RSC Advances

PAPER

Cite this: RSC Adv., 2021, 11, 27801

Received 1st May 2021 Accepted 29th July 2021 DOI: 10.1039/d1ra03423e

rsc.li/rsc-advances

1. Introduction

Nowadays, the developing world is facing scarcity of storage energy due to more energy consumption for use in portable devices including mobiles, laptops, tabs, computers, optical display devices, growing renewable energy sources by modern society,^{1,2} and heavy high energy devices such as high-power backups^{3,4} and electric trucks. Therefore, batteries are generally used as storage devices with a high energy density of (50– 100 W h kg^{-1}),⁵ but cannot achieve full success due to low power density; thus, they have limited practical applications and industrial production. Recently, supercapacitors have emerged as a new energy storage devices, which have simple operation principles, such as rapid charging–discharging rate, high power density, low cost, environmental friendliness, long cycle life, low maintenance costs, and high safety; $6-10$ these advantages may provide sustainable power supply to modern industry, electronics and applicability in portable and wearable electronics.¹¹–¹⁴ Supercapacitor is consisting of two main

A SnO2QDs/GO/PPY ternary composite film as positive and graphene oxide/charcoal as negative electrodes assembled solid state asymmetric supercapacitor for high energy storage applications

M. Vandana[,](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-3352-9002)^a Y. S. Nagaraju,^a H. Ganesh,^a S. Veeresh,^a H. Vijeth, D^b M. Basappa^a and H. Devendrappa \mathbb{D}^{*a}

The work demonstrates tin oxide quantum dots/graphene oxide/polypyrrole (SnO₂QDs/GO/PPY) ternary composite deposited on titanium foil as a positive electrode and graphene oxide (GO)/charcoal on titanium foil as negative electrode separated by polyvinyl alcohol/potassium hydroxide (PVA/KOH) gelelectrolyte as a solid-state asymmetric supercapacitor for high energy storage applications. Here, tin oxide quantum dots (SnO₂QDs) were successfully synthesized by a hydrothermal technique, and SnO₂QDs/GO/PPY ternary composite was synthesized by an in situ method with pyrrole monomer, SnO₂, and GO. A pH value controlled, which maintained the uniform size of SnO₂QDs dispersed on PPY, through GO ternary composite was used for fabricating the asymmetric supercapacitor electrode with the configuration (SnO₂QDs/GO/PPY)/GO/charcoal (85 : 10 : 5). The device achieved the highest specific capacitance of 1296 F g⁻¹, exhibited an energy density of 29.6 W h kg⁻¹ and the highest power density of 5310.26 W kg⁻¹ in the operating voltage from 0 to 1.2 V. The device also possessed excellent reliability and retained the capacitance of 90% after 11 000 GCD cycles. This ternary composite is a prominent material for potential applications in next-generation energy storage and portable electronic devices. PAPER
 EXERCTS AND AND CONSULTS AND A SHOT CONSULTS AND A SHOT CONSULTS AND CONSULTS ARE CONSULTS AND CONSULTS ARE CONSULTS AND CONSULTS ARE CONSULTS AND A VANDANCE SUPPOSE TO THE CONSULTS AND A VANDANCE CONSULTS AND A V

parameters, which include power density and energy density parameters: specific capacitance (C_{sp}) and potential window; these can be enhanced by varying the properties of the electroactive materials.15,16 Hence, researchers have paid more attention to synthesize efficient electroactive ternary materials by controlling the pH of $SnO₂$ during the synthesis process and concentration for improving its surface area that is required to design high-performance supercapacitors. Supercapacitors can store charges either by ion adsorption mechanisms, i.e., $EDLCs¹⁷$ or by faradic reactions. Generally, according to different charge storage mechanisms, supercapacitors are categorized into two types, EDLC and pseudocapacitors.¹⁸ The charge is stored at the electrode surface and the reversible adsorption/desorption of ions takes place to form an electrical double layer (EDLC) (generally carbon materials including graphene, activated carbon, carbon aerogel, porous carbon, and carbon nanotubes) at the electrode/electrolyte interface. In the past, graphene oxide (GO) has retained substantial attention in energy storage devices due to its superior; properties, such as high electrical conductivity, large specific surface area (2675 $m²$ g^{-1}), and excellent chemical stability. Unfortunately, the unstrained restacking of graphene nanosheets causes exhausting electrolyte ion-diffusion and the low capacitance (from EDLC) restricted their practical applications.¹⁹–²² The GO with

a Department of Physics, Mangalore University, Mangalagangothri, Mangalore, 574199, India. E-mail: dehu2010@gmail.com

b Department of Physics, Mangalore Institute of Technology and Engineering Moodbidri, Badaga Mijar, Karnataka, 574225, India

pseudocapacitive materials has achieved the high capacitance with a better electrochemical performance. The pseudocapacitor mechanism involves surface redox reactions between the electrolyte and the electrode material. Therefore, compared to EDLC, pseudocapacitors have a higher energy density, and the capacitance enhancement is associated with multiple oxidation states of transition metals. Some metal oxides²³ such as ruthenium oxide (RuO_2) ,²⁴ CoMo O_4 ,²⁵ TiO₂,²⁶ cobalt oxide (Co_3O_4) ,²⁷ manganese oxide (MnO_2) ,²⁸ SnO₂, Fe₃O₄,²⁹ and SiO₂/TiO₂ (ref. 30) exhibited high capacitance; among these $SnO₂$ has been considered one of the novel materials for supercapacitors due to the enhanced cycle stability and it shows excellent electrochemical performance. Recently, Wang et $al.^{31}$ reported a onepot synthesis of SnO2/graphene, showing a $C_{\rm sp}$ of 99.7 F ${\rm g}^{-1}.$ Liu et al.³² studied SnO₂ nanostructures, which gave rise to $C_{\rm sn}$ of 187.7 F g^{-1} at current densities of 1.0 A g^{-1} . Chen Mingxi et al.³³ reported SnO₂/rGO droplet aerogel with complex morphologies and their application in supercapacitors exhibited $C_{\rm sp}$ s of 310 F $\rm g^{-1}$ and 180 Fcm $^{-3}$. Ramesh *et al.*34 produced a GO@SnO2 electrode for supercapacitor applications that exhibited $C_{\rm SD}$ of \sim 378 F g⁻¹ at a current density of 4 A g⁻¹ and a maximum cycle stability of up to 5000 cycles. Du Xianfeng et al.³⁵ proposed a SnO₂@polypyrrole composite that produced a high C_{sp} of about 790 mA h g⁻¹ at 200 mA g⁻¹ after 200 cycles. The conjugated polymers are one more class of pseudocapacitors useful to improve the conductivity of the electrode material. Among various conductive polymers, such as polypyrrole (PPY), polyaniline (PANI), polythiophene (PTH), polyacetylene and PEDOT,³⁶⁻⁴⁰ PPY is a promising candidate as pseudocapacitive electrode material due to its good chemical stability, low cost, and reversible redox processes, and large volumetric change during charge/discharge and earth abundance excellent electrical conductivity. At present, the performance of polypyrrole is improved significantly by combining metal oxides such as $SnO₂$ and carbon nanomaterials like graphene oxide play an important role in supercapacitor applications. Recently, the development of asymmetric supercapacitors $(ASCs)^{41}$ is achieved based on the extended operating voltage window and improved energy density. Normally, supercapacitors are fabricated by using pseudocapacitance materials (positive electrode) with a carbonbased material (negative electrode). RSC Advances

purchase article control performances. The production term into the common term for Q_0P_0 when the common and the set of Q_0P_0 when Q_0P_0 when Q_0P_0 when Q_0P_0 and Q_0P_0 when Q_0P_0 when

Here, we investigated the performance of a supercapacitor with a large operating voltage window, we construct solid-state ASCs consisting of $SnO_2QDs/GO/PPY$ (SGP4) as the positive electrode, GO/charcoal as the negative electrode, and a polyvinyl alcohol (PVA)/KOH used as a gel electrolyte. The pH-controlled uniform-size SnO₂QDs were prepared using a simple hydrothermal treatment, and $SnO_2QDs/GO/PPY$ (SGP) ternary composites were prepared by a one-pot in situ chemical oxidation method. We investigated the structural, optical, and electrochemical, properties of ternary composites. The electrochemical study proved that the $SnO₂QDs/GO/PPY$ composite electrode exhibited the highest specific capacitance compared to pure SnO₂QDs, GO and PPY. SnO₂QDs/GO/PPY ternary asymmetric supercapacitors device was fabricated and its electrochemical characterizations were carried out by the two-electrode system. Measured CV, GCD, EIS, ED, and cycle

stability were compared with other reported values. Obtained results demonstrate that $SnO_2QDs/GO/PPY$ ternary materials have great potential for supercapacitors.

2. Experimental methods

2.1. Materials

Pyrrole monomer (C_4H_5N) (99%), ferric chloride (FeCl₃) (97%), tin(IV) chloride pentahydrate (SnCl₄ \cdot 5H₂O) (98%), polyvinyl alcohol (PVA) (99%) graphite powder (99.99%), and polyvinylidene difluoride (PVDF) (99%) were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich and used without further purification. Potassium hydroxide (KOH), N-methyl-2-pyrrolidone (NMP), activated carbon, nitric acid (HNO₃), sulphuric acid (H₂SO₄), hydrazine hydrate $(N_2H_4 \cdot H_2O)$ (98%) were purchased from Merck Chemicals. All the reagents were used as received without any puri fication. Ultra-pure water was used throughout the experiments, which was obtained using a Milli-Q system from Millipore (Milford MA, USA).

2.2. Synthesis of $SnO₂$ quantum dots

The 3.79 g of $SnCl₄·5H₂O$ (0.1 M) and 1.92 g of hydrazine hydrate (0.3 M) were added to 200 ml of distilled water with constant stirring. The immediate reaction of $SnCl₄$ with hydrazine hydrate $(N_2H_4·H_2O)$ forms a white precipitate. After 20 minutes of stirring, the solution was poured into a stainless steel autoclave heated at 180 \degree C for 24 hours. The product was centrifuged at 2000 rpm for 20 minutes and rinsed with distilled (DI) water, dried at 50 °C for 2 hours, $SnO₂$ quantum dots powder was thus obtained.

2.3. Synthesis of graphene oxide (GO)

GO was synthesized by the modified Hummer's method. Briefly, 1.5 g of graphite powder was added to the solution of 23 ml of concentrated $H₂SO₄$ and 1.5 g of NaNO₃. The above solution was mixed and stirred several times, continuously, further 3 g of $KMnO₄$ was added to the flask and stirring was maintained for 2 hours. Then, 46 ml of DI water was added drop-wise to the flask and heated at 60 $^{\circ}$ C for 2 hours with continuous stirring, 10 ml of $H₂O₂$ was then added to the flask with constant stirring for 1 hour. The colour of the solution turned yellowish-brown. The yellowish-brown GO suspension was filtered and washed with DI water several times and dried at 50 \degree C for 2 hours.

2.4. Synthesis of $SnO₂QDS/graphene oxide/PPY ternary$ composite

The $SnO_2QDs/GO/PPY$ ternary composite was synthesized by in situ chemical oxidation polymerization of pyrrole. 2 ml of pyrrole monomer was added to 100 ml of 1 M HCl stirred for 1 h, followed by drop-wise addition of $SnO₂QDs$ and GO suspension (the ratio of GO to SGP was 1 : 9). The above solution was sonicated for 30 min and kept at 0° C for 24 h. Then, 11.354 g of $FeCl₃$ in 100 ml of 1 M HCl was added drop-wise to the pyrrole suspension kept in an ice bath (temperature 0° C) with constant stirring and kept for one day for polymerization. The suspension was centrifuged at 3000 rpm for 15 min and washed with

DI water several times, SnO₂QDs/graphene oxide/PPY ternary composite powder was obtained.

3. Characterization techniques

The chemical change was analyzed by Fourier transform infrared (FT-IR) absorption measurements on an ATR ALPHA BRUKER instrument and X-ray measurements were performed on a Rigaku Miniflex-II diffractometer using CuKa radiation of wavelength $\lambda = 1.5406$ Angstrom. TEM images were obtained using a JEOL-JEM2100 transmission electron microscope. An electrochemical workstation CH-166E was used for measurement of electrochemical performance. XPS analysis was performed using an AXIS-ULTRA instrument from AXIS-165. Chemical analysis was performed using Raman (LabRAM) spectroscopy with UV visible Raman 325 nm and 514 nm LASER

4. Supercapacitor device fabrication

The electrochemical performance of $SnO₂QDS$, GO, PPY, and SnO2QDS/GO/PPY ternary composites was studied on a CH166E electrochemical instrument with three electrodes, where the counter-electrode was a platinum wire and the reference electrode was Ag/AgCl and the working electrodes were prepared by mixing the synthesized $SnO_2QDS/GO/PPY$ with a small amount of PVDF with the ratio of 95 : 5 in NMP solvent; the prepared slurry was coated on a titanium sheet and dried at 60 $^{\circ}$ C for 4 hours to evaporate off the solvent. 3 M-KOH was used as an electrolyte. But in the two-electrode system, the asymmetric supercapacitor device contained both positive and negative electrodes. The SnO₂QDS/GO/PPY//GO/charcoal asymmetric device was fabricated by sandwiching the prepared $SnO₂QDS$ GO/PPY coated titanium foil as a positive electrode and graphene oxide/charcoal, coated titanium foil as the negative electrode (ternary composites/GO/PPY in the ratio 85 : 10 : 5) separated by Whatman 93 filter paper with a PVA-KOH gel Paper

On vater several times, Sa0-QDs,graphene coide-PPY termay chectrolyte.⁶ The specific equations (C_{eff}) (E ²) was calculated from the cyclic eduations (C_{eff}) (E ²) was calculated unique (CC)) the equa

$$
C_{\rm sp} = \frac{\int I \cdot \mathrm{d}v}{\vartheta \cdot m \cdot \Delta V} \tag{1}
$$

 $C_{\rm sp}$ (F $\rm g^{-1})$ from the galvanostatic charging-discharging (GCD) curves can be calculated using the equation,

$$
C_{\rm sp} = \frac{I \times \Delta t}{m \times \Delta V} \tag{2}
$$

where *I* is the current (A), ϑ is the scan rate (V s $^{-1}$), m represents the mass of the electroactive material (g), ΔV is the change in the potential during the process (V) and the discharge time Δt (s). The energy density (W h kg^{-1}), and power density (W kg^{-1}) of the device was evaluated using the following eqn (3) and (4),

$$
E = \frac{0.5 C_{\rm sp} V^2}{3.6}
$$
 (3)

$$
P = \frac{3600E}{\Delta t}
$$
 (4)

where $C_{\rm sp}$ is the specific capacitance (F ${\rm g}^{-1}),$ V is the potential window (V) and Δt is the discharge time (s).

5. Results and discussions

5.1. FT-IR analysis

FT-IR spectra of SnO₂ODs, GO, PPY and SnO₂ODs/GO/PPY composites are shown in Fig. 1. The peak at 684 cm^{-1} is ascribed to the O–Sn–O bridge functional group of $SnO₂$, which confirms the presence of $SnO₂$ in the crystalline phase.⁴³ The band centered at 1634 cm^{-1} found in materials is assigned to O–H stretching, which is caused by vibrations of the adsorbed water molecules.

The peaks at 1219 and 1505 cm^{-1} represent the wag and twist band between two metal ions, respectively. 1733 cm^{-1} is assigned to the $C=O$ bond (carboxyl group) located at the GO edge, $44,45$ 1047 cm⁻¹, and 926 cm⁻¹ assigned to the C-O-C

Scheme 1 Representation of synthesis steps of SnO₂QDs/GO/PPY ternary composites and SnO₂QDs/GO/PPY//GO/charcoal asymmetric supercapacitor device.

.

Fig. 1 FT-IR absorption spectra of pure SnO₂QDs, GO, PPY and SGP composite

(epoxide) and peroxide bond, respectively.⁴⁶ The remaining sp^2 carbon in the graphite is represented at 1494 cm^{-1} . The PPY peak at 1539 cm⁻¹ is assigned to the C-C vibration, 1194 cm⁻¹ peak exhibits the C–N stretching vibration.⁴⁷ The peak near 919 cm⁻¹ is assigned to the $=C-N$ plane deformation mode.⁴⁸ The band at 1294 cm^{-1} confirms the $=$ C–H in-plane vibration. Notably, in the composite, the peak at 1539 cm^{-1} of PPY is assigned to the C–C bending vibration shifted to 1568 cm^{-1} due to the effective combination of three kinds of material. The 1733 cm⁻¹ peak of graphene oxide is shifted to 1785 cm⁻¹ in SGP, upon increasing the concentration of graphene oxide, the original peak of graphene oxide is shifted in the SGP composite as confirmed from the formation of bipolaron.

5.2. XRD analysis

The polycrystalline phase of $SnO₂ODs$ was analyzed by XRD as shown in Fig. 2. The diffraction peaks are located at 26.3° , 33.7° , 37.9°, 51.71°, 64.70°, 70.6°, 77.8° are indexed to the crystal planes (110), (101), (200), (211), (220), (202), (321), respectively, which are in good agreement with the JCPDS (card no 41-1445) values.⁴⁹

The wide diffraction peak at $2\theta = 9.78^\circ$ with the (001) crystal plane shows the oxygen functional groups present in graphene.⁵⁰ The small peaks observed at $2\theta = 20^\circ$ and 42° indicate that graphene is not fully interconnected with an oxygen atom. The amorphous nature of polypyrrole⁵¹ is attributed to the broad peak at about $2\theta = 24.63^{\circ}$. The SnO₂QDs/GO/PPY composite contains all the characteristic peaks of both $SnO₂$ -ODs, GO and PPY. The broad peak in the SGP3 composite at 2θ $= 26.2^{\circ}$ represents the polymer present in the composite and there is no agglomeration of the polymer and $SnO₂QDs$ on the graphene oxide surface and they are well dispersed in the composite.

5.3. Raman spectroscopy analysis

Fig. 3 shows the structural changes in $SnO₂QDs$, GO and $SnO₂QDs/GO/PPY$ ternary composites as reflected in the Raman spectra. The five Raman active modes observed in the $SnO₂ODs$ at 292, 464, 576, 631, and 768 cm^{-1} are related to Raman forbidden modes of E_u (doubly-degenerate vibration), A_{2u} , A_{1g} , B_{2g} (singly-degenerate vibration), respectively.⁵²

The band at 464 cm^{-1} is related to the vibrational features demonstrating the surface defect in SnO_2QDs , as shown in Fig. 3(a).

Furthermore, the presence of bands in the region of 900– 1042 cm^{-1} indicates the presence of PPY in SnO₂QDs/GO/PPY ternary composite, as shown in Fig. 3(b). The D/G ratio from 0.89 (GO) to 1.01 (SnO₂QDs/GO/PPY ternary composite) and $I_{\rm g}$ / I_{2d} ratio of SGP3 composite is 0.39, suggesting that GO is

Fig. 2 XRD pattern of (a) SnO₂QDs, PPY, GO and SnO₂QDs/GO/PPY composites and (b) corresponding JCPDS data of standard SnO₂ (JCPDS: 41-1445).

Fig. 3 Raman spectra analysis of SnO_2QDs (a), and (b) GO, and $SnO_2QDs/GO/PPY$ composites.

reduced and more defects are formed in tin oxide/PPY composites. The broad peaks in the composite appearing at 1353 and 1599 cm^{-1} are ascribed to the G and D bands of graphene oxide, respectively,⁵³ in the composite.

5.4. TEM analysis

Fig. 4(a and b) shows the HR-TEM and, TEM images of $SnO₂QDs$ along with the corresponding selected area electron diffraction pattern shown in Fig. 4(c) revealing clear lattice fringes. The tetragonal crystal structure of $SnO₂$ quantum dots was studied

by lattice spacing of approximately 0.33 nm as shown in Fig. $4(a)$.

Under hydrothermal conditions, the size and morphology of quantum dots depend on the reaction temperature, time duration, and the pH of the solution. If the pH value is changed, $SnO₂$ quantum dots further turn into cubic shapes with larger sizes.⁵⁴ Fig. 4(b) shows the spherical SnO_2QDs with particle sizes varying from 2 to 5 nm obtained at 180 \degree C, 24 h at a pH of 10. The SAED pattern shown in Fig. $4(c)$ confirms that the rutile tetragonal $SnO₂QDs$ are well crystallized. The TEM image of

Fig. 4 HRTEM analysis of SnO₂QDs (a) TEM analysis of SnO₂QDs (b) and SAED pattern of SnO₂QDs (c) and TEM image of GO (d and e) and SnO2QDs/GO/PPY composite (f).

graphene oxide shows a transparent graphene sheet with thin film morphology due to the rapid elimination of intercalated oxygen by using exfoliation or sonication to remove the multilayer disordered graphene sheets.

Fig. 4(f) shows a black dot array of $SnO₂$ quantum dots covered by PPY and graphene oxide layers and distribution on the graphene sheet through the strong electrostatic binding between $SnO₂QDs$ and PPY. In the ternary composite $SnO₂$, QDs were dispersed into GO and PPY layers. Some hollow SnO₂ particles are broken, because of sonication in the preparation process of ternary nanocomposites.

5.5. XPS analysis

XPS is used to study the chemical states of the synthesized ternary SnO₂QDs/GO/PPY composites. The wide scan spectrum and resolved spectra of C 1s, O 1s, Sn 3d, and N 1s, are shown in Fig. 5(a–e). The wide scan spectrum shown in Fig. 5(a) demonstrates the presence of carbon (C), oxygen (O), tin (Sn), and nitrogen (N) elements in the $SnO₂QDs/GO/PPY$ ternary composite. The high-resolution deconvoluted spectra of C 1s in the $SnO_2QDs/GO/PPY$ composites are shown in Fig. 5(b). The lowest binding energy peak observed at 285.29 eV is linked with the C–N bond of PPY present in the SGP composite.⁵⁵ The peak at 286.19 eV corresponds to the C–OH bond and 288.68 eV is attributed to the $C=O$ bond obtained due to the low reduced ability of tin still containing some amount of oxygenated groups present in the composite. The peak at 284.69 eV represents the

C–C bond due to the presence of graphene and PPY in the composite. Fig. 5(c) shows the O 1s core-level spectra of SGP composite composed of 3 peaks at 531.2, 532.5, and 533.4 eV. The lowest peak at 531.2 eV is attributed to the binding energy of the Sn-O bond⁵⁶ in the composite and the other two resemble $C=O$ and C–OH bonds that help in the dispersion of $SnO₂QDs$ on the graphene sheet through electrostatic attraction and hydrogen bonding.

Fig. 5(d) shows the Sn 3d spectrum decomposed into two peaks at 486.8 and 495.4 eV. The lowest binding energy peak at 486.8 eV is assigned to Sn $3d_{5/2}$ and the higher binding energy peak (495.4 eV) is ascribed to Sn $3d_{3/2}$, these results are in good agreement with the energy splitting of standard $SnO₂$.⁵⁷ The peak separation between Sn $3d_{3/2}$ and Sn $3d_{5/2}$ are 8.6 eV and 1.587, respectively, is the area ratio between these two peaks. Fig. 5(e) presents the N 1s core-level XPS spectra. There is an existence of PPY present in the $SnO₂ODs/GO/PPY$ composite and the deconvoluted spectra showing four peaks, out of them the two peaks at 398.8 eV indicate the $-C=N$ bond and 400.1 eV, 401.65 eV are assigned to $-NH$ - and $-NH^+$ - positive and negatively charged, respectively, of the amine link structure present in the SnO₂QDs/GO/PPY composite. RSC Advances

Explore on the regular distribution of investigation computer. Fig. (c) since the properties are the properties are the regular on t

5.6. Electrochemical analysis of PPY, SnO₂ODs, GO and SnO2QDs/GO/PPY composite

The electrochemical analysis of PPY, $SnO₂QDs$, GO and $SnO₂$ -QDs/GO/PPY composite was studied from CV and GCD curves.

Fig. 5 XPS survey scan spectra of (a) SnO2QDs/GO/PPY composite, (b) deconvoluted spectra for C 1s, (c) O 1s, (d) Sn 3d, and N 1s (e) of SnO2QDs/GO/PPY composite.

Fig. 6 (a) Cyclic voltammetry (CV) curve of pure PPY, SnO₂QDs, GO and SnO₂QDs/GO/PPY nanocomposites (b) CV plot of SnO₂QDs/GO/PPY nanocomposites for 10 to 40 mV s $^{-1}$. (c) Variation of $C_{\rm sp}$ of various materials from cyclic voltammetry (d) charging–discharging curve for, pure PPY, SnO₂QDs, GO and SnO₂QDs/GO/PPY nanocomposites. (e) Charging-discharging plots for SnO₂QDs/GO/PPY ternary material for different current densities (f) C_{sp} of different nanocomposites from GCD curve.

Fig. 6(a) shows the cyclic voltammetry curve at 40 mV s^{-1} within the potential window 0 to 1.2 V.

The area of the CV curve increases for the $SnO₂ODs/GO/PPY$ composite indicates high electrical conductivity, indicating higher specific capacitance compared to pure $SnO₂QDs$, GO, and PPY. It possesses both EDL capacitance and apparent pseudocapacitance nature due to the presence of PPY and $SnO₂$ in the composite, as proved in the redox peak. The combined effect of $SnO₂$, GO and PPY is the reason for the large area redox peak. The reversible redox reaction of tin oxide quantum dots is,

$$
SnO2 + OH- \leftrightarrow SnO3H + e-
$$

Fig. 6(b) shows that CV curves of SnO₂QDs/GO/PPY composite at scan rates from 10 to 40 mV s^{-1} retain a similar rectangular shape without any distortion with increasing scan rate in the CV curve. The small shift in the redox peak with respect to the scan rate indicates the good response of ions and EDL capacitive behavior.⁵⁸ Fig. 6(c) shows the $C_{\rm SD}$ calculated from cyclic voltammetry curves by using eqn (1). The $SnO₂QDs$ / GO/PPY composite exhibits the highest C_{sp} of 1296.14 F g^{-1} at 40 mV s $^{-1}$, which is higher than that of pure PPY (286.34 F $\rm g^{-1}$), SnO₂QDs (652.83 F g $^{-1}$), and pure GO (983.65 F g $^{-1}$). As the scan rate increases, C_{sp} goes down, exhibiting a better rate capacity. Galvanostatic charging-discharging curves of SnO₂QDs, GO, PPY, and $SnO_2QDs/GO/PPY$ (SGP) composite at 4 A g^{-1} are shown in Fig. 6(d). All the GCD curves show the nearly

triangular profile (EDLC behavior) with a very small iR -drop in response to the redox reaction between the electrode–electrolyte interfaces, which is reliable with CV results. The discharge time of the SnO₂QDs/GO/PPY composite at 4 A g^{-1} is (2000 s) larger than that of pure $SnO₂QDs$ (1000 s), GO (1500 s) and PPY (500 s). The GCD curves of the SGP composite at different current

Fig. 7 Nyquist plots of PPY, SnO₂QDs, GO, and SnO₂QDs/GO/PPY nanocomposite with an equivalent circuit for the SnO₂QDs/GO/PPY nanocomposite.

densities (1 A $\rm g^{-1}$ to 6 A $\rm g^{-1})$ are shown in Fig. 6(e). If the current density is higher, the discharge time decreases, indicating that the redox reaction reversibly takes place under diffusion control and symmetrical triangles with small iR-drop show good supercapacitor performance.⁵⁹ The C_{sp} of asymmetric supercapacitors was calculated using eqn (2) from the discharge curves of Fig. 6(f). The obtained C_{sp} of the SnO₂QDs/GO/PPY composite is 1037.34 F g^{-1} at 4 A g^{-1} , and it shows a more capacitive nature than that of pure PPY (218.67 F $\rm g^{-1}$), SnO $\rm _2QDs$ (502 F $\rm g^{-1}$), GO (760.55 F $\rm g^{-1}$). The larger value of the $C_{\rm sp}$ of the composite is mainly because of the synergistic combination of three kinds of components in the ternary compound containing EDLC of GO, $SnO₂QDs$, and pseudocapacitance of polypyrrole.^{54,55} The Nyquist plots of SnO₂QDs, GO, PPY and SGP ternary composites are shown in Fig. (7). EIS is measured from 0.1 Hz to 100 kHz, where the solution resistance, R_s and the charge-transfer resistance R_{ct} , at the electrode/electrolyte surface and W is the Warburg impedance, which exhibits iondiffusion at the electrode/electrolyte interface. R_s of SGP, GO, SnO₂ODs, and PPY are found to be 0.92, 1.35, 1.51 and 1.66 Ω , respectively. In the low-frequency region, SnO₂QDs/GO/PPY plot shows a more vertical shape that represents the better capacitive behavior. RSC Advances

density it hyper, the since is considered the interest are denoted the interest ar

The inset is the equivalent circuit for $SnO₂QDs/GO/PPY$ composite. R_{ct} values of SGP, GO, SnO₂QDs, and PPY are 72, 74, 82 and 94 Ω , respectively. The composite shows a low value of $R_{\rm ct}$ and $R_{\rm s}$ indicating high conductivity. The diffusion takes place from high to low frequency, therefore, W is in series with R_{ct} (Scheme 1).

The CV curves of the asymmetric supercapacitors were recorded at various scan rates from 10 to 40 mV s^{-1} in the potential window of 0 to 1.2 V as shown in Fig. 8(a). The SGP composite shows the quasi rectangular-shaped CV curves showing a pseudocapacitance behavior. All CV curves have symmetrical shapes indicating a stable electrochemical performance. As the scan rate increases from 10 to 40 mV $\rm s^{-1}$, the area under the CV curve is large showing the highest capacitance electrode material. The GCD plot of an asymmetric supercapacitor device at a different current density from 1 to 6 A g^{-1} is shown in Fig. $8(b)$. The triangular charge-discharge profiles for all current densities indicate a good response to the redox reaction that takes place at the electrode and electrolyte interface. The GCD curves show less voltage drop exhibits good electrochemical reversibility. The plots of the specific capacitance vs. current density are shown in Fig. 8(c). The $SnO₂QDs/$ GO/PPY device exhibiting the maximum specific capacitance

Fig. 8 (a) CV curve of SnO₂QDs/GO/PPY//GO/charcoal asymmetric supercapacitor device for various scan rates. (b) GCD curve of the asymmetric device for different 1 to 6 A g⁻¹ current densities. (c) C_{sp} at 1 to 6 A g⁻¹ current density respectively. (d) EIS plots of ASC device fitted with an equivalent circuit.

Fig. 9 (a) The cyclic stability analysis of SnO₂QDs/GO/PPY//GO/Charcoal asymmetric supercapacitor device \sim 11 000 cycles at 6 A g⁻¹ (inset: first 5 cycles and last 30 cycles). (b) Energy density vs. power density plots of ASC devices compared with various literature results.

at 1 A g^{-1} from CV is 967.012 F g^{-1} and from GCD is 896.07 F $\rm g^{-1},$ still retained to 506 F $\rm g^{-1}$ at 6 A $\rm g^{-1}$ of current density represents the excellent stability of the device. As the current density increases, the specific capacitance found decreases as shown in Fig. 8(c). EIS plots of asymmetric devices are sown in Fig. 8(d). The vertical line in the Nyquist plot represents the lowfrequency region and the semicircle observed in the highfrequency region shown in the figure indicates the ideal supercapacitive behavior. R_{ct} and R_s values of the asymmetric device are 14.29 Ω and 1.729 Ω , respectively. The inset in Fig. 8(d) shows the equivalent circuit of $SnO_2QDs/GO/PPY//GO/$ charcoal asymmetric supercapacitor device fitted using Randles Circuit Model.⁶⁰ The cycle stability of the device was carried out using the GCD curve shown in Fig. 9(a), (inset). The device showed 92.68% capacitance retention after 10 000 cycles at 6 A g^{-1} , which was due to the synergetic contribution of three components (i) $SnO₂QDs$ prevent the agglomeration of PPY and attainability of electrolyte increases, (ii) polypyrrole increases the pseudocapacitance of the asymmetric SGP device, (iii) graphene oxide acts as a conductive bridge between $SnO₂QDs$ and PPY and it increases the electrical double layer capacitance of the device.

The energy and power density were analyzed from the GCD curve using eqn (3) and (4). The $SnO₂QDs/GO/PPY//GO/characterical$ asymmetric device shows the energy density of 29.6 W h kg^{-1} and highest power density of 5310.26 W kg^{-1} , these results are higher than those previously reported in the literature for graphene–polymer composites. For example, $SnO₂/GO⁶¹$ electrochemical supercapacitors exhibit an energy density of 32.2 W h kg^{-1} with a power density of 1000 W kg^{-1} , reaching a specific capacitance of 348 F g^{-1} , PPY/FeCoS-rGO/NF//rGO.⁶² The ASC device exhibits a C_{sp} of 94 F g⁻¹ and ED of 28 W h kg⁻¹ at a power density of 810 W kg⁻¹. The PPy/GO|PPy/MnO₂ (ref. 63) symmetrical supercapacitor preserves $C_{\rm sp}$ of 786 F g^{-1} , the energy density of 52 W h kg⁻¹ at a specific power of 1392 W kg⁻¹ with cycle stability of over 1000 cycles. Graphene/MnO₂/CNTs⁶⁴ nanocomposite-based high-performance supercapacitor produces the specific capacitance of 372 F g^{-1} . GO/CDs/PPY⁶⁵

exhibiting a $C_{\rm sp}$ of 576 F $\rm g^{-1}$ at a current density of 0.5 A $\rm g^{-1}.$ The GO/PPY⁶⁶ composite electrode exhibits the highest C_{sp} of 481 F $\rm g^{-1}$, PPy/FeCoS–rGO/rGO 67 asymmetric device exhibits a specific capacitance of 94 F g^{-1} and an energy density of 28 W h kg⁻¹ at a power density of 810 W kg^{-1} , NiCo₂S₄@PPy/NF//AC device⁶⁸ exhibits a high energy density of 34 W h kg^{-1} at a power density of 120 W kg⁻¹ with 80.64% of the retention, RGO/PPY⁶⁹ films achieved the C_{sp} of 361 F g^{-1} and exhibited 80% of retention for 5000 cycles. MnO₂@PANI//MnO₂@PANI⁷⁰ asymmetric supercapacitor showed higher energy density of 37 W h kg^{-1} , PPY micro/nanosphere⁷¹ showed high capacitance of 568 F g^{-1} , demonstrating 77% retention of the capacitance. The observed results suggested that $SnO_2QDS/GO/PPY$ ternary composite electrodes are favorable for high-performance solid-state asymmetric supercapacitor applications.

6. Conclusions

In summary, we successfully synthesized $SnO₂QDs$ using a onestep hydrothermal method and SnO₂QDs/GO/PPY ternary composites by an in situ chemical technique. The $SnO₂QDs/GO/$ PPY electrode revealed a high $C_{\rm sp}$ of 1296 F ${\rm g}^{-1}$ at 40 mV ${\rm s}^{-1}.$ To investigate the practical applications, we assembled an asymmetric supercapacitor based on SnO₂QDs/GO/PPY ternary composite used as positive and GO/charcoal as the negative electrode. A device delivers a C_{sp} of 967.012 F g^{-1} at the 1 A g^{-1} current density. The maximum energy density achieved by the asymmetric device is 29.6 W h kg^{-1} with the highest power density of 5310.26 W kg⁻¹ (92.68% capacitance retention). The SnO2QDs/GO/PPY//GO/charcoal asymmetric device has excellent cycle stability attributed to the synergetic effect of different materials present in the polypyrrole. These results demonstrate that SnO₂QDs/GO/PPY is favourable electrode material for novel energy storage devices.

Conflicts of interest

This is certified that there is no conflict of interest with results present in the manuscript.

Acknowledgements

Authors gladly acknowledge the INUP program, CENSE, IISC Bangalore, India and also acknowledged to the commission of OBC, Bangalore, the government of Karnataka for providing financial support. **Example 2021. The Commonstant Commonstant Commonstant 2021.** This is licensed under the published on the same of the same of

References

- 1 Z. Yu, L. Tetard, L. Zhai and J. Thomas, Energy Environ. Sci., 2015, 8(3), 702–730.
- 2 H. Wang and H. Dai, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2013, 42(7), 3088–3113.
- 3 N. Omar, M. Daowd, O. Hegazy, G. Mulder, J. M. Timmermans, T. Coosemans, P. Vanden Bossche and J. Van Mierlo, Energies, 2012, 5, 138–156.
- 4 A. Emadi, K. Rajashekara and S. S. Williamson, IEEE Trans. Veh. Technol., 2005, 4, 763–770.
- 5 L. Chen, X. Fan, E. Hu, X. Ji, J. Chen, S. Hou and C. Wang, Chem, 2019, 5(4), 896–912.
- 6 M. Li, J. Cheng, J. Wang, F. Liu and X. Zhang, Electrochim. Acta, 2016, 206, 108–115.
- 7 W. Wang, Q. Hao, W. Lei, X. Xia and X. Wang, RSC Adv., 2012, 2, 10268–10274.
- 8 X. Wang, X. Han, M. Lim, N. Singh, C. L. Gan, M. Jan and P. S. Lee, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2012, 116, 12448–12454.
- 9 W. Wei, W. Ye, J. Wang, C. Huang, J. B. Xiong, H. Qiao, L. Mi and P. Yan, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2019, 11(35), 32269– 32281.
- 10 W. Wei, J. Wu, S. Cui, Y. Zhao, W. Chen and L. Mi, Nanoscale, 2019, 11(13), 6243–6253.
- 11 B. Tong, W. Wei, Z. Wu, L. Zhao, W. Ye, J. Wang and L. Mi, ACS Appl. Energy Mater., 2021, 4(4), 3221–3230.
- 12 C. Xu, Z. Li, C. Yang, P. Zou, B. Xie, Z. Lin, Z. Zhang, B. Li, F. Kang and C. P. Wong, Adv. Mater., 2016, 28(21), 4105– 4110.
- 13 Q. Lv, S. Wang, H. Sun, J. Luo, J. Xiao, J. Xiao, F. Xiao and S. Wang, Nano Lett., 2016, 16(1), 40–47.
- 14 M. Mo, C. Chen, H. Gao, M. Chen and D. Li, Electrochim. Acta, 2018, 269, 11–20.
- 15 S. Vivekchand, C. S. Rout, K. Subrahmanyam, A. Govindaraj and C. Rao, J. Chem. Sci., 2008, 120(1), 9–13.
- 16 X. Yu, B. Lu and Z. Xu, Adv. Mater., 2014, 26(7), 1044–1051.
- 17 L. L. Zhang and X. Zhao, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2009, 38(9), 2520– 2531.
- 18 L. Zhao, Y. S. Hu, H. Li, Z. Wang and L. Chen, Adv. Mater., 2011, 23(11), 1385–1388.
- 19 A. L. Ivanovskii, Russ. Chem. Rev., 2012, 81(7), 571–605.
- 20 J. Chen, C. Li and G. Shi, J. Phys. Chem. Lett., 2013, 4(8), 1244–1253.
- 21 J. Mao, J. Iocozzia, J. Huang, K. Meng, Y. Lai and Z. Lin, Energy Environ. Sci., 2018, 11(4), 772–799.
- 22 Y. Tang, Z. He, J. A. Mosseler and Y. Ni, Cellulose, 2014, 21(6), 4569–4581.
- 23 B. S. Dakshayini, K. R Reddy, A. Mishra, N. P. Shetti, S. J. Malode, S. Basu and A. V. Raghu, Microchem. J., 2019, 147, 7–24.
- 24 J. Zang, S. J. Bao, C. M. Li, H. Bian, X. Cui, Q. Bao, C. Q. Sun, J. Guo and K. Lian, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2008, 112, 14843–14847.
- 25 K. K. Purushothaman, B. Saravanakumar, I. M. Babu, B. Sethuraman and G. Muralidharan, RSC Adv., 2014, 4, 23485–23491.
- 26 L. l. Jiang, X. Lu, C. M. Xie, G. J. Wan, H. p. Zhang and T. Youhong, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2015, 119, 3903–3910.
- 27 S. K. Meher and G. R. Rao, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2011, 115, 15646– 15654.
- 28 J. Yan, Z. Fan, T. Wei, W. Qian, M. Zhang and F. Wei, Carbon, 2010, 48, 3825–3833.
- 29 X. Du, C. Wang, M. Chen, Y. Jiao and J. Wang, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2009, 113, 2643–2646.
- 30 S. Shanmugan, S. Gorjian, A. H. Elsheikh, F. A Essa, Z. M. Omara and A. V. Raghu, Energy Sources A: Recovery Util. Environ. Eff., 2020, 1–14.
- 31 S. Wang and X. Wang, Electrochim. Acta, 2011, 56(9), 3338– 3344.
- 32 M. Chen, H. Wang, L. Li, Z. Zhang, C. Wang, Y. Liu and J. Gao, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2014, 6(16), 14327–14337.
- 33 M. Chen, H. Wang, L. Li, Z. Zhang, C. Wang, Y. Liu and J. Gao, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2014, 6(16), 14327–14337.
- 34 S. Ramesh, H. M. Yadav, J. Y. Lee and G. W. Hong, Sci. Rep., 2019, 9(1), 1–10.
- 35 X. Du, T. Yang, J. Lin, T. Feng, J. Zhu, L. Lu and J. Wang, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2016, 8(24), 15598–15606.
- 36 R. Prabhu, T. Jeevananda, K. R. Reddy and A. V. Raghu, Mater. Sci. Technol., 2021, 4, 107–112.
- 37 R. Prabhu, B. Roopashree, T. Jeevananda, S. Rao, K. R. Reddy and A. V. Raghu, Mater. Sci. Technol., 2021, 4, 92–99.
- 38 Q. Cheng, J. Tang, J. Ma, H. Zhang, N. Shinya and L. C. Qin, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2011, 115, 23584–23590.
- 39 M. H. Bai, T. Y. Liu, F. Luan, Y. Li and X. X. Liu, *J. Mater.* Chem. A, 2014, 2, 10882–10888.
- 40 A. Laforgue, J. Power Sources, 2011, 196, 559–564.
- 41 S. A. El Khodary, I. S. Yahia, H. Y. Zahran and M. Ibrahim, Phys. B, 2019, 556, 66–74.
- 42 H. Vijeth, S. P. Ashokkumar, L. Yesappa, M. Vandana and H. Devendrappa, Electrochim. Acta, 2020, 13, 6651.
- 43 N. M. Shaalan, D. Hamad, A. Y. Abdel-Latief and M. A. Abdel-Rahim, Prog. Nat. Sci.: Mater. Int., 2016, 26(2), 145–151.
- 44 M. Akram, A. T. Saleh, W. A. W Ibrahim, A. S. Awan and R. Hussain, Ceram. Int., 2016, 42, 8613–8619.
- 45 D. P. Suhas, A. V. Raghu, H. M. Jeong and T. M. Aminabhavi, RSC Adv., 2013, 3(38), 17120–17130.
- 46 D. P. Suhas, T. M. Aminabhavi, H. M. Jeong and A. V. Raghu, RSC Adv., 2015, 5(122), 100984–100995.
- 47 E. T Selvi, S. M Sundar, P. Selvakumar and P. M. Ponnusamy, J. Mater. Sci.: Mater. Electron., 2017, 28, 7713–7723.
- 48 H. Zhu, D. Yang, G. Yu, H. Zhang and K. A. Yao, Nanotechnology, 2006, 17, 2386.
- 49 H. Gu, C. Zhao, Y. Zhang and G. Shao, Nanotechnology, 2018, 29(38), 385401.
- 50 B. Gupta, N. Kumar, K. Panda, V. Kanan, S. Joshi and I. Visoly-Fisher, Sci. Rep., 2017, 7(1), 1–14.
- 51 T. K. Vishnuvardhan, V. R. Kulkarni, C. Basavaraja and S. C. Raghavendra, Bull. Mater. Sci., 2006, 29(1), 77–83.
- 52 A. Das, V. Bonu, A. K. Prasad, D. Panda, S. Dhara and A. K. Tyagi, J. Mater. Chem., 2014, 2(1), 164–171.
- 53 De. Lima, M. S. F. Costa, I. N. Bastos, J. M. Granjeiro and de. Almeida Soares, Mater. Res., 2002, 5(3), 1980–5373.
- 54 V. Bonu and A. Das, Mapan, 2013, 28(4), 259–262.
- 55 S. Bose, T. Kuila, M. E. Uddin, N. H. Kim, K. T. Lau and J. H. Lee, Polymer, 2010, 51, 5921.
- 56 Y. Li, S. Zhu, Q. Liu, J. Gu, Z. Guo, Z. Chen, C. Feng, D. Zhang and W. J. Moon, J. Mater. Chem., 2012, 22, 2766.
- 57 A. Guimin, N. Na, Z. Xinrong, M. Zhenjiang, M. Shiding, D. Kunlun and L. Zhimin, Nanotechnology, 2007, 18, 435707.
- 58 D. Zhang, X. Zhang, Y. Chen, P. Yu, C. Wang and Y. Ma, J. Power Sources, 2011, 196, 5990.
- 59 Y. Bo, Y. Zhao, Z. Cai, A. Bahi, C. Liu and F. Ko, Cellulose, 2018, 25(7), 4079–4091.
- 60 M. Vandana, H. Vijeth, S. P. Ashokkumar and H. Devendrappa, Inorg. Chem. Commun., 2020, 117, 107941.
- 61 C. T. De Hsieh, W. Y. Lee, C. E. Lee and H. Teng, J. Phys. Chem., 2014, 118(28), 15146–15153.
- 62 A. Karimi, I. Kazeminezhad, L. Naderi and S. Shahrokhian, J. Phys. Chem., 2020, 124(8), 4393–4407.
- 63 S. Kulandaivalu, N. Suhaimi and Y. Sulaiman, Sci. Rep., 2019, $9(1)$, 1-10.
- 64 Y. Cheng, S. Lu, H. Zhang, C. V. Varanasi and J. Liu, Nano Lett., 2012, 12(8), 4206–4211.
- 65 X. Zhang, J. Wang, J. Liu, J. Wu, H. Chen and H. Bi, Carbon, 2017, 115, 134–146.
- 66 J. Cao, Y. Wang, J. Chen, X. Li, F. C. Walsh, J. H. Ouyang and Y. Zhou, J. Mater. Chem., 2015, 3, 14445–14457.
- 67 A. Karimi, I. Kazeminezhad, L. Naderi and S. Shahrokhian, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2020, 124(8), 4393–4407.
- 68 M. Yan, Y. Yao, J. Wen, L. Long, M. Kong, G. Zhang and Z. Huang, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2016, 8(37), 24525– 24535.
- 69 J. Chen, Y. Wang, J. Cao, Y. Liu, Y. Zhou, J. H. Ouyang and D. Jia, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2017, 9(23), 19831–19842.
- 70 K. Ghosh, C. Y. Yue, M. M. Sk and R. K. Jena, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2017, 9(18), 15350–15363.
- 71 J. Lee, H. Jeong, R. Lassarote Lavall, A. Busnaina, Y. Kim, Y. J. Jung and H. Lee, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2017, 9(38), 33203–33211. Published on 16 August 2021. Downloaded on 16 August 2021. Downloaded on 16 August 2021. Downloaded on 16 August 2021. The common Access Articles. The Creative Common Access Articles. The Creative Common Access Article is