

RESEARCH ARTICLE

View Article Online
View Journal | View IssueCite this: *Org. Chem. Front.*, 2022, 9, 4466

Received 23rd May 2022,

Accepted 6th July 2022

DOI: 10.1039/d2qo00840h

rsc.li/frontiers-organic

Brønsted acid catalyzed enantioselective addition of hydrazones to 3-indolylmethanols†

Steffen Mader,^a Modhu Sudan Maji,^b Iuliana Atodiresei^a and Magnus Rueping^b *^{a,c}

The organocatalytic asymmetric addition of hydrazones to indole derivatives in the presence of chiral Brønsted acids is reported. A large variety of substrates are tolerated and the products are obtained in good yields and with excellent enantioselectivities. This metal-free reaction provides a convenient route to enantiopure β -substituted tryptophan derivatives in a concise fashion.

Introduction

In the last two decades, organocatalysis has attracted increasing interest from the chemical community.¹ The scientific endeavors in this research area led to a tremendous growth and organocatalysis has become a versatile tool to control the stereoselectivity and a complementary approach to metal catalysis.² However, emphasis has mainly been given to a narrow group of nucleophiles, including carbonyls, nitroalkanes, CH-acidic-, electron rich aromatic and heteroaromatic compounds due to their defined reactivity, easy synthesis and availability. Other versatile functional groups and molecules are often neglected. Hydrazones, for example, belong to the most versatile groups in terms of reactivity with only a few reported applications in asymmetric organocatalysis so far.³ Depending on the substituents on the nitrogen and the azomethine carbon atom, hydrazones can either act as electrophile or nucleophilic acyl anion equivalents in Umpolung reactions (Scheme 1). There are also reports describing the nucleophilic addition of the nitrogen atom to electrophiles.⁴ Thus, in order to control the ambident nucleophilicity of hydrazones and to avoid the formation of complex product mixtures, the substituents must be selected carefully. In this context, Fernández *et al.* reported the use of donor-acceptor-substituted hydrazones for the 1,4-addition to α,β -unsaturated aldehydes.^{3f} In this protocol, the combination of an EWG on the azomethine carbon atom and

a bulky EDG on the nitrogen atom enhances the nucleophilicity of the carbon atom as illustrated in structure **B**, thus preventing alkylation of the nitrogen (Scheme 1). In addition, the presence of electron withdrawing groups supports the Brønsted-acid-catalyzed [1,3]-hydride shift under mild conditions. The produced hydrazones, which can be considered as masked carbonyl equivalents, can then be further reacted.

Recently, the addition of nucleophiles to *in situ* generated indolyl iminium ions **D** has been reported (Scheme 2).⁵ It was shown that 3-indolylmethanols **C** easily dehydrate in the presence of Brønsted acids to furnish stabilized iminium ions **D**.⁶ In the case of chiral phosphoric acids, tight chiral contact ion pairs are formed, so that the subsequent vinylogous Mannich-type-reaction occurs in an asymmetric fashion.

Following a similar approach *via* a tight chiral contact ion pair intermediate, we anticipated that acceptor-donor substituted hydrazones would react with indolyl iminium ions to generate indolyl hydrazones which can be regarded as masked tryptophan derivatives.

Over the last few years, the replacement of amino acids in peptides with non-natural analogues has gained a major inter-


^aInstitute of Organic Chemistry, RWTH Aachen University, Landoltweg 1, 52074 Aachen, Germany

^bDepartment of Chemistry, Indian Institute of Technology Kharagpur, Kharagpur 721302, India

^cKing Abdullah University of Science and Technology (KAUST), KAUST Catalysis Center (KCC), Thuwal 23955-6900, Saudi Arabia.


E-mail: Magnus.Rueping@kaust.edu.sa

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1039/d2qo00840h>



Scheme 1 General reactivity of hydrazones.





Scheme 2 Reactivity of 3-indolylmethanols.

est. Simple β -substituted analogues show great therapeutic impact in numerous biologically active peptides,⁷ and they can be used to investigate peptide-receptor interactions.⁸ However, the enantioselective synthesis of these analogues is still difficult to achieve. We herewith present a highly enantioselective method to access artificial tryptophan derivatives *via* a new asymmetric Brønsted acid catalyzed addition of hydrazones to 3-indolylmethanols.

Results and discussion


Initially we exploited the influence of different solvents for the synthesis of the targeted tryptophan derivatives. For this purpose, alcohol **1a** was reacted with hydrazone **2a** at 0 °C together with 5 mol% of Brønsted-acid **3a** (Table 1). To our

Table 1 Optimization of the reaction conditions – impact of solvent, temperature and reaction time on the reaction outcome

Entry ^a	Solvent	T (°C)	Time (d)	Yield ^b (%)	ee ^c (%)
1	CHCl ₃	0	3	44	32
2	DCM	0	3	15	22
3	DCE	0	3	34	21
4 ^d	THF	rt	3	49	37
5 ^d	CH ₃ CN	rt	5	20	17
6	Toluene	0	3	78	57
7	Ph-CF ₃	0	3	39	36
8 ^d	Benzene	rt	2	68	34
9	<i>o</i> -Xylene	0	1	54	56
10	Mesitylene	0	1	63	58
11 ^d	<i>n</i> -Hexane	rt	5	—	—

^a Reaction conditions: **1a** (0.13 mmol), **2a** (0.10 mmol), **3a** (5 mol%), solvent (2 ml). ^b Yield of isolated **4a** after full conversion of the starting material. ^c Determined by HPLC or SFC on chiral stationary phases. ^d Reactions were conducted at rt as no significant product formation was observed at 0 °C.

Table 2 Screening of different catalysts and reaction conditions



Entry ^a	Catalyst	T (°C)	Time (d)	Yield ^b (%)	ee ^c (%)
1	3a	0	3	78	57
2	3b	0	2	59	46
3	3c	0	2	40	44
4	3d	0	2	26	51
5	3e	0	2	32	19
6	3f	0	2	44	41
7	3g	0	7	39	36
8	3h	0	2	68	65
9	3i	0	2	68	65
10	3j	0	7	15	19
11	3k	0	7	10	34
12 ^d	3l	0	3	15	44
13	3f	-25	7	40	63
14	3f	-40	7	50	70
15	3h	-20	2	78	68
16 ^e	3h	0	2	73	64

^a Reaction conditions: **1a** (0.13 mmol), **2a** (0.10 mmol), **3** (5 mol%), toluene (2 ml). ^b Yield of isolated **4a** after full conversion of the starting material. ^c Determined by HPLC or SFC on chiral stationary phases. ^d Triflamide catalyst **3l** with NHTf instead of OH. ^e Reaction with addition of 4 Å molecular sieves.

delight full conversion of the starting material was achieved and the desired product **4a** was isolated in moderate yield and enantioselectivity. While chlorinated and polar solvents gave inferior or comparable results (entries 2–5), switching to


Table 3 Evaluation of different hydrazones

Entry ^{a,b}	2	R	Time (d)	Yield ^c (%)	ee ^d (%)
1	2a	Ph	2	68	65
2	2b	4-MeO-Ph	1	82	70
3	2c	4-Cl-Ph	2	20	60
4	2d	Me	1	41	29
5	2e	<i>t</i> Bu	1	41	77
6 ^e	2e	<i>t</i> Bu	2	96	93

^a Reaction conditions: **1a** (0.13 mmol), **2** (0.10 mmol), **3h** (5 mol%), toluene (2 ml), 0 °C. ^b **4a**: R = Ph; **5a**: R = 4-MeO-Ph; **6a**: R = 4-Cl-Ph; **7a**: R = Me; **8a**: R = *t*Bu. ^c Yield of isolated **4a–8a** after full conversion of the starting material. ^d Determined by HPLC or SFC on chiral stationary phases. ^e Reaction at -30 °C.



unpolar benzene derivatives seemed more promising (entries 6–10). In the case of toluene, **4a** was isolated with 78% yield and 57% ee.



Scheme 3 Substrate scope of the organocatalytic enantioselective addition of hydrazones to 3-indolylmethanols. Reaction conditions: 0.13 mmol **1**, 0.10 mmol **2e**, 5 mol% **3h**, 2 ml toluene, $-30\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$, 48 h. The enantiomeric excess was determined by HPLC or SFC on chiral stationary phases. ^a1 mmol **1**. ^b72 h.

Thus, toluene was selected for further optimization studies. Interestingly, no conversion occurred in hexane due to the insufficient solubility of the reactants and catalyst (entry 11).

After solvent optimization, we turned our attention to different Brønsted-acid catalysts (Table 2). It turned out, that the steric bulk introduced at the backbone of the BINOL moiety has a major impact on the reactivity and selectivity. In this context, only Brønsted-acids **3h** and **3i** performed better than **3a** in terms of yields and enantioselectivity. As catalyst **3i** led to the same results as **3h**, we decided to use **3h** due to its easier synthesis. Interestingly, unlike anticipated, the more acidic triflamide catalyst **3l** gave inferior results (entry 12). Once the optimal catalyst was found, we investigated the effect of temperature on the reaction outcome. We found that by decreasing the temperature, improved enantioselectivities were obtained. At $-20\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ product **4a** was isolated with 78% yield and 68% ee (entry 15). In addition, the presence of 4 Å molecular sieves did not have any beneficial effect on the outcome of the reaction (entry 16).

Having established the best conditions with respect to solvent, catalyst and temperature, we tried to apply different hydrazones to further improve the enantiomeric excess (Table 3). The electron donating methoxy group (entry 2) led to an improved yield and ee-value, whereas the presence of an electron withdrawing group (entry 3) led to the opposite result, as expected. Even better results were achieved by applying hydrazones with a *tert*-butyl substituent (entry 5). By lowering the reaction temperature to $-30\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ product **8a** was isolated with excellent yield (96%) and a very good enantiomeric excess (93% ee).

With the optimal reaction conditions in hand, we assessed the substrate scope of the hydrazone addition (Scheme 3). We achieved very good results with substrates bearing EDG (**8b-g**) with yields up to 99% and enantioselectivity values up to 97%. Furthermore, the products were formed with good yields and enantioselectivities with EWG groups as trifluoromethyl (**8h**) and fluorine (**8k**) at the phenyl ring, as well as for bulky groups (**8i-j**). The protocol works also well for non-aromatic substituents with 94% yield and 93% ee for a cyclohexyl substituent (**8k**) and also with an acetylene substituent (**8m**) whereas the yield dropped to 22% in this case.

In addition, it is also possible to synthesize backbone-substituted tryptophan derivatives in a high enantiomeric purity. Different substituents at the indole 2-position as well as substituents in the 5- and 7-position were tolerated, and the products **8n-r** were obtained with good yields and with excellent enantiomeric excess (up to 98%). The absolute configuration of product **8i** was determined as *R* by CD spectroscopy.

Conclusions

In conclusion we have developed a general metal-free highly enantioselective method which allows the synthesis of artificial tryptophan derivatives. The chiral phosphoric acid **3h** enables the addition of donor-substituted hydrazones to



3-indolylmethanols in excellent yields and with excellent enantioselectivity values.

Author contributions

M.R., S.M., and M.S.M. conceived and designed the project. S.M., M.S.M. and I.A. performed the experiments and analysis.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

The authors acknowledge generous support by KAUST and S. M. thanks the DFG (Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft) for financial support through the International Research TrainingGroup SeleCa (IRTG 1628).

Notes and references

- For selected recent reviews, see: (a) H. Pellissier, *Recent Developments in Asymmetric Organocatalysis*, RSC Cambridge, UK, 2010; (b) *Science of Synthesis, Asymmetric Organocatalysis*, ed. B. List and K. Maruoka, Thieme, Stuttgart, Germany, 2012; (c) J. Aleman and S. Cabrera, Applications of asymmetric organocatalysis in medicinal chemistry, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2013, **42**, 774–793; (d) *Stereoselective Organocatalysis: Bond Formation Methodologies and Activation Modes*, ed. R. Rios Torres, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New Jersey, 1st edn, 2013; (e) I. Atodiresei, C. Vila and M. Rueping, Asymmetric Organocatalysis in Continuous Flow: Opportunities for Impacting Industrial Catalysis, *ACS Catal.*, 2015, **5**, 1972–1985; (f) G. Zhan, W. Du and Y.-C. Chen, Switchable divergent asymmetric synthesis via organocatalysis, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2017, **46**, 1675–1692; (g) T. Chanda and J. C.-G. Zhao, Recent Progress in Organocatalytic Asymmetric Domino Transformations, *Adv. Synth. Catal.*, 2018, **360**, 2–79; (h) D. L. Hughes, Asymmetric Organocatalysis in Drug Development—Highlights of Recent Patent Literature, *Org. Process Res. Dev.*, 2018, **22**, 574–584; (i) S.-H. Xiang and B. Tan, Advances in asymmetric organocatalysis over the last 10 years, *Nat. Commun.*, 2020, **11**, 3786; (j) B. Han, X.-H. He, Y.-Q. Liu, G. He, C. Peng and J.-L. Li, Asymmetric organocatalysis: an enabling technology for medicinal chemistry, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2021, **50**, 1522–1586; (k) M. H. Aukland and B. List, Organocatalysis emerging as a technology, *Pure Appl. Chem.*, 2021, **93**, 1371–1381.
- For selected reviews on chiral phosphoric acids, see: (a) T. Akiyama, Stronger Brønsted Acids, *Chem. Rev.*, 2007, **107**, 5744–5758; (b) M. Terada, Binaphthol-derived phosphoric acid as a versatile catalyst for enantioselective carbon-carbon bond forming reactions, *Chem. Commun.*, 2008, 4097–4112; (c) D. Kampen, C. M. Reisinger and B. List, Chiral Brønsted acids for asymmetric organocatalysis, *Top. Curr. Chem.*, 2009, **291**, 395–456; (d) M. Rueping and A. P. Antonchick, Catalytic asymmetric aminoallylation of aldehydes: A catalytic enantioselective aza-cope rearrangement, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2008, **47**, 10090–10093; (e) A. Zamfir, S. Schenker, M. Freund and S. B. Tsogoeva, Chiral BINOL-derived phosphoric acids: privileged Brønsted acid organocatalysts for C–C bond formation reactions, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2010, **8**, 5262–5276; (f) M. Rueping, A. Kuenkel and I. Atodiresei, Chiral Brønsted acids in enantioselective carbonyl activations – activation modes and applications, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2011, **40**, 4539–4549; (g) M. Rueping, B. J. Nachtheim, R. M. Koenigs and W. Ieawsuwan, Synthesis and structural aspects of N-triflylphosphoramides and their calcium salts- highly acidic and effective Brønsted acids, *Chem. – Eur. J.*, 2010, **16**, 13116–13126; (h) M. Terada, Enantioselective Carbon-Carbon Bond Forming Reactions Catalyzed by Chiral Phosphoric Acid Catalysts, *Curr. Org. Chem.*, 2011, **15**, 2227–2256; (i) M. Rueping, B. J. Nachtsheim, W. Ieawsuwan and I. Atodiresei, Modulating the acidity: highly acidic Brønsted acids in asymmetric catalysis, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2011, **50**, 6706–6720; (j) J. Yu, F. Shi and L. Z. Gong, Brønsted-Acid-Catalyzed Asymmetric Multicomponent Reactions for the Facile Synthesis of Highly Enantioenriched Structurally Diverse Nitrogenous Heterocycles, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2011, **44**, 1156–1171; (k) D. Parmar, E. Sugiono, S. Raja and M. Rueping, Complete Field Guide to Asymmetric BINOL-Phosphate Derived Brønsted Acid and Metal Catalysis: History and Classification by Mode of Activation; Brønsted Acidity, Hydrogen Bonding, Ion Pairing, and Metal Phosphates, *Chem. Rev.*, 2014, **114**, 9047–9153; (l) H. Wu, Y.-P. He and F. Shi, Recent Advances in Chiral Phosphoric Acid Catalyzed Asymmetric Reactions for the Synthesis of Enantiopure Indole Derivatives, *Synthesis*, 2015, 1990–2016; (m) T. Akiyama and K. Mori, Stronger Brønsted acids: Recent progress, *Chem. Rev.*, 2015, **115**, 9277–9306; (n) A. Rahman and X. Lin, Development and application of chiral spirocyclic phosphoric acids in asymmetric catalysis, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2018, **16**, 4753–4777; (o) J. Merad, C. Lalli, G. Bernadat, J. Maury and G. Masson, Enantioselective Brønsted Acid Catalysis as a Tool for the Synthesis of Natural Products and Pharmaceuticals, *Chem. – Eur. J.*, 2018, **24**, 3925–2943.
- For a review, see: (a) M. de Gracia Retamosa, E. Matador, D. Monge, J. M. Lassaletta and R. Fernández, Hydrazones as Singular Reagents in Asymmetric Organocatalysis, *Chem. – Eur. J.*, 2016, **22**, 13430–13445. For selected examples, see: (b) M. P. Sibi, L. M. Stanley and C. P. Jasperse, An Entry to a Chiral Dihydropyrazole Scaffold: Enantioselective [3 + 2] Cycloaddition of Nitrile Imines, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2005, **127**, 8276–8277; (c) M. Rueping, E. Sugiono, T. Theissmann, A. Kuenkel, A. Köckritz, A. Pews-Davtyan, N. Nemati and M. Beller, An Enantioselective Chiral Brønsted Acid Catalyzed Imino–Azaenamine Reaction, *Org. Lett.*, 2007, **9**,



- 1065–1068; (d) R. P. Herrera, D. Monge, E. Martín-Zamora, R. Fernández and J. M. Lassaletta, Organocatalytic Conjugate Addition of Formaldehyde *N,N*-Dialkylhydrazones to β,γ -Unsaturated α -Keto Esters, *Org. Lett.*, 2007, **9**, 3303–3306; (e) A. Zamfir and S. B. Tsogoeva, Asymmetric Hydrocyanation of Hydrazones Catalyzed by in Situ Formed *O*-Silylated BINOL-Phosphate: A Convenient Access to Versatile α -Hydrazino Acids, *Org. Lett.*, 2010, **12**, 188–191; (f) M. Fernández, U. Uria, J. L. Vicario, E. Reyes and L. Carrillo, Enantioselective Conjugate Addition of Donor–Acceptor Hydrazones to α,β -Unsaturated Aldehydes through Formal Diaza–Ene Reaction: Access to 1,4-Dicarbonyl Compounds, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2012, **134**, 11872–11875; (g) A. Crespo-Peña, D. Monge, E. Martín-Zamora, E. Álvarez, R. Fernández and J. M. Lassaletta, Asymmetric Formal Carbonyl-Ene Reactions of Formaldehyde *tert*-Butyl Hydrazone with α -Keto Esters: Dual Activation by Bis-urea Catalysts, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2012, **134**, 12912–12915; (h) X. Hong, H. B. Kucuk, M. S. Maji, Y.-F. Yang, M. Rueping and K. N. Houk, Mechanism and selectivity of *N*-triflylphosphoramidate catalyzed (3+ +2) Cycloaddition between hydrazones and alkenes, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2014, **136**, 13769–13780; (i) Y. Wang, Q. Wang and J. Zhu, Organocatalytic Nucleophilic Addition of Hydrazones to Imines: Synthesis of Enantioenriched Vicinal Diamines, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2017, **56**, 5612–5615; (j) E. Matador, M. de Gracia Retamosa, D. Monge, J. Iglesias-Sigüenza, R. Fernández and J. M. Lassaletta, Bifunctional Squaramide Organocatalysts for the Asymmetric Addition of Formaldehyde *tert*-Butylhydrazone to Simple Aldehydes, *Chem. – Eur. J.*, 2018, **24**, 6854–6860; (k) N. Zabaleta, U. Uria, E. Reyes, L. Carrillo and J. L. Vicario, Ion-pairing catalysis in the enantioselective addition of hydrazones to *N*-acyldihydropyrrole derivatives, *Chem. Commun.*, 2018, **54**, 8905–8908; (l) E. Matador, M. de Gracia Retamosa, A. Jiménez-Sánchez, D. Monge, R. Fernández and J. M. Lassaletta, Asymmetric Organocatalytic Synthesis of Fluorinated β -Hydroxy Diazenes, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.*, 2019, 130–138; (m) E. Matador, M. de Gracia Retamosa, D. Monge, R. Fernandez and J. M. Lassaletta, Formaldehyde *tert*-butyl hydrazone as a formyl anion equivalent: asymmetric addition to carbonyl compounds, *Chem. Commun.*, 2020, **56**, 9256–9267; (n) E. Matador, J. Iglesias-Sigüenza, D. Monge, P. Merino, R. Fernández and J. M. Lassaletta, Enantio- and Diastereoselective Nucleophilic Addition of *N-tert*-Butylhydrazones to Isoquinolinium Ions through Anion-Binding Catalysis, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2021, **60**, 5096–5101; (o) M. Gómez-Martínez, M. del Carmen Pérez-Aguilar, D. G. Piekarski, C. G. Daniliuc and O. García Mancheño, *N,N*-Dialkylhydrazones as Versatile Umpolung Reagents in Enantioselective Anion-Binding Catalysis, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2021, **60**, 5102–5107.
- 4 W. G. Kenyon and C. R. Hauser, Alkylations of Ketone and Aldehyde Phenylhydrazones by Means of Alkali Amides in Liquid Ammonia to Form *N*-Alkyl Derivatives, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1965, **30**, 292–293.
- 5 For recent reviews, see: (a) L. Wang, Y. Chen and J. Xiao, Alkylideneindoleninium Ions and Alkylideneindolenines: Key Intermediates for the Asymmetric Synthesis of 3-Indolyl Derivatives, *Asian J. Org. Chem.*, 2014, **3**, 1036–1052; (b) G.-J. Mei and F. Shi, Indolylmethanols as Reactants in Catalytic Asymmetric Reactions, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2017, **82**, 7695–7707; (c) Y.-C. Zhang, F. Jiang and F. Shi, Organocatalytic Asymmetric Synthesis of Indole-Based Chiral Heterocycles: Strategies, Reactions, and Outreach, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2020, **53**, 425–446. For early examples, see: (d) M. Rueping, B. J. Nachtsheim, S. A. Moreth and M. Bolte, Asymmetric Brønsted Acid Catalysis: Enantioselective Nucleophilic Substitutions and 1,4-Additions, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2008, **47**, 593–596; (e) F.-L. Sun, M. Zeng, Q. Gu and S.-L. You, Enantioselective Synthesis of Fluorene Derivatives by Chiral Phosphoric Acid Catalyzed Tandem Double Friedel–Crafts Reaction, *Chem. – Eur. J.*, 2009, **15**, 8709–8712; (f) Q.-X. Guo, Y.-G. Peng, J.-W. Zhang, L. Song, Z. Feng and L.-Z. Gong, Highly Enantioselective Alkylation Reaction of Enamides by Brønsted-Acid Catalysis, *Org. Lett.*, 2009, **11**, 4620–4623; (g) M. Rueping and B. J. Nachtsheim, Asymmetric Brønsted acid catalyzed nucleophilic addition to in situ generated chiral *N*-acyliminium ions, *Synlett*, 2010, 119–122.
- 6 (a) N. A. Kogan and G. N. Kul'bitskii, Structure of indolyl-phenylmethyl cations, *Chem. Heterocycl. Compd.*, 1978, **14**, 46–48; (b) M. Fleischmann, D. Drettwan, E. Sugiono, M. Rueping and R. M. Gschwind, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2011, **50**, 6364–6369; (c) H. Kim, E. Sugiono, N. Yuki, M. Wagner, M. Bonn, M. Rueping and J. Hunger, Role of Ion-Pairs in Brønsted Acid Catalysis, *ACS Catal.*, 2015, **5**, 6630–6633.
- 7 (a) J. C. Sheehan, D. Mania, S. Nakamura, J. A. Stock and K. Maeda, The structure of telomycin, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1968, **90**, 462–470; (b) T. W. Doyle, S. M. Balitz, R. E. Grulich and D. E. Nettleton, Structure determination of lavendamyacin- a new antitumor antibiotic from streptomyces lavendulae, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1981, **22**, 4595–4598; (c) K. Yoshikawa, S. Tao and S. Arihara, Stephanotic Acid, a Novel Cyclic Pentapeptide from the Stem of *Stephanotis floribunda*, *J. Nat. Prod.*, 2000, **63**, 540–542; (d) E. Vedejs and C. Kongkittigam, A Total Synthesis of (–)-Hemiasterlin Using *N*-Bts Methodology, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2001, **66**, 7355–7364; (e) C. Chevallier, A. D. Richardson, M. C. Edler, E. Hamel, M. K. Harper and C. M. Ireland, A New Cytotoxic and Tubulin-Interactive Milnamide Derivative from a Marine Sponge *Cymbastela* sp., *Org. Lett.*, 2003, **5**, 3737–3739; (f) C. Liu, M. N. Masuno, J. B. MacMillan and T. F. Molinski, Enantioselective total synthesis of (+)-milnamide A and evidence of its autoxidation to (+)-milnamide D, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2004, **43**, 5951–5954; (g) R. N. Sonnenschein, J. J. Farias, K. Tenney, S. L. Mooberry, E. Lobkovsky, J. Clardy and P. Crews, A Further Study of the Cytotoxic Constituents of a Milnamide-Producing Sponge, *Org. Lett.*, 2004, **6**, 779–782; (h) A. Zask, J. Kaplan, S. Musto and F. Loganzo, Hybrids of the Hemiasterlin Analogue Taltobulin and the Dolastatins



- Are Potent Antimicrotubule Agents, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2005, **127**, 17667–17671; (i) L. He, L. Yang and S. L. Castle, Synthesis of the Celogentin C Right-Hand Ring, *Org. Lett.*, 2006, **8**, 1165–1168; (j) D. J. Bentley, A. M. Z. Slawin and C. J. Moody, Total Synthesis of Stephanotic Acid Methyl Ester, *Org. Lett.*, 2006, **8**, 1975–1978; (k) Y. Feng and G. Chen, Total Synthesis of Celogentin C by Stereoselective C-H Activation, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2010, **49**, 958–961; (l) B. Ma, B. Banerjee, D. N. Litvinov, L. He and S. L. Castle, Total Synthesis of the Antimitotic Bicyclic Peptide Celogentin C, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2010, **132**, 1159–1171; (m) W. Hu, F. Zhang, Z. Xu, Q. Liu, Y. Cui and Y. Jia, Stereocontrolled and Efficient Total Synthesis of (–)-Stephanotic Acid Methyl Ester and (–)-Celogentin C, *Org. Lett.*, 2010, **12**, 956–959.
- 8 (a) Z. Huang, Y.-B. He, K. Raynor, M. Tallent, T. Reisine and M. Goodman, Main chain and side chain chiral methylated somatostatin analogs: syntheses and conformational analyses, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1992, **114**, 9390–9401; (b) C. Haskell-Luevano, L. W. Boteju, H. Miwa, C. Dickinson, I. Gantz, T. Yamada, M. E. Hadley and V. J. Hruby, Topographical modification of melanotropin peptide analogs with β -methyltryptophan isomers at position 9 leads to differential potencies and prolonged biological activities, *J. Chem. Med.*, 1995, **38**, 4720–4729; (c) C. Haskell-Luevano, K. Toth, L. Boteju, C. Job, A. M. De L. Castrucci, M. E. Hadley and V. J. Hruby, beta-Methylation of the Phe7 and Trp9 melanotropin side chain pharmacophores affects ligand-receptor interactions and prolonged biological activity, *J. Chem. Med.*, 1997, **40**, 2740–2749; (d) G. G. Bonner, P. Davis, D. Stropova, S. Edsall, H. I. Yamamura, F. Porreca and V. J. Hruby, Opiate aromatic pharmacophore structure-activity relationships in CTAP analogues determined by topographical bias, two-dimensional NMR, and biological activity assays, *J. Med. Chem.*, 2000, **43**, 569–580.

