacce Articles. Published on 29 H₃N</sub> Correction 2022

Scheme 1 inves-concones systems of the non-theoretic systems and for the preparation of among-24-amethoopyrenuine

Scheme 1 inves-concones systems of the non-theoret

^a Reaction condition: 1 mmol p-chlorobenzaldehyde 1a, 1 mmol dimedone 2 and 1 mmol 6-amino-2,4-dimethoxypyrimidine. $\frac{b}{s}$ 3 mL solvent. $\frac{c}{s}$ Observed from TLC analysis.

^a Reaction condition: 1 mmol aldehyde 1(a-d), 1 mmol dimedone 2 and 1 mmol 6-amino-2,4-dimethoxypyrimidine 3. b Isolated yield.

4 3-Cl **4d** 90 68

3.2. X-ray crystal structure description

Single crystals of 4(a–d) were grown by slow evaporation recrystallisation method using a mixture of 20 mL dichloromethane and 2 mL methanol at room temperature. In order to investigation of structural properties, crystals of 2,4-dimethoxy-THPQs 4(a–d) were subjected to SCXRD analysis. X-ray crystal data showed that compound 4a crystallises in triclinic system, space group $P\bar{1}$ with $a = 6.1119(17)$ Å, $b = 12.856(3)$ Å, $c =$

Table 3 Crystal data and structure refinement of 4(a-d)

Fig. 2 (a) ORTEP view of 4a with displacement ellipsoids drawn at 50%. (b) The packing diagram for 4a view along the a-axis.

13.503(2) Å, $V =$ 990.8(4) Å 3 , $Z =$ 2, density $= 1.340~{\rm g~cm^{-3}, and}$ linear absorption coefficient 0.220 mm^{-1} . Compound 4b crystallises in monoclinic system, space group $P2_1/n$ with $a =$ 11.3367(6) \AA , $b = 13.5799(7) \AA$, $c = 13.0546(6) \AA$, $V = 1901.73(17)$ \AA^3 , $Z = 4$, density = 1.276 g cm⁻³, and linear absorption coefficient 0.087 mm^{-1} . Compound 4c crystallises in monoclinic system, space group $P2_1/n$ with $a = 12.5837(13)$ \AA , $b =$ 13.0752(17) Å, $c = 13.0356(16)$ Å, $V = 2004.4(4)$ Å $^3, Z = 4$, density $= 1.310 \text{ g cm}^{-3}$, and linear absorption coefficient 0.091 mm⁻¹. Compound 4d crystallises in monoclinic system, space group $P2_1/n$ with $a = 12.2403(6)$ \AA , $b = 13.3075(7)$ \AA , $c = 12.8408(7)$ \AA , V $=$ 1975.65(18) \AA^3 , $Z = 4$, density $= 1.344$ g cm⁻³, and linear absorption coefficient 0.221 mm^{-1} . Full crystallographic data and structure refinement of $4(a-d)$ showed in Table 3. Fig. 2-5 show ORTEP view of crystal structures and molecular packing generated by Mercury.

3.3. Theoretical computational study

To investigate geometrical parameters of 2,4-dimethoxy-THPQ 4a density functional theory (DFT) calculations were

performed using Becke's three parameters (B3LYP) diffusion functionals methods with lower to higher basis sets such as 6- 31G, 6-31G(d), 6-31G(d,p), 6-311G, 6-311G+(2d,p), 6-311G++(d,p) and 6-311G++(d,2p). Herein, we choose seven basis sets which are generally used for the theoretical investigation to get accurate theoretical data corresponds to the experimental data obtained from the SCXRD. The comparison of results obtained from DFT calculation with experimental data of 4a is shown in Table S1 (included in ESI†).

Fig. 6 shows correlation graphs of geometrical parameters of 4a using different basis sets in the gaseous phase with the corresponding experimental data derived by XRD by using the correlation coefficient value (R^2) . R^2 value is higher in 6-31G(d) and 6-311G+(2d,p) basis set for bond length and bond angle. For bond length R^2 value is higher in 6-311G+(2d,p) basis set while the bond angle R^2 value is higher in 6-31G(d) basis set. So, we choose 6-31G(d) basis set for further investigation of other compounds. Comparison of calculated geometrical parameters of 4(b–d) obtained (DFT/B3LYP) method using 6-31G(d) basis sets in gaseous phase with the corresponding experimental data

Fig. 3 (a) ORTEP view of 4b with displacement ellipsoids drawn at 50%. (b) The packing diagram for 4b view along the a-axis.

Fig. 4 (a) ORTEP view of 4c with displacement ellipsoids drawn at 50%. (b) The packing diagram for 4c view along the c axis.

Fig. 5 (a) ORTEP view of 4d with displacement ellipsoids drawn at 50%. (b) The packing diagram for 4d view along the a-axis.

derived by XRD by using R^2 value is mentioned in Table S2 (included in ESI†) and graphed in Fig. 7. As theoretical calculations were performed in the gas phase and crystal data were conducted in the solid phase, calculated and experimental parameters are different.

3.4. Frontier molecular orbital (FMO) analysis

To investigate the stability and reactivity of 4(a–d), HOMO and LUMO orbital energy and the dipole moment of molecule 4(a–d) are calculated at the B3LYP method using 6-31G(d) basis set in a gaseous state. HOMO–LUMO representation of molecule 4(a–d) is shown in Fig. 8. As a smaller value of energy gap, $E_g = E_{LUMO}$ – E_{HOMO} , shows more reactivity and less stability, 2,4-dimethoxy-THPQ 4c with 3-methoxy substitution in phenyl ring has more reactivity and less stability than 4a, 4b and 4d. The order of E_{gap} : $4a > 4d > 4b > 4c$. Furthermore, the HOMO is mostly an electron donor, whereas the LUMO is primarily an electron acceptor. The

HOMO and LUMO energy values are associated with the ionization potential (IP) and electron affinities (EA), respectively. The higher the IP value, more energy it requires to remove an electron from the HOMO orbital. The lower the EA, more quickly the molecule undergoes electrophilic reactions. The values of IP and EA of 4(a–d) were calculated as: IP = $-E_{\text{HOMO}}$ and EA = $-E_{\text{LUMO}}$. 4c also have the lowest EA. So 4c more quickly molecule undergoes electrophilic reactions.

All calculated quantum parameters of 2,4-dimethoxy-THPQs 4(a–d) are shown in Table 4 and are related to FMO energy values. Koopman's theorem⁴⁸ defines these chemical parameters, which were derived using the B3LYP/6-31G(d) basis set in gaseous phase. Chemical hardness (η) and chemical softness (σ) are reciprocal of each other and calculated as: $\eta = (IP - EA)/2$ and $\sigma = 1/2\eta$. 4a has the highest hardness ($\eta = 2.277$ eV) *i.e.*, 4a would be less reactive and more stable. Whereas 4c have the highest softness ($\sigma = 0.225$ eV) *i.e.*, **4c** would be more reactive

Fig. 6 Correlation graph of theoretical and experimental parameters of 4a

and less stable. Electronegativity (χ) and its negative chemical potential (μ) is expressed as: $\chi = -(E_{\text{HOMO}} + E_{\text{LUMO}})/2$ and $\mu =$ $-\chi$. Highest electronegativity ($\chi = 3.590$ eV) of 2,4-dimethoxy-THPQ 4a show that 4a with 4-chloro substitution in phenyl ring has the highest reducing power. The electrophilicity index (ω) was defined by Parr et al.⁴⁹ as. "Specific property of a chemical species, the square of its electronegativity divided by its chemical hardness, be taken as defining its electrophilicity index." It is

Fig. 7 Correlation graph of theoretical and experimental parameters of 4(b–d) using B3LYP/6-31G(d) basis sets in the gaseous phase.

expressed as: $\omega = \chi^2/2\eta$. 2,4-Dimethoxy-THPQ 4a have highest
electronializative index $(\omega = 2.220 \text{ eV})$. So, 4a have the highest electrophilicity index ($\omega = 2.830$ eV). So, 4a have the highest ability to accept an electron. For the correlation of the electronic property of 2,4-dimethoxy-THPQs, the dipole moment (D) of the molecule is a key factor. If D value of 2,4-dimethoxy-THPQs is

large then the molecule has strong intermolecular interaction. The highest D value of 2,4-dimethoxy-THPQ 4d with 3-chloro substitution in the phenyl ring indicates that 4d has strong intermolecular interactions. And 4d can strongly bind to protein receptors.

Fig. 8 Molecular orbital diagrams and calculated HOMO–LUMO energy levels for $4(a-d)$.

ල

Table 4 Calculated chemical quantum parameters of dimethoxy-THPQs $4(a-d)^{a}$

4a	4b	4c	4d
			-5.858
-1.313	-1.173	-1.136	-1.306
4.555	4.544	4.445	4.552
5.868	5.717	5.586	5.858
1.313	1.173	1.136	1.306
2.277	2.272	2.222	2.276
0.220	0.220	0.225	0.220
3.590	3.445	3.359	3.582
-3.590	-3.445	-3.359	-3.582
2.830	2.612	2.538	2.818
4.219	2.511	3.916	4.668
	-5.868	-5.717	-5.581

 a B3LYP/6-31G(d) was used in a gaseous state.

3.5. Molecular electrostatic potential

It is well understood that any charge distribution of molecule in the surrounding space generates an electrostatic potential. Electrostatic potential map design allows us to visualise molecular surface particle zones with varying charges. The

molecular electrostatic potential (MEP) was found to be a very useful tool for analysing and evaluating molecular reactive behaviour. As a result, the advantage of the molecular electrostatic potential map includes the ability to visualize chemical interactions within the molecules and chemical bonds have the ability to predict how molecules interact with one another by exploiting the charge distribution on the surface of molecules. The MEP surface of 2,4-dimethoxy-THPQs 4(a–d) is calculated using B3LYP/6-31G(d) basis set in a gaseous state are shown in Fig. 9. The varied colours in the plots represent different MEP surface values. The dark blue colour represents the electrondeficient regions in $4(a-d)$, therefore it has the strongest attraction and readily undergoes nucleophilic attack whereas the deepest red colour represents the electron-rich regions in 4(a–d), therefore it has the strongest repulsion and readily undergoes electrophilic attack. The light blue colour represents a slightly electron-deficient zone in 2,4-dimethoxy-THPOs 4(ad), and the yellow colour represents a slightly electron-rich region in 2,4-dimethoxy-THPQs 4(a–d), and the green colour represents a neutral region in 2,4-dimethoxy-THPQs 4(a–d). This discrepancy in the MEP surface is due to the electron **PSC Advances**

Times Are a series are considered on 29 June 2022. The model on 2022. The model on 2022. The model of the property and commons are considered to the model of the state of the model of the model of the stat

Fig. 9 The molecular electrostatic potential surface of the molecule 4(a–d).

Fig. 10 HS mapped over d_{norm} and 2D fingerprint plots showing relative contributions (%) to the HS area for the several close intermolecular contacts in 2,4-dimethoxy-THPQ 4a.

density of the atom. The negative region 2,4-dimethoxy-THPQs 4(a–d) is related to carbonyl, methoxy group and Cl atom. The positive regions 2,4-dimethoxy-THPQs 4(a–d) are situated around the secondary amine group.

3.6. Hirshfeld surface analysis

The Hirshfeld surface (HS) analysis and their related 2D ngerprint plots were carried out with the help of the Crystal Explorer 17.5 programme. The percentage contribution of different hydrogen bonds in the crystal system and other intramolecular interactions to the total HS of 2,4-dimethoxy-THPQs 4(a–d) were analysed and demonstrated in a twodimensional fingerprint plot shown in Fig. 10-13. The cif (Crystallographic Information File) of molecule 4(a–d) was used for the analysis. Allocating de and di as an atom's external and internal distances to the HS and normalising these pairs of

Fig. 11 HS mapped over d_{norm} and 2D fingerprint plots showing relative contributions (%) to the HS area for the several close intermolecular contacts in 2,4-dimethoxy-THPQ 4b.

Fig. 12 HS mapped over d_{norm} and 2D fingerprint plots showing relative contributions (%) to the HS area for the several close intermolecular contacts in 2,4-dimethoxy-THPQ 4c.

values to the respective atoms' van der Waals (VdW) radii is called d_{norm} surface map value. Negative values are emphasized on the surface map as red spots when interactions are smaller than the sum of the VdW radii of the two atoms. Close interactions to the VdW radii limit are shown as white, whereas interactions greater than the sum of the VdW radii positive value are emphasised as blue on the surface. HS mapped over d_{norm} for 2,4-dimethoxy-THPQs $4(a-d)$ are shown in Fig. 10-13.

Fig. 13 HS mapped over d_{norm} and 2D fingerprint plots showing relative contributions (%) to the HS area for the several close intermolecular contacts in 2,4-dimethoxy-THPQ 4d.

Paper RSC Advances and the contract of the con

Fig. 10 shows the Hirshfeld d_{norm} surface mapped over the standard surface resolution for 2,4-dimethoxy-THPQ 4a, with a fixed colour scale of -0.3161 to 1.5878 a.u. The HS of 4a shows two intense red spots on the d_{norm} surface produced due to the strong interatomic interactions of $O \cdot H$ and $H \cdot \cdot O$, while four light red spots appear due to the weak interatomic interactions of O \cdots H–C, C–H \cdots O, N \cdots H–N and N–H \cdots N. As seen in Fig. 10, the most important interactions were revealed with $H \cdots H$ (51.0%) , O…H (7.1%) , H…O (6.5%) , Cl…H (7.5%) , H…Cl (3.1%) , N \cdots H (3.8%) and H \cdots N (3.2%) contact contributions to the HS.

Fig. 11 shows the Hirshfeld d_{norm} surface mapped over the standard surface resolution for 2,4-dimethoxy-THPQ 4b, with a fixed colour scale of -0.6042 to 1.4707 a.u. The HS of 4b shows two intense red spots on the d_{norm} surface produced due to the strong interatomic interactions of O \cdots H–N and N–H \cdots O. As seen in Fig. 11, the most important interactions were revealed with $H \cdots H$ (62.6%), O $\cdots H$ (8.2%), $H \cdots O$ (7.0%), C $\cdots C$ (1.8%), C H (6.2%), H H ^C (5.4%), N H ² (3.1%) and H H ² (2.1%) contact contributions to the HS.

Fig. 12 shows the Hirshfeld d_{norm} surface mapped over the standard surface resolution for molecule 4c, with a fixed colour scale of -0.5663 to 1.4051 a.u. The HS of 2,4-dimethoxy-THPQ 4c shows two intense red spots on the d_{norm} surface produced due to the strong interatomic interactions of $O \cdots H-N$ and N- $H\cdots$ O, while two light red spots appear due to the weak interatomic interactions of O \cdots H–C and C–H \cdots O. As seen in Fig. 12, the most important interactions were revealed with $H \cdots H$ (61.7%) , O \cdots H (9.3%) , H \cdots O (8.3%) , C \cdots C (1.6%) , C \cdots H (5.7%) , $H \cdots C$ (4.5%), $N \cdots H$ (2.6%) and $H \cdots N$ (2.4%) contact contributions to the HS.

Fig. 13 shows the Hirshfeld d_{norm} surface mapped over the standard surface resolution for 2,4-dimethoxy-THPQ 4d, with a fixed colour scale of -0.5775 to 1.4141 a.u. The 2,4-dimethoxy-THPQ of 4d shows two intense red spots on the d_{norm} surface produced due to the strong interatomic interactions of O \cdots H–N and N–H \cdots O, while two light red spots appear due to the weak interatomic interactions of O \cdots H–C and C–H \cdots O. As seen in Fig. 13, the most important interactions were revealed with $H \cdots H$ (53.1%), O \cdots H (7.5%), $H \cdots$ O (6.7%), C \cdots C (1.6%), C \cdots H (5.7%) , H \cdots C (4.7%) , N \cdots H (2.3%) , H \cdots N (2.0%) , Cl \cdots H (6.3%) and $H \cdots Cl$ (3.9%) contact contributions to the HS.

3.7. The Mulliken atomic charges analysis

Mulliken atomic charges give information about a molecule's electronic charge density concentration. In chemical reactions, atomic charges have been used to illustrate the processes of electronegativity equalisation and charge transfer. The charge distribution in the molecular system is used to measure parameters like the dipole moment, polarizability and chemical reactivity. Mulliken atomic charges of 2,4-dimethoxy-THPQs 4(a–d) are calculated at DFT/B3LYP method using 6-31G(d) basis set and it is represented in Table 5. The result shown in Table 5 indicates that all hydrogen atoms in 4(a–d) are positively charged. The H1N atom in 4(a–d) possesses a highly positive charge as compared to the other hydrogen atom because H1N

atom is attached to the most electronegative nitrogen atom. The N1 atom in 4(a–d) possesses a highly negative charge on nitrogen atom other than electronegative nitrogen and oxygen atom due to the formation of N-H \cdots N and N-H \cdots O hydrogen bond interaction. It is worthy to note that C10 in molecules 4a, 4b and 4d as well as C9 in molecule 4c possess a higher charge than other carbon atoms in all molecules because this carbon atom is bonded with two electronegative nitrogen atoms and

Fig. 14 Protein–ligand interaction diagram of 4a and 4b with M^{pro} of SARS-CoV-2.

one oxygen atom. We can also see that all nitrogen and oxygen atoms in molecule 4(a–d) are the most negatively charged, implying that these centres have the highest electron density and may easily interact with the positively charged region of the receptor.

3.8. Molecular docking study

After obtaining significant outcomes in Section 3.7, a molecular docking study of 4(a–d) was carried out to obtain binding conformation of 4(a–d) in the receptor. As discussed earlier, the continuing outbreak of the novel coronavirus (COVID-19) has claimed many lives of humans. So, docking studies have been applied on $4(a-d)$ with the main protease (M^{pro}) of SARS-CoV-2.

Results of the study show that 4(a–d) are effectively bound in the active site of M^{pro} . Values of binding energy (ΔG) and inhibition constant K_i for $4(a-d)$ show the potential of binding. 4b docked in M^{pro} with ΔG as -9.69 kcal mol⁻¹ and K_i as 79.24 nM. Chlorine substitution at para position decreased the strength of binding of 4a with ΔG as -8.97 kcal mol⁻¹ and K_i as 267.95 nM. Whereas chlorine substitution at the meta position increased the strength of binding of 4d with ΔG as -11.47 kcal mol⁻¹ and K_i as 3.91 nM. Methoxy substitution at the position also increased the strength of binding and 4c with ΔG as -10.71 kcal mol⁻¹ and K_i as 14.10 nM. Here, due to the highest dipole moment, 4d was more effectively docked in the active site of M^{pro} .

■ Protein ■ Ligand ○ Aromatic Ring Center … Hydrophobic Interaction - Hydrogen Bond … Tr-Stacking (parallel) - Halogen Bond

Fig. 15 Protein–ligand interaction diagram of 4c and 4d with MPro of SARS-CoV-2.

Protein–ligand interaction diagrams show nitrogen and oxygen atoms of 4(a–d) make a hydrogen bond with HIS41, TYR54, GLY143, GLU166 and GLN189 which match with prediction based on Mulliken atomic charges analysis (Fig. 14 and 15). 4b docked in M^{pro} with four H-bond [HIS41 (3.44 Å), TYR54 (3.49 Å), GLU166 (3.60 Å) and GLN189 (3.92 Å)] and one hydrophobic bond [MET165 (3.13 Å)]. 4b docked in M^{pro} with four H-bond [HIS41 (3.44 Å), TYR54 (3.49 Å), GLU166 (3.60 Å) and GLN189 (3.92 Å) and one hydrophobic interaction [MET165 (3.13 Å)]. 4a docked in M^{pro} with two H-bond [TYR54 (2.92 Å), GLU166 (3.50 Å)], three hydrophobic interactions [ASN142 (3.81 Å), MET165 (3.21 Å), GLN (189 Å)] and one π stacking [HIS41 (4.07) Å]. **4d** docked in M^{pro} similarly to 4a with an additional halogen bond with THR190 (3.90 Å) . 4c docked in M^{pro} with three H-bond [HIS41 (3.11 Å), GLY143 (3.90 Å), GLU166 (4.01 Å)] and one hydrophobic interaction [THR25 (3.89 \hat{A}]. Thus, pyrimido[4,5-*b*]quinolinone with chlorine at meta position efficiently binds to M^{pro} of SARS-CoV-2 and could be the potential lead molecule for an antiviral drug. Paper

Published on 24 denotes Articles. Articles. Article. They are the subset are the common of 44 denotes Articles. A second article is like the subset of the subset are the subset of the subset are the subset of the s

4. Conclusion

In this study, we synthesized novel 2,4-dimethoxy-THPQs 4(a–d) *via* multicomponent reaction. All four $4(a-d)$ were employed for SCXRD analysis. The structure of 4(a–d) was optimized based on DFT calculation by using the B3LYP method with 6-31G(d) basis set. The DFT-optimized structures were compared with the corresponding experimental data derived by SCXRD studies for the geometrical parameter. The R^2 values between experimental and theoretical bond lengths and bond angles revealed that the structure derived by single-crystal XRD agrees well with the optimal structure. FMO study indicates that 4c have the highest reactivity. Due to the highest dipole moment and additional halogen bond 4d have the highest binding affinity in the main protease (M^{pro}) of SARS-CoV-2. Also, the Hirshfeld surface analysis and fingerprint plots were represents the strong C-H \cdots O and N-H \cdots O hydrogen bonding formation.

Conflicts of interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful to the Department of Chemistry, Sardar Patel University for providing lab facilities and computational Studies. The authors are also grateful to UGC, New Delhi for UGC-CAS, phase-II sponsored under award letter no. F-540/5/ CAS-II/2018 (SAP-I) dated July 25, 2018, for providing chemical facilities. SGP is grateful to the UGC, New Delhi for a UGC-JRF (NTA Ref. No. 201610157514; dated: 01.04.2021).

References

1 K. Karrouchi, S. Fettach, M. M. Jotani, A. Sagaama, S. Radi, H. A. Ghabbour, Y. N. Mabkhot, B. Himmi, M. El Abbes Faouzi and N. Issaoui, J. Mol. Struct., 2020, 1221, 128800.

- 2 X. Dou, X. Li, L. Tao, C. Hu, L. Zhang, Q. He, B. Yang and Y. Hu, Med. Chem., 2013, 9, 581–587.
- 3 M. M. Ghorab, F. A. Ragab, H. I. Heiba, R. K. Arafa and E. M. El-Hossary, Eur. J. Med. Chem., 2010, 45, 3677–3684.
- 4 D. H. Boschelli, D. Powell, J. M. Golas and F. Boschelli, Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett., 2003, 13, 2977–2980.
- 5 G. Prasoona, B. Kishore and G. Brahmeshwari, Lett. Org. Chem., 2021, 18, 303–310.
- 6 A. B. A. El-Gazzar, A. S. Aly, M. E. A. Zaki and H. N. Hafez, Phosphorus, Sulfur Silicon Relat. Elem., 2008, 183, 2119–2138.
- 7 F. Usama, S. G. Rasha, Y. Ahmed and H. E.-G. Dina, Pharmacogn. J., 2021, 13(2), 550–562.
- 8 T. H. Althuis, P. F. Moore and H. J. Hess, J. Med. Chem., 1979, 22, 44–48.
- 9 J. Liu, R. Cao, M. Xu, X. Wang, H. Zhang, H. Hu, Y. Li, Z. Hu, W. Zhong and M. Wang, Cell Discovery, 2020, 6, 16.
- 10 S. Shah, S. Das, A. Jain, D. P. Misra and V. S. Negi, Int. J. Rheum. Dis., 2020, 23, 613–619.
- 11 J. Geleris, Y. Sun, J. Platt, J. Zucker, M. Baldwin, G. Hripcsak, A. Labella, D. K. Manson, C. Kubin, R. G. Barr, M. E. Sobieszczyk and N. W. Schluger, N. Engl. J. Med., 2020, 382, 2411–2418.
- 12 Merck News Release: Merck and Ridgeback's Molnupiravir Receives U.S. FDA Emergency Use Authorization for the Treatment of High-Risk Adults With Mild to Moderate COVID-19, [https://www.merck.com/news/merck-and-ridgebacks](https://www.merck.com/news/merck-and-ridgebacks-molnupiravir-receives-u-s-fda-emergency-use-authorization-for-the-treatment-of-high-risk-adults-with-mild-to-moderate-covid-19/)[molnupiravir-receives-u-s-fda-emergency-use-authorization](https://www.merck.com/news/merck-and-ridgebacks-molnupiravir-receives-u-s-fda-emergency-use-authorization-for-the-treatment-of-high-risk-adults-with-mild-to-moderate-covid-19/)[for-the-treatment-of-high-risk-adults-with-mild-to](https://www.merck.com/news/merck-and-ridgebacks-molnupiravir-receives-u-s-fda-emergency-use-authorization-for-the-treatment-of-high-risk-adults-with-mild-to-moderate-covid-19/)[moderate-covid-19/](https://www.merck.com/news/merck-and-ridgebacks-molnupiravir-receives-u-s-fda-emergency-use-authorization-for-the-treatment-of-high-risk-adults-with-mild-to-moderate-covid-19/).
- 13 GOV. UK, First oral antiviral for COVID-19 Lagevrio (molnupiravir), approved by MHRA, [https://www.gov.uk/](https://www.gov.uk/government/news/first-oral-antiviral-for-covid-19-lagevrio-molnupiravir-approved-by-mhra) government/news/fi[rst-oral-antiviral-for-covid-19-lagevrio](https://www.gov.uk/government/news/first-oral-antiviral-for-covid-19-lagevrio-molnupiravir-approved-by-mhra)[molnupiravir-approved-by-mhra](https://www.gov.uk/government/news/first-oral-antiviral-for-covid-19-lagevrio-molnupiravir-approved-by-mhra).
- 14 Sun Pharma, Sun Pharma receives DCGI approval for Molxvir® (Molnupiravir) in India, [https://sunpharma.com/wp-content/](https://sunpharma.com/wp-content/uploads/2021/12/Press-Release-Sun-Pharma-receives-DCGI-approval-for-Molxvir-Molnupiravir-in-India.pdf) [uploads/2021/12/Press-Release-Sun-Pharma-receives-DCGI](https://sunpharma.com/wp-content/uploads/2021/12/Press-Release-Sun-Pharma-receives-DCGI-approval-for-Molxvir-Molnupiravir-in-India.pdf)[approval-for-Molxvir-Molnupiravir-in-India.pdf](https://sunpharma.com/wp-content/uploads/2021/12/Press-Release-Sun-Pharma-receives-DCGI-approval-for-Molxvir-Molnupiravir-in-India.pdf).
- 15 R. K. Hussein and H. M. Elkhair, J. Mol. Struct., 2021, 1231, 129979.
- 16 G. Singh, M. Pawan, S. Diksha, S. Priyanka, A. Saini and A. Kaur, J. Mol. Struct., 2022, 1250, 131858.
- 17 A. Chhetri, S. Chettri, P. Rai, D. K. Mishra, B. Sinha and D. Brahman, J. Mol. Struct., 2021, 1225, 129230.
- 18 C. Shivanika, S. Deepak Kumar, V. Ragunathan, P. Tiwari, A. Sumitha and P. Brindha Devi, J. Biomol. Struct. Dyn., 2022, 40, 585–611.
- 19 M. Vijayakumar, B. Janani, P. Kannappan, S. Renganathan, S. Al-Ghamdi, M. Alsaidan, M. A. Abdelaziz, A. Peer Mohideen, M. Shahid and T. Ramesh, Saudi J. Biol. Sci., 2022, 29, 18–29.
- 20 O. Noureddine, N. Issaoui and O. Al-Dossary, J. King Saud Univ., Sci., 2021, 33, 101248.
- 21 A. S. Kazachenko, F. Akman, H. Abdelmoulahi, N. Issaoui, Y. N. Malyar, O. Al-Dossary and M. J. Wojcik, J. Mol. Liq., 2021, 342, 117475.
- 22 A. Sagaama, S. A. Brandan, T. Ben Issa and N. Issaoui, Heliyon, 2020, 6, e04640.
-
- 23 S. Gatfaoui, A. Sagaama, N. Issaoui, T. Roisnel and H. Marouani, Solid State Sci., 2020, 106, 106326.
- 24 H. A. Younus, M. Al-Rashida, A. Hameed, M. Uroos, U. Salar, S. Rana and K. M. Khan, Expert Opin. Ther. Pat., 2021, 31, 267–289.
- 25 A. Zare, N. Lotfifar and M. Dianat, J. Mol. Struct., 2020, 1211, 128030.
- 26 R. Singha, P. Basak, M. Bhattacharya and P. Ghosh, ChemistrySelect, 2020, 5, 6514–6525.
- 27 H. Sepehrmansouri, M. Zarei, M. A. Zolfigol, A. R. Moosavi-Zare, S. Rostamnia and S. Moradi, Mol. Catal., 2020, 481, 110303.
- 28 A. Gholami, M. Mokhtary and M. Nikpassand, Dyes Pigm., 2020, 180, 108453.
- 29 Z. Karami and M. M. Khodaei, Res. Chem. Intermed., 2022, 48, 1773–1792.
- 30 A. Zare and M. Dianat, Z. Naturforsch. B, 2021, 76, 85–90.
- 31 S. Esmaili, A. R. Moosavi-Zare and A. Khazaei, RSC Adv., 2022, 12, 5386–5394.
- 32 Y. M. Elkholy and M. A. Morsy, Molecules, 2006, 11(11), 890– 903.
- 33 A. A. Harutyunyan, S. G. Israyelyan, H. A. Panosyan, M. R. Hakobyan and T. R. Hovsepyan, Russ. J. Org. Chem., 2019, 55, 1896–1901.
- 34 J. Quiroga, J. Trilleras, B. Insuasty, R. Abonía, M. Nogueras, A. Marchal and J. Cobo, Tetrahedron Lett., 2010, 51, 1107– 1109.
- 35 H. M. Diab, M. E. Salem, I. A. Abdelhamid and A. H. M. Elwahy, Monatsh. Chem., 2021, 152, 967–976.
- 36 A. Upadhyay, L. K. Sharma, V. K. Singh and R. K. P. Singh, Tetrahedron Lett., 2016, 57, 5599–5604.
- 37 D. M. Patel, H. J. Patel, J. M. Padrón and H. M. Patel, RSC Adv., 2020, 10, 19600–19609.
- 38 M. G. Sharma, J. Pandya, D. M. Patel, R. M. Vala, V. Ramkumar, R. Subramanian, V. K. Gupta, R. L. Gardas, A. Dhanasekaran and H. M. Patel, Polycyclic Aromat. Compd., 2021, 41, 1495–1505.
- 39 R. M. Vala, M. G. Sharma, D. M. Patel, A. Puerta, J. M. Padrón, V. Ramkumar, R. L. Gardas and H. M. Patel, Arch. Pharm., 2021, 354, 2000466.
- 40 D. M. Patel and H. M. Patel, ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng., 2019, 7, 18667–18676.
- 41 D. M. Patel, R. M. Vala, M. G. Sharma, D. P. Rajani and H. M. Patel, ChemistrySelect, 2019, 4, 1031–1041.
- 42 H. M. Patel, Green Sustainable Chem., 2015, 5, 137.
- 43 M. J. Frisch, G. W. Trucks, H. B. Schlegel, G. E. Scuseria, M. A. Robb, J. R. Cheeseman, G. Scalmani, V. Barone, G. A. Petersson, H. Nakatsuji, X. Li, M. Caricato, A. V. Marenich, J. Bloino, B. G. Janesko, R. Gomperts, B. Mennucci, H. P. Hratchian, J. V. Ortiz, A. F. Izmaylov, J. L. Sonnenberg, D. Williams-Young, F. Ding, F. Lipparini, F. Egidi, J. Goings, B. Peng, A. Petrone, T. Henderson, D. Ranasinghe, V. G. Zakrzewski, J. Gao, N. Rega, G. Zheng, W. Liang, M. Hada, M. Ehara, K. Toyota, R. Fukuda, J. Hasegawa, M. Ishida, T. Nakajima, Y. Honda, O. Kitao, H. Nakai, T. Vreven, K. Throssell, J. A. Montgomery Jr, J. E. Peralta, F. Ogliaro, M. J. Bearpark, J. J. Heyd, E. N. Brothers, K. N. Kudin, V. N. Staroverov, T. A. Keith, R. Kobayashi, J. Normand, K. Raghavachari, A. P. Rendell, J. C. Burant, S. S. Iyengar, J. Tomasi, M. Cossi, J. M. Millam, M. Klene, C. Adamo, R. Cammi, J. W. Ochterski, R. L. Martin, K. Morokuma, O. Farkas, J. B. Foresman and D. J. Fox, Gaussian 16 Revision C.01, Gaussian, Inc., Wallingford CT, 2016. PSC Advances Articles. Articles. Published on 2022. Article is licensed under a creative Commons and Access Article is licensed under a creative Commons Article is licensed under a creative Commons Article is licensed unde
	- 44 D. Roy, T. A. Keith and J. M. Millam, GaussView, Version 6, Semichem Inc., Shawnee Mission, KS, 2016.
	- 45 P. R. Spackman, M. J. Turner, J. J. McKinnon, S. K. Wolff, D. J. Grimwood, D. Jayatilaka and M. A. Spackman, J. Appl. Crystallogr., 2021, 54, 1006–1011.
	- 46 V. Tandon, R. M. Vala, A. Chen, R. L. Sah, H. M. Patel, M. C. Pirrung and S. Banerjee, Biosci. Rep., 2022, 42, BSR20212721.
	- 47 M. F. Adasme, K. L. Linnemann, S. N. Bolz, F. Kaiser, S. Salentin, V. J. Haupt and M. Schroeder, Nucleic Acids Res., 2021, 49, W530–W534.
	- 48 I. Tankov, R. Yankova, S. Genieva, M. Mitkova and D. Stratiev, J. Mol. Struct., 2017, 1139, 400–406.
	- 49 R. G. Parr, L. v. Szentpály and S. Liu, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1999, 121, 1922–1924.