Chem Soc Rev

TUTORIAL REVIEW

Cite this: *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2022, 51, 9882

Nanoparticles for super-resolution microscopy: intracellular delivery and molecular targeting

Sumit Kumar Pramanik, \mathbf{D}^{*a} Sreejesh Sreedharan, \mathbf{D}^b Rajeshwari Tiwari, Sourav Dutta,^c Noufal Kandoth, ^D^c Surajit Barman,^c Stephen O Aderinto, D^d Samit Chattopadhyay, $*e$ Amitava Das $\mathbf{D} *c$ and Jim A Thomas $\mathbf{D} *d$

Received 19th May 2022 DOI: 10.1039/d1cs00605c

rsc.li/chem-soc-rev

Following an overview of the approaches and techniques used to acheive super-resolution microscopy, this review presents the advantages supplied by nanoparticle based probes for these applications. The various clases of nanoparticles that have been developed toward these goals are then critically described and these discussions are illustrated with a variety of examples from the recent literature. **TUTORIAL REVIEW Wardide Online

CONSERVATION CONSERVATION CONTRIGUES For super-resolution microscopy:

Super-resolution microscopy:

Super-resolution microscopy:

Super-resolution microscopy:

Super-resolution microscopy**

Key learning points

- (1) A brief overview of key super-resolution microscopy techniques is provided.
- (2) The working principle of these different nanoscopy techniques for bioimaging are described.
- (3) A critical assessment of the application of conventional luminescent materials and their use in nanoscopy is presented.

(4) The biological significance of various luminescent materials designed at the nanoscale and their organelle/tissue-specificities in super-resolution imaging application is discussed.

(5) Potential future challenges, perspectives, and directions for material chemistry applied to nanoscopy are presented.

Introduction

The cell is the structural and functional unit of living organisms. Exploring the panoply of cellular processes active within its organelles is crucial to a better understanding of many diseases. Such knowledge is vital in the discovery and development of new diagnostics and next-generation therapeutics. With the advent of advanced microscopic and imaging techniques, researchers developed methodologies to separately study each individual cell compartment, facilitating the identification of many inter- and intra-organelle biochemical processes. $1,2$ In more recent years, super-resolution techniques have addressed many of the limitations of conventional optical microscopy,

Marg, Bhavnagar, Gujarat 364002, India. E-mail: sumitpramanik@csmcri.res.in b Human Science Research Centre, University of Derby, Kedleston road, DE22 1GB,</sup> such as substantial point spread function anisotropy, diffraction-limited resolution, depth-dependent degradation in scattering samples and volumetric bleaching.³ These developments, together with the creation of specially engineered/ optimized excitation light, new luminescent dyes, highly sensitive detectors, and reconstruction algorithms, have surmounted the classical diffraction limit of optical microscopy.

Nanoscopy techniques even offer the chance to visualize individual molecules as they dynamically interact during intracellular processes that drives cell behaviour. $4-7$ However, many of these modalities are still not established and thus their true capabilities and potentials are yet to be realized.^{8,9}

Why super-resolution?

Since the 17th century, the optical microscope, which utilizes visible light and a system of lenses to magnify images, has played a pivotal role in unravelling vital questions in biology.¹⁰ Yet, despite many technological inventions and manufacturing breakthroughs, obtaining well-resolved images with the minimal aberration of nano-structured objects still remains a challenge. Even when computer-aided optical design and automated grinding methodology are utilized to construct lens components,

^a CSIR - Central Salt and Marine Chemicals Research Institute, Gijubhai Badheka

UK

 c Department of Chemical Sciences and Centre for Advanced Functional Materials, Indian Institute of Science Education and Research, Kolkata, West Bengal, India. E-mail: nf3938@iiserkol.ac.in, amitava@iiserkol.ac.in

^d Department of Chemistry, University of Sheffield, Western Bank, Sheffield, S3 7HF, UK. E-mail: James.thomas@sheffield.ac.uk

 e Department of Biological Sciences, BITS-Pilani, K K Birla Goa Campus, NH 17B, Zuarinagar, Goa 403726, India. E-mail: samitc@goa.bits-pilani.ac.in

Tutorial Review Chem Soc Rev

diffraction effects mean that lateral distances less than half the imaging light wavelength are left unresolved. $11,12$ Effectively, this means that two objects that are held closer than approximately 220 nm will not image as separate structures. Initial moderate enhancements on this spatial resolution along the lateral and axial axes were achieved through confocal and multiphoton techniques.

By utilizing laser excitation and a pinhole-restricted detection system, laser scanning confocal microscopy improves spatial resolution by a factor of 1.4. This improvement is achieved by a pair of re-scanning mirrors between the pinhole and detector that allows for de-coupling of the magnification of the object and scanning spot.¹³ In multiphoton fluorescence microscopy, nonlinear absorption processes are exploited to reduce the effective

size of the excitation point-spread function, but this requires long-wavelength excitation light. Thus, the advantage of laser scanning confocal/multiphoton microscopy is limited mostly to the reduction of background signals that originate from emission sources away from the focal plane, 14 and resolution remains limited to >100 nm in another plane. In the last few decades, these limitations have been broken by the development of several super-resolution techniques (Fig. 1).¹⁵⁻¹⁷ Tutorial Review

diffusion effects mont that the controllers are interesting to the controllers are th

The main super-resolution techniques

The key to the majority of super-resolution methods is to render dye or probe molecules visible for only a short period

Sumit Kumar Pramanik

Sumit Kumar Pramanik is a senior scientist at CSIR-CSMCRI, Bhavnagar, India, and assistant professor at AcSIR. He received his BSc in chemistry from Vidyasagar University and a Master's degree in applied chemistry from Bengal Engineering and Science University. He obtained his PhD in Chemistry at CSIR-Indian Institute of Chemical Biology, Kolkata. Before joining CSIR-CSMCRI, he spent three years as a post-doctoral fellow at Hasselt

University, Belgium. His research interests include the synthesis, self-assembly, colloidal and interfacial properties, and application of nanostructured materials in bioimaging, drug delivery, sensing, and optoelectronics.

Sreejesh Sreedharan

Sreejesh Sreedharan graduated in 2018 with a PhD in Chemical biology from the University of Sheffield under the supervision of Prof. Jim A. Thomas. He then was a Postdoctoral fellow working with Prof. Katherine Vallis and Prof. Borivoj Vojonovic at the Department of Oncology, University of Oxford where he was carrying out research related to designing multi modal therapeutic imaging probes to track down breast cancer metastases in

Amitava Das was educated at Jadavpur University (Kolkata) receiving his PhD degree in 1989. Following research at the Universities of Birmingham and Bristol (UK), he returned to India

lymphatic system. He is currently working as Lecturer in Biomedical sciences at University of Derby carrying out research related to developing noval theranostic modalities focused on Liver and Breast cancer.

Samit Chattopadhyay

Samit Chattopadhyay was educated at Calcutta University and Jadavpur University. Following post-doctoral studies in the USA (UCONN Health Centre, Farmington, CT and MIT), he returned to India at the National Centre for Cell Science, Pune. A former Director of the CSIR-Indian Institute of Chemical Biology, Kolkata and the CSIR-NEIST, Assam, he is currently a Senior Professor & Shri B. K. Birla & Shrimati Sarala Birla Chair Professor at the Department

of Biological Sciences at BITS-Pilani, Goa. He is a fellow of Sir J. C. Bose National Fellowship and is elected fellow of all three major science academies in India. His research focuses on understanding the molecular basis of gene regulation and discovery of therapeutics against cancer.

at CSIR-CSMCRI. After a stint as a Chief Scientist at CSIR-National Chemical Laboratory (Pune), he became Director of CSIR-CSMCRI and Distinguished Professor of the AcSIR. In 2020 he took up a Sr. Professorship in Chemistry at IISER, Kolkata. An

Amitava Das

elected fellow of all the three major science academies of India and recipient of the SERB-J. C. Bose National Fellowship, his research interests include supramolecular chemistry, photoinduced processes, molecular recognition, biomarkers/bioimaging, and functional nanostructures.

Fig. 1 Classification of super-resolution microscopy methods that utilize localization as 2D or 3D point clouds. The abbreviations are: structured illumination microscopy (SIM); single-molecule localization microscopy (SMLM); stimulated emission depletion (STED) microscopy; stochastic optical reconstruction microscopy (STORM); photoactivated localization microscopy (PALM); minimal photon fluxes (MINFLUX); points accumulation for imaging in nanoscale topography (PAINT).

and/or prevent specific molecules within the specified diffraction region from being detected.12,18 Selective switching between two fluorophore states, usually an 'Emission ON' state and 'Emission OFF' state, has been utilized to develop sub-diffraction-resolution imaging.19 This methodology, generalized under the acronym RESOLFT-reversible saturable optical fluorescence transitions,²⁰ was first realized by stimulated emission depletion, STED – Fig. 2.18,21–27

Stimulated emission is exploited in STED microscopy to switch a distribution of fluorophores into the required 'OFF' state. A sharply focused Laguerre-Gaussian depletion (erase) beam – with either a spiral phase plate or spatial light modulator (SLM) – illuminates a toroidal cross-section of the sample plane being excited by a sharply focused pump beam, forcing luminophores within its volume to de-excite through stimulated emission. The luminophores at the centre of the

Jim A Thomas

Jim A. Thomas carried out his undergraduate studies at the University of Reading. After teaching in the UK and rural Kenya (through the UK development agency VSO), he obtained a PhD in coordination chemistry from University of Birmingham in 1993. Following a Royal Society European Fellowship with Jean-Marie Lehn (Strasbourg) he arrived in Sheffield to work with Prof Chris A Hunter, FRS, but stayed when he was awarded a

Royal Society University Research Fellowship. His research focusses on metal ion complexes that recognize ions, bio-ions, and biomolecules and has led to the develop on novel cell probes, therapeutics and theranostics.

doughnut-shaped depletion beam are at an intensity minimum and thus are not ''turned off''. Any further increase in the intensity of the depletion beam results in an increasingly smaller point spread function (PSF) – see Fig. 2F.

Gated-STED (g-STED) is the most commercially exploited technique that uses ultrashort pulsed excitation and STED lasers with gated detectors to further improve the signal-tonoise ratio and effective spatial resolution of measurements. One drawback of STED is the fact that as high illumination fluxes are required for efficient stimulated emission depletion, luminophores with lower photostability are not suited to this technique.

Another class of super-resolution microscopy involves single-molecule localization microscopy (SMLM) and includes techniques such as stochastic optical reconstruction microscopy (STORM), direct STORM (dSTORM), photoactivated localization microscopy (PALM), and point accumulation for imaging in nanoscale topography (PAINT). For SMLM, conventional wide-field excitation is used and super-resolution is achieved by computationally localizing individual fluorescent molecules through Gaussian peak fitting during the accumulation of thousands of images from sparsely distributed fluorescence molecules (Fig. 3).

Localized fluorescence is utilized to generate images with high spatial resolution typically \sim 20–50 nm, or to define molecular trajectories.23,28–32 In the PAINT technique, an object is imaged continuously by a probe which is only in its fluorescence ON state when bound to an object and is switched OFF when it dissociates from the object or is photobleached. The observed stochastic blinking caused by transient binding depends on the diffusion coefficient and concentration gradient of individual probe molecules.^{33,34} However, obtaining images with high spatial resolution using a fluorescent marker which is required by the technique to display low to moderate binding to the object adversely influences the data acquisition process.

Generally, issues like fluorescence intensity, photobleaching, optical aberration, and background noise due to intracellular

Fig. 2 (a) Graphical illustration of the excitation, stimulated emission and fluorescence processes. (b) Two diffraction limited intense STED spots (brown), overlapped with an excitation spot (blue) having an offset (Δx), green emission of the fluorophores in the outer region of the excitation spot are effectively depleted to the ground state by stimulated emission. This attributes to a better resolved fluorescence spot with reduced diffraction limit, in the direction of the offset. (c) A doughnut-shaped STED spot (brown) overlapped with an excitation spot (blue) results a confinement of the area that allows fluorophores to fluoresce. (d) Graphic representation of the overlap of the intensities of the STED donut shaped spot (orange) and excitation spot (blue) in one dimension and (e) a decrease in the width of the effective fluorescence (green) (Δr : FWHM) as a result of stimulated emission depletion. (f) Graphical presentation to reveal the role of intensity of the STED emission in improving resolution (Δr : FWHM of the effective fluorescence). Reproduced with permission from ref. 27, Copyright 2012, Master Thesis, University of Twente, 2012.

autofluorescence adversely influence the signal-to-noise ratio of both RESOLFT and SMLM and limit their application. Some of these deficiencies are addressed in structured illumination microscopy (SIM), as it utilizes a relatively low illumination light power. In SIM, the object is illuminated by a set of patterned intensities, and an image of the object is reconstructed using a conventional wide-field microscope. This provides an improvement in transverse spatial resolution. Recent advances in high frame rate acquisition, together with low phototoxicity associated with less intense illumination powers, make SIM a workable option for imaging biological dynamics and real-time biochemical processes in living cells at super-resolution.³⁵ The standard Super-Resolution Structured Illumination Microscopy (SR-SIM) technique is suitable for imaging thin samples ($<$ 10 μ m) with some compromise in temporal resolution, while spotscanning implementation offers better background rejection and is more suited for thicker specimens $(\sim 10-100 \mu m)^{35}$

Recent advances have made instant SIM (iSIM) the fastest SR-SIM method available, owing to its parallel illumination and analogue processing. This also allows for $10\times$ deeper sample penetration than is obtained with conventional SIM, opening up the possibility of observing previously unvisualized sub-diffraction limited dynamic processes within cells and tissues.

Minimal photon flux (MINFLUX) nanoscopy is a new hybrid strategy that utilizes structured illumination along with singlemolecule localization to extract the maximum positional information on a single molecule.³⁶ By targeting single emitters using a doughnut-shaped excitation beam with a central intensity minimum (ideally zero), MINFLUX provides 3D localization of fluorescent labels at a spatial resolution of $<$ 5 nm (Fig. 4).^{37–39} As MINFLUX relies on emission minimization rather than maximization, this data acquisition/processing is typically fast while minimizing photobleaching and signal drift.

Fig. 3 Depiction of SMLM imaging principle: the red circle represents the biological structure below the diffraction limit which is labelled with a suitable dye for visualization with a fluorescence microscope. Compared to the conventional diffraction-limited wide field microscope which produces a rather diffused image, SMLM imaging produces a more resolved image that is constructed from a set of time-separated images, wherein each time frame image contains a small set of excited and imaged labelled object that can be localized using Gaussian point spread functions and accumulated to form the final point-cloud super-resolution image for the structure. Reproduced with permission from ref. 11, copyright 2020, CellPress.

Theoretical background: how does brightness of a luminophore enhances resolution?

Though biological structures range several orders of magnitude in length scale, the resolution in numerous types of biological light microscopy is very limited.⁴⁰ The resolution limit of a farfield optical system is quantified by the Rayleigh criterion: 41

$$
r_{\rm R} = 0.61 \times \lambda / \text{NA}
$$

where λ is the wavelength of the illumination source photons and NA is the numerical aperture of the lens system.

To effectively visualize a specific biological sub-structure, most luminescence-based imaging methods depend on observation of a huge number of molecules instantaneously, which is intrinsically limited because the distance between observed molecules is much less than r_R . However, super resolution imaging techniques either reduce the size of the observation volume or increase the accessible Fourier space and therefore increase the number of accessible spatial frequencies.

The localization precision σ_x for point-like objects imaged in two dimensions by fluorescence microscopy is given by; 42

$$
\sigma_x^2 = \frac{r_0^2 + q^2/12}{N} + \frac{8\pi r_0^4 b^2}{q^2 N^2}
$$

where r_0 is consider as the standard deviation of the point spread function, the total number of photons collected in N , b is the background noise per pixel, and q is the size of an image pixel. From the above equation, it is clear that molecular localization can be considerably more precise than the optical

resolution by a factor of as much as $\sqrt{N}.$ Therefore, the number of detected photons is crucial for improving localization precision compared to resolution. For a single molecule capable of emitting $\sim 10^5$ visible photons ($\lambda_{\rm em}$ = 500 nm) before photobleaching, positional localization can be as exact as \sim 500 nm/ (105)0.5, or a few nanometers. Subsequently the finite detection efficiency (typically $\langle 5\% \rangle$ of current single-molecule fluorescence microscopes, an estimate of the number of collected photons, is $N_{\text{coll}} = \phi_{\text{det}}/\phi_{\text{B}}$, where ϕ_{B} is the photobleaching quantum yield for the molecule and ϕ_{det} is the detection efficiency, and the two-dimensional localization precision $becomes⁴⁰$

$$
\sigma_x^2 = \frac{r_0^2 + q^2/12}{N_{\text{coll}}} + \frac{8\pi r_0^4 b^2}{q^2 N_{\text{coll}}} = \frac{\Phi_B (r_0^2 + q^2/12)}{\phi_{\text{det}}} + \frac{8\pi r_0^4 b^2 \Phi_B^2}{q^2 \phi_{\text{det}}^2}
$$

As increased *b* will increase σ_x^2 , it is essential that background noise is minimized, including the background from inactive protein molecules.

Dyes and probes in super-resolution microscopy

Molecular organic dyes and fluorescent proteins are most commonly used as imaging probes in optical microscopy, as they allow for functionalization to achieve the right balance of lipophilicity and hydrophilicity for efficient internalization and general compatibility with biological objects.⁴³⁻⁴⁷ However, for continuous monitoring of single molecules and real-time super-resolution imaging of subcellular organelles, bright

Fig. 4 Setup, measurement strategy, and various application fields of the 2D MINFLUX implementation: (A and B) (A) simplified setup (details in materials and methods). An excitation laser beam (green) is shaped by a vortex-phase mask forming a doughnut intensity spot in the focal plane of the objective lens. The intensity of the beam is modulated and deflected such that its central zero is sequentially placed at the four focal plane positions $\hat{r}_{0.1,2.3}$ indicated by blue, violet, red, and yellow dots, respectively. Photons emitted by the fluorescent molecule (star) are collected by the objective lens and directed toward a fluorescence bandpass filter (BPF) and a confocal pinhole (PH), by using a dichroic mirror (DM). The fluorescence photons $n_{0,1,2,3}$ counted for each doughnut position $\hat{r}_{0,1,2,3}$ by the detector (DET) are used to extract the molecular location. Intensity modulation and deflection, as well as the photon counting, are controlled by a field-programmable gate array (FPGA). (B) Diagrams of the positions of the doughnut in the focal plane and resulting fluorescence photon counts. (C to E) Basic application modalities of MINFLUX. (C) Nanoscopy: a nanoscale object features molecules whose fluorescence can be switched on and off, such that only one of the molecules is on within the detection range. They are distinguished by abrupt changes in the ratios between the different $n_{0.12,3}$ or by intermissions in emission. (D) Nanometer-scale (short-range) tracking: the same procedure can be applied to a single emitter that moves within the localization region of size L. As the emitter moves, different fluorescence ratios are observed that allow thelocalization. (E) Micron-scale (long-range) tracking: if the emitter leaves the initial L-sized field of view, the triangular set of positions of the doughnut zeros is (iteratively) displaced to the last estimated position of the molecule. By keeping it around \hat{r}_0 by means of a feedback loop, photon emission is expected to be minimal for n_0 and balanced between n_1 , n_2 , and n_3 , as shown. Reproduced with permission from ref. 39, copyright 2016, American Association for the Advancement of Science.

photostable probes are demanded, yet many organic dye molecules undergo photobleaching on irradiation.⁴⁸⁻⁵¹

Despite such limitations, appropriately designed chemodosimetric reagents with a fluorescence ON response still provide an distinct advantages in imaging applications.⁵¹ Based on mechanistic pathways that allow luminophores to switch between ON and OFF states, SMLM fluorophores belong to five categories; (i) photoswitchable fluorophores that reversibly oscillate between ON and OFF states with an option to 'blink' several times (Alexa Fluor 647, ATTO 665, etc.), (ii) photoactivated fluorophores that irreversibly switch between an OFF to ON state, either spontaneously or upon activation by light (PA Janelia Fluor[®] 549, PA Janelia Fluor® 646, Cy5B, PA-TagRFP, PA-GFP, PAmKate, etc.), (iii) photoconvertible fluorophores that switch irreversibly to another spectral state upon irradiation (Dendra2, mMaple, etc.), (iv) spontaneously blinking dyes that undergo a reversible, pH-dependent chemodosimetric reaction to enable SMLM imaging at a defined media pH (HMSiR39, HEtetTFER40, FRD41, *etc.*), (v) transiently interacting dyes that have low affinity towards a target. As discussed above, such probes are ideally suited for PAINT as they help to replenish photobleached dyes weakly bound to a target with a fresh supply of transient labels from the bulk medium which counter the loss in signal caused by photobleaching (examples include; nile red, DFHBI-1T, M739, HBR-DOM, etc.). Comparing synthetic dyes and fluorescent proteins, synthetic dyes allow shorter imaging times and better localization precision as synthetic dyes typically have higher photon counts.

In recent years, advances in the materials sciences have provided considerable opportunities to address the shortcomings of extant fluorescent dyes.52 In particular, the design of nanoscopically-sized probes with well-defined optical properties

Fig. 5 Dissecting eukaryote cells with nanoscopy. (a) Nuclear pores. Left: Averaged direct stochastic optical reconstruction microscopy (dSTORM) image showing the distribution of two nuclear pore (Nup) proteins in the nuclear pore complex (NPC) of Xenopus laevis; right: two possible arrangements for the Nup107–160 complexes based on ground state depletion with individual molecule return (GSDIM) data from a human NPC are traced within the electron density of the cytoplasmic ring of the nuclear pore. Scale bars: 100 nm (left), 25 nm (right). (b) Chromatin domains in Drosophila melanogaster nuclei. (c) Synaptic vesicles in living rat neurons. (d) Cytoplasmic ribosomes in a human cell (e) live nanoscopy of Golgi-derived vesicles. (f) Lysosomes in a living mammalian cell. Scale bar: 1 µm. l | Centrioles in mammalian cells. Scale bar in the right panel: 250 nm. All scale bars are 500 nm unless stated otherwise. (g) Live-cell nanoscopy imaging (left) and 4Pi single-molecule switching nanoscopy (right) of the endoplasmic reticulum in a mammalian cell. Scale bars: 1 µm. (h) Nanoscopy of the cytoskeleton in a mammalian cell. Left, Top: Microtubules (in comparison with a confocal recording); left, bottom: vimentin (in comparison with a confocal recording); right: actin. The scale bar in the right panel is 2 mm. (i) Nanoscopy images of mitochondria in human cells. Left: Outer membrane protein Tom20 (in comparison with a confocal recording); right: F1FOATPase in the inner membrane. (j) | Centrioles in mammalian cells. Scale bar in the right panel: 250 nm. All scale bars are 500 nm unless stated otherwise. (k) Human fibroblasts immunolabelled for the peroxisomal protein PEX5 (red) and the mitochondrial protein Tom20 (green). The arrow shows the colocalization of the two proteins. (l) Focal adhesions in human cells. The colours indicate the vertical (z) coordinate of the labelled actin relative to the substrate. Reproduced with permission from ref. 18, Copyright 2017, Springer Nature.

have resulted in a large collection of luminescent nanoparticles such as perovskite quantum dots, 53 upconversion nanoparticles (UCNPs), 54 semiconductor quantum dots (QDots), 55 fluorescent nanodiamond,⁵⁶ polymer dots and carbon-based graphene nanodots $(GQDs)$ ⁵⁷ Though they are still large relative to dye molecules, they are often comparable in size to fluorescent proteins. And while substantial challenges lie ahead with respect to their complicated surface biochemistry, the many advantages of these nanoparticles are well established, prompting the cell biology and materials science communities to explore their full capabilities in functional subcellular imaging at the nanoscale (Fig. 5).¹⁸

This review is focused on the recent progress and future potential of such luminescent nanoparticles for use in tracking single molecules and super-resolution imaging of subcellular structures, enabling high-resolution visualization of various biological structures such as mitochondria, lysosome, endoplasmic reticulum, microtubules, chromatin complexes, neurons, clathrin-coated pits, focal adhesion complexes and actin.^{12,58} We also discuss the challenges associated with their use in biological

systems, including intracellular delivery, molecular targeting and quantitative analysis.

In fluorescent quantum dots, QDs

Factors that are crucial for efficient SRM with QDs are; (i) efficient cellular internalization and organelle/tissue-specific localization of the probe molecules, (ii) monovalency of the fluorophore-bioconjugate with an appreciable binding affinity between probe and target, (iii) high emission quantum yields along with appreciable stability towards photobleaching, (iv) stochastic switching of fluorescence states between fluorescence ''OFF'' and ''ON'' states.

Innumerable research articles have appeared in the literature on the use of QDs for biological imaging since their first demonstration in 1998; $59,60$ typically, QDs possess excited state lifetimes $(>10 \text{ ns})$ that are longer than those of fluorescent dyes and small proteins, and they are stable towards photobleaching on irradiation or chemical degradation under physiological

Fig. 6 Options for utilizing modified core-shell QDs for target-specific imaging. Surface modification for achieving uniform dispersion involves functionalization with (i) amphiphilic polymer coating with -COOH groups, (ii) PEG oligomers, (iii) dithiol ligand with a distal PEG oligomer, (iv) a zwitterionic functionality, (v) a distal -COOH group. R groups (as shown in this image) include carboxyl, amine, and methoxy; while other functionalities can be introduced (e.g., see vi, x, xi) following appropriate synthetic methodologies. As shown in this image, protocols for conjugating biomolecules of interest are as follows: (vi) biotin–streptavidin binding, (vii) polyhistidine self-assembly on the outher surface of the shell-structure of the QD, (viii) following EDC/s-NHS activation for amide coupling using, (ix) crosslinking reaction utilizing succinimidyl-4-(N-maleimidomethyl)cyclohexane-1 carboxylate (structure not shown), (x) aniline-catalyzed hydrazone ligation, and (xi) strain-promoted azide-alkyne cycloaddition. Double arrow represents conjugation between the functional groups and, in principle, their interchangeability. Reproduced with permission from ref. 68, copyright 2013, Optica.

conditions. Due to exciton quantum confinement, they display size/composition-dependent bright fluorescence (450 to 1500 nm), which can be tuned by tailoring their size, shape, and composition.61,62 As they also possess larger two-photon absorption cross-sections $(10^3\text{--}10^4 \text{ GM})$ than typical fluorescent molecular dyes or fluorescent proteins, they have become an attractive choice for applications in SRM. $51,63-65$ Importantly, surfaces of QD nanocrystals can be post-functionalized with a variety of biomolecules (e.g., antibodies, peptides, proteins, DNA, and vitamins) through well-known conjugation techniques (Fig. 6).66–68

This also opens up possibilities for multivalent binding via multiple targeting moieties.^{69,70} Flexibility in emission wavelength, as well as in surface chemistry of bioconjugated QDs, enables their use as nanoprobes for a wide range of applications in nanomedicine and biophotonics, including; near-IR deep-tissue imaging,⁷¹ fluorescence imaging in the near-IR $(e.g., 1000-$ 1400 nm), single-cell detection, and controlled release of drugs.⁷²

The bright fluorescence and fluorescence intermittency of QD-materials facilitates the spatial and temporal localization of individual QDs, which are more important for SRM techniques like PALM, fPALM, STORM, and dSTORM. However, as QD blinking is stochastic, they are not ideal for localization

microscopy and single-molecule tracking experiments. Also, their tendency toward toxicity and nonspecific binding have somewhat restricted their wider application in SRM.^{73,74}

In 1998, Weiss, Alivisatos and their co-workers were the first to use CdSe–CdS core–shell nanocrystals, enclosed in a silica shell for better water dispersibility, for imaging 3T3 mouse fibroblast cells using confocal laser scanning microscopy.⁶⁰ At the same time, the Nie group used ZnS capped QDs with a CdSe core functionalized with transferrin (an iron transport protein)) for imaging.⁵⁹ In 2008, Hell and colleagues were first to use Mn2+-doped ZnSe QDs for STED imaging. QD fluorescence was depleted efficiently (90%) and this resulted in a huge number of fluorescent switch ON-OFF cycles before photobleaching was observed, resulting in a factor of $\times 4.4$ improvement in resolution.⁷⁵ However, the small Stokes' shifts that are typical of such materials limit the choice of an appropriate depletion beam.⁷⁶ To address this limitation, the high photostability of commercially available ZnS-coated CdSe QDs and CdTe QDs (Fig. 7a) with an option for repeated STED recordings with large number of frames have been used.

Results also reveal that the tendency of quantum-dot labels to blink could effectively be suppressed by the combined action of excitation and STED beams. This helped to achieve better

Fig. 7 The excitation (- - -) and emission (-) spectra recorded for antibody-coupled ZnS-CdSe QD705 (inset) are shown along with excitation laser line at λ_{exc} = 628 nm (brown) and the STED laser line at λ_{STED} = 775 nm (red). Imaging of vimentin fibres with QD705: (a) using λ_{exc} = 628 together with λ_{STED} = 775 nm laser beams. Scale bar: 1 mm. (b) Imaged with the $\lambda_{\text{STED}} = 775$ nm. Both images were obtained with line-wise multiplexing. (c) Deduction of the image (b) from that of (a) using a 3 \times 3 median filter). (d) Image of the identical sample region using conventional confocal microscopy with an adjusted lookup tables for better visualization. (e and f) Respectiveimages are inserts from the images shown in c and d (scale bar: $1 \mu m$). (g) Intensity profile for a single vimentin fibre as shown in figures (a) and (b). (h) Intensity profile of the subtracted image (c) and (d) for single vimentin fibre. (i) A plot to show the line profiles (positions indicated with white arrow heads in (e) and (f)) to demonstrate two fibres could be resolved to a distance of 140 nm in the superresolved image, which was not possible in confocal image. Reproduced with permission from ref. 77, Copyright 2015, Springer Nature.

resolved and background-free images (Fig. 7b). 77 Biofunctionalized QD705 helped to yield a 2.7-fold improvement in resolution (Fig. 7c-i).

Following these reports, Kner et al. reported multiple colour super-resolution imaging with CdSe/ZnS QDs (core/shell) without sacrificing resolution (Fig. 8),⁷⁸ successfully establishing that blueshifted QDs could be controlled to maximize the number of imaged localizations. They also used Quenched Stochastic Optical Reconstruction Microscopy (QSTORM) with high photon count

QDs to measure microtubule widths and two QDs with widely different excitation wavelengths to separate their emission into discrete channels.

Through STED and SIM super-resolution imaging of microtubule networks in HeLa cells, Xi, et al. have compared the performance of streptavidin-conjugated (SC) cadmium selenium QDs ($\lambda_{\rm em}$ = 775 nm) with that of a conventional super-resolution dye (a cationic dye, ATTO $647N$)⁷⁹ (Fig. 9). STED, SIM and localization-based super-resolution microscopy

Fig. 8 Microscopic images of microtubules in HepG2 cells: (a) STORM using QD565 and (b) wide-field images QD705 (scale bar: 2 µm). Wide-field (c) and STORM (d) images shown in the white square box 1 in (a); scale bar = 500 nm. (e) STORM image of the region shown in box 2 in (a); scale bar = 500 nm. (f) STORM image: cross-section of a microtubule with FWHM of 38 nm and (g) image shown in the white square 3 in (b); scale bar: = 500 nm. A cross-section of a microtubule is shown in (h). Cross-section of a microtubule with FWHM of 35 nm. Reproduced with permission from ref. 78, Copyright 2015, American Chemical Society.

were also statistically compared, and respective estimated resolutions of \sim 60 nm, \sim 120 nm and \sim 50 nm were attained.

The Ryu group developed a DNA-PAINT technique to further improve the resolution limit of conventional SMLM techniques that utilizes organic dyes.^{28,80} A spatial resolution of \sim 5 nm could be achieved for in in vivo experiments by primarily enhancing the photon number that critically determines spatial resolution.

Specific and monovalent QDs (CdSe:ZnS with λ_{ems} of 585 nm) were phase transferred from the organic to the aqueous phase and encapsulated with a 50-adenosine phosphorothioate DNA (ptDNA) polymer for the imaging application. Following passivation with ptDNA and tuning of hybridization sequences, monovalent and specific QDs became photo-switchable via QD-PAINT and showed much-improved fluorescence intensity compared to Cy3.⁸⁰ Due to the narrower full-width-at-halfmaximum, FWHM, for surface-modified CdSe:ZnS compared to that of Cy3, a theoretical maximum improvement in spatial resolution by a factor of \sim 3 was evaluated for QD-PAINT, this translated into an experimental improvement in resolution of 2.9-fold (Fig. 10) compared to Cy3. As QDs absorb many more photons than Cy3 in identical photon fluxes they also produce much brighter fluorescence, enabling the use of lower laser powers and reducing phototoxic effects on live cells.

Krauss et al. have demonstrated that CdSe/CdS QDs, functionalized with a neuropeptide (BK-QDs; BK: bradykinin) are an

Fig. 9 Images of a microtubule network of HeLa cells recorded using confocal and Continuous-Wave (CW) STED microscopy using QDs as a staining agent: (a) confocal, (b) CW STED, (c and d) respective magnified images for selected areas in (a) and (b). (e) Wide-field microscopy image; scale bar: 2 µm. (f) Images of microtubules on HeLa cells by SIM with enlargement as an insert. The wavelength and power density for the STED beam are 775 nm and 200 mW, respectively. The pixel size is 20 nm; scale bar: 5 µm. Reproduced with permission from ref. 79, Copyright 2016, American Chemical Society.

Fig. 10 Single-molecule images demonstrating the resolution enhancement through QD-PAINT imaging compared to conventional DNA-PAINT imaging using the cyanine3 (Cy3) fluorophore: images of epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) recorded using QD-PAINT (a) before and (b) after reconstruction; while images recorded using DNA-PAINT with Cy3 (c) before and (d) after reconstruction; scale bars: (a and c) 500 nm and (b and d) 100 nm. Cross-sectional histograms show FWHM as the dashed lines for QD-PAINT (a) and (b) after reconstruction; while the analogous images using DNA-PAINT and Cy3 dye (c) and (d) after reconstruction. Reproduced with permission from ref. 80, Copyright 2021, Springer Nature.

Fig. 11 (i) Representative fluorescence images of mixed neuronal glial cultures: (a) hippocampal neuronal glial culture showing astrocytes (purple) and neurons (green) cell types. (b) Image of BKQDs bound to neuronal cultures using a single image frame for the neuronal culture on treatment with BKQDs (30 nM) for 15 min. (ii) STORM images recorded for neuronal cultures stained with BKQDs: (a and b) Frame 1 and frame 1000 out of 1000 frames from a movie; (c and d) enlarged image of the area indicated with the yellow box in a and b, respectively. (e–g) Reconstructed super-resolution images of the area indicated with yellow boxes with localization precisions of (e) 50 nm, (f) 30 nm, and (g) 25 nm to demonstrate the successful localization at all resolution levels. Reproduced with permission from ref. 81, Copyright 2021, American Chemical Society.

improved STORM imaging probe compared to a BK-labelled organic commercial fluorophore (TAMRA-BK).⁸¹ STORM images of primary rat hippocampal neuronal cultures using the BK-QD probe showed higher localization accuracy than comparable images obtained using TAMRA-BK (Fig. 11).

This was largely ascribed to much higher fluorescence intensity and a consequentially improved signal-to-noise ratio compared to the organic fluorophore. BK-QDs were also found to ''blink'' at a faster rate, and -as anticipated- BK-QDs showed higher stability towards photobleaching. These results confirmed

Fig. 12 SIM Images of integrin $\alpha v \beta$ 3 in different glioblastoma cell lines (indicated in the image): (a) images to represent the expression and distribution of $\alpha v \beta$ 3 in different specified cell lines and viewing angles; images shown in the control panel (right panel) are of a control group without primary antibody. (b) Bar diagram to represent the density of $\alpha v \beta 3$ receptors (averaged from 50 individual cells for each cell line). Scale bars: 5 µm. Data are presented as mean \pm SD. **p < 0.01. Reproduced with permission from ref. 82, Copyright 2019, The Royal Society of Chemistry.

that BK-QDs display substantial advantages over organic fluorophores for sub-diffraction limit imaging.

Zhang, et al. prepared anti-mouse IgG $(H + L)$ -conjugated Cd-Se QDs and successfully profiled the distribution and density of integrin $\alpha v \beta 3$ in single glioblastoma cells.⁸² Corresponding SIM images are shown in Fig. 12 and these reveal adherent growth with irregular morphology.

This study demonstrated the phenotypical heterogeneity of glioblastoma at the single-cell level and revealed how drug vulnerability relates to receptor density on the test cells. It was suggested that this protocol could in principle be exploited for the quantitative profiling of many other surface proteins on cells of interest.

Fluorescent up-conversion nanoscale materials

Unlike d-block transition metal ions, the 4f orbitals of lanthanide ions are hidden under the 6s, 5p, and 5d orbitals, accounting for the narrow emission bands and environmental insensitivity of their spectra.

Typically, emission spectra for $Ln³⁺$ ions in solid hosts show FWHM of \sim 10–20 nm, while this figure varies within a range of \sim 25-40 nm, \sim 30-50 nm, and \sim 100 nm, respectively for Qds, organic dyes and transition metal ions;⁸³ and a narrow FWHM is associated with better image resolutions. Furthermore, as emissions from Ln^{3+} ions are associated with atomic transitions, they are typically resistant to photobleaching – even on prolonged irradiation. Lower loss in vibrational energy and wider separation between absorption and emission band maxima mean that Ln^{3+} ions can be excellent luminescence markers, particularly as they frequently display near-infra red, NIR, emission.

Two NIR regions are of particular interest for biological imaging. NIR-I (650 to 950 nm) and NIR-II (1000–1400 nm), define the so-called NIR biological windows that allow imaging with the minimum interference from biological media.⁸⁴ Importantly, certain Ln^{3+} ions show NIR excitation through the upconversion phenomenon. These properties make specific La-based reagents/materials ideally suited for exploiting in NIR imaging. Due to these unique emission properties, lanthanidebased upconversion nanoparticles, UCNPs, are being developed as super-resolution imaging probes for diverse applications such as analytical sensing, photodynamic therapy, and clinical diagnostics. Efficient UCNPs are composed of an optically transparent host matrix, a sensitizer, and an activator. To minimize non-radiative losses and maximize radiative emission, an ideal host matrix needs to have a low lattice phonon energy and, as they meet this criterion, NaYF_4 , NaGdF_4 , LaF_3 , and CaF_2 have been widely adopted for this role.⁸⁵⁻⁸⁹ Purpose-built syntheses of UCNPs are crucial in developing imaging materials; typically, Yb^{3+} is used as a sensitizer as it has a high absorption cross-section at \sim 980 nm, while Er³⁺, Tm³⁺, and Ho³⁺ are used as activators to favour multiphoton processes (Fig. 13).⁹⁰ The core–shell structure favours dispersibility in biofluids, improves surface processability, and improves luminescence efficiency by

Fig. 13 (a) Cartoon presentation of the show the various photo-induced process associated with excitation from the ground state to a metastable excited level (real or virtual), excited state absorption and the eventual relaxation from the higher excited state to the ground state. (b) Different possibilities for energy-transfer from the sensitizer to the activator in the UCNPs. (Energy levels shown are not in scale).

Fig. 14 (a) Relative energy levels (not in scale) associated with Yb^{3+} UCNPs, co-doped with Tm^{3+} , with 980 nm excitation (left panel), and with both 980 nm and 808 nm irradiation (right panel). (b) Confocal images of UCNPs doped with 8% Tm³⁺ (using 980 nm laser (left panel) and both 980 nm and 808 nm (right panel) dual laser, and (c) analogous results for 1% Tm³⁺-doping. Reproduced with permission from ref. 96, Copyright 2017, Springer Nature.

suppressing surface quenching effects. $91,92$ Various synthetic strategies for surface functionalization of the UCNPs to improve cell membrane permeability and organelle or tissue specificity have been developed.^{93,94} Simple variations in the composition of the lattice atoms and dopant ions used in the synthesis of the UCNP crystals facilitate their use in multimodal imaging.

The first report on the use of $Y_2O_2S:Yb^{3+}/Tm^{3+}$ particles for tissue imaging appeared in 1999, and related work described the use of Y₂O₃:Yb³⁺/Er³⁺ and Gd₂O₃:Yb³⁺/Er³⁺ for similar applications.⁹⁵ Since then, a number of literature reports on the use of UCNPs for bioimaging have appeared; however, it is only more lately that their uses in super-resolution imaging have been highlighted.

Typically, to obtain the depletion efficiencies needed in STED imaging the luminescent marker localized to the target object must display a relatively high emission intensity. Toward this aim, Liu, Lu and Yang, and co-workers used UCNPs with high Tm^{3+} doping for STED microscopy, opting for a 980 nm excitation laser beam and 808 nm depletion beam in their studies.⁹⁶ Excitation at 980 nm resulted in a population inversion in their intermediate metastable ${}^{3}H_{4}$ state relative to the ${}^{3}H_{3}$ ground level (Fig. 14 and 15). Further irradiation at 808 nm ${}^{3}H_{6}$ ground level (Fig. 14 and 15). Further, irradiation at 808 nm (matching the upconversion band of the ${}^{3}H_4 \rightarrow {}^{3}H_6$ transition) initiated amplified stimulated emission to depopulate the ${}^{3}H_{4}$ intermediate level, optically supressing the upconversion pathway to generate blue fluorescence, producing low-power STED imaging. This helped to achieve a \sim 28 nm resolution, while the significantly lower saturation intensity resulted in a much better signal-to-noise ratio.

Zhan, He and colleagues developed a UCNP system (NaYF₄:18% Yb³⁺,10% Tm³⁺) that successfully demonstrated two-colour STED imaging with ~ 66 nm resolution using a

Fig. 15 (a) Cartoon energy level diagram to illustrate the net absorption, as well as the stimulated emission between ${}^{3}\mathsf{H}_4$ and ${}^{3}\mathsf{H}_6$ levels for Yb/Tm co-doped UCNPs when probed using 980 nm depletion laser and 808 nm excitation laser. (b) Energy level diagram to show possible cross-relaxation pathways for highly doped (with Tm^{3+}) NPs. (c) Cartoon image to show photon-avalanche-like process results from the intense cross-relaxation (CR) between the emitters in a highly doped UCNPs (energy levels for the sensitizers are not shown). Reproduced with permission from ref. 96, Copyright 2017, Springer Nature.

single pair of excitation/depletion beams.⁹⁷ Inter-ionic crossrelaxation helped to achieve efficient optical depletion in NaYF₄:18% Yb³⁺, 10% Tm³⁺ UCNPs with two NIR laser beams centred at 975 nm (excitation) and 810 nm (depletion). The $\text{[Tm}^{3+}\text{]}$ -dependent depletion efficiency of the 455 nm blue emission band by cross-relaxation processes was also studied.

This process helped to eliminate the need for separate excitation and depletion beams and the associated challenge of aligning multiple laser beams, which constitutes a major obstacle in current multicolour STED protocols. This approach was used to image cytoskeletal desmin protein in HeLa cells by using antibody-conjugated UCNPs (Fig. 16), leading to a lateral imaging resolution of \sim 82 nm ($\langle \lambda_{\rm ex}$ 975 nm).

Jin and co-workers have exploited the facet-selective binding of DNA to NaYF4:Yb,Er nanocrystals to develop imaging technologies.⁹⁸ The binding energies of various chelating moieties, e.g. oleate anion, oleic acid, phosphodiester bond, and phosphate group on to the two kinds of facets of hexagonal prism-like NaYF4:Yb,Er nanocrystals were also examined to rationalize the observed results. These confirmed that the binding strength of DNA phosphodiester moieties to the surface of NaYF4:Yb,Er

nanocrystals was stronger than oleic acid on (001) facets, while it was weaker than oleate anions on (100)/(010) facets. Thus, DNA molecules could effectively replace surfactant molecules on NaY-F4:Yb,Er nanocrystals to generate anisotropicity or a hydrophilic surface. The location of DNA molecules was confirmed by analytical methods and was visualized by STORM (Fig. 17).

These studies on facet-selective functionalization of NaY-F4:Yb,Er nanocrystals not only provide insights into bio-/ nanointerface interactions but have the potential to open up new technology for selective biomolecule functionalization of nanoscale material. Additionally, the controlled self-assembly of UCNPs through tailor-made DNA chemistry suggests that UCNP building blocks could be constructed into more sophisticated functionalized nanostructures.

Monodispersed UCNPs $(NaYF_4:Yb^{3+},Tm^{3+})$ nanocrystals) were used in studies that revealed the human eye could track a single nanoparticle, emitting over 4200 photons/100 milliseconds, using a simple optical microscope setup (Fig. 18).⁹⁹ Apart from protein dynamics it was suggested that many subcellular functions, such as cytoskeleton rearrangement, and organelle movement and cooperation. involving dynamics at a molecular scale could also be studied using this approach.

A lanthanide-doped UCNPs (β -NaYF₄:Yb,Gd) was developed for tracking lysosomes using SIM microscopy.¹⁰⁰ Post-functionalization of NaYF4:Yb,Gd based UCNPs with a lysosomeassociated membrane glycoprotein (MAAPGSARRP LLLLLLLLLL) helped to achieve lysosome specificity. Upon excitation at 980 nm, a green emission band at around 525–560 nm was observed due to electronic transitions from the ${}^{2}H_{11/2}$ - ${}^{4}I_{15/2}$ and ${}^{4}S_{11}$ ${}^{4}I_{15}$ and ${}^{4}S_{11}$ and ${}^{4}S_{11}$ and ${}^{4}I_{15}$ and ${}^{4}I_{15}$ and ${}^{4}I_{15}$ and ${}^{4}I_{15}$ and ${}^{4}I_{15}$ and $S_{3/2}$ ⁻⁴I_{15/2} states - Fig. 19.

The intracellular co-localization experiments using SIM and a commercial lysotracker probe confirmed lysosomal specificity of the UCNPs. It was suggested that these lysosome-targeting UCNPs may be used to study dysfunction in intracellular transport processes associated with lysosomal diseases.

The same research group developed another related (NaYF4: Yb,Gd,Eu)-based UC-nanorod, post-functionalized with triphenyl phosphonium ions, developed as a multifunctional platform for mitochondria imaging (Fig. 20).¹⁰¹ Cationic triphenyl phosphonium ion provided the mitochondrial specificity. Further, the choice of the Gd^{3+} ion meant that these UC-nanorods were also suitable for magnetic resonance imaging using T_1 - and T_2 weighted MRI phantom images. Thus, the judicious integration of up-conversion fluorescence and Gd^{3+} provided superresolution optical imaging and $T_1 - T_2$ dual-modal magnetic resonance imaging in the same platform.

Fluorescent carbon dots

Fluorescent carbon dots (CDs) are a relatively new class of nanomaterials that have gained attention for bioimaging owing to their excellent optical properties, which include stability towards photobleaching, high emission quantum yield and size-dependent multicolour and NIR fluorescence. Importantly, their water dispersibility, biocompatibility and intracellular

Fig. 16 (a) TEM images of (I975455–I975 & 810455)/(I975455) UCNPs. Distribution of diameters of nanoparticle and a HR-TEM image are shown in insets (scale bar: 50 nm). (b) Optical depletion of the 455 nm UC fluorescence of UCNPs with 10% Tm^{3+} doping: 96% depletion efficiency of the 455 nm emission from UCNPS with 10% Tm³⁺ doping (λ_{exc} = 975 nm (CW), $\lambda_{\text{depletion}}$ = 810 nm (CW)). (c) Images using UCNPs, 10% Tm³⁺ UCNPs film with modulated 810 nm laser co-irradiation. (ii) SR images of intra-cellular desmin (a cytoskeleton protein) using antibody-conjugated UCNPs: (a) images recorded with 975 nm excitation of cytoskeleton and desmin in HeLa cancer cells incubated with anti-desmin primary antibody using UCNPs bioconjugated with goat anti-rabbit IgG secondary antibody, (b) identical region imaged using 975 nm excitation and 810 nm STED laser beams; scale bars: 2 um. (c-n) Enlarged images for selected areas from a and b with corresponding analyses: images in c, f, i, and l are details from a; images in d, g, j, and m are from the white squares in b. (e), (h), (k), (n) are line profiles for areas indicated with arrow heads in c and d, f and q, i and j, l and m. Reproduced with permission from ref. 97, Copyright 2017, Springer Nature.

internalization can be tuned through appropriate surface functionalization.⁵⁷ The high biocompatibility of CDs arises from a combination of their chemical inertness, low toxicity, cell membrane permeation owing to their small sizes ζ (ζ 10 nm) and two-photon activity.^{102,103} Methodologies for the preparation of the carbon dots are typically categorised as 'top-down' or 'bottom up' approaches.

The top-down method solely uses chemical methods – or a combination of physical and chemical methods – to 'cleave' graphene or other carbon allotropes such as C60 fullerene and multi-walled carbon nanotubes.¹⁰⁴ However, limitations of this method include wider size distributions, which are associated

with changes in spectral properties, and also variations in surface functionalization.^{104,105}

Bottom-up synthetic methods use organic derivatives to produce nanographene with well-defined spectral properties and purpose-built surface functionalization that help to achieve specificity in organelle localisation.¹⁰⁴ Importantly, doping with various heteroatoms, such as nitrogen, sulphur, and phosphorus offers the option to tune the emission property of these materials and adds to their versatility as imaging agents.¹⁰⁶ These impressive optical properties, along with their surface tunability and their potential for optical blinking have paved the way for carbon dots use in super-resolution imaging.

Fig. 17 Images demonstrating selective DNA binding to 001 plane of NaYF₄: Yb,Er nanorods: (a and b) binding of DNA molecules on end (001) facets: Histogram showing distance between two separated luminescent signals for a population of nanorods of length of \sim 170 nm. (Inset (b): Image of a nanorod with end tagged by luminescent ATTO-550). (c and d) UC-nanorods with multiple and random fluorescent clusters. Distribution of separations between two fluorophores in a range of UC-nanorods, evaluated by luminescent signal profile of a representative nanorod (isotropically labelled with ATTO-550). Inset(d): UC-nanorods labelled with ATTO-550. Scale bar: 100 nm. Reproduced with permission from ref. 98, Copyright 2018, The Royal Society of Chemistry.

In 2014, Pompa et al. developed highly photoluminescent multicolour CDs for STED nanoscopy showing a resolution of 30 nm (Fig. 21).¹⁰⁷ These CDs were successfully used for imaging MCF 7 cells. Their use in STED demonstrated a >6 fold improvement in spatial resolution compared to conventional confocal microscopy. Importantly, cell viability was not affected by the CDs, and this enabled a complete visualization of cellular events over a relatively long time-window with live cell experiments.

In 2015, Chizhik and co-workers developed CDs that displayed intrinsic dual-colour fluorescence due to two subpopulations of particles of different electric charges (Fig. 22).¹⁰⁸ The applicability of CDs as probes for super-resolution optical fluctuation imaging (SOFI) in biological systems has also been studied in two different cell lines; MDCK-II and SAOS-2.

In SOFI, the influence of probe diffusion was studied by comparing a theoretical model and numerical simulations to establish the impact of sample dynamics on the imaging quality. These studies revealed that over a range of physiological conditions, fluorophore diffusion inflicts a change in the amplitude of the SOFI signal.¹⁰⁹ Chizhik and co-workers observed that for both cell types, neutral blue-emissive carbon nanodots were found to penetrate the nuclear membrane and localize to cellular nuclei. Interestingly, the green-emissive CDs were excluded from the nucleus and localized into fibrous,

Fig. 18 (a) Microscope system to study the sensitivity of a CCD camera and the human eye (interconvertible through flap mirror) in tracking single UCNPs. Fluorescence from the UCNPs is processed by an avalanche photodiode fitted with optical fibre to mimic the duration time for image processing in a human brain. (b) Plot showing emission as a function of laser power using UCNPs with 1 mol% Tm^{3+} -doping (475 \pm 25 nm). A region was chosen with 2 UCNPs separated by 3 µm comparable with the central region of the human fovea that have the highest density of cone cells. (c) Plot showing intensity of images related to the blue band of UCNPs vs size of UCNPs (determined by TEM). Intensities for samples with different Tm^{3+} doping and defined diameter (\sim 40 nm) is also shown. Standard deviations of the sizes varied from 6.23 to 17.4% from the average intensity (I_{ave}) for 14 patients). Reproduced with permission from ref. 99, Copyright 2018, Springer Nature

Fig. 19 (i) Partial reaction scheme adopted for the synthesis of the lysosomal targeting peptide conjugated UCNPs. (ii) (a-c) TEM images of β-NaYF4:Yb,Gd nanoparticles. (d) TEM images of the UCNPs surface-functionalized with membrane glycoprotein (MAAPGSARRP LLLLLLLLLL). (iii) Co-localization experiments (SIM microscopy) of intracellular localization of UCNPs using LysoTracker probes in RAW cells: (a) in cellular emission of UCNPs with intensity along the traced line shown underneath (panel d). (b) Emission from Lyso-Tracker Deep Red with emission profile (panel e). Merged images and the Pearson co-efficient plot (panel f) confirm the indicating lysosomal localization of the UC-NPs. Excitation at 980 nm was used for all studies. Scale bar for (ii) is 10 nm and for (ii) 10 mm. Reproduced with permission from ref. 100, Copyright 2017, The Royal Society of Chemistry.

network-like intracellular structures, possibly endosomes or mitochondria. It was proposed that these nanodots are endocytosed and then trafficked along with the lysosomal/endosomal network.

The Huang research group reported on a high-density superresolution localization imaging method using blinking carbon dots derived from carbon black.¹¹⁰ These carbon dots showed a burst of fluorescence with high photon output $($ \sim 8000) and a low duty cycle (\sim 0.003). The conjugation of carbon dots to goat anti-mouse IgG secondary antibody was established by fluorescence correlation spectroscopy and dynamic light scattering – Fig. 23.

Fig. 23 (panels a and b) show the conventional fluorescence image and STORM image of secondary antibodies labelled carbon dot stained microtubules in the same region of the HeLa cell, respectively. The super-resolution microscopy image shows a drastic improvement in the resolution of the microtubule network. This stable blinking feature of the carbon dots allows high-density localization images at a spatial resolution of 25 nm to be acquired.

Haynes and co-workers reported malic acid-derived biocompatible CDs for SRM imaging.¹¹¹ Interestingly, in the live rainbow trout gill epithelial cell line, the as-synthesized carbon dots showed two distinct intracellular distribution patterns under different excitation wavelengths. Under green-to-yellow laser excitation (488, 514, and 561 nm) (Fig. 24a), filament-like distribution was observed inside the live cells, whereas for blue-to-cyan laser excitation (405 nm) a different distribution of carbon dots (Fig. 24a) was observed. Under green-to-yellow laser excitation, a comparison of live cells treated with carbon dots and MitoTracker Red showed a clear co-localization pattern that suggests the accumulated carbon dots are in, or on, mitochondria (Fig. 24b). Importantly the CDs provided

Fig. 20 (i) (a) TEM images for b-NaYF4:Yb,Gd,Eu NRs. (b–d) Widefield (WF) microscopy images and the co-localization experiments of UCNPs functionalized with triphenyl phosphonium ion for mitochondrial localization in macrophage RAW 264.7 cells, while (b) being the image for mitotracker green. (ii) Comparison of images for the same area WF vs. 3D-WF vs. SIM vs. 3D-SIM; emissions from UCNRs and MTG fluorescence were recorded in the wavelength ranges of 600–650 nm and 500–550 nm, respectively. (iii) Magnetic Resonance imaging studies: FLASH-3D MR images of (a) mouse brain (axial orientation) captured at 200 mm³ spatial resolution before and after injection of UCNRs, (b) 3D cerebrovascular map of the mouse brain generated using the volume rendering tools in Amira by overlapping the pre-contrast (in white) and post-contrast (in orange) images. Scale bar for (i-a) is 10 nm; for (i-b, c, d and ii) are 10 µm. Reproduced with permission from ref. 101, Copyright 2020, The Royal Society of Chemistry.

Fig. 21 (a) and (b) Improved spectral resolution of STED compared to confocal imaging using CDs in fixed MCF7 cells (CD concentration: 170 nM, 48 h incubation). (c and d) Representative intracellular emission profiles: raw data shown in light red and best-fit curve in red marked in the respective figure), while raw data for STED is shown in light green and the best fit plot in dark green. Reproduced with permission from ref. 107, Copyright 2014, The Royal Society of Chemistry.

superior imaging in terms of resolution and in tracking mitochondrial movement regions in live cells (Fig. 24c), which reveals the transport of mitochondria-associated CDs along with cytoskeletal elements (e.g., microtubules).^{112,113}

Wu et al. reported nucleolus-targeted red emissive CDs.¹¹⁴ They adopted hydrothermal treatment for the preparation of these CDS using p-phenylene diamine (pP) and various metal ions, which were proposed to act as a catalyst for the generation of the CDs.

This provided a series of red-emitting, metal-free CDs with varying quantum yields. Interestingly, the carbon dots obtained using a nickel catalyst showed a very high affinity towards nucleoli. For STED imaging with live A549 cells, an imaging resolution of 146 nm was achieved (Fig. 25). Additionally, these CDs offered an improvement over the commercial nucleolar dye, SYTO RNASelect, which showed green emission and could only stain nucleoli in fixed/dead cells.

Fig. 22 (a and d) Widefield fluorescence and second-order SOFI images of a Saos-2 cell, respectively. (b, c, e and f) Subareas indicated with white line boxes for the demonstration of a better-resolved image for SOFI. Scale bars 10 µm. Reproduced with permission from ref. 108, Copyright 2016, American Chemical Society.

Fig. 23 The luminescent property of CDs exploited for plasma membrane imaging (scale bar: 10 µm): (a) Conventional confocal image and (b) superresolution image of microtubules, while the enlarged section (indicated with a green box shown in (a and b) are presented in (c and d), respectively. (e) Fluorescence intensity profiles for the specific line region, as shown in (d). (f) Image showing the cell membrane stained with CDs in the absence of anti-CCR3 primary antibodies, while the insert shows an autofluorescence image of the same field under epi-illumination. (g) Visualization of the immunostained cell membrane with CDs from a representative super-resolution image with an enlargement of a 2 \times 2 μ m² region in (g). (i) Ripley's K function analysis of CCR3 clustering in the region. (j) A interpolated cluster map based on Ripley's K function analysis. Colour code: red signifies highly clustered regions, and Blue represents low values for clustering. (k) The corresponding binary cluster image using a threshold. Reproduced with permission from ref. 110, Copyright 2017, American Chemical Society.

Zhang, et al. reported CDs surface functionalised with the cationic charge could be used for STED imaging of nucleic acid structures in HeLa cells and live *C. elegans* worms.¹¹⁵ It was found that these CDs interact with double-stranded DNA and single-stranded RNA differently producing spectrally distinguishable signals (Fig. 26). This facilitated real-time monitoring of DNA and RNA motion and localization. Fig. 26 shows images of CD-labelled chromosomes of HeLa cells in prophase and 3D reconstructed models revealing tangled DNA chains in the chromosomes. These studies also confirmed that the CDs could visualize RNA networks in a single nucleolus and are compatible with imaging the ultrastructure of live cells, potentially providing a tool for basic cell biology and clinical diagnosis.

Das, and his co-workers reported the preparation of graphenebased CDs from neem root extracts as a starting material through a simple and facile hydrothermal method.116 These assynthesized CDs were utilized for recording SIM images of RAW cells, in which a distinct lysosomal localization was observed. An endocytosis pathway was proposed for the internalization of these CDs, accounting for the translocation from the extracellular environments into intracellular lysosomes (Fig. 27).

Imaging studies with zebrafish confirmed that the CDs were preferentially localized in the yolk sac region, suggesting they could be used as luminescent imaging agents for the digestive system.^{116,117}

Fig. 24 (a) Distribution of malic acid carbon dots (MACDs) in live trout gill epithelial cells. (A) MACDs with different sizes, corresponding to excitation wavelengths 488, 514, and 561 nm, were found to localize at the same intracellular compartments, whereas MACDs that were excited with 405 nm were found to localize in different compartments. (b) Green-toyellow MACDs (with excitation wavelength \geq 488 nm) were found mainly in mitochondria, as determined by their co-localization with MitoTracker. (c) A significant improvement of spatial resolution was achieved in SRM imaging monitoring the spontaneous photoblinking of MACDs, illustrated by the fluorescence emission profiles shown in the right panel of the marked rectangle in the images. Reproduced with permission from ref. 111, Copyright 2018, American Chemical Society.

The nucleolus helps in coordinating cellular stress responses, including during cancer growth and treatment. Consequently, nucleolus-based diagnostics and therapeutics can be used for the precise identification of nuclear stress responses. To address this need, He and co-workers have developed CDs with RNA-binding motifs and fluorescent blinking domains.¹¹⁸ To measure of the nucleolar ultrastructure during the stress responses, they used these CDs to stain various cell types, including mouse (murine breast cancer 4T1, normal CHO, and colorectal cancer CT26 cells) and human cell (glioblastoma U87, human embryonic kidney 293A, and lung cancer A549 cells) lines.

While conventional wide-field microscopy provided too low resolution for detailed studies, imaging by dSTORM, revealed distinct distributions of RNA in the nucleoli of different cells, Fig. 28, demonstrating the potential of these modified CDs for analysing nucleolar ultrastructure and thus distinguishing subtle responses to stressors.

Wu, et al. have prepared quaternized CDs (qCDs) that were utilized to simultaneously provide bacterial imaging and

antibacterial properties.¹¹⁹ Amine-functionalized carbon dots were post-synthetically grafted with lauryl betaine so they could selectively detect Gram-positive bacteria and display bactericidal properties. A comparison of STED and CLSM images of S. aureus cells (Fig. 29) revealed that, unlike the CLSM images, STED images could be used to confirm that most of the qCDs were localised at the surface of the bacteria and distributed around the membrane in small aggregates.

Semiconductor polymer dots (semiconductor PDots)

Semiconductor PDots have been exploited in biological imaging owing to their unique photophysical properties and favourable biocompatibility.120,121 Among these materials, photoblinking semiconductor polymer dots are the most widely used for multicolour super-resolution imaging, SOFI nanoscopy and STED imaging. PDots doped with redox-active molecules have been utilized for localization-based super-resolution imaging of bacterial shapes with a localization accuracy of \sim 5 nm.¹²² Besides super resolution imaging, the PDots have also been widely used as biosensors,^{123,124} photoacoustic imaging,^{125,126} molecular afterglow imaging, 127 and cancer theranostics.

Sun and co-workers recently reported two types of photoblinking PDots derived from different semiconducting polymers, namely poly[(9,9-dioctylfluorenyl-2,7-diyl)-co-(1,4-benzo-{2,1',3}thiadazole)](PFBT) and poly[2-methoxy-5-(2-ethylhexyloxy)-1,4- (1-cyanovinylene-1,4-phenylene)] (CN-PPV). PDots of PFBT and CN-PPV were utilized for SOFI imaging of subcellular structures with minimal or no detectable photo-bleaching during the acquisition time (Fig. 30).¹²⁸ Images obtained after 2nd-order SOFI with necessary deconvolution analysis showed a muchimproved signal to background noise ratio and spatial resolution in comparison to the images recorded using wide-field microscopy. The intensity profiles shown in Fig. 30ii(c, g and h) indicate a spatial resolution enhancement of \approx 1.6-fold and 1.76-fold, respectively.

Soon after this report, the Xu research group reported a series of semiconducting polymers with varying donor-acceptor fractions.¹²⁹ By using Pdots with an optimal ON-OFF ratio, they demonstrated a four-fold enhancement in resolution compared to wide-field microscopy. The FWHM value for the wide-field microscopy image (Fig. 31a) was mainly distributed around 400 nm and lacked the clarity required to observe details of microtubule structure. However, a substantial improvement in resolution was observed on increasing the cumulant SOFI order (Fig. 31c–e).

Fourth-order balanced SOFI images provided sufficient clarity to observe the fine details of microtubule structures not observed in lower-order SOFI (Fig. 31g). The fourth-order spatiotemporal cross-cumulants offered an enhanced spatial resolution at both single-particle and subcellular levels.¹³⁰

Fang and co-workers used semiconducting PDots derived from relatively large molecular weight (\sim 47 1000 Da) polymers, namely, poly[{9,9-dihexyl-2,7-bis(1-cyanovinylene) fluorene}-alt-

Fig. 25 (a) STED and (b) confocal images of a A549 cell using pPCDs synthesized with Ni(II-catalyst. I₁, II₁, and III₁ in (a) are enlarged STED images of the nucleoli of the A549 cell, while I₂, II₂, and III₂ are corresponding fluorescence intensity profiles of the marked lines. IV₁, V₁, and VI₁ are enlarged confocal images of the nucleoli of the A549 cell in b, while IV₂, V₂, and VI₂ are corresponding fluorescence intensity profiles of the marked lines in IV₂, V₂, and VI₂. Reproduced with permission from ref. 114, Copyright 2019, American Chemical Society.

 $co-{2,5}$ -bis(N,N'-diphenylamino)-1,4-phenylene}] (PDFDP) for long-term STED imaging (Fig. 32a).¹³¹

PDFDP-based PDots were chosen due to their structural rigidity and other favourable optical properties. A spatial resolution of 77 nm was achieved in STED nanoscopy (Fig. 32b and c) using these PDots, which enabled visualisation of the dynamic fusion and division of vesicles during a continuous 2 hours of imaging (Fig. 32d).

The same research group reported another two PDots derived from poly[2-methoxy-5-(2-ethylhexyloxy)-1,4-(1-cyanovinylene-1,4 pheny-lene)] (CNPPV having $M_w = 17000$ Da) and poly[$\{9,9\text{-di}$ hexyl-2,7-bis(1-cyanovinylene)fluorene}-alt-co-{2,5-bis(N,N'-diphenylamino)-1,4-phenylene}] (PDFDP having $M_w = 47 100$ Da) with superior optical properties in terms of their stability towards photobleaching.132 These PDots were found to be suitable for the long-term STED imaging of subcellular organelles and their dynamic interactions (Fig. 33-i). A spatial resolution of 78 and 68 nm was achieved, respectively, for CNPPV-PDots and PDFDP-PDots. In STED microscopy, the streptavidin and the biotin antibody conjugated CNPPV-PDots and PDFDP-PDots could be utilized to image the membrane protein CD44 and microtubule

filaments (Fig. 33-ii). Additionally, CNPPV-PDots and PDFDP-PDots were also utilized for STED nanoscopy to track the dynamic interactions of clathrin-derived endosomes and caveolin-1 positive endosomes in live cells.

The Gianneschi research group has used SIM and nanoscale secondary ion mass spectrometry (NanoSI-MS) to investigate the sustained release of a drug from a nanocarrier. 133 Without a requirement for any fluorophores, NanoSI-MS can be utilized to qualitatively visualize extracellular and intercellular processes directly with \sim 100 nm resolution.¹³⁴

The group synthesized a polymeric nanocarrier that encapsulated oxaliplatin. This purpose-built architecture incorporated a 15N-labeled monomer in the hydrophobic block of the polymer backbone and Cy5.5 (a NIR-active dye) in its hydrophilic block (Fig. 34a). The subcellular localization behaviour of this nanocarrier in HeLa cells was investigated using SIM and NanoSI-MS. Analysis of the data obtained from NanoSI-MS data, SIM nanoscopy (Fig. 34b), and endosomal tracking revealed that the nanocarriers were trapped in intracellular vesicles, and a sustained cytotoxic drug release from the internalized polymeric nanocarrier was observed.

Fig. 26 (a) STED microscopy of chromosomes at prophase using λ_{ext} of 488 nm, $\lambda_{\text{depletion}}$ of 595 nm and a collection window of 500–560 nm. A Zstack STED image of the chromosome 3D structure (step 0.12 mm) is shown in the right panel. (b) STED image of a nucleolus (λ_{ext} of 560 nm, $\lambda_{\text{depletion}}$ of 595 nm and a collection window of 570–650 nm). A Z-stack 3D image of a nucleolus structure (step 0.12 mm) is shown in the right panel. (c) Imaging of C. elegans with CDs after incubation for 4 h. The CDs enter the gonad vesicle but not the developing embryos. Reproduced with permission from ref. 115, Copyright 2019, Wiley-VCH.

Combined, these techniques provide a useful tool to probe and track the composition, as well as the integrity, of the delivery system at each stage of the uptake and release process. In further studies, this nanocarrier was modified to achieve an enzyme-directed assembly by incorporating a peptide substrate into the hydrophilic block (Fig. $35a$).¹³⁵ This study helped in understanding the specific association of Pt with P-rich structures within cells such as DNA (Fig. 35b).

AIE-Luminescent material for superresolution imaging

Organic luminophores typically favour π – π stacking interactions in their aggregated state and this leads to aggregation-induced quenching (AIQ) of fluorescence.^{124,136,137} However, another class of chromogenic molecules shows aggregation-induced emission (AIE) enhancement in their aggregated state, when present in a relatively high concentration, or in a non-solvent.

Fig. 27 Images to reveal the intracellular localization of CDs and a LysoTracker probe in RAW cells: WF-microscopic images of intracellular emission of CDs (panel a) with intensity profile shown underneath. Emission from commercially available LysoTracker Deep Red (panel b) and the corresponding intensity profile is shown below. (Panel c) shows the overlap of green and red fluorescence, confirming the lysosomal localization of CDs. (Panel d): Pearson coefficient = 0.936. Reproduced with permission from ref. 116, Copyright 2019, The Royal Society of Chemistry.

Fig. 28 Identifying nucleolar stress response using RBP-CDs: (a) dSTORM images of various cell lines indicated in the respective image. (b and d) Nucleolar size distributions and (c and e) t-SNE plots to reveal the highdimensional feature data sets of the mouse (CHO, 4T1, CT26) and human (293A, U87, A549) cell lines. dSTORM images of HeLa cells (f) respective images of the HeLa Cells in the absence (control) and presence of different drugs and (g) with different external stimuli as mentioned in individual panels: (j) RNA area, (k) RNA density, and (l) coefficient of variation of nucleoli under various stress conditions. Scale bar, $5 \mu m$. Reproduced with permission from ref. 118, Copyright 2021, American Chemical Society.

In 2001, Tang and colleagues were first to report this phenomenon.138 In general, AIE luminophores are non-emissive or weakly emissive in dilute solution and become strongly emissive in their aggregated state. 139 The restriction of intramolecular motion(s) (e.g. rotation and vibration) in the aggregated state favours radiative deactivation of the excited state of these luminophores (Fig. 36) and is ascribed as the primary reason

Fig. 29 (a and b) Images revealing the superiority of STED over CLSM: images of S. aureus after treatment with qCDs for 1 h. Enlarged section of the boxed areas in (a) and (b) are shown in (c) and (d), respectively. (e) Representative intensity profiles extracted from $qCDs-C_{12}$ on the surface of S. aureus after Gaussian fitting in CLSM and STED modes. Reproduced with permission from ref. 119, Copyright 2016, American Chemical Society.

for their observed AIE effect.^{140–143} Importantly, AIE-active molecules typically exhibit a large Stokes' shift.^{144,145} Researchers have exploited AIE-induced phenomena for various super-resolution imaging, as discussed in the following section.

In 2015 Qian, Tang and He and their co-workers demonstrated the efficacy of the AIE-active luminophore hexaphenylsilole (HPS) in super-resolution imaging using STED microscopy.146,147 Importantly, HPS molecules in their aggregated state were more stable towards photobleaching compared to Coumarin 102 during the STED process (Fig. 37) and also showed a much higher emission depletion efficiency than Coumarin 102 in organic solution. The same research group reported on a mitochondriaspecific AIE-based molecular probe. Tetraphenylethylene derivative (o-TPE-ON⁺ with $\lambda_{\text{ex/cm}}$ = 425/675) undergoes a novel photoactivation mechanism of photocyclodehydrogenation.¹⁴⁸ Although it shows poor emission in aqueous solution, upon irradiation with visible light o -TPEON⁺ undergoes an photocyclodehydrogenation reaction to yield c-TPE-ON⁺ ($\lambda_{\text{ex}/\text{em}}$ = 503/ 580) that shows high emission quantum yield, as well as a long excitation wavelength (Fig. 38).

The epifluorescent and confocal microscope image of treated mitochondria showed blurred structure with low resolution (Fig. 38f and g), while the STORM image of the same area showed distinct structural features of mitochondria (Fig. 38h).

Fig. 30 (i) Molecular structures that aggregate to form PFBT and CN-PPV PDots. (ii) SOFI nanoscopy of subcellular structures labelled with small photoblinking PDots: (a) wide-field image of Lamin A/C immunolabeled labelled PFBT PDots and (b) 2nd-order SOFI image generated by analyzing 1000 frames of raw data from panel (a). (c) The intensity profiles represent the white-arrow regions shown in panels (a) and (b). (d) Conventional wide-field imaging of tubulin labelled with CN-PPV PDots and the (e) magnified area in the square in panel (d). (f) 2nd-order SOFI image generated by analyzing 1000 frames of raw data from panel (e). (g and h) The intensity profiles of the white arrow regions are shown in panels (e) and (f). Scale bar: 10 μ m in panels (a, b, i and j), 5 μ m in (d) and 1 μ m in (e and f). Reproduced with permission from ref. 128, Copyright 2017, Wiley-VCH.

The transverse profiles of a single mitochondrion also revealed an FWHM of \sim 104.5 nm, which was significantly narrower than that observed for an image obtained using an epifluorescence microscope (FWHM \sim 697.1 nm) and confocal microscope (FWHM \sim 467.54 nm). The results demonstrate the unique photoactivation characteristic of o-TPE-ON+, and the superiority of c-TPE-ON+ in effecting super-resolution imaging. In 2017, the same research group developed an AIEgen, 2,3-bis(4-(phenyl(4- (1,2,2-triphenylvinyl)phenyl)amino)phenyl) fumaronitrile (TTF), for STED imaging (Fig. 39-i).¹⁴⁹

The stimulated emission efficiency of this $TTF@SiO₂$ nanoparticles (NPs) was high, and fluorescence intensity after stimulated emission depletion was reduced to less than 30% of the original value. The Stokes' shift of 150 nm helped in reducing the overlap of the absorption and fluorescence spectra of TTF@SiO₂ NPs, as well as background fluorescence under the STED excitation beam. Additionally, these NPs were found to be stable against photo-bleaching under long-term (280 s) irradiation with a high-power (312.5 mW average power) STED beam, which also contributed to improving the STED image.

The STED nanoscopy imaging of $TTF@SiO_2-NPs$ with HeLa cells exhibited a high lateral resolution of 30 nm (Fig. 39-ii-e). On uninterrupted irradiation of the 594 nm excitation and

Fig. 31 SOFI nanoscopy of microtubules labelled with PF10BT PDots: (a) wide-field image of microtubules in HeLa cells, and (b) a magnified view of the highlighted area (yellow box) in (a). (c-e) SOFI nanoscopy for the same area was reconstructed sequentially in the second, third, and fourth orders. (f) Comparison between the wide-field image (upper-left) and fourth-order balanced SOFI result (bottom-right). Profiles of the lines identified with yellow arrows in panels (b–e) shown in panel (g), while that for panel (f, red arrows) are shown in panel h before and after 4th-order SOFI analysis. Reproduced with permission from ref. 129, Copyright 2019, Wiley-VCH.

775 nm STED laser irradiation (312.5 mW power) for 30 min, the fluorescence intensity of internalized $TTF@SiO₂$ NPs was only reduced by 30% (Fig. 39-ii-g–j) helping to achieve an improved temporal-spatial resolution.

Xu, et al. synthesized three types of oxetane-substituted AIE (AIE-OXE) molecules with blue, green, and red emissions (Fig. 40-i) for subcellular imaging using STED Nanoscopy.¹⁵⁰ The Blue-AIE-OXE is a typical tetraphenylethylene derivative having a λ_{Max} of \sim 480 nm (Fig. 40(i)). Structural modification provided extended conjugation that helped to provide a Green-AIE-OXE dye, while the synthesis of a Red-AIE-OXE involved the incorporation of appropriate electron donor and acceptor groups to alter the energies of the dye's frontier orbitals. All three luminophores showed stable and intense fluorescence in their respective aggregated state. The red-AIE–OXE nanodots were used to obtain STED images of microtubule structures with much better resolutions (FWHM \sim 95 nm, STED power intensity: \approx 100 MW cm⁻²) (Fig. 40-ii) compared to images obtained in confocal microscopy.

Very recently, Tang and co-workers have reported a cationic AIEgen, DTPAP-P (Fig. 41-i) for organelle-specific imaging and dynamic tracking on a nanometer scale by utilizing anion- π^+

Fig. 32 (a) Schematic illustration of PDots and biotin-conjugated polymer dots synthesis. (b) Confocal and STED images of biotin-polymer dots uptake by a HeLa cell. Two closely spaced NPs (indicated by blue arrows) were only distinguished in the STED image. (c) Respective intensity profiles of the polymer dots are indicated by the lines in (b). (d) Long-term STED imaging of biotin-polymer dots in HeLa cells under 2 h continues irradiation (field of view 35 \times 35 μ m²). STED beam power was kept at 3.3 MW cm⁻². Reproduced with permission from ref. 131, Copyright 2018, Wiley-VCH.

interactions.¹⁵¹ These interactions helped to restrict intramolecular motions and radiative deactivation pathways, which resulted in a high fluorescence quantum yield of 35.04%. Favourable photophysical properties and the use of a low saturation power (26 mW) made the organelle-specific DTPAP-P ideally suited for STED nanoscopy and better-resolved image of the nucleus or mitochondrial regions of live cells were obtained. In STED, an FWHM of \sim 165 nm (Fig. 41-ii) was obtained, which is far better than that observed in confocal microscopy (FWHM of \sim 1028 nm). For fixed cells, DTPAP-P first stained mitochondria but then migrated to the nucleus on irradiation with 405 nm light. This dynamic migration was tracked in ultrahigh-resolution via STED nanoscopy (Fig. 41-iii) and eventually led a well-resolved image of the nucleus with FWHM of \sim 184 nm.

Meng and co-workers recently prepared a red emissive AIE nanocrystal DTPA-BT-F (4,4'-(5,6-difuorobenzo[c][1,2,5]thiadia $zole-4$,7-diyl $bis(N,N-bis(4-methoxyphenyl)$ aniline) which was used for STED nanoscopy (Fig. 42-i and ii). 152 DTPA-BT-F exhibits a bright deep-red emission in aggregated states (λ_{Aggre} = 36.49%) which was attributed to a strong TICT (twisted intramolecular charge transfer) state with a large Stokes' shift \sim 200 nm). These nanocrystals were found to be stable towards photobleaching even on continuous irradiation with a 775 nm STED depletion beam (600 mW, 25 min). This enabled visualizing the nanostructures in lysosomes in both fixed and live HeLa cells

Fig. 33 (i) Molecular structures of semiconducting PDots, CNPPV and PDFDP.(ii) Dual-color imaging of mixed particles and cellular samples after linear unmixing in confocal and STED mode: left to right (a) a mixture of CNPPV and PDFDP PDots, (b) CD 44 labelled with CNPPV and PDFDP PDots, (c) lysosomes labelled with CNPPV PDots and CD 44 labelled with PDFDP PDots, (d) lysosomes labelled with PDFDP PDots and CD 44 labelled with CNPPV PDots. Depletion power used was 10 MW cm^{-2} with a pixel dwell time of 1 ms; 200 \times 200 pixels. The field of view was 7 \times 7, 9 \times 9, 45 \times 45, and 45 \times 45 μ m², separately. Reproduced with permission from ref. 1132, Copyright 2020, American Chemical Society.

Fig. 34 (a) Graphical illustration to represent the molecular structure of a self-assembled polymeric nanocarrier. (b) Overlaid NanoSIMS and SIM images to reveal the fluorescent tag (red) with 195Pt (blue) and 15N (green) after 4 (left) and 24 h (right) incubation of HeLa cells with Cy-15N-NP. The overlay of 195Pt and the fluorescent tag is shown in magenta, and the overlay of all three (195Pt, 15N, and fluorescence tag) is shown in white. Cell boundaries (white line) were delimited from the corresponding 12C14N-NanoSIMS ion images. Reproduced with permission from ref. 133, Copyright 2016, American Chemical Society.

with superior lateral resolutions and narrower FWHM values \sim 107 and 108 nm, respectively). Thus, the resolution achieved with STED microscopy with DTPA-BT-F was much better than that achieved with confocal microscopy (FWHM of 548 and 740 nm, respectively) (Fig. 42-iii). Importantly, 3D-STED imaging and real-

Fig. 35 (a) Molecular structures and self-assembly of a peptide decorated nanocarrier: Pep-Pt-P. L- and D-Amino acid peptide sequence "GPLGLAG-GERDG'' for L-Pep-Pt-NP and D-Pep-Pt-NP were used. Cleavage of the GL-sequence at ''GPLGLAGGERDG'' attributed to the release of the hydrophilic peptide sequence ''LAGGERDG'' which triggered the nano- to micrometre morphology change. (b) Quantitative analysis of 15N and 195Pt enrichment inside or outside of 15N- and 31P-rich region of interests: A: region of interests were defined according to 15N accumulation, as high 15N/14N (region of interests number 1–16) and low 15N enrichment (region of interests number 17–32). A.1: 15N region of interest on the L-Pep-Pt-NP hue-saturation intensity image. A.2: 15N region of interest on the p-Pep-Pt-NP hue-saturation intensity image. A.3: 15N/14N inside and outside of 15N-rich region of interests. A.4: 195Pt enrichment inside and outside of 15N-rich region of interests. (B) Region of interests were defined according to 31P signal, as high 31P (region of interests number A1–10) and low 31P (region of interest number B1–10). B.1: 31P region of interest on the L-Pep-Pt-NP 31P ion map image. B.2: 31P region of interest on the D-Pep-Pt-NP 31P ion map image. B.3: 15N/14N inside or outside of 31P-rich region of interests. B.4: 195Pt enrichment inside or outside of 31P-rich ROIs. Pt-was collected as 196Pt-for the saline sample. Pt counts on a saline region of interest were normalized to 195Pt by multiplying by 1.34, according to their isotopic abundance (33.8/25.2). Enrichment values were obtained from at least two independent images of each sample. The minimum significant difference was defined as a p -value < 0.05. Reproduced with permission from ref. 135, Copyright 2018, American Chemical Society.

time monitoring of lysosomal movements in live HeLa cells at high spatial resolution was possible thanks to this high depletion efficiency.

Correlating fluorescence super resolution microscopy with cryo-electron microscopy

Electron microscopy, a powerful tool enabling the visualization at nanometer- and subnanometer resolution, has been the

historical method of choice for probing ultrastructure in biological samples, that is, structures at scales smaller than the diffraction limit.¹⁵³ In scanning electron microscopy (SEM) a focused beam of high-energy electrons scans across a sample surface to generate signals through electron-sample interactions, 154 thereby offering sub-10 nm image resolution for structures close to the surface. Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) use a particle beam of electrons to prenetrate specimens and generate a highly-magnified image that achieves up to subnanometer resolution.¹⁵⁵ Electron tomography (ET) is a standard technique to assess the three-dimensional (3D) morphology at very

Fig. 36 Images revealing size distribution (black curves) and fluorescence intensities (red curves) of (a) DDPD and (b) TPE in THF/water mixtures with different water contents upon UV illumination. Reproduced with permission from ref. 142, Copyright 2020, Wiley-VCH.

Fig. 37 (a and b) Absorption (black curve) and emission spectra (red curve) of Coumarin 102 and AIE-1 (purple line: excitation laser; green line: STED laser). Photo-bleaching comparison between (c) coumarin 102 (d) and AIE-1 irradiated with a 25 mW STED beam ($[c] = 50 \mu$ M). Reproduced with permission from ref. 146, Copyright 2015, Optica.

high-resolution (\sim 1–4 nm), by combining information from a tilted series of TEM images.¹⁵⁶ In cryoEM and cryo-ET, samples are imaged under cryogenic conditions (\lt -150 °C) to bypass sample dehydration and, along with cryofixation, also circumvent chemical fixation which could otherwise disrupt or distort biological structures.^{156–158} Though immuno-EM (e.g., using

Fig. 38 (a) The photo-induced cyclization associated with the simultaneous dehydrogenation process of o-TPE-ON⁺. (b) ORTEP diagram of c-TPE-ON⁺ at 50% probability (solvents and anion are omitted for clarity). (c) UV-Vis; (d) fluorescence spectra, and (e) image of a quartz cuvette containing o-TPE-ON⁺ (10 \times 10⁻⁶ M) on 365 nm irradiation and c-TPE-ON⁺ (10 \times 10⁻⁶ M) in PBS buffer solution (pH = 7.4, 10 \times 10⁻³ M) using λ_{ext} = 450 nm. (f) epifluorescent image, (g) confocal microscopy image, (h) super-resolution image of mitochondria in a fixed HeLa cell stained with o-TPE-ON⁺. Scale bar: 2 μ m. Reproduced with permission from ref. 148, Copyright 2016, Wiley-VCH.

antibodies conjugated nanoparticles) provides a means to localize specific targets in EM, its application is very limited by low labelling density and limited multitarget labelling strategies.^{159,160}

Subsequently, fluorescence microscopy has been successfully combined with EM to image the same sample and draw on the corresponding strengths of the two modalities, an approach often referred to as correlative light and electron microscopy (CLEM).161,162 Importantly, these combinatorial approaches add benefits over a single modality, and are particularly useful in studying events that comprise a sudden cellular change, such as cell division, shape conversion of lysosome-related organelles in antigen presenting cells, or synaptic vesicle release.¹⁶² Additionally, live-cell CLEM can provide insights into a range of dynamic events, such as organelle biogenesis, protein signalling, and cell adhesion.

Current advances in super resolution microscopy have radically improved the achievable optical resolution of light microscopy to \sim 10 nm, to produce new standards that approach the resolution of EM. The closer match between resolutions of SRM and EM therefore allows more meaningful correlation with a much higher degree of structural detail when compared to previous CLEM efforts.

In 2006, Betzig and his research group demonstrated the potential of a super resolution-CLEM approach using photoactivated localization microscopy (PALM), in combination with TEM to image intracellular proteins at nanometer spatial resolution.²³ The high degree of correlation between PALM and TEM resulting from fluorescently-tagged proteins on cryo-prepared thin sections of fixed cells helped validate PALM

Fig. 39 (i) Molecular structure, along with electronic/fluorescence spectra of TTF@SiO₂ nanoparticles: (a) chemical structure of TTF; (b) absorption and fluorescence spectra of TTF@SiO₂ nanoparticles. (ii) Comparison of confocal microscopy and STED nanoscopy imaging of HeLa cells: (a) confocal microscopy and (b) STED nanoscopy imaging of a HeLa cell, which was stained with TTF@SiO₂ nanoparticles. (c and d) Are images of the green frames of panels (a) and (b). (e) Fluorescence intensity profiles along the dashed lines across the nanoparticles in panel (c) (black) and (d) (red), showing FWHM values of 267.2 nm (confocal microscopy) and 31.2 nm (STED nanoscopy). (f) A confocal image of the HeLa cell stained with TTF@SiO₂ nanoparticles. (g–j) STED nanoscopy images of the HeLa cell taken at various time points, during 1925s continuous imaging. (k) Normalized fluorescence intensity of TTF@SiO₂ nanoparticles in the HeLa cell at various time points, during 1925s continuous imaging. For confocal microscopy, the 594 nm excitation laser power was 2.5 mW. For STED nanoscopy, the 594 nm excitation laser power was 2.5 mW and the 775 nm STED laser power was 312.5 mW. Dwell time of each pixel: 20 µs. 1612 pixels × 1924 pixels for (a) and (b). 1486 pixels × 1542 pixels for (f)–(j). Reproduced with permission from ref. 139, Copyright 2017, Wiley-VCH.

as a suitable technique for imaging intracellular proteins with subdiffraction-limit resolution (Fig. 43). Later Sauer, et al. combined dSTORM with SEM to map the position of proteins of nuclear pore complexes in isolated Xenopus laevis oocyte nuclear envelopes with molecular resolution in both imaging modes and also provide evidence for the reliability of dSTORM when applied in combination with highly specific antibodies for quantitative super-resolution imaging.¹⁶³ The correlative images establish quantitative molecular labeling and localization of nuclear pore complex proteins by standard immunocytochemistry with primary and secondary antibodies and reveal that the nuclear pore complex is composed of eight gp210 protein homodimers (Fig. 44).

Additionally, in combination with correlative focused ion beam scanning electron microscopy (FIB-SEM), dSTORM offers potential for 3D quantitative super-resolution imaging as the samples can be imaged with two-dimensional tiling and at multiple angles to create 3D tomograms in TEM.

Later the Xu group demonstrated the use of cryogenic singlemolecule localization microscopy (cryo-PALM/SMLM/STORM) followed by cryo-electron tomography (cryo-ET) to precisely determine the spatial relationship between proteins and their

Fig. 40 (i) Molecular structures of the Blue-AIE–OXE, Green-AIE–OXE, and Red-AIE–OXE. (ii) STED imaging of the microtubule structures labelled with the Red-AIE–OXE nanodots: (a) confocal and (b) STED images of the microtubules. (c and d) Magnified views of the box region marked in (a and b). (e and f) Intensity profiles of the position indicated by the arrowheads in $(a-d)$, respectively. The scale bar in (a–d) represents $1 \mu m$. Reproduced with permission from ref. 140, Copyright 2017, Wiley-VCH.

native subcellular structures.¹⁶⁴ They prepared a marker for the mitochondrial outer membrane by fusing Dronpa with the first 33 amino acids of TOM20, which are responsible for localizing TOM20 to the mitochondria. After high-pressure freezing and cryo-sectioning of HEK293 cells expressing TOM20-Dronpa they performed cryo-fluorescence super-resolution imaging first, followed by cryo-electron tomography (cryo-ET). Under this experimental condition, no charge-induced movement or radiation damage was observed. The mitochondrial outer membrane and cristae was clearly visualized by 3D reconstruction from cryo-ET (Fig. 45c), while super-resolution imaging of Tom20- Dronpa molecules showed correlated localization to the outer membrane at nanometer resolution (Fig. 45c and d). A 2–3-fold enhancement of resolution over similar studies was achieved due to the photon budget performance and optimized imaging conditions, even with thin sections which usually degrade structure resolution by lowering label density.

Drawbacks of using nanoparticles in cellular imaging applications

Semiconductor and graphene quantum dots offer outstanding photostability and brightness, which facilitates their use in STORM, STED and SIM imaging.^{78,165} However, the high duty cycles of their photoemission means they are not appropriate for use in single-molecule localization microscopy applications. Additionally, semiconductor quantum dots are very unstable under aqueous solution. 53 Overcoming these drawbacks by lowering duty cycles requires surface engineering or the construction of hybrid systems. For example, nitrogen doping of graphene quantum dots can lower duty cycles to below 0.2% .¹⁶⁶ Likewise, the blinking of semiconductor quantum dots can be controlled in hybrid core–shell systems.¹⁶⁷ Considerably more work is required to render these systems into practical probes for single-molecule localization microscopy imaging applications.

Polymer dots and modified upconversion nanoparticles are more flexible in this regard and they improve both the photoblinking and photostability characteristics of their guest fluorophores (e.g., organic dyes, metal complexes).^{168,169} These advantages are balanced by the vastly increased size of these nanoparticle systems in relatation to the luminophores on their own, and this creates limitations for biological applications.

However, AIE luminogens have shown excellent potential for STORM and STED imaging, because of their saturation behavior and large Stokes shifts.^{149,170} Some AIEs are photoactivatable; and therefore have potential for future application in SMLM imaging. 171 Although a major disadvantage of these systems is again the problem of post functionalisation of the probes for targeted delivery.¹⁷²

Strategies to improve the performance of nanocarriers for super-resolution imaging

For semiconductor quantum dots and graphene quantum dots, surface states play a leading role in their photoblinking and photoswitching property.^{167,173} For graphene quantum dots, emission intermittency comes from surface functionalities that provide energy wells for ejected electrons.¹⁶⁷ The resultant electron transfer process leads to fluorescent on/off-states. The blinking characteristic of graphene quantum dots can thus be tuned through logical surface design, e.g., post grafting of the surface with electron donating or accepting agents.^{174,175} In the case of semiconductor quantum dots, nonradiative recombination via surface traps or charging-induced Auger recombination drastically affects the blinking behavior.¹⁷⁶ Reduced on-to-off duty cycles can be attained via core passivation using thicker shell materials, the post grafting of ligands onto the semiconductor quantum dots surface, contacting semiconductor quantum dots with other nanoparticles, the construction of hybrid blinking systems, electrostatic gating, and irradiation with ultrafast mid-infrared pulses. For STORM

Tutorial Review Chem Soc Rev

Fig. 41 (i-a) Molecular structure of DTPAP-P. (i-b) Relative fluorescence intensity of Mito Tracker Red and DTPAP-P in HeLa cells after continuous irradiation by two lasers (475 nm and 560 nm) for 900 s. (ii) Fluorescence images of DTPAP-P stained mitochondria in fixed HeLa cells captured by (a) CLSM and (b) STED nanoscopy; insets: corresponding enlarged views (scale bar is 500 nm). (c) Fluorescence intensity profile along the white line in panels a and b. (d) Fluorescence images of DTPAP-P stained mitochondria (linear shape) in live cells recorded by CLSM and (e) STED nanoscopy; insets: corresponding enlarged views (scale bar is 500 nm). (f) Fluorescence intensity profile along the white line in panels d and e. Fluorescence images of DTPAP-P stained mitochondria (circular shape) in live cells were recorded by (g) CLSM and (h) STED nanoscopy. Insets: Enlarged views (scale bar is 500 nm). (i) Fluorescence intensity profile along the white line in panels g and h. (iii) Dynamic tracking of mitochondria–nucleus migration via STED nanoscopy. Time-dependent fluorescence images of HeLa cells stained by DTPAP-P. Reproduced with permission from ref. 151, Copyright 2022, American Chemical Society.

and STED imaging, semiconductor quantum dots and graphene quantum dots could be improved, if multiphoton

emission is effectively inhibited under lighting with high-power depletion lasers. On the one hand, supressing background

Fig. 42 (i) Molecular structure of DTPA-BT-F. (ii) Fluorescence image of the fixed HeLa cell co-stained with DAPI (blue), Alexa Fluor488-phalloidin (green; depleted by a 592 nm STED laser, 96 mW) and DTPA-BT-F nanocrystals (red; depleted by a 775 nm STED laser, 96 mW) via STED nanoscopy: (a) fluorescence image, (b and e) magnified images with CLSM, (c and f) STED nanoscopy, and their corresponding photo luminiscence intensity curves (d and g) in ROI 1 (Alexa Fluor488-phalloidin, b–d) and ROI 2 (DTPA-BT-F NCs, e–g) in a; CLSM image of the live HeLa cell stained with Hoechst 33342 (blue) and DTPA-BT-F nanocrystals (red) (h, the insets are the magnified fluorescence images taken in the CLSM and STED mode), and the corresponding photo luminiscence intensity curves along the dashed line across the lysosome (i); 3D reconstructed images of the live HeLa cell by CLSM (j), STED nanoscopy (k), and their enlarged views (l and m); dynamic movements of lysosomes in HeLa cells captured by STED nanoscopy (n–s). All the STED images are processed on Huygens deconvolution software. Reproduced with permission from ref. 152, Copyright 2022, The Royal Society of Chemistry.

signals from the STORM or STED laser in the first place is, of course, preferential to methods requiring background removal in a post-processing step.

The upconversion nanocrystals are prepared by thermal decomposition and hydro(solvo)thermal methods with controllable size, crystalline phase, and morphology. In the coprecipitation method very high-purity stoichiometric upconversion nanocrystals can be synthesized. Additionally in this technique, surfactants can be incorporated to improve the water-solubility of the nanocrystals. Other strategies – such as surface passivation, host lattice manipulation, photonic crystal engineering, surface plasmon coupling, combination with other functionalities for the acceleration of energy transfer,

and construction of organic–inorganic hybrid systems – are all fascinating approaches to enhance on present systems.¹⁷⁷ For STED imaging, using dye-sensitized or Nd^{3+} -sensitized upconversion nanocrystals irradiated at 800 nm instead of 980 nm, can solve the issue of overheating under 980 nm irradiation.^{178,179} javascript:void(0); AIE Luminogens are typically hydrophobic in nature. Their alteration with functional groups or post-grafting with hydrophilic functionalities will produce water-soluble AIE Luminogens for bioimaging applications. Linking various AIE moieties can also lead to red-shifted emission.¹⁸⁰ javascript:void(0); furthermore, the donor-acceptor structure assembled by electron donating (e.g., amime or methoxy) or withdrawing (e.g., benzobisthiadiazole, benzothiadiazole) functionalities in AIE Luminogens can result photoluminescence systems that can be tunable from the visible to the NIR spectral regions.¹⁸¹

Conclusions and prospects

Since the inception of fluorescence microscopy, luminophores have played a vital part in improving spatial and lateral resolutions. The development of purpose-built luminophore molecules and materials has matched the continuous advancements in optics, electronics, mathematics in imaging technologies. For example, although SMLM and STED methods were described in the early $1990s$,¹⁸² these techniques could not be fully developed until appropriate luminophores became available. STED, in particular, only became practical after the development of highly photostable probes with high brightness for stimulated emission.¹⁸³ Similarly, implementation of SMLM was feasible only^{184,185} after the invention of carbocyanine dyes with photoswitching behaviour¹⁸⁶ and nonlinear SIM and RESOLFT only became possible with the availability of related photoswitching luminophores.^{184,187} The development of new probes continues to support the advances in superresolution microscopy and facilitate routes towards the ultimate goal of in-vivo structural biology.

In this review, we have summarised the recent progress on luminophores used in super-resolution microscopy imaging, including quantum dots, up-conversion nanoscale materials, carbon dots, PDots, AIE luminogens, and inorganic materials. Owing to their superior photophysical properties, photostability and biocompatibility, inorganic material-based fluorophores, PDots and AIE luminogens, are expected to contribute to further lowering of resolution limits in super-resolution. Although the theoretical spatial resolution of various superresolution microscopy techniques is unlimited. $42,188$ The challenge to routinely achieve resolution at molecular-scale – *i.e.*, sub-10 nm level – still remains.

Resolution in some super-resolution imaging techniques can now approach a few nanometers through the use of superior localization schemes,^{189,190} large Stokes' shift, luminophores with very high photostabilities, or accurate correction of sample drift.^{191,192} When the precision at which luminophores can be restricted is at nanometer scales, the linkage

Fig. 43 Comparative summed-molecule TIRF (A), PALM (B), TEM (C), and PALM/TEM overlay (D) images of mitochondria in a cryo-prepared thin section from a COS-7 cell expressing dEosFP-tagged cytochrome-C oxidase import sequence. Higher magnification PALM (E), TEM (F), and overlay (G) images within the box in (B) reveal that these matrix reporter molecules extend up to, but not into, the E20 nm outer mitochondrial membrane. The molecular distribution across two mitochondria along lines 1 and 2 in PALM image (E) are compared in (H) to the TEM signal along lines 3 and 4 in (F) across the same mitochondria. Scale bars: 1.0 mm in (A) to (D); 0.2 mm in (E) to (G). Reproduced with permission from ref. 23, Copyright 2006, AAAS.

Fig. 44 Imaging the nuclear envelope by SEM and dSTORM. (A) SEM imaging is restricted to the nucleoplasmatic, nuclear-basket-containing, side, whereas dSTORM imaging is performed from the cytoplasmatic side, where the anchoring proteins are oriented in the direction of the coverglass. Schematically, an electron beam and an objective are depicted to symbolize SEM and dSTORM imaging, respectively. (B) SEM image of a folded nuclear envelope. (C) Influence of the pixel binning used to reconstruct the super-resolved dSTORM image on resolution, that is, the visualization of the central channel of the NPC. Scale bar: 200 nm. Reproduced with permission from ref. 163, Copyright 2014, The Company of Biologists.

error provided by distances between the luminophore and the molecule of interest will become a barrier to any further resolution improvement.¹⁹³ Thus, SR imaging-based techniques will benefit from minimizing fluorescent probe size and linkage distances. Although effective probe size can also be reduced by physically swelling the sample volume – so that linkage error of a pair of primary and secondary antibodies can be reduced from 15 to 7 nm after expansion¹⁹⁴ – fundamentally, it would be best to increase the photostability of dyes without increasing probe

Fig. 45 3D correlative images from cryo-sections of HEK293 cells expressing TOM20-Dronpa. (a) Summed image from single-molecule data. Scale bar, 1 µm. (b) Correlative isosurface reconstruction of a PALM image with low magnification TEM data. (c) Each column in c was from a single layer of 3D PALM (top), single layer of cryo-ET (middle) and correlative representations (bottom), respectively. The structural resolution of the whole 3D data set was estimated to be 73.7 ± 5 nm employing the Fourier ring correlation method, corresponding to a density of 749 signals μ m⁻². Scale bar, 200 nm. (d) Correlative 3D segmentation of cryo-ET data with single-molecule localization of fluorescent proteins. Mitochondrial outer membrane and cristae are denoted by purple and blue, respectively. Dronpa molecules are denoted by green dots. For clear demonstration, we kept those signals that have higher localization precision (2.9/ 3.0 nm,mean per median), which rejects 90% of the signals. Scale bar, 200 nm. Reproduced with permission from ref. 164, Copyright 2015, Springer Nature.

size and also use small binders for proteins, such as photostable nanobodies, to reduce the dye-to-target linkage.²⁸

As stated above, the ultimate goal of super-resolution microscopy is to understand the complex structural biology of live cells by resolving molecular structures in living cells. In recent years, the ground-breaking advances in cryo-electron microscopy may soon permit the visualisation of in situ structural biology at atomic resolution.¹⁹⁵ However, due to the requirement of vacuum conditions and the high energy of electron beams, electron microscopy is incompatible with live cells, and super-resolution microscopy seems to be the best-suited technique to monitor the ultrastructural dynamics in real-time. Yet, live-cell super-resolution microscopy still requires improved luminophores with increased photostabilities and more reliable switching chemistries inside cells as well as more convenient/bio-orthogonal labelling protocols.¹⁹⁶⁻¹⁹⁸ Further improvements in photo stabilities and other photophysical characteristics of luminophores, like photon budgets and switching chemistries, may lead to breakthroughs to finally realize routine molecular-scale imaging in live cells.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

This research is partially funded by CSIR-MLP 0045 (S. K. P.) and by SERB (India) grants (AD: CRG/2020/000492 & J. C. B./ 2017/000004). AD also acknowledges DRDO-DMRDE (GoI) CARS: TR/0569/CARS/136 for financial assistance in the form of a Grant-in-Aid Project. This manuscript bears a CSIR-CSMCRI PRIS no: 231/2022.

Notes and references

- 1 A. L. McGuire, S. Gabriel, S. A. Tishkoff, A. Wonkam, A. Chakravarti, E. E. M. Furlong, B. Treutlein, A. Meissner, H. Y. Chang, N. López-Bigas, E. Segal and J.-S. Kim, Nat. Rev. Genet., 2020, 21, 581–596.
- 2 N. Rajewsky, G. Almouzni, S. A. Gorski, S. Aerts, I. Amit, M. G. Bertero, C. Bock, A. L. Bredenoord, G. Cavalli, S. Chiocca, H. Clevers, B. De Strooper, A. Eggert, J. Ellenberg, X. M. Fernández, M. Figlerowicz, S. M. Gasser, N. Hubner, J. Kjems, J. A. Knoblich, G. Krabbe, P. Lichter, S. Linnarsson, J.-C. Marine, J. C. Marioni, M. A. Marti-Renom, M. G. Netea, D. Nickel, M. Nollmann, H. R. Novak, H. Parkinson, S. Piccolo, I. Pinheiro, A. Pombo, C. Popp, W. Reik, S. Roman-Roman, P. Rosenstiel, J. L. Schultze, O. Stegle, A. Tanay, G. Testa, D. Thanos, F. J. Theis, M.-E. Torres-Padilla, A. Valencia, C. Vallot, A. van Oudenaarden, M. Vidal, T. Voet, L. Alberi, S. Alexander, T. Alexandrov, E. Arenas, C. Bagni, R. Balderas, A. Bandelli, B. Becher, M. Becker, N. Beerenwinkel, M. Benkirame, M. Beyer, W. Bickmore, E. E. A. L. Biessen, N. Blomberg, I. Blumcke, B. Bodenmiller, B. Borroni, D. T. Boumpas, T. Bourgeron,

S. Bowers, D. Braeken, C. Brooksbank, N. Brose, H. Bruining, J. Bury, N. Caporale, G. Cattoretti, N. Chabane, H. Chneiweiss, S. A. Cook, P. Curatolo, M. I. de Jonge, B. Deplancke, B. De Strooper, P. de Witte, S. Dimmeler, B. Draganski, A. Drews, C. Dumbrava, S. Engelhardt, T. Gasser, E. J. Giamarellos-Bourboulis, C. Graff, D. Grün, I. Gut, O. Hansson, D. C. Henshall, A. Herland, P. Heutink, S. R. B. Heymans, H. Heyn, M. Huch, I. Huitinga, P. Jackowiak, K. R. Jongsma, L. Journot, J. P. Junker, S. Katz, J. Kehren, S. Kempa, P. Kirchhof, C. Klein, N. Koralewska, J. O. Korbel, M. Kühnemund, A. I. Lamond, E. Lauwers, I. Le Ber, V. Leinonen, A. L. Tobon, E. Lundberg, A. Lunkes, H. Maatz, M. Mann, L. Marelli, V. Matser, P. M. Matthews, F. Mechta-Grigoriou, R. Menon, A. F. Nielsen, M. Pagani, R. J. Pasterkamp, A. Pitkänen, V. Popescu, C. Pottier, A. Puisieux, R. Rademakers, D. Reiling, O. Reiner, D. Remondini, C. Ritchie, J. D. Rohrer, A.-E. Saliba, R. Sanchez-Valle, A. Santosuosso, A. Sauter, R. A. Scheltema, P. Scheltens, H. B. Schiller, A. Schneider, P. Seibler, K. Sheehan-Rooney, D. Shields, K. Sleegers, A. B. Smit, K. G. C. Smith, I. Smolders, M. Synofzik, W. L. Tam, S. Teichmann, M. Thom, M. Y. Turco, H. M. M. van Beusekom, R. Vandenberghe, S. Van den Hoecke, I. Van de Poel, A. van der Ven, J. van der Zee, J. van Lunzen, G. van Minnebruggen, A. van Oudenaarden, W. Van Paesschen, J. van Swieten, R. van Vught, M. Verhage, P. Verstreken, C. E. Villa, J. Vogel, C. von Kalle, J. Walter, S. Weckhuysen, W. Weichert, L. Wood, A.-G. Ziegler, F. Zipp and G. LifeTime Community Working, Nature, 2020, 587, 377–386. Chern Soc Rev Articles. Published distribution and the strength on 24 November 2022. The strength of the computer and computer and computer and creative Commons are the strength on 32 November 2022. The common performance

- 3 Y. Wu, X. Han, Y. Su, M. Glidewell, J. S. Daniels, J. Liu, T. Sengupta, I. Rey-Suarez, R. Fischer, A. Patel, C. Combs, J. Sun, X. Wu, R. Christensen, C. Smith, L. Bao, Y. Sun, L. H. Duncan, J. Chen, Y. Pommier, Y.-B. Shi, E. Murphy, S. Roy, A. Upadhyaya, D. Colón-Ramos, P. La Riviere and H. Shroff, Nature, 2021, 600, 279–284.
- 4 L. Möckl and W. E. Moerner, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2020, 142, 17828–17844.
- 5 B. R. Gallagher and Y. Zhao, Neurobiol. Dis., 2021, 154, 105362.
- 6 R. Schmidt, C. A. Wurm, S. Jakobs, J. Engelhardt, A. Egner and S. W. Hell, Nat. Methods, 2008, 5, 539–544.
- 7 S. W. Hell, E. H. Stelzer, S. Lindek and C. Cremer, Opt. Lett., 1994, 19, 222.
- 8 L. Ji, W. Yan, Y. Xia and D. Liu, J. Appl. Phys., 2018, 123, 183302.
- 9 S. W. Hell, S. J. Sahl, M. Bates, X. Zhuang, R. Heintzmann, M. J. Booth, J. Bewersdorf, G. Shtengel, H. Hess, P. Tinnefeld, A. Honigmann, S. Jakobs, I. Testa, L. Cognet, B. Lounis, H. Ewers, S. J. Davis, C. Eggeling, D. Klenerman, K. I. Willig, G. Vicidomini, M. Castello, A. Diaspro and T. Cordes, J. Phys. D, 2015, 48, 443001.
- 10 X. Chen, B. Zheng and H. Liu, Anal. Cell. Pathol., 2011, 34, 5–18.
- 11 I. M. Khater, I. R. Nabi and G. Hamarneh, Patterns, 2020, 1, 100038.
- 12 B. Huang, H. Babcock and X. Zhuang, Cell, 2010, 143, 1047–1058.
- 13 G. M. R. De Luca, R. M. P. Breedijk, R. A. J. Brandt, C. H. C. Zeelenberg, B. E. de Jong, W. Timmermans, L. N. Azar, R. A. Hoebe, S. Stallinga and E. M. M. Manders, Biomed. Opt. Express, 2013, 4, 2644–2656.
- 14 D. Rupsa, M. H. Tiffany, T. S. Joe, A. G. Amani and C. S. Melissa, J. Biomed. Opt., 2020, 25, 1–43.
- 15 S. Dhiman, T. Andrian, B. S. Gonzalez, M. M. E. Tholen, Y. Wang and L. Albertazzi, Chem. Sci., 2022, 13, 2152–2166.
- 16 A. M. Szalai, C. Zaza and F. D. Stefani, Nanoscale, 2021, 13, 18421–18433.
- 17 I. M. Pavlovetc, K. Aleshire, G. V. Hartland and M. Kuno, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys., 2020, 22, 4313–4325.
- 18 S. J. Sahl, S. W. Hell and S. Jakobs, Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol., 2017, 18, 685–701.
- 19 M. Fernández-Suárez and A. Y. Ting, Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol., 2008, 9, 929–943.
- 20 M. Hofmann, C. Eggeling, S. Jakobs and S. W. Hell, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A., 2005, 102, 17565–17569.
- 21 B. Hein, K. I. Willig and S. W. Hell, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A., 2008, 105, 14271–14276.
- 22 Y. Xu, R. Xu, Z. Wang, Y. Zhou, Q. Shen, W. Ji, D. Dang, L. Meng and B. Z. Tang, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2021, 50, 667–690.
- 23 E. Betzig, G. H. Patterson, R. Sougrat, O. W. Lindwasser, S. Olenych, J. S. Bonifacino, M. W. Davidson, J. Lippincott-Schwartz and H. F. Hess, Science, 2006, 313, 1642–1645.
- 24 D.-R. Lee and J. Bewersdorf, Appl. Opt., 2021, 60, 5354-5359.
- 25 D. T. Burnette, P. Sengupta, Y. Dai, J. Lippincott-Schwartz and B. Kachar, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A., 2011, 108, 21081–21086.
- 26 J. Requejo-Isidro, J. Chem. Biol., 2013, 6, 97–120.
- 27 R. R. M. Dijkstra, Master thesis, University of Twente, 2012.
- 28 M. Lelek, M. T. Gyparaki, G. Beliu, F. Schueder, J. Griffié, S. Manley, R. Jungmann, M. Sauer, M. Lakadamyali and C. Zimmer, Nat. Rev. Methods Primers, 2021, 1, 39.
- 29 M. Pawlowska, R. Tenne, B. Ghosh, A. Makowski and R. Lapkiewicz, J. Phys.: Photonics, 2021, 4, 012002.
- 30 B. Huang, W. Wang, M. Bates and X. Zhuang, Science, 2008, 319, 810–813.
- 31 R. Heintzmann and T. Huser, Chem. Rev., 2017, 117, 13890–13908.
- 32 X. Chen, Y. Wang, X. Zhang and C. Liu, Biomater. Sci., 2021, 9, 5484–5496.
- 33 M. Filius, T. J. Cui, A. N. Ananth, M. W. Docter, J. W. Hegge, J. van der Oost and C. Joo, Nano Lett., 2020, 20, 2264–2270.
- 34 G. Giannone, E. Hosy, F. Levet, A. Constals, K. Schulze, A. I. Sobolevsky, M. P. Rosconi, E. Gouaux, R. Tampé, D. Choquet and L. Cognet, Biophys. J., 2010, 99, 1303–1310.
- 35 Y. Wu and H. Shroff, Nat. Methods, 2018, 15, 1011–1019.
- 36 L. A. Masullo, F. Steiner, J. Zähringer, L. F. Lopez, J. Bohlen, L. Richter, F. Cole, P. Tinnefeld and F. D. Stefani, Nano Lett., 2021, 21, 840–846.
- 37 R. Schmidt, T. Weihs, C. A. Wurm, I. Jansen, J. Rehman, S. J. Sahl and S. W. Hell, Nat. Commun., 2021, 12, 1478.
- 38 J. K. Pape, T. Stephan, F. Balzarotti, R. Büchner, F. Lange, D. Riedel, S. Jakobs and S. W. Hell, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A., 2020, 117, 20607–20614.
- 39 F. Balzarotti, Y. Eilers, K. C. Gwosch, A. H. Gynnå, V. Westphal, F. D. Stefani, J. Elf and S. W. Hell, Science, 2017, 355, 606–612.
- 40 S. T. Hess, T. P. Girirajan and M. D. Mason, Biophys. J., 2006, 91, 4258–4272.
- 41 M. A. X. Born and E. Wolf, in Principles of Optics, ed. M. A. X. Born and E. Wolf, Pergamon, Sixth Edn, 1980, pp. 1–70, DOI: [10.1016/B978-0-08-026482-0.50008-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-08-026482-0.50008-6).
- 42 R. E. Thompson, D. R. Larson and W. W. Webb, Biophys. J., 2002, 82, 2775–2783.
- 43 H. Kobayashi, M. Ogawa, R. Alford, P. L. Choyke and Y. Urano, Chem. Rev., 2010, 110, 2620–2640.
- 44 X. Wang, X. Wang, S. Jin, N. Muhammad and Z. Guo, Chem. Rev., 2019, 119, 1138–1192.
- 45 F. Pinaud, X. Michalet, L. A. Bentolila, J. M. Tsay, S. Doose, J. J. Li, G. Iyer and S. Weiss, Biomaterials, 2006, 27, 1679–1687.
- 46 S. Ranjit, L. Lanzanò, A. E. Libby, E. Gratton and M. Levi, Nat. Rev. Nephrol., 2021, 17, 128–144.
- 47 E. Sapoznik, B.-J. Chang, J. Huh, R. J. Ju, E. V. Azarova, T. Pohlkamp, E. S. Welf, D. Broadbent, A. F. Carisey, S. J. Stehbens, K.-M. Lee, A. Marín, A. B. Hanker, J. C. Schmidt, C. L. Arteaga, B. Yang, Y. Kobayashi, P. R. Tata, R. Kruithoff, K. Doubrovinski, D. P. Shepherd, A. Millett-Sikking, A. G. York, K. M. Dean and R. P. Fiolka, eLife, 2020, 9, e57681. Tuto Facebook Article and Contents. Published on 24 November 2022. Downloaded on 12 November 2022. The Commons American Street is licensed to the Commons Attached on 14 AM. The Commons American Street is licensed to the R
	- 48 S. Samanta, Y. He, A. Sharma, J. Kim, W. Pan, Z. Yang, J. Li, W. Yan, L. Liu, J. Qu and J. S. Kim, Chem, 2019, 5, 1697–1726.
	- 49 H. Singh, K. Tiwari, R. Tiwari, S. K. Pramanik and A. Das, Chem. Rev., 2019, 119, 11718–11760.
	- 50 J. Kwon, J.-S. Park, M. Kang, S. Choi, J. Park, G. T. Kim, C. Lee, S. Cha, H.-W. Rhee and S.-H. Shim, Nat. Commun., 2020, 11, 273.
	- 51 S. Sreedharan, R. Tiwari, D. Tyde, S. O. Aderinto, S. K. Pramanik, A. Das and J. A. Thomas, Mater. Chem. Front., 2021, 5, 1268–1282.
	- 52 D. Wu, A. C. Sedgwick, T. Gunnlaugsson, E. U. Akkaya, J. Yoon and T. D. James, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2017, 46, 7105–7123.
	- 53 Y. Wei, Z. Cheng and J. Lin, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2019, 48, 310–350.
	- 54 S. Wilhelm, ACS Nano, 2017, 11, 10644–10653.
	- 55 S. Silvi and A. Credi, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2015, 44, 4275–4289.
	- 56 M. D. Torelli, N. A. Nunn and O. A. Shenderova, Small, 2019, 15, 1902151.
	- 57 J. Liu, R. Li and B. Yang, ACS Cent. Sci., 2020, 6, 2179–2195.
	- 58 C. S. Palmer, J. Lou, B. Kouskousis, E. Pandzic, A. J. Anderson, Y. Kang, E. Hinde and D. Stojanovski, J. Cell Sci., 2021, 134, jcs252197.
	- 59 M. Bruchez, Jr., M. Moronne, P. Gin, S. Weiss and A. P. Alivisatos, Science, 1998, 281, 2013–2016.
- 60 W. C. Chan and S. Nie, Science, 1998, 281, 2016–2018.
- 61 O. Yarema, M. Yarema and V. Wood, Chem. Mater., 2018, 30, 1446–1461.
- 62 T.-M. Liu, J. Conde, T. Lipin´ski, A. Bednarkiewicz and C.-C. Huang, NPG Asia Mater., 2016, 8, e295.
- 63 A. T. Frawley, V. Wycisk, Y. Xiong, S. Galiani, E. Sezgin, I. Urbančič, A. Vargas Jentzsch, K. G. Leslie, C. Eggeling and H. L. Anderson, Chem. Sci., 2020, 11, 8955–8960.
- 64 W. R. Algar, K. Susumu, J. B. Delehanty and I. L. Medintz, Anal. Chem., 2011, 83, 8826–8837.
- 65 S. J. Lim, M. U. Zahid, P. Le, L. Ma, D. Entenberg, A. S. Harney, J. Condeelis and A. M. Smith, Nat. Commun., 2015, 6, 8210.
- 66 A. Foubert, N. V. Beloglazova, A. Rajkovic, B. Sas, A. Madder, I. Y. Goryacheva and S. De Saeger, TrAC, Trends Anal. Chem., 2016, 83, 31–48.
- 67 R. Mahle, P. Kumbhakar, D. Nayar, T. N. Narayanan, K. Kumar Sadasivuni, C. S. Tiwary and R. Banerjee, Dalton Trans., 2021, 50, 14062–14080.
- 68 E. Petryayeva, W. R. Algar and I. L. Medintz, Appl. Spectrosc., 2013, 67, 215–252.
- 69 A. Heuer-Jungemann, N. Feliu, I. Bakaimi, M. Hamaly, A. Alkilany, I. Chakraborty, A. Masood, M. F. Casula, A. Kostopoulou, E. Oh, K. Susumu, M. H. Stewart, I. L. Medintz, E. Stratakis, W. J. Parak and A. G. Kanaras, Chem. Rev., 2019, 119, 4819–4880. Chem Soc Rev Vaccellan and S. November 2022. Downloaded on 24 November 2022. Downloaded on 24 November 2022. Downloaded on 24 November 2022. The Creative is licensed under a Creative Commons Article is licensed under a Cr
	- 70 M. Sousa de Almeida, E. Susnik, B. Drasler, P. Taladriz-Blanco, A. Petri-Fink and B. Rothen-Rutishauser, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2021, 50, 5397–5434.
	- 71 P. Liu, X. Mu, X.-D. Zhang and D. Ming, Bioconjugate Chem., 2020, 31, 260–275.
	- 72 C. Li, G. Chen, Y. Zhang, F. Wu and Q. Wang, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2020, 142, 14789–14804.
	- 73 S. Sarkar, P. Le, J. Geng, Y. Liu, Z. Han, M. U. Zahid, D. Nall, Y. Youn, P. R. Selvin and A. M. Smith, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2020, 142, 3449–3462.
	- 74 P. J. Bosch, I. R. Corrêa, Jr., M. H. Sonntag, J. Ibach, L. Brunsveld, J. S. Kanger and V. Subramaniam, Biophys. J., 2014, 107, 803–814.
	- 75 S. E. Irvine, T. Staudt, E. Rittweger, J. Engelhardt and S. W. Hell, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2008, 47, 2685–2688.
	- 76 H. C. Ishikawa-Ankerhold, R. Ankerhold and G. P. C. Drummen, Molecules, 2012, 17, 4047–4132.
	- 77 J. Hanne, H. J. Falk, F. Görlitz, P. Hoyer, J. Engelhardt, S. J. Sahl and S. W. Hell, Nat. Commun., 2015, 6, 7127.
	- 78 J. Xu, K. F. Tehrani and P. Kner, ACS Nano, 2015, 9, 2917–2925.
	- 79 X. Yang, K. Zhanghao, H. Wang, Y. Liu, F. Wang, X. Zhang, K. Shi, J. Gao, D. Jin and P. Xi, ACS Photonics, 2016, 3, 1611–1618.
	- 80 Y. Chang, D.-H. Kim, K. Zhou, M. G. Jeong, S. Park, Y. Kwon, T. M. Hong, J. Noh and S. H. Ryu, Exp. Mol. Med., 2021, 53, 384–392.
	- 81 J. M. Urban, W. Chiang, J. W. Hammond, N. M. B. Cogan, A. Litzburg, R. Burke, H. A. Stern, H. A. Gelbard, B. L. Nilsson and T. D. Krauss, J. Phys. Chem. B, 2021, 125, 2566–2576.
- 82 T. Wang, G. Li, D. Wang, F. Li, D. Men, T. Hu, Y. Xi and X.-E. Zhang, Nanoscale, 2019, 11, 18224–18231.
- 83 R. Wang and F. Zhang, Near-infrared Nanomaterials: Preparation, Bioimaging and Therapy Applications, The Royal Society of Chemistry, 2016, pp. 1–39, DOI: [10.1039/](https://doi.org/10.1039/9781782623939-00001) [9781782623939-00001](https://doi.org/10.1039/9781782623939-00001).
- 84 Q. Yang, H. Ma, Y. Liang and H. Dai, Acc. Mater. Res., 2021, 2, 170–183.
- 85 F. Wang, Y. Han, C. S. Lim, Y. Lu, J. Wang, J. Xu, H. Chen, C. Zhang, M. Hong and X. Liu, Nature, 2010, 463, 1061–1065.
- 86 F. Wang, R. Deng, J. Wang, Q. Wang, Y. Han, H. Zhu, X. Chen and X. Liu, Nat. Mater., 2011, 10, 968–973.
- 87 F. Wang, J. Wang and X. Liu, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2010, 49, 7456–7460.
- 88 Q. Liu, Y. Sun, T. Yang, W. Feng, C. Li and F. Li, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2011, 133, 17122–17125.
- 89 Y.-W. Zhang, X. Sun, R. Si, L.-P. You and C.-H. Yan, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2005, 127, 3260–3261.
- 90 F. Wang and X. Liu, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2009, 38, 976–989.
- 91 J. Zhou, Z. Liu and F. Li, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2012, 41, 1323–1349.
- 92 M. Ding, D. Chen, D. Ma, J. Dai, Y. Li and Z. Ji, J. Mater. Chem. C, 2016, 4, 2432–2437.
- 93 V. Chugh, K. Vijaya Krishna and A. Pandit, ACS Nano, 2021, 15, 17080–17123.
- 94 H. Chu, T. Cao, G. Dai, B. Liu, H. Duan, C. Kong, N. Tian, D. Hou and Z. Sun, RSC Adv., 2021, 11, 35472–35488.
- 95 S. A. Hilderbrand, F. Shao, C. Salthouse, U. Mahmood and R. Weissleder, Chem. Commun., 2009, 4188–4190, DOI: [10.1039/B905927J](https://doi.org/10.1039/B905927J).
- 96 Y. Liu, Y. Lu, X. Yang, X. Zheng, S. Wen, F. Wang, X. Vidal, J. Zhao, D. Liu, Z. Zhou, C. Ma, J. Zhou, J. A. Piper, P. Xi and D. Jin, Nature, 2017, 543, 229–233.
- 97 Q. Zhan, H. Liu, B. Wang, Q. Wu, R. Pu, C. Zhou, B. Huang, X. Peng, H. Ågren and S. He, Nat. Commun., 2017, 8, 1058.
- 98 W. Ren, S. Wen, S. A. Tawfik, Q. P. Su, G. Lin, L. A. Ju, M. J. Ford, H. Ghodke, A. M. van Oijen and D. Jin, Chem. Sci., 2018, 9, 4352–4358.
- 99 F. Wang, S. Wen, H. He, B. Wang, Z. Zhou, O. Shimoni and D. Jin, Light: Sci. Appl., 2018, 7, 18007.
- 100 S. K. Pramanik, S. Sreedharan, H. Singh, N. H. Green, C. Smythe, J. A. Thomas and A. Das, Chem. Commun., 2017, 53, 12672–12675.
- 101 H. Singh, S. Sreedharan, E. Oyarzabal, T. S. Mahapatra, N. Green, Y.-Y. I. Shih, M. Das, J. A. Thomas, S. K. Pramanik and A. Das, Chem. Commun., 2020, 56, 7945–7948.
- 102 N. Soni, S. Singh, S. Sharma, G. Batra, K. Kaushik, C. Rao, N. C. Verma, B. Mondal, A. Yadav and C. K. Nandi, Chem. Sci., 2021, 12, 3615–3626.
- 103 X. Liu, S.-Y. Chen, Q. Chen, X. Yao, M. Gelléri, S. Ritz, S. Kumar, C. Cremer, K. Landfester, K. Müllen, S. H. Parekh, A. Narita and M. Bonn, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2020, 59, 496–502.
- 104 V. Georgakilas, J. A. Perman, J. Tucek and R. Zboril, Chem. Rev., 2015, 115, 4744–4822.
- 105 P. Lesani, A. H. Mohamad Hadi, Z. Lu, S. Palomba, E. J. New and H. Zreiqat, Commun. Mater., 2021, 2, 108.
- 106 P. Tian, L. Tang, K. S. Teng and S. P. Lau, Mater. Today Chem., 2018, 10, 221–258.
- 107 G. Leménager, E. De Luca, Y.-P. Sun and P. P. Pompa, Nanoscale, 2014, 6, 8617–8623.
- 108 A. M. Chizhik, S. Stein, M. O. Dekaliuk, C. Battle, W. Li, A. Huss, M. Platen, I. A. T. Schaap, I. Gregor, A. P. Demchenko, C. F. Schmidt, J. Enderlein and A. I. Chizhik, Nano Lett., 2016, 16, 237–242.
- 109 W. Vandenberg and P. Dedecker, Sci. Rep., 2017, 7, 44665.
- 110 H. He, X. Liu, S. Li, X. Wang, Q. Wang, J. Li, J. Wang, H. Ren, B. Ge, S. Wang, X. Zhang and F. Huang, Anal. Chem., 2017, 89, 11831–11838.
- 111 B. Zhi, Y. Cui, S. Wang, B. P. Frank, D. N. Williams, R. P. Brown, E. S. Melby, R. J. Hamers, Z. Rosenzweig, D. H. Fairbrother, G. Orr and C. L. Haynes, ACS Nano, 2018, 12, 5741–5752.
- 112 J. Shen, J.-H. Zhang, H. Xiao, J.-M. Wu, K.-M. He, Z.-Z. Lv, Z.-J. Li, M. Xu and Y.-Y. Zhang, Cell Death Dis., 2018, 9, 81.
- 113 W. M. Saxton and P. J. Hollenbeck, J. Cell Sci., 2012, 125, 2095–2104.
- 114 X.-W. Hua, Y.-W. Bao, J. Zeng and F.-G. Wu, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2019, 11, 32647–32658.
- 115 G. Han, J. Zhao, R. Zhang, X. Tian, Z. Liu, A. Wang, R. Liu, B. Liu, M.-Y. Han, X. Gao and Z. Zhang, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2019, 58, 7087–7091.
- 116 H. Singh, S. Sreedharan, K. Tiwari, N. H. Green, C. Smythe, S. K. Pramanik, J. A. Thomas and A. Das, Chem. Commun., 2019, 55, 521–524.
- 117 C. He, X. Lin, Y. Mei, Y. Luo, M. Yang, Y. Kuang, X. Yi, W. Zeng, Q. Huang and B. Zhong, Front. Chem., 2022, 10, 905475.
- 118 H. He, X. Chen, Z. Feng, L. Liu, Q. Wang and S. Bi, Nano Lett., 2021, 21, 5689–5696.
- 119 J. Yang, X. Zhang, Y.-H. Ma, G. Gao, X. Chen, H.-R. Jia, Y.-H. Li, Z. Chen and F.-G. Wu, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2016, 8, 32170–32181.
- 120 C. Wu and D. T. Chiu, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2013, 52, 3086–3109.
- 121 K. Pu, A. J. Shuhendler, J. V. Jokerst, J. Mei, S. S. Gambhir, Z. Bao and J. Rao, Nat. Nanotechnol., 2014, 9, 233–239.
- 122 Y. Jiang, M. Novoa, T. Nongnual, R. Powell, T. Bruce and J. McNeill, Nano Lett., 2017, 17, 3896–3901.
- 123 K. Sun, Y. Tang, Q. Li, S. Yin, W. Qin, J. Yu, D. T. Chiu, Y. Liu, Z. Yuan, X. Zhang and C. Wu, ACS Nano, 2016, 10, 6769–6781.
- 124 H. Shi, X. Ma, Q. Zhao, B. Liu, Q. Qu, Z. An, Y. Zhao and W. Huang, Adv. Funct. Mater., 2014, 24, 4823–4830.
- 125 X. Qin, H. Chen, H. Yang, H. Wu, X. Zhao, H. Wang, T. Chour, E. Neofytou, D. Ding, H. Daldrup-Link, S. C. Heilshorn, K. Li and J. C. Wu, Adv. Funct. Mater., 2018, 28, 1704939.
- 126 H. Chen, J. Zhang, K. Chang, X. Men, X. Fang, L. Zhou, D. Li, D. Gao, S. Yin, X. Zhang, Z. Yuan and C. Wu, Biomaterials, 2017, 144, 42–52.
- 127 Q. Miao, C. Xie, X. Zhen, Y. Lyu, H. Duan, X. Liu, J. V. Jokerst and K. Pu, Nat. Biotechnol., 2017, 35, 1102–1110.
- 128 X. Chen, R. Li, Z. Liu, K. Sun, Z. Sun, D. Chen, G. Xu, P. Xi, C. Wu and Y. Sun, Adv. Mater., 2017, 29, 1604850.
- 129 Z. Sun, Z. Liu, H. Chen, R. Li, Y. Sun, D. Chen, G. Xu, L. Liu and C. Wu, Adv. Opt. Mater., 2019, 7, 1900007.
- 130 S. K. Kaiser, I. Surin, A. Amorós-Pérez, S. Büchele, F. Krumeich, A. H. Clark, M. C. Román-Martínez, M. A. Lillo-Ródenas and J. Pérez-Ramírez, Nat. Commun., 2021, 12, 4016.
- 131 Y. Wu, H. Ruan, R. Zhao, Z. Dong, W. Li, X. Tang, J. Yuan and X. Fang, Adv. Opt. Mater., 2018, 6, 1800333.
- 132 Y. Wu, H. Ruan, Z. Dong, R. Zhao, J. Yu, X. Tang, X. Kou, X. Zhang, M. Wu, F. Luo, J. Yuan and X. Fang, Anal. Chem., 2020, 92, 12088–12096.
- 133 M. T. Proetto, C. R. Anderton, D. Hu, C. J. Szymanski, Z. Zhu, J. P. Patterson, J. K. Kammeyer, L. G. Nilewski, A. M. Rush, N. C. Bell, J. E. Evans, G. Orr, S. B. Howell and N. C. Gianneschi, ACS Nano, 2016, 10, 4046–4054.
- 134 J. F. Frisz, K. Lou, H. A. Klitzing, W. P. Hanafin, V. Lizunov, R. L. Wilson, K. J. Carpenter, R. Kim, I. D. Hutcheon, J. Zimmerberg, P. K. Weber and M. L. Kraft, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A., 2013, 110, E613–E622. Tutorial Review Common Access Articles. Article 2022. Downloaded on 24 November 2022. Downloaded on 24 November 2022. Downloaded on 24 November 2022. The Creative Common Access Article is like the same Common Martin Commo
	- 135 M. T. Proetto, C. E. Callmann, J. Cliff, C. J. Szymanski, D. Hu, S. B. Howell, J. E. Evans, G. Orr and N. C. Gianneschi, ACS Cent. Sci., 2018, 4, 1477–1484.
	- 136 J. Chan, S. C. Dodani and C. J. Chang, Nat. Chem., 2012, 4, 973–984.
	- 137 K. Li, T.-B. Ren, S. Huan, L. Yuan and X.-B. Zhang, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2021, 143, 21143–21160.
	- 138 J. Luo, Z. Xie, J. W. Y. Lam, L. Cheng, H. Chen, C. Qiu, H. S. Kwok, X. Zhan, Y. Liu, D. Zhu and B. Z. Tang, Chem. Commun., 2001, 1740–1741, DOI: [10.1039/B105159H](https://doi.org/10.1039/B105159H).
	- 139 G. R. Suman, M. Pandey and A. S. J. Chakravarthy, Mater. Chem. Front., 2021, 5, 1541–1584.
	- 140 Y. Chen, J. W. Y. Lam, R. T. K. Kwok, B. Liu and B. Z. Tang, Mater. Horiz., 2019, 6, 428–433.
	- 141 M. Li, Y. Gao, Y. Yuan, Y. Wu, Z. Song, B. Z. Tang, B. Liu and Q. C. Zheng, ACS Nano, 2017, 11, 3922–3932.
	- 142 X. Cai and B. Liu, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2020, 59, 9868–9886.
	- 143 S. Wang, W. Wu, P. Manghnani, S. Xu, Y. Wang, C. C. Goh, L. G. Ng and B. Liu, ACS Nano, 2019, 13, 3095–3105.
	- 144 S. Liu, X. Zhou, H. Zhang, H. Ou, J. W. Y. Lam, Y. Liu, L. Shi, D. Ding and B. Z. Tang, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2019, 141, 5359–5368.
	- 145 D. Ding, K. Li, B. Liu and B. Z. Tang, Acc. Chem. Res., 2013, 46, 2441–2453.
	- 146 J. Yu, X. Sun, F. Cai, Z. Zhu, A. Qin, J. Qian, B. Tang and S. He, Opt. Lett., 2015, 40, 2313–2316.
	- 147 C. Kuang, W. Zhao and G. Wang, Rev. Sci. Instrum., 2010, 81, 053709.
	- 148 X. Gu, E. Zhao, T. Zhao, M. Kang, C. Gui, J. W. Y. Lam, S. Du, M. M. T. Loy and B. Z. Tang, Adv. Mater., 2016, 28, 5064–5071.
	- 149 D. Li, W. Qin, B. Xu, J. Qian and B. Z. Tang, Adv. Mater., 2017, 29, 1703643.
- 150 X. Fang, X. Chen, R. Li, Z. Liu, H. Chen, Z. Sun, B. Ju, Y. Liu, S. X.-A. Zhang, D. Ding, Y. Sun and C. Wu, Small, 2017, 13, 1702128. Chem Soc Rev Vaccess Articles. Published on 24 November 2022. Downloaded the CREAT Chemistry Chemistry Chemistry Chemistry Ch
	- 151 Y. Xu, D. Dang, N. Zhang, J. Zhang, R. Xu, Z. Wang, Y. Zhou, H. Zhang, H. Liu, Z. Yang, L. Meng, J. W. Y. Lam and B. Z. Tang, ACS Nano, 2022, 16, 5932–5942.
	- 152 R. Xu, D. Dang, Z. Wang, Y. Zhou, Y. Xu, Y. Zhao, X. Wang, Z. Yang and L. Meng, Chem. Sci., 2022, 13, 1270–1280.
	- 153 F. S. Yasin, T. R. Harvey, J. J. Chess, J. S. Pierce, C. Ophus, P. Ercius and B. J. McMorran, Nano Lett., 2018, 18, 7118–7123.
	- 154 N. Asano, S. Asahina, J. Lu, J. Xu, Y. Shen, Z. Qin and S. Mintova, Inorg. Chem. Front., 2022, 9, 4225–4231.
	- 155 Z. L. Wang, J. Phys. Chem. B, 2000, 104, 1153–1175.
	- 156 W. Albrecht and S. Bals, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2020, 124, 27276–27286.
	- 157 M. Elbaum, S. Seifer, L. Houben, S. G. Wolf and P. Rez, Acc. Chem. Res., 2021, 54, 3621–3631.
	- 158 Y. Li, W. Huang, Y. Li, W. Chiu and Y. Cui, ACS Nano, 2020, 14, 9263–9276.
	- 159 A. L. Koh, C. M. Shachaf, S. Elchuri, G. P. Nolan and R. Sinclair, Ultramicroscopy, 2008, 109, 111–121.
	- 160 J.-H. Tao-Cheng, V. Crocker, S. L. Moreira and R. Azzam, Mol. Brain, 2021, 14, 86.
	- 161 S. Mohammadian, A. V. Agronskaia, G. A. Blab, E. G. van Donselaar, C. de Heus, N. Liv, J. Klumperman and H. C. Gerritsen, Ultramicroscopy, 2020, 215, 113007.
	- 162 F. Lange, P. Agüi-Gonzalez, D. Riedel, N. T. N. Phan, S. Jakobs and S. O. Rizzoli, PLoS One, 2021, 16, e0240768.
	- 163 A. Löschberger, C. Franke, G. Krohne, S. van de Linde and M. Sauer, J. Cell Sci., 2014, 127, 4351–4355.
	- 164 B. Liu, Y. Xue, W. Zhao, Y. Chen, C. Fan, L. Gu, Y. Zhang, X. Zhang, L. Sun, X. Huang, W. Ding, F. Sun, W. Ji and T. Xu, Sci. Rep., 2015, 5, 13017.
	- 165 J. Owen and L. Brus, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2017, 139, 10939–10943.
	- 166 H. Bian, Q. Wang, S. Yang, C. Yan, H. Wang, L. Liang, Z. Jin, G. Wang and S. Liu, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2019, 7, 5740–5747.
	- 167 N. Hildebrandt, C. M. Spillmann, W. R. Algar, T. Pons, M. H. Stewart, E. Oh, K. Susumu, S. A. Díaz, J. B. Delehanty and I. L. Medintz, Chem. Rev., 2017, 117, 536–711.
	- 168 W. Li, G. S. Kaminski Schierle, B. Lei, Y. Liu and C. F. Kaminski, Chem. Rev., 2022, 122, 12495–12543.
	- 169 M. S. Arai and A. S. S. de Camargo, Nanoscale Adv., 2021, 3, 5135–5165.
	- 170 Y. Liu, Z. Peng, X. Peng, W. Yan, Z. Yang and J. Qu, Front. Chem., 2021, 9, 641330.
	- 171 A. L. McEvoy, D. Greenfield, M. Bates and J. Liphardt, BMC Biol., 2010, 8, 106.
	- 172 W. H. De Jong and P. J. Borm, Int. J. Nanomed., 2008, 3, 133–149.
	- 173 S. Zhu, J. Shao, Y. Song, X. Zhao, J. Du, L. Wang, H. Wang, K. Zhang, J. Zhang and B. Yang, Nanoscale, 2015, 7, 7927–7933.
	- 174 M. J. Molaei, RSC Adv., 2019, 9, 6460–6481.
- 175 M. Li, T. Chen, J. J. Gooding and J. Liu, ACS Sens., 2019, 4, 1732–1748.
- 176 T. Ahmed, S. Seth and A. Samanta, ACS Nano, 2019, 13, 13537–13544.
- 177 H. Zhang, M. Zhao, I. M. Ábrahám and F. Zhang, Front. Bioeng. Biotechnol., 2021, 9, 692075.
- 178 S. Wanninger, V. Lorenz, A. Subhan and F. T. Edelmann, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2015, 44, 4986–5002.
- 179 X. Xie, Z. Li, Y. Zhang, S. Guo, A. I. Pendharkar, M. Lu, L. Huang, W. Huang and G. Han, Small, 2017, 13, 1602843.
- 180 G. Feng and B. Liu, Acc. Chem. Res., 2018, 51, 1404–1414.
- 181 J. Liu, C. Chen, S. Ji, Q. Liu, D. Ding, D. Zhao and B. Liu, Chem. Sci., 2017, 8, 2782–2789.
- 182 E. Betzig, Opt. Lett., 1995, 20, 237–239.
- 183 S. Jakobs, T. Stephan, P. Ilgen and C. Brüser, Annu. Rev. Biophys., 2020, 49, 289–308.
- 184 M. J. Rust, M. Bates and X. Zhuang, Nat. Methods, 2006, 3, 793–796.
- 185 E. Betzig, G. H. Patterson, R. Sougrat, O. W. Lindwasser, S. Olenych, J. S. Bonifacino, M. W. Davidson, J. Lippincott-Schwartz and H. F. Hess, Science, 2006, 313, 1642–1645.
- 186 R. M. Dickson, A. B. Cubitt, R. Y. Tsien and W. E. Moerner, Nature, 1997, 388, 355–358.
- 187 M. Heilemann, E. Margeat, R. Kasper, M. Sauer and P. Tinnefeld, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2005, 127, 3801–3806.
- 188 M. G. L. Gustafsson, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A., 2005, 102, 13081–13086.
- 189 F. Balzarotti, Y. Eilers, C. Gwosch Klaus, H. Gynnå Arvid, V. Westphal, D. Stefani Fernando, J. Elf and W. Hell Stefan, Science, 2017, 355, 606–612.
- 190 M. Weber, M. Leutenegger, S. Stoldt, S. Jakobs, T. S. Mihaila, A. N. Butkevich and S. W. Hell, Nat. Photonics, 2021, 15, 361–366.
- 191 S. Coelho, J. Baek, S. Graus Matthew, M. Halstead James, R. Nicovich Philip, K. Feher, H. Gandhi, J. J. Gooding and K. Gaus, Sci. Adv., 2020, 6, eaay8271.
- 192 M. Dai, R. Jungmann and P. Yin, Nat. Nanotechnol., 2016, 11, 798–807.
- 193 R. P. Moore and W. R. Legant, Nat. Methods, 2018, 15, 659–660.
- 194 F. U. Zwettler, S. Reinhard, D. Gambarotto, T. D. M. Bell, V. Hamel, P. Guichard and M. Sauer, Nat. Commun., 2020, 11, 3388.
- 195 S. Pfeffer and J. Mahamid, Curr. Opt. Struct. Biol., 2018, 52, 111–118.
- 196 J. B. Grimm, B. P. English, J. Chen, J. P. Slaughter, Z. Zhang, A. Revyakin, R. Patel, J. J. Macklin, D. Normanno, R. H. Singer, T. Lionnet and L. D. Lavis, Nat. Methods, 2015, 12, 244–250.
- 197 J. B. Grimm, B. P. English, H. Choi, A. K. Muthusamy, B. P. Mehl, P. Dong, T. A. Brown, J. Lippincott-Schwartz, Z. Liu, T. Lionnet and L. D. Lavis, Nat. Methods, 2016, 13, 985–988.
- 198 M. Sunbul, J. Lackner, A. Martin, D. Englert, B. Hacene, F. Grün, K. Nienhaus, G. U. Nienhaus and A. Jäschke, Nat. Biotechnol., 2021, 39, 686–690.